Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Fitoterapia

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fitote

# Nitric oxide inhibitory coumarins from the roots and rhizomes of *Notopterygium incisum*

Xikang Zheng, Yuemei Chen, Xiaoli Ma, Chen Zhang, Zhengren Xu, Yong Jiang, Pengfei Tu\*

State Key Laboratory of Natural and Biomimetic Drugs, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100191, China

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T
Keywords: Notopterygium incisum Coumarins Nitric oxide	Phytochemical study on the roots and rhizomes of <i>Notopterygium incisum</i> resulted in the isolation of six new coumarins, notoptetherins A – F ( <b>1–6</b> ), and 20 known analogues ( <b>7–26</b> ). Their structures were elucidated on the basis of extensive analyses of NMR and HRMS data, and the absolute configurations of <b>5</b> and <b>6</b> were established by $Mo_2(AcO)_4$ -induced CD and exciton chirality, respectively. Moreover, a biomimetic synthesis of <b>6</b> from <b>21</b> was employed to confirm its absolute configuration. In a subsequent activity screening, compounds <b>12</b> and <b>17</b> exhibited potent inhibition against LPS-induced nitric oxide production in RAW 264.7 cells with IC <sub>50</sub> values of 12.7 and 10.2 µM, respectively.

# 1. Introduction

The roots and rhizomes of *Notopterygium incisum*, known as "Qianghuo" in traditional Chinese medicine (TCM), have been used as an anti-inflammatory, anti-pyretic, and analgesic agent [1,2]. Previous studies on this plant revealed various types of natural products, such as coumarins [3–5], polyacetylenes [6,7], terpenoids [8,9], and alkaloids [10], some of which exhibited analgesic [7], anti-inflammatory [6,11–13], cytotoxic [14,15], anti-tumor [16,17], and immunosuppressive [18] activities. Aimed to search for new bioactive components from *N. incisum*, a phytochemical investigation was carried out on its 95% aq. EtOH extract and led to the isolation of 26 coumarins, including six new ones, notoptetherins A – F (1–6). Herein, the isolation and structural elucidation of the new compounds are described, along with their nitric oxide (NO) inhibitory effects on LPS-induced RAW 264.7 cells.

#### 2. Experimental

### 2.1. General experimental procedures

HRESIMS data were obtained on a Shimadzu IT-TOF LC/MS instrument. IR spectra were measured on a Thermo Scientific Nicolet Nexus 470 FT-IR spectrometer. UV spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-2450 UV-visible spectrometer. 1D and 2D NMR data were acquired on Agilent VNMRS-500 and Bruker AVANCE III-400 spectrometers. Specific rotations were measured on a Rudolph Autopol IV automatic polarimeter. ECD spectra were collected on a Jasco J-810 spectrometer. Semipreparative HPLC was performed on a LC3000 apparatus equipped with a UV3000 single channel detector (Beijing Tong Heng Innovation Technology Co., Ltd., China) using a Thermo Scientific BDS-C18 column (250  $\times$  10 mm, 5  $\mu$ m). UPLC/MS analysis was performed on a Waters ACQUITY UPLC apparatus coupled with an AB Sciex Triple Quad<sup>™</sup> 4500 triple quadrupole-linear ion trap (Qtrap) mass spectrometer using a Shimadzu InertSustain C18 column  $(150 \times 2.1 \text{ mm}, 3 \mu \text{m})$ . Column chromatography (CC) was conducted on Merck silica gel 60 (40-63 µm), Merck Li Chroprep RP-18 (40–63  $\mu$ m) and Mitsubishi Diaion HP20 ( $\geq 0.25$  mm,  $\geq 90\%$ ). Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on pre-coated silica gel GF<sub>254</sub> plates (Qingdao, Haiyang Chemical Co. Ltd., China). Solvents used in CC and HPLC were analytical grade (Beijing Chemical Reagents Co. Ltd., Beijing, China) and HPLC grade (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA), respectively. Reagents used for chemical communications were purchased from Energy Chemical Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

# 2.2. Plant material

The roots and rhizomes of *N. incisum* were collected in Ngawa Tibetan and Qiang Autonomous Prefecture of Sichuan Province, China, in September 2013, and were authenticated by Prof. Pengfei Tu (one of the authors in this paper). A voucher specimen (No. QH-201309) has been deposited in the Herbarium of Modern Research Center for Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM), Peking University, Beijing.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: pengfeitu@vip.163.com (P. Tu).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fitote.2018.10.002 Received 27 August 2018; Received in revised form 23 September 2018; Accepted 1 October 2018 Available online 03 October 2018

0367-326X/ © 2018 Published by Elsevier B.V.







