

Accepted Article

Title: Catalytic Enantioselective Protonation of Monofluorinated Silyl Enol Ethers towards Chiral α -Fluoroketones

Authors: Kui Liao, Xiao-Si Hu, Ren-Yi Zhu, Ruo-Han Rao, Jin-Sheng Yu,* Feng Zhou, and Jian Zhou*

This manuscript has been accepted and appears as an Accepted Article online.

This work may now be cited as: *Chin. J. Chem.* **2019**, *37*, 10.1002/cjoc.201900198.

The final Version of Record (VoR) of it with formal page numbers will soon be published online in Early View: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cjoc.201900198.

WILEY-VCH SIOC CCS

ISSN 1001-604X • CN 31-1547/O6 mc.manuscriptcentral.com/cjoc www.cjc.wiley-vch.de

Catalytic Enantioselective Protonation of Monofluorinated Silyl Enol Ethers towards Chiral α -Fluoroketones

Kui Liao, ^a Xiao-Si Hu, ^a Ren-Yi Zhu, ^a Ruo-Han Rao, ^b Jin-Sheng Yu, *^{,a} Feng Zhou, ^a and Jian Zhou*^{, a, c}

Shanghai Engineering Research Center of Molecular Therapeutics and New Drug Development; Shanghai Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry and hemical Processes, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062 China

Chengdu Shude High School Foreign Language Campus, 398N Bairihong West Road, Chengdu, Sichuan 610066, China

State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, CAS, Shanghai 200032 China

ite this paper: Chin. J. Chem. 2019, 37, XXX—XXX. DOI: 10.1002/cjoc.201900XXX

BSTRACT Described herein is an organocatalytic enantioselective protonation of monofluorinated silyl enol ethers, affording an array of optically active α -secondary α -fluoroketones in good to high yields and enantioselectivities, under the catalysis of bifunctional cinchonidine derived squaramide **C4**. It spresents a rare example of facile synthesis of enantioenriched α -secondary α -fluoroketones. With D₂O as the deuterium source and MeOD as the solvent, the first highly enantioselective preparation of chiral α -deuterated α -fluoroketones in >92 % deuteration is developed.

KEY WORDS Enantioselective Protonation, Monofluorinated Silyl Enol Ethers, Chiral α-Fluoroketone, Organocatalysis

ntroduction

The selective incorporation of a fluorine or fluorinated moiety into organic molecules has been identified as a fruitful tool to improve the pharmacological properties in drug discovery,^[1] because the presence of a fluorine moiety often brings about beneficial effects uch as the enhancement in bioavailability, lipophilicity, and metabolic stability.^[2] Consequently, efficient and selective introduction of fluorine atoms or fluoroalkyl groups is much sought after in medicinal research.^[3] Among various fluorinated notifs, optically active α -fluorocarbonyl compounds represent a .ype of privileged scaffolds, owing to their occurrence in bioactive molecules (fluasterone,^[4a] and 12-fluoroforskolin^[4b] for example) nd chiral catalyst^[4c] (Figure 1). In addition, they can serve as versatile fluorinated synthons.^[4d]



Figure 1 Selected compounds featuring α-fluorocarbonyl unit.

In the past decade, much attention has gone to the catalytic enantioselective synthesis of α -fluorocarbonyl compounds. Some synthetic strategies are developed, including electrophilic uorination^[5a-e], nucleophilic fluorination^[5f-g], functionalization of α -fluoroenolate equivalents^[5h-I] or other α -fluorinated substrates^[5m-n] (Scheme 1A).^[3b] However, despite ongoing progress, catalytic asymmetric synthesis of α -secondary α -fluoro carbonyl compounds is largely undeveloped.^[6] On the other hand,

while enantioselective protonation of prochiral enolate derivatives allows facile synthesis of chiral α -monosubstituted carbonyl compounds,^[7] few attention is paid to the application of this strategy to access α -fluoroketones. In 2003, Hénin et al tried a decarboxylative protonation of benzyl 2-fluoro-1-tetralone-2-carboxylate **1a**, with 66% ee obtained.^[8a] In 2006, Stoltz et al achieved up to 88% ee in the synthesis (*S*)-2-fluoro-1-tetralone via the decarboxylative protonation.^[8b] Later, Levacher and Oudeyer attempted organocatalytic protonation of monofluorinated silyl enol ether (m-FSEE) **2d**, and found chiral amine (DHQ)₂AQN could afford **3d** in 75% ee.^[8c,d] Nevertheless, all the three reports only disclosed the synthesis of α -fluoro tetralone **3d**, and the catalytic asymmetric protonation to structurally diverse α -fluoroketones waits for further exploration in terms of enantioselectivity and substrate scope.

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1002/cjoc.201900198

Report

Scheme 1 Known synthetic strategies and this work



Monofluorinated silvl enol ethers 2, as readily available α -fluorinated enolate precursors, have received growing attention r the diversity-oriented synthesis of chiral α -fluoroketones.^[9] The past decade has witnessed a variety of elegant protocols Jased on m-FSEEs, including allylation,^[10] arylation,^[11] aldol,^[12] Michael^[13] and Mannich reaction,^[14] as well as olefination.^[15] cnce the Paquin group pioneered a Pd-catalyzed enantioselective allylation reaction of m-FSEEs 2 in 2007, [10a] m-FSEEs have found ir creasing application in asymmetric catalysis. As a continuation in exploring diversity-oriented synthesis using fluorinated silyl enol others,^[12-16] we have found chiral bifunctional amine catalysts were able to efficiently activate m-FSEEs for the aldol reaction satins,^[12a] Mannich reaction with cyclic N-sulfonyl ketimines, ^[14a] and Michael addition to isatylidene malononitriles.^[13a] Based on these results, we wonder whether it is possible to extend Ifunctional amine catalysis to enantioselective protonation of m-FSEEs, providing a facile entry to structurally diverse chiral c fluoroketones. Herein, we wish to report a cinchona alkaloid uerived bifunctional squaramide catalyzed highly enantioselective protonation of m-FSEEs to chiral α -secondary α -fluoroketones.

Results and Discussion

Our study commenced with the investigation of different bifunctional chiral amine catalysts for the enantioselective hydrolysis of indanone derived m-FSEE **2a**. All the reactions were performed in anhydrous acetone at room temperature (Table 1). The use of 10 mol% chiral phosphoramide-secondary amine

bifunctional catalyst C1 that we developed for Michael reaction involving m-FSEEs afforded product 3a in 90% yield with only 6% ee after 1 day (entry 1). Our phosphoramide-tertiary amine catalyst C2 exhibited much lower reactivity, but the ee value was improved to 47% (entry 2). Cinchona alkaloid derived bifunctional catalyst C3 further increased the enantioselectivity to 53% (entry 3). To our pleasure, cinchonidine derived squaramide catalyst C4 enabled the reaction to furnish 3a in up to 76% ee with 69% yield, albeit with prolong reaction time (entry 4). Then we examined solvent effects to improve the reactivity and enantioselectivity (entries 5-12). While the use of toluene, CH₂Cl₂, THF, EtOAc, and MeCN all led to low ee values, alcoholic solvents proved to be capable of enhancing the enantioselectivity, and trifluoroethanol (TFE) turned out to be the best in terms of enantioselectivity and reactivity, as the reaction could finish within 1 day to afford the desired product 3a in up to 77% yield and 86% ee (entry 12). Considering that alcoholic solvents might act as the proton source, so we conducted the reaction in the absence of H₂O. However, a diminished 75% ee for product 3a was detected, indicating the indispensable role of H₂O in achieving high enantioselectivity (entry 13 vs 12).^[17] Besides, lowering the reaction temperature to 0 °C, the enantioselectivity of 3a was increased to 89% (entry 14). Furthermore, decreasing the usage of catalyst C4 from 10 mol% to 5 mol% furnished the α -fluoroindanone **3a** in 81% yield and 90% ee (entry 15).

