Paper

A Regioselective Approach to C3-Aroylcoumarins via Cobalt-Catalyzed C(sp²)–H Activation Carbonylation of Coumarins

Rahim Pashazadeh ^{*a} [®] Saideh Rajai-Daryasarei^b Siyavash Mirzaei^c Mehdi Soheilizad^d Samira Ansari^d Meisam Shabanian^e

^a SOHA Pharmaceutical Company, PO BOX 31999-98461, Karaj, Iran pashazadeh.rahim@yahoo.com

^b School of Chemistry, College of Science, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran ^c Department of Genetics, Royan Institute for Reproductive Biomedicine,

ACECR, Tehran, Iran

- ^d CinnaGen Medical Biotechnology Research Center, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj, Iran
- ^e Faculty of Chemistry and Petrochemical Engineering, Standard Research Institute (SRI) Karaj, Karaj, Iran

Received: 22.01.2019 Accepted after revision: 12.03.2019 Published online: 02.04.2019 DOI: 10.1055/s-0037-1610702; Art ID: ss-2019-z0043-op

Abstract A new cobalt-catalyzed C–H bond activation of coumarins with aryl halides or pseudohalides and carbon monoxide insertion to give various 3-aroylcoumarin derivatives is described. It is the first time that CO as C1 feedstock is used as the coupling partners in cobalt-catalyzed regioselective coumarin C–H functionalization reactions. Upon activation with manganese powder, the Co catalyzes the C–H bond activation carbonylation reactions of aryl iodides, bromides, and even triflates under mild conditions, providing the regioselective aroylated products in moderate to good yields.

Key words cobalt-catalyzed, C–H activation, carbon monoxide, carbonylation, 3-aroylcoumarin

The direct C-H bond activation and functionalization method has emerged as a straightforward and step-economical synthetic methodology for the sustainable synthesis of diverse organic structures.¹ Construction of C-C bonds is one of the most facile and powerful strategies in organic chemistry, and ether containing compounds are widely employed in the synthesis of complex molecules and functional materials, which this methodology facilitated by using transition metals.² Indeed, utilization of metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions for the development of new C-C bonds formation is still progressing impressively and is certainly an undeniable strategy for the chemists. In recent years, tremendous breakthroughs have been achieved in transition-metal-catalyzed C-H bond carbonylation with carbon monoxide as C1 feedstock and represents one of the most atom-economic and efficient ways to construct carbonyl compounds.³ Because cobalt metal is abundant, more



Table 1 Optimization of Cross-Coupling Carbonylation Reaction^a



Entry Catalyst system: Changes from the standard conditions Yield (%)^b

1	none	77
2	without CoBr ₂	ND
3	without 2,2'-bipyridine	23
4	without Mn powder	ND
5	Mn powder (2 equiv)	62
6	Col ₂ in place of CoBr ₂	43
7	Co(OAc) ₂ in place of CoBr ₂	40
8	$Co(OAc)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ in place of $CoBr_2$	57
9	1,10-phenanthroline in place of 2,2'-bipyridine	65
10	PPh_3 in place of 2,2'-bipyridine	36
11	Cu in place of Mn	46
12	Zn in place of Mn	52
13	toluene in place of MeCN	63
14	1,4-dioxane in place of MeCN	30
15	PhCl in place of MeCN	45
16	DMA in place of MeCN	<5
17	NMP in place of MeCN	21
18	DMSO in place of MeCN	ND

^a Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.6 mmol), **2a** (0.5 mmol), catalyst (7.0 mol %), solvent (3 mL), CO (1 atm), at 80 $^{\circ}$ C for 16 h in a sealed reaction tube. ^b Isolated yields. ND: Not detected. R. Pashazadeh et al.

В

environmentally friendly, and less expensive compared with noble metals, cobalt catalysts have received significant attention due to their high activities in C-H functionalizations.⁴ In 1956, Murahashi and Horiie showed an elegant method for the carbonylation reactions using a simple, commercially available cobalt(II) precursor via chelationassisted C-H activation process.⁵ In spite of undisputed advances in the cross-coupling reactions field, Co-catalyzed C-H carbonylation has attracted significant fondness due to the wide presence of carbonyl groups in organic and medicinal chemistry.⁶ Particularly, C-C bond formation by using low-valent cobalt catalysis in the presence of manganese powder as the reducing agent has witnessed major progress, which illustrates the high importance and application of Co(II)/Mn catalytic system in the cross-coupling reactions.⁷ To continue our efforts on metal-free heterocyclic C-H functionalization⁸ and palladium-catalyzed carbonylation aroylation of coumarins (Scheme 1a),⁹ we disclose here the cobalt-catalyzed C3-aroylation of coumarins with aryl halides and aryl triflates through an sp² C-H bond carbonvlation functionalization reaction (Scheme 1b). This process represents the first example of aroylation cross-coupling reactions on a coumarin platform via a regioselective sp² C-H functionalization process with cobalt catalysis in a carbon monoxide atmosphere (Scheme 1).



