# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

#### Tetrahedron Letters xxx (xxxx) xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



**Tetrahedron Letters** 

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet

# Enantioselective Friedel-Crafts alkylation of indole with nitroalkenes in the presence of bifunctional squaramide organocatalysts

# Esra Dündar, Cihangir Tanyeli\*

Department of Chemistry, Middle East Technical University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 12 March 2021 Revised 29 April 2021 Accepted 3 May 2021 Available online xxxx

Keywords: Asymmetric synthesis Organocatalysis Squaramide organocatalyst Friedel-Crafts alkylation Indole

#### ABSTRACT

A series of chiral bifunctional quinine and 2-aminoDMAP based squaramide organocatalysts are evaluated in Friedel-Crafts alkylation of indoles with nitroolefins. These 3-substituted indole derivatives are synthesized in the presence of sterically encumbered *tert*-butyl squaramide/quinine with high enantioselectivity (up to >99% ee) and moderate chemical yields (up to 80%) by representing as chiral precursors for very important biologically active molecules. Besides, this asymmetric transformation provides a very simple, efficient, clean and environmental friendly route. In addition, this process has very mild reaction conditions such as ambient temperature and usage of only 2 mol% catalyst loading compared to previous studies in literature.

© 2021 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

### Introduction

The Friedel-Crafts alkylation is one of the most substantial reaction type to construct a new C–C bond in organic chemistry [1]. Due to indole's unique structure in pharmaceutical environment [2], and chemical diversity of nitro unit of nitroalkenes [3], these compounds are precious starting materials for many synthetic transformations. The Friedel-Crafts alkylation of indole with nitroalkenes is a key reaction in order to get 3-substituted indole derivatives which are useful intermediates for biologically active compounds such as tryptamines [4], and 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro- $\beta$ -carbolines [5]. The asymmetric version of this reaction is catalyzed mostly by the combination of ligands and metal complexes [6], charged organocatalysts [7] and inorganic materials [8]. Moreover, the organocatalysis which environmental friendly side was tested firstly by Ricci [9] et al. in 2005 in the presence of a simple thiourea organocatalyst with the usage of 20 mol% and synthesized 3-substitued indole derivatives with high enantioselectivities (up to 89% ee) at -24 °C. In the same year, this asymmetric Friedel-Crafts alkylation reaction was catalyzed with H-bonding bis-sulfonamides by Jorgensen [10] and his co-workers. They reached up to only 63% ee in the presence of 2 mol% sulfonamides at -24 °C and then, made recrystallization in order to increase the enantioselectivities. In 2006, bis-aryl thioureas were used as organocatalysts by Connon [11] et al. in that reaction. They synthesized 7

\* Corresponding author. E-mail address: tanyeli@metu.edu.tr (C. Tanyeli).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2021.153153 0040-4039/© 2021 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

different 3-substituted indole derivatives with up to 50% ee, by using 10-20 mol% catalyst loading at -30 °C. After two years, almost under the same harsh reaction conditions (10% mol catalyst loading at -35 °C with benzene and DCE as solvent system) with Connon, the phosphoric acid was tested in model reaction by Akiyama [12] et al. They managed to synthesize 14 different products with high enantioselectivities up to 94% and moderate yields. In 2011, Zhang [13] and his co-workers used the 5 mol% phosphoric acids in the Friedel-Crafts alkylation. Unfortunately, they only managed to reach up to 47% ee with 23 different products at 20 °C. In 2016, Chen [14] et al. synthesized 12 different 3-substituted indole derivatives in the presence of 10 mol% secondary amine-amide organocatalyst with high enantioselectivities (up to 95% ee) and chemical yields at 35 °C (Scheme 1). In spite of these reports, a great demand has still been going on for improving the reaction conditions of that Friedel-Crafts alkylation of indole with nitroolefins.

Chiral bifunctional squaramides as an example to Brønsted base/H-bond donor organocatalysts are pioneered by Rawal [15] and since then, are being improved as well as tested in different type of reactions. In our research group, chiral bifunctional squaramides were synthesized [16–18] and evaluated named reactions such as Michael additions [17], Aza-Henry [19], Friedel-Crafts [20], Mannich reactions [21], and Sulfa-Michael addition [22]. Inspired by these studies, the Friedel-Crafts alkylation of indoles with nitroalkenes was tested in the presence of chiral bifunctional squaramides in order to improve the reaction conditions.