#### 2.3. Extraction and isolation

The air-dried powders of the roots and rhizomes of N. incisum (20 kg) were extracted with 95% aq. EtOH (120 L) by refluxing for thrice, each for 2 h. The combined ethanol extracts were concentrated in vacuo to obtain 12.6 kg crude extract which was successively partitioned with petroleum ether (PE, 6 L  $\times$  3), CHCl<sub>3</sub> (6 L  $\times$  3) and *n*-BuOH  $(6 L \times 3)$  to give the corresponding portions. The CHCl<sub>3</sub> extract (1570 g) was subjected to silica gel CC and eluted with PE/acetone  $(1:0 \rightarrow 0:1)$  to afford seven fractions, Frs. C1–C7. Separation of the Fr. C3 (11.2 g) by silica gel CC using PE/EtOAc solvent system (7:1) led to four subfractions. Frs. C3A-C3D. Fr. 3B (414 mg) was loaded onto an ODS column eluting with the mixture of MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (75:25) to give two fractions, both of which were subjected to silica gel CC (PE/EtOAc, 15:1) to afford 22 (31.7 mg) and 10 (23.1 mg). Fr. C5 (53 g) was chromatographed on a silica gel column eluting with a gradient of PE/ EtOAc (50:1  $\rightarrow$  1:1) to give six fractions, Frs. C5A–C5F. Fr. C5B (2.3 g) was loaded onto an ODS column and eluted with MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (60:40  $\rightarrow$ 100:0) to yield five subfractions, Frs. C5BI - C5BV. Fr. C5BII (72.1 mg) was purified by silica gel CC (PE/acetone, 15:1) to afford 8 (26.5 mg). Fr. C5BIII (91.7 mg) was subjected to silica gel CC (PE/acetone, 15:1) to obtain 12 (31.3 mg). Fr. C5BIV (53.3 mg) was loaded onto a silica gel column eluting with PE/EtOAc (8:1) to give 4 (19.8 mg) and 23 (12.7 mg). Fr. C5BV (83.2 mg) was purified by silica gel CC (PE/EtOAc, 15:1) to afford 9 (12.1 mg) and 13 (22.3 mg). Separation of Fr. C5C (3.4 g) by ODS CC (MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O,  $60:40 \rightarrow 100:0$ ) led to five fractions, Frs. C5CI - C5CV. Fr. C5CI (33.7 mg) was purified using semipreparative HPLC (MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O, 55:45, 2 mL/min) to give 15 (12.3 mg,  $t_{\rm R}$  30.2 min). Fr. C5CII (56.7 mg) was applied to semipreparative HPLC (MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O, 45:55, 2 mL/min) to yield 17 (16.7 mg, t<sub>R</sub> 36.8 min) and 18 (13.7 mg,  $t_{\rm R}$  38.7 min). Fr. C5CIII (55.3 mg) was purified by semipreparative HPLC (MeCN/H2O, 45:55, 2mL/min) to afford 16  $(30.1 \text{ mg}, t_{\text{R}} 36.3 \text{ min})$ . Fr. C5CIV (79.3 mg) was subjected to silica gel CC (PE/acetone,  $30:1 \rightarrow 10:1$ ) to obtain **21** (32.7 mg) and **24** (14.7 mg). Fr. C5CV (47.6 mg) was loaded onto a silica gel column eluting with a mixture of PE/acetone (10:1) to yield 26 (39.4 mg). Fr. C5E (4.5 g) was chromatographed over an ODS column eluting with MeOH/H2O  $(60:40 \rightarrow 100:0)$  to give four fractions, Frs. C5EI – IV. Fr. C5EII (730 mg) was subjected to ODS CC (MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O, 85:15) to yield three fractions, Frs. C5EIIa - C5EIIc. Fr. C5EIIb (121 mg) was loaded onto a silica gel column eluting with a gradient of PE/EtOAc (5:1  $\rightarrow$  3:1) to obtain four subfractions, and the first subfraction was followed by semipreparative HPLC (MeCN/H2O, 85:15, 2 mL/min) to afford 1 (3.1 mg,  $t_{\rm R}$  23.1 min), 2 (2.4 mg,  $t_{\rm R}$  24.8 min), and 3 (1.3 mg,  $t_{\rm R}$ 27.3 min). Fr. C6 (154 g) was treated with  $PE/CH_2Cl_2$  (7:1) to give 14 (53 g) as while crystals. Separation of Fr. C7 (973 g) by silica gel CC (PE/EtOAc,  $3:1 \rightarrow 1:3$ ) led to four fractions, Frs. C7A – C7D. Fr. C7A (340 mg) was chromatographed on an ODS column eluting with MeOH/  $H_2O$  in gradient (50:50  $\rightarrow$  70:30) and followed by semipreparative HPLC (MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O, 35:65, 2 mL/min) to give 5 (13.7 mg, *t*<sub>R</sub> 25.6 min). Fr. C7B (716 g) was subjected to silica gel CC (PE/acetone 10:1) to afford 7 (13 g) and 11 (24 g). A solution of Fr. C7D (21 g) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was filtrated to obtain 19 (2.3 g), and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and subjected to ODS CC (MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O, 75:25  $\rightarrow$ 100:0) to yield five fractions, Frs. C7DI – C7DV. Fr. C7DI (35.7 mg) was purified by silica gel CC (PE/EtOAc, 3:1) to give 25 (11.9 mg). Fr. C7DIII (93.6 mg) was loaded onto an ODS column eluting with MeOH/ H<sub>2</sub>O (60:40) to afford three subfractions, and the second subfraction was purified using semipreparative HPLC (MeCN/H2O, 55:45, 2 mL/ min) to obtain 6 (34.2 mg,  $t_{\rm R}$  14.9 min). Separation of the *n*-BuOH extract (1356 g) by macroporous adsorption resin (EtOH/H<sub>2</sub>O, 10:90  $\rightarrow$ 90:10) led to five fractions, Frs. B1-B5. White crystals of 20 (437 g) were obtained from Fr. B3 (593 g) by recrystallization in EtOH.

2.3.1. Notoptetherin A (1)

Colorless oil, UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 308 (3.76), 259 (3.81), 251

(3.86), 220 (3.95), 207 (3.96) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  2960, 2919, 2850, 1739, 1625, 1607, 1580, 1456, 1356, 1201, 1153, 1128, 1019 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 475.2094 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na, 475.2097).

# 2.3.2. Notoptetherin B (2)

Colorless oil, UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 310 (4.04), 258 (4.09), 251 (4.13), 221 (4.22), 207 (4.23) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  2959, 2919, 2872, 2851, 1736, 1626, 1580, 1457, 1359, 1153, 1128, 1073 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 477.2243 [M + Na] <sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>34</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na, 477.2253).

### 2.3.3. Notoptetherin C(3)

Colorless oil, UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 308 (3.68), 260 (3.72), 252 (3.74), 220 (3.79), 206 (3.85) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  2957, 2917, 2850, 1730, 1624, 1455, 1375, 1262, 1121, 1020 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS *m/z* 477.2260 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>34</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na, 477.2253).

# 2.3.4. Notoptetherin D (4)

Colorless oil, UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 310 (4.15), 259 (4.22), 250 (4.26), 220 (4.37), 206 (4.39) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  2973, 2919, 1736, 1625, 1579, 1456, 1355, 1201, 1128, 1070 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 405.1674 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Na, 405.1678).

#### 2.3.5. Notoptetherin E (5)

Colorless oil,  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  + 13.2 (*c* 0.12, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 309 (3.94), 250 (4.05), 219 (4.19), 207 (4.20) nm; Mo<sub>2</sub>(OAc)<sub>4</sub>-induced CD (DMSO) 304 ( $\Delta\varepsilon$  + 0.31) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  3349, 2926, 2854, 1742, 1593, 1444, 1367, 1217, 1125, 1030 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 393.1299 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na, 393.1314).

#### 2.3.6. Notoptetherin F (6)

White amorphous powder,  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  + 186.3 (*c* 0.23, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ<sub>max</sub> (log ε) 323 (4.58), 235 (4.17), 205 (4.74) nm; ECD (c 0.6, MeOH) 342 ( $\Delta \varepsilon$  + 11.35), 300 ( $\Delta \varepsilon$  - 6.02), 246 ( $\Delta \varepsilon$  + 2.19) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3335, 2926, 2855, 1738, 1616, 1454, 1366, 1217, 1156, 1117, 1029 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta_{\rm H}$ : 7.73 (1H, d, J = 9.6 Hz, H-4), 7.40 (1H, d, J = 16.0 Hz, H-7"), 7.38 (1H, d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, H-5), 7.07 (1H, d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, H-2"), 6.95 (1H, dd, *J* = 8.1, 2.0 Hz, H-6"), 6.80 (1H, d, J = 8.2 Hz, H-6), 6.78 (1H, d, J = 8.1 Hz, H-5"), 6.14 (1H, d, J = 16.0 Hz, H-8"), 6.04 (1H, d, J = 9.6 Hz, H-3), 5.63 (1H, dd, J = 10.2, 7.3 Hz, H-2'), 5.40 (1H, brs, H-4'a), 5.36 (1H, brs, H-4′b), 4.99 (1H, d, *J* = 13.2 Hz, H-5′a), 4.72 (1H, d, *J* = 13.2 Hz, H-5′b), 3.89 (3H, s, H<sub>3</sub>-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.66 (1H, dd, J = 16.0, 10.2 Hz, H-1'a), 3.36 (1H, dd, J = 16.0, 7.3 Hz, H-1'b). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta_{\rm C}$ : 168.3 (C-9"), 165.2 (C-7), 163.0 (C-2), 152.6 (C-8a), 150.8 (C-4"), 149.4 (C-3"), 146.9 (C-7"), 146.0 (C-4), 145.0 (C-3'), 130.5 (C-5), 127.4 (C-1"), 124.3 (C-6"), 116.5 (C-5"), 115.9 (C-4'), 114.7 (C-8), 114.6 (C-8"), 114.6 (C-4a), 112.4 (C-3), 111.6 (C-2"), 108.0 (C-6), 86.7 (C-2'), 64.7 (C-5'), 56.5 (C-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 33.4 (C-1'). HRESIMS m/z 419.1134  $[M - H]^{-}$  (calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>19</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, 419.1131).