The regioselective aroylation of coumarin (**2a**) was carried out under CO (1 atm) at 80 °C in the presence of Co-Br₂/Bpy¹⁰ (Bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine) and Mn powder (3.0 equiv) in acetonitrile (Table 1). Under the standard conditions, 3-benzoylcoumarin (**3a**) was obtained in 77% yield (Table 1, entry 1). Without CoBr₂, product **3a** was not obtained (entry 2). In the absence of 2,2'-bipyridine, the reaction led to reduced synthesis of product **3a** (entry 3). Without the addition of Mn powder, the C-H activation aroylation did not proceed at all (entry 4). When the amount of manganese powder was reduced to 2.0 equivalents, the yield of **3a** was decreased to 62% (entry 5). Furthermore, use of CoI₂, Co(OAc)₂, and Co(OAc)₂·4H₂O as the cobalt sources did not give any interesting results (entries 6–8). Upon subsequent screening of 1,10-phenanthroline and PPh₃ ligands (entries 9 and 10), we identified that 1,10-phenanthroline has slightly higher ligand activity (entry 9) but PPh₃ afforded a lower yield of product **3a** (entry 10). Other reducing agents such as Cu and Zn powder gave **3a** in only moderate yields (entries 11 and 12). Low conversion into 3-benzoylcoumarin (**3a**) was observed when other solvents such as toluene, 1,4-dioxane, and PhCl were employed (entries 13–15). It is necessary to mention that the use of different polar aprotic solvents such as DMA, NMP, and DMSO did not increase the yield of the desired product (entries 16–18).

To know the scope of the present cobalt-catalyzed C-H activation functionalization, various substituted aryl iodides **1** and coumarins **2** were tested under the optimized reaction conditions (Scheme 2). Substrates bearing electron-donating groups, such as Me, iPr, and OMe, could participate in the reaction smoothly to give the desired products **3b**, **3c**, and **3d**, respectively, in good yields (72-82%). Steric hindrance was observed for an electron-donating OMe group at ortho-position of iodobenzene and relatively lower yield was obtained (3e, 70%). Iodobenzenes bearing electron-rich aryl groups 1f and 1g behaved similarly generating the 3-aroylcoumarins **3f**,**g** in good yields. The halogen groups (F and Cl) at the para-position were also tolerated, and the corresponding C-H activation products 3h and **3i** were obtained in 68% and 72% yield, respectively. We were pleased to find that coumarins with different substituents such as 6-methyl, 7-methoxy, 6-chloro, and 6-bromo survived well under the reaction conditions (\rightarrow **3j–o**, 72– 84% yields). Pleasingly, 2-iodothiophene with coumarin (2a) and 6-methylcoumarin (2b) survived the reaction conditions and were successfully transformed into the corresponding products **3p** and **3q** in good yields. When 6-nitrocoumarin (2f) was examined, no desired product 3r was detected.

Furthermore, we set out to explore the scope with a wide range of aryl bromides and aryl triflates for the carbonylation coupling reaction (Scheme 3). Moderate to good yields of coupled products were obtained with neutral, various electron-donating, and electron-deficient aryl bromides, but aryl triflates proved to be less efficient as double C–C coupling partner, resulting in the aroylated products in low yields. However, 2,6-diisopropylphenyl triflate did not give the 3-aroylcoumarin **3v**.

To test chemoselective carbonylation mediated by CO, we examined the benzene derivative **1k**, which is substituted with two different halogens: iodide and bromide. Selective C–I bond cleavage carbonylation led to product **3w** in 75% yield under the standard reaction conditions (Scheme 4).

