



Pergamon

Tetrahedron Letters 41 (2000) 2649–2653

TETRAHEDRON
LETTERS

Synthetic studies on altohyrtins (spongistatins): synthesis of the C15–C28 (CD) spiroacetal portion

Takeshi Terauchi, Taro Terauchi, Ippei Sato, Tomoharu Tsukada, Naoki Kanoh and
Masaya Nakata *

*Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University, 3-14-1 Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku,
Yokohama 223-8522, Japan*

Received 14 January 2000; revised 31 January 2000; accepted 4 February 2000

Abstract

The C15–C28 portion of altohyrtins (spongistatins) was prepared in a convergent manner from methyl (S)-3-hydroxy-2-methylpropionate, D-arabitol, and diacetone-D-glucose via dithiane couplings with epoxides as the key segment coupling process. © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: altohyrtins; dithianes; epoxides; spiro compounds.

We have recently reported the synthesis of the C1–C14 (AB) spiroacetal portion **1** of altohyrtins (spongistatins) from the commercially-available carbohydrate, 3,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-D-glucal, as a chiral building block (Fig. 1).¹ We now report in this letter the synthesis of the C15–C28 (CD) spiroacetal portion **2**. The altohyrtins, spongistatins, and cinachyrolide A have been isolated from marine sponges by the Kitagawa, Pettit, and Fusetani groups, respectively.² Their extremely potent antitumor activities and unique, exciting structures have promoted a number of synthetic studies.³ Recently, the Evans and Kishi groups have independently succeeded in the total synthesis of altohyrtin C (spongistatin 2) and altohyrtin A (spongistatin 1), respectively.³

We envisioned that the three consecutive stereocenters of C14, 15, and 16 should be constructed by an aldol reaction. The C1–C14 ethyl ketone **1**¹ was prepared for a candidate of the ketone segment. We selected the C15–C28 aldehyde **2** as an aldehyde candidate (Fig. 1). Since stereoselectivity of the aldol reactions between the structurally complex ethyl ketones and α -methyl- β -alkoxyaldehydes is generally capricious,⁴ it is difficult to correctly predict the influence of the C17-configuration in **2** on the selectivity of our aldol reaction. Therefore, it is essential for future success to obtain both compounds **2a** and **2b**. Aldehydes **2a** or **2b** would be obtained from the acyclic precursors **4a** or **4b** via spiroacetals **3a** or **3b** (Scheme 1). It was expected that **4a** or **4b** would be obtained from three segments, the C15–C17 dithiane derivative **5**, the C18–C22 diepoxide **6**, and the C23–C28 dithiane derivative **7**. The dithiane derivative **5**

* Corresponding author. Tel: +81-45-563-1141; fax: +81-45-563-0446; e-mail: msynktxa@applc.keio.ac.jp (M. Nakata)






Fig. 1.

is known⁵ and can be prepared from methyl (*S*)-3-hydroxy-2-methylpropionate (**8**). Diepoxide **6** is also known⁶ but we prepared it from D-arabitol (**9**) via a new route. The dithiane derivative **7** was prepared from diacetone-D-glucose (**10**); the C2- and C4-hydroxy groups of the latter can be used as the C27- and C25-hydroxy groups of **4a** or **4b**.




Scheme 1.

To obtain diepoxide **6**,⁶ we first prepared tetraol **11** by Sakai's procedure⁷ with modifications (Scheme 2). D-Arabitol (**9**) was subjected to selective acetonization followed by deoxygenation and deacetonization to afford tetraol **11** in 47% yield from **9**. Acetyl-bromination⁸ of **11** followed by alkaline treatment gave the desired diepoxide **6** in 60% yield.⁹ The dithiane derivative **7** was prepared from **10** as follows. Following the literature procedure,¹⁰ **10** was transformed into the known **12**. Bromination of **12** gave bromide **13**,¹¹ which underwent smooth addition by 2-lithio-1,3-dithiane, giving **7**¹¹ in 93% yield from **12**.



Scheme 2.