Based on these optimizations, we determined to run the catalytic enantioselective protonation of m-FSEEs in CF₃CH₂OH (0.1 M) at 0 °C, in the presence of 5 mol% catalyst **C4**. We studied the substrate scope with respect to differently substituted monofluorinated silyl enol ethers, and all the reactions were run on a 0.3 mmol scale. As shown in Table 2, five-membered cyclic monofluorinated silyl enol ethers were first examined. It was found that α -fluoroindanones derived m-FSEEs **2a** and **2b** worked well to afford the corresponding product **3a** and **3b** in 76% and 92% yield, 88% and 81% ee, respectively. The α -fluoro benzofuranone **3c** could be obtained in 81% ee, albeit with 36% yield, due to the formation of side aldol byproduct.^[18]

Table 1 Condition optimization^a



© 2019 SIOC, CAS, Shanghai, & WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Chin. J. Chem. 2019, 37, XXX-XXX

Running title

We next turned our attention to investigate the performance of six-membered cyclic m-FSEEs. Differently substituted α-fluorotetralone derived silvl enol ethers all proceeded well to produce the target products **3d-h** with 72-97% yields and 88-90% ee. However, the use of 10 mol% or 20 mol% catalyst was required to ensure satisfactory yields. Additionally, seven-membered α -fluoro 1-benzosuberone 3i was also obtained in 61% yield with 80% ee. And optically enriched 6-fluoro cyclohexenones 3j-k could also be produced with 68-91% yield and 81-91% ee values, from the simply cyclohexenone derived m-FSEEs. Notably, 2-fluorobutyrophenone erived acyclic fluorinated silyl enol ether 2I (E/Z >20:1) also turned out to be a viable substrate, providing the desired optically active acyclic α -fluoroketones **3I** in 83% yield and 72% ee.^[19]



91% yield, 81% ee 61% yield, 80% eeb

^a Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were run on a 0.3 mmol scale in F₃CH₂OH (0.1 M) at 0 °C; 5 mol% C4 for 3a-c, 10 mol% C4 for 3i, 20 mol% C4 for 3d-h, 3j-n; ^b At 25 °C.

The synthetic utility of this method was illustrated by the synthesis tically active β -fluoroalcohols (Scheme 2). For example, the product 3a could be readily reduced by LiBH₄ to produce *nti*- β -fluoroalcohol **4** in 97% yield, >20:1 dr with 90% ee, in the presence of TiCl₄.^[20] Treatment of **3a** with ethynylmagnesium promide in THF at -20 $^{\circ}$ C delivered β -fluoro propargyl alcohol **5** in 1% vield and >20:1 dr, without any loss of ee value. The relative and absolute configuration of 5 was assigned to be (15, 2R) by single ystal X-ray analysis of its O-Ac protected derivative 6.^[21] The absolute configuration of the product **3a-c** was tentatively assigned to be R ¹ased on the absolute configuration of **6**. And absolute configuration of products 3d-k was determined by comparing optical rotation values with the literature data.^[22]

Entry	Cat.	Solvent	H_2O	Time (d)	Yield (%)	Ee (%)
1	C1	Acetone	1.0	1	90	6
2	C2	Acetone	1.0	5	88	47
3	С3	Acetone	1.0	5	97	53 ^b
4	C4	Acetone	1.0	7	69	76
5	C4	Toluene	1.0	7	31	47
6	C4	CH_2Cl_2	1.0	7	61	64
7	C4	THF	1.0	7	37	49
8	C4	EtOAc	1.0	7	49	64
9	C4	MeCN	1.0	7	49	67
10	C4	MeOH	1.0	3	82	87
11	C4	ⁱ PrOH	1.0	7	47	79
12	C4	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	1.0	1	77	86
13	C4	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	0	1	87	75
14 ^c	C4	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	1.0	4	83	89
15 ^{c,d}	C4	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	1.0	6	81	90

Unless noted, all reactions were run on a 0.1 mmol scale at room temperature, with 10 mol% chiral catalyst ; isolated yield was reported; ee was determined by chiral HPLC analysis.^b Opposite enantiomer. ^c At 0 °C.^d 5 mol% C4 used.

Scheme 2 Synthetic elaborations.



Given the replacement of hydrogen with deuterium in the bioactive molecules emerges as a promising strategy to improve

Report

the pharmacological properties in medicinal research. Since FDA approved the first deuterated drug, Austedo, in 2017,^[23] many deuterated compounds have become clinical drugs, such as DRX-065^[24] and DRX-164.^[25] In this light, the development of efficient synthetic approaches for the preparation of deuterated compounds is very much in demand. Accordingly, we tried to incorporate deuterium into α -fluoroketone to access deuterated $\alpha\text{-fluoroketones}^{[26]}$ a type of molecules of important potential value in drug discovery. To our delight, with D₂O as the deuterium source, the reaction of α -fluoro indanone or tetralone derived m-FSEEs p oceeded smoothly under the catalysis of C4 in MeOD, delivering u-deuterated α -fluoroindanone **3m** and α -fluorotetralone **3n** in 90% ee, 98% yield with 95% D, and 90% ee, 57% yield with 92% D, respectively (Scheme 3). Notably, this represents the first highly enantioselective catalytic synthesis of enantioenriched α -deuterated fluoroketones.

heme **3** Synthesis of chiral α -deuterated α -fluoroketones.



Interestingly, obvious fluorine effect was observed in the current enantioselective protonation reaction, as the use of chlorinated silyl enol ether **20** only produced the corresponding product **30** in 0% ee and 81% yield, in sharp contrast to 88% ee with 76% yield for 3a. Although the origin of this strong fluorine effect is not clear by now, we speculated that it might be related to the possible C-F"H-X interactions in the transition state, which have been found to ongly influence of organic reaction by us and other groups.^[27] Based on this speculation, together with our results in the activation of FSEEs b / amine catalysis for reaction development, [12a, 13a, 14a, 16ab] we proposed transition state in which the tertiary amine part of catalyst C4 activated silvl enol ethers **2** via the n- σ^* interaction between the icon and nitrogen atom, whilst the squaramide moiety interacted with proton source. The C-F"H-X interaction between he water bonded to the two N-H bonds of squaramide^[28] and the C-F bond of the m-FSEE activated by tertiary amine moiety of C4

ized a favorable transition, allowing the proton to attack the *Si* face. Without such a subtle interaction, it is difficult to realize frice discrimination to achieve high to excellent enantioselectivity, is supported by the result obtained by using chlorinated silyl ether **20**. Subsequently, the silyl enol ethers attached the proton to provide the optically pure α -fluoroketones.

Scheme 4 Fluorine effects and proposed transition state.



Proposed transition state



Conclusions

In conclusion, we have developed a highly enantioselective protonation of m-FSEEs by using a bifunctional cinchonidine derived squaramide **C4** and water as the proton source. This provides a facile synthesis of structurally diverse enantioenriched α -secondary α -fluoroketones. The resulting chiral α -fluoroketones can be readily elaborated into the corresponding β -fluoroalcohols without the loss of enantioselectivity. Notably, chiral α -deuterated α -fluoroketones of important potential applications in medicinal research, could be achieved with excellent enantioselectivity by this protocol. The study of the detailed reaction mechanism and the extension of scope toward other diversified chiral α -fluorinated ketones are now ongoing in our laboratory.

Experimental

General information

Reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography using UV light to visualize the course of reaction. Purification of reaction products was carried out by flash chromatography on silica gel. Chemical yields refer to pure isolated substances. Infrared (IR) spectra were obtained using SHIMADZU TRT racer-100. The $[\alpha]_D$ was recorded using PolAAr 3005 High Accuracy Polarimeter and Anton Paar MCP 5500. ¹H, ¹⁹F, ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained using a Bruker DPX-400 and 300 MHz spectrometers. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm from CDCl₃ with the solvent resonance or (CH₃)₄Si as the internal standard. The following abbreviations were used to designate chemical shift multiplicities: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, h = heptet, m = multiplet, br = broad. Coupling constants (J) are reported in Hertz. All reactions were run in air except noted. Anhydrous THF and toluene were prepared by distillation over sodium-benzophenone ketyl prior to use. Anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ and CH₃CN were prepared by first distillation over P2O5 and then from CaH2. Anhydrous EtOAc and acetone was prepared by first distillation over activated CaSO₄ and then stored in 5Å molecular sieves. The

© 2019 SIOC, CAS, Shanghai, & WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Chin. J. Chem. 2019, 37, XXX-XXX

monofluorinated enol silyl ethers **2a-2c**, **2m** and **2p** were prepared according to literature reports, ^[14a,15a] **2d-2l** were prepared according to literature reports. ^[10a,11b] PE and TFE are the abbreviation of petroleum ether and 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol, respectively.