## 2.4. Chemical transformation

### 2.4.1. Synthesis of (5'S) hydroxyangenomalin (28)

According to the procedures described previously [19,20] with slight modifications, to a solution of **21** (10 mg, 0.044 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 mL) was added SeO<sub>2</sub> (2.4 mg, 0.022 mmol, 0.5 equiv), potassium *tert*-butanolate (TBHP, 20  $\mu$ L, 0.11 mmol, 2.5 equiv, from 5.5 M toluene solution), and AcOH (0.8 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h and filtered to remove the SeO<sub>2</sub>. The filtrate was then washed with 10 mL brine and dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated *in vacuo* to afford the residue, which was purified by

preparative TLC using PE/EtOAc (3:1,  $R_f = 0.4$ ) to give the product **28** (2.5 mg, 23% yield) and the starting material **21** (4.8 mg, recovered in 48%).  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  + 186.1 (*c* 0.06, MeOH); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ : 7.64 (1H, d, J = 9.5 Hz), 7.28 (1H, d, J = 8.3 Hz), 6.77 (1H, d, J = 8.3 Hz), 6.22 (1H, d, J = 9.5 Hz), 5.52 (1H, t, J = 9.0 Hz), 5.31 (1H, brs), 5.30 (1H, brs), 4.29 (2H, m), 3.59 (1H, dd, J = 16.1, 9.8 Hz), 3.30 (1H, dd, J = 16.1, 8.2 Hz); HRESIMS *m*/*z* 245.0815 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>13</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, 245.0814).

#### 2.4.2. Synthesis of trans-4-O-tertbutyldimethylsilylferulic acid (30)

According to a procedure reported previously [21], to a 50 mL round-bottom flask charged with 29 (100 mg, 0.52 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 20 mL CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was added *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl chloride (TBSCl, 194 mg, 1.3 mmol, 2.5 equiv) and N,N-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA, 270 µL, 1.5 mmol, 3.0 equiv). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 h and then quenched by adding 5 mL water and diluted with 40 mL EtOAc. The organic phase was washed with 20 mL 1.0 M aqueous HCl and 15 mL brine, dried by MgSO4 and concentrated to afford the bis-TBS product as yellowish oil. The intermediate was dissolved in a mixture of 25 mL of THF/H<sub>2</sub>O (5:1) followed by adding K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (178 mg, 1.3 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in a single portion. The resultant slurry was stirred vigorously for 2 h at room temperature and then diluted with 40 mL of EtOAc. The organic phase washed with 20 mL water, 20 mL 1.0 M aqueous HCl and 15 mL brine, dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated to obtain a white solid, which was further dried in high vacuum at 80 °C for 6 h to drive off the residual TBSOH to give 30 as white solid (156 mg, 98% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ : 7.72 (1H, d, J = 15.8 Hz), 7.06 (1H, dd, J = 8.5, 2.1 Hz), 7.05 (1H, brs),6.86 (1H, d, J = 8.5 Hz), 6.31 (1H, d, J = 15.8 Hz), 3.85 (3H, s), 1.00 (9H, s), 0.18 (6H, s);  $^{13}$ C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{C}$ : 172.4, 151.4, 148.2, 147.3, 128.1, 122.9, 121.3, 115.0, 111.2, 55.6, 25.8, 18.6, -4.4.

#### 2.4.3. Synthesis of 4"-O-tertbutyldimethylsilynotoptetherin F (31)

1-Ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDCl, 2.7 mg, 0.014 mmol, 1.5 equiv) and catalytic amount of 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) were added to a solution of 30 (4.4 mg, 0.014 mmol, 1.5 equiv) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL) followed by dropping the solution of 28 (2.3 mg, 0.0094 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 0.5 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The mixture was allowed to stirred overnight at room temperature and directly purified by preparative TLC (PE/EtOAc, 3:1,  $R_f = 0.5$ ) to afford the product **31** (2.8 mg, 56% yield).  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  + 187.3 (*c* 0.05, MeOH); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm H}$ : 7.59 (1H, d, J = 9.5 Hz), 7.55 (1H, d, J = 15.6 Hz), 7.26 (1H, overlapped), 6.99 (2H, m), 6.85 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz), 6.78 (1H, d, J = 8.3 Hz), 6.23 (1H, d, J = 15.6 Hz), 6.16 (1H, d, J = 9.5 Hz), 5.54 (1H, t, J = 8.9 Hz), 5.41 (1H, brs), 5.38 (1H, brs), 4.89 (1H, d, J = 13.4 Hz), 4.80 (1H, d, J = 13.4 Hz), 3.85 (3H, s), 3.64 (1H, dd, J = 16.1, 9.9 Hz), 3.38 (1H, dd, J = 16.1, 7.7 Hz), 1.01 (9H, s), 0.18 (6H, s); HRESIMS m/z 535.2159 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>35</sub>O<sub>7</sub>Si, 535.2152).

#### 2.4.4. Synthesis of notoptetherin F (6)

To a solution of **31** (2.5 mg, 0.0047 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 2 mL of THF stirred at room temperature, the 1.0 M solution of *tetra-n*-butylammonium fluoride (TBAF) in THF (10 µL, 2.1 equiv) was added dropwise. The reaction was monitored by TLC and upon completion, the product (1.4 mg, 73% yield) was purified by preparative TLC (PE/EtOAc, 1:1,  $R_f = 0.4$ ). [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> + 183.1 (*c* 0.04, MeOH); ECD (*c* 0.04 MeOH) 342 ( $\Delta \epsilon$  + 10.12), 300 ( $\Delta \epsilon$  - 5.06), 253 ( $\Delta \epsilon$  + 2.51) nm; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta_{H}$ : 7.75 (1H, d, *J* = 9.5 Hz), 7.41 (1H, d, *J* = 15.9 Hz), 7.41 (1H, d, *J* = 8.3 Hz), 7.09 (1H, d, *J* = 1.9 Hz), 6.96 (1H, dd, *J* = 8.2, 1.9 Hz), 6.82 (1H, d, *J* = 8.3 Hz), 6.79 (1H, d, *J* = 8.2 Hz) 6.16 (1H, d, *J* = 15.9 Hz), 5.38 (1H, brs), 5.01 (1H, d, *J* = 13.2 Hz), 4.73 (1H, d, *J* = 13.2 Hz), 3.69 (1H, dd, *J* = 16.1, 7.3 Hz); HRESIMS *m*/*z* 419.1133 [M - H]<sup>-</sup> (calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>19</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, 419.1131).

#### 2.5. Liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry

The powders (80 mesh, 100 mg) of the roots and rhizomes of *N. incisum* were extracted with 10 mL of MeOH by ultrasonification at 40 kHz for 30 min. Then 1.5 mL of the MeOH extract was filtrated through a 0.22 µm membrane and 5 µL of the filtrate was injected into the UPLC device eluting with MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O in gradient (60:40  $\rightarrow$  100:0) within 20 min at 0.4 mL/min. ESIMS data were acquired in the positive ion mode and the ion source parameters were set as follows: source temperature 550 °C; ion spray voltage (IS) 4500 V; ion source gas I (GSI), gas II (GSII), and curtain gas (CUR) were at 55, 55, and 35 psi, respectively; the collision gas (CAD) was high.

# 2.6. Bioassay

# 2.6.1. Cell culture

The murine macrophage RAW 264.7 cells were purchased from Beijing Union Medical University (Beijing, China) and maintained in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100  $\mu$ g/mL streptomycin at 37 °C under 5% CO<sub>2</sub>.

#### 2.6.2. NO assay

NO production and cell viability were measured as the procotols described previously [22,23]. For detailed procedures, see Supplementary data.

