

Tetrahedron Letters 42 (2001) 7299-7301

TETRAHEDRON LETTERS

Versatile, high 2,4-syn dialkyl diastereoselection in the radical debromination of α -bromo- α -methyl- δ -valerolactones with tri-*n*-butyltin hydride and a catalytic amount of triethylborane

Syun-ichi Kiyooka,^{a,*} Yong-Nan Li,^a Kazi A. Shahid,^b Momotoshi Okazaki^b and Yoshihiro Shuto^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Kochi University, 2-5-1 Akebono-cho, Kochi 780-8520, Japan ^bThe United Graduate School of Agricultural Sciences, Ehime University, 3-5-7 Tarumi, Matsuyama 790-8566, Japan

Received 12 July 2001; revised 14 August 2001; accepted 20 August 2001

Abstract—An interesting 2,4-*syn* dialkyl diastereoselection has been observed in the radical debromination of α -bromo- α -methyl- δ -valerolactones. The reaction of 4-alkyl-2-bromo-3-hydroxy-2-methyl-5-pentanolides with Bu₃SnH and a catalytic amount of Et₃B gave, essentially, a single diastereomer with a 2,4-*syn* dialkyl relationship, independent of the orientation of the hydroxy substituent at C-3. © 2001 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

During our studies on the total synthesis of (+)-discodermolide we encountered a problem in which the acidic deprotection of the TBS function in 2,3-syn enantiomers 1, which were intended for use in the preparation of the corresponding syn- and anti-propionate aldol adducts by a reliable methodology involving highly diastereoselective radical debromination,¹ resulted in a facile lactonization to give the δ -valerolactones, 2 and 3 (Scheme 1).² Since a variety of methods for converting stereochemically regulated δ -valerolactones to useful acyclic derivatives are available, an investigation of the debromination at the stage of δ -



Scheme 1.

valerolactone was deemed to be the method of choice. Diastereoselection during radical reduction of not only acyclic but also the cyclic system^{4,5} might be useful to synthetic organic chemists, because of the expected higher selectivity due to the ring system. The reductive cleavage of the carbon–bromine bond in an α -bromo- α -methylcyclohexanone derivative was reported to give the 2,4-*syn* dimethyl compound with moderate stereose-lection under conditions using tri-*n*-butyltin hydride (Bu₃SnH) and a catalytic amount of the radical initiator azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN).³ We disclose herein a remarkable diastereoselection process which occurs during the radical debromination of α -bromo- δ -valerolactones.

The preparation of α -bromo- δ -valerolactones necessary for the present study was carried out by using our chiral oxazaborolidinone-promoted asymmetric aldol reaction of the racemic aldehyde **4** with bromo silyl nucleophile **5** in the presence of D-TsVal **6**. The reaction gave a 1:1 mixture of essentially enantiopure aldol adducts, **7** and **8**, with the so-called promoter control on acyclic stereoselection,⁶ where the newly generated stereocenter (C-3) was controlled only by the chiral center of promoter **6**. Deprotection with *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (PTSA) in methanol gave the separable lactones, **2** and **3**, as shown in Scheme 2.

Debromination reactions of **2** and **3** took place smoothly in good yields by treatment with 5 mole equiv. of Bu₃SnH and a catalytic amount of Et_3B^7 in toluene at $-78^{\circ}C$ for 2 h. This reaction was accompanied with *striking diastereoselection* (~100%) and the

0040-4039/01/\$ - see front matter @ 2001 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. PII: S0040-4039(01)01507-6

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +81-88-844-8295; fax: +81-88-844-8359; e-mail: kiyo@cc.kochi-u.ac.jp



Scheme 2.

debrominated products, 9 and 10,⁸ were obtained from the reactions of 2 and 3, respectively, with no detectable by-products, as shown in Scheme 3 and Table 1. The distinctive 2,4-*syn* selection, found in 9 and 10, during the radical process appears to be affected only by the chiral center at C-4, completely independent of the direction of the hydroxy function at C-3. The stereochemical outcome can be rationalized on the basis of the avoidance of steric hindrance which can be attributed to the 1,3-interaction produced between the R substituent at C-4 and the incoming Bu₃SnH so that the hydride can approach the radical center at C-2 from the opposite side. The above rationalization, however, is not necessarily sufficient to account for the very high level diastereoselection.

