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#### Abstract

A base-promoted transition metal-free approach to substituted alkenes using alcohols under aerobic conditions using air as the inexpensive and clean oxidant is described. Aldehydes are relatively difficult to handle compared to corresponding alcohols due to their volatility and penchant to polymerize and autoxidize. Wittig ylides are easily oxidized to aldehydes and consequently form homo-olefination products. By the strategy of simultaneously in situ generation of ylides and aldehydes, for the first time, alcohols are directly transferred to olefins with no need of pre-preparation of either aldehydes or ylides. Thus the di-/mono-controllable olefination of diols is accomplished. This synthetically practical method has been applied in the gram-scale synthesis of pharmaceuticals such as DMU-212 and Resveratrol from alcohols.


## INTRODUCTION

While the success of using alcohols as nucleophiles prevails for the direct access to various functional groups, the development of simple, low-cost and mild reaction conditions capable of utilizing alcohols to react with nucleophiles seems to be challenging due to the high energy of C-0 bonds ( $\sim 90$ $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}) .{ }^{1}$ It requires an activation of alcohols with excess Brønsted or normally stoichiometric amounts of Lewis acids or the usage of a Mitsunobu protocol, where massive amounts of waste are produced. ${ }^{2}$ Besides, applying alcohols as electrophiles under the basic conditions proves to be even more difficult as C-O bonds' energy is increased as a result of their deprotonation in the presence of base.

Wittig olefination ${ }^{3}$ is a versatile and reliable method for the production of substituted alkenes from aldehydes in both industry and laboratory preparations, ${ }^{4}$ whereas using alcohols instead of corresponding aldehydes would be valuable because aldehydes are relatively difficult to handle compared to corresponding alcohols due to their volatility and penchant to polymerize and autoxidize. In addition, alcohols are more inexpensive, less toxic and more stable than the corresponding aldehydes. In this sense, a variety of oxidizing systems have been implemented for the in situ oxidation-Wittig olefination of primary alcohols but using stoichiometric amount of toxic or hazardous oxidants. 5 Some aerobic oxidation/Wittig procedures based on noble metals also have been applied with stabilized Wittig reagents, however, high temperature is usually needed. 6 A borrowing hydrogen method,
which involves catalytic removal of hydrogen from the alcohol, nucleophilic attack of the new carbonyl, and hydrogenation, enables the possibility of "electrophilic alcohols" but forming C-C single bonds instead. ${ }^{7}$

## Scheme 1. Olefination of Alcohols

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Previous work: TM-free Julia-olefination of alcohols (ref 10a) } \\
& \text { This work: TM-free Wittig-olefination of alcohols } \\
& \mathrm{R}^{1} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{R}^{2}+\mathrm{R}^{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{Cl} \underset{\substack{\text { catalyst-free } \\
\text { transition metal-free }}}{\text { aerobic }} \mathrm{R}^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Several examples have been documented in the indirect Wittig olefination of alcohols in recent years using dehydrogenation strategy with noble metal complexes such as ruthenium as catalysts ${ }^{8}$ or in the presence of stoichiometric nickel nanoparticles. ${ }^{9}$ For example Milstein et al. have reported a $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ru}$-complex catalyzed olefination reaction of alcohols using Wittig reagents. ${ }^{8 a}$ Albeit transition metal catalysts have been used, harsh reaction conditions with excess $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ at 110 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were necessary. The advantage of such in situ dehydrogenation of alcohols to aldehydes is the no need of extra oxidants. But the flip side is that it must face the liberation of hydrogen gas, which may give rise to a safety problem in chemistry laboratory, and the subsequent rehydrogenation of final olefins by the simultaneously generated hydrogen. On
another hand, the use of noble metal-catalysts will not only increase costs but also generate metal residues, which must be avoided in a pharmaceutical-oriented olefination. Therefore, a direct transition metal-free Wittig-olefination of alcohols avoiding hazardous oxidants or the emission of flammable $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ by a clean aerobic in situ oxidation of alcohols, would be a big breakthrough in the activation and transformation of alcohols.