Based on the above studies and relevant literature,⁷ a plausible regioselective aroylation mechanism is proposed in Scheme 5. Initially, the $CoBr_2/Bpy$ as the catalytic system is reduced to the electron-rich Co(0) species by the manga-

Syn thesis

R. Pashazadeh et al.

2a-1

1a-i





С

Scheme 2 Carbonylation of aryl iodides with a range of coumarin derivatives. *Reagents and conditions*: **1** (0.6 mmol), **2** (0.5 mmol), catalyst (7.0 mol%), MeCN (3 mL), CO (1 atm), at 80 °C for 16 h in a sealed reaction tube. Isolated yields are given.

nese reductant. The oxidative addition of the C–I bond to low-valent cobalt occurs to form organocobalt species **A**, followed by coordination and the migratory insertion of a carbonyl moiety to generate the benzoylcobalt intermediate **C**. Then, the subsequent addition of Co-complex **C** into the C3(sp²)–H bond of coumarin (**2a**) through migratory insertion takes place to give rise to a coumarincobalt(II) complex **D**, which the undergoes the β -hydride elimination to generate the aroylated product **3a** and liberate the H– Co(II)–I species **E**. The reduction of Co(II) **E** to Co(0) by Mn continues the cycle. Finally, reduction of **E** with manganese affords the corresponding zerovalent cobalt catalytic species for regeneration.

In summary, we have established an efficient and convenient approach for the regioselective $C(sp^2)$ –H bond carbonylation of coumarins using stable, inexpensive, and commercially available $CoBr_2$ as the catalyst, Mn powder as the best reducing agent, and easily handling carbon monox-

ide as the carbonyl source. This protocol could significantly simplify provide new insight into Co-catalyzed C–H functionalization for the formation of two C–C bonds, which paves the way for other Co-catalyzed carbon–carbon bond cross-coupling carbonylation reactions.

All reactions were carried out in oven-dried Schlenk tubes under a CO atmosphere using a balloon filled with CO. All chemicals were purchased from Merck (Germany) and were used without further purification. Mn powder (\geq 99%) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and stored under a N₂ atmosphere. CoBr₂/Bbpy was prepared according to a published method.¹⁰ Aryl triflates were synthesized according to literature procedures.¹¹ Melting points were measured on an Electrothermal 9100 apparatus and are uncorrected. FT-IR spectra were obtained on a Unicom Galaxy Series FT-IR 5000 spectrophotometer. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were measured with a Waters Micromass GCT instrument. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded (CDCl₃ solution with TMS as an internal standard) on a Bruker DRX-

Syn thesis

R. Pashazadeh et al.



D

Scheme 3 Carbonylation of aryl bromides and aryl triflates with a range of coumarins. *Reagents and conditions*: **1** (0.6 mmol), **2** (0.5 mmol), catalyst (7.0 mol%), MeCN (3 mL), CO (1 atm), at 80 °C for 16 h in a sealed reaction tube. Isolated yields are given.



Scheme 4 Chemoselectivity on a single substrate. *Reagents and conditions*: **1k** (0.6 mmol), **2a** (0.5 mmol), catalyst (7.0 mol%), MeCN (3 mL), CO (1 atm), at 80 °C for 16 h in a sealed reaction tube. Isolated yields are given.

500 Avance (at 500.1 and 125.8 MHz) or a Bruker DRX-300 Avance III (at 300.1 and 75.4 MHz) instrument. Elemental analyses for C and H were performed using an ECS 4010 CHNSO analyzer. Chromatography columns were prepared from Merck silica gel (230–240 mesh).

3-Aroylcoumarins 3; General Procedure

In an oven-dried Schlenk tube equipped with a stir bar, $CoBr_2/Bpy$ (7 mol%, 13.1 mg), and Mn powder (1.5 mmol, 82 mg) were placed in MeCN (1.5 mL). The reaction mixture was activated by a trace of trifluoroacetic acid (0.1 mmol, 7.6 µL). Then, the mixture was stirred for 10 min at r.t. In a vial, aryl halide **1** or aryl triflate **1** (0.6 mmol, 1.2 equiv) and coumarin **2** (0.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv) were dissolved in MeCN (1.5 mL) and added to the Schlenk tube. Next, a balloon filled with CO (1 atm) was connected to the Schlenk tube by the side tube and the system was purged three times. The Schlenk tube was heated at 80 °C for 16 h. When the reaction was completed (detected by TLC), the mixture was cooled to r.t. The reaction was quenched with aq 2 N HCI



Scheme 5 Proposed mechanism of Co-catalyzed carbonylation reaction

or NH₄Cl (20 mL) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (anhyd Na₂SO₄) and evaporated in vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography (*n*-hexane/EtOAc 6:1) on silica gel to afford the corresponding **3**.

3-Benzoyl-2H-chromen-2-one (3a)^{12a}

Yield: 0.096 g (77%); white solid; mp 132–134 °C (Lit.^{12a} mp 134–136 °C).

R. Pashazadeh et al.

IR (KBr): 3054, 1715, 1601, 1491, 1240, 1045, 798 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (300.1 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.10 (s, 1 H), 7.90 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.55–7.76 (m, 3 H), 7.49 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.28–7.46 (m, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 191.7, 158.5, 154.8, 145.6, 136.2,

133.9, 133.7, 129.6, 129.3, 128.6, 126.9, 125.0, 118.2, 116.9.