## 3. Results and discussion

The 95% aq. EtOH extract of the roots and rhizomes of *N. incisum* was suspended in water and successively partitioned with PE, CHCl<sub>3</sub>, and *n*-BuOH. The resultant CHCl<sub>3</sub> and *n*-BuOH fractions were subjected to various CC and reverse phase HPLC to yield compounds 1-26 (Fig. 1).

Notoptetherin A (1) was obtained as a colorless oil. The molecular formula of 1 was established as C27H32O6 by the positive HRESIMS ion at m/z 475.2094 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na, 475.2097), corresponding to 12 indices of hydrogen deficiency. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR signals of two pairs of ortho-coupled olefinic doublets at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  8.27 (1H, d, *J* = 9.7 Hz), 6.29 (1H, d, *J* = 9.7 Hz) and 7.79 (1H, d, *J* = 2.3 Hz), 7.16 (1H, d, J = 2.3 Hz) and a phenyl singlet at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.21 (1H, s) (Table 1) indicated that 1 was a linear furocoumarin with a side-chain substituted at C-5 [24], which was confirmed by the HMBC correlation from  $H_2-1'$ ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.04) to C-5 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  150.4) and the NOE enhancement between H-10 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.16) and H<sub>2</sub>–1' ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.04). Analysis of the  $^{13}$ C NMR data (Table 2) in combination with the HSQC correlations revealed that the residue substituted at C-5 was composed of 16 carbon resonances attributable to one bisubstituted double bond ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  139.0 and 127.2), one trisubstituted double bond ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  143.3 and 121.1), two sp<sup>3</sup> oxygenated tertiary carbons ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  90.8 and 80.5), six sp<sup>3</sup> methylenes ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  70.9, 43.2, 38.0 × 2, and 25.5  $\times$  2), and four methyls ( $\delta_{C}$  16.8, 24.7, and 25.6  $\times$  2). The aforementioned spectroscopic data suggested that 1 was a derivative of notoptol (7) [4,24] with an additional C<sub>6</sub> ring moiety accounting for the remaining one index of hydrogen deficiency, which was deduced to be a 1-methylcyclopentyl hydroxyl group [25] by the <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY and HMBC correlations (Fig. 2). By comparison with the NMR data of notoptol (7) [4,24] and 1-methylcyclopentanol [25], the deshielded resonances of C-7' ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  80.5 for 1 and  $\delta_{\rm C}$  70.6 for 7) and C-1" ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  90.8 for 1 and  $\delta_{\rm C}$  79.7 for 1-methylcyclopentanol) in **1** indicated that these two moieties were linked via a peroxy bond between C-7' and C-1", which was supported by the molecular composition and the similar C-1" resonance value to 1-methylcyclopentyl hydroperoxide ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  92.1) [25]. In the 1D NOE experiment, when irradiation of H<sub>2</sub>-1' ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.04), H<sub>3</sub>-9' ( $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.65) showed an enhancement, indicating a 2'E-configuration for the double bond (Fig. 2). Meanwhile, the 5'E-configuration was determined from the coupling constant of 15.8 Hz between H-5' and H-6'. Thus, the structure of notoptetherin A (1) was determined as shown.



Fig. 1. Structures of compounds 1-27.

Notoptetherin B (2), isolated as a colorless oil, had a molecular formula of  $C_{27}H_{34}O_6$  determined by the positive HRESIMS ion at m/z 477.2243 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for  $C_{27}H_{34}O_6$ Na, 477.2253), processing 11 indices of hydrogen deficiency. The NMR data of 2 (Tables 1 and 2) were similar to those of 1, except that the 1-methylcyclopentyl hydroxyl group located at C-7'-OH in 1 was substituted by a 2-methyl-2-pentanyl hydroxyl group in 2, which was supported by the <sup>1</sup>H – <sup>1</sup>H COSY correlations of H<sub>2</sub>–2"/H<sub>2</sub>–3"/H<sub>3</sub>–4" and the HMBC correlations of H<sub>2</sub>–3"/C-1" and H<sub>3</sub>–5" (H<sub>3</sub>–6")/C-1"and C-2" (Fig. 2). The connection between C-7' and C-1" via a peroxy bond was confirmed by the molecular composition and the C-1" resonance ( $\delta_C$  81.5 for 2 and  $\delta_C$  80.1 for *tert*-butyl 2-methyl-2-pentanyl peroxide [26]). Therefore, the structure of notoptetherin B (2) was established.

Notoptetherin C (3) was obtained as a colorless oil with a molecular

formula of  $C_{27}H_{34}O_6$  determined by the HRESIMS ion at m/z 477.2260 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for  $C_{27}H_{34}O_6$ Na, 477.2253). The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of **3** (Tables 1 and 2) were similar to those of **1** and **2** except for the different substituent group attached at C-7'-OH, which was deduced to be a 2,3-dimethyl-2-butanyl hydroxyl group based on the <sup>1</sup>H—<sup>1</sup>H COSY correlations of H-2"/H<sub>3</sub>–3" (H<sub>3</sub>–6") and the HMBC correlations from H<sub>3</sub>–3" (H<sub>3</sub>–6") to C-1" and C-2", from H-2" to C-1" and C-4" (C-5"), and from H<sub>3</sub>–4" (H<sub>3</sub>–5") to C-1". The presence of the peroxy bond between C-7' and C-1" was established by the molecular composition and the C-1" resonance ( $\delta_C$  83.9 for **3** and  $\delta_C$  85.1 for 2,3-dimethyl-2-butanyl hydroperoxide [25]). Thus, the structure of notoptetherin C (**3**) was characterized unequivocally.

Peroxy natural products usually possess hydroperoxy groups or peroxide bridges generated intramolecularly [27,28]. However, acyclic

#### Table 1

<sup>1</sup>H NMR data of compounds **1–5** (500 MHz,  $\delta$  in ppm, J in Hz).

Position	<b>1</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>2</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>3</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>4</b> <sup>b</sup>	<b>5</b> <sup>a</sup>
3	6.29, d (9.7)	6.28, d (9.8)	6.29, d (9.7)	6.25, d (9.8)	6.28, d (9.8)
4	8.27, d (9.7)	8.27, d (9.8)	8.26, d (9.7)	8.13, d (9.8)	8.26, d (9.8)
8	7.21, s	7.21, s	7.21, s	7.12, s	7.19, s
9	7.79, d (2.3)	7.79, d (2.4)	7.80, d (2.3)	7.59, d (2.3)	7.79, d (2.4)
10	7.16, d (2.3)	7.16, d (2.4)	7.16, d (2.3)	6.94, d (9.3)	7.16, dd (2.4, 1.1)
1'	5.04, d (6.9)	5.04, d (7.0)	5.04, d (7.0)	4.95, d (6.8)	5.03, d (6.9)
2′	5.59, t (6.9)	5.59, t (7.0)	5.60, t (7.0)	5.55, t (6.8)	5.61, t (6.9)
4′	2.74, d (6.8)	2.74, d (6.8)	2.74, d (6.8)	2.78, d (5.9)	2.78, d (6.7)
5′	5.48, dt (15.8, 6.8)	5.48, dt (15.7, 6.8)	5.48, dt (15.8, 6.8)	5.46, dd (15.8, 5.9)	5.65, dt (15.6, 6.7)
6′	5.59, d (15.8)	5.59, d (15.7)	5.59, d (15.8)	5.51, d (15.8)	5.57, d (15.6)
8′	1.24, s	1.24, s	1.24, s	1.25, s	3.35, d (2.7)
9′	1.65, s	1.65, s	1.65, s	1.67, s	1.67, s
10′	1.24, s	1.24, s	1.24, s	1.25, s	1.21, s
2″a	1.85, m	1.45, m	1.85, m		
b	1.38, m				
3″a	1.66, m	1.32, m	0.85, d (6.9)		
b	1.55, m				
4″a	1.66, m	0.87, t (7.3)	1.09, s		
b	1.55, m				
5″a	1.85, m	1.13, s	1.09, s		
b	1.38, m				
6″	1.30, s	1.13, s	0.85, d (6.9)		
OCH2CH3				3.31, q (7.0)	
OCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>				1.13, t (7.0)	

<sup>a</sup> measured in CD<sub>3</sub>OD.

