

Communication

Enantioselective Synthesis of Cyclobutenes by Intermolecular [2+2] Cycloaddition with Non-C2 Symmetric Digold Catalysts

Cristina García-Morales, Beatrice Ranieri, Imma Escofet, Laura López-Suárez, Carla Obradors, Andrey I. Konovalov, and Antonio M. Echavarren

J. Am. Chem. Soc., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/jacs.7b07651 • Publication Date (Web): 19 Sep 2017 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on September 19, 2017

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



Journal of the American Chemical Society is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036 Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

Enantioselective Synthesis of Cyclobutenes by Intermolecular [2+2] Cycloaddition with Non-*C*₂ Symmetric Digold Catalysts

Cristina García-Morales,[†] Beatrice Ranieri,[†] Imma Escofet,[†] Laura López-Suarez,[†] Carla Obradors,[†] Andrey I. Konovalov,[†] and Antonio M. Echavarren^{†‡*}

[†] Institute of Chemical Research of Catalonia (ICIQ), Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Av. Països Catalans 16, 43007 Tarragona (Spain).
 [‡] Departament de Química Orgànica i Analítica, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, C/ Marcel·lí Domingo s/n, 43007 Tarragona (Spain).

[‡] Departament de Química Orgànica i Analítica, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, C/ Marcel·lí Domingo s/n, 43007 Tarragona (Spain). Supporting Information Placeholder

ABSTRACT: The enantioselective intermolecular gold(I)catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition of terminal alkynes and alkenes has been achieved using non C_2 -chiral Josiphos digold(I) complexes as catalysts, by the formation of the monocationic complex. This new approach has been applied to the enantioselective total synthesis of rumphellaone A.

Gold(I) complexes are the most powerful and selective catalysts for the activation of alkynes in complex molecular settings.¹ Despite the success of gold(I) in homogeneous catalysis, highly enantioselective reactions of alkynes are still relatively scarce,² particularly in the context of intermolecular transformations.³ The linear geometry of gold(I) dicoordinated complexes poses a major limitation for the development of asymmetric gold(I) catalysis since it locates the chiral ligand very far away from the reaction center, where the addition takes place through an outer-sphere mechanism (Scheme 1). Atropoisomeric bidentate phosphines and phosphoramidites have been applied as ligands in asymmetric gold(I)-catalyzed reactions,² whereas the use of chiral counterions has allowed the transfer of the chiral information via tight ion pairs in allene cyclizations.⁴ The difficulty increases when linear alkynes are used as substrates in intermolecular reactions with alkenes. The problem of achieving stereocontrol in this process can be considered as a special case of the more general class of similarly challenging enantioselective electrophilic additions to alkenes,⁵ where the electrophile is generated in situ by coordination of the alkyne to the chiral gold(I) complex.

Scheme 1. General Scheme and Previous Work.



The gold(I)-catalyzed reaction of terminal alkynes 1 with alkenes 2 leads to cyclobutenes 3 by a [2+2] cycloaddition (Scheme 1),⁶ which are valuable synthons for the preparation

of functionalized cyclobutanes,^{7,8} present in a variety of natural products⁹ and pharmaceuticals.¹⁰

Enantioselective metal-catalyzed synthesis of cyclobutenes by [2+2] cycloaddition has only been reported with ynamides,¹¹ thioacetylenes,¹² or strained alkenes.^{9d,13} Herein, we report the first general enantioselective synthesis of cyclobutenes by intermolecular [2+2] cycloaddition using chiral non- C_2 symmetrical Josiphos digold(I) catalysts.^{14,15} To demonstrate its potential, we have applied this method in a concise asymmetric synthesis of the natural product rumphellaone A.