3-(4-Methylbenzoyl)-2H-chromen-2-one (3b)^{12b}

Yield: 0.104 g (79%); colorless crystals; mp 130–132 $^\circ C$ (Lit.12b mp 132–133 $^\circ C).$

IR (KBr): 3064, 1710, 1662, 1608, 1567, 1240, 1095, 758 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (300.1 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.06 (s, 1 H), 7.80 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2 H), 7.59–7.67 (m, 2 H), 7.27–7.42 (m, 4 H), 2.43 (s, 3 H, CH₃).

¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 191.3, 158.5, 154.7, 145.1, 144.9, 133.6, 133.5, 129.8, 129.4, 129.2, 127.2, 124.9, 118.2, 116.9, 21.8.

3-(4-Isopropylbenzoyl)-2H-chromen-2-one (3c)^{12c}

Yield: 0.105 g (72%); white solid; mp 134–136 °C (Lit.^{12c} mp 135–136 °C). IR (KBr): 3068, 3042, 2889, 1721, 1659, 1603, 1549, 1252, 1141, 770 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (500.1 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.04 (s, 1 H), 7.83 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.63 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.59 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.29–7.36 (m, 4 H), 2.97 (sept, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1 H, CH), 1.27 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 6 H, 2 × CH₃).

¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 191.2, 158.6, 155.6, 154.7, 145.0, 134.0, 133.5, 130.0, 129.2, 127.3, 126.8, 125.0, 118.2, 116.9, 34.4, 23.7.

3-(4-Methoxybenzoyl)-2H-chromen-2-one (3d)^{12d}

Yield: 0.114 g (82%); white solid; mp 171–173 °C (Lit.^{12d} mp 174–175 °C). IR (KBr): 3075, 1714, 1643, 1605, 1587, 1256, 1166, 755 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (300.1 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.03 (s, 1 H), 7.89 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.59–7.67 (m, 2 H), 7.41 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.35 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.96 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 3.89 (s, 3 H, OCH₃).

 ^{13}C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 190.0, 164.3, 158.6, 154.6, 144.7, 133.4, 132.2, 129.1, 128.9, 127.5, 124.9, 118.3, 116.9, 113.9, 55.6.

3-(2-Methoxybenzoyl)-2H-chromen-2-one (3e)^{12b}

Yield: 0.098 g (70%); white solid; mp 155–156 °C (Lit.^{12b} mp 158–159 °C). IR (KBr): 3032, 2923, 2856, 1737, 1642, 1618, 1563, 1239, 745 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300.1 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.18 (s, 1 H). 7.78 (dd, *J* = 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.57–7.63 (m, 2 H), 7.54 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.32–7.40 (m, 2 H), 7.10 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.95 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (s, 3 H, OCH₃). ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 190.8, 158.9, 158.7, 154.7, 143.7,

¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃): 8 = 190.8, 158.9, 158.7, 154.7, 143.7, 134.4, 133.2, 130.7, 129.5, 129.4, 127.6, 124.7, 121.1, 118.7, 116.7, 111.4, 55.8.

3-(3,4-Dimethoxybenzoyl)-2H-chromen-2-one (3f)^{12b}

Yield: 0.117 g (76%); white solid; mp 196–198 °C (Lit.^{12b} mp 193–194 °C). IR (KBr): 3069, 3046, 1701, 1652, 1605, 1580, 1243, 1066, 782 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (500.1 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 8.03$ (s, 1 H), 7.66 (td, J = 8.7, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.61 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.57 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.45 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.43 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.37 (td, J = 7.7, 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.90 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.98 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.97 (s, 3 H, OCH₃).

 ^{13}C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 190.1, 158.7, 154.7, 154.2, 149.3, 144.5, 133.4, 129.2, 129.0, 127.6, 125.6, 125.0, 118.3, 116.9, 111.0, 109.9, 56.2, 56.1.

3-(3,4,5-Trimethoxybenzoyl)-2H-chromen-2-one (3g)

Yield: 0.127 g (73%); white solid; mp 208–210 °C.

IR (KBr): 3012, 2876, 1692, 1651, 1603, 1512, 1238, 1065, 790 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (500.1 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.08 (s, 1 H), 7.68 (td, *J* = 7.6, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.62 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.43 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.38 (td, *J* = 7.7, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.16 (s, 2 H), 3.96 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.89 (s, 6 H, 2 × OCH₃).

¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 190.5, 158.5, 154.7, 153.1, 145.2, 143.5, 133.7, 131.2, 129.2, 127.2, 125.1, 118.2, 117.0, 107.3, 61.0, 56.4. Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{16}O_6$: C, 67.06; H, 4.74. Found: C, 67.21; H, 4.69.

3-(4-Fluorobenzoyl)-2H-chromen-2-one (3h)^{12b}

Yield: 0.091 g (68%); white solid; mp 162–164 °C (Lit.^{12b} mp 167–168 °C). IR (KBr): 3054, 1710, 1658, 1601, 1597, 1240, 1162, 761 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (500.1 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.12 (s, 1 H), 7.86 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 8.9 Hz, ${}^{4}J_{F,H}$ = 5.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.67 (td, *J* = 7.4, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.62 (d, *J* = 7.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.41 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.37 (td, *J* = 7.7, 1 Hz, 1 H), 7.11 (t, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2 H).

¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 190.2, 166.3 (d, ¹*J*_{CF} = 288.0 Hz, C), 158.5, 154.8, 145.8, 133.9, 132.3 (d, ³*J*_{CF} = 9.6 Hz, 2 × CH), 129.3, 126.8, 125.1, 118.2, 116.9, 115.9 (d, ²*J*_{CF} = 22.2 Hz, 2 × CH).

3-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)-2H-chromen-2-one (3i)^{12b}

Yield: 0.102 g (72%); white solid; mp 205–207 $^{\circ}C$ (Lit. 12b mp 205–206 $^{\circ}C$).

IR (KBr): 3069, 1715, 1659, 1607, 1571, 1231, 1074, 752 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (300.1 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.14 (s, 1 H), 7.83 (dd, *J* = 7.4, 2.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.62–7.70 (m, 2 H), 7.32–7.57 (m, 4 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 190.6, 158.1, 154.8, 146.2, 140.3, 134.6, 133.9, 130.9, 129.4, 128.9, 126.5, 125.1, 118.1, 116.9.

3-Benzoyl-6-methyl-2H-chromen-2-one (3j)^{12a}

Yield: 0.110 g (84%); white solid; mp 171–172 °C (Lit.^{12a} mp 174 °C). IR (KBr): 3094, 1726, 1659, 1612, 1490, 1250, 1152, 701 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300.1 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.03 (s, 1 H), 7.87–7.89 (m, 2 H),

7.30–7.61 (m, 6 H), 2.43 (s, 3 H, CH_3).

 ^{13}C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 191.9, 158.7, 152.9, 145.6, 145.5, 136.3, 134.9, 133.8, 129.6, 128.9, 128.6, 126.7, 117.9, 116.6, 20.8.

3-(4-Fluorobenzoyl)-6-methyl-2H-chromen-2-one (3k)^{12a}

Yield: 0.112 g (80%); white solid; mp 161–163 °C (Lit.^{12a} mp 158–160 °C). IR (KBr): 3051, 1712, 1647, 1601, 1247, 1154, 775 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (300.1 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.01 (s, 1 H), 7.79 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.45 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.38 (s, 1 H), 7.20–7.31 (m, 3 H), 2.44 (s, 6 H). ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 191.4, 158.8, 152.9, 145.2, 144.9, 134.8, 134.7, 133.7, 129.8, 129.3, 128.8, 127.1, 117.9, 116.6, 21.8, 20.8.

3-(4-Methoxybenzoyl)-6-methyl-2H-chromen-2-one (31)9

Yield: 0.116 g (79%); white solid; mp 204–206 °C (Lit.⁹ mp 206–207 °C). IR (KBr): 3028, 1724, 1657, 1570, 1532, 1244, 1209, 738 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (500.1 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 2.45 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 3.90 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 6.96 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2 H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.38 (s, 1 H), 7.45 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.89 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.99 (s, 1 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 190.3, 164.3, 158.9, 152.9, 144.9, 134.9, 134.6, 132.3, 129.2, 128.8, 127.4, 118.1, 116.7, 113.9, 55.7, 20.9.

R. Pashazadeh et al.

3-Benzoyl-7-methoxy-2H-chromen-2-one (3m)^{12d}

Yield: 0.103 g (74%); white solid; mp 150–152 °C (Lit.^{12d} mp 152–153 °C). IR (KBr): 3093, 1713, 1654, 1373, 1227, 867, 765 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (500.1 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.09 (s, 1 H), 7.85 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 1.1 Hz, 2 H), 7.60 (tt, *J* = 7.4, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.50 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.48 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 6.91 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.86 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.92 (s, 3 H, OCH₃).

