Tetrahedron Letters xxx (2016) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Tetrahedron Letters



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet

Lewis acid-assisted *N*-fluorobenzenesulfonimide-based electrophilic fluorine catalysis in Beckmann rearrangement

Fukai Xie^{a,b,1}, Chuan Du^{a,b,1}, Yadong Pang^{a,b}, Xu Lian^a, Chentao Xue^a, Yanyu Chen^a, Xuefei Wang^a, Maosheng Cheng^a, Chun Guo^{a,*}, Bin Lin^{a,*}, Yongxiang Liu^{a,b,*}

^a Key Laboratory of Structure-Based Drug Design and Discovery (Shenyang Pharmaceutical University), Ministry of Education, Shenyang 110016, PR China ^b Faculty of Wu Ya, Shenyang Pharmaceutical University, Shenyang 110016, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 11 September 2016 Revised 12 November 2016 Accepted 14 November 2016 Available online xxxx

Keywords: N-Fluorobenzenesulfonimide Beckmann rearrangement Lewis acid assistance Electrophilic fluorine catalysis

ABSTRACT

A microwave-assisted *N*-fluorobenzenesulfonimide (NFSI)/Lewis acid-catalyzed Beckmann rearrangement was developed. The remarkable promotion to the electrophilicity of NFSI by Lewis acids was illustrated utilizing a series of readily available oxime substrates. The action model between NFSI and Lewis acids was probed by control experiments and theoretical calculations.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

N-Fluorobenzenesulfonimide (NFSI) is a commercially available, cheap, stable, and easy-to-handle solid compound, which was first synthesized by Differding and Ofner in 1991.¹ As a source of fluorine cation (F⁺), NFSI was originally used as an electrophilic fluorinating reagent.² NFSI also served as an oxidant to generate high-oxidation-state metal complexes in transition-metal catalyzed reactions.³ Recently, NFSI has been employed as a nucleophilic nitrogen or nitrogen radical in many C–N bond formation reactions.⁴ NFSI showed strong electrophilicity owing to the existence of a fluorine cation, which made it a potential non-metallic Lewis acid. However, there were no reports on the Lewis acidity of NFSI in catalyzing organic transformations until our group reported the Meyer-Schuster rearrangement catalyzed by NSFI with the aid of samarium(III) triflate early this year.⁵

Beckmann rearrangement is an acid-catalyzed transformation of oxime to amide in organic synthesis.⁶ Traditionally, Beckmann rearrangement was performed under the catalysis of strongly acidic and dehydrating media such as sulphuric acid,⁷ phosphorus pentachloride⁸ and the mixture of acetic acid, acetic anhydride and hydrogen chloride.⁹ Mild conditions were also developed, which mainly included silica gel^{10a,b} and zeolite-based catalysts,^{10c} metallic Lewis acids^{10d-f} and solid acid catalysts.^{10g,h} Beckmann

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2016.11.054 0040-4039/© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. rearrangement in supercritical water¹¹ or ionic liquids media¹² was studied as well. Organocatalysts were also utilized as efficient catalysts in Beckmann rearrangement.¹³ Because of the importance of the products derived from Beckmann rearrangement, continuous efforts on developing facile and robust conditions for this transformation have been made.

Our group recently has introduced a new catalytic system resulted from the combination of NFSI and rare earth metal triflates such as samarium(III) triflate $[Sm(OTf)_3]$ in catalyzing Meyer-Schuster rearrangement, which was featured as mild reaction conditions, short reaction time and simple experimental procedures.⁵ The discovery of the new function of NFSI inspired us to investigate the unique catalytic system in certain Lewis acids catalyzed transformations especially those with harsh conditions and to identify the true catalytic species. In the present study, we aimed at the development of NFSI/Lewis acids system in catalyzing Beckmann rearrangement and the detection of the detailed mechanistic interactions between NFSI and Lewis acids.

An oxime substrate **1** was synthesized firstly to screen the conditions for Beckmann rearrangement under the microwave irradiation. It was found that $Sm(OTf)_3$ or NFSI alone was not an effective catalyst in catalyzing the transformation (Table 1, entries 1–2). In contrast, a combination of NFSI and $Sm(OTf)_3$ (1 mol%) could catalyze the reaction to provide the product with excellent isolated yield at 100 °C for 10 min using acetonitrile as the solvent (Table 1, entries 3–4). Decreasing of the catalytic loading of NFSI to 10 mol% or 5 mol% resulted in lower yields (Table 1, entries 5–6).