Table 1. Optimization of the Enantioselective Cycloaddtion of 1a with 2a to form 3a.^{*a*}

| | | Precatalyst (2.5 mol %) | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--------|---------------------------|-----------------|--|
| | | NaBAr ₄ ^F (2.5 mol %) | | | | |
| <u></u> —Ph + =< Ph | | Solvent (0.5 M) | | "Ph | | |
| 1a | 2a | 20 | -7211 | | 3a | |
| entry | complex | solvent | t (°C) | yield (%) ^b | er ^c | |
| 1 | $(S,R_{\rm P})$ -A | $(CH_2)_2Cl_2$ | 25 | 13 | 78:22 | |
| 2 | $(S,R_{\rm P})$ - B | $(CH_2)_2Cl_2$ | 25 | 66 | 84:16 | |
| 3 | $(R,S_{\rm P})$ -C | $(CH_2)_2Cl_2$ | 25 | 10 | 18:82 | |
| 4 | $(R,S_{\rm P})$ -D | $(CH_2)_2Cl_2$ | 25 | 10 | 20:80 | |
| 5 | $(R,S_{\rm P})$ -E | $(CH_2)_2Cl_2$ | 25 | 9. | 18:82 | |
| 6 | $(S,R_{\rm P})$ -F | $(CH_2)_2Cl_2$ | 25 | \mathbf{o}^d | - | |
| 7 | $(S,R_{\rm P})$ - B | C ₆ H ₅ Cl | 25 | 76 | 84:16 | |
| 8 ^e | $(S,R_{\rm P})$ - B | C ₆ H ₅ Cl | 25 | 78 | 86:14 | |
| 9 ^{<i>f</i>} | $(S,R_{\rm P})$ - B | C ₆ H ₅ Cl | 25 | 40 | 85:15 | |
| 10 | $(S,R_{\rm P})$ - B | $(CH_2)_2Cl_2$ | 0 | 55 | 88:12 | |
| 11 | $(S,R_{\rm P})$ - B | C ₆ H ₅ Cl | 0 | 63 | 88:12 | |
| 12 ^{<i>g</i>} | $(S,R_{\rm P})$ - B | C ₆ H ₅ Cl | -20 | 70 | 90:10 | |

^{*a*} **2a/1a** = 2:1 (**1a** = 0.1 mmol). ^{*b*} Isolated yield. ^{*c*} *er* determined by UPC2. ^{*d*} Oligomerization of **2a**. ^{*c*} NaBAr₄^{*F*} (5 mol %). ^{*f*} NaBAr₄^{*F*} (10 mol %). ^{*g*} Slow addition of **2a**.



We screened *ca*. 90 chiral ligands for the synthesis of cyclobutene 3a using high-throughput methods. Although the vast majority of chiral ligands led to 3a with low enantioselectivities, the breakthrough was achieved using the Josiphos ligands family (Table 1).¹⁶ Cyclobutene **3a** was isolated in low yields with precatalysts (S, R_P) -A, (R, S_P) -C, (R, S_P) -D and (R, S_P) -E (Table 1, entries 1 and 3-5), whereas complex (S, R_P) -B led to 3a in 66% yield and 84:16 er (Table 1, entry 2). Complex $(S,R_{\rm P})$ -F led to extensive oligomerization of the alkene 2a (Table 1, entry 6). Further optimization with complex (S, R_p) -B showed that chlorinated solvents were superior both in terms of enantioselectivity and conversion.¹⁶ As we have found before,^{6b,c} BAr₄^{F-} was the best counterion.¹⁶ Using chlorobenzene as solvent, 2.5 mol% of NaBAr $_{4}^{F}$ as chloride scavenger and performing the reaction at 0 °C led to **3a** in 63% yield and 88:12 er (Table 1, entry 11). By lowering the temperature to -20 °C, the enantioselectivity reached 90:10 er (Table 1, entry 12). When the reaction was carried out using 2.5 mol % of the silver(I) salt $Ag[Al[O(CF_2)_2]_4]$ to assure the formation of a monocationic species, cyclobutene 3a was obtained in 65% and 84:16 er. However, no reaction was observed by abstracting both chlorides from (S, R_P) -**B** with 5 mol % of silver(I) salt.¹⁶ Similarly, monogold complex (S, R_P) -**G** bearing the same ligand as (S, R_P) -**B**, but with only the metal center coordinated to the trialkylphosphine, led to traces of racemic **3a**.¹⁶

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

Table 2. Synthesis of Cyclobutenes 3a-ah using 1,1-Disubstituted Alkenes."



^{*a*} **1a-i** (0.3 mmol scale). Isolated yields average of two runs. *er* determined by UPC2. ^{*b*} **1c** (0.1 mmol scale). ^{*c*} 25 °C. X-ray crystal structures

were determined for **3f**, **3u**, **3v**, **3ad**, and **3ae**. The absolute configuration of **3f** and **3v** was also determined by X-ray diffraction.