As part of our continuous research interests in the clean activation and functionalization of alcohols, ${ }^{10-12}$ we have previously reported a base-promoted transition metal-free direct Julia-olefination of alcohols under oxygen-free conditions, where the starting alcohols could act as a reductant instead of $\mathrm{Na}-\mathrm{Hg}$ in the reductive cleaving of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ and C-O bonds. ${ }^{10}$ In this work, we report our recent result of the direct Wittig olefination, in which alcohols were used as formal electrophiles to react with Wittig reagent under basic conditions, avoiding transition metals, hazardous oxidants, and the emission of flammable hydrogen gas. ${ }^{8 a}$

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Reaction conditions. Initial studies were carried out using benzyl alcohol 1a and triphenyl(2pyridylmethyl)phosphonium chloride 2a. We noticed that in the presence of ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOK}$, in situ alcohol oxidative Wittig reaction could be proceeded very well under very mild conditions (Table 1, entry 7). The effect of nBuLi was not good as ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOK}$ (entry 11). However, the reaction didn't occur when using KOH and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (entries 9 and 10). Among the solvents, tetrahydrofuran gave a better result in olefination process. After screening the amount of base and starting material, we found that benzyl alcohol 1a ( $110 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOK}$ ( $200 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) could efficiently lead to high conversion and selectivity (entry 15). This condition was chosen as the standard condition for further investigation of substrate scope and limitation. What should be pointed out is that the ICP-MS test reveals that no obvious amount of trace transition metals ( $\mathrm{Ru} / \mathrm{Rh} / \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{Ir} / \mathrm{Pt}<$ 1 ppm ) in ${ }^{\text {tBuOK. }}$

## Table 1. Reaction Conditions ${ }^{a}$

| $\mathrm{Ph}_{\mathrm{OH}+}$ |  | $\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { air, solvent } \\ 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}}]{\text { base }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | 1a:2a | base | solvent | $E / Z^{b}$ | 3a(\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1 | 1.3 | ${ }^{\text {t BuOK ( }}$ (2.0) | dioxane | 27 | 83 |
| 2 | 1.3 | ${ }^{\text {t BuOK }}$ (2.0) | toluene | 15 | 80 |
| 3 | 1.3 | ${ }^{\text {t BuOK }}$ (2.0) | DMSO | 19 | 39 |
| 4 | 1.3 | ${ }^{\text {t BuOK }}$ (2.0) | DME | 10 | 11 |
| 5 | 1.3 | ${ }^{\text {t BuOK ( }}$ (2.0) | octane | 11 | 84 |
| 6 | 1.3 | ${ }^{\text {t BuOK }}$ (2.0) | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 6 | 21 |
| 7 | 1.3 | ${ }^{\text {t BuOK ( }}$ (2.0) | THF | 11 | 87 |
| 8 | 1.3 | $\mathrm{NaH}(2.0)$ | THF | 33 | 68 |
| 9 | 1.3 | KOH (2.0) | THF | - | 0 |
| 10 | 1.3 | ${\mathrm{Cs} 2 \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.0)}^{\text {(2) }}$ | THF | - | 0 |
| 11 | 1.3 | ${ }^{n}$ BuLi (2.0) | THF | 4 | 10 |
| 12 | 1.3 | ${ }^{\text {t BuOK (1.5) }}$ | THF | 16 | 69 |
| 13 | 1.3 | ${ }^{\text {t BuOK ( }}$ (1.8) | THF | 13 | 85 |
| 14 | 1.3 | ${ }^{\text {t BuOK ( }}$ (2.3) | THF | 16 | 83 |
| 15 | 1.1 | ${ }^{\text {t BuOK ( }}$ (2.0) | THF | 20 | 85 |
| 16 | 1.5 | ${ }^{\text {t }}$ BuOK (2.0) | THF | 16 | 85 |
| 17 | 1.7 | ${ }^{\text {t BuOK }}$ (2.0) | THF | 9 | 81 |

${ }^{a}$ Conditions: 1a (1.1-1.7 equiv), 2a ( 1.0 mmol ), base ( 2.0 mmol), solvent ( 1.5 mL ), $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{b}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

Reaction scope. Various alcohols were subjected to the standard condition to prepare alkenes (Scheme 2). A wide range of aryls as well as heteroaryls, including phenyl and substituted phenyls, 2-naphthyl, 2-thienyl, 2-pyridyl, 2-furyl, were all performed well to afford desired alkenes 3a-31 in generally good yields. For all cases, $E / Z$ selectivity of alkenes has been well controlled in the range of 8 to 30 . Aliphatic alcohols could also be converted to corresponding alkenes (3n and $\mathbf{3 p}$ ) With respect to the secondary alcohols, similar to the Wittig olefination of ketones, the reactivity was much lower than that of primary alcohols. Except $\mathbf{3 m}$, other olefins (3r-t) from allylic or propargylic alcohols are not obtained, whereas only decomposed byproduct 2-methyl pyridine is obtained.

