

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron

Tetrahedron 61 (2005) 1987-2001

Synthesis of enantiopure cyclitols from (\pm) -3-bromocyclohexene mediated by intramolecular oxyselenenylation employing (S,S)-hydrobenzoin and (S)-mandelic acid as chiral sources

Yong Joo Lee, Kyunghoon Lee, Sea Ill Jung, Heung Bae Jeon* and Kwan Soo Kim*

Center for Bioactive Molecular Hybrids and Department of Chemistry, Yonsei University, Seodaemun-gu Sinchon-dong 134, Seoul 120-749, South Korea

Received 16 October 2004; revised 30 December 2004; accepted 5 January 2005

Available online 19 January 2005

Abstract—Reaction of 3-bromocyclohexene with (S,S)-hydrobenzoin and (S)-mandelic acid and subsequent intramolecular oxyselenenylation of the resulting allylic ethers followed by oxidation–elimination afforded the valuable *cis*-fused bicyclic olefins, (1S,3S,4S,6R)-3,4diphenylbicyclo[4,4,0]-2,5-dioxa-7-decene and (1S,3S,4R)-3-phenyl-4a,7,8,8a-tetrahydro-benzo[1,4]dioxan-2-one, respectively. Further stereoselective transformation of these *cis*-fused bicyclic olefins afforded the enantiopure cyclohexitols, *muco*-quercitol, *D-chiro*-inocitol and *allo*-inocitol.

© 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Cyclitols have recently attracted a great deal of attention due to their diverse biological activities and their versatilities as synthetic intermediates.¹ Polyhydroxy cyclohexanes, such as inositols and quercitols, and polyhydroxy cyclohexenes, such as conduritols, belong to a family of cyclitols (Fig. 1). These compounds can exist in a number of different stereoisomers; inositols, quercitols, and conduritols have 9, 16, and 6 possible stereoisomers, respectively. Among them, the inositols have been studied the most because of their important biological properties.² For example, D-myo-inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate is a second messenger that controls many cellular processes by generating internal calcium signals.³ Also, *D-chiro*-inositol is considered to be one of the significant constituents of putative insulin mediators.4 Aminocyclohexitols and aminocyclopentitols, which are nitrogen analogs of cyclitols, have also been the focus of much attention in recent years mainly because of their glycosidase inhibitory activities.5

A great deal of effort has been devoted to the development of various methodologies for the synthesis of enantiopure cyclitols and their derivatives.^{1a-d,6} Recently, the synthesis

* Corresponding authors. Tel.: +82 2 2123 2640/7615; fax: +82 2 365 7608; e-mail addresses: hbj@yonsei.ac.kr; kwan@yonsei.ac.kr



Figure 1.

of enantiopure cyclitols was achieved by transformation of other cyclitols by some groups.⁷ The microbial oxidation of halobenzens was employed by Hudlicky et al. in the preparation of inositols.⁸ The ring-closing metathesis (RCM) reaction has also been applied in the asymmetric synthesis of cyclitols using sugars,⁹ tartrates,¹⁰ and polyhydroxyl allylsilanes¹¹ as chiral building blocks. The Ferrier-II rearrangement¹² and the free radical cyclization¹³ of sugar derivatives are other useful methods developed by Ikegami and Yadav et al., respectively. In addition, the reduction of arylsilanes in combination with the asymmetric dihydroxylation reported by Landais and co-workers

Keywords: 3-Bromocyclohexene; Intramolecular oxyselenenylation; Oxidation–elimination; Cyclohexitols.

^{0040–4020/\$ -} see front matter @ 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2005.01.003

provides an easy access to cyclitols.¹⁴ Even though there are a number of methods available for the asymmetric synthesis of cyclitols, they are generally target-specific and limited in applications. In this regard, there still remains a need for developing new methodologies that employ cheap and simple starting materials to accommodate structurally diverse cyclitol derivatives. Furthermore, it is highly desirable to develop a method that can also be applied to the synthesis of other functionalized cyclic compounds such as five-membered cyclopentitols and amino group-containing aminocyclitols. We have previously reported the preliminary work on the synthesis of enantiopure cyclitols from the cyclohexene mediated by sequential oxyselenenylation.¹⁵ Herein we report the facile synthesis of biologically important cyclitols, *muco*-quercitol (1), *D-chiro*-inositol (2), and *allo*-inositol (3), from (\pm) -3-bromocyclohexene. The key reaction step features the application of (S,S)-hydrobenzoin and (S)-mandelic acid, as the source of oxygen atom and chirality in the stereoselective *cis*-diol formation of the cyclohexene ring system.

2. Results and discussion

Treating 3-bromocyclohexene with (S,S)-hydrobenzoin, which was pre-treated with sodium hydride, in DMF afforded an inseparable mixture of diastereomeric allylic ethers **4** and **5** in a ratio of 1 to 1 as shown in Scheme 1. Intramolecular oxyselenenylation of the mixture of **4** and **5** with PhSeOTf, which was generated in situ from PhSeBr and AgOTf in CH₂Cl₂, produced only a *cis*-fused bicyclic phenylselenenyl dioxane **6** in 33% yield, based on the mixture, along with the unreacted **5**. Both regiochemistry and stereochemistry were completely controlled in this intramolecular oxyselenenylation step. It is noteworthy to mention that the intermolecular oxyselenenylation of both cyclic¹⁶ and acyclic¹⁷ allylic alcohols usually provides 1,3-

of selenide 6 with NaIO₄ in the presence of NaHCO₃, and subsequent syn elimination of the resulting selenoxide provided a valuable intermediate, bicyclic olefin 7, in 90% yield. To better understand why the compound 5 is unreactive towards the cyclization under the oxyselenenylation condition, we have considered the transition state model for the intramolecular cyclization. The episelenonium ion, which would be the first intermediate that forms during the cyclization step, can possess either the conformation 5A or 5B (Fig. 2). The conformation 5B is expected to be less favorable since there is a non-bonding interaction between one of the phenyl groups and the pseudoaxial hydrogen at the C-6 position. Thus, it is speculated that the conformation 5A is the pre-dominant species under the given reaction conditions. In order for the conformation **5A** to achieve the *trans*-1,2-diaxial opening of the episelenonium ion, the hydroxyl group should attack the C-3 carbon leading to a seven-membered cyclic ring formation. Since it is unfavorable to form a sevenmembered cyclic ring system, perhaps the cyclization of the allylic ester 5 does not occur under our intramolecular oxyselenation reaction conditions.

diol derivatives rather than 1,2-diol derivatives. Oxidation

Absolute and relative stereochemistry at the newly generated stereocenters of the allylic ether **4** and the bicyclic olefin **7** was determined by their transformation to known compounds as shown in Scheme 1. Dihydroxylation of pure olefin **4** with OsO₄ and *N*-methylmorpholine *N*-oxide (NMO),¹⁸ and subsequent hydrogenolysis of the resulting diol **8** afforded the triol **9**. The absolute configuration of **9** was assigned on the basis of its ¹H NMR spectrum and by comparing its specific rotation with the reported value of an authentic material.¹⁹ Therefore, the configuration of allylic carbon of the compound **4** was assigned as *S*. Reduction of the selenide **6** with Bu₃SnH gave compound **10**. Dihydroxylation of the olefin **7** with NMO in the presence of a catalytic







amount of $K_2OsO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$, and subsequent hydrogenolysis of the resulting diol **11** gave the tetrol **12**, which was converted to the tetraacetate **13** by acetylation. The relative stereochemistry of **7** was easily determined as *cis* by examining the ¹H NMR spectrum of **10** and by comparing the ¹H NMR of **13** with that of its known racemate.²⁰ Consequently, the configurations of the bicyclic olefin **7** at the C-1 and C-2 carbon centers were unambiguously determined to be *S* and *R*, respectively.

Epoxidation of 7 with dimethyldioxirane (DMDO) in acetone at 0 °C afforded the epoxide 14 in 89% yield, in which the major isomer has an epoxide ring trans to the dioxane ring, along with a small amount (ca. 5%) of the cis isomer (Scheme 2). On the other hand, treating 7 with MCPBA in methylene chloride gave the epoxide 14 with a lower stereoselectivity where a mixture of trans and cis isomers was obtained in a ratio of 7:3. Treating 14 with sodium benzeneselenoate, which was generated in situ from diphenyldiselenide (DPDS) and NaBH₄, gave the hydroxyselenide 15 in an excellent yield. Exclusive formation of 15 can be explained by the fact that the stable conformation of the bicyclic ring system 14 would be one (14A) with two bulky phenyl groups in the diequatorial position. The diaxial opening of the epoxide ring with PhSeNa should be regiospecific as shown in Figure 3. Oxidation of 15 with H_2O_2 followed by the elimination provided the allylic alcohol 16 in a high yield. Attempt to convert the epoxide 14 to the allylic alcohol 16 by employing the trialkysilyl triflate and the organic base²¹ was not satisfactory. Dihydroxylation of 16 with NMO in the presence of a catalytic amount of $K_2OsO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ occurred from the opposite face of the





allylic hydroxyl group in **16** to afford exclusively the triol **17** in 92% yield. Hydrogenolysis of **17** with Pearlman's catalyst provided *muco*-quercitol (**1**), whose spectroscopic data and physical properties were identical with those of an authentic one. The structure of **1** was further confirmed by transforming it to the known pentaacetate **18**.²²

Protection of the hydroxyl group in 16 with sterically demanding tert-butyldiphenylsilyl (TBDPS) group and subsequent epoxidation of the resulting silvl ether 19 with MCPBA afforded the desired *trans*-epoxide 20 (trans to the OTBDPS group) along with *cis*-epoxide (*trans/cis*=3:2) in 85% yield (Scheme 3). However, epoxidation of 16, its methyl ether, and benzyl ether with MCPBA gave the undesired *cis*-epoxide as the major product. Regiospecific diaxial opening of the epoxide ring 20, of which stable conformation would be 20A with two phenyl groups in the diequatorial position as shown in Figure 3, with sodium benzeneselenoate afforded the hydroxyselenide **21** in 83% yield as a mixture of two rotational isomers (3:2) about one of the single bonds in OTBDPS group. The mixture of two rotamers, **21a** and **21b**, was easily separated by flash column chromatography, and no isomerization was detected at room temperature. Oxidation of each rotamer of 21 with NaIO₄ followed by elimination of the resulting selenoxide also gave a stable and separable mixture of rotamers of the allylic alcohol 22 (22a/22b=3:2). One evidence for the rotational isomerism in compounds 21 and 22 can be acquired by removing the TBDPS group. Deprotection of each rotamer 21 (21a and 21b) with tetrabutylammonium fluoride gave the identical diol 23 that did not show rotational isomerism. Similarly, the diol 24, obtained from each rotamer of 22, did not show rotational isomerism. It is,





Scheme 3.



however, known that the TBDPS group can migrate among different hydroxyl groups resulting the formation of regioisomers.²³ In order to clarify whether that the isomers **22a** and **22b** are rotamers or regioisomers, the compound was acetylated to give **25** followed by desilyation. This reaction sequence provided **26** as a single compound indicating that the mixtures of compounds **21** and **22** were two rotational isomers. Dihydroxylation of **24** with $K_2OsO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ and NMO followed by hydrogenolysis of the resulting tetrol **27** with palladium hydroxide on carbon (Degussa type) in the presence of a trace amount of concentrated HCl gave D-*chiro*-inositol (**2**), of which physical properties are identical with those of authentic. Perbenzoate **28**²⁴ was prepared for further characterization of **2**.