In order to estimate the influence of bulkiness at C-3 on the diastereoselection, the hydroxy function of **2**-a and **3**-a was protected by a TMS group. The result is shown in Scheme 4. The radical debromination of **11** remained unchanged, compared with that of **2**-a, and a similar, high 2,4-*syn* selectivity was observed for **12** because of the same orientation of both substituents at C-3 and C-4. On the other hand, the selectivity in the reaction of



13 might be reduced because of the larger TMS substituent at C-3. We were actually able to trap the counter isomer, 2,4-*anti* 15. However, a considerably large selection (5:1) was still observed. Thus, it is noteworthy that the orientation of the substituent at C-4 plays a major role in allowing a preferential approach of the hydride from the opposite side, compared with that at C-3. In the case of γ -lactones, such superior 2,4-*syn* diastereoselection could not be observed; the radical debromination reactions of isomers, 16 and 17, having a configuration opposite that at C-2, resulted in the same low selectivity (2:1) of *syn*- and *anti*-products, 18 and 19, which suggests that both reactions proceed via essentially the same transition state assembly (Scheme 5).

In conclusion, a very high level of diastereoselection was observed in the radical debromination of α -bromo- α -methyl- δ -valerolactones and the obtained selectivity reaches the level of practical use. In addition, the stereochemically regulated δ -valerolactones can be converted to versatile compounds having a simple 2,4-*syn* dialkyl unit, e.g. in the case of methyl groups at C-2 and C-4 after dehydroxylation at C-3, where they can subsequently be converted to a known chiral lactone⁹ and the Prelog–Djerassi lactonic acid.¹⁰ Furthermore, δ -valerolactones having 2,4-*syn* dialkyl units could be made generally available for use as precursors for acyclic segments through ring-opening with various

Table 1. Highly diastereoselective radical and debromination of α -bromo- α -methyl- δ -valerolactones, 2 and 3 (Scheme 3)

Entry	δ-valero- lactones/R	Yields (%)	2,4-syn-diastereo- selectivity (%)
1	Me (2-a)	78 (9 -a)	~100
2	Pr (2-b)	75 (9 -b)	~ 100
3	Bn (2-c)	91 (9- c)	~ 100
4	Me (3-a)	75 (10-a)	~ 100
5	Pr (3-b)	66 (10-b)	~ 100
6	Bn (3-c)	76 (10-c)	~ 100



Scheme 4.





types of reagents. A study of the reaction mechanism is currently underway.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan.

References

- (a) Kiyooka, S.-i.; Shahid, K. A.; Hena, M. A. *Tetra*hedron Lett. **1999**, 40, 6447–6449; (b) Kiyooka, S.-i.; Shahid, K. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, 41, 2633–2637.
- Kiyooka, S.-i. 'Enantioselective construction of acyclic segments in natural products based on chiral oxazaboro-lidinone-mediated aldol reaction under promoter (catalyst) control'; 2000 International Chemical Congress of Pacific Basin Societies, December, 2000, Honolulu, Hawaii. Abstract Orgn 9 #562. Discodermolide Synthesis, recent examples: (a) Smith, III, A. B.; Kaufman, M. D.; Beauchamp, T. J.; LaMarche, M. J.; Arimoto, H. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 1823–1826; (b) Paterson, I.; Florence, G. J.; Gerlach, K.; Scott, J. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 377–380.
- Corey, E. J.; Trybulsky, E. J.; Melvin, Jr., L. S.; Nicolaou, K. C.; Secrist, J. A.; Lett, R.; Sheldrake, P. W.; Falck, J. R.; Brunelle, D. J.; Haslanger, M. F.; Kim, S.; Yoo, S.-E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 4618–4620.
- 4. Guindon, Y.; Jung, G.; Guérin, B.; Ogilvie, W. W. Synlett **1998**, 213–220 and references cited therein.
- Ghosez, A.; Giese, B.; Göbel, T.; Zipse, H. In Stereoselective Synthesis; Helmchen, G.; Hoffmann, R. W.; Mulzer, J.; Schaumann, E., Eds.; Houben-Weyl: Stuttgart, 1996; Vol. 7, pp. 3913–3924.
- 6. This process is very practical where racemic starting compounds can be converted to the corresponding enan-

tiopure adducts attributable to the excellent enantioselectivity of the aldol reaction used: (a) Kiyooka, S. -i.; Kira, H.; Hena, M. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 2597–2600; (b) Kiyooka, S. -i.; Goh, K.; Nakamura, Y.; Takesue, H.; Hena, M. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 6599–6603.