Please cite this article as: E. Dündar and C. Tanyeli, Enantioselective Friedel-Crafts alkylation of indole with nitroalkenes in the presence of bifunctional squaramide organocatalysts, Tetrahedron Letters, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2021.153153

# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

## E. Dündar and C. Tanyeli

Tetrahedron Letters xxx (xxxx) xxx



Scheme 1. A literature comparison of Ic in organocatalysis 1a + 2a.





Entry	Cat.	Solvent	Cat. Load. (mol%)	Time (h)	Yield <sup>b</sup> (%)	ee <sup>c</sup> (%)
1	Ia	DCM	2	45	16	77
2	Ib	DCM	2	47	18	84
3	Ic	DCM	2	24	45	91
4	Id	DCM	2	24	14	8
5	lla	DCM	2	44	56	rac
6	IIb	DCM	2	44	42	12
7	Ia	DCM	5	45	20	81
8	Ib	DCM	5	45	19	88
9	Ic	DCM	5	24	38	89
10	Ic	DCM	10	24	54	91
11	Ic	Chloroform	2	51	44	72
12	Ic	Xylene	2	44	24	78
13	Ic	Dioxane	2	92	trace	56
14	Ic	Toluene	2	51	26	82
15 <sup>d</sup>	Ic	Toluene	2	46	34	78
16 <sup>e</sup>	Ic	DCM	2	2	32	71
17 <sup>f</sup>	Ic	DCM	2	46	26	84
18 <sup>g</sup>	Ic	DCM	2	48	23	88

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.2 mmol, 1 eq.), **2a** (0.2 mmol, 1 eq.), catalyst, DCM (0.5 mL).

<sup>b</sup> Isolated yields.
 <sup>c</sup> Determined by HPLC with chiral stationary phase.

<sup>d</sup> Temperature is 50 °C.

<sup>e</sup> H<sup>+</sup> sponge is used as an additive.

<sup>f</sup> NaOAc is used as an additive.

<sup>g</sup> Concentration is 1 M.

E. Dündar and C. Tanyeli

# **Results and discussion**

Chiral bifunctional quinine (**Ia**, **Ib**, **Ic** and **Id**) and 2-AminoDMAP (**IIa** and **IIb**) based squaramide organocatalysts were evaluated in our model Friedel-Crafts alkylation of indole (**1a**) with *trans*- $\beta$ -nitrostyrene (**2a**).

Firstly, all six organocatalysts were tested in that reaction by using 2 mol% catalyst loading in DCM at room temperature. While organocatalysts (**Ia**, **Ib**, and **Ic**) afforded the product **3aa** with high enantioselectivity values (Table 1, entries 1–3, respectively), organocatalysts (**Id**, **IIa** and **IIb**) gave nearly racemic product **3aa** (Table 1, entries 4–6, respectively).

Further optimization studies continued with quinine based squaramide organocatalysts. Due to low chemical yield values with 2 mol%, we increased the catalyst loading to 5 mol% and 10 mol% (Entries 7–10, respectively). By comparing the results with 2 mol %, no improvement was observed in terms of chemical yield and reaction duration parameters whereas a slight increase in ee values for organocatalyst **Ia** and **Ib** as 77% to 81% and 84% to 88% (Entries 7–8, respectively). In the light of these data, organocatalyst **Ic** was chosen as the best one for Friedel-Crafts alkylation of indole (**1a**) with *trans*- $\beta$ -nitrostyrene (**2a**) in terms of enantioselectivity and chemical yield. In the further optimization studies, although the effect of solvent (Entries 11–14), temperature (Entry 15), additive (Entry 16–17) and concentration (Entry 18) were investigated, we could not get better result than entry 3.

With the optimized condition in hand, the scope of the stereoselective organocatalytic Friedel-Crafts alkylation reaction was explored using 14 nitroolefin and 4 indole derivatives to observe the effect of electron-donating and electron-withdrawing groups. The results were summarized in Table 2.

# Table 2

Derivatization Study.<sup>a</sup>



Tetrahedron Letters xxx (xxxx) xxx

Scheme 2. Friedel-Crafts Alkylation of Pyrrole (4a) with trans-β-nitrostyrene (2a).



Fig. 1. A proposed transition state model.