Oxidation of the allylic alcohol **16** with pyridinium chlorochromate, and subsequent stereoselective reduction of the resulting ketone **29** with NaBH₄ in the presence of CeCl₃²⁵ provided the allylic alcohol **30** that has a hydroxyl group at the pseudoequatorial position (Scheme 4). The benzyl carbonate **31** was obtained in 95% yield by treating alkoxide of **30** with benzyl chloroformate. The Selenium (II) mediated cyclization of **31** was carried out with PhSeOTf in methylene chloride at -78 °C to afford the cyclic carbonate **32** in 54% yield. Upon oxidation with NaIO₄ in the presence of NaHCO₃ followed by elimination, the olefin **33** was obtained along with a small amount of the diol **34**, which was formed by hydrolysis of the cyclic

carbonate group of **33**. Dihydroxylation of the olefin **33** with $K_2OsO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ and NMO followed by hydrolysis of the resulting dihydroxy carbonate **35** with KOH gave the tetrol **36**. Hydrogenolysis of **36** gave *allo*-inositol (**3**) which was converted to the known hexaacetate **37**.

The (S)-mandelic acid sodium salt was used to transform 3-bromocyclohexene in DMF to inseperable 1:1 mixture of diastereomeric allylic ethers 39 and 40 in 85% yield as shown in Scheme 5. Intramolecular oxyselenenylation of the mixture of **39** and **40** with *N*-(phenylseleno)phthalimide (N-PSP) in the presence of a catalytic amount of $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ provided the bicyclic oxyselenide 41 (74% from 39) and the unreacted 40 along with the decomposed by-products. A similar reasoning as that of 5 can be used to explain why the compound 40 is unreactive towards the oxyseleneylation condition (Fig. 2). Oxidation of the selenide 41 with NaIO₄ in methanol followed by elimination of the resulting selenoxide in carbon tetrachloride at 70 °C provided the olefin 42. Epoxidation of the olefin 42 with dimethyldioxirane gave exclusively the epoxide 43, in which the epoxide ring is trans to the dioxanone ring, in 92% yield. Ring opening of the epoxide 43 with PhSeNa afforded the hydroxyselenide 44 and its epimer 45, both of which are undesired regioisomers. Attempts to synthesize desired hydroxyselenide by ring opening of the epoxide 43 using Na[PhSeB(OEt)₃], PhSeSePh/Na, PhSeH/NaH, or PhSeH/ $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ were not successful. For example, reaction of 43 with Na[PhSeB(OEt)₃] gave the undesired hydroxyselenide



Scheme 5.





Scheme 6.

46 and its epimer 47. Formation of the undesired hydroxyselenides 44 and 46 can be explained by assuming that the dioxanone ring was opened prior to the diaxial opening of the epoxide by the PhSe⁻ anion attack as shown in Figure 4. Once the lactone ring has been opened, the conformation **B** with the bulky benzylic ether group in the equatorial position is expected to be more stable than conformation **A** with that group in the axial position. Therefore, diaxial opening of the epoxide **B** with PhSe⁻ would give the undesired hydroxyselenides 44 and 46.

Before epoxidation of 42, we decided to remove the dioxanone ring of 42. It would be more desirable to produce a differentially protected cyclohexene diol than to make cyclohexene diol directly by nonselective cleavage of 42 by using Na/NH₃ or H₂-Pd/C. Treatment of **42** with NaBH₄ in DME provided diol 48 and a small amount of its epimer 49 (10:1) in 85% yield (Scheme 6). Tosylation of the primary hydroxyl group of 48 and subsequent displacement of the resulting tosylate 50 with PhSeNa provided the selenide 51 in high yield. Benzylation of the hydroxyl group of 51 and subsequent oxidation of the resulting benzyl-protected selenide 52 followed by elimination of the resulting selenoxide and a concomitant cleavage of enol ether afforded the allylic alcohol 53. Protection of the hydroxyl group with bulky TBDPS group and subsequent epoxidation of the resulting allylic TBDPS ether 54 with dimethyldioxirane afforded exclusively the epoxide 55, in which the epoxide ring is trans to the OTBDPS group. The ring opening reaction of the epoxide 55 with PhSeNa was

completely regio- and stereoselective to provide exclusively the desired oxyselenide 56. Regio- and stereoselectivity of this ring opening reaction of the epoxide 55 can be explained by assuming that the conformation 55A with the OTBDPS group at the axial position is more favorable over the conformation 55B with the OTBDPS group at the equatorial position (Fig. 5).²⁶ Oxidation of the selenide 56with NaIO₄ in the presence of NaHCO₃ followed by elimination of the resulting selenoxide provided the allylic alcohol 57 in 85% yield. Dihydroxylation of the olefin 57 with $K_2OsO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ and NMO occurred from the opposite face of the allylic hydroxyl group to afford exclusively the triol 58 in 89% yield. Hydrogenolysis of 58 with palladium hydroxide in the presence of aqueous 3% HCl directly gave *muco*-quercitol (1) in an excellent yield.²⁷ Further characterization of 1 was performed by its transformation to the known pentaacetate 18.22



Figure 5.

3. Conclusion

We have synthesized *muco*-quercitol (1), *D*-*chiro*-inositol (2), and *allo*-inositol (3) from the (\pm) -3-bromocyclohexene

mediated by sequential oxyselenenylation. We also developed a novel method for the stereoselective construction of the *cis*-diol functionality into the cyclohexane ring from 3-bromocyclohexene, by employing (S,S)-hydrobenzoin and (S)-mandelic acid. Particularly, our methodology has shown that the use of 3-bromocyclohexene as the starting material is more convenient in the preparation of the versatile intermediate 6 than that of cyclohexene. In addition, we have found that the mixtures in 21 and 22 are rotational isomers resulting from introducing a bulky TBDPS group, not the regioisomers resulting from the migration of silvl group to the next hydroxyl group. On the synthetic route to muco-quercitol (1) from 3-bromohexene and (S)-mandelic acid, we have gained an easy access to the useful key intermediates such as differentially protected cyclohexene diol 53 and 57, and demonstrated their versatility in the synthesis of enantiopure cyclohexitols. The usefulness of these compounds will be further demonstrated in the preparation of other important natural products.

4. Experimental

4.1. General

All reactions were conducted under a positive pressure of dry argon with dry, freshly distilled solvents unless otherwise noted. All reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification unless otherwise noted. Flash column chromatography was performed employing 230–400 mesh silica gel. Thin-layer chromatography was performed using silica gel 60 F254 precoated plates (0.25 mm thickness) with a fluorescent indicator. Melting points are uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet Impact 400 FT-IR spectrometer. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker 250 NMR spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) downfield from tetramethylsilane (TMS) unless otherwise noted. Optical rotations were measured with a Rudolph Autopol III automatic polarimeter.

4.1.1. (1*S*,2*S*,1*'S*)- and (1*S*,2*S*,1*'R*)-2-(Cyclohex-2*'*-enyloxy)-1,2-diphenylethanol (4 and 5). To a solution of (*S*,*S*)hydrobenzoin (2.37 g, 0.01 mol) in DMF (60 mL) was added NaH (0.96 g, 0.2 mol). After stirring 5 min at rt, 3-bromocyclohexene (2.09 g, 0.02 mol) was added. The resulting solution was stirred further 1 h at rt, then quenched with H₂O and diluted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 7:1) to give a mixture (1.63 g, 55%) of **4** and **5**: R_f =0.31 (hexane/EtOAc, 7:1).

4.1.2. (1*S*,2*S*,3*S*)-3[(1*S*,2*S*)-2-Hydroxy-1,2-diphenylethoxy]-1,2-cyclohexanediol (8). To a solution of compound **4** (130 mg, 0.44 mmol) in acetone (8 mL) and H₂O (2 mL) were added NMO (63 mg, 0.54 mmol) and a catalytic amount of OsO_4 at rt. After stirring for 24 h at rt, the reaction mixture was quenched with NaHSO₄ (10 mg), and diluted with H₂O and EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 3:2) to give the title compound **8** (109 mg, 76%) as a white solid. $R_{\rm f}$ =0.23 (hexane/EtOAc, 1:1); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.13–1.26 (m, 1H), 1.31–1.53 (m, 3H), 1.71–1.77 (m, 1H), 1.95–1.99 (m, 1H), 2.67 (br s, 1H), 3.12 (br s, 1H), 3.45–3.52 (m, 2H), 3.72 (br s, 1H), 3.96–3.99 (m, 1H), 4.37 (d, *J*=7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (d, *J*=7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.00–7.06 (m, 4H), 7.13–7.21 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 18.3, 27.9, 29.6, 69.2, 74.5, 76.1, 78.1, 83.9, 127.1, 127.7, 127.9, 128.2, 128.3, 138.1, 139.3. FAB HRMS calcd for C₂₀H₂₄O₄Na (M+Na)⁺: *m/z* 351.1572. Found: 351.1556.

4.1.3. [1*S*-(1α,2α,3β)]-Cyclohexanetriol (9). A solution of compound **8** (65 mg, 0.20 mmol) and Pd/C (78 mg, 5% Pd) in EtOH (3 mL) was vigorously stirred at 50–55 psi under H₂ gas. After stirring for 8 h at rt, the reaction mixture was passed through Celite pad and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 3:1) to give the title compound **9** (22 mg, 85%) as a white solid. R_f =0.15 (EtOAc only); mp 125–126 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ = +70.2 (*c* 0.65, H₂O); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, D₂O) δ 1.22–1.37 (m, 1H), 1.43–1.66 (m, 3H), 1.71–1.79 (m, 1H), 1.82–1.89 (m, 1H), 3.38 (dd, *J*=3.0, 8.2 Hz, 1H), 3.70–3.79 (m, 1H), 3.97–4.02 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, D₂O) δ 19.3, 31.1, 32.4, 71.0, 71.1, 76.9. Anal. calcd for C₆H₁₂O₃: C, 54.53; H, 9.15. Found: C, 54.41; H, 9.21.

4.1.4. (1*S*,3*S*,4*S*,6*S*,7*R*)-3,4-Diphenyl-7-phenylselenobicyclo[4,4,0]-2,5-dioxadecane (6). To a solution of the mixture of compounds 4 and 5 (1.63 g, 5.5 mmol) and PhSeBr (1.61 g, 6.8 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) at -78 °C was added a THF (5 mL) solution of AgOTf (1.88 g, 7.3 mmol). After stirring for 30 min at -78 °C, the reaction mixture was warmed to rt, then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and neutralized with saturated NaHCO₃ solution. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 14:1) to give the title compound 6 (0.81 g, 33%) as a white solid. $R_{\rm f} = 0.45$ (hexane/EtOAc, 7:1); mp 174–177 °C; $[\alpha]_{\rm D} = -34.45$ (c 0.43, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.81–1.92 (m, 3H), 2.16-2.27 (m, 1H), 2.43-2.56 (m, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 4.27 (s, 1H), 4.39 (d, J=9.3 Hz, 1H), 4.71 (d, J=9.3 Hz, 1H), 6.98–7.00 (m, 4H), 7.15–7.18 (m, 6H), 7.26–7.28 (m, 3H), 7.56–7.59 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 21.4, 24.6, 26.6, 45.6, 70.4, 76.5, 76.7, 85.2, 127.6, 127.7, 127.9, 128.05, 128.11, 128.2, 129.4, 133.8, 137.9, 138.0; IR (CHCl₃, film) 1216, 1097 cm⁻¹. HRMS calcd for $C_{26}H_{26}O_2Se(M)^+$: *m*/*z* 450.1098. Found: 450.1099.