## Scheme 2. Scope of Alcohols ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Condition A: $\mathbf{1}$ ( 1.1 mmol ), 2a ( 1 mmol ), ${ }^{\text {tBuOK ( } 2 \mathrm{mmol}) \text {, }}$ THF ( 1.5 mL ), air, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (the data in parenthesis refer to $E / Z$ ratios). ${ }^{b} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ ( 0.5 mmol ), THF ( 3 mL ), $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(4$ days for $\mathbf{3 k}$ and 2.5 days for $\mathbf{3 m}$ ). ${ }^{c} \mathbf{1}(1 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{2 a}(2 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaH}(4 \mathrm{mmol})$, dioxane ( 1.5 mL ), air, $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Next, various alcohols and Wittig reagents have been subjected to the standard condition to prepare alkenes (Scheme 3 ). In most cases, mainly or only ( $E$ )-isomers were obtained ( $\mathbf{4 a - 4 c}$ and $\mathbf{4 h} \mathbf{4 o}$ ). For the cases with $E / Z$-ratios lower than 10:1, the reaction were treated with iodine under heating conditions to afford ( $E$ )-isomers ( $\mathbf{4 d} \mathbf{d} \mathbf{4 g}$ ). In some cases with low yields, the homo-olefination of ylides were observed. In all cases, the olefination with stable ylides was unsuccessful. Thus this Wittig olefination of alcohols is limited to hemistable and unstable ylides.
Mono-/di-selectivity control. One advantage of current method is control the regioselectivity for the substrates with two reactive sites. As shown in Scheme 4, the synthesis of
mono-alkene 6 from dialdehyde 5 was not successful because of low regioselectivity. However, when diol 7 was used as the starting material, mono-olefin 8 was obtained as the sole product in $70 \%$ of yield. The slow in situ generation of aldehyde intermediate by this method enabled the high regioselectivity for such substrates. In another case, the asymmetric olefination of diol $\mathbf{7}$ was achieved to afford dialkene 9 in 70\% of yield (Scheme 5). The mono-olefination of 7 afforded ( $E$ )10 in $87 \%$ of yield in 12:1 regioselectivity. Therefore, this method is powerful complement to Wittig olefination of dialdehydes. The reaction with $\mathrm{BuPPh}_{3} \mathrm{Br}$ afforded corresponding olefin $\mathbf{4 p}$ in only $8 \%$ of yield. Terminal olefins were obtained in $26-31 \%$ of yields ( $\mathbf{4 j}, \mathbf{4 k}$ and $\mathbf{4 m}$ ). The stabilized ylide $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ afforded the product $\mathbf{4 q}$ as a salt in $73 \%$ of yield.

## Scheme 3. Scope and Limitation ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Condition A: 1 ( 1.1 mmol ), $\mathbf{2}$ ( 1 mmol ), ${ }^{\text {t }} \mathrm{BuOK}(2 \mathrm{mmol})$, THF ( 1.5 mL ), air, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{b} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ ( 0.5 equiv), THF ( 3 mL ), $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(1-7$ days, see experimental section for details). ${ }^{c} 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{d} \mathrm{NaH}$ instead of ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOK}$.
Scheme 4. Wittig Olefination: Aldehyde vs Alcohol


Synthetic applications. This method has been applied in the synthesis of bioactive molecules. The cytotoxic activity of DMU-212 has been shown to vary in cell lines derived from the same type of cancer, i.e. ovarian, breast and colorectal
ones. Using present method, 2 g of DMU-212 was synthesized from 1b in 70\% of yield. Resveratrol possesses chemopreventive and cytostatic properties against a variety of human tumour cell lines, including several prostate cancer cell lines. Resveratrol could be prepared from 1b in 79\% in gram-scale (Scheme 6).

To further explore the application of this method in organic synthesis, optically active helicene 21 was prepared from 2naphthyl methanol 16 (Scheme 7). Racemic 21 was achieved in 5 steps, followed by the resolution with 22 to afford both enantiomers of $\mathbf{2 1}$.