The gold(I)-catalyzed cycloaddition of terminal alkynes 1a-i with 1,1-disubstituted alkenes led to cyclobutenes 3a-ab in moderate to excellent yields and enantioselectivities up to 94:6 *er* with catalyst (S, R_P) -**B** (Table 2). This is significant, as only a few examples of asymmetric electrophilic additions to 1,1-disusbstituted alkenes have been achieved before.^{5f} The reaction proceeded satisfactorily with aryl alkynes bearing electron rich substituents in para and meta position. 3-Ethynylthiophene also led to the corresponding cyclobutenes 3i, 3t, 3v, and 3aa. Good enantioselectivities were obtained with α -alkyl styrenes. However, 1,1-diakyl substituted alkenes or simple styrene resulted in a significant loss of enantioselectivity, as shown in the case of **3ab** and **3ac**. The absolute configuration of cyclobutenes **3f** and **3v** was determined to be *R* by X-ray diffraction. Biscyclobutenes **3ad-ah** were also obtained with high enantioselectivities from dialkynes or dialkenes as reaction counterparts by twofold cycloaddition. The corresponding meso derivatives were obtained as minor products in these reactions (20-30% yields).

The cycloaddition of trisubstituted alkenes with terminal alkynes was carried out with catalyst (R,S_P) -**F** to give 1,3,3,4-tetrasubstituted cyclobutenes **3ai-ap** with moderate to excellent regioselectivities (Scheme 2).¹⁷ The enantioselectivities were on the same range to those obtained with 1,1-disubstituted alkenes using catalyst (S,R_P) -**B**.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Cyclobutenes 3ai-ap from Trisubstituted Alkenes.^a



Scheme 3. Synthesis of Rumphellaone A



To demonstrate the utility of the asymmetric cyclobutene synthesis, we developed a second-generation synthesis of rumphellaone A (**4**) (Scheme 3), following our first diastereoselective total synthesis, which was achieved in 12 steps by a gold(I)-catalyzed [2+2] macrocyclization of a 1,10-enyne.¹⁸ The key intermolecular [2+2] cycloaddition of **1a** with trisubstituted alkene **20** in the presence of catalyst (R,S_P)-F furnished cyclobutene **3aq** in 70% yield and 91:1 *er*. Cyclobutene **3aq** was then converted into intermediate **7** following our previously described conditions,¹⁸ which allowed completing a formal synthesis of rumphellaone A (**4**) in 9 steps. This synthesis also allows establishing the *S*-configuration for cyclobutene **3aq**. Those of **3ai-ap** were assigned as *S* by analogy.

In the range of applied concentrations, the [2+2] cycloaddition reaction exhibited first order kinetic dependence on each reactant.¹⁹ The reaction also showed a first order dependence on the catalyst concentration when complex (R,S_P)-**B** and NaBAr^F₄ were mixed in a 1:1 ratio. A Hammett plot for a series of *para*-substituted α -methyl styrenes **2a-g,p** showed linear correlations with σ^+ constants for two different sets within the series, one for R = Me, *i*Pr, *t*Bu and cyclopropyl (ρ = +7.05, R² = 0.99) and the other one for R = F, H, Cl, and Br (ρ = -2.32, R² = 0.97) (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Hammett Plot for the Reaction of 1a and 2a-g, p with (S, R_p) -B.



The abrupt difference in the ρ values is indicative of a change in the catalytic turnover-limiting step. The observation of a highly positive ρ value for **2e-g,p** in an alkene electrophilic addition is seemingly puzzling, although it can be explained considering that in these cases the turnover limiting step is the ligand exchange between $[LAu(\eta^2-alkene)]^+$ and the alkyne to form $[LAu(\eta^2-alkyne)]^+$ and free alkene, which experiences a decrease in positive charge. Indeed, we have shown that the associative ligand exchange is the slowest step in the [2+2] cycloaddition reaction with mononuclear gold(I) complexes.⁶⁶ For substrates 2a-d the formation of $[LAu(\eta^2-alkene)]^+$ is less favored,²⁰ and therefore the observed negative ρ value is a result of the build-up of positive charge at the most substituted carbon of the alkene in a turn-over limiting Markovnikov-type addition of electrophilic $[LAu(\eta^2-alkyne)]^+$ complex.^{6c}