<sup>b</sup> measured in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.

able 2	

<sup>13</sup> C NMR	data of	compounds	1–5	(125 MHz,	$\delta$ in	ppm).
---------------------	---------	-----------	-----	-----------	-------------	-------

Position	<b>1</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>2</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>3</b> <sup>a</sup>	4 <sup>b</sup>	<b>5</b> <sup>a</sup>
2	163.2, C	163.2, C	163.2, C	161.3, C	163.2, C
3	113.1, CH	113.1, CH	113.1, CH	112.7, CH	113.1, CH
4	141.5, CH	141.5, CH	141.5, CH	139.6, CH	141.5, CH
4a	109.1, C	109.1, C	109.1, C	107.6, C	108.9, C
5	150.4, C	150.4, C	150.4, C	148.9, C	150.4, C
6	116.1, C	116.3, C	116.3, C	114.3, C	116.1, C
7	159.7, C	159.7, C	159.7, C	158.2, C	159.7, C
8	95.0, CH	95.0, CH	95.0, CH	94.3, CH	94.9, CH
8a	153.8, C	153.8, C	153.8, C	152.7, C	153.8, C
9	146.9, CH	146.9, CH	146.9, CH	145.0, CH	146.9, CH
10	106.2, CH	106.2, CH	106.2, CH	105.1, CH	106.2, CH
1'	70.9, CH <sub>2</sub>	70.9, CH <sub>2</sub>	70.9, CH <sub>2</sub>	69.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	70.9, CH <sub>2</sub>
2′	121.1, CH	121.0, CH	121.1, CH	119.8, CH	121.0, CH
3′	143.3, C	143.3, C	143.3, C	141.8, C	143.2, C
4′	43.2, CH <sub>2</sub>	43.2, CH <sub>2</sub>	43.2, CH <sub>2</sub>	42.5, $CH_2$	43.3, CH <sub>2</sub>
5′	127.2, CH	127.2, CH	127.1, CH	126.1, CH	127.3, CH
6′	139.0, CH	139.0, CH	139.1, CH	138.9, CH	138.0, CH
7′	80.5, C	80.5, C	80.5, C	74.6, C	73.9, C
8′	25.6, $CH_3$	25.5, $CH_3$	25.5, $CH_3$	26.5, $CH_3$	70.9, $CH_2$
9′	16.8, CH <sub>3</sub>	16.8, $CH_3$	16.8, $CH_3$	16.8, CH <sub>3</sub>	16.8, CH <sub>3</sub>
10′	25.6, $CH_3$	25.5, $CH_3$	25.5, $CH_3$	26.5, $CH_3$	24.6, $CH_3$
1″	90.8, C	81.5, C	83.9, C		
2″	38.0, CH <sub>2</sub>	43.0, CH <sub>2</sub>	36.1, CH		
3″	25.5, $CH_2$	18.3, $CH_2$	$17.9, CH_3$		
4″	25.5, $CH_2$	15.1, $CH_3$	22.0, $CH_3$		
5″	38.0, CH <sub>2</sub>	25.1, $CH_3$	22.0, $CH_3$		
6″	24.7, CH <sub>3</sub>	25.1, $CH_3$	17.9, CH <sub>3</sub>		
OCH2CH3				57.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	
$OCH_2CH_3$				16.2, $CH_3$	

<sup>a</sup> measured in CD<sub>3</sub>OD.

<sup>b</sup> measured in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.

dialkyl peroxides [29–34], especially those with two different alkyl groups [35,36], such as notoptetherins A-C (1–3), are rare examples from natural source. A putative biosynthetic pathway to 1–3 is proposed to be derived from compounds 7 or 10 and volatile C<sub>6</sub> alkanes, including methylcyclopentane [37], 2-methylpentane [38], and 2,3-dimethylbutane [39] via a S<sub>N</sub>1-type nucleophilic substitution by the

hydroperoxy group (Scheme. S1, Supplementary Data). The hydroperoxy intermediate **iv** could be formed by oxidation of **10** followed by trapping the generated vinyl free radicals i/ii with molecule oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>). Nucleophilic substitution of cations **A**, produced by oxidation of the corresponding C<sub>6</sub> alkanes, with **iv** afforded the dialkyl peroxides **1–3**. On the other hand, **1–3** could also be formed by reaction of hydroperoxy intermediate **B** with cation **v**. The hydroperoxy intermediate **B** could come from the C<sub>6</sub> alkanes via a process similar to that for the formation of **iv**, while the counterpart cation **v** could be obtained from **7**.

Notoptetherin D (4), obtained as a colorless oil, had a molecular formula of  $C_{23}H_{26}O_5$  according to its sodium adduct ion at m/z 405.1674 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for  $C_{23}H_{26}O_5Na$ , 405.1678) in the HRESIMS spectrum. The NMR data of 4 (Tables 1 and 2) were similar to those of 7 [4,24] except for the presence of an additional ethyl group ( $\delta_H$  3.31, q, J = 7.01 Hz,  $\delta_C$  57.8 and  $\delta_H$  1.13, t, J = 7.01 Hz,  $\delta_C$  16.2), indicating that 4 was an ethyl etherificated derivative of notoptol (7). This deduction was confirmed by the HMBC correlation from the protons of ethoxy group ( $\delta_H$  3.31) to C-7' ( $\delta_C$  74.6) (Fig. S1, Supplementary Data). To clarify whether compound 4 was an artificial product, the MeOH extract of *N. incisum* was analyzed by using UPLC/MS. The chromatographic peak at 6.01 min with a sodium additive ion peak at m/z 405.2 was consistent with the case of 4 under the same analytical conditions (Fig. S66, Supplementary Data). This indicated that compound 4 occurred naturally.

Notoptetherin E (5) was isolated as a colorless oil. Its molecular formula was deduced as  $C_{21}H_{22}O_6$  from a sodium additive ion peak at m/z 393.1299 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for  $C_{21}H_{22}O_6Na$ , 393.1314) in the HRESIMS spectrum, 16 mass units more than that of notoptol (7) [4,24]. Analyses of the NMR data (Tables 1 and 2) indicated that 5 was 8'-hydroxynotoptol, which was confirmed by the HMBC correlations from H<sub>2</sub>–8' to C-6', C-7', and C-10', from H-6' to C-8', and from H<sub>3</sub>–10 to C-8' (Fig. S1, Supplementary Data). To establish the absolute configuration of the 7',8'-diol moiety, the in situ Mo<sub>2</sub>(OAc)<sub>4</sub>-induced circular dichroism (ICD) method [40–42] was employed. Compound 5 was mixed with Mo<sub>2</sub>(OAc)<sub>4</sub> in anhydrous DMSO to form a metal complex, which gave a positive Cotton effect at 304 nm (Fig. 3), suggesting the (7'S) configuration for 5.



Fig. 2. Selected <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY, HMBC and NOE correlations of compounds 1-3 and 6.



