## Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 24 (2014) 1664-1667

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

**Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters** 

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bmcl

# Evaluation of structural effects on 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> receptor antagonism by aporphines: Identification of a new aporphine with 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> antagonist activity

Shashikanth Ponnala<sup>a</sup>, Junior Gonzales<sup>a,b</sup>, Nirav Kapadia<sup>a,b</sup>, Hernan A. Navarro<sup>c</sup>, Wayne W. Harding<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Chemistry Dept., Hunter College, CUNY, 695 Park Avenue, NY 10065, USA <sup>b</sup> The Graduate Center, City University of New York, 365 5th Ave., NY 10016, USA <sup>c</sup> Center for Organic and Medicinal Chemistry, Research Triangle Institute, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, USA

## ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 14 January 2014 Revised 19 February 2014 Accepted 24 February 2014 Available online 4 March 2014

Keywords: Aporphine Nantenine 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>  $\alpha_{1A}$ Antagonist Structure-activity relationship (SAR)

## ABSTRACT

A set of aporphine analogs related to nantenine was evaluated for antagonist activity at 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> and  $\alpha_{1A}$ adrenergic receptors.

With regards to 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> receptor antagonism, a C2 allyl group is detrimental to activity. The chiral center of nantenine is not important for 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> antagonist activity, however the N6 nitrogen atom is a critical feature for 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> antagonism.

Compound 12b was the most potent 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> aporphine antagonist identified in this study and has similar potency to previously identified aporphine antagonists 2 and 3. The ring A and N6 modifications examined were detrimental to  $\alpha_{1A}$  antagonism. A slight eutomeric preference for the R enantiomer of nantenine was observed in relation to  $\alpha_{1A}$  antagonism.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

The tetracyclic aporphine template is a privileged scaffold that is endowed with several biological activities.<sup>1–8</sup> As central nervous system (CNS) receptor ligands, aporphines have been found to possess high affinity for a number of dopamine receptors (predominantly  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ ),<sup>9-12</sup> serotonin (5-HT) receptors<sup>13-15</sup> and  $\alpha$ -adrenergic receptors.<sup>6,16</sup> Furthermore, aporphines are known with both agonist and antagonist activity at neuroreceptor sites. The continued exploration of the aporphine template over the last few decades has been driven to some extent by the opportunity/promise for discovery of new ligands with high potency and selectivity for subtypes of the above-mentioned receptors. Such molecules will continue to supplement our toolbox of CNS receptor ligands that will be useful as novel biological probes, as new imaging agents and as leads for drug discovery efforts relevant to psychiatric disorders and drug abuse.

We are primarily interested in evaluating the potential of aporphines as ligands for 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> receptors. 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> receptors are implicated in several neuropsychiatric maladies including schizophrenia, depression, anxiety and insomnia.<sup>17,18</sup> 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> receptors are also involved in the actions of some stimulant drugs as recent reports have revealed.<sup>19–2</sup>

Several potent 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> receptor antagonists are known; in particular compounds with a mixed  $D_2/5-HT_{2A}$  antagonist profile (eg., risperidone, clozapine) are quite prominent and are used clinically to manage schizophrenic symptoms.<sup>22-24</sup> However, there are no highly selective 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> antagonists (>100-fold selectivity vs all other common neuroreceptor targets) clinically available. Nevertheless, such promising compounds (eg., eplivanserin) have recently been or are currently being investigated in clinical trials as anti-insomnia medications. Thus, the identification of new highly selective and therapeutically useful 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> receptor antagonists is still of topical interest.

Our research team has engaged structure-activity relationship (SAR) studies on the aporphine alkaloid nantenine (1, Fig. 1) and have identified a number of new nantenine analogs with antagonist activity at the 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> receptor.<sup>25–28</sup> We were guided by previous SAR studies on nantenine for the present study. Nantenine itself is a high affinity  $\alpha_{1A}$  adrenergic receptor antagonist with moderate 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> receptor antagonist potency (see Table 1). Compounds 2 and 3 (Fig. 1) are two of the most potent 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> antagonists we have obtained to date. These compounds lack affinity for the  $\alpha_{1A}$  adrenergic receptor. Our prior investigations have mainly focused on the ring A portion of nantenine and in general have indicated a reasonable degree of tolerance for other types and patterns of substitution in the A ring in obtaining high 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> potency and selectivity versus the





CrossMark

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 212 772 5359; fax: +1 212 772 5332. E-mail address: whardi@hunter.cuny.edu (W.W. Harding).



Figure 1. Structures of nantenine (1), compound 2 and compound 3.

 $\alpha_{1A}$  receptor. However, the identity and optimal placement of substituents requires further research for maximal potency and selectivity.