4.1.5. (1*S*,3*S*,4*S*,6*R*)-3,4-Diphenylbicyclo[4,4,0]-2,5dioxadecane (10). To a solution of compound 6 (90 mg, 0.20 mmol) in the presence of a catalytic amount of AIBN in benzene (3 mL) was slowly added (*n*-Bu)₃SnH (108 μ L, 2 equiv). After the resulting solution was degassed by bubbling N₂ gas, it was heated to reflux for 3 h and was allowed to rt. The reaction mixture was concentrated to remove benzene. The residue was dissolved in 10% aqueous KF solution (5 mL) and ether (5 mL), then it was stirred for further 10 min at rt. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 10:1) to give the title compound **10** (56 mg, 95%) as a white solid. R_f =0.38 (hexane/EtOAc, 9:1); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 1.18–2.07 (m, 7H), 2.48 (dq, J_d =12.7 Hz, J_q =3.7 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (m, 1H), 4.18 (d, J=2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (d, J=9.3 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (d, J=9.3 Hz, 1H), 7.01–7.10 (m, 4H), 7.18–7.29 (m, 6H). HRMS calcd for C₂₀H₂₂O₂ (M)⁺: m/z 294.1620. Found: 294.1691.

4.1.6. (1S,3S,4S,6R)-3,4-Diphenylbicyclo[4,4,0]-2,5dioxa-7-decene (7). A solution of compound 6 (0.17 g, 0.38 mmol) and NaIO₄ (0.21 g, 0.96 mmol) in methanol (20 mL) and H_2O (3 mL) in the presence of NaHCO₃ (40 mg, 0.48 mmol) was stirred for 10 min at rt and for 48 h at 90 °C. After removal of methanol by evaporation, the concentrated solution was diluted with H₂O and EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO4 and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 10:1) to give the title compound 7 (98 mg, 90%) as a white solid. $R_f = 0.42$ (hexane/EtOAc, 7:1); mp 149–152 °C; ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.78–1.82 (m, 1H), 2.10–2.29 (m, 1H), 2.34–2.38 (m, 1H), 2.61–2.68 (m, 1H), 4.05 (dt, $J_d = 13.0 \text{ Hz}$, $J_t =$ 3.5 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (t, J=4.4 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (d, J=9.1 Hz, 1H)1H), 4.75 (d, J=9.1 Hz, 1H), 5.84 (m, 1H), 6.00 (m, 1H), 7.02–7.05 (m, 4H), 7.12–7.25 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) & 21.5, 26.2, 70.5, 72.6, 77.2, 84.9, 125.1, 127.8, 128.1, 133.4, 138.0, 138.2. HRMS calcd for C₂₀H₂₀O₂ (M)⁺: *m*/*z* 292.1463. Found: 292.1466.

4.1.7. (1S,3S,4S,6S,7S,8S)-3,4-Diphenylbicyclo[4,4,0]-2,5-dioxa-7,8-decanediol (11). To a solution of compound 7 (50 mg, 0.11 mmol) in acetone (4 mL) and H_2O (1 mL) were added NMO (32 mg, 0.27 mmol) and a catalytic amount of $K_2OsO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ at rt. After the resulting solution was heated to reflux for 20 h, it was allowed to rt and quenched with NaHSO₄ (10 mg). After stirring for further 10 min at rt, the reaction mixture was diluted with H₂O and EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 1:2) to give the title compound 11 (54 mg, 92%) as a white solid. $R_{\rm f}$ =0.20 (hexane/EtOAc, 1:1); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.73-1.87 (m, 3H), 2.42-2.54 (m, 1H), 2.63 (br s, 1H), 3.03 (br s, 1H), 3.98-4.04 (m, 2H), 4.17-4.22 (m, 2H), 4.38 (d, J =9.3 Hz, 1H), 4.68 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 6.95–7.01 (m, 4H), 7.14–7.22 (m, 6H); 13 C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 21.9, 26.2, 68.6, 69.7, 72.2, 76.8, 77.0, 85.2, 127.6, 127.9, 128.11, 128.13, 128.2, 128.3, 137.7. FAB HRMS calcd for $C_{20}H_{22}O_4Na (M+Na)^+: m/z$ 349.1416. Found: 349.1436.

4.1.8. [1*S*-(1α,2α,3β,4β)]-Cyclohexanetetrol (12). Compound 11 (47 mg, 0.14 mmol) was subjected to the same reaction conditions as that for the preparation of 9 from 8. The reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 2:1) to give the title compound 12 (20 mg, 98%) as a white solid. R_f =0.45 (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 2:1); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, D₂O) δ 1.54–1.65 (m, 4H), 3.63 (br s, 2H), 3.87 (br s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, D₂O) δ 25.7, 70.0, 72.4. Anal. calcd for C₆H₁₂O₄: C, 48.64; H, 8.16. Found: C, 48.44; H, 8.28.

4.1.9. (1*S*,3*S*,4*S*,6*R*,7*S*,8*S*)-3,4-Diphenylbicyclo[4,4,0]-2,5-dioxa-7,8-epoxydecane (14). A solution of compound 7 (98 mg, 0.34 mmol) and dimethyldioxirane (2 equiv, ca.

0.05 M) in acetone (20 mL) was stirred for 3 h at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was concentrated by removing acetone. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 10:1) to give the title compound **14** (94 mg, 89%) as a white solid. $R_{\rm f}$ =0.30 (hexane/EtOAc, 10:1); mp 153–155 °C; $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ = -126.9 (*c* 0.11, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.56 (s, 1H), 2.04–2.25 (m, 1H), 2.50–2.52 (m, 1H), 2.54–2.57 (m, 1H), 3.28 (t, *J*=3.4 Hz, 1H), 3.35 (t, *J*=2.6 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (m, 1H), 4.46 (d, *J*=9.3 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (s, 1H), 4.69 (d, *J*=9.3 Hz, 1H), 7.00–7.06 (m, 4H), 7.16–7.22 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 19.8, 23.2, 52.4, 55.6, 69.7, 71.9, 76.8, 85.0, 127.7, 127.8, 128.2, 137.7, 137.8. Anal. calcd for C₂₀H₂₀O₃: C, 77.89; H, 6.53. Found: C, 77.78; H, 6.50.

4.1.10. (1S,3S,4S,6R,7S)-3,4-Diphenylbicyclo[4,4,0]-2,5dioxa-8-decen-7-ol (16). To a solution of compound 14 (109 mg, 0.35 mmol) in EtOH (15 mL) was slowly added a solution of DPDS (66 mg, 0.21 mmol) and NaBH₄ (16 mg, 0.42 mmol) in EtOH (5 mL). After the resulting solution was heated to reflux for 4 h, it was allowed to rt. To this solution were added THF (10 mL) and 30% H₂O₂ (1 mL). The resulting solution was stirred at rt until TLC analysis showed no intermediate 15, at which point it was heated to reflux for 6 h, then it was allowed to rt. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc and neutralized with saturated NaHCO₃ solution. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO4 and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 1:1) to give the title compound 16 (97 mg, 89%) as a white solid. $R_f = 0.43$ (hexane/EtOAc, 1:1); mp 154–156 °C; $[\alpha]_{\rm D} = +3.0$ (c 0.20, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.31–2.41 (m, 1H), 3.04 (t, J=10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.22–4.33 (m, 3H), 4.48 (d, J=9.4 Hz, 1H), 5.83 (d, J=1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.97 (s, 1H), 6.95-6.99 (m, 2H), 7.02-7.06 (m, 2H), 7.14-7.21 (m, 6H). Anal. calcd for C₂₀H₂₀O₃: C, 77.89; H, 6.53. Found: C, 77.55; H, 6.68.

4.1.11. (1*S*,3*S*,4*S*,6*R*,7*S*,8*R*,9*R*)-3,4-Diphenylbicyclo-[4,4,0]-2,5-dioxa-7,8,9-decan-triol (17). Compound 16 (84 mg, 0.27 mmol) was subjected to the same reaction conditions as that for the preparation of 11 from 7. The reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 1:7) to give the title compound 17 (86 mg, 92%) as a white solid. $R_{\rm f}$ =0.38 (hexane/EtOAc, 1:7); mp 220–222 °C; $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ = -50.5 (*c* 0.11, EtOH); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.16–1.24 (m, 1H), 1.64–1.68 (m, 1H), 3.70 (s, 1H), 3.94–4.00 (m, 2H), 4.70 (d, *J*= 9.4 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (d, *J*=9.3 Hz, 1H), 7.08–7.10 (m, 4H), 7.12–7.22 (m, 6H). Anal. calcd for C₂₀H₂₂O₅: C, 70.15; H, 6.47. Found: C, 70.18; H, 6.69.

4.1.12. *muco*-Quercitol pentaacetate (18) from 17. To a solution of compound 17 (12 mg, 0.035 mmol) in EtOH (3 mL) were added a catalytic amount of $Pd(OH)_2/C$ and 2 drops of conc. HCl. After stirring for 2 h at rt under H₂ (1 atm), the reaction mixture was passed through Celite pad, which was washed with EtOH several times. The filtrate was concentrated thoroughly under vacuum to give *muco*-Quercitol (1) as a white solid. Without any further purification, 1 was dissolved in pyridine (2 mL). To this solution was added Ac₂O (0.5 mL). After stirring for 12 h at rt, the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc. The

1995

organic layer was washed with aqueous 1 N HCl solution several times, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 2:3) to give the title compound **18** (11 mg, 79%) as a white solid. R_f =0.43 (hexane/EtOAc, 2:3); mp 162–164 °C; [α]_D=0 (*c* 0.8, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.85–1.94 (dt, J_d =15.8 Hz, J_t = 3.4 Hz, 1H), 2.03 (s, 6H), 2.05 (s, 3H), 2.10 (s, 1H), 2.29– 2.38 (dt, J_d =15.8 Hz, J_t =4.2 Hz, 1H), 4.97–5.02 (dd, J= 9.4, 3.5 Hz, 2H), 5.34–5.38 (dt, J_d =3.7 Hz, J_t =3.6 Hz, 2H), 5.62–5.70 (t, J=9.4 Hz, 1H); IR (CHCl₃, film) 1749, 1242 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for C₁₆H₂₂O₁₀: C, 51.33; H, 5.92. Found: C, 51.36; H, 5.98.

4.1.13. (1S,3S,4S,6S,7S)-3,4-Diphenylbicyclo[4,4,0]-2,5dioxa-7-tert-butyldiphenylsilyloxy-8-decene (19). A solution of compound 16 (66 mg, 0.21 mmol), TBDPSCI (115 mg, 0.42 mmol) and imidazole (29 mg, 0.42 mmol) in DMF (3 mL) was stirred for 14 h at 80 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to rt, quenched with water and dilute with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 12:1) to give the title compound **19** (101 mg, 87%) as a white solid. $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.68 (hexane/EtOAc, 4:1); $[\alpha]_{D} = +1.78 (c \ 1.1, CHCl_{3}); {}^{1}H$ NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.10 (s, 9H), 2.31-2.41 (m, 1H), 3.04 (t, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.13 (s, 1H), 4.26 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 2H), 4.43 (s, 1H), 4.66 (d, J=9.4 Hz, 1H), 5.52 (s, 1H), 5.83 (s, 1H), 6.90 (d, J=5.7 Hz, 2H), 7.02 (d, J=3.9 Hz, 2H), 7.13-7.20 (m, 6H), 7.35-7.43 (m, 5H), 7.67-7.72 (m, 5H). Anal. calcd for C₃₆H₃₈O₃Si: C, 79.08; H, 7.00. Found: C, 79.00; H, 7.01.

