MedChemComm



View Article Online

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Check for updates

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/ c9md00074g

Received 4th February 2019, Accepted 5th April 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9md00074g

rsc.li/medchemcomm

Novel zafirlukast derivatives exhibit selective antibacterial activity against *Porphyromonas gingivalis*[†]

Nishad Thamban Chandrika,^a Marina Y. Fosso,^a Yelena Alimova,^b Abigail May,^b Octavio A. Gonzalez^{*bc} and Sylvie Garneau-Tsodikova ^{(D)*a}

Periodontal disease is an oral chronic immune-inflammatory disease highly prevalent worldwide that is initiated by specific oral bacterial species leading to local and systemic effects. The development of new preventive/therapeutic strategies to specifically target oral periodontopathogens without perturbing oral microbiome species normally colonizing the oral cavity is needed. The fast and affordable strategy of repositioning of already FDA-approved drugs can be an answer to the development of novel treatments against periodontal pathogens such as *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. Herein, we report the synthesis and antibacterial activity of novel zafirlukast derivatives, their bactericidal effect, and their cytotoxicity against oral epithelial cell lines. Many of these derivatives exhibited superior antibacterial activity against *P. gingivalis* compared to the parent drug zafirlukast. The most promising compounds were found to be selective against *P. gingivalis* and they were bactericidal in their activity. Finally, we demonstrated that these potent derivatives of zafirlukast provided a better safety profile against oral epithelial cells compared to zafirlukast.

Periodontal disease is a chronic inflammatory disease that is triggered by oral pathogenic bacteria (*e.g.*, *Porphyromonas gingivalis*) and leads to tooth loss if untreated. This disease affects half of the adult population in the United States and its prevalence significantly increases with aging.^{1,2} In addition to the oral local sequelae, periodontal disease has also been associated as a risk factor for diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, stroke, as well as arthritis.^{3–5} *P. gingivalis* is a Gramnegative bacterium that is associated with periodontal disease.^{6–9} Evidence indicates that *P. gingivalis* can invade oral epithelial cells and modulate innate responses that lead to oral dysbiosis and unresolved chronic inflammation.^{10–13} Al-

^a Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Kentucky, Lee T. Todd, Jr. Building, 789 South Limestone Street, Lexington, KY, 40536-0596, USA.

E-mail: sylviegtsodikova@uky.edu; Tel: +859 218 1686

^b Center for Oral Health Research, College of Dentistry, University of Kentucky, 1095 Virginia Drive, Lexington, KY, 40536-0305, USA. though it has been suggested that local and systemic antibiotics can be used as adjunctive therapy for controlling periodontal disease,¹⁴⁻¹⁶ there are no clear understanding and/or guidelines when it comes to selecting an antibiotic regimen for targeting specific periodontopathogens, without perturbing the normal oral commensal bacteria.^{17,18} This pitfall can result in poor efficacy against the targeted pathogens, which can be either resistant or poorly susceptible against the antibiotic(s) selected.¹⁹ In addition, the extensive use of antibiotics can result in development of drug-resistant bacteria, which would impact the effectiveness of the treatment. Thus, it is paramount that development of novel antibacterial agents for the treatment of periodontal disease becomes a priority.

A strategy currently used for the fast and affordable drug development that has been demonstrated to be successful involves the repositioning of existing drugs for new application. Compared to traditional methods, drug repositioning could provide a more systematic and significantly less expensive approach for developing treatments for complex diseases.¹⁹⁻²¹ Drug repositioning can also be used as a strategy for hard to manage bacterial infections.²² Novel antibacterial activity has been reported for existing FDA-approved anticancer, antifunanthelmintic, and anti-inflammatory drugs.^{23–27} gal, Zafirlukast is an FDA-approved drug used for the effective inhibition of airway inflammation in the case of asthma treatment.^{28,29} Recently published work emphasized the use of zafirlukast as a treatment option for Mycobacterium tuberculosis and West Nile virus infections.30,31 The antibacterial

E-mail: ogonz2@email.uky.edu; Tel: +859 323 0125

^c Division of Periodontics, College of Dentistry, University of Kentucky, 800 Rose Street, Lexington, KY, 40536-0305, USA