To continue to expand our understanding of the structural tolerance of aporphines as 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> receptor antagonists as well as selectivity vs the  $\alpha_{1A}$  receptor, we have synthesized and evaluated a new set of aporphine analogs. These compounds were prepared in order to probe three regions in the northern portion of the aporphine template, namely: ring A, the chirality center and the nitrogen atom. As alluded to earlier, our previous studies have indicated that substituents on the ring A moiety are important in controlling the 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> receptor antagonist activity and selectivity. However, we have not investigated the effect of the chiral center on 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> antagonism before. This is an important task especially since the existing literature suggests that aporphines exhibit a stereochemical preference for activation of dopamine  $D_1$  and  $D_2^{29,30}$  receptors as well as the related 5-HT<sub>1A</sub> receptor<sup>31</sup>–R enantiomers being predominantly agonists and S enantiomers being predominantly antagonists in both cases. With regards to the effect of the N6 nitrogen atom, our prior studies suggest that a basic nitrogen atom is required since the N-acetamide and N-methylsulfonamide derivatives were devoid of activity.<sup>25</sup> We sought herein to obtain experimental proof of the absolute requirement for a nitrogen atom for antagonist activity.

Along those lines we have: (1) synthesized and evaluated new ring A analogs containing features of compounds **1**, **2** or **3**; (2) evaluated nantenine enantiomers—in order to begin to probe the effect of the chirality center of aporphines on receptor antagonism; and (3) synthesized and evaluated compounds that possess a nitrogen—oxygen isosteric replacement—to investigate the importance of the nitrogen atom on receptor antagonism. Details of these studies are described henceforth.

#### Table 1

 $K_{\rm e}$  values for analogs at 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> and  $\alpha_{1A}$  receptor

We were interested in examining the extent to which an allyl group would be accommodated at the C2 position since an allyloxy group seems to be beneficial for antagonism (see data for compound **2**, Table 1). The synthetic practicability of obtaining this structural feature via a Claisen rearrangement (a reaction rarely employed with aporphines) supported this impetus.<sup>32</sup>

To obtain the required ring A analogs Scheme 1 was engaged. Commercially available amine 4 was coupled to bromoacid 5 to give amide 6. Bischler-Napieralski cyclization of 6 was followed immediately by reduction of the dihydroisoquinoline thus formed to the secondary amine **7**. Protection of the amine as the *N*-ethyl carbamate gave compound 8. Microwave-assisted direct arylation<sup>33</sup> on **8** afforded compound **9**. The benzyl ether **9** was deprotected revealing the phenol functionality in the key intermediate **10**. Reduction of **10** with lithium aluminium hydride (LAH) gave compound **12a**. Compound **12b** was prepared from **10** via allylation to afford compound **11** and subsequent LAH reduction. Claisen rearrangement of the allyl ether **11** provided compound **13**. Reduction of 13 gave the phenol analog 14. Analogs 15a-15c were prepared from 13 in two steps via etherification and reduction as shown. Nantenine enantiomers (*R*)-1 and (*S*)-1 were prepared by resolution of racemic nantenine as described previously.<sup>28</sup> The isochroman analogs 16a and 16b were prepared via a sequence involving oxa Pictet-Spengler cyclization and direct arylation as presented in a recent report.34

All analogs were screened at 10  $\mu$ M in multi-well format for intrinsic (agonist) and antagonist activity at the human 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> receptor using Fluorescence Imaging Plate Reader (FLIPR)-based (Molecular devices, Sunnydale, CA) functional assays that detect receptor-mediated mobilization of internal calcium with a calcium sensitive fluorescent dye as reported previously.<sup>25–27</sup> A similar set of assays was performed for the  $\alpha_{1A}$ -adrenergic receptor. Data from these evaluations are presented in Table 1.

As shown in Table 1, compound **12a** lacked any appreciable activity at either receptor. The placement of an allyloxysubstitutent at C2 (i.e., compound **12b**) resulted in a significant increase in 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> antagonist activity (>60-fold as compared to the parent phenol **12a**). Antagonism of the  $\alpha_{1A}$  receptor also increased although the magnitude of this increase was less (4-fold increase as compared to **12b**). However, when **12b** is compared to nantenine (**1**), a significant decrease in  $\alpha_{1A}$  antagonist activity was seen. The above data tends to suggest that the C2 methoxyl

	Compd	$R^1$	R <sup>2</sup>	х	$K_{\rm e} \pm {\rm SEM}^{\rm a} ({\rm nM})$		Selectivity 5-HT <sub>2A</sub> / $\alpha_{1A}$
					5-HT <sub>2A</sub>	$\alpha_{1A}$	
$R^2$ $\wedge$ $\wedge$	12a	Н	Н	NMe	>3000	2950 ± 457	<1.01
R <sup>1</sup> 0 X	12b	Allyl	Н	NMe	47 ± 5	744 ± 74	0.06
	14	Н	Allyl	NMe	>3000	>3000	_
	15a	Me	Allyl	NMe	485 ± 123	566 ± 112	0.85
	15b	Allyl	Allyl	NMe	1374 ± 405	>3000	<0.45
	15c	Cyclopropylmethyl	Allyl	NMe	963 ± 103	>3000	<0.32
	16a	Me	OMe	0	>3000	>3000	_
Lo	16b	Allyl	OMe	0	>3000	>3000	_
	(R)-1	Me	OMe	NMe	946 ± 61	70 ± 10	13.5
	(S)-1	Me	OMe	NMe	657 ± 89	196 ± 3	3.4
	$\pm -(1)^{b}$	Me	OMe	NMe	850 ± 6	36 ± 7	23.6
	2 <sup>c</sup>	Allyl	OMe	NMe	70 ± 15	>10000	< 0.007
	3 <sup>d</sup>	Cyclopropylmethyl	OMe	NMe	68 ± 8	>10000	<0.007
	Prazosin	_	_	_	_	$1.1 \pm 0.4$	_
	Ketanserin <sup>b,e</sup>	_	_	_	32	_	_

<sup>a</sup> Values represent mean ± SEM for at least three independent experiments.