- Nozaki, K.; Oshima, K.; Utimoto, K. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 923–933.
- 8. Physical data of products. 9-a: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ -6.0 (c 1.0%, CHCl₃). IR (neat) 3537, 1716 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ (ppm) 1.07 (d, J=6.8, 3H), 1.40 (d, J=7.1, 3H), 1.97-2.07 (m, 1H), 2.20 (s, 1H), 2.44 (dq, J=9.8, 7.1, 1H), 3.30 (dd, J=9.3, 8.3, 1H), 3.83 (dd, J=11.5, 10.0, 1H), 4.32 (dd, J=11.5, 4.9, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ (ppm) 13.5, 13.7, 36.6, 44.2, 70.5, 75.9, 173.6. **9-b**: $[\alpha]_{D}^{24}$ –19.8 (*c* 0.96%, CHCl₃). IR (neat) 3426, 1728 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ (ppm) 0.95 (t, J=7.1, 3H), 1.38 (d, J=7.1, 3H), 1.21–1.45 (m, 4H), 1.87-1.93 (m, 1H), 2.21 (d, J=4.9, 1H), 2.49 (dq, J=9.3, 6.8, 1H), 3.37 (ddd, J=9.0, 7.1, 4.9, 1H), 3.95 (dd, J = 11.7, 7.8, 1H), 4.40 (dd, J = 11.7, 4.4, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ (ppm) 13.6, 14.1, 19.8, 31.4, 41.7, 43.8, 68.7, 75.1, 173.7. 9-c: $[\alpha]_{D}^{18}$ -5.83 (c 1.2%, CHCl₃). IR (neat) 3428, 1728 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ (ppm) 1.38 (d, J=6.8, 3H), 2.07 (d, J=4.9, 1H), 2.19-2.28 (m, 1H), 2.54 (dq, J=9.3, 6.8, 1H), 2.56 (dd, J = 14.2, 9.3, 1H, 3.03 (dd, J = 13.9, 5.6, 1H), 3.74 (ddd, J=9.3, 7.1, 4.9, 1H, 3.93 (dd, J=11.7, 7.3, 1H), 4.26 (dd, J=11.7, 4.4, 1H), 7.18-7.34 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ (ppm) 13.5, 35.7, 43.6, 43.6, 68.1, 74.4, 126.8, 128.8, 129.0, 137.9, 173.6. **10-**a: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ +1.0 (c 1.0%, CHCl₃). IR (neat) 3435, 1712 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3, 400 \text{ MHz}): \delta \text{ (ppm) } 1.02 \text{ (d, } J = 6.8, 3\text{H}), 1.32 \text{ (d, } J = 6.8, 3$ J=7.1, 3H), 2.18–2.27 (m, 1H), 2.57 (dq, J=7.1, 3.2, 1H), 3.87 (s, 1H), 4.19 (dd, J=11.0, 5.8, 1H), 4.30 (dd, J = 11.0, 11.7, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ (ppm) 12.8, 12.8, 33.8, 42.3, 70.2, 71.6, 173.1. **10**-b: $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ -2.5 (c 0.4%, CHCl₃). IR (neat) 3437, 1716 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ (ppm) 0.95 (t, J=6.8, 3H), 1.33 (d, J=7.1, 3H), 1.23–1.47 (m, 4H), 1.68 (s, 1H), 1.88–2.15 (m, 1H), 2.55 (dq, J=7.3, 3.0, 1H), 3.96 (s, 1H), 4.24 (dd, J = 11.0, 6.1, 1H), 4.31 (dd, J = 11.7, 11.0, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ (ppm) 12.9, 14.1, 19.8, 29.6, 38.6, 42.3, 69.5, 69.7, 173.5. **10-c**: $[\alpha]_{D}^{24}$ -35.0 (c 0.4%, CHCl₃). IR (neat) 3441, 1714 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3, 400 \text{ MHz}): \delta \text{ (ppm) } 1.29 \text{ (d, } J = 7.1, 3\text{ H}), 2.06 \text{ (d, } J = 7.1, 3$ J=3.6, 1H, 2.31–2.39 (m, 1H), 2.49 (dq, J=7.1, 2.9,1H), 2.64 (dd, J=13.7, 6.6, 1H), 2.75 (dd, J=13.7, 8.8, 1H), 3.82 (s, 1H), 4.24 (dd, J=11.0, 5.9, 1H), 4.43 (t, J = 11.5, 1H), 7.19–7.35 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ (ppm) 12.8, 33.8, 40.7, 42.3, 68.8, 69.3, 126.7, 128.7, 128.9, 138.3, 173.2.
- (a) Nicolaou, K. C.; Pihko, P. M.; Diedrichs, N.; Zou, N.; Bernal, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 1262– 1265; (b) Collum, D. B.; McDonald, III, J. H.; Still, W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 2118–2120.
- A recent example: Oppolzer, W.; Walther, E.; Balado, C. P.; De Brabander, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1997, *38*, 809–812.