## Scheme 5. Selectivity Control



Scheme 6. Gram-Scale Synthesis of Pharmaceuticals ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Conditions: a) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOK}, \mathrm{THF}$, air, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; b) $\mathrm{I}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, THF, argon, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 11 \mathrm{hr}$; c) ${ }^{\text {t BuOK, THF, air, }} 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; d) I2 ( 15 mol \%), argon, THF, $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 79 \%$ for two steps; e) $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, 0$ to $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, quant.
Scheme 7. Synthesis of Enantioenriched $21^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Conditions: a) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOK}$, air, THF, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 43 hr ; b) $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ (1 equiv), toluene, hv ( 400 W ), HPMV, 1.5 hr ; c) 4-MeO-styrene, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, dppp ( $4.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, TBAB ( 20 mol \%), DMA, 40 h ; d) $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ (1 equiv), propylene oxide, toluene, $\mathrm{h} v$ ( 400 W ), 2.5 h ; e) $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{rt}, 9 \mathrm{hr}$. f) 22 (2 equiv), DMAP ( 0.2 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (2 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, R.T., $12 \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{g}$ ) $\mathrm{KOH}(10$ equiv), THF, MeOH, $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 9 \mathrm{~h}$.

Control experiments. The treatment of $\mathbf{1 a}$ in the absence of Wittig reagent gives rise to the over oxidation of aldehydes intermediates to acid (Scheme 8, eq 5). The kinetic study further reveals that BnOH is slowly oxidized to PhCHO in the absence of Wittig reagent (Scheme 9A). The olefination is very fast (Scheme 9B). The rapid olefination enables the quick trap of in situ generated aldehydes, avoids the over oxidation of aldehydes intermediates to acids. The reaction in under argon does not afford olefin 3a, whereas 2-methyl pyridine 26 is produced via the decomposition of Wittig reagent (eq 7). ${ }^{13}$ The olefination with pre-prepared ylide 2a' yields only $26 \%$ of 3a, whereas 26 is obtained in $58 \%$ yield (eq 8). Compared to above results, the olefination with in situ generated ylide affords 3a in $84 \%$ yield without the formation of 26 (eq 9). This is a remarkable advantage of olefination of alcohols over aldehydes under aerobic conditions. In addition, ylides are normally unstable under aerobic condition due to the fast oxidation of ylides to the corresponding aldehydes which are followed by the homo-olefination (eq 10). Actually, such ho-mo-olefination of Wittig has been inhibited by the competing oxidation of alcohols.

## Scheme 8. Control Experiments

A) reaction in the absence of Wittig reagents


C) ylide: preprepared vs in situ generated

D) homo- or cross olefination of Wittig reagents


Scheme 9. Kinetic Study
(A)

(B)




Reaction mechanism. A mechanism is proposed in Scheme 10. The in situ generated ylides and aldehydes react fast to produce olefins. The side reactions such as homo-olefination of Wittig reagents and over oxidation of aldehyde intermediates have been inhibited under alcohol media. The mechanism of mono-/di-selectivity control has been realized by the formation of intermediate $\mathbf{A}$. Thus during the olefination of one side, another side is "protected". The further olefination affords asymmetric olefination of diols (Scheme 10B).

## Scheme 10. Mechanism


(B) Mechanism of mono-/di-selectivity control


## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have developed a pharmaceuticaloriented scalable and practical catalyst- and transition-metalfree Wittig olefination of alcohols at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with an alcohol umpolung strategy. Using air as the inexpensive and clean oxidant, various olefins could be synthesized. This synthetically practical method has been applied in the synthesis of optical active helicenes and the gram-scale synthesis of pharmaceuticals such as DMU-212 and resveratrol, demonstrating potential application in pharmaceutical synthesis.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedure for Condition A. Wittig reagent (1.0 mmol ) and ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOK}(2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 224.4 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0$ equiv) were weighed into a 25 mL Schlenk tube. After dried under vacuum, 1.5 mL of THF and an alcohol was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an atmosphere of air (1 atm balloon), monitored by TLC. And quenched by filtering through Celite and silica gel, washed with ethyl acetate and purified on silica gel column using petroleum ether (PE) /ethyl acetate (EA) (v/v 10/1) as eluent. Compounds 3a, 3b, 3d-3i, 3k-3m, 3o, 3q, 4a, 4b, 4d-4q, 8, 10, 11, 14, 15, 18, 19, 20, and 21 were known compounds and have been reported previously. ${ }^{14}$