^{*} Corresponding authors at: Key Laboratory of Structure-Based Drug Design and Discovery (Shenyang Pharmaceutical University), Ministry of Education, Shenyang 110016, PR China (Y. Liu).

¹ These authors contributed equally.

F. Xie et al. / Tetrahedron Letters xxx (2016) xxx-xxx

Table 1

Screening of the reaction conditions.



Entry	Solvent	NFSI loading (mol%)	Lewis acid loading (mol%)	Temp (°C)	Time (min)	Yield ^a (%)
1	CH ₃ CN	0	1	100	10	11
2	CH ₃ CN	15	0	100	10	30
3	CH ₃ CN	25	1	100	10	95
4	CH ₃ CN	15	1	100	10	93
5	CH ₃ CN	10	1	100	10	77
6	CH ₃ CN	5	1	100	10	13
7	CH ₃ CN	15	5	100	10	96
8	CH ₃ CN	10	5	100	10	80
9	CH ₃ CN	5	5	100	10	47
10	CH ₃ CN	15	1	100	150	93 ^b
11	THF	15	1	100	30	10
12	DCE	15	1	100	30	80
13	toluene	15	1	100	30	7

Note:

^a Run on a 0.2 mmol scale and isolated yield.

^b Run with heating.

Increasing of the $Sm(OTf)_3$ loading afforded no dramatic improvement in yield (Table 1, entries 7–9). The reaction could be performed with heating by prolonging reaction times (Table 1, entry 10). In screening solventssuch as tetrahydrofuran (THF), dichloroethane (DCE) and toluene, it was observed that DCE could also act as an effective solvent, while THF and toluene were not the optimal choices (Table 1, entries 11–13).

With the optimized conditions in hand, a library of oxime compounds was synthesized with structural and electronic modifications to examine the scope of the NFSI/Sm(OTf)₃ system in catalyzing Beckmann rearrangement. 1-(Aryl)ethanone oximes 1a-1e were prepared firstly and subjected to the optimal conditions. All the tested substrates gave the N-(aryl)acetamide products 2a-2e in moderate to excellent yields regardless of the aryl rings bearing electron-rich or electron-deficient substitutions (Table 2, entries 1–5). The symmetrical bis(aryl)methanone oxime substrates 1f-1i were then examined under the standard conditions and corresponding N-(aryl)benzamides products **2f**-**2i** were obtained in excellent yields (Table 2, entries 6–9). The substrates of unsymmetrical bis(aryl)methanone oximes 1j-1k gave the electron-rich phenyl ring migration N-(aryl)benzamide products 2j-2k as the major products, accompanied with about 30% electron-deficient phenyl ring migration *N*-(aryl)benzamide products (Table 2, entries 10-11).

It is worth mentioning that the reaction could also proceed smoothly under neat conditions without losing any efficiency (Scheme 1). And the reaction temperature could be decreased to 80 °C or 60 °C by prolonging reaction time accompanied by slightly lower yield. The reaction could be performed on a gram scale by heating for relatively longer reaction time.

In order to gain insights into the new catalytic system, we turned towards the evaluation of some available Lewis acid with the combination of NFSI in catalyzing Beckmann rearrangement. Firstly, several rare earth metal triflates were investigated. It was shown that they could catalyze the transformation in about 10% yield in the absence of NFSI, consistent with the result of samarium(III) triflate without addition of NFSI. However, when a mixture of 15 mol% NFSI and 1 mol% rare earth metal triflates was used, the yield was improved significantly (Table 3, entries 1–4). Some non-active Lewis acids with chloride as couterions were also examined.

When the combinations of those Lewis acids with NFSI were employed, acceptable yields were achieved. The blank experiments by using metal chloride afforded only trace amount of products or no products at all (Table 3, entries 5–11). These results demonstrated that in the assistance of Lewis acids, even those with lower catalytic activities the NFSI has much better activity than NFSI alone in catalyzing Beckmann rearrangement.