General procedure

To a 5.0 mL vial were added chiral squaramides **C4** (5-20 mol%) and monofluorinated silyl enol ethers **2** (0.3 mmol, the structure ind numbering of **2** was shown in the supporting information), iollowed by the addition of TFE (3.0 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred at the indicated temperature (0 or 25 °C) for about 30 min before distilled water H₂O (0.30 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added. After full conversion of **2** by TLC analysis, the reaction mixture was irectly subjected to flash column chromatography to afford the desired chiral α -fluoroketones **3**, using the indicated eluent. (Note: ne reaction for synthesis of deuterated products **3m-n** was performed under N₂ atmosphere)

(*R*)-2-Fluoro-2,3-dihydro-1*H*-inden-1-one (**3a**): Prepared by the general procedure from **2a** (65.4 mg, 0.3 mmol) and H₂O (5.5 uL, 0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv) with **C4** (9.0 mg, 0.015 mmol, 5 mol%), tirred at 0 °C for 7 d and column chromatography (PE/CH₂Cl₂ = 20:1) afforded the product **3a** in 76% yield as white solid.^[29] HPLC nalysis (Chiralpak AS-H, ^{*i*}PrOH/hexane = 15/85, 1.0 mL/min, 205 nm; t_r (minor) = 9.57 min, t_r (major) = 11.48 min) gave the isomeric composition of the product: 87% ee, $[\alpha]^{27}{}_{\rm D}$ = -3.0 (c = 0.5, CHCl₃); H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.80 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.70-7.65 (m, 1H), 7.48-7.41 (m, 2H), 5.27 (ddd, *J* = 51.0 Hz, 1 = 7.8 Hz, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.69-3.58 (m, 1H), 3.31-3.16 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 199.96 (d, *J* = 15.0 Hz, 1C), 149.69 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1C), 36.39, 133.91, 128.46, 126.84 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1C), 124.75, 90.51 (dd, *J* = 186.0 Hz, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1C), 33.46 (d, *J* = 21.0 Hz, 1C); ¹⁹F IMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): -193.98 (s, 1F).

(*R*)-5-Chloro-2-fluoro-2,3-dihydro-1*H*-inden-1-one (**3b**): Prepared by the general procedure from **2b** (76.8 mg, 0.3 mmol) and H₂O 5.5 uL, 0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv) with **C4** (9.0 mg, 0.015 mmol, 5 mol%), stirred at 0 °C for 7 d and column chromatography PE/CH₂Cl₂ = 20:1) afforded the product **3b** in 81% yield as white solid.^[30] HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H, ⁱPrOH/hexane = 15/85, 1.0 mL/min, 205 nm; t_r (minor) = 11.53 min, t_r (major) = 15.79 min) gave the isomeric composition of the product: 80% ee, $[\alpha]^{27}{}_{\rm D}$ = 2.7 (c = 1.00, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.72 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.46-7.39 (m, 2H), 5.26 (ddd, *J* = 51.0 Hz, *J* = 7.8 Hz, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 3.66-3.55 (m, 1H), 3.28-3.13 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DCl₃): δ 198.40 (d, *J* = 15.0 Hz, 1C), 151.16 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1C), 142.94, 132.34, 129.32, 127.06, 125.93 (d, *J* = 1.0 Hz, 1C), 90.18 (d, *J* = 193.0 Hz, 1C), 33.22 (d, *J* = 22.0 Hz, 1C); ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, DCl₃): -193.01~-193.22 (m, 1F).

(S)-2-Fluorobenzofuran-3(2*H*)-one (**3c**): Prepared by the general rocedure from **2c** (67.2 mg, 0.3 mmol) and H₂O (5.5 uL, 0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv) with **C4** (9.0 mg, 0.015 mmol, 5 mol%), stirred at 0 °C for 2 d and column chromatography (PE/CH₂Cl₂ = 20:1) afforded the product **3c** in 36% yield as yellow oil. HPLC analysis (Chiralpak OJ-H, ^{*i*}PrOH/hexane = 3/97, 1.0 mL/min, 205 nm; t_r (minor) =

14.35 min, t_r (major) = 16.56 min) gave the isomeric composition of the product: 84% ee, $[\alpha]^{27}{}_{D}$ = -4.3 (c = 0.5, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.70-7.67 (m, 2H), 7.20-7.14 (m, 2H), 5.79 (d, *J* = 58.8 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 192.99 (d, *J* = 14.0 Hz, 1C), 171.41 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1C), 139.47, 124.59 (d, *J* = 157.0 Hz, 1C), 118.31, 113.49, 104.23 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1C), 101.87 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1C); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): -139.84 (s, 1F). GC-MS: 152 (M⁺, 94), 151 (7), 124 (17), 104 (69), 96 (11), 76 (100), 63 (8), 50 (35); HRMS (EI): Exact mass calcd for C₈H₅FO₂ [M]⁺: 152.0274, Found: 152.0270.

(2'S)-2,2'-Difluoro-3'-hydroxy-2',3'-dihydro-[2,3'-bibenzofuran] -3(2H)-one (A): during the protonation reaction of monofluorinated silyl enol ether 2c, a byproduct A generated from the aldol reaction of 2c with product 3c was observed, and isolated (PE/CH₂Cl₂ = 10:1) as a white solid in 54% yield, m. p. 116-118 °C. HPLC analysis revealed that the dr vlues is 1.5:1, HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H, 'PrOH/hexane = 15/85, 1.0 mL/min, 205 nm; major diastereomer: t_r (minor) = 12.55 min, t_r (major) = 17.97 min, minor diastereomer: tr (major) = 15.10 min, tr (minor) = 20.54 min) gave the isomeric composition of the major diastereomer: 81% ee and the minor diastereomer: 83% ee, $\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{2/2}$ = -108.1 (c = 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR for the major diastereomer (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.76-7.69 (m, 2H), 7.65 (dd, J = 7.6 Hz, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (td, J = 8.0 Hz, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.23-7.20 (m, 2H), 7.14 (td, J = 7.6 Hz, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.02-7.00 (m, 1H), 6.93 (dd, J = 62.4 Hz, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 3.03 (br, 1H); ¹³C NMR for the major diastereomer (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 192.85 (d, J = 18.0 Hz, 1C), 170.96 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1C), 158.32, 139.51, 132.27, 126.76, 125.47, 123.98, 123.22, 122.56, 119.11, 113.29, 111.15, 108.49 (d, J = 236.0 Hz, 1C), 107.50 (dd, J = 239.0 Hz, J = 6.0 Hz, 1C), 82.39 (dd, J = 35.0 Hz, J = 9.0 Hz, 1C); $^{19}\mathrm{F}$ NMR for the major diastereomer (376 MHz, CDCl₃): -127.99 (s, 1F), -137.99 (s, 1F). IR (neat): 3447, 1715, 1617, 1473, 1457, 1091, 753, 505; HRMS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for $C_{16}H_{10}F_2NaO_4$ [M+Na]⁺: 327.0439, Found: 327.0442.

(R)-2-Fluoro-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1(2H)-one (3d): Prepared by the general procedure from 2d (70.8 mg, 0.3 mmol) and H_2O (5.5 uL, 0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv) with C4 (36.0 mg, 0.06 mmol, 20 mol%), stirred at 0 °C for 4 d and column chromatography (PE/CH₂Cl₂ = 20:1) afforded the product **3d** in 72% yield as white solid.^[29] HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H, ⁱPrOH/hexane = 15/85, 1.0 mL/min, 205 nm; t_r (minor) = 9.20 min, t_r (major) = 12.31 min) gave the isomeric composition of the product: 91% ee, $[\alpha]^{27}$ = +53.1 (c = 1.00, CHCl₃) or $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$ = +40.4 (c = 1.00, *p*-dioxane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.08-8.06 (m, 1H), 7.55-7.50 (m, 1H), 7.38-7.33 (m, 1H), 7.28-7.26 (m, 1H), 5.15 (ddd, J = 48.0 Hz, J = 12.6 Hz, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 3.16-3.11 (m, 2H), 2.61-2.53 (m, 1H), 2.40-2.32 (m, 1 H); 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 193.36 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1C), 143.03, 134.19, 131.23, 128.67, 127.83 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 1C), 127.15, 91.21 (d, J = 187.0 Hz, 1C), 30.14 (d, J = 19.0 Hz, 1C), 27.01 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1C); ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃): -190.33~ -190.36 (m, 1F). Its absolute configuration was determined to be R by comparing the optical rotation value with the literature data^[8a]: $\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{25}$ = +64.9 (c = 0.43, *p*-dioxane) for (*R*)-configurated **3d** in the reported literature. Based on this, the absolute configuration of products **3e-k** were tentatively deduced by analogy.