General Procedure for Condition B (3k, 3m, 4d-4g). After the reaction under Condition $A$, iodine ( 0.50 equiv) was added and stirred under argon at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (oil bath). The mixture was washed with saturated solution of sodium thiosulfate, dried with sodium sulfate and purified on silica gel.
(E)-2-styrylpyridine (3a):14a White solid; 6 h ; yield $84 \%$ ( $151.9 \mathrm{mg}, E / Z=12: 1$ ).
(E)-2-(4-methylstyryl)pyridine (3b): $14 a$ White solid; 6 h ; yield $86 \%(167.3 \mathrm{mg}, E / Z=22: 1)$.
(E)-2-(2-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)vinyl)pyridine (3c): White solid; 10 h ; yield $93 \%(241.1 \mathrm{mg}, E / Z=12: 1) ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.3$ (PE/EA 10 $: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-$ $7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ (ddd, $J=7.6,4.8,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 155.7,149.8,141.2,140.7,136.7$, 135.8, 132.4, 129.0, 128.0, 127.7, 127.6, 127.1, 122.3, 122.2. HRMS (ESI-TOF) $m / z[M+H]+$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}$ 258.1283, found 258.1281 .
(E)-2-(4-chlorostyryl)pyridine (3d): ${ }^{14 b}$ White solid; 6 h ; yield 91\% (196.3 mg, $E / Z=10: 1$ )
(E)-2-(4-nitrostyryl)pyridine (3e): ${ }^{14 c}$ Yellow solid; 6 h; yield $42 \%$ ( $95.6 \mathrm{mg}, E / Z=22: 1$ ).
(E)-2-(4-bromostyryl)pyridine (3f):14q White solid; 9 h ; yield $80 \%(219.1 \mathrm{mg}, E / Z=11: 1)$.
(E)-2-(2-(naphthalen-2-yl)vinyl)pyridine (3g): 14b Yellow solid; 6 h ; yield $83 \%$ ( $191.7 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}=16: 1$ ).
(E)-2-(2-(thiophen-2-yl)vinyl)pyridine (3h): 14a Yellow solid; 8 h ; yield $82 \%$ ( $153.6 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}=21: 1$ ).
(E)-2-(2-(benzo[1,3]dioxol-5-yl)vinyl)pyridine (3i): 14a White solid; 6 h ; yield $89 \%$ ( $199.5 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}=22: 1$ ).
(E)-phenyl(4-(2-(pyridin-2-yl)vinyl)phenyl)methanone (3j): White solid; 12 h ; yield $88 \%$ ( $251.3 \mathrm{mg}, E / Z=20: 1$ ); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.4$ (PE/EA $10: 1$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{t}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 196.2,155.0,149.9,140.8,137.7,136.9$, 136.7, 132.4, 131.5, 130.7, 130.4, 130.0, 128.3, 126.9, 122.7. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M + Na]+ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NONa}$ 308.1046, found 308.1050.
(E)-1,2-di(pyridin-2-yl)ethane (3k): ${ }^{14 a}$ Yellow solid; 19 h for step 1, 4 days for step 2; yield $73 \%$ two steps ( 132.6 mg , $Z$ not detected).
(E)-2-(2-(furan-2-yl)vinyl)pyridine (31): ${ }^{14 r}$ White solid; 13 h; yield 81\% (138.7 mg, E/Z = 8:1).