4.1.14. (1*S*,3*S*,4*S*,6*S*,7*S*,8*R*,9*R*)-3,4-Diphenylbicyclo-[4,4,0]-2,5-dioxa-7-tert-butyldiphenylsilyloxy-8,9-epoxydecane (20). A solution of compound 19 (43 mg, 0.079 mmol), mCPBA (41 mg, 0.24 mmol) and NaHCO₃ (16 mg, 0.20 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) was heated to reflux for 20 h. The reaction mixture was allowed to rt, then diluted with CH₂Cl₂. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/CHCl₃/ EtOAc, 20:5:1) to give the title compound 20 (23 mg, 51%) and its diastereomer (14 mg, 32%) as a white solid, respectively. $R_f = 0.40$ (hexane/CHCl₃/EtOAc, 20:5:1); $[\alpha]_{\rm D} = -22.3$ (c 0.74, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.14 (s, 9H), 2.30 (m, 1H), 2.73 (t, J=12.9 Hz, 1H), 3.01 (t, J=1.7 Hz, 1H), 3.31 (t, J=4.4 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (s, 1H), 4.12 (d, J=9.3 Hz, 1H), 4.30–4.35 (m, 1H), 4.47 (s, 1H), 4.56 (d, J=9.3 Hz, 1H), 6.92–7.00 (m, 4H), 7.12–7.20 (m, 6H), 7.37-7.44 (m, 6H), 7.65-7.72 (m, 4H). FAB HRMS calcd for $C_{36}H_{38}O_4SiNa (M+Na)^+$: *m/z* 585.2437. Found: 585.2442.

4.1.15. (1*S*,3*S*,4*S*,6*S*,7*S*,8*S*,9*S*)-3,4-Diphenylbicyclo-[4,4,0]-2,5-dioxa-7-*tert*-butyldiphenylsilyloxy-9-phenylselenodecan-8-ol (21). To a solution of compound 20 (25 mg, 0.044 mmol) in *n*-BuOH (3 mL) was slowly added a solution of DPDS (10 mg, 0.031 mmol) and NaBH₄ (2 mg, 0.062 mmol) in *n*-BuOH (3 mL). After the resulting solution was heated to reflux for 24 h, it was allowed to rt, quenched with water and diluted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 7:1) to give the title compound **21a** (16 mg, 50%) and **21b** (10 mg, 33%) as a white solid, respectively. Compound **21a**: $R_f = 0.44$ (hexane/EtOAc, 7:1); $[\alpha]_D =$ -48.2 (c 0.12, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.21 (s, 9H), 2.04 (s, 1H), 3.16 (td, $J_t = 9.4$ Hz, $J_d = 3.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.52 (d, J=9.5 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (d, J=3.0 Hz, 2H), 3.98 (d, J=9.5 Hz, 1H), 4.11 (d, J=2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (d, J=9.5 Hz, 1H), 4.66 (d, J=9.5 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (dt, $J_d=4.6$ Hz, $J_t = 3.0 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}$), 6.81 (m, 2H), 6.92 (m, 2H), 7.12–7.18 (m, 6H), 7.25-7.29 (m, 4H), 7.40-7.48 (m, 6H), 7.65 (m, 2H), 7.72 (m, 2H), 7.81 (m, 1H). Anal. calcd for C₄₂H₄₄O₄SiSe: C, 70.07; H, 6.16. Found: C, 70.01; H, 6.52. Compound 21b: $R_{\rm f} = 0.28$ (hexane/EtOAc, 7:1); $[\alpha]_{\rm D} = -21.3$ (c 0.28, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.11 (s, 9H), 1.90 $(d, J=12.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 2.35 (d, J=6.0 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 3.44 (td, J_t=$ 8.7 Hz, J_d = 3.9 Hz, 1H), 3.59 (s, 1H), 4.17 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 2H), 4.29 (s, 1H), 4.45 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 4.63–4.74 (m, 2H), 7.05–7.25 (m, 14H), 7.31–7.40 (m, 7H), 7.62–7.70 (m, 4H).

4.1.16. 23: Desilylation of silyl ether 21a and 21b. To a solution of compound 21a (or 21b) (20 mg, 0.028 mmol) in THF (3 mL) was added n-Bu₄NF (83 µL, 0.083 mmol, 1.0 M solution in THF). After stirring for 5 h at rt, the reaction mixture was quenched with water and diluted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 2:1) to give the same compound 23 (12 mg, 90%) from 21a and 21b as a white solid. $R_f = 0.35$ (hexane/EtOAc, 2:1); $[\alpha]_D = +5.5$ (c 0.3, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.95–1.99 (m, 1H), 2.86 (s, 1H), 3.38-3.42 (m, 2H), 3.66-3.71 (m, 1H), 3.83 (d, J=5.1 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (s, 2H), 4.65 (d, J=9.7 Hz, 1H), 4.71–4.75 (m, 1H), 4.86 (d, J=9.7 Hz, 1H), 6.96–6.99 (m, 4H), 7.17-7.22 (m, 6H), 7.30-7.33 (m, 3H), 7.58-7.62 (m, 2H). HRMS calcd for $C_{26}H_{26}O_4Se(M)^+$: *m/z* 482.0996. Found: 482.0997.

4.1.17. (1S,3S,4S,6S,7S,8R)-3,4-Diphenylbicyclo[4,4,0]-2,5-dioxa-7-tert-butyldiphenylsilyloxy-decen-8-ol (22). Compound 21 (32 mg, 0.04 mmol) was subjected to the same reaction conditions as that for the preparation of 7 from 6. The reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 5:1) to give the title compound 22a (13 mg, 54%) and 22b (9 mg, 36%) as a white solid, respectively. Compound 22a: $R_{\rm f} = 0.50$ (hexane/EtOAc, 5:1); $[\alpha]_{\rm D} = -5.0$ (c 0.08, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.08 (d, J=5.4 Hz, 9H), 2.96 (d, J=10.1 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (s, 1H), 4.11–4.33 (m, 3H), 4.72 (d, J=9.1 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (s, 1H), 5.98 (d, J=10.5 Hz, 1H), 6.23 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (d, J=5.7 Hz, 2H), 7.00 (d, J=4.1 Hz, 2H), 7.15-7.18 (m, 6H), 7.39-7.45 (m, 5H), 7.63-7.68 (m, 5H). HRMS calcd for $C_{36}H_{38}O_4Si$ (M)⁺: m/z562.2539. Found: 562.2542. Compound **22b**: R_f=0.23 (hexane/EtOAc, 5:1); $[\alpha]_{D} = +31.3$ (c 0.14, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.12 (s, 9H), 4.02 (d, J= 18.0 Hz, 2H), 4.24 (s, 1H), 4.48 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (s, 1H), 4.63 (d, J=9.0 Hz, 1H), 5.85 (d, J=9.6 Hz, 1H), 5.94 (d, J=9.5 Hz, 1H), 7.04-7.10 (m, 6H), 7.18-7.25 (m, 6H),7.42-7.45 (m, 4H), 7.72-7.76 (m, 4H).

4.1.18. 25a: Acetylation of allylic alcohol 22a. To a solution of compound 22a (10 mg) in pyridine (2 mL) was

added Ac₂O (0.5 mL). After stirring for 2 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with water and diluted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with aqueous 1 N HCl several times, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated to give **25a** (11 mg). R_f =0.51 (hexane/EtOAc, 5:1); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.10 s, 9H), 1.98 (s, 3H), 4.16–4.17 (m, 1H), 4.21–4.22 (m, 1H), 4.33 (d, *J*=8.9 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d, *J*=8.9 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (d, *J*=3.4 Hz, 1H), 5.14 (s, 1H), 6.09 (s, 2H), 7.37–7.70 (m, 20H). FAB HRMS calcd for C₃₈H₄₀O₅SiNa (M+Na)⁺: *m*/*z* 627.2543. Found: 627.2522.

4.1.19. 25b: Acetylation of allylic alcohol **22b.** $R_{\rm f}$ =0.41 (hexane/EtOAc, 5:1); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.11 (s, 9H), 1.91 (s, 3H), 4.01 (s, 1H), 4.29 (s, 1H), 4.48–4.50 (m, 2H), 4.62 (d, *J*=4.5 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (br s, 1H), 5.86 (d, *J*=5.3 Hz, 1H), 5.92 (d, *J*=5.3 Hz, 1H), 7.02–7.78 (m, 20H). FAB HRMS calcd for C₃₈H₄₀O₅SiNa (M+Na)⁺: *m*/z 627.2543. Found: 627.2525.

4.1.20. 26: Desilylation of silyl ether 25a and 25b. Compound 25a (or 25b) (4 mg) was subjected to the same reaction conditions as that for the preparation of 23 from 21a and 21b to give the same compound 26 (2 mg). $R_{\rm f}$ =0.1 (hexane/EtOAc, 5:1); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.13 (s, 3H), 3.34 (br s, 1H), 4.17–4.21 (m, 1H), 4.30–4.33 (m, 1H), 4.47 (d, *J*=8.9 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, *J*=8.9 Hz, 1H), 4.72 (d, *J*=3.4 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (br s, 1H), 6.06–6.10 (m, 2H), 6.99–7.22 (m, 10H). FAB HRMS calcd for C₂₂H₂₂O₅Na (M+Na)⁺: *m/z* 389.1365. Found: 389.1381.

4.1.21. (1S,3S,4S,6R,7S,8R)-3,4-Diphenylbicyclo[4,4,0]-2,5-dioxa-9-decen-7,8-diol (24). To a solution of compound 22 (12 mg, 0.02 mmol) in THF (3 mL) was added n-Bu₄NF (64 µL, 0.064 mmol, 1.0 M solution in THF). After stirring for 5 h at rt, the reaction mixture was quenched with water and diluted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 1:1) to give the title compound 24 (6 mg, 92%) as a white solid. $R_{\rm f}=0.40$ (hexane/EtOAc, 1:1); $[\alpha]_D = +23.0$ (c 0.1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.90 (s, 1H), 3.12 (d, J= 11.6 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (s, 1H), 4.43 (d, J=9.1 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (d, J=9.2 Hz, 2H), 5.96 (d, J=10.4 Hz, 1H), 6.24–6.27 (m, 1H), 6.94–7.03 (m, 4H), 7.15– 7.23 (m, 6H). HRMS calcd for $C_{20}H_{20}O_4$ (M)⁺: m/z324.1361. Found: 324.1359.

4.1.22. (1*S*,3*S*,4*S*,6*R*,7*S*,8*S*,9*R*,10*R*)-3,4-Diphenylbicyclo-[4,4,0]-2,5-dioxadecan-7,8,9,10-tetrol (27). Compound 24 (24 mg, 0.074 mmol) was subjected to the same reaction conditions as that for the preparation of 17 from 16. The reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (EtOAc only) to give the title compound 27 (22 mg, 87%) as a white solid. $R_{\rm f}$ =0.28 (EtOAc); $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ = -68.1 (*c* 0.32, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.31 (s, 1H), 3.54 (s, 1H), 3.98 (d, *J*=13.9 Hz, 2H), 4.08–4.16 (m, 2H), 4.29–4.47 (m, 4H), 4.78 (d, *J*=9.4 Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d, *J*= 6.1 Hz, 2H), 7.01 (d, *J*=6.4 Hz, 2H), 7.16–7.23 (m, 6H). Anal. calcd for C₂₀H₂₂O₆: C, 67.02; H, 6.18. Found: C, 67.00; H, 6.27.

