ChemComm

COMMUNICATION

Check for updates

Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2021, 57, 4452

Received 10th March 2021, Accepted 26th March 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1cc01311d

rsc.li/chemcomm

Published on 27 March 2021. Downloaded by University of Prince Edward Island on 5/16/2021 5:43:39 PM.

Umpolung coupling of pyridine-2carboxaldehydes and propargylic carbonates *via* N-heterocyclic carbene/palladium synergetic catalysis[†]

Weiyang Bi,^{ab} Yunhui Yang,^{abc} Song Ye^{bab} and Congyang Wang^{****}

The umpolung cross-coupling reaction of pyridine-2-carboxaldehydes and propargylic carbonates has been developed for the first time through N-heterocyclic carbene/palladium cooperative catalysis with the judicious selection of the palladium catalyst, ligand and N-heterocyclic carbene, giving the propargylic ketones regioselectively.

Synergetic catalysis is a powerful synthetic strategy wherein diverse catalysts activate different substrates simultaneously in a single chemical transformation.¹ Recent progress on combining transition metal catalysis and organocatalysis has attracted considerable attention as it could enable unprecedented transformations not achieved by the use of transition metal catalysis or organocatalysis alone.² Nevertheless, the combination of N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) catalysis with transition metal catalysis remains continuously challenging, possibly due to the reactivity mismatch of key organic and metallic intermediates, incompatibility of reaction conditions, and the fact that NHC is an important type of ligand that can bind to transition metals thus causing difficulties for their individual catalytic cycles, among others.³ A number of groups have recently addressed these problems by judicious choices of transition metals, reaction conditions, competitive ligands and so on to achieve the synergetic catalysis of NHC and transition metal catalysts such as palladium,⁴ ruthenium,⁵ copper,⁶ gold,⁷ silver⁸ and iridium.⁹

In comparison with the widely studied allylic electrophiles,^{4,6,9} the propargylic electrophiles have been less explored in the

CAS Research/Education Center for Excellence in Molecular Sciences, Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China. E-mail: wangcy@iccas.ac.cn cross-coupling reactions through NHC catalysis.¹⁰ In 2018, Zhao and co-workers disclosed a transition-metal-free NHC-catalyzed asymmetric decarboxylative propargylic substitution/cyclization of aldehydes (Scheme 1a).¹¹ A series of skeletally diverse polycyclic products were approached selectively depending upon the categories of aldehydes and reaction conditions. In 2019, Gong *et al.* demonstrated an NHC/Cu cooperative catalysis enabling [3+3] and [3+4] cyclizations of isatin-derived aldehydes with propargylic electrophiles to access spirooxindoles selectively (Scheme 1b).^{6b}

ROYAL SOCIETY OF **CHEMISTRY**

View Article Online

On the other hand, palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions of propargylic electrophiles with varied nucleophiles are among the most successful tools for chemical bond formation in organic synthesis.¹² To the best of our knowledge, the combinative catalysis of palladium and NHC for the reaction



Scheme 1 NHC-catalyzed reactions of aldehydes with propargylic electrophiles.

^a Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences,

CAS Key Laboratory of Molecular Recognition and Function,

^b University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

^c Physical Science Laboratory, Huairou National Comprehensive Science Center, Beijing 101400, China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 2068444. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/ d1cc01311d

Communication

of propargylic electrophiles with aldehydes remains surprisingly unknown so far. We surmised that the reactivity match between organopalladium catalytic intermediates derived from the propargylic electrophile and Breslow intermediate from the aldehyde would be the key issue in view of the tetrasubstituted olefin characteristics of the Breslow intermediate, which will be challenging to react with the palladium species via inner- or outer-sphere mechanisms. The judicious tuning of the ligands for palladium and NHC for the Breslow intermediate and, importantly, rendering these two species close via coordination assistance4f might be essential to meet the above challenge. Herein, we report our efforts on the umpolung cross coupling of o-azaaryl aldehydes and propargylic carbonates by resorting to NHC/palladium synergetic catalysis, which represents the first example of the Breslow intermediate reacting with organopalladium derived from propargylic electrophiles.