2-((1E,3E)-4-phenylbuta-1,3-dien-1-yl)pyridine (3m): 14d Brown solid; 13 h for step 1, 2.5 days for step 2; yield $75 \%$ two steps ( $156.4 \mathrm{mg}, Z$ not detected).
(E)-2-(4,8-dimethylnona-1,7-dien-1-yl)pyridine (3n): Brown oil; 23 h ; yield $60 \%(136.9 \mathrm{mg}, E / Z=27: 1) ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.3$ (PE/EA 25 $: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60$ (td, $J=8.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08$ (ddd, $J=$ $7.6,4.8,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72$ (dt, $J=15.6,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-$ $2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.63(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.95$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 156.2$, 149.5, 136.5, 134.8, 131.3, 131.1, 124.8, 121.6, 121.0, 40.5, 36.9, 32.8, 25.8, 25.7, 19.7, 17.7. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M + H]+ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}$ 230.1909, found 230.1905.
(E)-2-(hept-1-en-1-yl)pyridine (3o):14e Yellow oil; 40 h ; yield $56 \%$ ( $98.5 \mathrm{mg}, E / Z=30: 1$ ).
(E)-2-(undeca-1,10-dien-1-yl)pyridine (3p): Brown oil; 28 h ; yield $69 \%(157.6 \mathrm{mg}, E / Z=18: 1) ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.3(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA} 10: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.52$ (d, $\left.J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.59$ (td, $J=$ $7.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.24 (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.08 (ddd, $J=7.6,4.8$, $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.6,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.86-5.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01-4.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.30(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 156.3,149.5,139.3$, 136.4, 136.2, 129.9, 121.6, 121.0, 114.2, 33.9, 32.9, 29.4, 29.3, 29.1, 29.0. HRMS (ESI-TOF) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}$ 230.1909, found 230.1918.
(E)-2-(2-phenylprop-1-en-1-yl)pyridine (3q): $14 f$ Brown solid; 44 h ; yield $37 \%$ ( 71.4 mg , $Z$ not detected).
(E)-1-methyl-4-styrylbenzene (4a): ${ }^{14 g}$ White solid; 9 h for step 1, 1.5 days for step 2 ; yield $70 \%$ two steps $(136.9 \mathrm{mg}, Z$ not detected).
(E)-1-chloro-4-styrylbenzene (4b): ${ }^{14 g}$ Yellow solid; 4 h for step 1, 6 days for step 2; yield $53 \%$ two steps ( $113.7 \mathrm{mg}, E / Z=$ 23:1).
(E)-2-(2-(naphthalen-2-yl)vinyl)thiophene (4c): White solid; 9 h for step 1, 21 h for step 2; yield $49 \%$ two steps ( 115.7 mg , $Z$ not detected); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.5$ (PE/EA $70: 1$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.81-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-$ $7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.11-7.07 (m, 2H), 7.02 (dd, $J=5.2,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 143.1,134.6,133.8,133.1,128.6,128.5$, 128.1, 127.9, 127.8, 126.6, 126.5, 126.4, 126.1, 124.6, 123.4, 122.3. HRMS (ESI-TOF) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~S}$ 237.0738, found 237.0735.
(E)-2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)styryl)thiophene (4d): White solid; 7 h for step 1, 2.6 days for step 2; yield $73 \%$ two steps (185.5 $\mathrm{mg}, E / Z=14: 1)$.
(E)-1-methyl-4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)styryl)benzene (4e):7 White solid; 7 h for step 1, 6.6 days for step 2; yield $70 \%$ two steps ( $183.9 \mathrm{mg}, E / Z=12: 1$ ).
(E)-4-styrylbenzonitrile (4f): $14 g$ White solid; 23 h for step 1, 4.7 days for step 2 ; yield $71 \%$ two steps $(145.7 \mathrm{mg}, E / Z=$ 20:1).
(E)-4-(4-methylstyryl)benzonitrile (4g): ${ }^{14 h}$ White solid; 24 h for step 1, 4.6 days for step 2 ; yield $80 \%$ two steps $(174.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $E / Z=22: 1$ ).
(E)-1-methyl-2-styryl-1H-imidazole (4h): ${ }^{14 i}$ Yellow solid; 33 h ; yield $39 \% \quad(72.7 \mathrm{mg}, \quad E / Z=46: 1)$. (E)-2-styrylthiophene (4i): ${ }^{14 g}$ Brown solid; 9 h for step 1, 1.5 days for step 2; yield $43 \%$ two steps ( $79.6 \mathrm{mg}, Z$ not detected).

2-Phenyl-1-propene (4j): ${ }^{14 o}$ Colorless oil; 5 h ; yield $31 \%$ ( 36.2 mg ).

2-vinylnaphthalene (4k): ${ }^{14 j}$ White solid; 6 h; yield 29\% (44.7 mg ).
(E)-hept-1-en-1-ylbenzene (4I): ${ }^{14 k}$ Yellow oil; 12 h for step 1, 2.5 days for step 2; yield $27 \%$ two steps ( $46.3 \mathrm{mg}, Z$ not detected).