© 2019 SIOC, CAS, Shanghai, & WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

www.cjc.wiley-vch.de

(*R*)-7-Bromo-2-fluoro-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1(2*H*)-one (**3e**): Prepared by the general procedure from **2e** (94.2 mg, 0.3 mmol) and H₂O (5.5 uL, 0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv) with **C4** (36.0 mg, 0.06 mmol, 20 mol%), stirred at 0 °C for 6 d and column chromatography (PE/CH₂Cl₂ = 20:1) afforded the product **3e** in 97% yield as yellow solid.^[31] HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H, ¹PrOH/hexane = 15/85, 1.0 mL/min, 205 nm; t_r (minor) = 10.24 min, t_r (major) = 15.39 min) gave the isomeric composition of the product: 90% ee, $[\alpha]^{26}_{\ D}$ = +28.6 (c = 1.00, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.17 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.64-7.61 (m, 1H), 7.16 (d, *J* 11.2 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (ddd, *J* = 47.7 Hz, *J* = 12.6 Hz, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 3.10-3.05 (m, 2H), 2.58-2.53 (m, 1H), 2.41-2.31 (m, 1 H); ¹³C NMR 00 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 192.09 (d, *J* = 15.0 Hz, 1C), 141.78, 136.98 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1C), 132.73, 130.54, 130.45, 121.20, 90.88 (d, *J* = 184.0 H :, 1C), 29.88 (d, *J* = 19.0 Hz, 1C), 26.55 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1C); ¹⁹F MR (282 MHz, CDCl₃): -190.75~ -190.79 (m, 1F).

(R)-2-Fluoro-7-methoxy-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1(2H)-one (3f): Prepared by the general procedure from **2f** (79.8 mg, 0.3 mmol) and H₂O (5.5 uL, 0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv) with C4 (36.0 mg, 0.06 mmol, 20 mol%), stirred at 0 °C for 6 d and column chromatography (PE/CH₂Cl₂ = 20:1) afforded the product 3f in 95% "eld as white solid.^[32] HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H, [']PrOH/hexane = 15/85, 1.0 mL/min, 205 nm; t_r (minor) = 10.25 min, (major) = 13.92 min) gave the isomeric composition of the product: 88% ee, $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ = +78.4 (c = 1.00, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 7.50 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.18-7.16 (m, 1H), 7 10-7.08 (m, 1H), 5.12 (ddd, J = 48.0 Hz, J = 12.8 Hz, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 3H), 3.07-3.04 (m, 2H), 2.58-2.52 (m, 1H), 2.36-2.29 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 193.35 (d, J = 15.0 z, 1C), 158.67, 135.68, 132.06, 129.94, 122.72, 109.37, 91.33 (d, J = 186.0 Hz, 1C), 55.59, 30.37 (d, J = 19.0 Hz, 1C), 26.26 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1C); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): -190.37 (s, 1F).

(R)-2-Fluoro-5-methoxy-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1(2H)-one (3g): epared by the general procedure from 2g (79.8 mg, 0.3 mmol) and H₂O (5.5 uL, 0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv) with C4 (36.0 mg, 0.06 mmol, 20 mol%), stirred at 0 $^\circ$ C for 7 d and column chromatography (PE/CH₂Cl₂ = 20:1) afforded the product **3g** in 86% vield as white solid.^[33] HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H, [']P OH/hexane = 15/85, 1.0 mL/min, 205 nm; t_r (minor) = 10.34 min, jor) = 12.75 min) gave the isomeric composition of the product: 88% ee, $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ = +60.8 (c = 1.00, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 N Hz, CDCl₃): δ 7.63 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.05 u, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (ddd, J = 48.4 Hz, J = 13.2 Hz, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.28-3.22 (m, 1H), 2.84-2.75 (m, 1 H), 2.59-2.53 (h, 1 H), 2.29-2.23 (m, 1 H); 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 193.75 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1C), 156.70, 132.26, 131.96 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1C), 127.79, 119.07 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1C), 114.89, 91.12 (d, J = 187.0 Hz, 🙄), 55.73 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1C), 29.23 (d, J = 18.0 Hz, 1C), 21.02 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1C); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): -191.00 (s, 1F).

(*R*)-2-Fluoro-6-methoxy-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1(2*H*)-one (**3h**): Prepared by the general procedure from **2h** (79.8 mg, 0.3 mmol) and H₂O (5.5 uL, 0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv) with **C4** (18.0 mg, 0.03 mmol, 10 mol%), stirred at 0 °C for 5 d and column chromatography (PE/CH₂Cl₂ = 20:1) afforded the product **3h** in 83% yield as white solid.^[11b] HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H, ¹PrOH/hexane = 15/85, 1.0 mL/min, 205 nm; t_r (minor) = 21.53 min, t_r (major) = 33.65 min) gave the isomeric composition of the product: 88% ee, $[\alpha]^{26}_{D}$ = +29.0 (c = 1.00, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.04 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 6.89-6.85 (m, 1H), 6.70 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (ddd, *J* = 48.0 Hz, *J* = 12.3 Hz, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.10-3.07 (m, 2H), 2.59-2.50 (m, 1H), 2.41-2.29 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 191.94 (d, *J* = 14.0 Hz, 1C), 164.25, 145.59 (d, *J* = 1.0 Hz, 1C), 130.31 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1C), 124.67, 113.82, 112.51, 90.94 (d, *J* = 185.0 Hz, 1C), 55.55 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1C), 30.13 (d, *J* = 19.0 Hz, 1C), 27.23 (d, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 1C); ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃): -190.33~ -190.40 (m, 1F).

(R)-6-Fluoro-6,7,8,9-tetrahydro-5H-benzo[7]annulen-5-one (3i): Prepared by the general procedure from 2i (75.0 mg, 0.3 mmol) and H₂O (5.5 uL, 0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv) with C4 (36.0 mg, 0.06 mmol, 20 mol%), stirred at 0 °C to rt for 10 d and column chromatography ($PE/CH_2CI_2 = 20:1$) afforded the product **3i** in 61% vield as yellow oil.^[33] HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H, ¹PrOH/hexane = 15/85, 1.0 mL/min, 205 nm; tr (minor) = 7.20 min, tr (major) = 8.01 min) gave the isomeric composition of the product: 80% ee, $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ = -5.1 (c = 0.80, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.75 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.45-7.41 (m, 1H), 7.34-7.30 (m, 1H), 7.22 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (ddd, J = 56.4 Hz, J = 12.8 Hz, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.02-2.94 (m, 2H), 2.38-2.30 (m, 1H), 2.15-1.92 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 200.31 (d, J = 19.0 Hz, 1C), 141.89, 135.93, 132.38, 130.17, 129.26 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 1C), 126.79, 94.81 (d, J = 183.0 Hz, 1C), 34.30, 30.61 (d, J = 21.0 Hz, 1C), 23.00 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1C); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): -182.63 (s, 1F).

(R)-4,4-Diethyl-6-fluorocyclohex-2-en-1-one (3j): Prepared by the general procedure from 2i (72.6 mg, 0.3 mmol) and H₂O (5.5 uL, 0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv) with C4 (36.0 mg, 0.06 mmol, 20 mol%), stirred at 0 °C for 7 d and column chromatography (PE/CH₂Cl₂ = 20:1) afforded the product 3j in 91% yield as yellow solid. HPLC analysis (Chiralpak OD-H, 'PrOH/hexane = 3/97, 1.0 mL/min, 205 nm; t_r (minor) = 10.85 min, t_r (major) = 11.58 min) gave the isomeric composition of the product: 81% ee, $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ = +50.8 (c = 1.00, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.67 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 5.94 (dd, J = 10.4 Hz, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 5.08 (ddd, J = 48.4 Hz, J = 13.2 Hz, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.24-2.18 (m, 1H), 2.06-1.97 (m, 1H), 1.66-1.46 (m, 4H), 0.95 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 0.85 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 194.71 (d, J = 14.0 Hz, 1C), 158.69, 126.08, 88.10 (d, J = 185.0 Hz, 1C), 41.79 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1C), 36.69 (d, J = 17.0 Hz, 1C), 31.48, 30.04, 8.82, 7.95; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): -194.38 (s, 1F); IR (neat): 3302, 2970, 2854, 2168, 1728, 1493, 1463, 752; HRMS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C₁₀H₁₅FNaO [M+Na]⁺: 193.0999, Found: 193.0999.