4.1.23. D-chiro-Inocitol hexabenzoate (28). To a solution

of compound 27 (11 mg, 0.031 mmol) in EtOH (3 mL) were added a catalytic amount of $Pd(OH)_2/C$ and 2 drops of conc. HCl. After stirring for 2 h at rt under H_2 (1 atm), the reaction mixture was passed through Celite pad, which was washed with EtOH several times. The filtrate was concentrated thoroughly under vacuum to give *chiro*-Inocitol (2) as a white solid. Without any further purification, 2 was dissolved in pyridine (2 mL). To this solution was added BzCl (0.5 mL). After stirring for 12 h at rt, the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with aqueous 1 N HCl solution several times, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 2:1) to give the title compound **28** (16 mg, 65%) as a white solid. $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.30 (hexane/EtOAc, 2:1); $[\alpha]_D = +69.9$ (c 0.30, ClCH₂-CH₂Cl); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.03–6.08 (m, 2H), 6.09–6.14 (m, 2H), 6.29–6.34 (m, 2H), 7.27–7.34 (m, 8H), 7.42–7.49 (m, 4H), 7.56 (t, J=7.5 Hz, 4H), 7.67 (t, J=7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.83–7.92 (m, 8H), 8.16 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 4H). Anal. calcd for C₄₈H₃₆O₁₂: C, 71.63; H, 4.50. Found: C, 71.61; H, 4.56.

4.1.24. (1S,3S,4S,6R)-3,4-Diphenylbicyclo[4,4,0]-2,5dioxa-8-decen-7-one (29). To a solution of compound 16 (20 mg, 0.065 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) was added PCC (43 mg, 0.20 mmol). After stirring for 3 h at rt, the reaction mixture was diluted with H₂O and CH₂Cl₂. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO4 and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 7:1) to give the title compound 29 (17 mg, 84%) as a white solid. $R_f = 0.3$ (hexane/EtOAc, 3:1); mp 191–192 °C; $[\alpha]_D = -134.7$ (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.57–2.66 (m, 1H), 3.35-3.46 (m, 1H), 4.43-4.46 (m, 2H), 4.52 (d, J =9.3 Hz, 1H), 4.81 (d, J=9.3 Hz, 1H), 6.21 (d, J=9.9 Hz, 1H), 6.97–7.05 (m, 4H), 7.16–7.26 (m, 7H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 25.4, 71.0, 77.4, 78.0, 84.1, 127.7, 127.9, 128.1, 128.2, 128.4, 128.5, 129.2, 137.0, 149.2, 194.0; IR (CHCl₃, film) 1679 cm^{-1} . Anal. calcd for C₂₀H₁₈O₃: C, 78.41; H, 5.92. Found: C, 78.38; H, 5.85.

4.1.25. (1S,3S,4S,6R,7R)-3,4-Diphenylbicyclo[4,4,0]-2,5dioxa-8-decen-7-ol (30). To a solution of compound 29 (16 mg, 0.053 mmol) and $CeCl_3 \cdot 7H_2O$ (24 mg, 0.064 mmol) in MeOH (5 mL) at 0 °C was added NaBH₄ (3.0 mg, 0.074 mmol). After stirring for 10 min at 0 °C, the reaction mixture was diluted with H₂O and EtOAc. The organic layer was separated, passed through Celite pad, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 5:1) to give the title compound 30 (15 mg, 94%) as a white solid. $R_{\rm f}$ =0.27 (hexane/EtOAc, 3:1); mp 105–106 °C; $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ = -85.5 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) & 2.28–2.39 (m, 1H), 2.72 (s, 1H), 2.96–3.08 (m, 1H), 4.22–4.30 (m, 1H), 4.36 (d, J=4.5 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (s, 1H), 4.49 (d, J=9.4 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (d, J=9.4 Hz, 1H), 5.68 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 5.78 - 5.85 (m, 1H), 6.96 - 7.06 (m, 4H),7.19–7.26 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 23.5, 67.5, 70.0, 74.9, 76.6, 85.4, 124.9, 127.7, 128.0, 128.1, 128.26, 128.31, 128.6, 137.4, 137.6; IR (CHCl₃, film) 3551, $3428, 3059, 2920, 2879 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Anal. calcd for $C_{20}H_{20}O_3$: C, 77.90; H, 6.54. Found: C, 77.87; H, 6.60.

4.1.26. Carbonic acid benzyl ester 2,3-diphenyl-2,3,4a,5,8,8a-hexahydro-benzo[1,4]dioxin-5-yl ester (31). To a solution of compound 30 (15 mg, 0.050 mmol) in THF (4 mL) at -78 °C was added *n*-BuLi (31 µL, 0.050 mmol, 1.6 M in hexanes). After stirring for 10 min at -78 °C, CbzCl (26 mg, 0.15 mmol) was added. The resulting solution was stirred for 10 min at -78 °C, then quenched with water and diluted with CH₂Cl₂. The organic layer was separated, passed through Celite pad, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 10:1) to give the title compound **31** (21 mg, 95%). $R_f = 0.29$ (hexane/EtOAc, 7:1); $[\alpha]_{\rm D} = -8.9$ (c 1.05, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.33–2.40 (m, 1H), 3.06–3.16 (m, 1H), 4.24–4.30 (m, 1H), 4.46 (d, J=9.4 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (s, 1H), 4.71 (d, J=9.4 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (s, 2H), 5.44 (s, 1H), 5.65 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 5.93–5.99 (m, 1H), 6.93–7.05 (m, 4H), 7.12–7.25 (m, 11H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 23.8, 69.4, 69.7, 72.8, 73.7, 76.8, 84.9, 123.5, 127.7, 127.8, 127.9, 128.0, 128.1, 128.3, 128.4, 128.6, 135.3, 137.5, 137.7, 154.8; IR (CHCl₃, film) 3029, 2921, 1735, 1252 cm^{-1} . Anal. calcd for C₂₈H₂₆O₅: C, 76.00; H, 5.92. Found: C, 75.92; H, 5.98.

4.1.27. 7,8-Diphenyl-4-phenylselenyl-octahydro-1,3,6,9tetraoxa-cyclopenta[a]naphthalene-2-one (32). To a solution of PhSeBr (24 mg, 0.10 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) at -78 °C was added a THF (1.5 mL) solution of AgOTf (31 mg, 0.12 mmol). To this solution was added the compound **31** (24 mg, 0.054 mmol). After stirring for 20 min at -78 °C, the reaction mixture was warmed to rt, diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and neutralized with saturated NaHCO₃ solution. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO4 and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 7:1) to give the title compound **32** (15 mg, 54%). $R_{\rm f}$ =0.3 (hexane/EtOAc, 3:1); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.05-2.14 (m, 1H), 3.03-3.21 (m, 1H), 4.18-4.27 (m, 2H), 4.42-4.57 (m, 3H), 4.73-4.77 (m, 1H), 4.96-5.02 (m, 1H), 6.81–6.82 (m, 2H), 6.84–6.97 (m, 2H), 7.11–7.26 (m, 7H), 7.34–7.39 (m, 3H), 7.62–7.63 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) § 37.7, 68.0, 72.0, 74.0, 77.37, 77.44, 85.0, 126.9, 127.1, 127.9, 128.1, 128.3, 128.7, 129.2, 129.3, 129.9, 134.8, 135.4, 136.4, 136.9, 154.3; IR (CHCl₃, film) 1807, $1740, 1591 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

4.1.28. 7,8-Diphenyl-3a,5a,7,8,9a,9b-hexahydro-1,3,6,9tetraoxa-cyclopenta[a]naphthalene-2-one (33). Compound 32 (15 mg, 0.030 mmol) was subjected to the same reaction conditions as that for the preparation of 7 from 6. The reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 3:1) to give the title compound 33 (7 mg, 69%) as a white solid. $R_f = 0.65$ (hexane/EtOAc, 1:4); mp 237–238 °C; $[\alpha]_D = 89.9$ (c 0.15, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.50 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.54–4.61 (m, 3H), 4.89 (dd, J=3.3, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 5.05-5.08 (m, 1H), 6.25 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 6.34-6.40 (m, 1H), 6.341H), 6.81–6.84 (m, 2H), 6.98–7.01 (m, 2H), 7.06–7.26 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 68.8, 69.4, 70.7, 73.5, 78.9, 83.8, 123.8, 127.3, 127.8, 127.9, 128.1, 128.2, 128.6, 134.9, 136.5, 136.9, 154.6; IR (CHCl₃, film) 1802, 1642, 1350, 1144, 1088, 1047 cm⁻¹. HRMS calcd for C₂₁H₁₈O₅ $(M)^+$: m/z 350.1154. Found: 350.1155.

4.1.29. 4,5-Dihydroxy-7,8-diphenyl-octahydro-1,3,6,9tetraoxa-cyclopenta[a]naphthalene-2-one (35). To a solution of compound 33 (20 mg, 0.057 mmol) in acetone (4 mL) and H_2O (1 mL) were added NMO (66 mg, 0.57 mmol) and $K_2OsO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ (10 mg, 0.02 mmol) at rt. After the resulting solution was stirred for 48 h at 60 °C, it was allowed to rt and quenched with NaHSO₄ (10 mg). After stirring for further 10 min at rt, it was diluted with H₂O and EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO4 and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 1:4) to give the title compound 35 (9 mg, 40%) as a white solid. $R_f = 0.35$ (hexane/EtOAc, 1:4); mp 83–90 °C (decom.); $[\alpha]_D = -16.5$ (c 0.35, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.78-2.94 (m, 2H), 4.13 (s, 1H), 4.50-4.62 (m, 4H), 4.85 (s, 2H), 4.99 (s, 1H), 6.78-6.82 (m, 2H), 7.01–7.02 (m, 2H), 7.17–7.26 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 68.2, 70.1, 71.9, 72.5, 73.9, 77.9, 78.9, 84.8, 127.1, 127.9, 128.2, 128.3, 128.9, 136.1, 136.5, 154.1; IR (CHCl₃, film) 3425, 2922, 2357, 1802 cm⁻¹.

4.1.30. 2,3-Diphenyl-octahydro-benzo[1,4]dioxine-5,6,7,8-tetrol (36). To a solution of compound 35 (9 mg, 0.023 mmol) in EtOH (2 mL) was added KOH (3 mg, 0.046 mmol) at rt, and the resulting solution was heated to 60 °C. After stirring 4 h at 60 °C, the reaction mixture was allowed to rt, neutralized with 3 drops of aqueous 1 N HCl solution and diluted with H2O and EtOAc. The organic layer was separated, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 1:4) to give the title compound 36 (7 mg, 87%) as a white solid. $R_f = 0.65$ (CHCl3/MeOH, 2:1); mp 80–85 °C (decom.); $[\alpha]_{\rm D} = -9.7 (c \ 0.35, \text{CHCl}_3)$; ¹H NMR $(250 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta 2.48 \text{ (s, 2H)}, 2.77 \text{ (d, } J = 4.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}),$ 2.94 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 4.10–4.12 (m, 1H), 4.17–4.18 (m, 2H), 4.41-4.43 (m, 2H), 4.50 (s, 1H), 4.82 (d, J=4.7 Hz, 1H), 4.90 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 1H), 6.92–6.94 (m, 2H), 7.03–7.04 (m, 2H), 7.21–7.26 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 63.4, 66.9, 72.2, 73.1, 73.4, 76.8, 77.9, 85.8, 127.6, 127.9, 128.3, 128.5, 128.7, 128.8, 136.65, 136.70; IR (CHCl₃, film) 3368 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for $C_{28}H_{26}O_5$: C, 76.00; H, 5.92. Found: C, 75.92; H, 5.98.