To identify the real catalytic species, several control experiments were performed. The possibility of the formation of more active $Sm[N(SO_2Ph)_2]_3^{14}$ by counterion exchange was excluded by synthesizing the $Sm[N(SO_2Ph)_2]_3$ using literature reported method.¹⁵ The freshly prepared catalyst showed uncomparable activity with the combination of NFSI and Sm(OTf)₃ (Table 4, entry 1). In contrast, the combination of NFSI and Sm[N(SO₂Ph)₂]₃ provided reasonable yield (Table 4, entry 2). Additionally, to study the influence of electrophilic fluorine on the catalysis, N-methylbenzenesulfonimide (NMSI) was prepared. The combination of NMSI and Sm(OTf)₃ showed much weaker catalytic activity than NFSI/Sm(OTf)₃ and the combination of NMSI and CaCl₂ showed no activity at all, which indicated that the fluorine played an essential role in catalyzing the reactions (Table 4, entries 3–4). To shed lights on the catalytic effects of the electrophilic fluorine, two commercially available electrophilic fluorine reagents were examined. As expected, they were able to catalyze the Beckmann rearrangement in 73% and 83% yields respectively (Table 4, entries 5-6). However, the combination of Sm(OTf)₃ and Selectflour afforded similar yields to Selectfluro itself, which indicated that the electrophilicity of the fluorine of Selectfluor was not further increased by Sm(OTf)₃. It also indicated that the Lewis acids significantly increased the electrophilicity of the fluorine of NFSI to the degree that is comparable to that of the Selectflour fluorine (Table 4, entry 7).^{2d,16}

From those above findings, a mechanistic proposal on the interaction between NFSI and Lewis acids was presented in Scheme 2. The bidental coordination of Lewis acids with the sulfonyl groups on NFSI in a six-membered ring pattern¹⁷ would decrease the electron density on nitrogen of the counteranion, which would enhance the electrophilicity of fluorine by redistributing the electron density. In order to determine the electronic nature of the fluorine, DFT calculations were performed and the atomic charges

F. Xie et al./Tetrahedron Letters xxx (2016) xxx-xxx

Table 2

Substrate scopes of NFSI/Sm(OTf)₃-catalyzed Beckmann rearrangement.

$$\begin{array}{c} N \xrightarrow{OH} & NFSI (15 \text{ mol}\%), \\ R_2 & R_1 & Sm(OTf)_3 (1 \text{ mol}\%) \\ \textbf{1a-1k} & 100 \ ^\circ\text{C}, 10 \text{ min} & \textbf{2a-2k} \end{array} \xrightarrow{H} R_2 \xrightarrow{H} R_1$$



Note:

Isolated yield. b

110 °C, 20 min.

^c 120 °C, 10 min.

- d 100 °C. 20 min.
- The phenyl migration product was obtained in 34% yield.

^f The *p*-F-phenyl migration product was obtained in 33% yield.

were presented in Table 5. Compared to the electron density of the fluorine atom in NFSI, the electron densities on the fluorines in all complexes were decreased to various extent due to the electronwithdrawing effects from the metal ions. It increases the



Scheme 1. Evaluation of neat reaction conditions.

Table 3

NFSI/Lewis acid-catalyzed Beckmann rearrangement.



Entry	Lewis acid	Yield 1 (%) ^a	Yield 2 (%) ^b
1	Yb(OTf) ₃	13	86
2	$La(OTf)_3$	10	85
3	$Nd(OTf)_3$	9	92
4	$Er(OTf)_3$	9	96
5	InCl ₃	6	93
6	SnCl ₂	15	83
7	AlCl ₃	3	80
8	FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O	3	84
9	ZnCl ₂	3	95
10	CeCl ₃ ·7H ₂ O	0	99
11	CaCl ₂	0	87

Note:

^a Lewis acid as the catalyst.

^b NFSI/Lewis acid as the catalyst.

propensity for the electrophilic fluorine to chelate with electronrich substrates.

On the basis of the results mentioned above, a plausible mechanism was proposed in Scheme 3. The coordination between the hydroxyl group of oxime 1a and the electrophilic fluorine in NFSI/Lewis acid complex promoted the departure of the hydroxyl group, accompanied by a 1,2-migration of the phenyl group to form the resonance structures 1a-1 and 1a-2. A nucleophilic addition of the hydroxyl group or water in the solvent to the resulted carbocation provided the amide product 2a after the tautomerization of **2a**' and the NFSI/Lewis acid complex was released again.