Aurophilic interactions have been shown to be important in other ferrocenyl diphosphino gold(I) complexes.²¹ However, in the solid state of (S, R_P) -**B** (Figure 3a) and related complexes,²² the two gold(I) centers are *anti*-oriented with respect to each other and no aurophilic interactions were observed. DFT calculations provide a model to explain the asymmetric induction in the key electrophilic addition of $[LAu(\eta^2 - M_P)]$

alkyne)]⁺ to the alkene leading to (*R*)-3*a* when complex (S, R_P) -**B** is used as the precatalyst (Figure 3b).¹⁶ The calculated energy difference between the lowest transition states that lead to (S)- and (R)-3a $\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{S-R} = 0.7-1.1 \text{ kcal·mol}^{-1}$ (depending on the method) is in good agreement with experimentally derived value of $\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{S-R} \approx 1 \text{ kcal·mol}^{-1}$. Apart from the combination of stabilizing π -stacking and unfavorable steric effects between the approaching alkene and the naphthyl rings of the ligand, we identified a strong C-H - AuCl repulsion between the (naphthyl), P-AuCl and the methine hydrogen atom in the α -position to the Cp-ring of the ferrocenyl moiety, which rises the energy of the TS_S transition state vs. TS_R . Calculations of the corresponding transition states without the second AuCl on (naphthyl)₂P resulted in the complete loss of stereoselectivity, in agreement with the experimental data using complex (S, R_P) -G¹

Figure 3. (a) X-Ray Crystal Structure of (S, R_P) -B and (b) Lowest Energy Transition State for the Reaction of 1a and 2a with (S, R_P) -B.^{*a*}



 a Calculated at PCM(PhCl)-BP86-D₃, SDD(Au, Fe), 6- $_{31}$ G(d) (C, H, P, Cl) level of theory using Go9 package.¹⁶

In summary, we have developed a broad scope enantioselective synthesis of cyclobutenes by intermolecular [2+2] cycloaddition of alkynes with alkenes using Josiphos digold(I) catalysts. This reaction allowed us to streamline the enantioselective synthesis of rumphellaone A, which was achieved in only 9 steps. Our studies indicate that only one of the gold(I) centers is directly involved in the activation of the alkyne, although the second one is required to induce the enantioselectivity. Our work also reveals that both ligand exchange and electrophilic addition can be turnover-limiting steps in this catalytic cycloaddition. Further chiral ligand development based on the proposed stereochemical model is underway.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information.

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website.

Additional details, experimental procedures and characterization data for compounds (PDF).

Crystallographic data (CIF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

* E mail for A.M.E. <u>aechavarren@iciq.es</u> ORCID

Antonio M. Echavarren: 0000-0001-6808-3007

Cristina García-Morales: 0000-0002-3566-2608 Laura López-Suárez: 0000-0002-8750-2294 Carla Obradors: 0000-0002-2339-9398 Notes

No competing financial interests have been declared.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank MINECO/FEDER, UE (CTQ2016-75960-P and FPI Fellowship to C.G.M.), MINECO-Severo Ochoa Excellence Acreditation 2014-2018, SEV-2013-0319), MECD (FPU Fellowship to C. O,), the European Research Council (Advanced Grant No. 321066), the AGAUR (2014 SGR 818), the Marie Curie program (Postdoctoral Fellowship 658256-MSCA-IF-EF-ST to B.R.) CERCA Program / Generalitat de Catalunya for financial support. We also thank the ICIQ X-ray diffraction unit, the Chromatography, Thermal Analysis and Electrochemistry unit and CELLEX-ICIQ HTE laboratory.