Fig. 3.  $Mo_2(OAc)_4$ -ICD spectrum of compound 5 in DMSO and conformations of the  $Mo_2^{4+}$  complex of compound 5.

Notoptetherin F (6) was obtained as a white amorphous powder. A deprotonated ion  $[M - H]^-$  at m/z 419.1134 from the HRESIMS data determined its molecular formula as C24H20O7 (calcd for C24H19O7, 419.1131). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **6** displayed a pair of characteristic doublets for H-3 and H-4 of a coumarin skeleton ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.73 and 6.04, each 1H, d, J = 9.6 Hz) and two *ortho*-coupled phenyl protons at  $\delta_{\rm H}$ 7.38 and 6.80 (each 1H, d, J = 8.2 Hz), suggesting that 6 was a 7,8disubstituted coumarin derivative [19]. Further inspection of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, combining with the  ${}^{1}H - {}^{1}H$  COSY correlations, revealed the presence of additional 13 proton signals attributable to two sp<sup>3</sup> geminal methylenes ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.66, 1H, dd, J = 16.0, 10.2 Hz, 3.36, 1H, dd, J = 16.0, 7.3 Hz; 4.99 and 4.72, each 1H, d, J = 13.2 Hz), a sp<sup>3</sup> oxygenated methine ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.63, 1H, dd, J = 10.2, 7.3 Hz), a trisubstituted aromatic ring with an AMX system ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.07, 1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz, 6.95, 1H, dd, J = 8.1, 2.0 Hz, and 6.78, 1H, d, J = 8.1 Hz), a *trans*-double bond ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.40 and 6.14, each 1H, d, J = 16.0 Hz), a terminal vinyl ( $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.40 and 5.36, each 1H, brs), and a methoxy group ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.89, 3H, s). Taking the esterified carbon resonance at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  168.3 into consideration, the above mentioned NMR data indicated that 6 was an ester



Fig. 4. ECD and UV spectra of compound 6 (A) and the positive chirality for compound 6 (B).



Scheme. 1. Chemical transformation of 6 from 21 and trans-ferulic acid (29).

Table 3 Inhibitory effects of compounds 1–26 on NO production in RAW 264.7 cells induced by LPS.

Compounds	IC <sub>50</sub> (μM) <sup>a</sup>	Compounds	IC <sub>50</sub> (μM) <sup>a</sup>
1	-	14	-
2	-	15	_
3	-	16	$26.3 \pm 1.2$
4	$20.4 \pm 1.3$	17	$10.2 \pm 1.2$
5	49.5 ± 2.6	18	_
6	-	19	_
7	-	20	-
8	$23.9 \pm 2.9$	21	$27.0 \pm 1.6$
9	$36.6 \pm 2.1$	22	$27.9 \pm 1.1$
10	$28.6 \pm 2.3$	23	_
11	$29.1 \pm 1.0$	24	_
12	$12.7 \pm 0.9$	25	_
13	$18.1 \pm 0.1$	26	$19.8 \pm 0.5$
Dexamethasone <sup>b</sup>	$10.1~\pm~0.4$		

 $^a$  Values were displayed as means  $\pm$  SD from triplicate experiments. " – " meant compounds inactive (inhibition rate <50% at 100  $\mu M).$ 

<sup>b</sup> Positive control.

comprising of an angenomalin moiety [19] and a *trans*-feruloyl group [3]. The linkage of C-5' – O – C-9" was established on the basis of the HMBC correlation from H<sub>2</sub>–5' ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.99 and 4.72) to C-9" ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  168.3). Thus, the 2D structure of **6** was elucidated as *trans*-feruloylangenomalin (Fig.2). In the ECD spectrum of **6** (Fig. 4), the sequential negative and positive Cotton effects at 300 nm and 342 nm, causing by the exciton coupling between the electric transition dipoles of coumarin nucleus and the *trans*-feruloyl moiety, implied a positive chirality (+) and an *S* absolute configuration for **6**.

Even though the exciton chirality rule is nonempirical due to its well-established theoretical basis, the way to predict the sign and intensity of an exciton couplet essentially depending on the geometry of transition dipoles is not completely accurate [43]. Thus, a biomimetic synthesis of 6 from 21, a known compound with the S absolute configuration assigned by comparison its specific rotation ( $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 189.3$ in MeOH) with the literature data [44] ( $[\alpha]_D^{23}$  + 181.0 in EtOH), and trans-ferulic acid (29) was carried out to further confirm the absolute configuration of 6 (Scheme 1). 28 was prepared by allylic oxidation of 21 using SeO<sub>2</sub> and TBHP [19,20] in 23% yield with 21 recovered in 48%. Esterification of the oxidation product 28 with the mon-TBS protected trans-ferulic acid using EDCl in the presence of a catalytic amount of DMAP afforded 31 in a yield of 56%. 6 was easily obtained by treatment 31 with TBAF to remove the TBS group. As shown in Fig.4, the ECD spectrum of the synthetic product 6 presented the same Cotton effects as those of the natural product 6. Moreover, both of them had the consistent specific rotation data in MeOH,  $\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{25}$  + 183.1 for the synthetic product and  $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 186.3$  for the natural product. Thus, the *S* absolute configuration of **6** was determined unambiguously, and the structure of **6** was defined as shown.

The other 20 known compounds were identified as notoptol (7) [24], methylnotoptol (8) [4], anhydronotoptol (9) [4], bergamottin (10) [45], notopterol (11) [7], methylnotopterol (12) [46], ethylnotopterol (13) [47], isoimperatorin (14) [47], bergapten (15) [48], imperatorin (16) [49], isobergapten (17) [48], pimpinellin (18) [48], nodakenetin (19) [3,50], nodakenin (20) [3,51], (S)-angenomalin (21) [19,44], seselin (22) [45], aurapten (23) [45], 7-O-prenylumbeliferone (24) [45], scopoletin (25) [52], and (S)-6-O-methylscorzocreticin (26) [53-56] by comparison of their physical and spectroscopic data with those in the literature. Compound 26, reported as a synthetic racemate previously [53,54], was isolated firstly as a natural product with S absolute configuration. The absolute configuration of 26 was determined by comparison of its ECD spectrum with that of mellein [55,56] (Fig. S56, Supplementary Data). Since compound 26 showed a positive Cotton effect at 236 nm and a negative Cotton effect at 256 nm, similar to the case of mellein, the absolute configuration of 26 was assigned as S. 6-Methoxyhydrangenol (27) [57], an analogue of 26 isolated from *N. franchetii*, gave a specific rotation data  $([\alpha]_D^{20} + 11)$  in MeOH), which was in agreement with that of **26** ( $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 9.1$  in MeOH). Thus, compound 27 processed an S absolute configuration as well.

According to the clinical application of *N. incisum*, which is used in TCM for treating the common cold and inflammatory diseases [2], inhibition against LPS-induced NO production in RAW 264.7 cells was employed to evaluate the anti-inflammatory activities of compounds 1-26, and their IC<sub>50</sub> values are presented in Table 3. It could be observed that most of the furocoumarins with a linear prenyl side-chain, except for compounds 1-3, 7, and 14, exhibited moderate inhibitory effects with IC50 values ranging from 12.7 to 49.5 µM. This indicated that compounds processing a peroxy group, such as 1-3, or an exposed hydroxy group at C-7', e.g. 5 and 7, might decrease the inhibitory activity. Furthermore, compounds with an O-isopentenyl group at C-8 (16,  $IC_{50} = 26.3 \,\mu\text{M}$ ) showed moderate inhibitory effects; but when the substituent group was at C-5, compounds became inactive (14,  $IC_{50} > 100 \,\mu$ M). Among the angular furocoumarins, 17 and 21 revealed similar NO inhibitory activities to those discussed above with IC50 values of 10.2 and 27.0 µM, respectively. Compound 17 exerted the most potent inhibitory effect among all the test compounds.