In conclusion, we have developed a unique NFSI/Lewis acids catalytic system in catalyzing classic Beckmann rearrangement with excellent yields. The investigation on real catalytic species

F. Xie et al. / Tetrahedron Letters xxx (2016) xxx-xxx

4

Table 4









Scheme 2. The proposed action model between Lewis acid and NFSI.

Table 5

The natural atomic charges 18 on the fluorine atom in NFSI and NFSI-M n* complexes at B3LYP $^{19,20}/6$ -31G(d) level (SDD 21 for metal ions).

Species	The atomic charge on the fluorine atom
NFSI	-0.220
NFSI-Sm(III)	-0.092
NFSI-Yb(III)	-0.091
NFSI-La(III)	-0.149
NFSI-Nd(III)	-0.074
NFSI-Er(III)	-0.092
NFSI-In(III)	-0.105
NFSI-Sn(II)	-0.192
NFSI-Al(III)	-0.155
NFSI-Fe(III)	-0.087
NFSI-Zn(II)	-0.180
NFSI-Ce(III)	-0.074
NFSI-Ca(II)	-0.189

of Lewis acid-assisted NFSI system revealed that Lewis acids act as promoters by coordinating with NFSI to improve the electrophilicity of fluorine. The new NFSI/Lewis acid-based catalytic system stands for a new direction of NFSI as non-metallic Lewis acid in catalyzing various organic transformations.



Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the Natural Science Foundation of Liaoning Province of China (No. 2014020080), the Foundation of Liaoning Province Education Administration of China (No. L2014386). We gratefully acknowledge to the Undergraduate Training Programs for Innovation and Entrepreneurship in Shenyang Pharmaceutical University and Liaoning Province of China. We thank the innovative research team of the Ministry of Education and program for Liaoning innovative research team in university for financial support.

A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2016.11. 054.

References

- 1. Differdin E, Ofner H. Synlett. 1991;3:187-189.
- **2.** (a) Baudoux J, Cahard D. *Org React.* 2007;69:347–672; (b) Ma JA, Cahard D. *Chem Rev.* 2004;104:6119–6146;
- (c) Xu T, Liu G. Org Lett. 2012;21:5416-5419;
- (d) Liang T, Neumann CN, Ritter T. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2013;52:8214-8264;
- (e) Zhang K, Zhao G, Cao W. *Tetrahedron*. 2014;35:5659–5665.
 3. (a) Qiu S, Xu T, Zhou J, Guo Y, Liu G. J Am Chem Soc. 2010;132:2856–2857;
 - (a) Bigle SA, Xu Y, Zhou J, Yu Qu, Yu Qu, Angew Chem Int Ed. 2011;50:1478–1491;
 (c) Wang X, Leow D, Yu JQ. J Am Chem Soc. 2011;133:13864–13867;
- (d) Ball ND, Gary JB, Ye Y, Sanford MS. J Am Chem Soc. 2011;133:7577-7584;
 (e) Yuan Z, Wang H, Mu X, Chen P, Guo Y, Liu G. J Am Chem Soc. 2015;137:2468-2471;
 (f) Zheng G, Zhao J, Li Z, et al. Chem Eur J. 2016;22:3513-3518.
- (a) Sibbald PA, Rosewall CF, Swartz RD, Michael FE. J Am Chem Soc. 2009;131:15945–15951;
- (b) Barker TJ, Jarvo ER. Synthesis. 2011;3954-3964;
- (c) Kilian M, Jonathan K, Patricia C. Adv Synth Catal. 2011;353:689-694;
- (d) Bizet V. Synlett. 2012;23:2719-2720;
- (e) Ingalls EL, Sibbald PA, Kaminsky W, Michael FE. J Am Chem Soc. 2013;135:8854-8856;
- (f) Li Y, Zhang Q. Synthesis. 2015;47:159–174;
- (g) Zheng G, Li Y, Han J, Xiong T, Zhang Q. Nat Commun. 2015;6:7011;
- (h) Kawakami T, Murakami K, Itami K. J Am Chem Soc. 2015;137:2460-2463;
- (i) Herrera LC, Madrid RM, Lopez JJ, Canete AI, Hermosilla PE, Perez G.