(*R*)-6-Fluoro-4,4-dimethylcyclohex-2-en-1-one (**3k**): Prepared by the general procedure from **2k** (64.2 mg, 0.3 mmol) and H₂O (5.5 uL, 0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv) with **C4** (36.0 mg, 0.06 mmol, 20 mol%), stirred at 0 °C for 7 d and column chromatography (PE/CH₂Cl₂ = 20:1) afforded the product **3k** in 68% yield as white solid.^[10a] HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H, ⁱPrOH/hexane = 15/85, 1.0 mL/min, 205 nm; t_r (major) = 11.60 min, t_r (minor) = 13.52 min) gave the isomeric composition of the product: 91% ee, $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$ = +1.7 (c = 0.36, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.64 (d, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 5.84-5.80 (m, 1H), 5.04 (ddd, *J* = 47.6 Hz, *J* = 13.2 Hz,

© 2019 SIOC, CAS, Shanghai, & WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.25-2.19 (m, 1H), 2.06-1.98 (m, 1H), 1.23 (s, 3H), 1.18 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 194.40 (d, *J* = 14.0 Hz, 1C), 159.71, 124.36, 87.98 (d, *J* = 185.0 Hz, 1C), 42.26 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1C), 35.47 (d, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 1C), 30.47, 26.11; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): -195.74 (s, 1F).

Running title

2-Fluoro-1-phenylbutan-1-one (**3l**): Prepared by the general procedure from **2l** (71.4 mg, 0.3 mmol, *E/Z* > 20:1)^[34] and H₂O (5.5 uL, 0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv) with **C4** (36.0 mg, 0.06 mmol, 20 mol%), stirred at 25 °C for 5 d and column chromatography (PE/CH₂Cl₂ = 20:1) afforded the product **3l** in 83% yield as yellow oil.^[35] HPLC nalysis (Chiralpak AS-H, ^{*i*}PrOH/hexane = 20/80, 1.0 mL/min, 205 nm; t_r (minor) = 4.52 min, t_r (major) = 5.70 min) gave the isomeric omposition of the product: 72% ee, $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$ = -7.5 (c = 0.80, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.96 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.62-7.58 (m, H), 7.50-7.46 (m, 2H), 5.52 (ddd, *J* = 49.2 Hz, *J* = 7.6 Hz, *J* = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 2.11-1.93 (m, 2H), 1.08 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 196.87 (d, *J* = 19.0 Hz, 1C), 134.43, 133.76, 128.86 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1C), 128.75, 94.80 (d, *J* = 182.0 Hz, 1C), 26.13 (d, *J* = 22.0 Hz, 1C), 9.08; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): -191.12 (s, 1F).

(R)-2-Fluoro-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one-2-d (3m): Prepared by the general procedure from 2a (65.4 mg, 0.3 mmol) and D_2O (5.5 uL, 0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv) with C4 (9.0 mg, 0.015 mmol, 5 mol%) in MeOD (3 mL), stirred at 25 °C for 1.5 d and column hromatography ($PE/CH_2Cl_2 = 20:1$) afforded the product **3m** in 98% yield with 95% D at α -atom as a white solid (m.p. 50-51 °C). HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H, 'PrOH/hexane = 10/90, 1.0 mL/min, 230 rm; t_r (minor) = 11.04 min, t_r (major) =13.41 min) gave the isomeric composition of the product: 90% ee, $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ = -8.6 (c = 0.5, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.79 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), .68-7.64 (m, 1H), 7.47-7.41 (m, 2H), 5.26 (ddd, J = 50.8 Hz, J = 7.6 Hz, J = 4.4 Hz, 0.06H), 3.62 (dd, J = 17.2 Hz, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.22 (dd, J = 23.2 Hz, J = 17.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 199.95 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1C), 149.74 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1C), 136.38, 33.95, 128.45, 126.84 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1C), 124.76 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1C), 90.29-88.94 (m, 1C), 33.36 (d, J = 21.0 Hz, 1C); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): -194.58 (t, 1F). GC-MS: 152 (M⁺, 10), 151 (100), 123 (60), 102 (23), 97 (13), 76 (31), 63 (6), 50 (12); HRMS (EI): Exact mass calcd for C₉H₆DFO [M]⁺: 151.0544, Found: 151.0546.

(R)-2-Fluoro-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1(2H)-one-2-d (**3n**): red by the general procedure from 2d (70.8 mg, 0.3 mmol) and D₂O (5.5 uL, 0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv) with C4 (9.0 mg, 0.015 mmol, 10 mol%) in MeOD (3 mL), stirred at 0 °C for 8 d and column chromatography ($PE/CH_2Cl_2 = 20:1$) afforded the product **3n** in 57% yield with 92% D at α -atom as brown oil. HPLC analysis Chiralpak AD-H, 'PrOH/hexane = 5/95, 1.0 mL/min, 205 nm; t_r (minor) = 9.28 min, t_r (major) = 9.84 min) gave the isomeric composition of the product: 90% ee, $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ = +55.5 (c = 0.5, CHCl₃); H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.07 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (ddd, J 48.4 Hz, J = 12.8 Hz, J = 5.2 Hz, 0.11H), 3.15-3.12 (m, 2H), 2.61-2.54 (m, 1H), 2.40-2.33 (m, 1H); 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 193.39 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1C), 143.05, 134.19, 131.25, 128.67, 127.83 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1C), 127.15, 91.93-89.62 (m, 1C), 30.03 (d, J = 19.0 Hz, 1C), 26.97 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1C); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): -191.10 (t, 1F). GC-MS: 165 (M⁺, 89), 134 (8), 118 (100), 109 (3), 90 (98), 77 (5), 63 (22), 51 (11); HRMS (EI): Exact mass calcd for $C_{10}H_8 DFO~[M]^{+}$: 165.0700, Found: 165.0699.

2-Chloro-2,3-dihydro-1*H*-inden-1-one (**3o**): Prepared by the general procedure from **2o** (71.4 mg, 0.3 mmol) and H₂O (5.5 uL, 0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv) with **C4** (36.0 mg, 0.06 mmol, 20 mol%), stirred at 0 °C for 3 d and column chromatography (PE/CH₂Cl₂ = 20:1) afforded the product **3o** in 81% yield as yellow oil.^[36] HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H, ^{*i*}PrOH/hexane = 20/80, 1.0 mL/min, 205 nm; t_r = 8.51 min, t_r = 12.30 min) gave the isomeric composition of the product: 0% ee; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.80 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.68-7.63 (m, 1H), 7.46-7.39 (m, 2H), 4.55 (dd, *J* = 7.8 Hz, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 3.81-3.73 (m, 1H), 3.31-3.24 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 199.27, 150.79, 136.11, 133.82, 128.35, 126.44, 124.99, 55.76, 37.55.

2-(Trifluoromethyl)-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1(2*H*)-one (**3p**): Prepared by the general procedure from **2p** (85.8 mg, 0.3 mmol) and H₂O (5.5 uL, 0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv) with **C4** (36.0 mg, 0.06 mmol, 20 mol%), stirred at 0 °C for 3 d and column chromatography (PE/CH₂Cl₂ = 20:1 to 10:1) afforded the product **3p** in 99% yield as yellow oil.^[37] HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H, 'PrOH/hexane = 20/80, 1.0 mL/min, 254 nm; t_r = 5.19 min, t_r = 6.70 min) gave the isomeric composition of the product: 0% ee; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.06 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.32-3.22 (m, 1H), 3.14-3.03 (m, 2H), 2.53-2.47 (m, 1H), 2.32-2.22 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 190.23, 143.11, 134.20, 131.94, 128.80, 127.83, 127.10, 125.11 (q, *J* = 278.0 Hz, 1C), 50.90 (q, *J* = 26.0 Hz, 1C), 27.53, 23.44; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): -67.54 (s, 1F).

Supporting Information

The supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/cjoc.2018xxxxx.

Acknowledgement

We thank the financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21725203).

References

 For reviews, see: (a) Ojima, I. Fluorine in Medicinal Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Blackwell Publishing Ltd, 2009; (b) Müller, K.; Faeh, C.; Diederich, F. Fluorine in Pharmaceuticals: Looking Beyond Intuition. Science 2007, 317, 1881–1886; (c) Purser, S.; Moore, P. R.; Swallow, S.; Gouverneur, V. Fluorine in medicinal chemistry. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 320–330; (d) O'Hagan, D. Understanding organofluorine chemistry. An introduction to the C–F bond. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 308–319; (e) Wang, J.; Sánchez-Roselló, M.; Aceña, J. L.; Pozo, C.; Sorochinsky, A. E.; Fustero, S.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Liu, H. Fluorine in Pharmaceutical Industry: Fluorine-Containing Drugs Introduced to the Market in the Last Decade (2001–2011). Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 2432–2506. (f) Zhou, Y.; Wang, J.; Gu, Z.; Wang, S.; Zhu, W.; Aceña, J. L.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Izawa, K.; Liu, H. Next Generation

© 2019 SIOC, CAS, Shanghai, & WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

www.cjc.wiley-vch.de

of Fluorine-Containing Pharmaceuticals, Compounds Currently in Phase II–III Clinical Trials of Major Pharmaceutical Companies: New Structural Trends and Therapeutic Areas. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 422–518.