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Details of all experimental procedures for (i) the synthesis and characterization of all compounds and intermediates generated in this study, (ii) the effect of zafirlukast derivatives on bacterial viability, (iii) the bactericidal effect of zafirlukast derivatives, and (iv) the cytotoxic effect of zafirlukast derivatives on oral epithelial cells. All ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra as well as HPLC traces for the molecules generated (Fig. S1– S56). Tables showing the data used to create Fig. 5 (Table S1), Fig. 6 (Table S2), and Fig. 7 (Table S3). See DOI: 10.1039/c9md00074g

activity of zafirlukast against two oral pathogens, P. gingivalis and Streptococcus mutans, was also reported in recent studies.³² Inspired by these findings, we postulated that chemically modifying zafirlukast could potentially lead to better agents for treating oral infections compared to this parent drug scaffold (Fig. 1). In zafirlukast, both a cyclopentyl carbamate attached to an N-methylindole and an arylsulfonamide scaffold are linked via a decorated benzovl ring, which offers us an ideal opportunity for some structure-activity relationship (SAR) studies. Herein, we report the synthesis of eleven zafirlukast derivatives where we made modifications in the indole (e.g., removal or replacement of the cyclopentyl carbamate by a nitro group and removal of the methyl group from the indole amine), the arylsulfonamide (e.g., removal of the methyl substituent), and the benzoyl ring (e.g., change in its substituents and substitution patterns). We also report the antibacterial activity of these zafirlukast derivatives against P. gingivalis and various other oral bacteria. In addition, we explore the cytotoxic effect of these zafirlukast derivatives against human oral epithelial cells.

Results and discussion

Design and synthesis of novel zafirlukast derivatives

Several synthetic methods were reported for either linear or convergent synthesis of zafirlukast.^{29,30,33-39} Here, the concise and linear synthesis of zafirlukast derivatives involved C–C bond formation between various substituted indoles and benzoyl analogues followed by a subsequent sulfamidation step. The initial synthesis centered on creating zafirlukast derivatives **4a** and **4b** with variations in the arylsulfonamide domain as well as the elimination of the cyclopentyl carbamate of the indole of the parent molecule (Scheme 1). The alkylation of 1-methylindole in the presence of silver oxide



Scheme 1 The synthesis of zafirlukast derivatives 4a and 4b. Reaction conditions: (a) Ag₂O, dioxane, 60 °C, MeOH:THF:H₂O/5:1:1, KOH, room temperature, 29%; (b) arylsulfonamide, EDC·HCl, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, room temperature, 59–84%.

followed by ester hydrolysis resulted in compound 3 in 29% yield. The coupling of acid 3 with both benzenesulfonamide and *o*-toluenesulfonamide generated target compounds 4a and 4b in 84 and 59% yields, respectively. The derivatives devoid of a methoxy group substituent on the benzoyl ring were synthesized according to Scheme 2. The condensation reaction between methyl 4-formylbenzoate with both indole and 1-methylindole resulted in compounds 7 and 8 in 23% and 56% yields, respectively. The hydrolysis of these compounds followed by their coupling with both benzenesulfonamide and *o*-toluenesulfonamide generated derivatives 11a, 11b, and 12a in 58–100% yields. The synthesis of the final set of



 $X = H, NO_2; Y = H, OMe; R_1 = H, Me; R_2 = H, Me$

Fig. 1 Chemical structure of zafirlukast and its derivatives synthesized herein.



Scheme 2 The synthesis of zafirlukast derivatives 11a, 11b, and 12a. Reaction conditions: (a) Et_3SiH , TFA, CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C to room temperature, 23–56%; (b) MeOH:THF:H₂O/5:1:1, KOH, room temperature, 83–84%; (c) arylsulfonamide, EDC·HCl, DMAP, CH_2Cl_2 , room temperature, 58%-quant.

derivatives with modifications in the indole, benzoyl, and arylsulfonamide regions is depicted in Scheme 3. The condensation reaction between indole, 1-methylindole, and 1-methyl-5-nitroindole with compound 14, a commercially available molecule, generated compounds 15, 16, and 17 in 43–89% yields. Finally, hydrolysis and sulfamidation yielded derivatives 21a, 21b, 22a, 22b, 23a, and 23b in 20–95% yields.