REFERENCES

- (a) Fürstner, A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 3208–3221. (c) Shapiro, N. D.; Toste, F. D. Synlett 2010, 675–691. (b) Obradors, C.; Echavarren, A. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 902–912. (c) Fensterbank, L.; Malacria, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 953–965. (d) Dorel, R.; Echavarren, A. M. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 9028–9072. (e) Pflästerer, D.; Hashmi, A. S. K. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2016, 45, 1331– 1367.
- (2) (a) Wang, Y.; Lackner, A. D.; Toste, F. D. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 889–901. (b) Zi, W.; Toste, F. D. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2016, 45, 4567–4589. (c) Li, Y.; Li, W.; Zhang, J. Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 467–512.
- (3) (a) Francos, J.; Grande-Carmona, F.; Faustino, H.; Iglesias-Sigüenza, J.; Díez, E.; Alonso, I.; Fernández, R.; Lassaletta, J. M.; López, F.; Ujaque, G. Chem. Eur. J. 2013, 19, 15248–15260. (b) Suárez-Pantiga, S.; Hernández-Díaz, C.; Rubio, E.; González, J. M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 11552–11555. (c) Li, G. H.; Zhou, W.; Li, W.; Bi, Q.W.; Wang, Z.; Zhao, G. Z.; Hu, W. X.; Chen, Z. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 4770-4772. (d) Varela, I.; Faustino, H.; Díez, E.; Iglesias-Sigüenza, J.; Grande-Carmona, F.; Fernández, R.; Lassaletta, J. M.; Mascareñas, J. L.; López, F. ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 2397–2402.
- (4) (a) Hamilton, G. L.; Kang, E. J.; Mba, M.; Toste, F. D. Science 2007, 317, 496–499. (b) Aikawa, K.; Kojima, M.; Mikami, K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 6073–6077. (c) Aikawa, K.; Kojima, M.; Mikami, K. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 3131–3135. (d) Tu, X.; Gong, L. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 11346–11349.
- (5) Leading references: (a) Snyder, S. A.; Tang, Z.-Y.; Gupta, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 5744–5745. (b) Denmark, S. E.; Jaunet, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 6419–6422. (c) Denmark, S. E.; Hartmann, E.; Kornfilt, D. J. P.; Wang, H. Nat. Chem. 2014, 6, 1056–1064. (d) Denmark, S. E.; Carson, N. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 5728–5731. (e) Cresswell, A. J.; Eey, S. T.-C.; Denmark, S. E. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 15642–15682. (f) Hu, D. X.; Seidl, F. J.; Bucher, C.; Burns, N. Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 3795–3798. (g) Landry, M. L.; Hu, D. X.; McKenna, G. M.; Burns, N. Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 5150–5158. (h) Denmark, S. E.; Ryabchuk, P.; Burk, M. T.; Gilbert, B. B. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 10411–10423. (i) Samanta, R. S.; Yamamoto, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 1460–1463.
- (6) (a) López-Carrillo, V.; Echavarren, A. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 9292–9294. (b) Homs, A.; Obradors, C.; Leboeuf, D.; Echavarren, A. M. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 221–228. (c) Orbe, E. M. de; Amenós, L.; Kirillova, M. S.; Wang, Y.; López-Carrillo, V.; Maseras, F.; Echavarren, A. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 10302-10311.
- (7) (a) Lee-Ruff, E.; Mladenova, G. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 1449–1484.
 (b) Namyslo, J. C.; Kaufmann, D. E. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 1485–

1537. (c) Misale, A.; Niyomchon, S.; Maulide, N. Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49, 2444–2458.