- [2] (a) Pesenti, C.; Viani, F. The Influence of Fluorinated Molecules (Semiochemicals and Enzyme Substrate Analogues) on the Insect Communication System. *ChemBioChem.* 2004, *5*, 590; (b) Bégué, J.-P.; Bonnet-Delpon, D. *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry of Fluorine* Wiley, Hoboken, 2008.
- [3] For selected reviews, see: (a) Ma, J.-A.; Cahard, D. Update 1 of: Asymmetric Fluorination, Trifluoromethylation, and Perfluoroalkylation Reactions. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, PR1-PR43; (b) Zhu, Y.; Han, J.; Wang, J.; Shibata, N.; Sodeoka, M.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Coelho, J. A. S.; Toste, F. D. Modern Approaches for Asymmetric Construction of Carbon-Fluorine Quaternary Stereogenic Centers: Synthetic Challenges and Pharmaceutical Needs. Chem. Rev. 2018, 118, 3887-3964; (c) Zhu, J.; Zheng, H.; Xue, X.-S.; Xiao, Y.; Liu, Y.; Shen, Q. Carbon-Selective Difluoromethylation of Soft Carbon Nucleophiles with Difluoromethylated Sulfonium Ylide. Chin. J. Chem. 2018, 36, 1069-1074; (d) Chen, C.; Fu, L.; Chen, P.; Liu, G. Recent Advances and Perspectives of Transition Metal Catalyzed Asymmetric Fluorination Reactions. Chin. J. Chem. 2017, 35, 1781-1788; (e) Xu, Z.-F.; Jiang, M.; Liu, J.-T. Preparation and Reactions of Perfluoroalkanesulfenyl Chlorides. Chin. J. Chem. 2017, 35, 442-446; (f) Mei, H.; Liu, J.; Fustero, S.; Román, R.; Ruzziconi, R.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Han, J. Chemistry of Detrifluoroacetylatively in situ Generated Fuoro-enolates. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2019, 17, 762-775; (g) Gong, Y.; Yu, J.-S.; Hao, Y.-J.; Zhou, Y.; Zhou, J. Catalytic Enantioselective Aldol-Type Reaction Using α -Fluorinated Enolates. Asian J. Org. Chem. 2019, 8.610-626.
- [4] (a) Schwartz, A. G.; Pashko, L. L. Dehydroepiandrosterone, glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, and longevity. *Ageing Res. Rev.* 2004, *3*, 171-187; b) Shutske, G. M. Synthesis of 12-Bromo-, 12-Chloro- and 12-Fluoro-forskolin. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 1 1989, 1544-1546; (c) Denmark, S. E.; Matsuhashi, H. Chiral Fluoro Ketones for Catalytic Asymmetric Epoxidation of Alkenes with Oxone. *J. Org. Chem.* 2002, *67*, 3479-3486; (d) McAtee, J. J.; Schinazi, R. F.; Liotta, D. C. A Completely Diastereoselective ectrophilic Fluorination of a Chiral, Noncarbohydrate Sugar Ring Precursor: Application to the Synthesis of Several Novel 2'-Fluoronucleosides. *J. Org. Chem.* 1998, *63*, 2161-2167.
 - For selected leading examples for electrophilic fluorinations, see: (a) Hintermann, L.; Togni, A. Catalytic Enantioselective Fluorination of β-Ketoesters. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 4359-4362; (b) Beeson, T. D.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Enantioselective Organocatalytic α -Fluorination of Aldehydes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 8826-8828; (c) Wang, L.; Meng, W.; Zhu, C.-L.; Zheng, Y.; Nie, J.; Ma, J.-A. The Long-Arm Effect: Influence of Axially Chiral Phosphoramidite Ligands on the Diastereoand Enantioselectivity of the Tandem 1,4-Addition/Fluorination. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9442-9446; (d) Rauniyar, V.; Lackner, A. D.; Hamilton, G. L.; Toste, F. D. Asymmetric Electrophilic Fluorination Using an Anionic Chiral Phase-Transfer Catalyst. Science 2011, 334, 1681-1684; (e)

You, Y.; Zhang, L.; Luo, S. Reagent-controlled enantioselectivity switch for the asymmetric fluorination of β -ketocarbonyls by chiral primary amine catalysis. Chem. Sci. 2017, 8, 621-626. For asymmetric fluorination using nucleophilic fluorinated reagents, see: (f) Suzuki, S.; Kamo, T.; Fukushi, K.; Hiramatsu, T.; Tokunaga, E.; Dohi, T.; Kita, Y.; Shibata, N. Iodoarene-catalyzed fluorination and aminofluorination by an Ar-I/HF·pyridine/mCPBA system. Chem. Sci. 2014, 5, 2754–2760; (g) Pluta, R.; Krach, P. E.; Cavallo, L.; Falivene, L.; Rueping, M. Metal-Free Catalytic Asymmetric Fluorination of Keto Esters Using a Combination of Hydrogen Fluoride (HF) and Oxidant: Experiment and Computation. ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 2582-2588. For the selected examples for the functionalization of α -fluoroenolate equivalents, see: (h) Mohr, J. T.; Behenna, D. C.; Harned, A. M.; Stoltz, B. M. Deracemization of Quaternary Stereocenters by Pd-Catalyzed Enantioconvergent Decarboxylative Allylation of Racemic β -Ketoesters. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 6924-6927; (i) Wang, W.; Shen, H.; Wan, X.-L.; Chen, Q.-Y.; Guo, Y. Enantioselective Pd-Catalyzed Allylation of Acyclic α-Fluorinated Ketones. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 6347-6353; (j) Huang, Y.; Zhang, Q.-S.; Fang, P.; Chen, T.-G.; Zhu, J.; Hou, X.-L. Pd-catalyzed highly regio-, diastereo-, and $enantioselective \ \ allylic \ \ alkylation \ \ of \ \ \alpha-fluorophosphonates.$ Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 6751-6753; (k) Xie, C.; Wu, L.; Han, J.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Pan, Y. Assembly of Fluorinated Quaternary Stereogenic Centers through Catalytic Enantioselective Detrifluoroacetylative Aldol Reactions. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 6019-6023; (I) Liu, Y.-Z.; Shang, S.-J.; Yang, W.-L.; Luo, X.; Deng, W.-P. Stereoselective Synthesis of Pyrrolidines Containing a 3-Fluoro Quaternary Stereocenter via Copper(I)-Catalyzed Asymmetric 1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddition. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 82, 11141-11149. For the selected examples for the functionalization of other α -fluorinated substrates: (m) Vo, N. T.; Pace, R. D. M.; O'Hara, F.; Gaunt, M. J. An Enantioselective Organocatalytic Oxidative Dearomatization Strategy. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 404-405; (n) Tarui, A.; Nishimura, H.; Ikebata, T.; Tahira, A.; Sato, K.; Omote, M.; Minami, H.; Miwa, Y.; Ando, A. Ligand-Promoted Asymmetric Imino-Reformatsky Reaction of Ethyl Dibromofluoroacetate. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2080-2083.

[6] For selected examples, see: (a) Saidalimu, I.; Fang, X.; He, X.-P.; Liang, J.; Yang, X.; Wu, F. Highly Enantioselective Construction of 3-Hydroxy Oxindoles through a Decarboxylative Aldol Addition of Trifluoromethyl α -Fluorinated gem-Diols to N-Benzyl Isatins. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 5566-5570; (b) Saadi, J.; Wennemers, H. Enantioselective aldol reactions with masked fluoroacetates. Nat. Chem. 2016, 8, 276-280; (c) Li, Y.-L.; Wang, X.-L.; Xiao, D.; Liu, M.-Y.; Du, Y.; Deng, J. Organocatalytic Biomimetic Decarboxylative Aldol Reaction of Fluorinated β-Keto Acids with Unprotected Isatins. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2018, 360, 4147-4152; (d) Zhong, G.; Fan, J.; Barbas III, C. F. Amino alcohol catalyzed direct asymmetric aldol reactions: enantioselective synthesis of anti- α -fluoro- β -hydroxy ketones. Tetrahrdron Lett. 2004, 45, 5681-5684; (e) Xu, X.-Y.; Wang, Y.-Z.; Gong, L.-Z. Design of Organocatalysts for Asymmetric Direct Syn-Aldol Reactions. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4247-4249; (f) Marigo, M.; Fielenbach, D.; Braunton, A.; Kjærsgaard, A.; Jørgensen, K. A.