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by the National Key Technology R&D Program "New Drug Innovation" of China (No. 2018ZX09711001-008-003).

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fitote.2018.10.002.

#### References

- Chinese Pharmacopoeia Commission, Chinese Pharmacopeia (2015 Edition), China Medical Science Press, Beijing, 2015, pp. 182–183.
- [2] Editorial Committee of Chinese Materia Medica of State Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chinese Materia Medica, Shanghai Scientific & Technical Publishers, Shanghai, 1999, pp. 992–997.
- [3] Z. Gu, D. Zhang, X. Yang, M. Hattori, T. Namba, Isolation of two new coumarin glycosides from *Notopterygium forbesii* and evaluation of a Chinese crude drug, Qiang-Huo, the underground parts of *N. incisum* and *N. forbesii*, by high-performance liquid chromatography, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 38 (1990) 2498–2502.
- [4] M. Kozawa, M. Fukumoto, Y. Matsuyama, K. Baba, Chemical studies on the constituents of the Chinese crude drug "Quiang Huo", Chem. Pharm. Bull. 31 (1983) 2712–2717.
- [5] S. Wu, Y. Yu, Y. Hu, J. Hu, A new dimeric furanocoumarin from Notopterygium incisum, Chin. Chem. Lett. 19 (2008) 940–942.
- [6] X. Liu, O. Kunert, M. Blunder, N. Fakhrudin, S.M. Noha, C. Malainer, A. Schinkovitz, E.H. Heiss, A.G. Atanasov, M. Kollroser, D. Schuster, V.M. Dirsch, R. Bauer, Polyyne hybrid compounds from *Notopterygium incisum* with peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma agonistic effects, J. Nat. Prod. 77 (2014) 2513–2521.
  [7] E. Okuyama, S. Nishimura, S. Ohmori, Y. Ozaki, M. Satake, M. Yamazaki, Analgesic
- [7] E. Okuyama, S. Nishimura, S. Ohmori, Y. Ozaki, M. Satake, M. Yamazaki, Analgesic component of *Notopterygium incisum* Ting, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 41 (1993) 926–929.
- [8] S. Wu, Y. Zhao, H. Fan, Y. Hu, M.T. Hamann, J. Peng, C.M. Starks, M. O'Neil-Johnson, J. Hu, New guaiane sesquiterpenes and furanocoumarins from *Notopterygium incisum*, Planta Med. 74 (2008) 1812–1817.
- [9] M. You, J. Xiong, Y. Zhao, L. Cao, S. Wu, G. Xia, J. Hu, Glycosides from the methanol extract of *Notopterygium incisum*, Planta Med. 77 (2011) 1939–1943.
- [10] K. Xu, S. Jiang, H. Sun, Y. Zhou, X. Xu, S. Peng, L. Ding, New alkaloids from the seeds of *Notopterygium incisum*, Nat. Prod. Res. 26 (2012) 1898–1903.
- [11] S.Y. Tang, I.K. Cheah, H. Wang, B. Halliwell, *Notopterygium forbesii* Boiss extract and its active constituent phenethyl ferulate attenuate pro-inflammatory responses to lipopolysaccharide in RAW 264.7 macrophages. A "protective" role for oxidative stress? Chem. Res. Toxicol. 22 (2009) 1473–1482.
- [12] H. Cao, R. Yu, Y. Choi, Z. Ma, H. Zhang, W. Xiang, D.Y. Lee, B.M. Berman, K.D. Moudgil, H.H.S. Fong, R.B. van Breemen, Discovery of cyclooxygenase inhibitors from medicinal plants used to treat inflammation, Pharmacol. Res. 61 (2010) 519–524.
- [13] M. Blunder, X. Liu, O. Kunert, N.A. Winkler, A. Schinkovitz, C. Schmiderer, J. Novak, R. Bauer, Polyacetylenes from radix et rhizoma Notopterygii incisi with an inhibitory effect on nitric oxide production *in vitro*, Planta Med. 80 (2014) 415–418.
- [14] S. Wu, F. Pang, Y. Wen, H. Zhang, Z. Zhao, J. Hu, Antiproliferative and apoptotic activities of linear furocoumarins from *Notopterygium incisum* on cancer cell lines, Planta Med. 76 (2010) 82–85.
- [15] Q.C. Chen, J.P. Lee, W.Y. Jin, U.J. Youn, H.J. Kim, I.S. Lee, X.F. Zhang, K.S. Song, Y.H. Seong, K.H. Bae, Cytotoxic constituents from *Angelicae sinensis* radix, Arch. Pharm. Res. 30 (2007) 565–569.
- [16] H.R. Jin, J. Zhao, Z. Zhang, Y. Liao, C.Z. Wang, W.H. Huang, S.P. Li, T.C. He, C.S. Yuan, W. Du, The antitumor natural compound falcarindiol promotes cancer cell death by inducing endoplasmic reticulum stress, Cell Death Dis. 3 (2012) e376.
- [17] C.Z. Wang, Z. Zhang, W.H. Huang, G.J. Du, X.D. Wen, T. Calway, C. Yu, R. Nass, J. Zhao, W. Du, S.P. Li, C.S. Yuan, Identification of potential anticancer compounds from *Oplopanax horridus*, Phytomedicine 20 (2013) 999–1006.
- [18] S. Mitsui, K. Torii, H. Fukui, K. Tsujimura, A. Maeda, M. Nose, A. Nagatsu, H. Mizukami, A. Morita, The herbal medicine compound falcarindiol from Notopterygii rhizoma suppresses dendritic cell maturation, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 333 (2010) 954–960.
- [19] S. Yamaguchi, R. Miyakawa, S. Yonezawa, Y. Kawase, The synthesis of some dimethylpyranocoumarins and isopropenyldihydrofuranocoumarins, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 62 (1989) 3593–3597.
- [20] R.A. Heald, T.S. Dexheimer, H. Vankayalapati, A. Siddiqui-Jain, L.Z. Szabo, M.C. Gleason-Guzman, L.H. Hurley, Conformationally restricted analogues of psorospermin: design, synthesis, and bioactivity of natural-product-related bisfuranoxanthones, J. Med. Chem. 48 (2005) 2993–3004.
- [21] A.K.F. Albertson, J. Lumb, A bio-inspired total synthesis of tetrahydrofuran lignans, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 54 (2015) 2204–2208.
- [22] S. He, K. Zeng, Y. Jiang, P. Tu, Nitric oxide inhibitory constituents from the barks of *Cinnamomum cassia*, Fitoterapia 112 (2016) 153–160.
- [23] Y. Jiang, K.W. Zeng, B. David, G. Massiot, Constituents of Vigna angularis and their in vitro anti-inflammatory activity, Phytochemistry 107 (2014) 111–118.