 ^{13}C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 192.1, 164.7, 158.8, 157.1, 146.6, 136.8, 133.5, 130.6, 129.6, 128.5, 122.8, 113.6, 111.9, 100.7, 56.1.

6-Chloro-3-(4-fluorobenzoyl)-2H-chromen-2-one (3n)^{12a}

Yield: 0.117 g (83%); white solid; mp 160–162 °C (Lit.^{12a} mp 162–164 °C). IR (KBr): 3073, 1723, 1651, 1239, 1227, 685 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (500.1 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.00 (s, 1 H), 7.88 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.65 (td, *J* = 7.4, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.58–7.62 (m, 2 H), 7.50 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.37 (d, *J* = 9.7 Hz, 1 H).

¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 191.2, 157.9, 153.1, 143.9, 135.9, 134.1, 133.5, 130.3, 129.7, 128.8, 128.3, 128.2, 119.2, 118.4.

6-Bromo-3-(4-chlorobenzoyl)-2H-chromen-2-one (3o)^{12e}

Yield: 0.118 g (72%); white solid; mp 170–172 $^\circ C$ (Lit.^{12e} mp 171.2–172.1 $^\circ C$).

IR (KBr): 3073, 1717, 1658, 1563, 1239, 828 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (500.1 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.99 (s, 1 H), 7.87 (dd, *J* = 7.3, 1.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.72–7.74 (m, 2 H), 7.63 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.49 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.30 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1 H).

¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 191.2, 157.8, 153.5, 143.9, 136.3, 135.9, 134.1, 131.4, 129.7, 128.8, 128.1, 119.7, 118.7, 117.6.

3-(Thiophene-2-carbonyl)-2H-chromen-2-one (3p)^{12d}

Yield: 0.098 g (77%); white solid; mp 146–148 °C (Lit.^{12d} mp 148–150 °C). IR (KBr): 3091, 1724, 1630, 1609, 1402, 1238, 758 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (500.1 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.1 (s, 1 H), 7.77 (dd, *J* = 4.9, 0.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.72 (dd, *J* = 3.9, 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.66 (td, *J* = 8.6, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.62 (dd, *J* = 7.7, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.39 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.36 (td, *J* = 7.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.16 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 4.0 Hz, 1 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 183.0, 158.3, 154.6, 144.8, 142.9, 135.9, 135.3, 133.8, 129.3, 128.5, 126.7, 125.1, 118.0, 116.9.

6-Methyl-3-(thiophene-2-carbonyl)-2H-chromen-2-one (3q)

Yield: 0.097 g (72%); white solid; mp 140-142 °C.

IR (KBr): 3092, 2990, 2786, 1725, 1631, 1601, 1416, 1250, 726 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (500.1 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.05 (s, 1 H), 7.76 (dd, *J* = 4.9, 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.72 (dd, *J* = 3.9, 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.45 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2 Hz, 1 H), 7.39 (s, 1 H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.16 (dd, *J* = 4.9, 3.9 Hz, 1 H), 2.44 (s, 3 H). ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 183.2, 158.5, 152.8, 144.9, 142.9, 135.8, 135.3, 134.9, 134.8, 128.9, 128.5, 126.6, 117.8, 116.6, 20.8. Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₀O₃S: C, 66.65; H, 3.72; S, 11.86. Found: C, 66.79,

H, 3.61; S, 11.95.

3-(3-Methylbenzoyl)-2H-chromen-2-one (3s)⁹

Yield: 0.087 g (66%); white solid; mp 110–112 °C (Lit.⁹ mp 111–112 °C). IR (KBr): 3041, 2930, 2855, 1731, 1650, 1612, 1567, 1242, 757 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (500.1 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.09 (s, 1 H). 7.72 (s, 1 H), 7.68 (td, *J* = 8.6, 1.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.62 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.36–7.46 (m, 4 H), 2.43 (s, 3 H, CH₃).

 ^{13}C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 191.9, 158.5, 154.8, 145.3, 138.6, 136.3, 134.8, 133.6, 130.0, 129.2, 128.5, 127.3, 126.9, 125.0, 118.2, 117.0, 21.4.

3-(4-Isopropylbenzoyl)-6-methyl-2H-chromen-2-one (3t)

Yield: 0.087 g (57%); white solid; mp 110–112 °C.

IR (KBr): 3060, 1723, 1652, 1599, 1546, 1248, 1141, 773 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (500.1 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.01 (s, 1 H), 7.84 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.46 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 2.45 (s, 3 H), 7.38 (s, 1 H), 7.30–7.35 (m, 3 H), 3.00 (sept, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1 H, CH), 1.29 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 6 H, 2 × CH₃).