Please cite this article in press as: Xie F., et al. Tetrahedron Lett. (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2016.11.054

F. Xie et al./Tetrahedron Letters xxx (2016) xxx-xxx

ChemCatChem. 2016;8:2015-2018;

- (j) Fang Z, Feng Y, Dong H, Li D, Tang T. Chem Commun. 2016;52:11120–11123.
- 5. Du C, Wang X, Jin S, et al. Org Chem. 2016;6:755-762. (a) Beckmann E. Ber Dtsch Chem Ges. 1886;19:988-993; 6.
 - (b) Blatt AH. Chem Rev. 1933;12:215-260;
 - (c) Donaruma LG, Heldt WZ. Org React. 1960;11:1-156;
 - (d) Nguyen MT, Raspoet G, Vanquickenborne LG. J Am Chem Soc. 1997;119:2552-2562;
 - (e) Gawly RE. Org React. 1988;35:14-24;
 - (f) Abele E, Lukevics E. Heterocycles. 2000;53:2285-2336;
 - (g) Nubbemeyer U. Top Curr Chem. 2001;216:125-196.
- 7. Pearson DE, Ball F. J Org Chem. 1949;14:118-131.
- 8. Wiemann J, Nguyen VT, Ham P. Bull Soc Chim Fr. 1967;10:3920-3923.
- 9. Bauer L, Hewitson RE. J Org Chem. 1962;27:3982-3985.
- 10. (a) Costa A, Mestres R, Riego JM. Synth Commun. 1982;12:1003-1006; (b) Khadilkar BM, Upadhyaya, Dharita J. Synth Commun. 2002;32:1867-1873; (c) Li WC, Lu AH, Palkovits R, Schmidt W, Spliethoff B, Schueth F. J Am Chem Soc. 2005;127:12595-12600;
 - (d) De SK. Synth Commun. 2004;34:3431;
 - (e) Ramalingan C, Park YT. J Org Chem. 2007;72:4536-4538;
 - (f) Mishra A, Ali A, Upreti S, Gupta R. Inorg Chem. 2008;47:154-161;

(g) Curtin T, McMonagle JB, Hodnett BK. Stud Surf Sci Catal. 1993;75:2609-2612;

- (h) Sandip M, Chiranjit S, Rawesh K, et al. RSC Adv. 2014;4:845-854.
- 11. Sato O, Ikushima Y, Yokoyama T. J Org Chem. 1998;63:9100-9102. 12. (a) Sugamoto K, Matsushita Y, Matsui T. Synth Commun. 2011;41:879-884;
- (b) Maia A, Albanese DCM, Landini D. Tetrahedron. 2012;68:1947-1950; (c) Kore R, Srivastava R, J Mol Catal A. 2013;376:90–97;
 (d) Zhang X, Mao D, Leng Y, Zhou Y, Wang J. Catal Lett. 2013;143:193–199.
- 13. (a) Furuya Y, Ishihara K, Yamamoto H. J Am Chem Soc. 2005;127:11240-11241; (b) Srivastava VP, Patel R, Garima, Yadav LDS. Chem Commun. 2010;46:5808-5810;
- (c) Tyagi R, Kaur N, Singh B, Kishore D. Synth Commun. 2013;43:16-25.
- Ma Y, Wang L, Shao J, Tian H. Curr Org Chem. 2007;11:559–576.
 Pan RQ, He P, Lv YG. J. Chin. Soc. Rare Earth. 1990;1:89–92.
- 16. Becerril MR, Sazepin CC, Leung JCT, et al. J Am Chem Soc. 2012;134:4026-4029.
- 17. Fukushi KS, Suzuki, Kamo T, et al. Green Chem. 2016;18:1864-1868.
- 18. Foster JP, Weinhold F. J Am Chem Soc. 1980;102:7211-7218.
- 19. Becke AD. J Chem Phys. 1993;98:5648-5652.
- 20. Lee C, Yang W, Parr RG. Phys Rev B. 1988;37:785-789.
- 21. Dolg M, Stoll H, Preuss H, Pitzer RM. J Phys Chem. 1993;97:5852-5859.