In vitro antibacterial testing

The antibacterial activity of the zafirlukast derivatives 4a, 4b, 11a, 11b, 12a, 21a, 21b, 22a, 22b, 23a, and 23b was first evaluated against P. gingivalis 381 at concentrations 1, 10, and 100 µM (Fig. 2). Commercially available drugs such as zafirlukast (at 25 and 50 μ M) and tetracycline (at 2.25 μ M) were used as positive controls for comparison. By a rapid survey of the data presented in Fig. 2, we could conclude that all the zafirlukast derivatives exhibited 100% growth inhibition with the exception of compounds 4a and 4b at the highest concentrations tested. For compounds 4a and 4b we observed slightly more than 60% growth inhibition at 100 µM. At 10 µM, compounds 11a, 11b, 21a, and 21b displayed approximately 40%, 90%, 40%, and 80% growth inhibition, respectively. For the most active compounds 12a, 22a, 22b, 23a, and 23b, we observed 90–100% growth inhibition at 10 μ M. More importantly, the active compounds 12a, 22a, 22b, 23a, and 23b exhibited either comparable or, in most of the cases, enhanced antibacterial activity against P. gingivalis when compared to the control drugs zafirlukast and tetracycline. Com-



Scheme 3 The synthesis of zafirlukast derivatives 21a, 21b, 22a, 22b, 23a, and 23b. Reaction conditions: (a) Et_3SiH , TFA, CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C to room temperature, 43–89%; (b) MeOH:THF: $H_2O/5:1:1$, KOH, 65 °C, 87–93%; (c) arylsulfonamide, EDC·HCl, DMAP, CH_2Cl_2 , room temperature, 20–95%.

View Article Online



Fig. 2 Screening for the antimicrobial effect of the zafirlukast derivatives against *P. gingivalis*. *P. gingivalis* (10^6 cells) exponentially growing in appropriate medium and under anaerobic conditions was exposed to zafirlukast (Z) derivatives **4a**, **4b**, **11a**, **11b**, **12a**, **21a**, **21b**, **22a**, **22b**, **23a**, and **23b** (1, 10, and 100 μ M) for 24 h. Bacteria incubated with tetracycline (T, 2.25 μ M equivalent to 1 μ g mL⁻¹) or zafirlukast (Z, 25 and 50 μ M) were used as positive controls. Bacteria incubated with DMSO were used as a negative control. Data represent the mean inhibitory effect *versus* bacteria growing only in medium from 6 replicates per condition determined by a colorimetric WST-1 assay.

pounds 22b, 23a, and 23b even displayed growth inhibition (\sim 15–40%) at 1 μ M. These three compounds were therefore selected for further studies.

By a more in-depth analysis of the data presented in Fig. 2, we could establish by a SAR study the important structural features required for the zafirlukast derivatives' activity. We performed this analysis as follows. For each of the three series of derivatives (series 1: 4a and 4b, series 2: 11a-12a, and series 3: 21a-23b, as presented in Schemes 1-3, respectively), we first compared each derivative to the parent zafirlukast and then compared amongst themselves, the compounds within the series. For series 1, the removal of the cyclopentyl carbamate group from the parent drug zafirlukast, as in compound 4b, resulted in reduced activity (*i.e.*, reduced growth inhibition). The elimination of a methyl group from the arylsulfonamide scaffold of 4b (compound 4a) had no diminishing effect on the activity compared to 4b. In the case of series 2, the removal of the cyclopentyl carbamate group, methoxy group from the benzoyl scaffold, and methyl group from the indole of zafirlukast resulted in compound 11b with reduced activity. Exclusion of the methyl group from the arylsulfonamide of 11b (compound 11a) resulted in further loss of activity. Reinstating the methyl group of the indole of compound 11a yielded derivative 12a with substantially improved activity, which was comparable to zafirlukast and tetracycline. In the case of derivatives 21a, 21b, 22a, 22b, 23a, and 23b (series 3), permanent modifications were made in the substitution patterns of the benzoyl ring (position of methoxy and carboxylate groups) of zafirlukast. The replacement of the cyclopentyl carbamate group with a nitro moiety (compound 23b) resulted in a

compound with superior activity to zafirlukast. The removal of the methyl group from the arylsulfonamide of compound 23b (compound 23a) had no undesirable effect on the activity compared to compound 23b. Similarly, the elimination of the nitro group from compound 23b (compound 22b) was not detrimental to the activity of compound 22b. Further exclusion of the methyl group from the arylsulfonamide of derivative 22b (compound 22a) also had no negative effect on the activity. Removal of the methyl group from the indole of compounds 22a and 22b resulted in derivatives 21a and 21b, respectively, with reduced activity in comparison.