 ^{13}C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 191.4, 158.8, 155.6, 152.9, 145.1, 134.8, 134.6, 134.0, 130.0, 128.8, 127.2, 126.8, 118.0, 116.7, 34.4, 23.7, 20.8.

Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₁₈O₃: C, 78.41; H, 5.92. Found: C, 78.59; H, 5.81.

3-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)-6-methyl-2H-chromen-2-one (3u)^{12a}

Yield: 0.092 g (62%); white solid; mp 217–219 °C (Lit.^{12a} mp >200 °C]. IR (KBr): 3065, 1711, 1662, 1698, 1512, 1238, 759 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (300.1 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 8.38 (s, 1 H), 7.94 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.45–7.75 (m, 4 H), 7.39 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 2.38 (s, 3 H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 191.3, 158.7, 152.9, 146.4, 139.2, 135.9, 135.4, 134.7, 131.9, 129.9, 129.3, 126.3, 118.4, 116.6, 20.7.

3-(4-Bromobenzoyl)-2H-chromen-2-one (3w)

Yield: 0.123 g (75%); white solid; mp 236–238 °C.

IR (KBr): 3058, 1713, 1670, 1610, 1515, 1232, 766 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.14 (s, 1 H), 7.89–7.86 (m, 3 H), 7.77–7.73 (m, 3 H), 7.44–7.46 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.34–7.36 (t, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1 H).

HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $C_{16}H_9BrO_3$ [M⁺]: 327.9735; found: 327.9746.

Funding Information

We acknowledge the financial support from the SOHA pharmaceutical company and the University of Tehran.

Supporting Information

Supporting information for this article is available online at https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0037-1610702.

References

(1) (a) Engle, K. M.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 8927. (b) Collins, K.; Glorius, F. Nat. Chem. 2013, 5, 597. (c) Yamaguchi, J.; Yamaguchi, A. D.; Itami, K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8960. (d) Arockiam, P. B.; Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 5879. (e) Ackermann, L. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1315. (f) Lyons, T. W.; Sanford, M. S. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 1147. (g) Yeung, C. S.; Dong, V. M. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1215. (h) Li, C.-J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 335. (i) Li, B.-J.; Shi, Z.-J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 5588.

Downloaded by: Université

Paper

G

Syn thesis

R. Pashazadeh et al.

- (2) (a) Meijere, A. D.; Diederich, F. Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions, 2nd ed; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2004. (b) Luh, T.-. Y.; Leung, M.-. K.; Wong, K.-. T. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 3187. (c) Grigorjeva, L.; Daugulis, O. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 4688. (d) Gao, K.; Paira, R.; Yoshikai, N. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 1486. (e) Schneider, U.; Kobayashi, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 1331. (f) Tang, S.; Liu, Y.; Gao, X.; Wang, P.; Huang, P.; Lei, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 6006. (g) Ritleng, V.; Sirlin, C.; Pfeffer, M. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 1731. (h) Beccalli, E. M.; Broggini, G.; Martinelli, M.; Sottocornola, S. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5318.
- (3) (a) Beller, M.; Wu, X.-F. Transition Metal Catalyzed Carbonylation Reactions: Carbonylative Activation of C-X Bonds; Springer: Berlin, 2013. (b) Beller, M. Catalytic Carbonylation Reactions; Springer: Berlin, 2006. (c) Kollär, L. Modern Carbonylation Methods; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2008. (d) Gadge, S. T.; Gautam, P.; Bhanage, B. M. Chem. Rec. 2016, 16, 835. (e) Liu, Q.; Zhang, H.; Lei, A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 10788. (f) Guan, Z.-H.; Chen, M.; Ren, Z.-H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 17490. (g) Li, W.; Duan, Z.; Zhang, X.; Zhang, H.; Wang, M.; Jiang, R.; Zeng, H.; Liu, C.; Lei, A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 1893. (h) Kotovshchikov, Y. N.; Latyshev, G. V.; Beletskaya, I. P.; Lukashev, N. V. Synthesis 2018, 50, 1926. (i) Kaplan, J. M.; Hruszkewycz, D. P.; Strambeanu, I. I.; Nunn, C. J.; VanGelder, K. F.; Dunn, A. L.; Wozniak, D. I.; Dobereiner, G. E.; Leitch, D. C. Organometallics 2019, 38, 85.
- (4) (a) Cahiez, G.; Moyeux, A. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 1435.
 (b) Ackermann, L. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 8948. (c) Gao, K.; Yoshikai, N. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 1208. (d) Lee, P.-S.; Yoshikai, N. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 22. (e) Hummel, J. R.; Ellman, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 490. (f) Moselage, M.; Li, J.; Ackermann, L. ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 498. (g) Wang, F.; Wang, H.; Wang, Q.; Yu, S.; Li, X. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 1306. (h) Linke, S.; Manolikakès, S. M.; Auffrant, A.; Gosmini, C. Synthesis 2018, 50, 2595. (i) Zhu, Z.-Q.; Xiao, L.-J.; Guo, D.; Chen, X.; Ji, J.-J.; Zhu, X.; Xie, Z.-B.; Le, Z.-G. J. Org. Chem. 2019, 84, 435.
- (5) Murahashi, S.; Horiie, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956, 78, 4816.
- (6) (a) Xu, H.; Jia, L. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3955. (b) Ni, J.; Li, J.; Fan, Z.; Zhang, A. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 5960. (c) Zeng, L.; Tang, S.; Wang, D.; Deng, Y.; Chen, J.-L.; Lee, J.-F.; Lei, A. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 2170. (d) Baek, Y.; Kim, S.; Jeon, B.; Lee, P. H. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 104. (e) Grigorjeva, L.; Daugulis, O. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 4688.