We commenced our study from the model reaction of pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde **1a** with propargylic carbonate **2a** under the co-catalysis of NHC and palladium (Table 1). After the initial screening of the reaction conditions, it was found that the reaction gave the propargylic ketone product **3aa** in a

Table 1 Optimization of key reaction parameters^a 2-Py cat. [Pd] cat. preNHC K₂CO₃ (2.0 eq.) MeO₂CÓ 2-P a 5aa not observed THF, 80 °C, 12 h 4aa 2a 3aa ^tBu PPh₂ h₂ PPh₃ PPh₂ PPh₂ PR_2 PR₂ ₽Ph₂ L3, R=Ph, L4, R=Cy L5 L2 L6 L1 HC , Ν CI ٦R BF₄ cī iр preNHC1, R=Bn preNHC2, R=Me `*i*Pr preNHC3 preNHC4 preNHC5 preNHC6 $Yield^{b}$ (%) [Pd] preNHC Entry Ligand $Pd(OAc)_2$ L1 preNHC1 6 1 2 PdCl₂ L1 preNHC1 21 3 Pd(dba)₂ preNHC1 34 L1 4 $Pd(PPh_3)_4$ L1 preNHC1 29 5 Pd(dba)₂ L2 preNHC1 n.d. Pd(dba)₂ 6 L3 preNHC1 47 Pd(dba)₂ 7 L4 preNHC1 4 8 Pd(dba)₂ L5 preNHC1 42 Pd(dba)₂ 9 L6 preNHC1 32 Pd(dba)2 10 L3 56 preNHC2 11 Pd(dba)₂ L3 preNHC3 28 Pd(dba)₂ 12 L3 39 preNHC4 13 Pd(dba)₂ L3 preNHC5 n.d. 14 Pd(dba)₂ L3 preNHC6 n.d. 74^d 15 Pd(dba)₂ L3 preNHC2

^{*a*} Reaction conditions unless otherwise noted: **1a** (0.4 mmol), **2a** (0.2 mmol), [Pd] catalyst (10 mol%), ligand (10 mol%), preNHC (20 mol%), K_2CO_3 (0.4 mmol), THF (3.0 mL) and under a nitrogen atmosphere. ^{*b*} Yields determined by ¹H NMR analysis using 1,3, 5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. ^{*c*} NaHCO₃ was used instead of K_2CO_3 at 65 °C for 24 h. ^{*d*} Isolated yield on 0.5 mmol scale.

6% NMR yield in the presence of catalytic Pd(OAc)₂ with bidentate dppe L1 as the ligand and a thiazolium precatalyst preNHC1 (Table 1, entry 1). Encouraged by this result, the influence of the critical reaction parameters was investigated. First, commonly used palladium catalysts were examined and it was shown that $Pd(dba)_2$ was the most effective one (entries 2-4). Next, a series of ligands for palladium were tested. It revealed that monodentate triphenylphosphine (PPh_3 , L2) failed to give the expected product (entry 5). In contrast, bisphosphorus ligands (L3-L6) mostly worked better for this reaction (entries 6-9) and xantphos (L3) could provide the product 3aa in a 47% yield (entry 6). Finally, a survey of NHC precatalysts (preNHC2-6) indicated that only the thiazolium NHC precatalysts were compatible with the palladium catalysts affording the corresponding product 3aa successfully (entries 10-14) and the combination of preNHC2, Pd(dba)₂ and xantphos L3 was the optimal catalyst system (entry 10). After further evaluation of the reaction temperature, solvents, bases and reaction times, a 74% isolated yield of product 3aa could be obtained when the reaction was performed at 65 °C for 24 h with $NaHCO_3$ as the base (entry 15). It should be noted that Pd-catalyzed reactions of propargylic electrophiles with hard nucleophiles typically afford allene products via the allenyl palladium intermediate (Scheme 1c), while reactions with soft nucleophiles may deliver alkene products through nucleophilic attack twice.¹² Meanwhile, regioselective formation of α -propargylic products has been reported in rare cases.¹³ Remarkably, no γ -attack allene product **4aa** or β , γ -attack alkene product 5aa was detected during the whole optimization process of the current NHC/Pd-catalyzed cross coupling of propargylic carbonate with the umpolung Brewslow intermediate, which demonstrated excellent regioselectivity in the reaction.

With the optimized conditions in hand, we first examined the reactivity of various substituted pyridine-2-carboxaldehydes using propargylic carbonate 2a as a model reaction partner (Scheme 2). Aldehydes 1 bearing different substituents at the 2-, 3-, 4- or 5-positions of the pyridine ring all gave the desired products 3aa-ga in good yields with sole regioselectivity. In addition, quinoline-2-carbaldehyde (1h) was also suitable for the reaction to afford the corresponding product 3ha in a synthetically useful yield. Then, the scope of propargylic carbonates 2 to react with pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde 1a was examined. Substrates bearing either electron-deficient or electronrich groups on the benzene ring could participate in the umpolung coupling, affording the corresponding ketones smoothly (3ab-aj). Functional groups such as fluoro, chloro, trifluoromethyl, alkyl, methoxy and ester were all tolerated at the para-, meta- or ortho-positions of aryl propargylic carbonates. Moreover, propargylic carbonates with naphthyl, thienyl or n-butyl groups also reacted with 1a successfully to give the desired products in decent yields (3ak-am). Unfortunately, variations in the dimethyl groups of the propargylic carbonates have been unsuccessful so far, which reflects the steric importance at this position for the reaction (see Scheme S1 in ESI⁺). In addition, the structure of product 3hk was confirmed