4.1.31. allo-Inositol hexaacetate (37). To a solution of compound 36 (7 mg, 0.02 mmol) in EtOH (2 mL) were added a catalytic amount of Pd(OH)₂/C. After stirring for 1 h at rt under H_2 (1 atm), the reaction mixture was passed through Celite pad, which was washed with EtOH several times. The filtrate was concentrated thoroughly under vacuum to give *allo*-Inositol (3) as a white solid. Without any further purification, 3 was dissolved in TEA (1 mL). To this solution were added Ac₂O (0.5 mL) and a catalytic amount of DMAP. After stirring for 3 h at rt, the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with saturated NH₄Cl solution several times, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 2:1) to give the title compound 37 (6 mg, 70%) as a white solid. $R_{\rm f} =$ 0.35 (hexane/EtOAc, 1:1); $[\alpha]_D = 0$ (c 0.35, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.07 (br s, 18H), 5.33 (m, 2H), 5.46 (m, 4H). Anal. calcd for C₁₈H₂₄O₁₂: C, 50.00; H, 5.59. Found: C, 50.12; H, 5.51.

4.1.32. (1S,1'S)- and (1S,1'R)-Cyclohex-2'-enyl hydroxyphenylacetate (39 and 40). To a solution of (+)mandelic acid (2.0 g, 13.1 mmol) in DMF (60 mL) was added NaH (60%, 0.58 g, 14.5 mmol). After stirring 1 h at rt, 3-bromocyclohexene (2.32 g, 14.4 mmol) was added. The resulting solution was stirred further 8 h at rt, and diluted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with saturated NH₄Cl solution, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 7:1) to give a mixture (2.59 g, 85%) of **39** and **40** as a yellow oil. $R_f = 0.25$ (hexane/EtOAc, 7:1); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.50–2.01 (m, 6H), 3.59–3.64 (t, J=6.3 Hz, 1H), 5.13–5.16 (d, J=6.1 Hz, 1H), 5.29-5.31 (m, 1H), 5.47-5.75 (m, 1H), 5.83-6.00 (m, 1H), 7.28–7.44 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 18.4, 18.8, 24.80, 24.83, 27.9, 28.2, 70.0, 70.5, 72.97, 73.00, 124.68, 124.71, 126.48, 126.51, 128.3, 128.5, 133.4, 133.8, 138.6, 138.7, 173.4, 173.5; IR (CHCl₃, film) 3460, 1730, 1453, 1183, 1098, 1065, 1006, 907, 736 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for C₁₄H₁₆O₃: C, 72.39; H, 6.94. Found: C, 72.43; H, 6.84.

4.1.33. (1S,3S,4S,5S)-3-Phenyl-5-phenylselenyl-hexahydro-benzo[1,4]dioxin-2-one (41). To a solution of the mixture of **39** and **40** (0.53 g, 2.3 mmol) and NPSP (0.82 g, 2.7 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) was slowly added $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ (34 µL, 0.27 mmol) at 0 °C. The resulting solution was stirred for 20 min at 0 °C, diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and neutralized with saturated NaHCO3 solution. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO4 and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 7:1) to give the title compound **41** (0.33 g, 37%) as a yellow oil. $R_{\rm f} = 0.25$ (hexane/EtOAc, 7:1); $[\alpha]_D = +3.0 (c \ 2.0, \text{CHCl}_3); {}^1\text{H} \text{NMR}$ (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.48–1.77 (m, 4H), 1.84–1.95 (m, 1H), 2.09-2.16 (m, 1H), 3.55-3.58 (m, 1H), 4.26-4.31 (m, 1H), 4.37-4.42 (dd, J=8.2, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 5.46 (s, 1H), 7.23-7.64 (m, 10H); 13 C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 20.6, 27.9, 30.4, 43.3, 67.0, 75.3, 80.8, 127.0, 127.2, 128.4, 128.6, 128.8, 129.2, 135.8, 136.0, 167.0; IR (CHCl₃, film) 1743, 1223, 1065 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for C₂₀H₂₀O₃Se: C, 62.02; H, 5.20. Found: C, 62.04; H, 5.39.

4.1.34. (1S,3S,4R)-3-Phenyl-4a,7,8,8a-tetrahydro-benzo[1,4]dioxan-2-one (42). To a solution of compound 41 (1.40 g, 3.60 mmol) in MeOH (60 mL) and H₂O (10 mL) at rt was added $NaIO_4$ (1.86 g, 8.70 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred at rt until TLC analysis showed no starting material 41, at which point it was concentrated thoroughly to remove the solvents (MeOH and H_2O). The residue was dissolved in CCl₄ (60 mL), and the solution was heated to 70 °C. After stirring 5 h at 70 °C, it was allowed to rt and diluted with CH2Cl2. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO4 and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/ EtOAc, 3:1) to give the title compound 42 (0.50 g, 60%) as a yellow oil. $R_{\rm f} = 0.45$ (hexane/EtOAc, 3:1); $[\alpha]_{\rm D} = -53.3$ (c 3.2, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.76–1.89 (m, 1H), 1.95–2.14 (m, 2H), 2.26–2.40 (m, 1H), 4.14–4.20 (m, 1H), 4.88 (m, 1H), 5.46 (s, 1H), 5.72-5.76 (m, 1H), 5.98-6.05 (m, 1H), 7.32–7.50 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) & 21.8, 24.6, 65.0, 74.4, 75.1, 123.1, 126.8, 128.7, 128.9, 132.6, 135.6, 167.8; IR (CHCl₃, film) 1730, 1387, 1229, 1104, 1045 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for C₁₄H₁₄O₃: C, 73.03; H, 6.13. Found: C, 73.05; H, 6.24.

4.1.35. (1S.4S.6S.7S.8R)-6-Phenyl-hexahydro-1.4.7trioxa-cyclopropa-[a]naphthalene-5-one (43). A solution of compound 42 (25 mg, 0.1 mmol) and dimethyldioxirane (2 equiv, ca. 0.05 M) in acetone (10 mL) was stirred for 2 h at rt. The reaction mixture was concentrated by removing acetone. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 3:1) to give the title compound 43 (23 mg, 92%) as a colorless oil. $R_{\rm f}$ =0.38 (hexane/EtOAc, 3:1); $[\alpha]_D = -53.4$ (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.44-1.59 (m, 1H), 1.64-1.75 (m, 1H), 1.95-2.04 (m, 1H), 2.10-2.24 (m, 1H), 3.32-3.34 (dd, J=3.5, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 3.40-3.41 (d, J=2.5 Hz, 1H),3.91-3.95 (m, 1H), 4.58-4.60 (d, J=3.9 Hz, 1H), -5.53 (s, 1H), 7.36–7.47 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 18.4, 19.5, 52.4, 53.1, 60.9, 75.0, 75.6, 127.1, 128.9, 129.0, 134.7, 166.4; IR (CHCl₃, film) 1749, 1229, 1058 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for C₁₄H₁₄O₄: C, 68.28; H, 5.73. Found: C, 68.28; H, 5.76.

4.1.36. (1S,2S,3R,4S,1'S)-2-(2-Hydroxy-1-phenyl-ethoxy)-3-phenylselanyl-cyclohexane-1,4-diol (44) and (15,25, 3R,4S,1[']R)-2-(2-hydroxy-1-phenyl-ethoxy)-3-phenylselanyl-cyclohexane-1,4-diol (45). To a solution of compound 43 (220 mg, 0.9 mmol) and DPDS (420 mg, 1.3 mmol) in DME (4 mL) was added NaBH₄ (120 mg, 3.1 mmol). After stirring for 3 h at rt, the reaction mixture was quenched with water, diluted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with saturated NH₄Cl solution several times, dried over MgSO4 and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 1:10) to give the title compound 44 (204 mg, 56%) and 45 (119 mg, 33%) as a colorless oil, respectively. Compound **44**: $R_f = 0.40$ (hexane/EtOAc, 1:10); $[\alpha]_D = +22.9$ (c 2.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.13–1.28 (m, 1H), 1.76-2.03 (m, 3H), 2.50 (s, 1H), 2.91-3.02 (m, 1H), 3.37-3.83 (m, 7H), 4.43–4.47 (dd, J=7.4, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 7.26–7.66 (m, 10H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 26.9, 27.0, 47.6, 67.2, 73.1, 73.6, 76.0, 79.5, 126.1, 126.2, 127.3, 128.7, 128.9, 129.2, 137.0, 137.7; IR (CHCl₃, film) 3434, 1578, 1453, 1058 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for C₂₀H₂₄O₄Se: C, 58.97; H, 5.94. Found: C, 58.98; H, 5.98. Compound 45: $R_f = 0.25$ (hexane/EtOAc, 1:10); $[\alpha]_{\rm D} = -15.7$ (c 3.5, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.26–1.80 (m, 4H), 2.89–3.00 (m, 1H), 3.51-3.61 (m, 4H), 3.83-3.91 (t, J=9.8 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (m, 1H), 4.20 (br s, 2H), 4.47–4.52 (dd, J=7.7, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 7.12–7.65 (m, 10H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 27.4, 30.1, 48.3, 68.5, 73.5, 76.9, 79.7, 85.2, 126.2, 126.9, 127.8, 128.3, 128.4, 129.2, 136.4, 140.2; IR (CHCl₃, film) 3427, 1578, 1453, 1440, 1223, 1052 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for C₂₀H₂₄O₄Se: C, 58.97; H, 5.94. Found: C, 58.98; H, 5.98.

4.1.37. (1*S*,2*R*,1'*S*)-2-(2-Hydroxy-1-phenyl-ethoxy)cyclohex-3-enol (48) and (1*S*,2*R*,1'*R*)-2-(2-hydroxy-1phenyl-ethoxy)-cyclohex-3-enol (49). To a solution of compound 42 (0.31 g, 1.30 mmol) in DME (10 mL) was added NaBH₄ (0.10 g, 2.70 mmol). After stirring 1 h at rt, the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc and neutralized with saturated NH₄Cl solution. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 1:3) to give the title compound 48 (0.24 g, 77%) and conpound **49** (24 mg, 8%) as a colorless oil, respectively. Compound 48: $R_f = 0.28$ (hexane/EtOAc, 1:3); $[\alpha]_{\rm D} = +37.5 \ (c \ 1.7, \ {\rm CHCl}_3); {}^{1}{\rm H} \ {\rm NMR} \ (250 \ {\rm MHz}, \ {\rm CDCl}_3)$ δ 1.73–1.84 (m, 1H), 1.89–2.05 (m, 2H), 2.17–2.29 (m, 1H), 2.55 (m, 2H), 3.53-3.79 (m, 3H), 4.04 (s, 1H), 4.63-4.68 (dd, J=8.5, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 5.65–5.71 (m, 1H), 5.80–5.86 (m, 1H), 7.32–7.39 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 22.1, 23.8, 66.3, 67.4, 74.4, 80.5, 127.0, 127.4, 128.6, 128.9, 130.9, 138.8; IR (CHCl₃, film) 3421, 1453, 1229, 1111, 1051 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for $C_{14}H_{18}O_3$: C, 71.77; H, 7.74. Found: C, 71.75; H, 7.75. Compound **49**: R_f =0.35 (hexane/ EtOAc, 1:3); $[\alpha]_D = -164.9$ (c 2.5, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) & 1.26–2.15 (m, 4H), 3.23 (br s, 2H), 3.54-3.63 (m, 1H), 3-65-3.76 (m, 2H), 4.36 (m, 1H), 4.67-4.72 (dd, J=8.3, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 5.75–5.88 (m, 2H), 7.28–7.37 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 24.3, 24.4, 64.2, 67.8, 76.2, 81.3, 126.7, 126.9, 128.2, 128.6, 131.6, 139.4; IR $(CHCl_3, film)$ 3375, 1453, 1433, 1104, 1058 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for C14H18O3: C, 71.77; H, 7.74. Found: C, 71.73; H, 7.70.