- [24] P.C. Stevenson, M.S.J. Simmonds, M.A. Yule, N.C. Veitch, G.C. Kite, D. Irwin, M. Legg, Insect antifeedant furanocoumarins from *Tetradium daniellii*, Phytochemistry 63 (2003) 41–46.
- [25] G.A. Olah, D.G. Parker, N. Yoneda, F. Pelizza, Oxyfunctionalization of hydrocarbons. 1. Protolytic cleavage-rearrangement reactions of tertiary alkyl hydroperoxides with magic acid, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98 (1976) 2245–2250.
- [26] X. Su, H. Huang, W. Hong, J. Cui, M. Yu, Y. Li, Alkyl radical triggered in situ SO<sub>2</sub>capture cascades, Chem. Commun. 53 (2017) 13324–13327.
- [27] D.A. Casteel, Peroxy natural products, Nat. Prod. Rep. 9 (1992) 289-312.
- [28] D.A. Casteel, Peroxy natural products, Nat. Prod. Rep. 16 (1999) 55–73.
- [29] M. Hiroi, D. Takaoka, Studies on the peel oil of *Citrus iyo*, Nippon Kagaku Kaishi (1973) (1973) 1339–1344.
  [30] A. Kobayashi, K. Nohara, E. Ohsumi, T. Yamanishi, Identification of di(1-oxyalkyl)-
- [30] A. Kobayashi, K. Kohata, E. Ohshill, T. Hananshi, Refinited on of the organization of the sential oil of *Citrus iyo*, Agric. Biol. Chem. 54 (1990) 561–562.
   [31] H. Kameoka, K. Kubo, M. Miyazawa, Volatile flavor components of Malabar-
- nightshade (Basella rubra L.), J. Food Compos. Anal. 4 (1991) 315–321.
  [32] J.J.K. Wright, Y. Merill, M.S. Puar, A.T. McPhail, Structure of oxanthromicin (antibiotic 16–550), a novel dimeric anthrone peroxide, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1984) 473–474.
- [33] H. Yang, A. Hou, S. Mei, H. Sun, C. Che, Constituents of *Clerodendrum bungei*, J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res. 4 (2002) 165–169.
- [34] A. Lavaud, P. Richomme, J. Gatto, M.-C. Aumond, C. Poullain, M. Litaudon, R. Andriantsitohaina, D. Guilet, A tocotrienol series with an oxidative terminal prenyl unit from *Garcinia amplexicaulis*, Phytochemistry 109 (2015) 103–110.
- [35] T. Koiwa, T. Nakano, S. Takahashi, H. Koshino, M. Yamazaki, T. Takashi, A. Nakagawa, Adxanthromycin: a new inhibitor of ICAM-I/LFA-1 mediated cell adhesion from *Streptomyces* sp. NA-148, J. Antibiot. 52 (1999) 198–200.
- [36] T. Nakano, T. Koiwa, S. Takahashi, A. Nakagawa, Adxanthromycins A and B, new inhibitors of ICAM-1/LFA-1 mediated cell adhesion molecule from *Streptomyces* sp. NA-148, J. Antibiot. 53 (2000) 12–18.
- [37] B. Tirillini, G. Verdelli, F. Paolocci, P. Ciccioli, M. Frattoni, The volatile organic compounds from the mycelium of *Tuber borchii* Vitt, Phytochemistry 55 (2000) 983–985.
- [38] G. MacLeod, J.M. Ames, Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry of the volatile components of cooked scorzonera, Phytochemistry 30 (1991) 883–888.
- [39] E.D. Jordan, T.C.Y. Hsieh, N.H. Fischer, Volatiles from litter and soil associated with Ceratiola ericoides, Phytochemistry 33 (1993) 299–302.
- [40] G. Snatzke, U. Wagner, H.P. Wolff, Circulardichroism—LXXV: cottonogenic derivatives of chiral bidentate ligands with the complex, Mo<sub>2</sub>(O<sub>2</sub>CCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, Tetrahedron 37 (1981) 349–361.
- [41] J. Frelek, M. Geiger, W. Voelter, Transition metal complexes as auxiliary chromophores in chiroptical studies on carbohydrates, Curr. Org. Chem. 3 (1999) 117–146.
- [42] L. Di Bari, G. Pescitelli, C. Pratelli, D. Pini, P. Salvadori, Determination of absolute configuration of acyclic 1,2-diols with Mo<sub>2</sub>(OAc)<sub>4</sub>. 1. Snatzke's method revisited, J. Organomet. Chem. 66 (2001) 4819–4825.
- [43] G. Pescitelli, L. Di Bari, Revision of the absolute configuration of preussilides a F established by the exciton chirality method, J. Nat. Prod. 80 (2017) 2855–2859.
- [44] S. Yamaguchi, S. Muro, M. Kobayashi, M. Miyazawa, Y. Hirai, Absolute structures of some naturally occurring isopropenyldihydrobenzofurans, remirol, remiridiol, angenomalin, and isoangenomalin, J. Organomet. Chem. 68 (2003) 6274–6278.
- [45] S. Marumoto, M. Miyazawa, Structure–activity relationships for naturally occurring coumarins as β-secretase inhibitor, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 20 (2012) 784–788.
- [46] G. Kou, Y. Zhang, X. Yang, R. Rong, O-Methylnotopterol, a new natural product from the roots and rhizomes of *Notopterygium incisum*, Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi 35 (2010) 1134–1136.
- [47] Y.Q. Xiao, X.H. Liu, Y.F. Sun, K. Baba, M. Taniguchi, M. Kozawa, Three new furocoumarins from *Notopterygium incisum* Ting, Chin. Chem. Lett. 5 (1994) 593–596.
- [48] T. ONeill, J.A. Johnson, D. Webster, C.A. Gray, The Canadian medicinal plant *Heracleum maximum* contains antimycobacterial diynes and furanocoumarins, J. Ethnopharmacol. 147 (2013) 232–237.
- [49] T. Masuda, M. Takasugi, M. Anetai, Psoralen and other linear furanocoumarins as phytoalexins in *Glehnia littoralis*, Phytochemistry 47 (1998) 13–16.
- [50] R. Liu, Q. Sun, Y. Shi, L. Kong, Isolation and purification of coumarin compounds from the root of *Peucedanum decursivum* (Miq.) Maxim by high-speed counter-current chromatography, J. Chromatogr. A 1076 (2005) 127–132.
- [51] J. Lemmich, S. Havelund, O. Thastrup, Dihydrofurocoumarin glucosides from Angelica archangelica and Angelica silvestris, Phytochemistry 22 (1983) 553–555.
- [52] O.S. Chaves, Y.C. Teles, M.M. Monteiro, L.D. Mendes Junior, M.F. Agra, V.A. Braga, T.M. Silva, M.F. Souza, Alkaloids and phenolic compounds from *Sida rhombifolia* L. (Malvaceae) and vasorelaxant activity of two indoquinoline alkaloids, Molecules 22 (2017) 94.
- [53] R.S. Mali, K.N. Babu, P.G. Jagtap, Expedient syntheses of naturally occurring (±)-3-benzylphthalides and (±)-3-aryl-8-hydroxy-3,4-dihydroisocoumarins: structure revision of the (±)-3-benzylphthalide isolated from *Frullania falciloba*, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 (2001) 3017–3019.
- [54] A. Saeed, Synthesis of 6-O-methyl ether of scorzocreticin and scorzocreticoside I, metabolites from Sorzonera cretica, J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res. 8 (2006) 417–423.
- [55] S. Paraschos, P. Magiatis, E. Kalpoutzakis, C. Harvala, A. Skaltsounis, Three new dihydroisocoumarins from the Greek endemic species *Scorzonera cretica*, J. Nat. Prod. 64 (2001) 1585–1587.
- [56] A. Hisao, Die absolutkonfiguration des melleins, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 41 (1968) 2541.
- [57] Y. Li, F. Luo, S. Peng, J. Liang, L. Ding, A new dihydroisocoumarin from the rhizomes of *Notopterygium forbesii*, Nat. Prod. Res. 20 (2006) 860–865.