Scheme 2 Scope of o-azaaryl aldehydes and propargylic carbonates.^a Reaction conditions: **1** (1.0 mmol), **2** (0.5 mmol), Pd(dba)₂ (10 mol%), **L3** (10 mol%), **preNHC2** (20 mol%), NaHCO₃ (1.0 mmol), and THF (6.0 mL) under a nitrogen atmosphere. Isolated yields are shown.

unambiguously by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (CCDC†: 2068444).

To gain insights into the possible reaction pathways operating in the NHC/Pd synergetic catalysis, control experiments were first conducted. It was shown that no product **3aa** was detected in the absence of either Pd(dba)₂, the ligand of **L3** or **preNHC2** under otherwise identical conditions (Scheme 3a), which highlights the essential roles of these key components for the umpolung coupling. Next, the preformed palladium/xantphos complex, [Pd(xantphos)Cl₂], was subjected to the reaction and the propargylic ketone product **3aa** could be obtained in 42% yield



Scheme 3 Mechanistic experiments



(Scheme 3b). Finally, in order to verify the importance of *ortho*pyridine coordination assistance, pyridinecarboxaldehydes **1i** and **1j** with N-atoms at other positions, benzaldehyde **1k**, 2-furaldehyde **1l** and 2-thiophenecarboxaldehyde **1m** were tested in the reactions (Scheme 3c) and only benzoin by-products were found after the reactions, which indicated the indispensible role of the *ortho* N-coordination of pyridine towards the palladium center for the reaction.

A plausible reaction mechanism comprising two fused catalytic cycles, namely NHC and palladium catalysis, is depicted in Scheme 4. The Breslow intermediate A is first formed by the reaction of preNHC2 and the aldehyde 1a in the NHC catalytic cycle. Meanwhile, the reaction of the palladium catalyst with propargylic carbonate 2a generates the η^{1} , η^{3} -propargylic palladium or allenyl palladium complexes B in the Pd catalytic cycle. Then, the above two intermediates approach each other through coordination assistance of the N-atom in the pyridine towards Pd and form the key palladium complex C. Thereafter, intermediate D is generated via an intramolecular nucleophilic attack of the Breslow intermediate at the α -position of η^3 -propargylic palladium. Finally, the intermediate D releases the desired product 3aa and regenerates free NHC2 and the palladium catalyst for the next catalytic cycles. Currently, the reaction pathways through the allenyl palladium complex cannot be ruled out in the reaction.

In summary, the umpolung cross-coupling reaction of *o*-azaaryl carboxaldehydes and propargylic carbonates has been developed for the first time through NHC/palladium synergetic catalysis. Thus, the propargylic ketones were accessed directly and regioselectively without the formation of allenyl or alkenyl ketone by-products. The judicious choices of the palladium catalyst bearing a xantphos ligand and the thiazolium-derived NHC catalyst are crucial for the successful merging of the two NHC and palladium catalytic cycles. Further studies on NHC/ palladium synergetic catalysis are ongoing in our laboratories.

Financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21772202, 21831008, and 22025109), Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission (No. Z191100007219009), Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences (BNLMS-CXXM-201901) and the K. C. Wong Education Foundation are gratefully acknowledged.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- For selected reviews: (a) A. E. Allen and D. W. Macmillan, Chem. Sci., 2012, 3, 633; (b) M. N. Hopkinson, A. Tlahuext-Aca and F. Glorius, Acc. Chem. Res., 2016, 49, 2261; (c) U. B. Kim, D. J. Jung, H. J. Jeon, K. Rathwell and S. G. Lee, Chem. Rev., 2020, 120, 13382; (d) X. Xiao, B. X. Shao, Y. J. Lu, Q. Q. Cao, C. N. Xia and F. E. Chen, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2021, 363, 352; (e) L. Guillemard and J. Wencel-Delord, Beilstein J. Org. Chem., 2020, 16, 1754; (f) F. Romiti, J. Del Pozo, P. H. S. Paioti, S. A. Gonsales, X. Li, F. W. W. Hartrampf and A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 17952; (g) Y. Hu and C. Wang, Acta Phys.-Chim. Sin., 2019, 35, 913.
- For selected reviews: (a) D. S. Kim, W. J. Park and C. H. Jun, Chem. Rev., 2017, 117, 8977; (b) S. Afewerki and A. Cordova, Chem. Rev., 2016, 116, 13512; (c) Z. Shao and H. Zhang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, 38, 2745; (d) Z. Du and Z. Shao, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 1337; (e) L. Dai and S. Ye, Chin. Chem. Lett., 2021, 32, 660.
- 3 (*a*) K. Nagao and H. Ohmiya, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2019, 377, 35; (*b*) D. Janssen-Muller, C. Schlepphorst and F. Glorius, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 4845.
- 4 (a) R. Lebeuf, K. Hirano and F. Glorius, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 4243;
 (b) C. Guo, M. Fleige, D. Janssen-Muller, C. G. Daniliuc and F. Glorius, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 7840; (c) C. Guo, D. Janssen-Muller, M. Fleige, A. Lerchen, C. G. Daniliuc and F. Glorius, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 4443; (d) S. Singha, T. Patra, C. G. Daniliuc and F. Glorius, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 4443; (d) S. Singha, T. Patra, C. G. Daniliuc and F. Glorius, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 3551; (e) K. Liu, M. T. Hovey and K. A. Scheidt, Chem. Sci., 2014, 5, 4026; (f) Y. Bai, S. Xiang, M. L. Leow and X. W. Liu, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 6168; (g) Y. Bai, W. L. Leng, Y. Li and X. W. Liu, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 13391; (h) S. Yasuda, T. Ishii, S. Takemoto, H. Haruki and H. Ohmiya, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 2938; (i) H. Haruki, S. Yasuda, K. Nagao and H. Ohmiya, Chem. Eur. J., 2019, 25, 724; (j) J. Gao, J. Zhang, S. Fang, J. Feng, T. Lu and D. Du, Org. Lett., 2020, 22, 7725; (k) W. Yang, B. Ling,

- 5 (a) D. A. DiRocco and T. Rovis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 8094;
 (b) J. Zhao, C. Mück-Lichtenfeld and A. Studer, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2013, 355, 1098; (c) Q. Wang, J. Chen and Y. Huang, Chem. Eur. J., 2018, 24, 12806; (d) L. Dai, Z. H. Xia, Y. Y. Gao, Z. H. Gao and S. Ye, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 18124; (e) L. Dai and S. Ye, Org. Lett., 2020, 22, 986; (f) L. Dai, Y. Y. Xu, Z. H. Xia and S. Ye, Org. Lett., 2020, 22, 8173.
- 6 (a) K. Namitharan, T. Zhu, J. Cheng, P. Zheng, X. Li, S. Yang, B. A. Song and Y. R. Chi, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, 5, 3982;
 (b) Z. J. Zhang, L. Zhang, R. L. Geng, J. Song, X. H. Chen and L. Z. Gong, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, 58, 12190; (c) Z. J. Zhang, Y. H. Wen, J. Song and L. Z. Gong, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, 59, 2;
 (d) Y.-F. Han, Z.-H. Gao, C.-L. Zhang and S. Ye, *Org. Lett.*, 2020, 22, 8396.
- 7 (a) M. F. A. Adamo, G. Bellini and S. Suresh, *Tetrahedron*, 2011, 67, 5784; (b) L. Zhou, X. Wu, X. Yang, C. Mou, R. Song, S. Yu, H. Chai, L. Pan, Z. Jin and Y. R. Chi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, 59, 1557.
- 8 Z. Chen, X. Yu and J. Wu, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 6356.
- 9 (a) A. V. Davies, K. P. Fitzpatrick, R. C. Betori and K. A. Scheidt, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2020, 59, 9143; (b) S. Singha, E. Serrano, S. Mondal, C. G. Daniliuc and F. Glorius, Nat. Catal., 2020, 3, 48.
- 10 H. Ohmiya, ACS Catal., 2020, 10, 6862.
- 11 S. Lu, J.-Y. Ong, S. B. Poh, T. Tsang and Y. Zhao, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 5714.
- 12 (a) L. Guo, X. Duan and Y. Liang, Acc. Chem. Res., 2011, 44, 111;
 (b) M. Yoshida, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 2012, 60, 285; (c) J. Tsuji and T. Mandai, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1995, 34, 2589;
 (d) C. Q. O'Broin and P. J. Guiry, J. Org. Chem., 2020, 85, 10321.
- 13 For selected rare examples of palladium-catalyzed propargylation of carbon nucleophiles: (a) X. Huang, S. Wu, W. Wu, P. Li, C. Fu and S. Ma, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, 7, 12382; (b) I. Ambrogio, S. Cacchi, G. Fabrizi, A. Goggiamani and A. Iazzetti, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2015, 3147; (c) Z. S. Chen, X. H. Duan, L. Y. Wu, S. Ali, K. G. Ji, P. X. Zhou, X. Y. Liu and Y. M. Liang, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2011, 17, 6918.