© 2019 SIOC, CAS, Shanghai, & WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Chin. J. Chem. 2019, 37, XXX-XXX

Enantioselective Formation of Stereogenic Carbon-Fluorine Centers by a Simple Catalytic Method. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 3703-3706; (g) Steiner, D. D.; Mase, N.; Barbas III, C. F. Direct Asymmetric α-Fluorination of Aldehydes. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 3706-3710; (h) Enders, D.; Hüttl, M. R. M. Direct Organocatalytic α -Fluorination of Aldehydes and Ketones. Synlett, 2005, 991-993; (i) Han, J.; Lu, Z.; Flach, A. L.; Paton, R. S.; Hammond, G. B.; Xu, B. Role of Hydrogen-Bonding Acceptors in Organo-Enamine Catalysis. Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 11687-11691; (j) Brewitz, L.; Arteaga, F. A.; Yin, L.; Alagiri, K.; Kumagai, N.; Shibasaki, M. Direct Catalytic Asymmetric Mannich-Type Reaction of α - and β -Fluorinated Amides. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 15929-15939; (k) Sun, B.; Balaji, P. V.; Kumagai, N.; Shibasaki, M. α-Halo Amides as Competent Latent Enolates: Direct Catalytic Asymmetric Mannich-Type Reaction. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 8295-8301. Also see ref: 8a-c.

- [7] For reviews for enantioselective protonations, see: (a) Fehr, C. Enantioselective Protonation of Enolates and Enols. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 2566-2587; (b) Yanagisawa, A.; Ishihara, K.; Yamamoto, H. Asymmetric Protonations of Enol Derivations. Synlett 1997, 411-420; (c) Eames, J.; Weerasooriya, N. Recent advances into the enantioselective protonation of prostereogenic enol derivatives. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2001, 12, 1-24; (d) Duhamel, L.; Duhamel, P.; Plaquevent, J.-C. Enantioselective protonations: fundamental insights and new concepts. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2004, 15, 3653-3691; (e) Blanchet, J.; Baudoux, J.; Amere, M.; Lasne, M.-C.; Rouden, J. Asymmetric Malonic and Acetoacetic Acid Syntheses-A Century of Enantioselective Decarboxylative Protonations. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 5493-5506; (f) Mohr, J. T.; Hong, A. Y.; Stoltz, B. M. enantioselective protonation. Nat. Chem. 2009, 1, 359-369; (g) Oudeyer, S.; Brière, J.-F.; Levacher, V. Progress in Catalytic Asymmetric Protonation. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 6103–6119.
- [a) Baur, M. A.; Riahi, A.; Hénin, F.; Muzart, J. Catalytic asymmetric protonation of fluoro-enolic species: access to optically active 2-fluoro-1-tetralone. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2003, *14*, 2755-2761; (b) Mohr, J. T.; Nishimata, T.; Behenna, D. C.; Stoltz, B. M. Catalytic Enantioselective Decarboxylative Protonation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006, *128*, 11348-11349; (c) Poisson, T.; Oudeyer, S.; Dalla, V.; Marsais, F.; Levacher, V. Straightforward Organocatalytic Enantioselective Protonation of Silyl Enolates by Means of Cinchona Alkaloids and Carboxylic Acids. *Synlett*, 2008, 2447-2450; (d) Poisson, T.; Gembus, V.; Dalla, V.; Oudeyer, S.; Levacher, V. Organocatalyzed Enantioselective Protonation of Silyl Enol Ethers: Scope, Limitations, and Application to the Preparation of Enantioenriched Homoisoflavones. *J. Org. Chem.* 2010, *75*, 7704-7716.
- [9] For a review: Decostanzi, M.; Campagne, J. M.; Leclerc, E. Fluorinated enol ethers: their synthesis and reactivity. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 7351-7380.
- [0] (a) Bélanger, É.; Cantin, K.; Messe, O.; Tremblay, M.; Paquin, J.-F. Enantioselective Pd-Catalyzed Allylation Reaction of Fluorinated Silyl Enol Ethers. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 1034-1035; (b) Bélanger, É.; Pouliot, M.-F.; Courtemanche, M.-A.; Paquin, J.-F. Design, Synthesis, and Applications of Potential Substitutes of

t-Bu-Phosphinooxazoline in Pd-Catalyzed Asymmetric Transformations and Their Use for the Improvement of the Enantioselectivity in the Pd-Catalyzed Allylation Reaction of Fluorinated Allyl Enol Carbonates. *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *77*, 317–331.

- [11] (a) Guo, Y.; Shreeve, J. M. Effect of fluorine on palladium catalyzed cross-coupling reactions of aryl bromides with trifluoromethyl aryl ketones via difluoroenol silyl or monofluoroenol silyl ethers. *Chem. Commun.* 2007, 3583-3585;
 (b) Guo, Y.; Tao, G.-H.; Blumenfeld, A.; Shreeve, J. M. Impact of Silyl Enol Ether Stability on Palladium-Catalyzed Arylations. *Organometallics*, 2010, *29*, 1818–1823.
- [12] (a) Liu, Y.-L.; Liao, F.-M.; Niu, Y.-F.; Zhao, X.-L.; Zhou, J. Highly stereoselective construction of adjacent tetrasubstituted carbon stereogenic centres via an organocatalytic Mukaiyama-aldol reaction of monofluorinated silyl enol ethers to isatins. *Org. Chem. Front.* 2014, *1*, 742-747; (b) Liao, F.-M.; Gao, X.-T.; Hu, X.-S.; Xie, S.-L.; Zhou, J. A general and efficient Lewis acid catalysed Mukaiyama-aldol reaction of difluoroenoxysilanes and ketones. *Sci. Bull.* 2017, *62*, 1504-1509.
- [13] (a) Yu, J.-S.; Liao, F.-M.; Gao, W.-M.; Liao, K.; Zuo, R.-L.; Zhou, J. Michael Addition Catalyzed by Chiral Secondary Amine Phosphoramide Using Fluorinated Silyl Enol Ethers: Formation of Quaternary Carbon Stereocenters. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2015, 54, 7381-7385; (b) Hao, Y.-J.; Hu, X.-S.; Yu, J.-S.; Zhou, F.; Zhou, Y.; Zhou, J. An efficient Fe(III)-catalyzed 1,6-conjugate addition of para-quinone methides with fluorinated silyl enol ethers toward β,β-diaryl α-fluorinated ketones. *Tetrahedron* 2018, 74, 7395-7398.
- [14] (a) Yu, J.-S.; Zhou, J. Organocatalytic enantioselective Mukaiyama –Mannich reaction of fluorinated enol silyl ethers and cyclic N-sulfonyl ketimines. *Org. Chem. Front.* 2016, *3*, 298-303; (b) Hu, X.-S.; Du, Y.; Yu, J.-S.; Liao, F.-M.; Ding, P.-G.; Zhou, J. A Highly Efficient Gold(I)-Catalyzed Mukaiyama–Mannich Reaction of α-Amino Sulfones with Fluorinated Silyl Enol Ethers To Give β-Amino α-Fluorinated Ketones. *Synlett* 2017, *28*, 2194-2198; (c) Hu, X.-S.; Yu, J.-S.; Gong, Y.; Zhou, J. Internally reuse by-product as promoter: A catalyst-free imine formation/Mukaiyama– Mannich sequence of α-amido sulfones with fluorinated silyl enol ethers. *J. Fluorine Chem.* 2019, *219*, 106–114.
- [15] (a) Liao, F.-M.; Cao, Z.-Y.; Yu, J.-S.; Zhou, J. Highly Stereoselective Gold-Catalyzed Coupling of Diazo Reagents and Fluorinated Enol Silyl Ethers to Tetrasubstituted Alkenes. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 2459-2463; (b) Liao F.-M.; Du, Y.; Zhou, F.; Zhou, J. Au(I)/Chiral Tertiary Amine Catalyzed Tandem Olefination/Asymmetric Cyclization Reaction to Quaternary Spirocyclic Oxindoles. *Acta Chim. Sinica* **2018**, *76*, 862-858.
- [16] For our related works using difluorinated silyl enol ethers, see: (a) Liu, Y.-L.; Zhou, J. Organocatalytic asymmetric synthesis of 3-difluoroalkyl 3-hydroxyoxindoles. *Chem. Commun.* 2012, 48, 1919-1921; (b) Liu, Y.-L.; Zhou, J. Highly Enantioselective Organocatalytic Asymmetric Mukaiyama-aldol Reaction of Difluoroenoxysilanes with β,γ-Unsaturated α-Ketoesters. *Acta Chim. Sinica.* 2012, 70, 1451-1456; (c) Liu, Y.-L.; Zeng, X.-P.; Zhou, J. Ethylene Glycol: A Powerful Catalyst-Free Medium for C-C Bond-Forming Reactions. *Chem. Asian J.* 2012, 7, 1759-1763; (d)