- (7) (a) Amatore, M.; Gosmini, C.; Périchon, J. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 989. (b) Amatore, M.; Gosmini, C.; Périchon, J. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 6130. (c) Amatore, M.; Gosmini, C. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 2089. (d) Moncomble, A.; Le Floch, P.; Gosmini, C. Chem. Eur. J. 2009, 15, 4770. (e) Amatore, M.; Gosmini, C. Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 5848. (f) Lu, S.; Jin, T.; Bao, M.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 12842. (g) Komeyama, K.; Kashihara, T.; Takaki, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2013, 54, 5659. (h) Nogi, K.; Fujihara, T.; Terao, J.; Tsuji, Y. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 13052. (i) Komeyama, K.; Asakura, R.; Fukuoka, H.; Takaki, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2015, 56, 1735. (j) Bassler, D. P.; Alwali, A.; Spence, L.; Beale, O.; Beng, T. K. J. Organomet. Chem. 2015, 780, 6. (k) Cai, D.-J.; Lin, P.-H.; Liu, C.-Y. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 5448. (1) Nogi, K.; Fujihara, T.; Terao, J.; Tsuji, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 11618. (m) Bourne-Branchu, Y.; Gosmini, C.; Danoun, G. Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 10043.
- (8) (a) Adib, M.; Pashazadeh, R.; Rajai-Daryasarei, S.; Kabiri, R.; Gohari, S. J. A. Synlett 2016, 27, 2241. (b) Adib, M.; Pashazadeh, R.; Rajai-Daryasarei, S.; Mirzaei, P.; Gohari, S. J. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2016, 57, 3071. (c) Adib, M.; Rajai-Daryasarei, S.; Pashazadeh, R.; Tajik, M.; Mirzaei, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2016, 57, 3701. (d) Adib, M.; Pashazadeh, R.; Rajai-Daryasarei, S.; Kabiri, R.; Jahani, M. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 110656. (e) Adib, M.; Pashazadeh, R. Synlett 2018, 29, 136. (f) Adib, M.; Pashazadeh, R.; Rajai-Daryasarei, S.; Moradkhani, F.; Jahani, M.; Gohari, S. J. A. Tetrahedron 2018, 74, 3858.
- (9) Mirzaei, S.; Rajai-Daryasarei, S.; Soheilizad, M.; Kabiri, R.; Ansari, S.; Shabanian, M.; Pashazadeh, R. Synthesis 2019, 51, 1680.
- (10) Barral, M. C.; Delgado, E.; Gutiérrez-Puebla, E.; Jimenez-Aparicio, R.; Monge, A.; Del Pino, C.; Santos, A. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1983**, 74, 101.
- (11) Frantz, D. E.; Weaver, nD. G.; Carey, J. P.; Kress, M. H.; Dolling, U. H. Org. Lett. **2002**, *4*, 4717.
- (12) (a) Rao, H. S. P.; Sivakumar, S. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 8715.
 (b) Yuan, J. W.; Yin, Q. Y.; Yang, L. R.; Mai, W. P.; Mao, P.; Xiao, Y. M.; Qu, L. B. RSC Adv. 2015, 5, 88258. (c) Jafarpour, F.; Abbasnia, M. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 11982. (d) Specht, D. P.; Martic, P. A.; Farid, S. Tetrahedron 1982, 38, 1203. (e) Wang, Y.; Yu, Z. H.; Zheng, H. F.; Shi, D. Q. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 7739.