2-vinylaniline (4m): ${ }^{14 u}$ Colorless oil; 9 h ; yield $26 \%$ (31.0 mg ).
(E)-2-styrylaniline (4n): ${ }^{14 t}$ Yellow solid; 8 h for step 1, 8 h for step 2 ; yield $41 \%$ two steps ( $80.1 \mathrm{mg}, Z$ not detected).
(E)-2-(2-(pyridin-2-yl)vinyl)aniline (4o): ${ }^{14 t}$ Orange solid; 9 h ; yield $63 \%$ ( $122.6 \mathrm{mg}, Z$ not detected).
(E)-2-(pent-1-en-1-yl)naphthalene (4p): ${ }^{14 v}$ White solid; 6 h; yield $8 \%$ ( $15.7 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{Z}$ not detected)
(E)- potassium cinnamate (4q): ${ }^{14 w}$ White solid; 8 h; yield $73 \%$ ( $136.0 \mathrm{mg}, Z$ not detected)
(2-vinylphenyl)methanol (8): ${ }^{14 s}$ Colorless oil; 19 h ; yield $70 \%$ ( 94.4 mg ).
(E)-2-(2-vinylstyryl)pyridine (9): Colourless oil; 55 h ; yield $70 \%$ ( $143.7 \mathrm{mg}, Z$ not detected); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.3$ (PE/EA $10: 1$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.96 (d, $J=$ $16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 155.7, 149.7, 137.1, 136.6, 134.9, 130.5, 130.3, 128.3, 127.9, 126.6, 126.5, 122.2, 116.9. HRMS (ESI-TOF) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NNa} 230.0940$, found 230.0942 .
(E)-(2-(2-(pyridin-2-yl)vinyl)phenyl)methanol (10) Colourless oil; 48 h ; yield $87 \%$ ( $184.3 \mathrm{mg}, Z$ not detected).

1,2-bis((E)-2-(pyridin-2-yl)vinyl)benzene (11): White solid; 48 h ; yield $7 \%$ ( 19.9 mg , $Z$ not detected).
(E)-1,2,3-trimethoxy-5-(4-methoxystyryl)benzene (14): 141 Yellow solid; 16 h for step 1, 11 h for step 2; yield $70 \%$ two steps ( 2.09 g ).
(E)-1,3-dimethoxy-5-(4-methoxystyryl)benzene: ${ }^{141}$ Brown solid; 25 h for step 1, 4 h for step 2; yield $79 \%$ two steps (2.14 g).
(E)-5-(4-hydroxystyryl)benzene-1,3-diol (15): 141 Brown solid; 6 h; yield $100 \%$ ( 1.80 g ).

2-(4-bromostyryl)naphthalene (18): ${ }^{14 m}$ By Condition B, white solid; 43 h ; yield $70 \%$ ( $430.6 \mathrm{mg}, E / Z=0.7: 1$ ).

2-bromobenzo[c]phenanthrene (19): ${ }^{14 n}$ Compound 18 and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ ( 353 mg ) were dissolved in 1.2 L toluene. The mixture was transferred into a quartz reactor. Then it was irradiated by 400W HMPV lamp for 1.5 h . The reaction mixture was washed with saturated sodium thiosulfate solution and dried with sodium sulfate. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and purified on silica gel column to give the 19 ( $341.0 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ). White solid; 24 h ; yield $80 \%$ ( 341.0 mg ).

3-(4-methoxystyryl)benzo[c]phenanthrene (20): ${ }^{14 p}$ Palladium acetate $(7.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0333 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $1,3-$ bis(diphenylphospino)propane ( $20.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0500 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in $N, N$-dimethylacetamide ( 5.00 mL ) under argon atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at room temperature until it became homogeneous. In another 100 mL round flask, 19 ( $341.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate ( 306.8 mg , 2.22 mmol ), tetrabutylammonium bromide ( $71.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.222$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ were added into $25.0 \mathrm{~mL} N, \mathrm{~N}$-dimethylacetamide. The mixture was heated to $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and styrene ( $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. Then the mixture was heated to $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the previously prepared solution of Pd catalyst was added. The resulting mixture was heated to $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 h and monitored by TLC. After completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was poured into 1 M HCl and extracted with dichloromethane. The organic phase was combined and washed with brine. Then it was dried with sodium sulfate. The organic solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and purified on silica gel column with petroleum ether and ethyl acetate to give 20 ( $324.4 \mathrm{mg}, E / Z=7: 1,81 \%$ ). White solid; 40 h ; yield $81 \%$ ( $324.4 \mathrm{mg}, E / Z=7: 1$ ).