www.cjc.wiley-vch.de

Report

Yu, J.-S.; Liu, Y.-L.; Tang, J.; Wang, X.; Zhou, J. Highly Efficient "On Water" Catalyst-Free Nucleophilic Addition Reactions Using Difluoroenoxysilanes: Dramatic Fluorine Effects. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 9512-9516; (e) Liao, F.-M.; Liu, Y.-L.; Yu, J.-S.; Zhou, F.; Zhou, J. An efficient catalyst-free Mukaiyama-aldol reaction of fluorinated enol silyl ethers with tryptanthrin. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2015**, *13*, 8906-8911; (f) Yu, J.-S.; Zhou, J. A highly efficient Mukaiyama–Mannich reaction of *N*-Boc isatin ketimines and other active cyclic ketimines using difluoroenol silyl ethers catalyzed by Ph₃PAuOTf. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2015**, *13*, 10968-10972.

- [17] Water was identified to be best proton source, because several other proton sources all gave inferior outcomes in CF₃CH₂OH under other same condition. Typical results for the investigation of proton sources as illustrated below: H_2O (89% ee); PhOH (72% ee); citric acid (9% ee); PhCO₂H (3% ee); AcOH (14% ee).
- [18] Along with the formation of product 3c, an aldol reaction of silyl enol ether 2c with product 3c, would be take place in this case, which afforded a byproduct A with 54% yield, 1.5:1 dr and 81% ee (major).



$$\begin{array}{c} \text{OTMS} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{CF}_3 \\ \textbf{2p} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} H_2 O \\ (1.0 \text{ equiv}) \end{array} \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c} \textbf{C4} (20 \text{ mol}\%) \\ \hline \\ \textbf{CF}_3 CH_2 OH, 0 \ ^\circ C, 3 \text{ d} \\ \hline \\ \text{Standard condition} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c} \textbf{O} \\ \textbf{F}_3 \\ \textbf{F}_3 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} CF_3 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{F}_3 \\$$

- [20] Mohanta, P. K.; Davis, T. A.; Gooch, J. R.; Flowers, II, R. A. Chelation-Controlled Diastereoselective Reduction of α-Fluoroketones. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 11896-11897.
- [21] Supplementary crystallographic data have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center (CCDC: 1904673).
 [22] Conformation and Logitical Activity.
- 221 See Experimental section for details.
- [23] (a) DeWitt, S. H.; Maryanoff, B. E. Deuterated Drug Molecules: Focus on FDA-Approved Deutetrabenazine. *Biochemistry* 2018, 57, 472–473; (b) Schmidt, C. First Deuterated Drug Approved. *Nature Biotech.* 2017, 35, 493-494.
- [24] Cheetham, S. C.; DeWitt, S. H.; Dickinson, K.; Jacques, V.; Van der Ploeg, L. H.; Vickers, S. Efficacy of DRX-065, the stabilized *R*-enantiomer of pioglitazone (pio), in choline-deficient (CD) and methionine/choline-deficient (MCD) diet mouse models of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), AASLD 2016 Late Breaking Poster (Nov 2016). For the poster, see: <u>http://deuterx.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/Poster-AASLD</u> -2016-LB-32-Poster-DeuteRx-Nov-2016.pdf.
- [25] Jacques, V.; Czarnik, A. W.; Judge, T. M.; Van der Ploeg, L. H. T.; DeWitt, S. H. Differentiation of antiinflammatory and antitumorigenic properties of stabilized enantiomers of

thalidomide analogs, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2015, 112, E1471-E1479.

- [26] Zhao, Y.; Lim, X.; Pan, Y.; Zong, L.; Feng, W.; Tan, C.-H.; Huang, K.-W. Asymmetric H-D exchange reactions of fluorinated aromatic ketones. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 5479–5481.
- [27] For a leading review, see: Hao, Y.-J.; Yu, J.-S.; Zhou, Y.; Wang, X.; Zhou, J. Influence of C-F. H-X Interactions on Organic Reactions. *Acta Chim. Sinica.* **2018**, *76*, 925–939.
- [28] Zhang, Z.; Schreiner, P. R. (Thio)urea organocatalysis-What can be learnt from anion recognition? *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2009, *38*, 1187-1198.
- [29] Stavber, S.; Šket, B.; Zajc, B.; Zupan, M. Chemistry of organo halogenic molecules part 100. Comparative behaviour of xenon difluoride and caesium fluoroxysulphate in the fluorination of enol acetates and ketones. *Tetrahedron* **1989**, *45*, 6003-6010.
- [30] Reddy, A. S.; Laali, K. K. Mild and selective α -fluorination of carbonyl compounds (ketones, 1,3-diketones, β -ketoesters, α -nitroketones, and β -ketonitriles) with Selectfluor (F-TEDA-BF₄) in imidazolium ILs [BMIM/PF₆ or BMIM/NTf₂] with Brønsted-acidic IL [PMIM(SO₃H)/OTf] as promoter. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2015**, *56*, 5495-5499.
- [31] Zhao, Y.; Pan, Y.; Liu, H.; Yang, Y.; Jiang, Z.; Tan, C-H. Fluorinated Aromatic Ketones as Nucleophiles in the Asymmetric Organocatalytic Formation of C-C and C-N Bonds: A Facile Route to the Construction of Fluorinated Quaternary Stereogenic Centers. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2011, *17*, 3571-3574.
- [32] Stavber, S.; Jereb, M.; Zupan, M. Solvent directing immediate fluorination of aromatic ketones using 1-fluoro-4-hydroxy-1,4-diazoniabicyclo[2.2.2]octane bis(tetrafluoroborate). Chem. Commun. 2000, 14, 1323-1324.
- [33] Stavber, S.; Jereb, M.; Zupan, M. Direct α-Fluorination of Ketones Using N-F Reagents. Synthesis 2002, 2609-2615.
- [34] Wang, W.; Chen, Q.-Y.; Guo, Y. TiCl₄-Promoted Mukaiyama Aldol Reaction of Fluorinated Silyl Enolates for the Construction of a Quaternary Carbon Center. *Synlett* **2011**, *18*, 2705-2708.
- [35] Kimpe, N. D.; Verhé, R.; Buyck, L. D.; Schamp, D. Regiospecific synthesis of α-ketoacetals by rearrangement of α-bromo-α-fluoroketones. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1980**, *21*, 2257-2260.
- [36] Park, Y.-D.; Kim, J.-J.; Cho, S.-D.; Lee, S.-G.; Falck, R.; Yoon, Y.-J.
 2-Chloropyridazin-3(2H)-ones as Electrophilic Chlorinating Agents: Effective α-Chlorination of Active Methylene/Methine Compounds. Synthesis 2005, 1136-1140.
- [37] Li, L., Chen, Q.-Y.; Guo, Y. Synthesis of α-Trifluoromethyl Ketones via the Cu-Catalyzed Trifluoromethylation of Silyl Enol Ethers Using an Electrophilic Trifluoromethylating Agent. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 5145–5152.

(The following will be filled in by the editorial staff) Manuscript received: XXXX, 2019 Manuscript revised: XXXX, 2019 Manuscript accepted: XXXX, 2019 Accepted manuscript online: XXXX, 2019 Version of record online: XXXX, 2019

Entry for the Table of Contents



^a Department, Institution, Address 1 E-mail:

^b Department, Institution, Address 2 E-mail: ^c Department, Institution, Address 3 E-mail:

© 2018 SIOC, CAS, Shanghai, & WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