In order to find whether the zafirlukast derivatives were selective against *P. gingivalis*, the best compounds 22b, 23a, and 23b were then tested against other oral Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, such as *Actinomyces naeslundii*, *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans*, *Streptococcus sanguinis*, *Veillonella parvula*, and *Fusobacterium nucleatum* (Fig. 3). Except for the minimal activity displayed against *S. sanguinis* and *F. nucleatum* (~20%), none of the synthesized zafirlukast derivatives exhibited any activity, which suggests antibacterial selectivity for *P. gingivalis*.

To determine if the antibacterial activity of the zafirlukast derivatives was bacteriostatic or bactericidal, we performed a colony forming unit (CFU) assay by exposing compounds 22b, 23a, and 23b against *P. gingivalis* for a 24 h period followed by seven days of incubation (Fig. 4). *P. gingivalis* incubated with the antibiotics tetracycline (T, 2.25 μ M) and zafirlukast (Z, 25 and 50 μ M) were used as positive controls, whereas *P. gingivalis*



Fig. 4 Bactericidal effect of the zafirlukast derivatives against *P. gingivalis*. *P. gingivalis* (10^6 cells) exponentially growing in appropriate medium and under anaerobic conditions was exposed to zafirlukast (Z) derivatives **22b**, **23a**, and **23b** (1, 10, and 100 μ M) for 24 h. Diluted bacteria [1:400] were seeded in blood agar plates and incubated for 7 days, and colony-forming units (CFUs) were counted. Percentage of inhibitory effect calculated comparing treatment groups *versus* bacteria only in growth medium. Bacteria incubated with antibiotics tetracycline (T, 2.25 μ M) and zafirlukast (Z, 25 and 50 μ M) were used as positive controls. Bacteria incubated with DMSO were used as a negative control. Data represent the mean of 5–6 replicates per condition.



incubated with DMSO was used as a negative control. We found compounds 23a and 23b to be bactericidal at 100 μM

Fig. 3 Antimicrobial effect of the zafirlukast derivatives against oral bacterial species. Oral bacterial species (10^6 cells) exponentially growing in appropriate medium and under aerobic/anaerobic conditions were exposed to zafirlukast (Z) derivatives 22b, 23a, and 23b (1, 10, and 100 μ M) for 24 h. Bacteria incubated with an antibiotic (2.25 μ M of tetracycline (T) equivalent to 1 μ g mL⁻¹ for *F. nucleatum* or 100 U mL⁻¹ penicillin + 100 μ g mL⁻¹ streptomycin (P/S) for all other bacteria) or zafirlukast (Z, 25 and 50 μ M) were used as positive controls and bacteria incubated with DMSO were used as a negative control. Data represent the mean inhibitory effect *versus* bacteria growing only in medium from 6 replicates per condition determined by a colorimetric WST-1 assay.

MedChemComm

against *P. gingivalis*. With its 100% growth inhibition at 10 μ M, compound 23a displayed even superior activity to the control drug zafirlukast used at higher concentrations (25–50 μ M). Compound 23a was found to be extremely potent as it exhibited close to 60% growth inhibition even at 1 μ M.

Effect of the zafirlukast derivatives on the cell viability of oral epithelial cells

The oral epithelial cells provide a number of important functions such as protection against microorganisms, external aggression, and toxic materials, and prevention against mechanical damage. The drugs designed to target bacterial cells may cause unwanted toxicity to mammalian cells. Therefore, it is crucial to consider selectivity as a parameter when developing antibacterial agents. To determine the selectivity of the zafirlukast derivatives synthesized, we tested the most active compounds 22b, 23a, and 23b against immortalized oral keratinocyte cell line OKF6, along with zafirlukast as a control.40-43 A preliminary LIVE/DEAD assay was performed after incubating the cells with compounds 22b, 23a, and 23b for 24 h (Fig. 5). For compounds 22b, 23a, and 23b, we observed 70-90% cell viability at 1 µM. An increase in concentration from 1 µM to 10 µM resulted in more cell death with the exception of treatment with compound 23a. The IC_{50} values for compounds 22b, 23a, and 23b were also determined in a similar assay performed in triplicate using concentrations of 0, 1, 2.5, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 50, and 100 µM of the molecules, and were found to be 16 µM, 54 µM, and $>100 \mu$ M (estimated at $\sim 230 \mu$ M), respectively (Fig. 6 and Table S2[†]). The effect of the zafirlukast derivatives on the cell viability of oral epithelial cells was further confirmed by flow cytometry analysis (Fig. 7). We observed 50-70% cell viability in the case of compounds 22b, 23a, and 23b in the concentra-



Fig. 5 Preliminary study of the effect of the zafirlukast derivatives on the cell viability of oral epithelial cells. OKF6 cells were exposed to zafirlukast (Z, 25 μ M) or its derivatives **22b**, **23a**, and **23b** at 1 and 10 μ M for 24 h and the cell viability was tested using trypan blue with an automated cell counter (Countess II FL. Life Technology). Trypan blue data represent the mean from two independent experiments in duplicate.

View Article Online



Fig. 6 Effect of the zafirlukast derivatives on the cell viability of oral epithelial cells. OKF6 cells were exposed to zafirlukast (Z, 25 μ M) or its derivatives **22b**, **23a**, and **23b** at different concentrations (0, 1, 2.5, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 50, and 100 μ M) for 24 h and the cell viability was tested using trypan blue with an automated cell counter (Countess II FL. Life Technology). Data represent the mean and SD from experiments performed in triplicate.



Fig. 7 Effect of the zafirlukast derivatives on the cell viability of oral epithelial cells (apoptosis and necrosis). OKF6 cells were exposed to zafirlukast (Z, 25 μ M) and its derivatives **22b**, **23a**, and **23b** at different concentrations for 24 h and the cell viability was tested by flow cytometry using an FITC-Annexin V apoptosis detection kit (BD Pharmingen). The FACS data were generated by analyzing at least 10 000 events per condition in duplicate from two independent experiments.

tion range that exhibited antimicrobial activity (1–10 μ M). Based on these assays we can conclude that the synthetic derivatives 22b, 23a, and 23b displayed less cytotoxicity when compared to the parent drug zafirlukast.

Conclusion

In summary, a linear synthesis of novel zafirlukast derivatives with modifications in the indole, arylsulfonamide, and benzoyl scaffolds was carried out. In addition, a detailed study of the antibacterial activity of zafirlukast derivatives 4a, 4b, 11a, 11b, 12a, 21a, 21b, 22a, 22b, 23a, and 23b against P. gingivalis was performed. Commercially available drugs, zafirlukast (Z) and tetracycline (T), were used as positive controls. From the SAR studies, three leading candidates 22b, 23a, and 23b were identified, which displayed excellent activity against P. gingivalis with 90-100% growth inhibition at a lower concentration of 10 µM. In most cases, these derivatives exhibited either comparable or enhanced antibacterial activity against P. gingivalis when compared to the control drugs zafirlukast and tetracycline. The structural modifications made in compounds 22b, 23a, and 23b yielded superior activity compared to zafirlukast. From the SAR study, it was concluded that the N-methylindole, benzoyl ring, and arylsulfonamide scaffolds of zafirlukast were flexible to changes in their substituents and substitution patterns. The complete removal or the substitution of the cyclopentyl carbamate group as well as the elimination of the methyl group of the arylsulfonamide moiety had positive impact on the activity, whereas in the case of the benzoyl ring, we observed that changes in the substitution pattern resulted in derivatives with better activity. The best compounds were found to be selective for P. gingivalis as they exhibited minimal activity against other oral bacterial species. The bactericidal activity of compounds 23a and 23b was confirmed by exposing them to P. gingivalis for 24 h. In addition, these compounds also exerted minimal toxicity against oral epithelial cells. There are still some interesting SAR studies to be conducted in order to optimize the lead compounds reported in this study. The methyl group on the indole ring of the active compounds could be replaced by other alkyl chains as well as aromatic ring systems. The arylsulfonamide moiety offers opportunity to explore various ortho-, meta-, and para-substitution patterns with methyl groups and halogens. The nitro group on the active compounds 23a and 23b provides a handle for further structural modifications, as it can be reduced and tagged with a fluorescent moiety in order to investigate the mechanism of action of these molecules. Overall, the novel zafirlukast derivatives prepared in this study show promise as new and specific antibacterial agents against P. gingivalis and periodontal disease, and the proposed above studies are currently underway in our laboratory and additional information will be reported in due course.

Abbreviations

- ATCC American Type Culture Collection
- BHI Brain heart infusion
- CFUs Colony-forming units
- LCMS Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry
- ODs Optical densities
- SAR Structure-activity relationship
- WST-1 Water-soluble tetrazolium-1

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This study is supported by a grant from the University of Kentucky Igniting Research Collaborations Pilot Program (to S. G.-T. and O. A. G.) and startup funds from the College of Pharmacy at the University of Kentucky (to S. G.-T.). We thank Dr. J. G. Rheinwald (Harvard Medical School) for sharing the oral keratinocyte cell line OKF6/hTERT (OKF6) that was previously described.⁴⁴

References

- P. I. Eke, B. A. Dye, L. Wei, G. D. Slade, G. O. Thornton-Evans, W. S. Borgnakke, G. W. Taylor, R. C. Page, J. D. Beck and R. J. Genco, Update on prevalence of periodontitis in adults in the United States: NHANES 2009 to 2012, *J. Periodontol.*, 2015, 86, 611–622, DOI: 10.1902/ jop.2015.140520.
- 2 P. I. Eke, G. O. Thornton-Evans, L. Wei, W. S. Borgnakke, B. A. Dye and R. J. Genco, Periodontitis in US adults: National health and nutrition examination survey 2009-2014, *J. Am. Dent. Assoc., JADA*, 2018, **149**, 576–588, DOI: 10.1016/j. adaj.2018.04.023.
- 3 K. E. Kholy, R. J. Genco and T. E. Van Dyke, Oral infections and cardiovascular disease, *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.*, 2015, **26**, 315–321, DOI: 10.1016/j.tem.2015.03.001.
- 4 M. P. Cullinan, P. J. Ford and G. J. Seymour, Periodontal disease and systemic health: current status, *Aust. Dent. J.*, 2009, 54(Suppl 1), S62–S69, DOI: 10.1111/j.1834-7819.2009.01144.x.
- 5 J. G. Routsias, J. D. Goules, A. Goules, G. Charalampakis and D. Pikazis, Autopathogenic correlation of periodontitis and rheumatoid arthritis, *Rheumatology*, 2011, 50, 1189–1193, DOI: 10.1093/rheumatology/ker090.
- 6 P. E. Kolenbrander, R. J. Palmer, Jr., S. Periasamy and N. S. Jakubovics, Oral multispecies biofilm development and the key role of cell-cell distance, *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 2010, 8, 471–480, DOI: 10.1038/nrmicro2381.
- 7 K. Y. How, K. P. Song and K. G. Chan, *Porphyromonas gingivalis*: An overview of periodontopathic pathogen below the gum line, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2016, 7, 53, DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00053.
- 8 R. J. Lamont and H. F. Jenkinson, Life below the gum line: pathogenic mechanisms of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*, 1998, 62, 1244–1263.
- 9 S. C. Holt, L. Kesavalu, S. Walker and C. A. Genco, Virulence factors of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, *Periodontol.* 2000, 1999, 20, 168–238, DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0757.1999.tb00162.x.
- 10 N. Bostanci and G. N. Belibasakis, Porphyromonas gingivalis: an invasive and evasive opportunistic oral pathogen, FEMS Microbiol. Lett., 2012, 333, 1–9, DOI: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2012.02579.x.
- 11 G. Hajishengallis, S. Liang, M. A. Payne, A. Hashim, R. Jotwani, M. A. Eskan, M. L. McIntosh, A. Alsam, K. L. Kirkwood, J. D. Lambris, R. P. Darveau and M. A. Curtis, Low-abundance biofilm species orchestrates inflammatory

periodontal disease through the commensal microbiota and complement, *Cell Host Microbe*, 2011, **10**, 497–506, DOI: 10.1016/j.chom.2011.10.006.

- 12 G. Hajishengallis, R. P. Darveau and M. A. Curtis, The keystone-pathogen hypothesis, *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 2012, **10**, 717–725, DOI: 10.1038/nrmicro2873.
- 13 R. J. Lamont, A. Chan, C. M. Belton, K. T. Izutsu, D. Vasel and A. Weinberg, Porphyromonas gingivalis invasion of gingival epithelial cells, *Infect. Immun.*, 1995, 63, 3878–3885.
- 14 A. Mombelli and L. P. Samaranayake, Topical and systemic antibiotics in the management of periodontal diseases, *Int. Dent. J.*, 2004, 54, 3–14.
- 15 A. Mombelli, A. Almaghlouth, N. Cionca, D. S. Courvoisier and C. Giannopoulou, Differential benefits of amoxicillinmetronidazole in different phases of periodontal therapy in a randomized controlled crossover clinical trial, I. Periodontol., 2015, 86, 367-375, DOI: 10.1902/ jop.2014.140478.
- 16 A. Mombelli, N. Cionca, A. Almaghlouth, A. Cherkaoui, J. Schrenzel and C. Giannopoulou, Effect of periodontal therapy with amoxicillin-metronidazole on pharyngeal carriage of penicillin- and erythromycin-resistant *Viridans* streptococci, *J. Periodontol.*, 2016, 87, 539–547, DOI: 10.1902/jop.2015.150494.
- A. D. Haffajee, S. S. Socransky and J. C. Gunsolley, Systemic anti-infective periodontal therapy. A systematic review, *Ann. Periodontol.*, 2003, 8, 115–181, DOI: 10.1902/annals.2003.8.1.115.
- 18 R. P. Ellen and C. A. McCulloch, Evidence *versus* empiricism: rational use of systemic antimicrobial agents for treatment of periodontitis, *Periodontol.* 2000, 1996, 10, 29–44, DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0757.1996.tb00067.x.
- 19 T. E. Rams, J. E. Degener and A. J. van Winkelhoff, Antibiotic resistance in human chronic periodontitis microbiota, *J. Periodontol.*, 2014, 85, 160–169, DOI: 10.1902/ jop.2013.130142.
- 20 T. T. Ashburn and K. B. Thor, Drug repositioning: identifying and developing new uses for existing drugs, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery*, 2004, 3, 673–683, DOI: 10.1038/nrd1468.
- 21 C. R. Chong and D. J. Sullivan, Jr., New uses for old drugs, *Nature*, 2007, 448, 645–646, DOI: 10.1038/448645a.
- 22 A. Rangel-Vega, L. R. Bernstein, E. A. Mandujano-Tinoco, S. J. Garcia-Contreras and R. Garcia-Contreras, Drug repurposing as an alternative for the treatment of recalcitrant bacterial infections, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2015, 6, 282, DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00282.
- 23 L. C. Antunes, F. Imperi, F. Minandri and P. Visca, In vitro and in vivo antimicrobial activities of gallium nitrate against multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 2012, 56, 5961–5970, DOI: 10.1128/ AAC.01519-12.
- 24 C. Attila, A. Ueda and T. K. Wood, 5-Fluorouracil reduces biofilm formation in *Escherichia coli* K-12 through global regulator AriR as an antivirulence compound, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2009, 82, 525–533, DOI: 10.1007/s00253-009-1860-8.

- 25 K. M. Carlson-Banning, A. Chou, Z. Liu, R. J. Hamill, Y. Song and L. Zechiedrich, Toward repurposing ciclopirox as an antibiotic against drug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Escherichia coli*, and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *PLoS One*, 2013, 8, e69646, DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0069646.
- 26 F. Imperi, F. Massai, C. Ramachandran Pillai, F. Longo, E. Zennaro, G. Rampioni, P. Visca and L. Leoni, New life for an old drug: the anthelmintic drug niclosamide inhibits *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* quorum sensing, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 2013, 57, 996–1005, DOI: 10.1128/AAC.01952-12.
- 27 F. Imperi, F. Massai, M. Facchini, E. Frangipani, D. Visaggio, L. Leoni, A. Bragonzi and P. Visca, Repurposing the antimycotic drug flucytosine for suppression of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pathogenicity, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2013, **110**, 7458–7463, DOI: 10.1073/ pnas.1222706110.
- 28 D. T. Scow, G. K. Luttermoser and K. S. Dickerson, Leukotriene inhibitors in the treatment of allergy and asthma, *Am. Fam. Physician*, 2007, 75, 65–70.
- 29 S. Schierle, C. Flauaus, P. Heitel, S. Willems, J. Schmidt, A. Kaiser, L. Weizel, T. Goebel, A. S. Kahnt, G. Geisslinger, D. Steinhilber, M. Wurglics, G. E. Rovati, A. Schmidtko, E. Proschak and D. Merk, Boosting anti-inflammatory potency of zafirlukast by designed polypharmacology, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2018, 61, 5758–5764, DOI: 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.8b00458.
- 30 A. A. Martinez, B. A. Espinosa, R. N. Adamek, B. A. Thomas, J. Chau, E. Gonzalez, N. Keppetipola and N. T. Salzameda, Breathing new life into West Nile virus therapeutics; discovery and study of zafirlukast as an NS2B-NS3 protease inhibitor, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2018, 157, 1202–1213, DOI: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2018.08.077.
- 31 L. Pinault, J. S. Han, C. M. Kang, J. Franco and D. R. Ronning, Zafirlukast inhibits complexation of Lsr2 with DNA and growth of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 2013, 57, 2134–2140, DOI: 10.1128/AAC.02407-12.
- 32 E. Gerits, I. Van der Massen, K. Vandamme, K. De Cremer, K. De Brucker, K. Thevissen, B. P. A. Cammue, S. Beullens, M. Fauvart, N. Verstraeten, J. Michiels and M. Roberts, In vitro activity of the antiasthmatic drug zafirlukast against the oral pathogens *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and *Streptococcus mutans, FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, 2017, 364, fnx005, DOI: 10.1093/femsle/fnx005.
- 33 C. L. Ancell, I. Derrick, J. D. Moseley and J. A. Stott, Investigation into the acidification process of zafirlukast nitroacid leads to a surprising improvement in product quality, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2004, 808–813, DOI: 10.1021/ op049911+.
- 34 K. Srinivas, N. Srinivasan, M. R. Krishna, A. R. Reddy, M. Arunagiri, R. Lalitha, K. S. R. Reddy, P. P. Reddy, M. K. Kumar and M. S. Reddy, A novel and efficient route to zafirlukast, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2004, 8, 952–954, DOI: 10.1021/op049869i.
- 35 G. Goverdhan, A. R. Reddy, A. Sampath, K. Srinivas, V. Himabindu and G. M. Reddy, An improved and scalable