2-Hexahelicenol (rac-21):14p Compound 20, I2 ( 228.4 mg , 0.90 mmol ) and propylene oxide ( $38 \mathrm{~mL}, 540 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in 900 mL toluene. The mixture was transferred into a quartz reactor. Then it was irradiated by 400W HMPV lamp for 2.5 h . When the reaction completed, it was washed with saturated sodium thiosulfate solution and dried with sodium sulfate. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in 25 mL dichloromethane and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{BBr}_{3}(154 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( 5.0 mL ) was added dropwise. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature and monitored by TLC. After completion of the reaction, it was quenched with 1.0 M HCl under $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and extracted with dichloromethane. The organic phase was combined and washed with brine. Then it was dried with sodium sulfate. The organic solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and purified on silica gel column with petroleum ether and ethyl acetate to give (rac)-21 ( $117 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \%$ two steps). Yellow solid; 9 h ; yield $85 \%$ (117.0 mg ).

Hexahelicen-11-yl((1S,4R)-7,7-dimethyl-2-
oxobicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-1-yl)methanesulfonate (23A): (rac)21, $D-(+)-10$-camphorsulfonyl chloride ( $170.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ )
and 4-dimethylaminepyridine ( $8.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.068 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in anhydrous dichloromethane. Then Et ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}(94 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.68 mmol ) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature and monitored by TLC. After completion of the reaction, it was washed with water and dried with sodium sulfate. The organic solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and purified on silica gel column with petroleum ether, dichloromethane and ethyl acetate (70:70:1) as eluent. The earlier eluting fractions gave diastereomer 23A $(83.8 \mathrm{mg}$, $44 \%$ ) while the later parts gave diastereomer 23B ( 83.9 mg , 44 \%). Yellow solid; 12 h ; yield $44 \%$ ( 83.8 mg ); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.3$ (PE/EA $50: 1$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.03-7.94(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $7.91-7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.22$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69$ (d, $J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88$ (d, $J=18.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.98$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 213.5$, 146.6, 133.2, 132.0, 131.7, 131.6, 131.0, 130.4, 129.5, 129.4, 128.1, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 127.3, 127.2, 127.0, 126.1, 126.0, 124.9, 124.0, 120.6, 119.9, 57.8, 47.8, 46.6, 42.6, 42.4, 26.9, 24.7, 20.0, 19.6. HRMS (ESI-TOF) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 559.1938$, found 559.1939.
Hexahelicen-11-yl((1S,4R)-7,7-dimethyl-2-
oxobicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-1-yl)methanesulfonate (23B): Yellow solid; 12 h ; yield $44 \%$ ( 83.9 mg ); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.3$ (PE/EA $50: 1$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.03-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 7.82 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.55 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.44 (d, $J=$ $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.11-1.98 (m, 3H), $1.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.44-1.38 (m, 1H), $0.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 213.8,146.6,133.2,132.0,131.6,130.9,130.4$, 129.5, 129.4, 128.2, 127.9, 127.7, 127.5, 127.3, 127.2, 127.0, 126.2, 126.0, 124.9, 123.9, 120.4, 119.8, 57.8, 47.8, 46.9, 42.8, 42.4, 26.9, 24.6, 19.7, 19.6. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M + H]+ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 559.1938$, found 559.1939.

M-(-)-2-Hexahelicenol ((M)-(-)-21): ${ }^{14 p}$ 23A and KOH (85\%) ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in THF/MeOH (1:1, v/v, 30 mL ). The resulting mixture was refluxed at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 hours. When the reaction finished, it was cooled to room temperature and poured into ice water. Then it was quenched with 1.0 M HCl and extracted with dichloromethane. Dried with sodium sulfate and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified on silica gel column with petroleum ether and ethyl acetate to give the product $(\boldsymbol{M})-(-)$ -21/(P)-(+)-21.Yellow solid; 9 h ; yield $99 \%(63.4 \mathrm{mg}) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-$ 3282 (c 0.08, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).

P-( + )-2-Hexahelicenol ( $(P)-(+)-21):{ }^{14 p}$ 23B was treated by same procedure as above. Yellow solid; 9 h ; yield $99 \%$ (63.0 $\mathrm{mg}) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+3721\left(c 0.08, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
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