4.1.38. (1S,2R,1'S)-Toluene-4-sulfonic acid 2-(6hydroxy-cyclohex-2-enyloxy)-2-phenyl-ethyl ester (50). To a solution of compound 48 (118 mg, 0.50 mmol) and DMAP (19 mg, 0.16 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) and TEA (10 mL) at 0 °C was added TsCl (96 mg, 0.50 mmol). After stirring 1 h at 0 °C, the reaction mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂. The organic layer was washed with saturated NH₄Cl solution several times, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 2:1) to give the title compound 50 (183 mg, 93%) as a colorless oil. $R_{\rm f}$ =0.33 (hexane/EtOAc, 2:1); $[\alpha]_D = +63.4$ (c 2.5, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.63–1.76 (m, 1H), 1.85–2.00 (m, 2H), 2.17-2.26 (m, 1H), 2.28-2.31 (d, J=5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.44 (s, 2H), 3.48-3.55 (m, 1H), 3.99-4.00 (m, 1H), 4.04-4.16 (m, 2H), 4.74-4.79 (dd, J = 7.4, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 5.61-5.67(m, 1H), 5.78–5.83 (m, 1H), 7.26–7.39 (m, 7H), 7.72–7.75 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 21.8, 22.1, 23.5, 66.0, 72.9, 75.0, 77.2, 127.0, 128.0, 129.0, 129.1, 130.0, 131.0, 133.1, 137.2, 145.0; IR (CHCl₃, film) 3421, 1355, 1177, 1098 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for C₂₁H₂₄O₅S: C, 64.93; H, 6.23; S, 8.25. Found: C, 64.84; H, 6.24; S, 8.71.

4.1.39. (1S,2R,1'S)-2-(1-Phenyl-2-phenylselanyl-ethoxy)cyclohex-3-enol (51). To a solution of compound 50 (184 mg, 0.5 mmol) in EtOH (10 mL) at rt was added NaBH₄ (54 mg, 1.4 mmol) and DPDS (222 mg, 0.7 mmol). After stirring for 3 h at 70 °C, the reaction mixture was quenched with water and concentrated to remove EtOH. The aqueous solution was neutralized with saturated NH₄Cl solution, and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 3:1) to give the title compound 51 (160 mg, 90%) as a colorless oil. $R_f = 0.55$ (hexane/EtOAc, 3:1); $[\alpha]_{\rm D} = +29.5 \ (c \ 3.0, \text{CHCl}_3); \ ^1\text{H NMR} \ (250 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 1.64–1.75 (m, 1H), 1.83–1.98 (m, 2H), 2.23–2.35 (m, 1H), 2.38–2.39 (d, J=4.0 Hz, 1H), 3.09–3.15 (dd, J=12.5, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.32-3.41 (dd, J = 12.5, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.43-3.50(m, 1H), 3.96 (s, 1H), 4.68-4.73 (dd, J=8.8, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 5.61–5.67 (m, 1H), 5.77–5.82 (m, 1H), 7.23–7.51 (m, 10H);

¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 22.0, 23.9, 35.8, 66.0, 74.6, 79.4, 126.7, 127.0, 127.2, 128.5, 128.9, 129.2, 130.9, 132.5, 141.5; IR (CHCl₃, film) 3421, 1098 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for $C_{20}H_{22}O_2Se: C, 64.34; H, 5.94$. Found: C, 64.34; H, 5.95.

4.1.40. (1S,2R,1'S)-Benzoic acid 2-(1-phenyl-2-phenylselanyl-ethoxy)-cyclohex-3-enyl-ester (52). To a solution of compound 51 (87 mg, 0.2 mmol) in DMF (5 mL) at 0 °C was added NaH (60%, 12 mg, 0.3 mmol). After stirring for 30 min at 0 °C, benzyl bromide (47 mg, 0.3 mmol) was added. The resulting solution was stirred for 12 h at 0 °C, diluted with EtOAc and washed with saturated NH4Cl solution several times. The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 10:1) to give the title compound 52 (86 mg, 79%) as a yellow oil. $R_f = 0.38$ (hexane/EtOAc, 10:1); $[\alpha]_{\rm D} = -26.8$ (c 0.5, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.66–1.71 (m, 1H), 1.94–2.10 (m, 2H), 2.37-2.40 (m, 1H), 3.11-3.15 (dd, J = 12.3, 4.8 Hz)1H), 3.33-3.39 (dd, J=12.2, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.54-3.56 (m, 1H), 4.00 (m, 1H), 4.50–4.53 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 4.61–4.64 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 4.65 - 4.66 (m, 1H), 5.65 - 5.67 (m, 1H),5.78–5.80 (m, 1H), 7.22–7.48 (m, 15H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 22.5, 24.2, 36.0, 71.7, 73.4, 74.2, 79.4, 126.0, 126.8, 127.1, 127.4, 127.8, 128.2, 128.3, 128.6, 129.1, 130.8, 131.3, 132.4, 139.4, 142.0; IR (CHCl₃, film) 1578, 1480, 1453, 1104 cm⁻¹. HRMS calcd for $C_{27}H_{28}O_2Se(M)^+$: *m/z* 464.1255. Found: 464.1252.

4.1.41. (**15,6S**)-**6-Benzyloxy-cyclohex-2-enol** (**53**). Compound **52** (110 mg, 0.2 mmol) was subjected to the same reaction conditions as that for the preparation of **42** from **41**. The reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 7:1) to give the title compound **53** (30 mg, 62%) as a yellow oil. $R_{\rm f}$ =0.35 (hexane/EtOAc, 3:1); $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ = -120.7 (*c* 1.5, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.69–1.71 (m, 1H), 1.88–2.03 (m, 2H), 2.24–2.27 (m, 1H), 2.51–2.52 (d, *J*=5.1 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (m, 2H), 4.63–4.65 (d, *J*=11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.68–4.71 (d, *J*=11.7 Hz, 1H), 5.71–5.73 (m, 1H), 5.88–5.90 (m, 1H), 7.30–7.36 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 23.1, 26.6, 67.0, 71.1, 73.9, 124.8, 127.9, 128.6, 131.9, 138.5; IR (CHCl₃, film) 3430, 1216, 1074 cm⁻¹. HRMS calcd for C₁₃H₁₆O₂ (M)⁺: *m/z* 204.1150. Found: 204.1168.

4.1.42. (1R,6S)-(6-Benzyloxy-cyclohex-2-enyloxy)-tertbutyl-diphenyl-silane (54). A solution of compound 53 (40 mg, 0.2 mmol) and TBDPSCl (82 mg, 0.3 mmol) in the presence of DMAP (7 mg, 0.06 mmol) and imidazole (40 mg, 0.6 mmol) in DMF (5 mL) was stirred for 12 h at 80 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to rt, dilute with EtOAc and washed with saturated NH₄Cl solution several times. The organic layer was dried over MgSO4 and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 15:1) to give the title compound 54 (83 mg, 96%) as a colorless oil: $R_{\rm f}=0.50$ (hexane/EtOAc, 15:1); $[\alpha]_{\rm D} = -55.2$ (c 4.5, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.10 (s, 9H), 1.42–1.49 (m, 1H), 1.70–1.81 (m, 1H), 1.91–2.17 (m, 2H), 3.81 3.84 (t, J =3.5 Hz, 1H), 3.94–4.00 (dt, $J_d = 10.5$ Hz, $J_t = 3.1$ Hz, 1H), 4.62–4.67 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.77–4.82 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 5.53–5.75 (m, 2H), 7.20–7.43 (m, 11H), 7.70–7.74 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 19.4, 25.0, 26.3, 27.2,

72.3, 72.6, 74.2, 126.0, 127.3, 127.6, 127.7, 128.3, 129.66, 129.69, 130.7, 134.3, 134.7, 135.0, 136.0, 139.6; IR (CHCl₃, film) 1216, 1111 cm⁻¹. HRMS calcd for $C_{29}H_{34}O_2SiNa$ (M+Na)⁺: m/z 465.2226. Found: 465.2253.

4.1.43. (1R,2R,3S,6R)-(3-Benzyloxy-7-oxa-bicyclo[4,1,0]hept-2-yloxy)-tert-butyl-diphenyl-silane (55). Compound 54 (96 mg, 0.2 mmol) was subjected to the same reaction conditions as that for the preparation of 43 from 42. The reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 10:1) to give the title compound 55 (91 mg, 91%) as a colorless oil. $R_f = 0.50$ (hexane/EtOAc, 10:1); $[\alpha]_{\rm D} = -5.3$ (c 4.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.08 (s, 9H), 1.12–1.17 (m, 1H), 1.51–1.64 (m, 1H), 1.72-1.83 (m, 1H), 2.01-2.14 (m, 1H), 3.17-3.18 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 3.22–3.25 (t, J = 3.3 Hz, 1H), 3.53–3.54 (d, J=2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.00–4.04 (m, 1H), 4.54–4.59 (d, J=12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.61–4.66 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 7.17–7.44 (m, 11H), 7.64–7.72 (m, 4H); 13 C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 19.5, 20.5, 23.4, 27.2, 52.7, 54.5, 68.0, 72.3, 76.3, 127.5, 127.6, 127.7, 127.8, 128.4, 129.6, 129.7, 134.1, 134.5, 136.0, 136.2, 138.5; IR (CHCl₃, film) 1427, 1216, 1111 cm⁻¹. HRMS calcd for $C_{29}H_{35}O_3Si (M+H)^+$: m/z459.2355. Found: 459.2348.

4.1.44. (1R,2R,3S,6R)-3-Benzyloxy-2-(tert-butyl-diphenylsilanyloxy)-6-phenylselanyl-cyclohexanol (56). Compound 55 (32 mg, 0.07 mmol) was subjected to the same reaction conditions as that for the preparation of **51** from **50**. The reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 10:1) to give the title compound 56 (37 mg, 86%) as a colorless oil. $R_{\rm f}=0.35$ (hexane/EtOAc, 10:1); $[\alpha]_D = -7.9$ (c 3.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.98 (s, 9H), 1.25–1.36 (m, 1H), 1.56-1.66 (m, 1H), 1.83-1.90 (m, 1H), 2.00-2.18 (m, 1H), 2.90–3.01 (m, 2H), 3.07-3.12 (dd, J=9.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.04–4.12 (dd, J=10.3, 9.4 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (m, 1H), 4.22– 4.26 (d, J=12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.37–4.42 (d, J=12.1 Hz, 1H), 7.13–7.78 (m, 20H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 19.4, 26.7, 27.1, 31.9, 47.7, 68.3, 71.4, 71.6, 84.2, 127.5, 127.6, 127.7, 127.9, 128.4, 129.1, 129.6, 129.8, 133.6, 134.4, 134.9, 136.1, 136.4, 136.9, 138.3; IR (CHCl₃, film) 3414, 1216, 1111 cm⁻¹. HRMS calcd for $C_{35}H_{40}O_3SeSi (M)^+$: m/z 616.1912. Found: 616.1918.