- (8) (a) Masarwa, A.; Fürstner, A.; Marek, I. Chem. Commun. 2009, 5760-5762. (b) Liu, R.; Zhang, M.; Wyche, T. P.; Winston-McPherson, G. N.; Bugni, T. S.; Tang, W. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 7503-7506. (c) Niyomchon, S.; Audisio, D.; Luparia, M.; Maulide, N. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 2318-2321. (d) Souris, C.; Misale, A.; Chen, Y.; Luparia, M.; Maulide, N. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 4486-4489. (e) Chen, Y.-J.; Hu, T.-J.; Feng, C.-G.; Lin, G.-Q. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 8773-8776. (f) Eisold, M.; Didier, D. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 15884-15887. (g) Guisán-Ceinos, M.; Parra, A.; Martín-Heras, V.; Tortosa, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 8, 334-339. (i) Eisold, M.; Baumann, A. N.; Kiefl, G. M.; Emmerling, S. T.; Didier, D. Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 23, 1634-1644. (h) Baumann, A. N.; Eisold, M.; Didier, D. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 2114-2117.
- (9) (a) Collado, I. G.; Hanson, J. R.; Macías-Sánchez, A. J. Nat. Prod. Rep. 1998, 15, 1872-204. (b) Wessjohann, L. A.; Brandt, W.; Thiemann, T. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 1625–1647. (c) Dembitsky, V. M. J. Nat. Med. 2008, 62, 1–33. (d) Xu, Y.; Conner, M. L.; Brown, M. K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 11918–11928.
- (10) (a) Grongsaard, P.; Bulger, P. G.; Wallace, D. J.; Tan, L.; Chen, Q.; Dolman, S. J.; Nyrop, J.; Hoerrner, R. S.; Weisel, M.; Arredondo, J.; Itoh, T.; Xie, C.; Wen, X.; Zhao, D.; Muzzio, D. J.; Bassan, E. M.; Shultz, C. S. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2012, 16, 1069–1081. (b) Lukin, K.; Kishore, V.; Gordon, T. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2013, 17, 666–671. (c) Kallemeyn, J. M.; Ku, Y.-Y.; Mulhern, M. M.; Bishop, R.; Pal, A.; Jacob, L. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2014, 18, 191–197.
- (11) (a) Schotes, C.; Mezzetti, A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 3072–3074. (b) Schotes, C.; Bigler, R.; Mezzetti, A. Synthesis 2012, 44, 513–526. (c) Enomoto, K.; Oyama, H.; M. Nakada, M. Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 2798–2802.
- (12) (a) Ito, H.; Hasegawa, M.; Takenaka, Y.; Kobayashi, T.; Iguchi, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004, *126*, 4520–4521. (b) Takenaka, Y.; Ito, H.; Hasegawa, M.; Iguchi, K. *Tetrahedron* 2006, *62*, 3380–3388. (c) Takenaka, Y.; Ito, H.; Iguchi, K. *Tetrahedron* 2007, *63*, 510–513.
- (13) (a) Shibata, T.; Takami, K.; Kawachi, A. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 1343–1345. (b) Fan, B.-M.; Li, X.-J.; Peng, F.-Z.; Zhang, H.-B.; Chan, A. S. C.; Shao, Z.-H. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 304–306. (c) Kossler, D.; Cramer, N. Chem. Sci. 2017, 8, 1862–1866.
- (14) A single example of gold(I)-catalyzed asymmetric intermolecular [2+2] cycloaddition has been reported in low enantioselectivity (65:35 er) using an axially chiral imidazoisoquinolin-2-ylidene ligand: Grande-Carmona, F.; Iglesias-Sigüenza, J.; Álvarez, E.; Díez, E.; Fernández, R.; Lassaletta, J. M. Organometallics 2015, 34, 5073-5080.
- (15) Using (*R*)-DM-SEGPHOS(AuCl)² as a chiral catalyst, lactones were obtained with modest to moderate enantioselectivities (60:40-82:18 *er*) in the intermolecular reaction of *t*-butyl propiolate with alkenes: Yeom, H.-S.; Koo, J.; Park, H.-S.; Wang, Y.; Liang, Y.; Yu, Z.-X.; Shin, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 208–211.
- (16) See Supporting Information for additional details.
- (17) Cyclobutenes **3ai** (78%, 3:1, 67:33 *er*), **3ak** (78%, 2:1, 64:36 *er*) and **3an** (91%, 1.5:1, 69:31 *er*) were obtained with (*S*,*R*_{*P*})-**B** (2.5 mol%), NaBAr^F₄ (2.5 mol%) in chlorobenzene (0.5 M) at 25 °C.
- (18) Ranieri, B.; Obradors, C.; Mato, M.; Echavarren, A. M. *Org. Lett.* **2016**, 18, 1614–1617.
- (19) Initial rates (*ca.* 5% formation of **3a**) were used to determine the order of the reaction in substrates **1a** and **2a** by monitoring reactions by 'H NMR (1:1 ratio of (*S*,*R*_{*P*})-**B** and NaBAr₄^F).¹⁶
- (20)(a) Brown, T. J.; Dickens, M. G.; Widenhoefer, R. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 6350–6351. (b) Brooner, R. E. M.; Brown, T. J.; Widenhoefer, R. A. Chem. Eur. J. 2013, 19, 8276–8284.
- (21) Rampazzi, V.; Roger, J.; Amardeil, R.; Penouilh, M.-J.; Richard, P.; Fleurat-Lessard, P.; Hierso, J.-C. *Inorg. Chem*, **2016**, 55, 10907– 10921.
- (22)See Supporting Information for additional X-ray crystal structures of Josiphos gold(I) complexes.

Table of Contents graphic:

