in the yield of t-BuOH at high pH may find explanation in a change in mechanism of the O–O bond cleavage from homolysis to heterolysis. We attribute this change at high pH to the proton dissociation of the manganese(III)-coordinated ImH {i.e., (1)-Mn^{III}(OOR)(ImH) \rightarrow [(1)Mn^{III}(OOR)(Im]⁻, pK_a = 11.5}. Additionally, the formation of (Me)₂CO (34%) and t-BuOOMe indicates that some homolysis also occurs. The products from homolysis may be explained by the reactions with [(1)Mn^{III}(OH)]₂⁻. Since at high pH (1)Mn^{III}(X)₂ does not completely saturate in Im⁻ due to the competition between HO⁻ and Im⁻ ligands, the reaction mixture would be expected to contain some [(1)Mn^{III}(OH)]₂⁻. The series of reactions that account for these observations are shown in Scheme VII.

When the reactions were carried out with ABTS present in the reaction mixture the major product was *t*-BuOH with small amounts of $(Me)_2CO$ (5%). The formation of small amounts of $(Me)_2CO$ may once again find explanation in the solvent caged reaction of eq 7. In the presence of ABTS the product distributions were the same regardless of the pH employed.

Comparison of ImH and Im⁻ as Axial Ligands. The reaction properties of many metalloporphyrins are significantly influenced by the nature of the ligand trans to the reactive site. A change in the properties of the axially ligated imidazole ring by proton dissociation represents a mechanism whereby the electronic environment of the metal center can be altered. These observations are supported by UV/visible studies with (1)Mn^{III}X₂ which display differences in the visible absorption bands when Im⁻ is an axial ligand rather than ImH { λ_{max} for (1)Mn^{III}(X)(ImH): 374, 398, 423 (shoulder), 471 (Soret), 572, 608 nm; and λ_{max} for [(1)-Mn^{III}(X)(Im)]⁻: 373, 398, 468 (Soret), 573, 609 nm]. Similarly, the electronic absorption bands for the related mono-imidazolate complex, [(P)Fe^{II}(CO)(Im)]⁻ (P = dianion of protoporphyrin dimethyl ester or dianion of *meso*-tetraphenylporphyrin), occur at lower energy than those for the corresponding imidazole complex (P)Fe^{II}(CO)(ImH), and the binding affinity and rate constants for CO binding to [(P)Fe^{II}(Im)]⁻ have been shown to be lower than those of the protonated (P)Fe^{II}(ImH).¹⁸ Thus, the electronic properties of the proximal ligand in many hemeproteins may well contribute significantly to defining the catalytic characteristics of the enzyme. Indeed several investigators have suggested that a number of hemeproteins which contain a histidine residue as a proximal ligand may possess an imidazolate, rather than an imidazole, as an axial ligand.^{18a,19}

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by a grant from the National Institutes of Health.

Synthetic and Mechanistic Studies on the Antitumor Antibiotics Esperamicin A₁ and Calicheamicin γ_1 : Synthesis of 2-Ketobicyclo[7.3.1] Enediyne and 13-Ketocyclo[7.3.1] Enediyne Cores Mediated by η^2 Dicobalt Hexacarbonyl Alkyne Complexes. Cycloaromatization Rate Studies

Philip Magnus,^{*,†} Paul Carter,[‡] Jason Elliott,[‡] Richard Lewis,[†] John Harling,[†] Thomas Pitterna,[†] William E. Bauta,[†] and Simon Fortt[†]

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, and Department of Chemistry, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405. Received August 26, 1991

Abstract: A general strategy for the construction of the bicyclo[7.3.1]tridecenediyne core structure of the antitumor antibiotics esperamicin and calicheamicin can be realized provided the 10,11-acetylenic bond is complexed as its derived $\eta^2 \operatorname{Co}_2(\operatorname{CO})_6$ adduct. The 10,11- η^2 -2-ketobicyclo[7.3.1] enediyne dicobalt hexacarbonyl adduct **38** was synthesized using η^2 dicobalt hexacarbonyl propargyl cation alkylation to form the crucial 10-membered ring. Oxidative decomplexation of **38** in 1,4-cyclohexadiene gave the cycloaromatized adduct **49**, presumably via the uncomplexed 2-ketobicyclo[7.3.1] enediyne **27**. The keto isomer 10,11- η^2 -13-ketobicyclo[7.3.1] enediyne dicobalt hexacarbonyl adduct **39** was synthesized in a similar manner and its structure secured by single-crystal X-ray crystallography. Oxidative decomplexation of **39** gave the 13-ketobicyclo[7.3.1] enediyne **32** as a stable crystalline solid. The five-membered-ring analogue, 12-ketobicyclo[7.2.1] enediyne **94**, was readily made in the same way. The relative rates of cycloaromatization of **32** compared to the derived alcohol **86** and the five-membered-ring analogue **94** (and **97**) demonstrate that the distance (r) between the bonding acetylenes (leading to the 1,4-diyl) in the ground state does not control the rate of cycloaromatization. Strain release in the transition state predicts the relative rates of cycloaromatization.

Introduction

During the past 40 years or so, cancer chemotherapy has relied upon natural product chemistry to provide so-called lead compounds and continues to do so.¹ In 1975 Ferguson aptly stated, "What is sorely needed is a good guide or rationale for planning the structure of an effective cytotoxic agent. This stage will come when we have an understanding of the mechanisms of action of antitumor drugs which in turn is fostered by having a working hypothesis for a mode of action of a given type of drug. Organic

^{(18) (}a) Mincey, T.; Traylor, T. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 765. (b) Stanford, M. A.; Swartz, J. C.; Phillips, T. E.; Hoffman, B. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 4492. (c) Swartz, J. C.; Stanford, M. A.; Noy, J. N.; Hoffman, B. M.; Valentine, J. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 3396. (19) (a) Peisach, J.; Blumberg, W. E.; Adler, A. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 1973, 206, 310. (b) Peisach, J. Am. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 1975, 244, 187. (c) Peisach, J.; Mins, W. B. Biochemistry 1977, 16, 2795. (d) Morrison, M.; Schonbaum, G. R. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 1976, 45, 861. (e) Brautigan, D. L.; Feinberg, B. A.; Hoffman, B. M.; Margoliash, E.; Peisach, J.; Blumberg, W. E. J. Biol. Chem. 1977, 252, 574. (f) Stein, P.; Mitchell, M.; Spiro, T. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 7795.

[†]University of Texas at Austin. [‡]Indiana University.

⁽¹⁾ Anticancer Agents Based on Natural Product Models; Medicinal Chemistry 16; Cassady, J. M., Douros, J. D., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, 1980.





chemists can play a leading role here. From their experiences in probing reaction mechanisms in vitro they can postulate likely intermediate metabolites and design experiments to follow the reaction sequences of drugs".2

The majority of antitumor antibiotics inhibit cell division by interfering with the synthesis or use of nucleic acids.³ There is a constant need to discover new agents that interact with DNA in a mechanistically definable manner.⁴

In 1987 the Lederle⁵ and Bristol-Myers⁶ groups reported the unprecedented structures of calicheamicin γ_1 (1), esperamicin A_1 (2), A_{1b} (3), and A_2 (4), and the metabolite esperamicin X (5) (Chart I). They were isolated from fermentations of Micromonospora echinospora sp. calichensis and cultures of Actinomadura verrucosospora BBM 1675 and ATCC 39334, respectively. At present, these compounds are the most potent antitumor antibiotics known, being approximately 10³ more active than adriamycin against murine tumors, and represent a new class of natural products based upon the Z-enediyne functionality.

While they contain a number of unusual structural features such as the allylic trisulfide, a hydroxylamino sugar, and a $C_1 - C_2$ bridgehead double bond, it is the Z-enediyne that embues these molecules with a unique mechanism for cleaving DNA. It was proposed^{5,6} that the trisulfide is cleaved by nucleophilic attack at the central sulfur atom to give the thiol (or thiolate) 7, which can conjugatively add to C_1 to give the dihydrothiophene derivative 8. Once the hybridization at C_1 is changed from trigonal (sp²) to tetrahedral (sp³), the transition state for the formation of the 1,4-diyl 9 is energetically feasible. The transition state in going from 8 to 9 must be substantially bicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-like in geometrical character and would be greatly elevated in energy if the C_1 - C_2 double bond were still present (anti-Bredt). We will return to this point and the factors that permit access to the 1,4-diyl later.

The 1.4-diyl 9 can abstract a hydrogen atom in a highly exothermic process to give the cycloaromatized adduct 10. It is interesting and historically instructive to note that Bergman's classical physical organic study of the thermal chemistry of the Z-enediyne prototype 11 preceded the reports of the structures of natural products containing this functionality by 25 years.⁷ It is more than likely that the 1,4-diyl hypothesis described in Scheme I would not have been at all obvious in the absence of the basic physical organic chemical research. Studies on the interaction of 1 with DNA suggest that it binds into the minor groove and in the presence of thiols causes double- and single-strand scissions.8 Molecular modeling indicates that the carbohydrate components are responsible for the molecular recognition and subsequent site-specificity at TCCT sites.9

⁽²⁾ Ferguson, L. N. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1975, 4, 289.

⁽³⁾ Cridland, M. D. Fundamentals of Cancer Chemotherapy; University Park Press: Baltimore, 1978. Metabolism and Action of Anticancer Drugs; Powis, G., Prough, R. A., Eds.; Taylor and Francis: New York, 1987. (4) Jarman, M. Chem. Br. 1988, 775. Warpehoski, M. A.; Hurley, L. H.

Chem. Res. Toxiol. 1988, 1, 315

<sup>Chem. Res. Toxiol. 1988, 1, 315.
(5) Lee, M. D.; Dunne, T. S.; Siegel, M. M.; Chang, C. C.; Morton, G. O.; Borders, D. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3464. Lee, M. D.; Dunne, T. S.; Chang, C. C.; Ellestad, G. A.; Seigel, M. M.; Morton, G. O.; McGahren, W. J.; Borders, D. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3466.
(6) Golik, J.; Dubay, G.; Groenwold, G.; Kawaguchi, M.; Konishi, M.; Krishnan, B.; Ohkuma, H.; Saitoh, K.; Doyle, T. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109 (2010)</sup>

^{109, 3462.} Golik, J.; Clardy, J.; Dubay, G.; Groenewold, G.; Kawaguchi, H.; Konishi, M.; Krishnan, B.; Ohkuma, M.; Saitoh, K.; Doyle, T. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3461. Konishi, M.; Ohkuma, H.; Saitoh, K.; Kawaguchi, H.; Golik, J.; Dubay, G.; Groenewold, G.; Krishnan, B.; Doyle, T. W. J. Antibiot. 1985, 38, 1605.

⁽⁷⁾ Jones, R. R.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 660. Bergman, R. G. Acc. Chem. Res. 1973, 6, 25. For more recent studies of the 1,4-diyl, see: Lockhart, T. P.; Comita, P. B.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4082. Lockhart, T. P.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4091. The conversion of diynenes into antisymmetric benzene-,4-diyl is a symmetry-allowed process: Hoffmann, R.; Imanura, A.; Hehre, W. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 1499. Dewar, M. J. S.; Li, W.-K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 5569. For biradical activity, see: Chapman, O. L.; Chang, C. V. C.; Kole, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 5703. Darby, N.; Kim, C. U.; Salaun, J. A.; Shelton, K. W.; Takeda, S.; Masamune, S. J. Chem. Soc. 1971, 1516. Wong, H. N. C.; Sondheimer, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 21, 217

⁽⁸⁾ Zein, N.; Sinha, A. M.; McGahren, W. J.; Ellestad, G. A. Science 1988, 240, 1198. Long, B. H.; Golik, J.; Forenza, S.; Ward, B.; Rehfuss, R.; Dabrowiak, J. C.; Catino, J. J.; Musial, S. T.; Brookshire, K. W.; Doyle, T. W. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1989, 86, 2. Kishikawa, H.; Jiang, Y.-P.; Goodisman, J.; Dabrowiak, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 5434.

⁽⁹⁾ Zein, N.; Poncin, M.; Nilakantan, R.; Ellestad, G. A. Science 1989, 244, 697. Hawley, R. C.; Kiessling, L. L.; Schreiber, S. L. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1989, 86, 1105.

Scheme I



Related to the esperamicin/calicheamicin enediynes is the compound called neocarzinostatin chromophore A (14), which also cleaves DNA via the speculated sequence shown in Scheme II.¹⁰ Most recently, the Bristol-Myers group have reported the structure of dynemicin (6), a potent antitumor antibiotic. Unlike the other enediyne antibiotics, dynemicin exhibited significant in vivo antibacterial activity and low toxicity.¹¹

Because of the unique structures and beautifully designed¹² mechanism of DNA cleavage, the esperamicins and calicheamicins have immediately attracted a great deal of synthetic interest. Scheme III summarizes the overall strategies that have been adopted to date. Danishefsky has reported that the highly functionalized keto aldehyde 15 can be transformed into 16, which undergoes intramolecular acetylide addition to give the bicyclo-[7.3.1] enediyne core 17. This approach has culminated in the total synthesis of the aglycon of calicheamicin, namely, calicheamicinone.¹³ Schreiber¹⁴ reported that the α,β -unsaturated ester 18 undergoes a type 2 intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction¹⁵ to give 19. It was subsequently shown that the bicyclo[6.2.2] enediyne 20 was in fact the correct product, but this skeleton in the form of the more highly oxygenated derivative 21 can be rearranged to the desired bicyclo[7.3.1] enediyne 22 (Scheme III). Nicolaou has made a number of monocyclic enediynes 24, using the Ramberg-Backlund reaction sequence from the α -chloro sulfones 23, and examined their in vitro DNA cleaving properties.¹⁶ The rates of cycloaromatization of 24 (n = 2, 3, 4, etc.) have been correlated with the distance r between the bonding acetylenic carbons.

The overall strategy we have adopted is based on the following premise.¹⁷ Since the enediyne-containing natural products rep-

⁽¹⁰⁾ Napier, M. A.; Holmquist, B.; Strydom, D. J.; Goldberg, I. H. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1979, 89, 635. Koide, Y.; Ishii, F.; Hasuda, K.; Koyama, Y.; Edo, K.; Katamine, S.; Kitame, F.; Ishida, N. J. Antibiot. 1980, 33, 342. Myers, A. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 4493. For synthetic studies on the bicyclo[7.3.0]dodecadiyne core, see: Myers, A. G.; Harrington, P. M.; Kuo, E. Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 694. Wender, P. A.; McKinney, J. A.; Mukai, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3369. Wender, P. A.; Harmata, M.; Jeffrey, D.; Mukai, C.; Suffert, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 909. Hirama, M.; Fujiwara, K.; Shigematu, K.; Fukazawa, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 4120. Fujiwara, K.; Kurisaki, A.; Hirama, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 14, 4329. For the η² Co₂(CO)₆-mediated approach, see: Magnus, P.; Pitterna, T. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun 1991, 541. (11) Konishi, M.; Ohkuma, H.; Matsumoto, K.; Tsuno, T.; Kamei, H.; Miyaki, T.; Oki, T.; Kawaguchi, H.; Van Duyne, G. D.; Clardy, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3715. Professor Clardy is thanked for a preprint of this article. Molecular modeling of the dynemicin-DNA complex predicts the absolute configuration to be 22, 35, 45, 7R,8R: Langley, D. R.; Doyle, T. W.; Beveridge, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 7415.
Stout, T. J.; Clardy, J.; Schreiber, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 7416. Nicolaou, K. C.; Smith, A. L.; Hwang, C.-K.; Wendeborn, S. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 3114. Wender, P. A.; Zercher, C. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 3114. Wender, P. A.; Zercher, C. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 3114. Wender, P. A.; Zercher, C. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 2311. For the η² Co₂(CO)₆-mediated approach, see: Snyder, J. P.; Tipword, G. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 4040.

⁽¹²⁾ For an interesting and thought provoking account of why secondary metabolites (natural products) are biosynthesized, see: Williams, D. H.; Stone, M. J.; Hauck, P. R.; Rahman, S. K. J. Nat. Prod. 1989, 52, 1189.

⁽¹³⁾ Haseltine, J. N.; Cabal, M. P.; Mantlo, N. B.; Iwasawa, N.; Yamashita, D. S.; Coleman, R. S.; Danishefsky, S. J.; Schulte, G. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 3850. Danishefsky, S. J.; Mantlo, N. B.; Yamashita, D. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 6890. Cabal, M. P.; Coleman, R. S.; Danishefsky, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3253. For other papers from this group, see: Mantlo, N. B.; Danishefsky, S. J. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 2781. Haseltine, J. N.; Danishefsky, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 7638. Danishefsky, S. J.; Yamashita, D. S.; Mantlo, N. B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 4681. Kende et al. have reported a strategy conceptually identical to the Danishefsky work, except at a lower oxidation level: Kende, A. S.; Smith, C. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 4217.

 ⁽¹⁴⁾ Schreiber, S. L.; Kiessling, L. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 631.
 Schreiber, S. L.; Kiessling, L. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 433. Schoenen,
 F. J.; Porco, J. A., Jr.; Schreiber, S. L.; Van Duyne, G. D.; Clardy, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 3765.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Shea, K. J.; Fruscella, W. M.; Carr, R. C.; Burke, L. D.; Cooper, D. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 447.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Nicolaou, K. C.; Zuccarello, G.; Ogawa, K.; Schweiger, E. J.; Kumazawa, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 4866. Nicolaou, K. C.; Ogawa, Y.; Zuccarello, G.; Kataoka, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 7247.



14, Neocarzinostatin Chromophore A



resent a new class of compounds whose chemistry had not yet been explored, a synthetic strategy that probes the reactivity of enedivnes and the factors that control the rate of cycloaromatization was warranted. We have, at least initially, deliberately pursued a nonconvergent strategy in order to accrue a corpus of knowledge about the chemistry of the core bicyclo[7.3.1] enediyne system. The overall strategy is outlined in general terms in Scheme IV.

Addition of an acetylide 29 to the monoprotected 1,4-diketone 28 should lead to 26, which upon ionization to the propargylic cation 26a results in the 2-ketobicyclo[7.3.1]tridecenediyne 27. Similarly, addition of 29 to the 1,2-diketone derivative 30 should provide 31, which leads to the 13-ketobicyclo[7.3.1]tridecenediyne 32, via 31a. Some of the many questions to be addressed were whether the isomeric bicyclo[7.3.1] enediynes 27 and 32 were stable, isolable compounds with respect to their potential for cycloaromatization, and if so, what chemistry could be carried out on them.

A very convenient way to generate the propargylic cation-type intermediates 26a/31a is to make use of the η^2 dicobalt hexacarbonyl alkyne complexes 33, which have been shown by Nicholas¹⁸ to ionize to the cation 34 when treated with Brønsted or Lewis acids. Trapping by a carbon nucleophile gives 35.¹⁹ A

extension of the $Co_2(CO)_6-\eta^2$ -acetylene methodology, see: Maier, M. E.; Brandstetter, T. Tetrahedron Lett. **1991**, 32, 3679. (18) Sly, W. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1959**, 81, 18. Howard, J. A. K. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun. **1983**, C39, 1024. Nicholas, K. M.; Nestle, M. O.; Seyferth, D. Transition Metal Organometallics in Organic Synthesis; Alper, H., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1978; Vol.

(19) The propargyl cation chemistry has recently been reviewed: Nicholas, K. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 1987, 20, 207. Nicholas, K. M.; Mulraney, M.; Bayer,
 M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 2508. Schreiber, S. L.; Sammakia, T.;
 Crowe, W. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 3128. Schreiber, S. L.; Klimas,
 M. Y.; Sammakia, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5749. Montana, A. M.; Nicholas, K. M.; Khan, M. A. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 22, 5193.





further benefit of the $Co_2(CO)_6 - \eta^2$ -alkyne complexes is that they bend the normally linear digonally hybridized acetylene triple bond to approximately 145° (see Figure 1, supplementary material). The propargylic cation is situated with near to axial alignment to the enol derivative 36/37 π -system.

Finally, if successful, the corresponding bicyclo[7.3.1]tridecenediynes 38/39 will be formed as their mono $Co_2(CO)_6$ complexes and therefore prevent cycloaromatization until the Co₂- $(CO)_6$ cap is removed (Scheme V). This device should allow us to examine the release of the enediyne by oxidation and its subsequent cycloaromatization as separate steps. With this overall plan in mind, we initially examined the cyclohexane-1,4-dione system, which should allow us to look at the stability of 27, and its potential for cycloaromatization.

2-Ketobicyclo[7.3.1]tridecenediyne System

Treatment of cyclohexane-1,4-dione monoketal 28 with lithium acetylide in tetrahydrofuran at 0 °C gave 40 (66%). Palladium-(0)-catalyzed coupling of 40 to (Z)-dichloroethylene using literature procedures [Pd(PPh₃)₄/CuI/n-BuNH₂/PhH]²⁰ gave 41 (64%). As a general comment, we have found these coupling reactions to be sensitive to dioxygen, particularly on a small scale $(\leq 1 \text{ mmol})$. On a larger scale, where exclusion of dioxygen is less of a problem, the yields of the coupled product increase.

Protection of the tertiary hydroxyl group of 41 was achieved by treatment with t-BuMe₂SiOTf/NEt₃/CH₂Cl₂ to give 42 (88%). Acid hydrolysis of 42 with 35% trifluoroacetic acid/CH₂Cl₂ gave the ketone 43 (94%) without any detectable deprotection of the tertiary hydroxyl group. Coupling of 43 $[Pd(PPh_3)_4/CuI/n-$ BuNH₂/PhH] with propargyl methyl ether gave 44 (81%), whereas similar coupling with propargyl alcohol gave 45 (56%) (Scheme VI).

When 44 was treated with $Co_2(CO)_8$ in heptane, the less sterically hindered acetylene was converted into the dicobalt hexacarbonyl adduct 46 (82%). Similarly, the propargylic alcohol 45 was converted into the crystalline adduct 47, whose structure was confirmed by single-crystal X-ray crystallography (see Figure 1, supplementary material).²¹ The $\eta^2 \operatorname{Co}_2(\operatorname{CO})_6$ metallocycle bends

⁽¹⁷⁾ Preliminary communications have been published. (a) Synthesis of 2-ketobicyclo[7.3.1]tridecenediyne: Magnus, P.; Carter, P. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 1626. (b) Synthesis of 13-ketobicyclo[7.3.1]tridecenediyne: Magnus, P.; Lewis, R. T.; Huffman, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 6921. (c) Synthesis of the trisulfide functionality: Magnus, P.; Lewis, R. T.; Bennett, F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 916. (d) Conjugation addition of thiol to initiate 1,4-diyl formation: Magnus, P.; Lewis, R. T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 1905. (e) Selenium dioxide oxidation of bridgehead trialkylsilyl enol ethers: Magnus, P.; Bennett, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 3637. (f) Synthesis of the 12β -hydroxybicyclo[7.3.1]tridecenediyne core structure: Magnus, P.; Annoura, H.; Harling, J. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 1709. (g) Molecular strain rather than π -bond proximity determines the cycloaromatization rates of bicyclo[7.3.1]tridecenediynes: Magnus, P.; Fortt, S.; Pitterna, T.; Snyder, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 4986. For a recent

⁽²⁰⁾ Stephans, R. D.; Castro, C. E. J. Org. Chem. 1963, 28, 3313. Ratovelomanana, V.; Linstrumelle, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 6001. Guillerm, D.; Linstrumelle, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 5857. Guillerm, D.; Linstumelle, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 3811.
 (21) Complete details of the X-ray crystallographic structure determina-

tion for 32, 39, 47, 54, 64, and 95 are available as supplementary material.

Scheme III

Scheme IV



the normally linear (digonal) sp-hybridized acetylene from 180° to 143.5° and 145.6°. In the crystalline state 47 has the η^2 -enediyne Co₂(CO)₆ appendage in an equatorial conformation, whereas in solution it must adopt an axial conformation for the propargylic carbon atom to allow axial alkylation of the derived enol(ate) form of either 46 or 47.

Treatment of 46 with t-BuMe₂SiOTf/NEt₃/CH₂Cl₂ gave the derived silyl enol ether 48 (89%). After considerable experimentation using a wide variety of Lewis acids [BF₃·OEt₂, SnCl₄, Ti(OPr')₄, NbCl₅, etc.] and protic acids (CF₃CO₂H, HBF₄, and TsOH), it was eventually found that treatment of 48 in dichloromethane (-78 to -50 °C) with 1.0 M TiCl₄ and DABCO gave the required 2-ketobicyclo[7.3.1] enediyne dicobalt hexa-

carbonyl adduct 38 as a red oil in 45% yield. The choice of an amine that cannot be dehydrogenated (the iminium ion from DABCO would violate Bredt's rule) was arrived at by the following observation. If the above Lewis acid mediated ionization of 48 was carried out in the presence of triethylamine instead of DABCO, only small amounts of cyclization to 38 (<5%) were observed, and the major pathway was reduction of the intermediate cation 36 to a methyl group. This observation provides good evidence that the cation 36 is indeed the species undergoing cyclization to 38. It is important that the temperature in the cyclization is kept below -45 to -50 °C, otherwise extensive decomposition occurs.

Oxidative decomplexation of 38 in 1,4-cyclohexadiene using

Scheme V

Scheme VI



N-methylmorpholine *N*-oxide (NMMO)²² at 20 °C rapidly gave **49** (42%), presumably via the uncomplexed 2-ketobicyclo[7.3.1] enediyne **27**. Even at lower temperatures (-20 °C), we could not isolate **27**, although a product was observed (¹H NMR and TLC) that decomposed to give **49** (Scheme VII).

The structure of **49** was evident from its ¹H NMR spectrum combined with decoupling experiments: δ 3.37 (dd) couples to δ 2.85/2.52; δ 2.85 couples to δ 3.37/2.67/2.52; δ 2.67 (dd) couples to δ 2.85/2.3; δ 2.59 couples to δ 2.1; δ 2.52 couples to δ 3.7/2.82. This shows the presence of two ABX spin systems where H_X is the bridgehead proton common to both ABX spin systems, and resonating at δ 2.85. While this is consistent with both the bridged ring structure **49** and the fused ring structure **55**, only **49** would have H_X at δ 2.85 (adjacent to the carbonyl group), whereas the corresponding methine H_X in **55** would be expected to appear at higher field. Further support for the structure of **38**, and therefore **49**, comes from the ¹³C spectra [C₁ in **38** (57 ppm), **39** (50 ppm), and **64** (37 ppm). For the latter two compounds, see Figures 3

⁽²²⁾ Magnus, P.; Becker, D. P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 640.
(23) Hook, J. M.; Mander, L. N. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 1722. Treatment of the reductive benzylation product 52 with polyphosphoric acid is reported to give 51. Although full experimental details were kindly supplied by Professor Mander, we were unable to make an authentic sample of 51, and thence 49.

⁽²⁴⁾ Guindon, Y.; Morton, H. E.; Yoakim, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 3969; J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 3912.

Scheme VII



and 5,²⁵ X-ray structures, in the supplementary material.

Decomplexation of 38 in carbon tetrachloride/NMMO gave the corresponding para dichloride 50 (29%). While we cannot exclude a cobalt-catalyzed process that results in the aromatized adducts 49/50, the data obtained for the 13-ketobicyclo[7.3.1] enediyne 32 (see later) provide very strong evidence that removal of the $Co_2(CO)_6-\eta^2$ -cap does not initiate a Co-catalyzed aromatization.

The hydroxy derivative 51 is a known compound made by Mander during the course of his studies on the synthesis of gibberellins.²³ Since an authentic sample of 51 was not available, and we were unable to reproduce the transformation of 52 into 51, we attempted to make a crystalline derivative of 38. Conversion of 38 into the dark green-black crystalline tetracobalt adduct, initially thought to be 53, was readily achieved by treatment of 38 with dicobalt octacarbonyl. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the supposed 53 was very broad and diffuse and did not exhibit any definitive features. Fortunately the green-black crystals were of sufficient quality to give X-ray crystallographic data. Surprisingly, these data showed the structure to be the rearranged tetracobalt adduct 54 (Figure 2, supplementary material).²¹ Apparently the adduct 53 has undergone a 1,4 acetylene shift accompanied by a 1,4 silyl shift to give the compound 54 (1,4 dyotropic shift),²⁵ a compound apparently resulting from β -alkylation! Decomplexation of 54 gave a complex mixture, and while we could not detect the bridged bicyclic system 49, neither could we isolate the expected hexahydrofluorenyl adduct 55. Consequently we were left with the question of whether the 1,4 dvotropic shift takes place before or after the second dicobalt hexacarbonyl complexation. As will be seen later, we have observed the 1,2-version of this rearrangement in the 13-keto series, and this series provides good evidence that the bicyclo[3.3.1]nonanes 49/50 are the correct structures and not hexahydrofluorenyl derivatives of 55. Taken together with the NMR evidence, this strongly suggests that the 1,4 dyotropic rearrangement proceeds when 38 is converted into 53 and then to 54. It is interesting to

(25) See Figure 5, supplementary material. Dyotropic shifts of trialkylsilyl groups are well-known: Barnier, J. P.; Garnico, B.; Girard, C.; Denis, J. M.; Salaun, J.; Conia, J. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1973**, *14*, 1747. We have used this rearrangement to construct the neocarzinostatin core structure. The adduct i rearranges to give ii when treated with PhOAlCl₂.



Magnus, P.; Fortt, S.; Pitterna, T., unpublished results. Magnus, P.; Pitterna, T. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1991, 541.

point out that 54 contains the 9-membered ring of neocarzinostatin CA (14); the rearrangement contracts the 10-membered esperamicin/calicheamicin ring system into the 9-membered neocarzinostatin core structure.

13-Ketobicyclo[7.3.1]tridecenediyne System

While the route used for the synthesis of the 2-ketobicyclo[7.3.1] enediyne system provides a practical method for the construction of the esperamicin core structure, the carbonyl group is not in a suitable position to examine bridgehead enol(ate) functionalization. However, starting with cyclohexane-1,2-dione should allow ready access to the potentially more useful 13-keto core structure 32. Treatment of cyclohexane-1,2-dione with NaH/MEM-Cl/THF at -10 °C gave 56 (82%), which was exposed to lithium acetylide-ethylenediamine complex in dioxane to give 57 (74%). Coupling of 57 to (Z)-dichloroethylene to give 58 (77%) was accomplished with $Pd(PPh_3)_4/CuI/n-BuNH_2$. Protection of 58 (t-BuMe₂SiOTf/NEt₃/CH₂Cl₂) gave 59 (72%), which was coupled, as before, to methyl propargyl ether to give 60 (88%). Selective removal of the MEM enol ether in 60 using Me₂BBr²⁴ at -35 °C gave 61 (>95%), from which the derived t-BuMe₂Si enol ether 62 (85%) was prepared. Treatment of 62 with Co₂- $(CO)_8$ /heptane at room temperature resulted in complexation predominately at the sterically less hindered acetylenic bond to give 63 (90%). Small amounts of the $Co_2(CO)_6$ -acetylene regioisomer and its bis $Co_4(CO)_{12}$ complex are also formed; 63 is purified by chromatography prior to its conversion into 39.

Treatment of 39 with TiCl₄/DABCO at -43 to -35 °C gave the required 10,11- η^2 -bicyclo[7.3.1] enediyne dicobalt hexacarbonyl adduct 39 (55%) as a crimson crystalline solid, accompanied by a small amount (ca. 10%) of the α -ketol shift isomer 64 (Scheme VIII).

It is interesting to note that the rearranged product 64 contains the nine-membered-ring diyne system of the neocarzinostatin chromophore A (14) and, as such, establishes a structural relationship between the two classes of antitumor agents.²⁵

Recently, Tomioka reported that the conversion of 63 into 39 did not proceed as we have reported, but gave instead the cationic allylic rearrangement product 65^{26} They also reported that in order to make 39 it is necessary to use the corresponding trimethylsilyl enol ether derivative of 63. Their conditions are identical to ours except the temperature was -60 °C. At -60 °C (TiCl₄/DABCO), 63 does indeed slowly rearrange to give 65, but at -40 to -35 °C, 39 is rapidly formed. We and others²⁷ have also found the trimethylsilyl enol ether derivatives of 61 and 63 to be unstable with respect to hydrolysis to the corresponding ketones and as a consequence make purification difficult, resulting in inferior overall yields of 39. The structure of 39 was secured

⁽²⁶⁾ Tomioka, K.; Fujita, H.; Koga, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 851. (27) Kadow J., Bristol-Myers Squibb, private communication.

Scheme VIII



by single-crystal X-ray crystallography (Figure 3, supplementary material, shows an ORTEP representation. The newly formed carbon-carbon bond (C_1-C_{12}) is axial (with respect to the cyclohexanone ring) and consequently the hydrogen atom at C_1 is in an equatorial configuration. The C_1 -H bond is orthogonal to the C_{13} carbonyl π -system and as a consequence should exhibit reduced kinetic acidity. In other words, there is a kinetic barrier to bridgehead enolization in **39** because of poor overlap in the developing enolate π -system. Not surprisingly, attempts to form the bridgehead enolate **39a** failed (see footnote 28). The uncomplexed acetylenic bond angles $C_{5,6,7}$ and $C_{6,7,8}$ in **39** have

(28) While 39 could not be converted into 39a the uncomplexed acetylene 32 readily formed 32a, which could be isolated as its t-BuMe₂ silyl enol ether and then converted into the corresponding derivative of 39a. See accompanying paper.



changed from 178° (using 47 as a reference) to 170.7° and 173.7°, respectively. There is relatively little change in the bond angles and bond lengths of the η^2 dicobalt hexacarbonyl group and the C_{8,9} double bond. The axial C₁-C₁₂ bond can only be accommodated in this configuration if the cyclohexanone ring is in a chair conformation, which is clearly shown in Figure 3, supplementary material.²¹

Oxidative decomplexation of 39 using iodine/THF at room temperature gave the 13-ketobicyclo[7.3.1] enediyne 32 (82%) as a stable crystalline solid (Figure 4, supplementary material, shows an ORTEP representation). When the $10,11-\eta^2$ dicobalt hexacarbonyl group is removed, the C_{9,10,11} and C_{10,11,12} bond angles change from approximately 139° to 165.7° and 169.8°, respectively. This causes the previously axial $C_{1,12}$ bond to assume an equatorial configuration and thus forces the six-membered ring into a boat conformation. The bond angles and bond lengths of the $C_{8,9}$ double bond are normal, indicating that the strain in 32 is accommodated by the weak bending modes of the triple bonds.29 The bridgehead C1-H bond is axial and in the same plane as the C_{13} carbonyl π -system. Consequently, 32 should be capable of forming a bridgehead enol derivative because the developing π -character at C₁ can directly participate in enolate resonance stabilization.28

Before describing the rate of aromatization studies on 32 and related structures, we investigated more convergent routes to 32, and improvements in the conversion of η^2 dicobalt hexacarbonyl adduct 63 into 39. By use of the sequence of transformations

⁽²⁹⁾ Chemistry of Acetylenes; Viehe, G. M., Ed.; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1969. Behr, O. M.; Eglinton, G.; Galbraith, A. R.; Raphael, R. A. J. Chem. Soc. 1960, 3614.

Scheme IX



outlined in Scheme IX, the vinyl chloride **59** was converted into the propargylic alcohol **68** via **66** and **67** in three steps, overall yield 62%.

Treatment of 68 with $Co_2(CO)_8$ gave selective complexation of the less hindered acetylene resulting in 69 (84%). When 69 was exposed to triflic anhydride in dichloromethane at -10 °C in the presence of 2,6-di-*tert*-butyl-4-methylpyridine, the η^2 -13ketobicyclo[7.3.1] enediyne dicobalt hexacarbonyl adduct 39 was isolated in 77% yield. The above route was made more convergent by utilizing the modification described by Kadow³⁰ in his adaptation of our original sequence. Treatment of 72 [best made from 71 in 60% overall yield from (Z)-dichloroethylene: the alternative route from 70 does not give a good yield in the first coupling reaction] with lithium hydroxide generated the unstable terminal acetylene 73, which was used as a 0.5 M solution (pentane/Et₂O). The lithio species 74 (generated from 73/n-BuLi/THF at -78 °C) was quenched with the enone 30 to give, initially, 75, which on warming to 20 °C underwent 1,2 silvl migration to give 61, albeit in only 34% yield (Scheme X). Enolization of 30 appears to be the source of the modest yield, since large amounts of 30 were recovered.

While the convergent route to the enediyne 61 in short (five steps, overall yield 20%, from (Z)-dichloroethylene), it does not capitalize on the improved closure of the propargylic alcohol 69

(30) Kadow, J. F.; Saulnier, M. G.; Tun, M. M.; Langley, D. R.; Vyas, D. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 3499.

to **39** (77%) using triflic anhydride/2,6-di-*tert*-butyl-4-methyl-pyridine.

(Z)-Dichloroethylene was coupled to propargyl O-tetrahydropyranyl ether using Pd(PPh₃)₄/CuI/*n*-BuNH₂ to give **76** (58%). Further coupling in the same manner to (trimethylsilyl)acetylene gave **77** (58%), which was converted into the lithio reagent **78** by treatment with LiOH/THF, followed by *n*-BuLi/THF. Quenching of this acetylide anion with **30** and in situ silylation of **79** with *t*-BuMe₂SiOTf/Et₃N gave **80** (>90%). Selective deprotection of the tetrahydropyranyl ether using the Grieco procedure³¹ (pyridinium tosylate in methanol) unfortunately resulted in allylic rearrangement to give **81**, and none of the desired alcohol **68** (Scheme XI). Consequently, the route shown in Scheme IX provides the best overall yield of the η^2 -13-ketobicyclo[7.3.1] enediyne dicobalt hexacarbonyl adduct **39** (10% from cyclohexane-1,2-dione) and makes use of the more efficient cyclization of **69** into **39**.

Rate of Cycloaromatization of the

13-Ketobicyclo[7.3.1]tridecenediyne System and Related Studies

Initial qualitative experiments readily showed that the 13-bicyclo[7.3.1] enediyne 32 is considerably more resistant to cycloaromatization than the 2-keto isomer 27. While we could not isolate 27, 32 is a stable crystalline compound below 80 °C. At

⁽³¹⁾ Miyashita, N.; Yoshikoshi, A.; Grieco, P. A. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 3772.

Scheme XI

Scheme XII^a



 $^{a}R = TBDMS.$

80 °C, in 1,4-cyclohexadiene, 32 is converted into the aromatic adduct 83 (72%) via the 1,4-diyl 82 (Scheme XII). The Bergman prototype enediyne 11 (Scheme I), requires heating at 195 °C in the presence of a hydrogen atom donor in order to convert it into benzene. The ΔG^* for this conversion is approximately 32 kcal·mol⁻¹. It is clear that the esperamicins and calicheamicins 1-4 embody structural features that enable diyl formation to take place under physiological conditions (37 °C).

Recently Townsend³² reported that treatment of calicheamicin 1 with *n*-Bu₃P at -67 °C in methanol- d_4 gave the dihydrothiophene 8 (X = H). At -11 °C, 8 (X = H) was transformed into the calicheamicin equivalent of esperamicin X 10 (X = H) at a convenient rate (VT ¹H NMR) that allowed useful first-order rate data to be measured; $k = 5 \pm 2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $\Delta G^* = 19.3 \pm$ 0.2 kcal·mol⁻¹. Thus the half-life of the dihydrothiophene intermediate 8 (X = H) is 4.5 ± 1.5 s at 37 °C. The provocative and kinetically plausible conclusion is that the observed DNA sequence selectivity may well be the result of binding the dihydrothiophene 8 (X = H) to DNA, rather than calicheamicin itself.

Nicolaou¹⁶ examined a number of monocyclic enediynes 24 (n = 2-8) (Scheme III) and concluded from their relative stability,

and several other similar previously reported enediynes,⁷ that the ease of cycloaromatization can be correlated to the distance between the bonding acetylenic carbon atoms $r(C_{sp}-C_{sp})$. It should be noted as a reference point that the distance r between the two bonding acetylenes in 11 is 4.17 Å.³³ In the ground state a distance r of 3.16 Å is sufficient to cause spontaneous ambient cycloaromatization to the 1,4-divl 9. For the substrate 24 (n =2, 10-membered-ring monocyclic analogue), $k = 6.4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ min}^{-1}$ $(1.07 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1})$ and $E_{\text{act}} = 23.8 \text{ kcal·mol}^{-1}$ ($\Delta G^* = 24.7 \text{ kcal·mol}^{-1}$). Snyder³⁴ has calculated (MM2, parameterized to reproduce the PRDDO-GVB-C1 transition state) for 32 ΔG^* = 26.1 kcal·mol⁻¹, for 27 ΔG^* = 23.6 kcal·mol⁻¹, and for 86 ΔG^* = 20.6 kcal-mol⁻¹. The first value is in excellent agreement with the experimental value (see below), and the latter two values qualitatively parallel our observations. For the 2-ketobicyclo[7.3.1] enediyne 27, the distance r is calculated to be 3.34 Å, for the isomeric 13-ketobicyclo[7.3.1] enediyne 32, r is 3.41 Å, and for the alcohol 86, r is 3.32 Å. Therefore, if the distance r between the bonding acetylenes in the ground state were the only factor governing the rate of cycloaromatization, the isomeric ketones 27 and 32 should be of comparable stability. Nevertheless, there

⁽³²⁾ De Voss, J. J.; Hangeland, J. J.; Townsend, C. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 4554.

⁽³³⁾ Adiwidjaja, G.; Groun-witte, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 188, 91.
(34) Snyder, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 7630. Snyder, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 5367. See also ref 17g.



 $^{a}R = TBDMS.$

Scheme XIV

Scheme XIII^a



 Table I. Kinetic Parameters for the Thermal Cyclization of Enediyne 32

| <i>T</i> , °C | k, s^{-1} | $t_{1/2}(\tau)$ | <i>T</i> , °C | k, s^{-1} | $t_{1/2}(\tau)$ |
|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 71 | 1.07×10^{-4} | 2.10 h | 95 | 1.16×10^{-3} | 10 min |
| 79 | 2.56×10^{-4} | 45 min | 104 | 2.58×10^{-3} | 4.30 min |
| 87 | 5.00×10^{-4} | 23 min | | | |

is a substantial rate difference in their respective first-order cycloaromatization. This points to factors other than simply the magnitude of r in the ground state controlling the rate of diyl formation. It is reasonable to assume that the rate of diyl hydrogen atom quenching is very fast compared with diyl formation, since it is a highly exothermic process.

The crystalline 13-ketobicyclo[7.3.1] enediyne 32 has been characterized by X-ray crystallography, r = 3.39 Å, in excellent agreement with calculation (3.41 Å). The cyclohexanone ring is in a boat conformation in the crystal and in solution. Heating a solution of 32 in 1,4-cyclohexadiene at temperatures ranging from 71 to 104 °C and monitoring both the rate of disappearance of 32 and the rate of formation of 83 (>70%) gave the *first-order* rate constants shown in Table I. Extrapolated to 37 °C, the thermodynamic parameters are $\Delta G^* = 26.3$ kcal·mol⁻¹ (calcd 26.1 kcal·mol⁻¹), $\Delta H^* = 24.0$ kcal·mol⁻¹, $\Delta S^* = -7.33$ eu, $E_a = 24.6$ kcal·mol⁻¹, and $k = 1.85 \times 10^{-6}$ s⁻¹ (error ±2%).

The transition state for the conversion of 32 into 83 should be substantially bicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-like in geometrical character. If we replace the six-membered ring with a five-membered ring, the transition state for cycloaromatization will now be bicyclo-[3.2.1]octane-like in geometrical character (more strained), but with little or no change in the distance r between the bonding acetylenes.

The five-membered-ring analogue of 32, namely, 12-ketobicyclo[7.2.1] enediyne 94, was readily made in the same way (Scheme XIII) except the starting material was cyclopentane-1,2-dione. Scheme XIII parallels Scheme IX. The crucial transformation involved treatment of 92 with triflic anhydride/ 2,6-di-*tert*-butyl-4-methylpyridine/CH₂Cl₂ at -10 °C to give the η^2 -12-ketobicyclo[7.2.1] enediyne dicobalt hexacarbonyl adduct 93 (59%). Decomplexation of 93 with I₂/THF at 0 °C gave the ketone 94 (82%) as a thick oil.

The *E*-oxime **95** gave suitable crystals for X-ray analysis (Figure 6, supplementary material).²¹ The bond angles and bond lengths



in the enediyne portions of 32 and 95 are very similar. The only significant differences in 95 are the increased bending of the C_5-C_6 acetylene (167.4°/166.8° vs 171.5°/168.7° for 32) and the carbonyl bond angle (110.5° vs 118.3° in 32) [ν_{max} 1764 (94) and 1734 cm⁻¹ (32)].

1734 cm⁻¹ (32)]. The C₅/C₁₀ separation is r = 3.37 Å (vs 3.39 Å for 32). Although the distance between the two acetylenic carbons is almost within the range postulated for ambient cycloaromatization (<3.35 Å) and slightly below r in 32, compound 94 is remarkably resistant to ring closure. At 124 °C (averaged over five runs), k = 2.08 \times 10⁻⁵ s⁻¹ for conversion of 94 into the bicyclo[3.2.1] system 96 (73%). This corresponds to a ΔG^* (124 °C) of 32.0 kcal-mol⁻¹ and gives $\Delta\Delta G^*$ (94 - 32) = 5.1 ± 0.2 kcal·mol⁻¹ at the same temperature.³⁴ In other words, even though r is less in 94 than in 32, 94 cycloaromatizes 650 times more slowly at 124 °C. By contrast, the cycloaromatization rate of alcohol 97 to 98 at 85 °C ($k = 1.467 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$) is 216 times faster than 94 and one-third the rate of 32 ($\Delta G^* = 27.4 \text{ kcal·mol}^{-1}$). The alcohol derived from 32, namely 86, cycloaromatizes rapidly at 0 °C. These appreciable rate differences were predicted by Snyder prior to the rate measurements.34

A significant conformational difference between the five- and six-membered-ring analogues is that the boat cyclohexanone in 32 becomes a chair in 83 and provides approximately 6-kcal strain release in the transition state, whereas the five-membered-ring system 94 has no comparable driving force. The simple notion that the distance between the bonding acetylenic carbon atoms in the ground state determines the rate of diyl formation does not provide an adequate prediction of the ease of cycloaromatization for the bicyclic enediynes described above. The transition state model developed by Snyder is in good accord with the experimental results.

This model is product oriented. The transition state for the conversion of 32 into 83 should be substantially bicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-like in geometrical character. If we accept this suggestion, there should be a correlation between the strain energy of the products and their relative rates of formation. The less strained product will be formed more rapidly. MM2 calculations carried out on the series of compounds 99–103 (Chart II) parallel the trends observed both experimentally and in the Snyder calculations: $\Delta SE (100 - 99) = 4.5 [exp\Delta\Delta G^* (94 - 32) = 5.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ kcal} \text{mol}^{-1}]; \Delta SE (102 - 99) = 2.5 [exp\Delta\Delta G^* (97 - 32) = 1.3 \pm 0.2$



^aSE, strain energy.

kcal·mol⁻¹]; $\Delta SE (99 - 103) = 1.9 [calcd <math>\Delta \Delta G^{*} (32 - 27) = 1.5 kcal·mol^{-1}].$

Summary

The overall general strategy for the construction of the bicyclo[7.3.1]tridecenediyne core structure of the antitumor antibiotics esperamicin and calicheamicin (Scheme IV) can be realized provided the 10,11-acetylenic bond is complexed as its derived $\eta^2 \operatorname{Co}_2(\operatorname{CO})_6$ adduct (Scheme V). The advantages of the η^2 $\operatorname{Co}_2(\operatorname{CO})_6$ propargylic cation cyclization are that the cation is aligned axially to the enol π -system in the cyclohexanone ring and the resulting products cannot undergo cycloaromatization. Attempts to cyclize 44, 45, 62, and 68, without the 10,11- $\eta^2 \operatorname{Co}_2(\operatorname{CO})_6$ complexation resulted in decomposition with no evidence for either the formation of the bicyclo[7.3.1] enediyne core or the cycloaromatization products.

The route to the 13-ketobicyclo[7.3.1]tridecenediyne 32 (Scheme VIII) proceeds in 10 steps from cyclohexane-1,2-dione in an overall yield of 11.2%. The more convergent route to 32, Scheme IX, proceeds in the same number of steps and a marginally improved overall yield of 12%. The $Co_2(CO)_6-\eta^2$ proparelyic cation methodology is also applicable to the synthesis of the core structures of dynemicin (6) and neocarzinostatin (14).^{10,11}

The rate of cycloaromatization of 32 compared to the derived alcohol 86 and the five-membered-ring analogue 94 (and 97) dramatically demonstrates that the distance (r) between the bonding acetylenes (leading to the 1,4-diyl) in the ground state does not control the rate of cycloaromatization. Strain release in the transition state more adequately predicts the relative rates of cycloaromatization. This important conclusion, first suggested from qualitative experiments,^{17b} then predicted by calculations,³⁴ and subsequently confirmed by quantitative first-order rate measurements,^{17g} is a paramount consideration for designing analogue enediynes that cycloaromatize to a 1,4-diyl under physiological conditions.

The introduction of functionality into the 13-ketobicyclo[7.3.1] enediyne **32** via bridgehead enolate chemistry is the subject of the following article.

Experimental Section

Melting points were taken on a Thomas-Hoover capillary tube apparatus and are uncorrected. Boiling points are uncorrected. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 881 grating spectrophotometer either neat or in CHCl₃ as indicated. Ultraviolet spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 3B UV/vis spectrophotometer in the indicated solvents. Proton NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian-90 MHz spectrometer in the indicated solvent and are reported in ppm downfield from TMS. Elemental analyses were performed by Midwest Microlab in Indianapolis, IN. Routine monitoring of reactions was performed using Merck 60 F_{254} silica gel, aluminum-backed TLC plates. Preparative-layer chromatography was performed using Merck 60H F_{254} silica gel, glass-supported plates. Flash column chromatography was performed with the indicated solvents on Merck 60H F_{254} silica gel.

Air- and moisture-sensitive reactions were performed using the usual inert atmosphere techniques. Reactions requiring anhydrous conditions were performed in glassware dried by a Bunsen flame or in an oven at 140 °C and then cooled under argon, and performed under a blanket of argon. Solvents and commercial reagents were dried and purified before use: Et_2O and THF were distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl; CH_2Cl_2 and benzene were distilled from calcium hydride under argon.

4-Ethynyl-4-hydroxycyclohexan-1-one 1-Ethylene Ketal (40). To a stirred suspension of lithium acetylide-ethylenediamine complex (9.36 g, 0.102 mol) in dry THF (100 mL) at 0 °C was added dropwise a solution of cyclohexane-1,4-dione monoethylene ketal (28; 9.36 g, 0.06 mol) in dry THF (70 mL) over 0.5 h. The mixture was slowly warmed to 25 °C and allowed to stir for 16 h. Saturated aqueous ammonium chloride solution (120 mL) was added to the mixture and the resulting solution extracted with ethyl acetate (3×50 mL). The combined extracts were washed with water (3 × 50 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated in vacuo to give an orange oil (11.0 g). The oil was distilled under reduced pressure to give 40 (6.7 g 62%) as a clear colorless oil: bp 97-105 °C (0.03 mmHg). The yield of 40 over several runs averaged 66%: IR (CHCl₃) 3594, 3450, 3306, 2960, 1435, 1335, 1100 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.91 (4 H, s), 2.45 (1 H, s), 2.2 (1 H, b), 1.6-2.0 (8 H, m). Anal. Calcd for C10H14O3: C, 65.93; H, 7.69. Found: C, 65.67; H, 7.73.

4-[(Z)-4-Chlorobut-3-en-1-ynyl]-4-hydroxycyclohexan-1-one 1-Ethylene Ketal (41) and Its tert-Butyldimethylsilyl Ether Derivative 42. A mixture of dry benzene (90 mL) and dry n-butylamine (8.88 mL, 90 mmol) was purged with dry argon for 5 min. To the above solution, at 0 °C, was added (Z)-dichloroethylene (4.54 mL, 60 mmol) followed by $Pd(PPh_3)_4$ (2.42 g, 2.10 mmol), the acetylene 40 (5.42 g, 29.8 mmol) in benzene (20 mL), and finally CuI (1.20 g, 6.39 mmol). The heterogeneous mixture was slowly warmed to 20 °C and stirred for 6 h. The mixture was poured into petroleum ether (100 mL), saturated aqueous ammonium chloride (90 mL), and water (20 mL). The aqueous phase was separated and extracted with petroleum ether $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The combined petroleum ether extracts were washed with water (15 mL) and brine (20 mL) and dried (Na₂SO₄). Evaporation of the petroleum ether solvent in vacuo gave crude 41 as a brown oil. Purification by chromatography over silica gel (60 g), eluting with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (4:1), gave product fractions, which were concentrated to approximately 50 mL and the precipitated solids filtered through Celite, washing with ether. The filtrate was rechromatographed as above and concentrated in vacuo to give 41 (4.63 g, 64%) as a yellow-orange thixotropic liquid: IR (CHCl₃) 3608, 3450, 2970, 2260, 1599 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 6.34 (1 H, d, J = 7.5 Hz), 5.85 (1 H, d, J = 7.5 Hz), 3.90 (4 H, s), 2.5 (1 H, b, OH), 1.7-2.1 (8 H, m); HRMS calcd for C₁₂H₁₅ClO₃ 207.1023, found m/e 207.1022.

The alcohol 41 (1.82 g, 7.5 mmol) in dichloromethane (25 mL) at 20 °C was treated with dry triethylamine (2.09 mL) and tert-butyldi-

methylsilyl triflate (2.58 mL, 11.3 mmol). After 3 h, the above solution was poured into aqueous NaHCO₃ solution (20 mL) and extracted with dichloromethane (2 × 5 mL). The dried (Na₂SO₄) extract was evaporated in vacuo and the residue chromatographed over silica gel, eluting with petroleum ether/ether (15:1) to give 42 (2.36 g, 88%) as white crystals: mp 50–51 °C (from aqueous ethanol); IR (CHCl₃) 2962, 2940, 2890, 2864, 1320, 1338, 1253, 1121, 1104, 840 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.34 (1 H, d, J = 7.4 Hz), 5.86 (1 H, d, J = 7.4 Hz), 3.92 (4 H, s), 1.66–2.03 (8 H, m), 0.86 (9 H, s), 0.16 (6 H, s); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 128.18 (d), 111.55 (d), 108.05 (s), 101.16 (s), 78.82 (s), 68.46 (s), 64.25 (t), 38.31 (t), 31.14 (t), 25.87 (q), 18.22 (s), -2.90 (q). Anal. Calcd for $C_{18}H_{29}$ ClO₃Si: C, 60.57; H, 8.19. Found: C, 60.87; H, 8.50.

4-[(Z)-4-Chlorobut-3-en-1-ynyl]-4-[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]cyclohexan-1-one (43). A mixture of the ketal 42 (5.28 g, 14.08 mmol), 35% aqueous trifluoroacetic acid (60 mL), and chloroform (60 mL) were vigorously stirred at 20 °C for 45 h. The aqueous phase was extracted with chloroform $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$, and the combined chloroform extracts were washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (30 mL) and water (5 mL) and dried (MgSO₄). Evaporation in vacuo gave a pale yellow oil, which was purified by chromatography over silica gel, eluting with petroleum ether/ether (15:1) to give 43 (3.75 g, 81%). Further chromatography of the mixed fractions gave a total yield of 43: 4.36 g, 94%; IR (CHCl₃) 2940, 2860, 1712, 1600, 1465, 1334, 1253, 1110, 1046, 840 cm^{-1} ; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.41 (1 H, d, J = 7.5 Hz), 5.89 (1 H, d, J = 7.4 Hz), 2.5-2.61 (2 H, m), 2.39-2.50 (2 H, m), 2.16 (4 Hz), 2.5-2.61 (2 Hz), 2.5-2.61H, t, J = 6.7 Hz), 0.88 (9 H, s), 0.21 (6 H, s); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) & 209.73 (s), 129.04 (d), 111.12 (d), 99.45 (s), 79.68 (s), 67.63 (s), 40.12 (t), 37.33 (t), 25.82 (q), 18.21 (s), -3.01 (q); CIMS calcd for $C_{16}H_{25}ClO_2Si + 1$ 313.1390, found m/e + 1 313.1386.

(Z)-4-(7-Methoxyhept-3-ene-1,5-diynyl)-4-[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxylcyclohexan-1-one (44). A solution of the vinyl chloride 43 (312.5 mg, 1 mmol) in dry benzene (10 mL) was purged with argon for several minutes and n-butylamine (593 µL, 6 mmol) followed by methyl propargyl ether (167 µL, 2 mmol, freshly distilled) was added. The above mixture was stirred at 20 °C for 3.5 h and then poured into saturated aqueous ammonium chloride (10 mL) and petroleum ether (20 mL). The petroleum ether layer was washed with aqueous ammonium chloride (10 mL) and aqueous ceric ammonium nitrate (ca. 500 mg/10 mL) and filtered through Celite. The aqueous phase was extracted with water (5 mL) and brine (5 mL) and dried (MgSO₄). The combined organic extracts were evaporated in vacuo and the residue was preadsorbed onto silica gel and chromatographed, eluting with petroleum ether/ether (4:1) to give 44: 279 mg, 81% (average yield for four runs, 74%); IR (CHCl₃) 2940, 2860, 1711, 1464, 1358, 1250, 1100, 838 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.86 (2 H, m), 4.21 (2 H, d, J = 1.8 Hz), 3.36 (3 H, s), 2.50 (4 H, m), 2.14 (4 H, t, J = 6.9 Hz), 0.87 (9 H, s), 0.21 (6 H, s); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 209.68 (s), 119.57 (d), 118.81 (d), 98.75 (s), 92.90 (s), 83.40 (s), 83.01 (s), 67.75 (s), 60.21 (t), 57.61 (q), 40.14 (t), 37.40 (t), 25.80 (q), 18.13 (s), -3.00 (q); HRMS calcd for C20H30O3Si 346.1964, found m/e 346.1972.

(Z)-(7-Hydroxyhept-3-ene-1,5-diynyl)-4-[(*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]cyclobexan-1-one (45). Similar coupling of 43 (1.56 g, 5 mmol) with propargyl alcohol (582 μ L, 10.0 mmol) for 24 h gave 45 (931 mg, 56%): IR (CHCl₃) 3615, 3420, 2960, 2940, 2860, 1710, 1466, 1441, 1252, 1108, 840 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.86 (2 H, m), 4.39 (2 H, d, J = 2.4 Hz), 2.55 (4 H, t, J = 6.6 Hz), 2.20 (1 H, b, OH), 2.15 (4 H, t, J = 6.8 Hz), 0.88 (9 H, s), 0.22 (6 H, s); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 210.97 (s), 119.64 (d), 118.59 (d), 98.48 (s), 95.59 (s) 83.32 (s), 82.35 (s), 67.81 (s), 51.18 (t), 39.89 (t), 37.46 (t), 25.75 (q), 18.10 (s), -3.02 (q); HRMS calcd for C₁₉H₂₈O₃Si 332.1807, found *m/e* 332.1802.

[(Z)-4-[(5,6-η²)-7-Methoxyhept-3-ene-1,5-diynyl]-4-[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]cyclohexan-1-one]hexacarbonyldicobalt (46). To a carbon monoxide purged solution of the enediyne 44 (609 mg, 1.76 mmol) in heptane (10 mL) under a carbon monoxide atmosphere was added Co₂(CO)₈ (602 mg, 1.76 mmol). After 2 h the mixture was directly chromatographed over silica gel, eluting with petroleum ether/ether (20:1 to 15:1) to give 46 (909 mg, 82%) as a deep crimson oil: IR (CHCl₃) 2965, 2940, 2865, 2100, 2065, 2035, 1715, 1465, 1354, 12252, 1109, 1041, 839 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.75 (1 H, d, J = 10.8 Hz), 5.83 (1 H, d, J = 10.8 Hz), 4.73 (2 H, s), 3.49 (3 H, s), 2.70 (2 H, m), 2.30 (4 H, m), 2.1 (2 H, m), 0.92 (9 H, s), 0.21 (6 H, s); ¹H NMR (this spectrum is considerably better resolved in C_6D_6) δ 6.32 (1) H, d, J = 11.1 Hz), 5.50 (1 H, d, J = 11.1 Hz), 4.59 (2 H, s), 3.19 (3 H, s), 2.55 (2 H, ABXY, J = 15.2, 5.6, 1.6 Hz), 2.23 (2 H, ABXY, J = 15.2, 4.4 Hz), 1.8-2.1 (4 H, m), 0.95 (9 H, s), 0.22 (6 H, s); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 208.77 (s), 136.82 (d), 109.84 (d), 102.22 (s), 94.18 (s), 83.39 (s), 81.76 (s), 73.38 (t), 67.44 (s), 58.99 (q), 39.74 (t), 37.18 (t), 25.95 (q), 18.40 (s), -2.94 (q). The compound did not give satisfactory mass spectral data (M⁺ - 3COs) m/e 548, but was satisfactorily

characterized as the alcohol **47**, made from **45** and Co₂(CO)₈ as above in 78% yield: mp 97–98 °C (from hexane); IR (CHCl₃) 3200–3600, 2960, 2940, 2860, 2100, 2060, 2035, 1710, 1464, 1391, 1254, 1230, 1108, 839 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 6.26 (1 H, d, J = 10.5 Hz), 5.44 (1 H, d, J = 11.0 Hz), 4.71 (2 H, d, J = 5.9 Hz), 2.54 (2 H, m), 2.21 (2 H, m), 1.84–2.08 (4 H, m), 1.80 (1 H, t, J = 5.9 Hz), 0.95 (9 H, s), 0.20 (6 H, s). Anal. Calcd for C₂₅H₂₈Co₂O₉Si: C, 48.55; H, 4.56. Found: C, 48.30; H, 4.46. Suitable crystals for single-crystal X-ray analysis were grown from hexane.

tert-Butyldimethylsilyl Enol Ether 48. To a stirred solution of the ketone 46 (316 mg, 0.50 mmol) and triethylamine (139 µL, 1.0 mmol) in dichloromethane (8 mL) was added tert-butyldimethylsilyl triflate (172 μ L, 0.75 mmol). After 2.5 h the mixture was diluted with dichloromethane (5 mL) and washed with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution (3 mL). The organic phase was dried (Na_2SO_4) and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed over silica gel, eluting with 2% ether in petroleum ether to give 48 (332 mg, 89%) as a red oil: IR (CHCl₃) 296, 2940, 2862, 2100, 2061, 2032, 1672, 1466, 1254, 1198, 1090, 839 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 6.30 (1 H, d, J = 11.1 Hz), 5.56 (1 H, d, J = 11.1 Hz), 4.77 (2 H, s), 4.75 (1 H, t, J = 3.0 Hz), 3.29 (3 H, s), 2.66 (1 H, d, ABX, J = 24 Hz), 2.50 (1 H, dd, ABX, J = 24, 3 Hz), 1.9–2.04 (4 H, m), 1.04 (9 H, s), 1.02 (9 H, s), 0.31 (3 H, s), 0.30 (3 H, s), 0.18 (6 H, s); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz CDCl₃) § 199.17 (m, 6COs), 149.74 (s), 135.95 (d), 110.37 (d), 104.15 (s), 99.60 (d), 94.33, 81.87 (s), 73.40 (t), 67.59 (s), 58.80 (q), 39.30 (t), 36.70(t), 27.23(t), 25.81(q), 25.77(q), 18.23(s), 18.07(s), -2.80(q), 4.21 (q). This compound did not give satisfactory mass spectral data due to the loss of the CO ligands.

[(10,11-n²)-2-Keto-5-[(*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]bicyclo[7.3.1]tridec-8-ene-6,10-diyne]hexacarbonyldicobalt (38) (R = TBDMS). To a stirred solution of the enol ether 48 (240 mg, 322 µmol) and DABCO (36.1 mg, 322 µmol, freshly distilled) in dichloromethane (24 mL) at -78 °C was added a 1.0 M solution of TiCl₄ in dichloromethane (1.93 mL). After 1.5 h the mixture was warmed over 0.5 h to -50 °C and recooled to -78 °C. Triethylamine (5 mL) was added to quench the mixture (at -78 °C) and the solution warmed to room temperature. Saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (15 mL) was added and the mixture filtered through Celite, washing with dichloromethane. The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with dichloromethane $(2 \times 5 \text{ mL})$ and the combined organic phases were washed with brine (5 mL) and dried (Na_2SO_4) . Evaporation in vacuo gave a residue that was preadsorbed onto silica gel and chromatographed, eluting with petroleum ether/ether (4:1) to give 38 (86 mg, 45%) as a deep red oil: IR (CHCl₃) 2960, 2930, 2860, 2095, 2050, 2020, 1710, 1080, 1050, 835 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 6.88 (1 H, d, J = 9.4 Hz), 5.64 (1 H, d, J = 9.4 Hz), 3.20 (2 H, m), 2.7 (2 H, m), 2.3 (4 H, m), 0.92 (9 H, s), 0.26 (3 H, s), 0.18 (3 H, s); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 209.52 (s), 198.74-199.00 (m), 142.69 (d), 109.50 (d), 102.70 (s), 99.28 (s), 88.63 (s), 83.11 (s), 69.78 (s), 56.64 (d), 45.42 (t), 41.09 (t), 36.81 (t), 35.36 (t), 25.84 (q), 18.28 (s), -3.10 (q); MS (CI, NH₃) m/e 544 corresponding to M⁺ – 2COs, base peak m/e 460, M⁺ - 5COs. Running the above reaction of 48 (410 mg) gave 38 (186 mg, 56%).

1-[(tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]tricyclo[7.3.10^{2.7}]trideca-2,4,6-trien-10-one (49) and the Dichloro Analogue 50. To a stirred solution of the cobalt complex 38 (23 mg, 38.3 μmol) in cyclohexa-1,4-diene (1 mL) at 20 °C was added N-methylmorpholine N-oxide (11.2 mg, 95.8 μmol). After 3 h a further quantity (15 mg, 128 mmol) of the N-oxide was added. The mixture was diluted with dichloromethane (2 × 5 mL) and the combined organic phases were washed with brine and dried (Na₂S-O₄). Evaporation in vacuo and chromatography of the residue over silica gel, eluting with petroleum ether/ether (4:1), gave 49 (5.1 mg, 42%) as a colorless oil: IR (CHCl₃) 1720 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.35-7.19 (4 H, m), 3.37 (1 H, dd, J = 9.0, 17.4 Hz), 2.82 (1 H, m), 2.67 (1 H, dd, J = 6.2, 15.7 Hz), 2.59 (1 H, m), 2.52 (1 H, dd, J = 5.2,17.4 Hz), 2.31 (2 H, m), 2.16 (2 H, m), 0.87 (9 H, s), -0.06 (3 H, s), 0.19 (3 H, s); HRMS calcd for Cl₁₉H₂₈O₂Si(*ct*-Bu) 259.1155, found *m/e* 259.1155 for Cl₁₅H₁₉O₂Si (M⁺ - *t*-Bu).

Similarly, the cobalt adduct **38** (17.8 mg, 29.7 μ mol) in carbon tetrachloride (1 mL) and *t*-BuOH (300 μ L) was treated with *N*-methylmorpholine *N*-oxide (22 mg). After 4.5 h at 20 °C the mixture was worked-up as above to give **50**: 3.3 mg 29%; IR (CHCl₃) 1720 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.22 (1 H, d, *J* = 8.3 Hz), 7.17 (1 H, d, *J* = 8.3 Hz), 3.38 (1 H, dd, *J* = 9.3, 17.2 Hz), 2.84 (1 H, m), 2.73 (1 H, m), 2.65 (1 H, dd, *J* = 6.2, 15.6 Hz), 2.56 (2 H, m), 2.32 (2 H, m), 2.17 (1 H, m), 0.87 (9 H, s), -0.06 (3 H, s), -0.19 (3 H, s); HRMS calcd for C₁₉H₂₆Cl₂O₂Si: (-*t*-Bu) 327.0375, found *m/e* 327.0379 for C₁₅H₁₇Cl₂-O₂Si (M⁺ - *t*-Bu).

Tetracobalt Adduct 54. Treatment of 38 (25 mg, 41.7 μ mol) in heptane (2.5 mL) with Co₂(CO)₈ (142 mg, 417 μ mol) under an atmosphere of carbon monoxide for 4 h followed by evaporation gave a dark green residue. Purification by chromatography over silica gel, eluting with hexane/ether (5:1), gave 54 (27 mg, 73%) as greenish-black crystals with an undefined melting point. The NMR spectrum was too broad to be useful. Anal. Calcd for $C_{31}H_{26}Co_4O_{14}Si$: C, 41.99; H, 2.96. Found: C, 41.58; H, 2.80. Crystals suitable for X-ray crystallographic analysis were grown from ether/hexane.

Cyclohexane-1,2-dione Methoxyethoxymethyl Enol Ether 56. To a slurry of NaH (1.51 g, 1.05 equiv oil-free) in dry tetrahydrofuran at -10 °C was added a solution of cyclohexane-1,2-dione (6.72 g, 60 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (40 mL) slowly over 5 min. The mixture was stirred at -5 °C until hydrogen evolution ceased. To the resulting yellow solution at 0 °C was added methoxyethoxymethyl chloride (6 mL) and the mixture allowed to warm slowly to 20 °C over 2 h, when the yellow color was discharged. The mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (100 mL) and extracted with ether (3 × 100 mL). Evaporation of the combined dried (Na₂SO₄) extracts and chromatography of the residue over silica gel gave **56** (9.78 g, 82%) as a colorless oil: IR (neat) 2880, 1688, 1452, 1370, 1250, 1130, 990 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.40 (1 H, t, J = 4.4 Hz), 5.08 (2 H, s), 3.78 (2 H, m), 3.77 (2 H, m), 3.37 (3 H, s), 2.47 (4 H, m), 2.00 (2 H, m). Anal. Calcd for C₁₀H₁₆O₄: C, 59.97; H, 8.06. Found: C, 59.80; H, 7.84.

6-Ethynyl-6-hydroxy-1-[(methoxyethoxymethyl)oxy]cyclohex-1-ene (57). A solution of the ketone 56 (11.94 g, 55.47 mmol) in dioxane (20 mL) was added dropwise with stirring to a suspension of 40% lithium acetylide-ethylene diamine complex (7.11 g, 69.5 mmol, 1.25 equiv) in dioxane (100 mL) over 10 min. After 2 h at 20 °C saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (500 mL) was added and the mixture extracted with ether (3 × 100 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated. The residue was chromatographed over silica gel to give 57 (9.3 g, 74%) as a colorless oil: bp 150 °C (0.1 mmHg); IR (neat) 3430, 3270, 2935, 1665, 1365, 1235, 1155, 1060, 990 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.14 (1 H, t, J = 4.0 Hz), 5.08 (2 H, s), 3.82 (2 H, m), 3.58 (2 H, m), 3.37 (3 H, s), 2.48 (1 H, s), 1.7-2.2 (7 H, m); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 151.43, 101.91, 101.85, 93.28, 86.39, 71.29, 70.29, 70.71, 67.52, 66.10, 58.54, 58.50, 37.68, 23.45, 18.82. Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₈O₄: C, 63.70; H, 8.02. Found: C, 63.67; H, 8.21.

6-[(Z)-4-Chlorobut-3-en-1-ynyl]-6-hydroxy-1-[(methoxyethoxymethyl)oxy]cyclohex-1-ene (58) and Its Derived tert-Butyldimethylsilyl Ether Derivative 59. A solution of 57 (424 mg, 1.88 mmol) in dry benzene (6 mL) was added to CuI (76 mg, 0.4 mmol) under argon. To the frozen mixture (ice/acetone) were added *n*-BuNH₂ (560 μ L, 5.67 mmol) and (Z)-dichloroethylene (290 μ L, 3.83 mmol). To the above mixture at 0 °C was added a solution of Pd(PPh₃)₄ (29.4 mg, 0.013 equiv) in benzene (2 mL) and the resultant mixture was warmed to room temperature (ca. 20 °C). After 15 h the suspension was poured onto saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (50 mL), extracted with ether (2 × 10 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated in vacuo. Chromatography of the residue over silica gel, eluting with 50% petroleum ether/ether, gave 58 (415 mg, 77%) (On a larger scale, starting with 5.29 g of 57, 4.92 g of 58 was obtained, corresponding to a yield of 73%): IR (neat) 3430, 2935, 1665, 1365, 1335, 1238, 1080, 990, 725 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.38 (1 H, d, J = 7.3 Hz), 5.90 (1 H, d, J = 5.73 Hz), 5.15 (1 H, t, J = 3.9 Hz), 5.10 (2 H, q, J = 6.2 Hz), 3.82 (2 H, m), 3.57 (2 H, t, J = 4.6 Hz), 3.49 (1 H, s, OH), 3.39 (3 H, s), 1.70-2.25 (6 H, m); ${}^{13}C$ NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 151.42, 128.19, 111.50, 101.97, 100.09, 93.33, 71.53, 67.59, 66.89, 58.59, 37.68, 23.56, 19.01. The alcohol 58 was used directly in the next step.

A solution of **58** (1.323 g, 4.60 mmol) and Et₃N (930 mg, 9.2 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) was treated with *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl triflate (1.34 g, 5.06 mmol). After 5 h at 20 °C the mixture was worked-up as for **42** to give **59** (1.324 g, 72%). A sample was purified for microanalysis by Kugelrohr distillation at ca. 150 °C (0.35 mmHg): IR (neat) 2922, 2846, 1660, 1452, 1360, 1336, 1240, 1090, 998, 848, 772, 718 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.34 (1 H, d, J = 7.6 Hz), 5.87 (1 H, d, J= 7.6 Hz), 5.07 (1 H, t, J = 4.3 Hz), 5.03 (2 H, q, J = 6.1 Hz), 3.79 (2 H, m), 3.55 (2 H, t, J = 9.1 Hz), 3.37 (3 H, s), 2.05 (4 H, m), 1.68 (2 H, m), 0.87 (9 H, s), 0.11 (3 H, s), 0.08 (3 H, s). Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₃₀ClO₄Si: C, 59.90; H, 8.29; Cl, 8.84. Found: C, 60.13; H, 8.36; Cl, 8.92.

6-[(Z)-7-Methoxyhept-3-ene-1,5-diynyl]-6-[(*tert* - butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]-1-[(methoxyethoxymethyl)oxy]cyclohex-1-ene (60). To a solution of the vinyl chloride **59** (410 mg, 1.02 mmol) in benzene (8 mL) were added CuI (80 mg, 0.42 mmol) and *n*-BuNH₂ (600 μ L), and the mixture was degassed at -10 °C. Methyl propargyl ether (500 μ L) was added followed by a solution of Pd(PPh₃)₄ (162 mg, 0.14 mmol) in dry benzene (2 mL). The above mixture was stirred at 20 °C for 72 h and worked-up as for **58** to give **60**: 390 mg, 88%; IR (neat) 2930, 2880, 2850, 1662, 1455, 1355, 1242, 1090, 1000, 832, 774 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.77 (2 H, m), 5.02 (1 H, t, J = 4 Hz), 4.97 (2 H, s), 4.20 (2 H, s), 3.72 (2 H, m), 3.49 (2 H, t, J = 4.7 Hz), 3.34 (3 H, s), 3.32 (3 H, s), 2.0 (4 H, m), 1.65 (2 H, m), 0.15 (3 H, s), 0.12 (3 H, s), 0.81 (9 H, s); HRMS calcd for $C_{20}H_{29}O_5Si$ [M⁺ – 57(*t*-Bu)] 377.1784, found *m/e* 377.1795.

(Z)-2-(7-Methoxyhept-3-ene-1,5-diynyl)-2-[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxylcyclohexan-1-one (61). To a solution of the enol ether 60 (960 mg, 2.2 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (18 mL) at -45 °C was added a solution of Me₂BBr in CH₂Cl₂ (3.0 mL, 1.5M soln). The above solution was stirred for 3 h and allowed to warm to -35 °C. The reaction was guenched by addition of THF (5 mL) followed by cannulation into 1:1 THF/saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution (40 mL). The mixture was extracted with Et₂O (100 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated in vacuo to give a residue. Chromatography of the residue over silica gel, eluting with 5:1 ether/petroleum ether, gave the ketone 61 (0.755 g, 99%) as a colorless oil: IR (neat) 2880, 1726, 1354, 1246, 1228, 1100, 922, 830, 776 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.88 (2 H, m), 4.25 (2 H, s), 3.38 (3 H, s), 2.72 (1 H, ddd, J = 13.5, 12.8, 5.0 Hz), 2.32 (1 H, m), 2.09 (1 H, m), 1.4-1.9 (5 H, m), 0.18 (3 H, s), 0.16 (3 H, s), 0.9 (9 H, s); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 205.30, 119.88, 118.39, 96.31, 93.00, 86.02, 83.53, 60.29, 57.63, 44.07, 38.41, 27.54, 22.47, 25.87, 18.34, 3.29, 3.45; HRMS calcd for C₂₀H₃₀O₃Si 346.1964, found m/e 346.1951.

tert-Butyldimethylsilyl Enol Ether 62. To a solution of the ketone 61 (197.7 mg, 0.57 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL) and Et₃N (3 mL) was added at 20 °C *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl triflate (413 μ L, 3.0 equiv) and the mixture stirred for 36 h. Work-up as described for 48 gave 62 (245 mg, 93.4%) as a colorless oil: bp 220 °C (0.05 mmHg). On a large scale the following quantities were used: 61 5.22 g, CH₂Cl₂ 100 mL, Et₃N 8 mL, *t*-BuMe₂SiOTf 3.8 mL, yielding 62 (85%): IR (neat) 2930, 2885, 2855, 1658, 1462, 1354, 1248, 1180, 1095, 920, 840, 780 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.82 (2 H, m), 4.81 (1 H, t, *J* = 4.01 Hz), 4.26 (2 H, d, *J* = 1.54 Hz), 3.39 (3 H, s), 2.02 (4 H, s), 1.70 (2 H, m), 0.88 (9 H, s), 0.95 (9 H, s), 0.21 (3 H, s), 0.19 (3 H, s), 0.17 (3 H, s), 0.16 (3 H, s). Anal. Calcd for C₂₆H₄₄O₃Si₂: C, 67.77; H, 9.62. Found: C, 67.74; H, 9.60.

5.6- η^2 -Dicobalt Hexacarbonyl Adduct 63. To a solution of the silyl enol ether 62 (245 mg, 0.53 mmol) in heptane (9 mL) under CO atmosphere was added Co₂(CO)₈ (200 mg, 1.1 equiv). After 2 h at 20 °C the mixture was preadsorbed onto silica gel and chromatographed, eluting with 10% ether/petroleum ether to give 63 (359 mg, 90.5%) as a red oil: IR (neat) 2935, 2858, 2082, 2010, 1656, 1460, 1245, 1088, 830, 772 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.64 (1 H, d, J = 10.5 Hz), 5.77 (1 H, d, J = 10.5 Hz), 4.90 (1 H, m), 4.76 (2 H, s), 3.52 (3 H, s), 2.2–1.5 (6 H, m), 0.94 (9 H, s), 0.88 (H, s), 0.17 (6 H, s), 0.16 (6 H, s); HRMS calcd for C₂₆H₄₄O₃Co₂Si (M⁺ – 6CO) 578.1492, found *m/e* 578.1481 (M⁺ – 6CO).

[(10,11- η^2)-13-Keto-5-[(*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]bicyclo[7.3.1]tridec-8-ene-6,10-divnelhexacarbonyldicobalt (39). To a mixture the cobalt complex 63 (1.455 g) and sublimed DABCO (220 mg, 1.0 equiv) was added via canula dry toluene (200 mL). The mixture was cooled to -45 °C (CH₃CN/solid CO₂), and a solution of TiCl₄ (freshly distilled, 650 μ L, 3.0 equiv) in toluene (5 mL) was added dropwise as the temperature rose to -40 °C. The solution was stirred efficiently (bath and reaction) until the external thermometer indicated 35 °C. Triethylamine (7 mL) was added to the mixture followed by saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (70 mL). The mixture was allowed to warm to 20 °C and filtered through Celite, and the dried (Na₂SO₄) organic layer was evaporated in vacuo. Chromatography of the residue over silica gel, eluting with 5% ether/ petroleum ether, gave **39** (650 mg, 55.6%) as *black-red* crystals: mp 109-110 °C (sealed capillary); IR (CDCl₃) 2935, 2858, 2095, 2020, 1730, 1150, 940, 780 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.99 (1 H, d, J = 9.8 Hz), 5.75 (1 H, d, J = 9.8 Hz), 4.23 (1 H, m), 3.23 (1 H, m), 3.20 (1 H, m), 2.41 (1 H, m), 2.07 (1 H, m), 1.91 (2 H, m), 1.83 (1 H, ddd, J = 13.4, 13.4, 4.4 Hz), 1.72 (1 H, m), 0.86 (9 H, s), 0.14 (3 H, s), 0.06 (3 H, s); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 202.50, 199–198 (m), 142.46, 109.75, 97.14, 95.10, 92.63, 82.40, 75.67, 49.83, 42.48, 39.61, 32.62, 25.65, 18.79, 18.18, -2.83, -3.23; HRMS calcd for C₂₃H₂₆O₆SiCo₂ $(M^+ - 2CO)$ 544.0162, found m/e 544.0191. A small amount (ca. 10%) of a byproduct was isolated from some experiments, in particular if the reaction mixture is allowed to remain at -35 °C for several hours. Its structure is assigned as 64 on the basis of single-crystal X-ray crystallography.

[(6,7- $η^2$)-13-Keto-1-[(*tert*-butyldimethylsily])oxy]bicyclo[7.4.0]tridec-4-ene-2,6-diyne]hexacarbonyldicobalt (64): IR (CDCl₃) 2950, 2925, 2855, 2080, 2020, 1730, 1258, 1075, 835 cm⁻¹; ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 205.65, 1994 (m), 142.81, 110.10, 100.93, 99.34, 92.08, 83.23, 78.91, 38.96, 37.15, 28.46, 25.69, 22.90, 18.09, -3.35, -3.99. The ¹H NMR spectrum was too broadened to be useful. Anal. Calcd for C₂₅H₂₆Co₂O₈Si: C, 50.01; H, 4.36. Found: C, 49.78; H, 4.20.

13-Keto-5-[(tert -butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]bicyclo[7.3.1]tridec-8-ene-6,10-diyne (32). To a solution of 39 (5.9 g) in dry THF (700 mL) under argon was added a solution of iodine (50 g) in THF (500 mL) via can-

nula. The resulting mixture was stirred for 2.5 h at 20 °C (protected from light). The solution was poured into aqueous sodium thiosulfate (200 mL, 1M) and saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (200 mL) and extracted with ether $(3 \times 200 \text{ mL})$. The organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (200 mL) to remove the pink coloration. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo at 20 °C and the residue dissolved in ether/ pentane (1:4), dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated. Chromatography of the residue over silica gel, eluting with 10% ether/pentane, gave 32: 2.53 g, 82%; mp 43-46 °C (from aqueous EtOH); IR (CCl₄) 2958, 2930, 2858, 1734, 1462, 1348, 1152, 1098, 952, 780 cm⁻¹; UV (MeOH) λ_{max} 201, 274 nm (ε 3600, 7600); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 5.398 (1 H, dd, J = 9.50, 0.9, 2.0 Hz), 5.348 (1 H, dd, J = 9.5, 1.1 Hz), 3.04 (1 H, ddd, J = 1.1, 0.9, 17.5, 3.8 Hz), 2.47 (1 H, m), 2.23 (1 H, ddd, J = 13.8, 8.4, 5.7 Hz), 2.06 (1 H, m), 1.89 (1 H, ddd, J = 2.0, 4.5, 17.5 Hz), 1.80 (1 H, ddd, J = 13.8, 8.7, 7.3 Hz), 1.65 (1 H, m), 1.17 (1 H, m), 1.12(9 H, s), 0.43 (3 H, s), 0.49 (3 H, s); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 204.32, 124.49, 121.35, 100.25, 97.53, 91.57, 83.48, 74.33, 48.35, 36.85, 25.89, 24.50, 24.21, 18.79, 18.36, -2.98, -3.14; HRMS calcd for C₁₉- $H_{26}O_2Si$ 314.1702, found m/e 314.1698. Crystals suitable for singlecrystal X-ray crystallography were grown by vapor diffusion of water into an ethanol solution of 32 at 20 °C.

6-[(Z)-4-Chlorobut-3-en-1-ynyl]-1,6-bis[(*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]cyclohex-1-ene (67). To a solution of 66 (98 mg, 31 mmol) in dichloromethane (1 mL) were added triethylamine (500 μ L) and *tert*butyldimethylsilyl triflate (230 μ L, 1 mmol). After being stirred at 20 °C overnight, the mixture was worked-up as for 42 to give 67: 134 mg, 100%; IR (neat) 2944, 2919, 2850, 1655, 1470, 1250, 853, 776 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz CDCl₃) δ 6.33 (1 H, d, J = 7.4 Hz), 5.86 (1 H, d, J= 7.4 Hz), 4.82 (1 H, t, J = 4 Hz), 2.05 (4 H, m), 1.70 (2 H, m), 0.95 (9 H, s), 0.88 (9 H, s), 0.21 (3 H, s), 0.19 (3 H, s), 0.17 (3 H, s), 0.16 (3 H, s); HRMS calcd for C₂₂H₄₀O₂Si₂Cl (M⁺ - H) 427.2255, found *m/e* 427.2186.

6-[(Z)-7-Hydroxyhept-3-ene-1,5-diynyl]-1,6-bis[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy|cyclohex-1-ene (68). A solution of 67 (60 mg, 0.14 mmol) in dry benzene (5 mL) was added to CuI (11 mg, 0.06 mmol) under argon. To the frozen mixture (ice/acetone) were added *n*-BuNH₂ (85 μ L, 0.84 mmol) and propargyl alcohol (50 μ L, 0.84 mmol). To the above mixture at 0 °C was added a solution of Pd(PPh₃)₄ (32 mg, 0.028 mmol) in benzene (1 mL) and the resultant mixture was warmed to room temperature. After 4 days the dark suspension was poured onto saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (30 mL), extracted with ether (2 \times 10 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated in vacuo. Chromatography of the residue over silica gel, eluting with 30% ether/hexane, gave **68** (46 mg, 73%): IR (neat) 2953, 2930, 2858, 1659, 1472, 1249, 840, 778 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta 5.84 (1 \text{ H}, \text{d}, J = 11 \text{ Hz}), 5.79 (1 \text{ H}, \text{d}, J = 11 \text{ Hz}),$ 4.81 (1 H, t, J = 3.8 Hz), 4.40 (2 H, s), 2.04 (4 H, m), 1.64 (3 H, m),0.94 (9 H, s), 0.87 (9 H, s), 0.22 (3 H, s), 0.19 (3 H, s), 0.17 (3 H, s), 0.16 (3 H, s); HRMS calcd for $C_{25}H_{42}O_3Si_2$ 446.2672, found m/e446.2665.

5.6- η^2 **Dicobalthexacarbonyl Adduct 69.** To a solution of the silyl enol ether **68** (40 mg, 0.9 mmol) in heptane (5 mL) under an argon atmosphere was added Co₂(CO)₈ (31 mg, 0.9 mmol). After 1 h at 20 °C the solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue chromatographed over silica gel, eluting with 20% ether/hexanes, to give **69** (55 mg, 84%) as a red oil: IR (neat) 2955, 2931, 2858, 2092, 2055, 2026, 1659, 1475, 1249, 838, 778 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 6.26 (1 H, d, J = 10.6 Hz), 5.53 (1 H, d, J = 10.6 Hz), 4.96 (2 H, m), 4.87 (1 H, t, J = 4 Hz), 2.28 (1 H, t, J = 6.6 Hz), 2.15 (1 H, m), 1.98 (1 H, m), 1.87 (2 H, m), 1.72 (1 H, m), 1.49 (1 H, m), 1.04 (9 H, s), 1.03 (9 H, s), 0.33 (3 H, s), 0.32 (3 H, s), 0.23 (3 H, s), 0.20 (3 H, s).

[(10,11- η^2)-13-Keto-5-[(*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]bicyclo[7.3.1]tridec-8-ene-6,10-diyne]hexacarbonyldicobalt (39). To a solution of 69 (17 mg, 2.3 × 10⁻⁵ mol) and 2,6-di-*tert*-butyl-4-methylpyridine (100 mg) in dichloromethane (5 mL) under argon at -30 °C was added triflic anhydride (8 μ L, 4.7 × 10⁻⁵ mmol). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to -20 °C, where it was stirred for 30 min and then poured into cold aqueous NaHCO₃, extracted with dichloromethane, dried (Na₂SO₄), and evaporated in vacuo. Chromatography of the residue over silica gel, eluting with 10% ether/hexanes, afforded 39 (10.8 mg, 77%).

1-[(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]tricyclo[7.3.1.0^{2.7}]trideca-2,4,6-trien-13-one (83) and the Isomer 85. A solution of the ketone 32 (27.7 mg, 88.2 μ mol) in 1,4-cyclohexadiene (4.5 mL) under argon was heated at reflux (80-85 °C) for 42.5 h. The mixture was evaporated in vacuo and the residue purified by PLC, eluting with 10% ether/petroleum ether to give 83: 20.2 mg, 72%; IR (neat) 2940, 2855, 1732, 1450, 1248, 1215, 1155, 1070, 928, 834 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.58 (1 H, dd, J = 7.9, 1.4 Hz), 7.27 (1 H, m), 7.21 (1 H, ddd, J = 7.9, 7.4, 1.5 Hz), 7.08 (1 H, bdd, J = 7.58, 1.0 Hz), 3.48 (1 H, ddd, J = 17.5, 7.2, 0.9 Hz), 3.10 (1 H, d, J = 17.5 Hz), 2.95 (1 H, m), 2.0 (4 H, m), 1.59 (1 H, m), 1.43 (1 H, m), 0.98 (9 H, s), 0.18 (3 H, s), 0.15 (3 H, s); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.15, 142.69, 134.87, 127.19, 126.91, 126.27, 125.61, 81.11, 46.69, 46.50, 38.22, 36.40, 26.35, 20.41, 18.96, -2.15, -2.41; HRMS calcd for C₁₈H₂₅O₂Si (M⁺ - Me) 301.1624, found *m/e* 301.1623.

A degassed solution of the cobalt hexacarbonyl adduct 64 (41 mg, 54.8 μ mol) in 1,4-cyclohexadiene (2 mL) was treated with a solution of Nmethylmorpholine N-oxide (73 mg, 623 µmol) in dry dimethylformamide (1 mL). After 5 h at 25 °C the mixture was poured onto saturated aqueous NaHCO3 and extracted with ether. The dried (MgSO4) extract was evaporated in vacuo and the residue purified by PLC to give the aromatized adduct 85: 7 mg, 40%; IR (neat) 2920, 2845, 1725, 1455, 1245, 1125, 950 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.29 (2 H, m), 7.18 (1 H, t, J = 7.1 Hz), 7.04 (1 H, d, J = 7.5 Hz), 3.36 (1 H, dd, J= 15.5, 5.9 Hz), 2.72 (1 H, m), 2.52 (1 H, d, J = 15.5 Hz), 2.46 (1 H, ddt, J = 14.4, 3.8, 2.1 Hz), 2.24 (1 H, ddd, J = 14.4, 13.3, 5.4 Hz), 1.95 (1 H, m), 1.86 (1 H, m), 1.73 (1 H, m), 1.23 (1 H, m), 0.74 (9 H, s), 0.06 (3 H, s), -0.27 (3 H, s); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 209.18, 144.65, 142.98, 129.03, 126.47, 125.96, 124.56, 90.92, 53.82, 39.32, 37.68, 30.16, 29.95, 26.03, 25.74, 24.54, 18.47, -2.91, -3.68; HRMS calcd for $C_{18}H_{25}O_2Si$ (M⁺ – Me) 301.1624, found m/e 301.1604.

1-[(tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]tricyclo[7.3.1.0^{2.7}]trideca-2,4,6-trien-13-ol (87). A solution of the ketone 32 (61.5 mg) in Et₂O (6 mL) at -78 °C was treated with a solution of diisobutylaluminum hydride (600 μ L, 3 portions/1.0 M soln in Et₂O). After 30 min methanol (1 mL) was added to the above solution and the mixture evaporated in vacuo at -30°C. The residue was purified by PLC, eluting with 30% ether/petroleum ether. The least polar component was recovered by Et₂O extraction and the solvent evaporated at -30 °C. The initial ¹³C NMR (10 min at 20 °C) showed the compound to be a mixture of the enediyne 86 and the aromatized material 87 (ca. 1:1). After 35 min at 20 °C this ratio changed to 1:9: ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 124.85, 122.56, 106.53, 103.30, 87.81, 82.68, 79.81, 74.79, 40.06, 38.94, 30.11, 25.68, 21.01, 21.44, -2.71, -3.01 (The quaternary carbon in the t-Bu group was too weak to be seen.). Carrying out the above reduction and adding 1,4cyclohexadiene (1.5 mL) during the work-up gave 87 (10 mg, from 35 mg of 32): IR (CCl₄) 3595, 3065, 3022, 2935, 2860, 1465, 1454, 1360, 1250, 1110, 1085, 960, 940, 930, 780 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.48 (1 H, dd, J = 7.7, 1.5 Hz), 7.19 (1 H, t, J = 7.2 Hz), 7.15 (1 H, dt, J = 1.5, 7.4 Hz), 7.07 (1 H, dd, J = 7.4, 0.8 Hz), 3.85 (1 H, d, J =4.1 Hz), 3.31 (1 H, dd, J = 17.6, 7.1 Hz), 2.52 (1 H, m), 2.52 (1 H, d, J = 17.6 Hz), 2.43 (1 H, s), 1.82 (1 H, dd, J = 12.1, 4.2 Hz), 1.72–1.8 (2 H, m), 1.66 (1 H, tt, J = 13.7, 4.4 Hz), 1.48 (1 H, m), 1.0 (1 H, m), 0.96 (9 H, s), 0.27 (3 H, s), 0.29 (3 H, s); NOEs between the C_{13} -H proton (δ 3.85) and the bridgehead and cycloohexane protons allow the assignment of stereochemistry. No NOE was observed to the benzylic protons; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 140.53, 137.04, 126.78, 126.46, 126.14, 125.85, 78.06, 75.63, 40.66, 32.33, 30.82, 25.93, 20.93, 20.86, 18.48, -1.58, -2.00; HRMS calcd for C₁₈H₂₇O₂Si (M⁺ - Me) 303.1773, found m/e 303.1788.

5-[(Z)-4-Chlorobut-3-en-1-ynyl]-1,5-bis[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]cyclopent-1-ene (90). To a stirred suspension of CuI (82 mg, 0.43 mmol) in dry benzene (5 mL) under argon was added at 25 °C a solution of the acetylenic alcohol 88 (0.25 g, 2.0 mmol) in dry benzene (4 mL), followed by (Z)-dichloroethylene (0.6 mL, 8.0 mmol) and n-BuNH₂ (0.7 mL, 7.1 mmol). The resulting green solution was degassed via two freeze-thaw cycles and a solution of Pd(PPh₃)₄ (38 mg, 0.033 mmol) in dry benzene (3 mL) was added. The resulting yellow solution was stirred at 25 °C for 8 h. The resulting black solution was poured onto saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (25 mL) and extracted with ether (2 \times 25 mL). The combined extracts were washed with saturated brine solution and dried (MgSO₄). Evaporation in vacuo gave 89 (0.57 g of a dark liquid), which was purified by chromatography over silica gel eluting with ether/petroleum ether (2:1) to give 89 (0.25 g, 67%) as a yellow oil: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.17 (2 H, q, J = 7.5 Hz), 3.21 (1 H, br s), 2.64-2.02 (6 H, m). This material was used directly in the next step.

To a solution of the keto alcohol **89** (2.0 g, 10.8 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (430 mL) and Et₃N (60 mL) was added at 20 °C *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl triflate (7.35 mL, 3.0 equiv) and the mixture stirred for 4 h. Work-up as described for **48** gave **90** (3.6 g, 93.0%) as a colorless oil: IR (neat) 3072, 2942, 2896, 2848, 2214, 1648, 1619, 1595 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.10 (2 H, q, J = 7.5 Hz), 4.72 (1 H, t, J = 2.4 Hz), 2.48–2.06 (4 H, m), 0.95 (9 H, s), 0.85 (9 H, s), 0.20 (6 H, s), 0.18 (6 H, s); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 155.41, 127.77, 111.90, 103.69, 100.25, 78.80, 41.56, 25.78, 25.67, 24.60, 18.19, 18.10, -3.13, -3.19, -4.71, -4.86; HRMS calcd for C₂₁H₃₇ClO₂Si₂ (M⁺) 412.2008, found *m/e* 412.2021.

5-[(Z)-7-Hydroxyhept-3-ene-1,5-diynyl]-1,5-bis[(*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]cyclopent-1-ene (91). To a stirred suspension of CuI (244 mg,1.28 mmol) in dry benzene (28 mL) under argon was added at 25 °C asolution of the vinyl chloride 90 (1.0 g, 2.8 mmol) in benzene (6 mL) followed by propargyl alcohol (1.8 mL, 31 mmol) and *n*-BuNH₂ (1.8 mL, 18 mmol). The resulting yellow suspension was degassed via a freezethaw cycle, and a solution of Pd(PPh₃)₄ (400 mg, 0.35 mmol) in benzene (5 mL) was added. The resulting green mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 3 days. Work-up as for **89** (see above) gave the enediyne **91** (0.87 g, 72%) as a pale yellow liquid: IR (neat) 3331, 3060, 2954, 2930, 2896, 2849, 1648, 1578, 1472, 1454, 1325, 1272, 1250, 1185, 837, 779 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.74 (2 H, m, J = 11.0, 1.6 Hz), 4.65 (1 H, t, J = 2.4 Hz), 4.33 (2 H, d, J = 4.1 Hz), 2.34–2.03 (4 H, m), 1.19 (1 H, t, J = 3.2 Hz), 0.88 (9 H, s), 0.80 (9 H, s), 0.14, 0.12, 0.11, and 0.10 (four 3 H, s); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 155.47, 119.93, 118.04, 103.83, 99.94, 94.30, 83.20, 81.72, 77.33, 51.70, 41.75, 25.77, 25.65, 24.56, 18.18, 18.066, -3.11, -3.17, -4.72, -4.84; HRMS calcd for C₂₄-H₄₀ClO₃Si₂ (M⁺) 432.2516, found *m/e* 432.2505.

5.6- η^2 **Dicobalt Hexacarbonyl Adduct 92.** To a solution of the silyl enol ether **91** (180 mg, 0.42 mmol) in heptane (15 mL) under an argon atmosphere was added Co₂(CO)₈ (135 mg, 0.42 mmol). After 1 h at 20 °C the mixture was preadsorbed onto silica gel and chromatographed, eluting with 10% ether/petroleum ether, to give **92** (210 mg, 77%) and its regioisomer (0.03 g, 9%), both as red solids. For **92**: mp 89–90 °C dec; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.22 (2 H, q, J = 10.5 Hz), 4.97 (2 H, d, J = 6.9 Hz), 4.78 (1 H, t, J = 2.1 Hz), 2.42–2.11 (4 H, m), 0.94 (9 H, s), 0.87 (9 H, s), 0.18, 0.16, 0.17, and 0.13 (four 3 H, s); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 199.41–199.03, 154.89, 136.19, 110.23, 104.63, 102.52, 97.27, 82.68, 82.25, 77.92, 64.33, 41.02, 25.77, 24.63, 25.68, 18.24, 18.13, -3.29, -3.20, -4.78, -4.84. Anal. Calcd for C₃₀H₄₀Co₂O₉Si₂: C, 50.14; H, 5.61. Found: C, 50.00; H, 6.10.

[(9,10-η²)-12-Keto-4-[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]bicyclo[7.2.1]dodec-7-ene-5,9-diyne]hexacarbonyldicobalt (93). To a stirred solution of the alcohol 92 (30 mg, 0.042 mmol) in dry dichloromethane (4 mL), under argon at -15 °C, was added dropwise via syringe a solution of 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylpyridine (260 mg, in 0.5 mL of CH₂Cl₂) followed by trifluoromethylsulfonic anhydride (0.10 mL, 0.59 mmol). The resulting red-brown solution was stirred at -10 °C for 20 min and quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO3 solution (4 mL). The dichloromethane layer was dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by chromatography over silica gel, eluting with ether/petroleum ether (1:20), to give the bicyclo[7.2.1] enediyne 93 (14.4 mg, 59%) as a red-brown solid: mp 99-101 °C dec; IR (CHCl₃) 2961, 2931, 2894, 2859, 2090, 2057, 2031, 1760, 1647, 1471, 1295, 1219, 1108 cm^{-1} ; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, \dot{CDCl}_3) δ 6.16 (2 H, q, J = 10.4 Hz), 4.05 (1 H, dd, J = 17.2, 3.0 Hz), 3.66 (1 H, dd, J = 17.2, 7.4 Hz), 2.61–2.57 (1 H, m), 2.29 (1 H, dd, J = 10.9, 5.3 Hz), 2.14-1.92 (3 H, m), 0.90(9 H, s), 0.21 (3 H, s), 0.19 (3 H, s); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 206.88, 201-198, 140.50, 107.39, 95.65, 95.47, 90.29, 81.80, 76.83, 44.35, 39.87, 37.09, 25.71, 21.73, 18.08, -3.23, -3.28. Anal. Calcd for C24H24C02O8Si: C, 49.16; H, 4.13. Found: C, 49.17; H, 4.16.

12-Keto-4-[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]bicyclo[7.2.1]dodec-7-ene-5,9diyne (94) and Its Derived Oxime 95. The $Co_2(CO)_6-\eta^2$ -adduct 93 (28 mg, 0.048 mmol) in dry THF (3 mL) under argon at 0 °C was treated, via cannula, with a solution of iodine (184 mg, 0.72 mmol) in THF (2 mL). The resulting solution was warmed to 20 °C. After 2 h the mixture was poured onto saturated aqueous NaHCO3 solution (10 mL), aqueous sodium thiosulfate solution (10 mL, 1.0 M), and ether (20 mL). The organic phase was washed with saturated brine (10 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed over silica gel eluting with ether/petroleum ether (1:20) to give 94 (11.8 mg, 82%) as a colorless oil: IR (neat) 2956, 2929, 2857, 2211, 1761, 1472, 1462, as a coloress off. IR (hat) 2550, 2527, 2537, 2211, 1701, 1472, 1402, 1295, 1249, 1209 cm⁻¹; UV (CHCl₃) λ_{max} (ϵ) 274 (5580) nm; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.83 (2 H, q, J = 9.8 Hz), 3.08 (1 H, q, J = 4.4 Hz), 2.57-2.26 (4 H, m), 2.06-1.89 (2 H, m), 0.89 (9 H, s), 0.21 (3 H, s), 0.23 (3 H, s); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 208.91, 123.57, 121.46, 99.04, 95.40, 94.01, 83.15, 76.45, 45.22, 37.59, 25.74, 21.46, 21.08, 18.09, -3.04, -3.13; HRMS calcd for C₁₈H₂₄O₂Si (M⁺) 300.1546, found m/e300.1533.

The ketone 94 was converted into the oxime 95 by standard methods. Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by vapor diffusion of water into a solution of the oxime in ethanol: mp 165 °C dec; IR (CHCl₃) 3264, 2941, 2917, 2860, 1733, 1647, 1462, 1457, 1358, 1290, 1283, 1249, 1145, 1110 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.81 (2 H, q, J = 10 Hz), 3.58 (1 H, dd, J = 17.9, 3.7 Hz), 3.28–3.23 (1 H, m), 2.42–2.18 (3 H, m), 1.99–1.89 (2 H, m), 0.89 (9 H, s), 0.23 (3 H, s), 0.21 (3 H, s); HRMS calcd for C₁₈H₂₅NO₂Si – Bu-t (M⁺ – Bu-t) 258.0950, found *m/e* 258.0957.

12-Hydroxy-4-[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]bicyclo[7.2.1]dodec-7-

ene-5,9-diyne (97). The ketone 94 (10.2 mg, 0.034 mmol) in dry toluene (2 mL) was treated with diisobutylaluminum hydride (0.20 mL, 0.20 mmol) at -78 °C. After standard work-up and purification by PLC, the alcohol 97 (7.9 mg, 77%) was isolated as a white solid: mp 55-57 °C; IR (neat) 3529, 2942, 2919, 2896, 2840, 2184, 1465, 1403 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.86 (2 H, q, J = 9.6 Hz), 4.05 (1 H, m), 2.81 (1 H, d, J = 12.9 Hz), 2.78 (1 H, dd, J = 14.8, 3.3 Hz), 2.62-2.48 (1 H, m), 2.34-2.26 (2 H, m), 2.03-1.99 (1 H, m), 1.86-1.79 (2 H, m), 0.87 (9 H, s), 0.18 (3 H, s), 0.17 (3 H, s). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 123.80, 122.12, 102.89, 99.23, 90.57, 83.33, 83.23, 81.08, 37.93, 37.80, 29.70, 25.72, 20.52, 17.92, -3.16. HRMS calcd for C₁₈H₂₆O₂Si (M⁺) 302.1702. Found: m/e 302.1700.

1-[(tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]tricyclo[7.2.1.027]dodeca-2,4,6-trien-12-one (96) and Its Derived Alcohol 98. A solution of the enedivne 94 (7.5 mg, 0.025 mmol) in freshly distilled 1,4-cyclohexadiene (0.75 mL) under argon was heated in a sealed tube to 120 °C for 3.5 days. The mixture was evaporated and the residue purified by PLC, eluting with ether/petroleum ether (1:20) to give the aromatized adduct 96 (5.7 mg, 75%) as a colorless oil: IR (neat) 2956, 2931, 2856, 1763, 1472, 1459, 1451, 1314, 1256, 1214, 1119 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCh) δ 7.54 (1 H, dd, J = 7.5, 1.7 Hz), 7.28-7.17 (2 H, m), 7.08 (1 H, dd, J = 7.1, 1.1 Hz)0.6 Hz), 3.49 (1 H, dd, J = 16.4, 3.7 Hz), 3.20 (1 H, dd, J = 16.4, 2.8 Hz), 2.62-2.57 (1 H, m), 2.24-2.12 (3 H, m), 1.79-1.72 (1 H, m), 0.98 (9 H, s), 0.16 (3 H, s), 0.15 (3 H, s); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 215.36, 146.14, 131.38, 127.91, 127.48, 126.99, 123.98, 80.66, 43.35, 41.12, 37.34, 29.70, 26.13, 22.59, 18.72, -2.54, -2.62; MS (EI) 302 (<1%), 287, 284, 274, 259, 245 (100%); HRMS calcd for C₁₈H₂₆O₂Si -t-Bu (M⁺ - t-Bu) 245.0998, found m/e 245.0995.

Similarly, a solution of the alcohol 97 (5.5 mg, 0.018 mmol) was heated in 1,4-cyclohexadiene (0.50 mL) at 85 °C for 6 h. Work-up as above gave 98 (3.9 mg, 70%) as a white solid: mp 78-82 °C; IR (CHCl₃) 3471, 3021, 2975, 2906, 1474, 1416 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.52 (1 H, dd, J = 7.0, 2.0 Hz), 7.22-7.07 (3 H, m), 4.15 (1 H, dd, J = 15.3, 1.7 Hz), 2.45-2.42 (1 H, dd, J = 13.2, 4.0 Hz), 2.55 (1 H, dd, J = 15.3, 1.7 Hz), 2.45-2.42 (1 H, m), 2.07-1.76 (4 H, m), 0.98 (H, s), 0.23 (3 H, s), 0.19 (3 H, s); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 142.66, 133.88, 128.24, 126.69, 126.22, 125.15, 81.72, 38.12, 34.56, 33.88, 29.70, 29.36, 26.37, 25.97, 24.02, 18.44, -2.26, -2.39; HRMS calcd for C₁₈H₂₈O₂Si - t-Bu (M⁺ - t-Bu) 247.1154, found m/e 247.1145.

Rate of Aromatization of 32. The enediyne **32** (35 mg) and diphenyl ether (70 μ L, internal standard) were dissolved in 1,4-cyclohexadiene (7 mL), and 100- μ L samples of this solution were sealed in glass tubes under argon and heated in an oil bath (71, 79, 87, 95, and 104 °C, respectively). After the appropriate reaction time the sealed tube was cooled in a dry ice bath and opened, and the solution was diluted in hexane (1 mL). This solution was analyzed by HPLC [column Microsorb SiO₂, Si 80-125-C5; solvent hexane/dichloromethane (1:1); flow rate 1 mL/min; detector UV, $\lambda = 274$ nm; sample loop 5 μ L]. The concentration of **32** and **83** was determined as the area ratio of the peaks corresponding to **32/83** and the internal standard. This system was also used for determining the rates of aromatization of **94** and **97**.

Acknowledgment. The National Institutes of Health (CA 50512) and Robert A. Welch Foundation are thanked for their support of this research. Dr. John Huffman (Indiana University, Bloomington) is thanked for the X-ray crystal structures of 32, 39, 47, 54, and 64. Dr. Vince Lynch (University of Texas at Austin) is thanked for the X-ray crystal structure of 95. J.H. and W.E.B. thank the SERC/NATO and NIH, respectively, for postdoctoral fellowship awards. Dr. James P. Snyder (Drug Design, Searle Research and Development) is thanked for many useful discussions concerning the rate studies, and Professor Samuel Danishefsky for exchange of information prior to publication. Drs. T. W. Doyle and J. Kadow (Bristol-Myers Squibb) are thanked for helpful exchanges of information.

Supplementary Material Available: Experimental descriptions for the synthesis of 70, 72, 61, 76, 77, 80, and 81, details of the X-ray structure determinations of 32, 39, 47, 54, 64, and 95, and tables of fractional coordinates, isotropic thermal parameters, anisotropic thermal parameters, bond lengths, and bond angles (81 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.