The coupling of the anion derived from **5**⁵ (1 equiv.) and *n*-BuLi (1.5 equiv.) with diepoxide **6** (1.8 equiv.) afforded **14**¹¹ in 61% yield based on **5** (Scheme 3).¹² De-dithioacetalization of **14** with I₂ in aq. acetone¹³ gave ketone **15** in 85% yield. The stereo- and chemoselective reduction of the carbonyl group in epoxy-ketone **15** was next investigated. The relevant data are shown in Scheme 3. The C17,19-*anti* product **16** was obtained by using Me₄NBH(OAc)₃¹⁴ in 91% yield as the only reduction product without any epoxide opening. In contrast, the C17,19-*syn* product **17** was obtained as the only reduction product by using Et₂BOMe–NaBH₄¹⁵ in 98% yield. The stereochemistries of **16** and **17** were confirmed by ¹³C NMR analysis¹⁶ of their acetonides, **18**¹¹ and **19**.¹¹



Scheme 3.

The second dithiane coupling was conducted by the addition of *n*-BuLi (1.6 equiv.) to the mixture of **7** (1.2 equiv.), epoxide **18** (1.0 equiv.), and HMPA (1.0 equiv.) in THF at –20°C, giving the adduct **20**¹¹ in 95% yield (Scheme 4). Methylation of **20** (95%) followed by deprotection and reduction gave **21**. De-dithioacetalization of **21** with ammonium cerium(IV) nitrate (CAN)¹⁷ gave, with concomitant spiroacetalization, the unnatural spiroacetal **22**¹¹ and the desired spiroacetal **23**¹¹ in 42 and 14% yields,

respectively. The spiroacetal configuration of **22** was verified by ^1H NMR NOE measurements as shown in Scheme 4. All attempts to effect isomerization of **22** into **23** under protic and Lewis acid conditions resulted in a ca. 1–3:1 equilibrium mixture of **22** and **23**. Moreover, it was found that the next *p*-methoxybenzylidenation of the C15- and C17-hydroxy groups in each spiroacetal **22** and **23** was accompanied by partial isomerization at the spiroacetal center. Therefore, this equilibration was tried at the next stage. The 3:1 mixture of **22** and **23** was treated with *p*-methoxybenzaldehyde dimethyl acetal and ZnCl_2 in 10:1 THF– CH_2Cl_2 at rt for 1 h to afford a separable mixture of **24**¹¹ and **25**¹¹ in 49 and 24% yields, respectively. The spiroacetal configuration of **25** was verified by ^1H NMR NOE measurements as shown in Scheme 4. At this stage, the isomerization of **24** into **25** was best achieved by subjecting **24** to the conditions of ZnCl_2 ³ⁿ (5 equiv.) in CH_2Cl_2 (rt, 0.5 h), giving a 2:3 mixture of **24** and **25** in 91% combined yield. The obtained **25** was converted to aldehyde **2a** by standard transformations. On the other hand, the isomer **19** was also converted to **2b** by almost the same procedures as described above for the case of **18**.^{18,19}



Scheme 4.

The convergent synthesis described herein makes available the C15–C28 (CD) spiroacetal portion of the althohyrtins (spongistatins) in significant quantities. The aldol reaction of the ethyl ketone **1** with this aldehyde **2** and the preparation of the complete C1–C28 portion are now in progress.

Acknowledgements

Financial support by Takeda Science Foundation is gratefully acknowledged.