4.1.45. (1S,5S,6R)-5-Benzyloxy-6-(tert-butyl-diphenylsilanyloxy)-cyclohex-2-enol (57). A solution of compound 56 (30 mg, 0.05 mmol) and NaIO₄ (32 mg, 0.2 mmol) in methanol (6 mL) and H_2O (1 mL) in the presence of NaHCO₃ (8 mg, 0.1 mmol) was stirred for 10 min at rt, and then for 10 h at 70 °C. After removal of methanol by evaporation, the aqueous solution was diluted with H₂O and CH₂Cl₂. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 3:1) to give the title compound 57 (19 mg, 85%) as a colorless oil. $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.40 (hexane/EtOAc, 3:1); $[\alpha]_{D} = +65.6 (c \ 0.5, CHCl_{3}); {}^{1}H$ NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.06 (s, 9H), 1.94–1.95 (d, J= 3.1 Hz, 1H), 2.01-2.04 (m, 1H), 2.18-2.22 (m, 1H), 3.38-3.39 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 4.25 (s, 1H), 4.40–4.42 (d, J =11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (s, 1H), 4.63–4.65 (d, J=11.9 Hz, 1H), 5.57-5.59 (m, 1H), 5.70-5.72 (m, 1H), 7.25-7.74 (m, 15H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 19.5, 27.1, 33.4, 67.6, 69.3,

71.6, 83.1, 126.0, 127.7, 128.5, 129.8, 133.8, 134.7, 136.0, 136.2, 138.7; IR (CHCl₃, film) 3421, 1624, 1111 cm⁻¹. HRMS calcd for $C_{29}H_{34}O_3SiNa (M+Na)^+$: *m/z* 481.2175. Found: 481.2163.

4.1.46. (1R,2R,3S,4R,5S)-5-Benzyloxy-4-(*tert*-butyldiphenyl-silanyloxy)-cyclohex-1,2,3-triol (58). To a solution of compound 57 (23 mg, 0.05 mmol) in acetone (4 mL) and H₂O (1 mL) were added NMO (47 mg, 0.4 mmol) and $K_2OsO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ (5 mg, 0.01 mmol) at rt. After stirring for 30 h at rt, NaHSO₄ (10 mg) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for further 10 min at rt, then diluted with H₂O and EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 1:3) to give the title compound 58 (22 mg, 89%) as a colorless oil. $R_{\rm f}$ =0.30 (hexane/EtOAc, 1:3); $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ = +1.2 (*c* 0.4, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.09 (s, 9H), 1.44–1.50 (m, 1H), 2.25–2.32 (dt, $J_d = 11.0$ Hz, $J_t = 4.0$ Hz, 1H), 2.48 (m, 1H), 2.87 (m, 1H), 3.05–3.08 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 3.35 - 3.43 (m, 1H), 3.98 - 4.31 (m, 6H), 7.00–7.74 (m, 15H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 19.4, 27.2, 33.1, 70.7, 71.5, 72.1, 75.5, 77.4, 81.8, 127.5, 127.6, 127.7, 128.0, 128.4, 130.1, 130.2, 133.0, 136.3, 136.6, 138.0; IR (CHCl₃, film) 3441, 1631, 1117, 1071 cm⁻ HRMS calcd for $C_{29}H_{36}O_5Si(M)^+$: *m/z* 492.2332. Found: 492.2318.

4.1.47. muco-Quercitol pentaacetate (18) from 58. To a solution of compound 58 (10 mg, 0.02 mmol) in EtOH (3 mL) were added a catalytic amount of Pd(OH)₂/C and 3% aqueous HCl solution. After stirring for 24 h at rt under H₂ gas (1 atm), the reaction mixture was passed through Celite pad, which was washed with EtOH several times. The filtrate was concentrated thoroughly under vacuum to give muco-Quercitol (1) as a white solid. Without any further purification, 1 was dissolved in TEA (1 mL). To this solution were added Ac₂O (0.5 mL) and a catalytic amount of DMAP. After stirring for 3 h at rt, the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with saturated NH₄Cl solution several times, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 1:1) to give the title compound 18 (6 mg, 80%) as a white solid. The spectroscopic data and physical properties of the pentaacetate 18 were completely identical with those of 18 obtained from 17.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a grant from the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation through Center for Bioactive Molecular Hybrids (CBMH).

References and notes

 (a) Hudlicky, T.; Entwistle, D. A.; Pitzer, K. K.; Thorpe, A. J. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 1195–1220. (b) Kiddle, J. J. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2189–2202. (c) Hudlicky, T.; Cebulak, M. Cyclitols *and Derivatives*; VCH: New York, 1993. (d) Balci, M.; Sütbeyaz, Y.; Seçen, H. *Tetrahedron* **1990**, *46*, 3715–3742. (e) Chida, N.; Ogawa, S. *Chem. Commun.* **1997**, 807–813.

- (a) Billington, D. C. *The Inositol Phosphates—Chemical Synthesis and Biological Significance*; VCH: Weinheim, 1993.
 (b) Potter, B. V. L.; Lamp, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 1933–1972.
- (a) Streb, H.; Irvine, R. F.; Berridge, M. J.; Schuz, I. *Nature* 1983, 306, 67–69. (b) Berridge, M. J. *Nature* 1993, 361, 315–325. (c) Yang, J.; McBride, S.; Mak, D.-O.D.; Vardi, N.; Palczewski, K.; Haeseleer, F.; Foskett, J. K. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2002, 99, 7711–7716.
- (a) Mato, J. M.; Kelly, K. L.; Alber, A.; Jarett, L.; Corkey, B. E.; Cashel, J. A.; Zopf, D. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **1987**, *146*, 764–770. (b) Larner, J.; Huang, L. C.; Schwartz, C. F. W.; Oswald, A. S.; Shen, T. Y.; Kinter, M.; Tang, G.; Zeller, K. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **1988**, *151*, 1416–1426.
- (a) Berecibar, A.; Grandjean, C.; Siriwardena, A. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 779–844. (b) Kim, C. U.; Lew, W.; Williams, M. A.; Liu, H.; Zhang, L.; Swaminathan, S.; Bischofberger, N.; Chen, M. S.; Mendel, D. B.; Tai, C. Y.; Laver, W. G.; Stevens, R. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 681–690. (c) Kameda, Y.; Asano, N.; Yoshikawa, M.; Matsui, K. J. Antibiot. 1980, 33, 1575–1576. (d) Kameda, Y.; Asano, N.; Yoshikawa, M.; Takeuchi, M.; Yamaguchi, T.; Matsui, K.; Horii, S.; Fukase, H. J. Antibiot. 1984, 37, 1301–1307.
- 6. (a) Ferrier, R.; Middleton, S. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 2779–2831.
 (b) Chiara, J. L. In Carbohydrate Mimics: Concepts and Methods; Chapleur, Y., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 1998; pp 121–141. (c) Chrétien, F.; Khaldi, M.; Chapleur, Y. In Carbohydrate Mimics: Concepts and Methods; Chapleur, Y., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 1998; pp 143–156. (d) Jenkins, D. J.; Riley, A. M.; Potter, B. V. L. In Carbohydrate Mimics: Concepts and Methods; Chapleur, Y., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 1998; pp 171–208.
- (a) Kwon, Y.-K.; Lee, C.; Chung, S.-K. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 3327–3338. (b) Riley, A. M.; Jenkins, D. J.; Potter, B. V. L. Carbohydr. Res. 1998, 314, 277–281. (c) Husson, C.; Odier, L.; Vottéro, P. J. A. Carbohydr. Res. 1998, 307, 163–165. (d) Trost, B. M.; Patterson, D. E.; Hembre, E. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 10834–10835.
- (a) Hudlicky, T.; Gonzalez, D.; Gibson, D. T. Aldrichim. Acta 1999, 32, 35–62. (b) Brammer, L. E., Jr.; Hudlicky, T. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 1998, 9, 2011–2014.
- 9. (a) Jorgensen, M.; Iversen, E. H.; Paulsen, A. L.; Madsen, R.

J. Org. Chem. **2001**, *66*, 4630–4634. (b) Hyldtoft, L.; Madsen, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 8444–8452. (c) Ackermann, L.; Tom, D. E.; Fürstner, A. *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 2195–2202. (d) Gallos, J. K.; Koftis, T. V.; Sarli, V. C.; Litinas, K. E. *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Perkin Trans. 1* **1999**, 3075–3077. (e) Kornienko, A.; d'Alarcao, M. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1999**, *10*, 827–829.

- (a) Conrad, R. M.; Grogan, M. J.; Bertozzi, C. R. Org. Lett.
 2002, 4, 1359–1361. (b) Lee, W.-W.; Chang, S. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1999, 10, 4473–4475.
- 11. Heo, J.-N.; Holson, E. B.; Roush, W. R. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1697–1700.
- (a) Takahashi, H.; Kittaka, H.; Ikegami, S. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 2705–2716. (b) Takahashi, H.; Kittaka, H.; Ikegami, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 9707–9710.12.
- Yadav, J. S.; Maiti, A.; Sankar, A. R.; Kunwar, A. C. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 8370–8378.
- Angelaud, R.; Babot, O.; Charvat, T.; Landais, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 9613–9624.
- Kim, K. S.; Park, J. I.; Moon, H. K.; Yi, H. Chem. Commun. 1998, 1945–1946.
- Liotta, D.; Zima, G.; Saindane, M. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 1258–1267.
- Kim, K. S.; Park, H. B.; Kim, J. Y.; Ahn, Y. H.; Jeong, I. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 1249–1252.
- Although compound 4 was obtained as an inseparable mixture with its diastereomer 5 in the present work, pure 4 could be obtained by the procedure of our previous work, see: Ref. 15.
- 19. Pauslen, H.; Brauer, O. Chem. Ber. 1977, 110, 331-341.
- Sable, H. Z.; Powell, K. A.; Katchian, H.; Niewoehner, C. B.; Kadlec, S. B. *Tetrahedron* 1970, 26, 1509–1524.
- 21. Murata, S.; Suzuki, M.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 2738–2739.
- 22. McCasland, G. E. Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem. 1965, 20, 11–65.
- (a) Green, T. W.; Wuts, P. G. M. *Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis*, 3rd ed.; Wiley: New York, 1999; pp 114–116. (b) Arias-Perez, M. S.; Lopez, M. S.; Santos, M. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 2 2002, 1549–1552.
- Kobat, E. A.; McDonald, D. L.; Balbu, C. E.; Fisher, H. O. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1953, 75, 4507–4509.
- Gemal, A. L.; Luche, J.-L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 5454–5459.
- 26. Eliel, E. L.; Satici, H. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 688-689.
- 27. Cunico, R. F.; Bedell, L. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 4797-4798.