process for zafirlukast: An asthma drug, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2009, 13, 67–72, DOI: 10.1021/op800137b.

- 36 V. G. Matassa, T. P. Maduskuie, Jr., H. S. Shapiro, B. Hesp, D. W. Snyder, D. Aharony, R. D. Krell and R. A. Keith, Evolution of a series of peptidoleukotriene antagonists: synthesis and structure/activity relationships of 1,3,5substituted indoles and indazoles, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1990, 33, 1781–1790, DOI: 10.1021/jm00168a037.
- 37 X. Jiang, A. Tiwari, M. Thompson, Z. Chen, T. P. Cleary and T. B. K. Lee, A practical method for the N-methylation of indoles using dimethyl carbonate, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2001, 5, 604–608, DOI: 10.1021/op0102215.
- 38 G. Goverdhan, A. R. Reddy, V. Himabindu and G. M. Reddy, Concise and alternative synthesis of zafirlukast, an antiasthma drug, *Synth. Commun.*, 2013, 43, 498–504, DOI: 10.1080/00397911.2011.603875.
- 39 S. Paladugu, P. S. Mainkar and S. Chandrasekhar, Synthesis of asthma drug zafirlukast (accolate) using intramolecular oxidative coupling via sp3 C-H bond activation, *ACS Omega*, 2018, 3, 4289–4294, DOI: 10.1021/acsomega.8b00476.
- 40 H. Babich, H. L. Zuckerbraun, I. B. Barber, S. B. Babich and E. Borenfreund, Cytotoxicity of sanguinarine chloride

to cultured human cells from oral tissue, *Pharmacol. Toxicol.*, 1996, 78, 397–403, DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0773.1996. tb00225.x.

- 41 F. B. Russo, G. C. Pignatari, I. R. Fernandes, J. L. Dias and P. C. Beltrao-Braga, Epithelial cells from oral mucosa: How to cultivate them?, *Cytotechnology*, 2016, 68, 2105–2114, DOI: 10.1007/s10616-016-9950-9.
- 42 E. Elmore, T. T. Luc, V. E. Steele, G. J. Kelloff and J. L. Redpath, The human epithelial cell cytotoxicity assay for determining tissue specific toxicity, *Methods Cell Sci.*, 2000, 22, 17–24, DOI: 10.1023/A:1024453300493.
- 43 F. Chen, T. Wu and X. Cheng, Cytotoxic effects of denture adhesives on primary human oral keratinocytes, fibroblasts and permanent L929 cell lines, *Gerodontology*, 2014, 31, 4–10, DOI: 10.1111/j.1741-2358.2012.00681.x.
- 44 M. A. Dickson, W. C. Hahn, Y. Ino, V. Ronfard, J. Y. Wu, R. A. Weinberg, D. N. Louis, F. P. Li and J. G. Rheinwald, Human keratinocytes that express hTERT and also bypass a p16(INK4a)-enforced mechanism that limits life span become immortal yet retain normal growth and differentiation characteristics, *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 2000, 20, 1436–1447, DOI: 10.1128/MCB.20.4.1436-1447.2000.