References

1. Terauchi, T.; Nakata, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 3795–3798.
2. See Ref. 1.
3. See Ref. 1. Additional synthetic studies: (a) Lemaire-Audoire, S.; Vogel, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 1345–1348. (b) Smith III, A. B.; Lin, Q.; Pettit, G. R.; Chapuis, J.-C.; Schmidt, J. M. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1998**, *8*, 567–568. (c) Hermitage, S. A.; Roberts, S. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 3563–3566. (d) Hermitage, S. A.; Roberts, S. M.; Watson, D. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 3567–3570. (e) Zemribo, R.; Mead, K. T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 3891–3894. (f) Zemribo, R.; Mead, K. T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 3895–3898. (g) Boyes, A. L.; Wild, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 6725–6728. (h) Fernandez-Megia, E.; Gourlaouen, N.; Ley, S. V.; Rowlands, G. J. *Synlett.* **1998**, 991–994. (i) Crimmins, M. T.; Washburn, D. G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 7487–7490. (j) Paterson, I.; Wallace, D. J.; Oballa, R. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 8545–8548. (k) Kary, P. D.; Roberts, S. M.; Watson, D. J. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1999**, *10*, 213–216. (l) Kary, P. D.; Roberts, S. M. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1999**, *10*, 217–219. (m) Micalizio, G. C.; Roush, W. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 3351–3354. (n) Evans, D. A.; Trotter, B. W.; Coleman, P. J.; Côté, B.; Dias, L. C.; Rajapakse, H. A.; Tyler, A. N. *Tetrahedron* **1999**, *55*, 8671–8726. (o) Anderson, J. C.; McDermott, B. P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 7135–7138. (p) Ott, G. R.; Heathcock, C. H. *Org. Lett.* **1999**, *1*, 1475–1478. (q) Claffey, M. M.; Hayes, C. J.; Heathcock, C. H. *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 8267–8274.
4. For example, see: (a) Gustin, D. J.; VanNieuwenhze, M. S.; Roush, W. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 3447–3450. (b) Evans, D. A.; Dart, M. J.; Duffy, J. L.; Rieger, D. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 9073–9074. (c) Evans, D. A.; Yang, M. G.; Dart, M. J.; Duffy, J. L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 1957–1960.
5. (a) Ide, M.; Yasuda, M.; Nakata, M. *Synlett.* **1998**, 936–938. (b) Ide, M.; Nakata, M. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1999**, *72*, 2491–2499.
6. (a) Ley, S. V.; Anthony, N. J.; Armstrong, A.; Brasca, M. G.; Clarke, T.; Culshaw, D.; Greck, C.; Grice, P.; Jones, A. B.; Lygo, B.; Madin, A.; Sheppard, R. N.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Williams, D. J. *Tetrahedron* **1989**, *45*, 7161–7194. (b) Rychnovsky, S. D.; Griesgraber, G.; Zeller, S.; Skalitzky, D. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 5161–5169. (c) Jung, M. E.; Kretschik, O. *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 2975–2981.
7. Xie, Z.-F.; Suemune, H.; Sakai, K. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1993**, *4*, 973–980.
8. Crombez-Robert, C.; Benazza, M.; Fréchou, C.; Demailly, G. *Carbohydr. Res.* **1997**, *303*, 359–365.
9. $[\alpha]_D^{28} -54.5$ (c 0.92, CHCl₃) [lit.^{6a} $[\alpha]_D^{20} -47$ (c 0.8, CDCl₃); lit.^{6b} $[\alpha]_D^{24} -55.5$ (c 0.92, CHCl₃); lit.^{6c} $[\alpha]_D^{20} -51.3$ (c 0.37, CHCl₃)].
10. Kinoshita, M.; Morioka, M.; Taniguchi, M.; Shimizu, J. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1987**, *60*, 4005–4014.
11. Satisfactory analytical data (NMR and IR spectra, elemental analyses and/or HRMS, optical rotations) were obtained for all new compounds.
12. Besides **14**, bis-adduct was formed in 30% yield.
13. (a) Russell, G. A.; Ochrymowycz, L. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1969**, *34*, 3618–3624. (b) Armstrong, R. W.; Beau, J.-M.; Cheon, S. H.; Christ, W. J.; Fujioka, H.; Ham, W.-H.; Hawkins, L. D.; Jin, H.; Kang, S. H.; Kishi, Y.; Martinelli, M. J.; McWhorter Jr., W. W.; Mizuno, M.; Nakata, M.; Stutz, A. E.; Talamas, F. X.; Taniguchi, M.; Tino, J. A.; Ueda, K.; Uenishi, J.; White, J. B.; Yonaga, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 7525–7530.
14. Evans, D. A.; Chapman, K. T.; Carreira, E. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 3560–3578.
15. Chen, K.-M.; Hardtmann, G. E.; Prasad, K.; Repic, O.; Shapiro, M. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 155–158.
16. (a) Rychnovsky, S. D.; Skalitzky, D. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 945–948. (b) Rychnovsky, S. D.; Rogers, B.; Yang, G. *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 3511–3515. (c) Rychnovsky, S. D.; Yang, G.; Powers, J. P. *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 5251–5255. (d) Rychnovsky, S. D.; Rogers, B. N.; Richardson, T. I. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1998**, *31*, 9–17. (e) Evans, D. A.; Rieger, D. L.; Gage, J. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 7099–7100.
17. Ho, T.-L.; Ho, H. C.; Wong, C. M. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1972**, 791.
18. The C17-configuration was of no effect on the selectivity of spiroacetalization.
19. Footnote (a) in Scheme 3: Ojima, I.; Kogure, T. *Organometallics* **1982**, *1*, 1390–1399.