Most of nitroolefins bearing either electron-donating or withdrawing groups afforded the Friedel-Crafts alkylation product [23] with excellent enantioselectivities (up to 92%) and moderate chemical yield values (up to 80%). However, the thienyl substituted product **3ag** resulted in lower enantioselectivity value compared to



Entry	Indole	$\mathbb{R}^1$	R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>3</sup>	Product	Time (h)	Yield <sup>b</sup> (%)	ee <sup>c</sup> (%)
1	1a	Н	Н	Ph <b>(2a)</b>	3aa	24	45	91
2	1a	Н	Н	4-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (2b)	3ab	44	27	85
3	1a	Н	Н	4-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (2c)	3ac	46	38	91
4	1a	Н	Н	4-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (2d)	3ad	49	32	87
5	1a	Н	Н	4-BrC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (2e)	3ae	51	22	89
6	1a	Н	Н	2-Furyl (2f)	3af	28	74	87
7	1a	Н	Н	2-Thienyl <b>(2g)</b>	3ag	30	78	56
8	1a	Н	Н	2-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (2h)	3ah	47	80	90
9	1a	Н	Н	2-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (2i)	3ai	50	78	80
10	1a	Н	Н	3-BrC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (2j)	3aj	42	57	74
11	1a	Н	Н	3-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (2k)	3ak	47	37	92
12	1a	Н	Н	2,4-(Cl) <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub> (2l)	3al	24	33	77
13	1a	Н	Н	2,5-(MeO) <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub> (2m)	3am	54	49	76
14	1a	Н	Н	2-PhCH <sub>2</sub> OC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (2n)	3an	54	79	69
15	1b	5-OBn	Н	Ph <b>(2a)</b>	3ba	46	63	73
16	1c	7-OBn	Н	Ph <b>(2a)</b>	3ca	46	55	67
17	1d	7-Br	Н	Ph <b>(2a)</b>	3da	47	43	>99
18	1e	Н	Me	4-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (2b)	3eb	24	15	18

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: **1a-1e** (0.2 mmol, 1 eq.), **2a-2n** (0.2 mmol, 1 eq.), catalyst, DCM (0.5 mL).

<sup>b</sup> Entries 1–11, Conversions, determined by GC–MS & entries 12–18, Isolated yields.

<sup>c</sup> Determined by HPLC with chiral stationary phase.

### E. Dündar and C. Tanyeli

other derivatives (Table 2, entry 7). The stereoselectivity of Friedel-Crafts reactions were apparently insensitive to the substitution pattern on aromatic ring (o-, m-, or p-) of nitroolefins, as well as the electronic nature (entries 1-14 of Table 2).

Also, indole derivatives [24] and pyrrole were tested (Table 2, entries 15-18 & Scheme 2).

The absolute configuration of products 3aa-3eb and 5aa were assigned as S by comparing HPLC data and optical rotation values with literature.[7d,6h,6k,8c,7c] We proposed a transition state model in Fig. 1 to understand the selectivity of Friedel-Crafts alkylation of indole (1a) with *trans*- $\beta$ -nitrostyrene (2a) in the presence of bifunctional quinine derived squaramide organocatalyst Ic. In this model, the deprotonation of indole (1a) occurs by a H-bond interaction with the basic part of the catalyst while acidic part activates the *trans*- $\beta$ -nitrostyrene (2a) through double H-bond. Then, coordinated indole (1a) to basic site of organocatalyst Ic attacks the re-face of the activated *trans*- $\beta$ -nitrostyrene (2a) and give the expected product. This transition state model is supported with entry 2 and 18 of Table 2.

#### Conclusion

To sum up, the Friedel-Crafts alkylation of indoles with nitroolefins was tested in the presence of sterically encumbered tertbutyl squaramide/quinine in this study. By usage of different nitroolefin and indole derivatives, 3-substituted indole products were synthesized with excellent enantioselectivities (up to >99% ee) and moderate chemical yields (up to 80%) by carrying out the reaction at room temperature and only using 2 mol% catalyst loading.

# **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### Acknowledgement

We are grateful to TÜBİTAK for financial support.

## Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2021.153153.

## References

- [1] G.A. Olah, R. Khrisnamurti, G.K.S. Prakash, Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, Pergamon Press, New York, 1991.
- [2] a) J.F. Austin, D.W.C. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124 (2002) 1172–1173; b) A. Kleeman, J. Engel, B. Kutscher, D. Reichert, Pharmaceutical Substances, Thieme, New York, 2001.
- [3] N. Ono, The Nitro Group in Organic Synthesis, Wiley-VCH, New York, 2001.
- [4] a) J.P. Yevic, F.D. Zocca, Curr. Med. Chem. 4 (1997) 295-312:
- b) J. Arendt, S. Deacon, Chronobiol. Int. 14 (1997) 185-204.
- [5] H.J. Zhu, B.T. Zhao, G.Y. Zuo, C.U. Pittman, W.M. Ma, X. Hao, J. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 12 (2001) 2613–2619.
- [6] a) Liu, H.; Du, M. D. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 1113 1118. (b) Kim, H. Y.; Kim, S.; Oh, K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 4476-4478. (c) Li, W. J. Catal. Commun. 2014, 52, 53-56. (d) Liu, H.; Lu, S. F.; Xu, J.; Du, D. M. Chem. Asian J. 2008, 3, 1111-1121. (e) Guo, F.; Lai, G.; Xiong, S.; Wang, S.; Wang, Z. Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 6438 – 644. (f) Jia, Y. X.; Zhu, S. F.; Yang, Y.; Zhou, Q. L. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 75-80. (g) O'Reilly, S.; Aylward, M.; Keogh-Hansen, C.; Fitzpatrick, B.; McManus, H. A.; Muller-Bunz, H.; Guiry, P. J. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 10177–10186. (h) Venkatanna, K.; Kumar, S. Y.; Karthick, M.; Padmanaban, R.; Ramanathan, C.R. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2019, 17, 4077-4086. (i) Wu, J.; Li, X.; Wu, F.; Wan, B. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4834–4837. (j) Sato, T.; Arai, T. Synlett 2014, 25, 349–354. (k) Islam, M. S.; Majid, A. M. A.; Al-Othman, Z. A.; Barakat, A. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry. 2014, 25, 245-251.
- [7] (a) J. Ma, S.R. Kass, J. Org. Chem. 84 (2019) 11125-11134; (b) Y. Fan, S.R. Kass, J. Org. Chem. 82 (2017) 13288-13296; (c) A.K. Chittoory, G. Kumari, S. Mohapatra, P.P. Kundu, T.K. Maji, C. Narayana, S. Rajaram, Tetrahedron 70 (2014) 3459-3465; (d) M. Madhu Ganesh, D. Seidel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130 (2008) 16464-16465.
- [8] (a) H. Xiong, L. Li, E. Liu, J. Cheng, G. Zhang, Inorg. Chem. Commun. 84 (2017) 24-27.
  - (b) G. Zhang, Inorg. Chem. Commun. 40 (2014) 1-4;
- (c) C. Didaskalou, J. Kupai, L. Cseri, J. Barabas, E. Vass, T. Holtzl, G. Szekely, ACS Catal. 8 (2018) 7430-7438.
- [9] P.R. Herrera, V. Sgarzani, L. Bernardi, A. Ricci, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 44 (2005) 6576-6579
- [10] W. Zhuang, R.G. Hazell, K.A. Jørgensen, Org. Biomol. Chem. 3 (2005) 2566-2571
- [11] M.E. Fleming, T. McCabe, S.J. Connon, Tetrahedron Lett. 47 (2006) 7037-7042.
- [12] J. Itoh, K. Fuchibe, T. Akiyama, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 47 (2008) 4016-4018.
- [13] H.Y. Tang, Z.B. Zhang, Phosphorus Sulfur, and Silicon 186 (2011) 2038–2046.
- [14] K. Huang, X. Pei, X. Yin, Z. Chen, J. Asian, Org. Chem. 29 (2017) 595-600. [15] J.P. Malerich, K. Hagihara, V.H. Rawal, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130 (2008) 14416-14417
- [16] M. Işık, M.Y. Unver, C. Tanyeli, J. Org. Chem. 80 (2015) 828-835.
- [17] E. Kanberoğlu, C. Tanyeli, J. Asian, Org. Chem. 5 (2016) 114–119.
- [18] W. Yang, D.M. Du, Org. Lett. 12 (2010) 5450-5453.
- [19] D. Susam, C. Tanyeli, New J. Chem. 41 (2017) 3555-3561.
- [20] S. Karahan, C. Tanyeli, New J. Chem. 41 (2017) 9192–9202.
  [21] (a) D. İşibol, S. Karahan, C. Tanyeli, Tetrahedron Lett. 59 (2018) 545–546; [21] (b) S. Karahan, C. Tanyeli, Org. Biomol. Chem. 18 (2020) 479–487.
- [22] D. Hasılcıoğulları, C. Tanyeli, Tetrahedron Lett. 59 (2018) 1414-1416.
- [23] A.V. Kumar, K.R. Rao, Tetrahedron Lett. 52 (2011) 5188–5519.
- [24] (a) R.J. Tang, T. Milcent, B. Crousse, RSC Adv. 8 (2018) 10314-10317; (b) E.A. Hall, L.R. Redfern, M.H. Wang, K.A. Scheidt, ACS Catal. 6 (2016) 3248-3252.