<sup>b</sup> K<sub>i</sub>, Data from Ref. 28.

<sup>c</sup> Data from Ref. 27.

<sup>d</sup> Data from Ref. 26.

e IC<sub>50</sub> determined in the presence of 5-HT EC<sub>80</sub>.



**Scheme 1.** Synthesis of ring A analogs. Reagents and conditions: (a) 1,1'-carbonyldiimidazole (CDI), THF, 0 °C-rt, 5 h, 80%; (b) trifluoromethanesulfonic acid, pyridine, DCM, 0 °C-rt, 4 h; (c) NaBH<sub>4</sub>, MeOH, 0 °C, 2 h, 88% over two steps; (d) ethyl chloroformate, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, DCM, rt, 3 h, 85%; (e) Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, di-*tert*-butyl(methyl)phosphonium tetrafluoroborate, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CCOOH, DMSO, 135 °C, microwaves, 6 min, 50%; (f) H<sub>2</sub>/Pd, rt, 8 h, 95%; (g) alkyl bromide, KI, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, acetone, 70 °C, 6 h, 60–70%; (h) LAH, THF, 0 °C, 10 h, 50–60%; (i) *N,N*-diethylaniline, 215 °C, microwaves, 6 min, 90%.

substituent of nantenine is not required for  $5-HT_{2A}$  antagonist activity.

Compound 14 lacked antagonist activity for both receptors indicating that an allyl substituent at C2 is not well tolerated at either receptor. A comparison of **15a** with **1** reveals a slight improvement in 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> antagonism but a decrease in  $\alpha_{1A}$  antagonist activity upon replacement of the C2 methoxyl group with an allyl substituent. The antagonist activity of 15a was higher at both receptors than compound 14 which is indicative of a greater tolerance for an alkoxy substituent than a phenol at C1. Compound 15b had a diminished affinity at both receptors as compared to 15a. When 15b is compared to compound 12b, a significant decrease in antagonist activity of **15b** at both receptors manifests. This suggests that an allyl substituent at C2 is not well accommodated at either receptor. Compound 15c had activity and selectivity that was similar to 15b. If a comparison of the 15b/15c pair is made with the 2/3 pair of compounds it may be surmised that C1 allyloxy and C1 cyclopropylmethyloxy groups endow the aporphine template with very similar 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> antagonist potency irrespective of the identity of the C2 substituent. That is, it appears that the allyl and cyclopropylmethyl functionalities are bioisosteric with respect to 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> receptor antagonism. From our previous studies, an allyloxy or cyclopropylmethyloxy substituent (i.e., compounds 2 and 3 respectively) imparted high 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> antagonist activity and selectivity to the nantenine template. The analysis of compounds 15a, 15b and 15c showed a reversal in this trend and again points to a considerable lack of tolerance for a C2 allyl group at the 5- $HT_{2A}$  receptor.

Both compounds **16a** and **16b** (that lack the N6 moiety) were devoid of antagonist activity. This supports previous SAR evidence that a basic N6 atom is critical for affinity to both receptors. This is also in line with previous molecular docking studies which suggest that the protonated N6 atom is involved in a H-bonding interaction with an aspartate residue in the 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> receptor binding pocket.<sup>25</sup>

Evaluation of (R)-**1** and (S)-**1** indicates that the chiral center of nantenine is not critical for 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> antagonism although the (S)

enantiomer is slightly more potent. Interestingly, there seems to be a reversal of this trend at the  $\alpha_{1A}$  receptor; the (*R*)-enantiomer seems to be slightly more potent than the (*S*)-enantiomer at the  $\alpha_{1A}$  receptor (approximately 3-fold).

In summary, this study has revealed some useful qualitative information concerning the antagonism of aporphines at the 5- $HT_{2A}$  receptor. The data suggest that the C2 position is not tolerant of an allyl moiety. However, a C1 allyloxy substituent is well tolerated when the C2 substituent is hydrogen implying that the C2 methoxyl group of nantenine is not required for high 5- $HT_{2A}$  antagonist potency. This modification also improves selectivity vs the  $\alpha_{1A}$  receptor (though this selectivity is moderate as compared to that seen in **2** and **3**). Of note, the most potent 5- $HT_{2A}$  aporphine antagonist identified in this study was compound **12b** which rivals **2** and **3** in terms of 5- $HT_{2A}$  antagonist potency.

The chiral center of nantenine does not engender any significant preference for either enantiomer towards  $5-HT_{2A}$  antagonism. Somewhat unsurprisingly, the N6 nitrogen is critical for antagonist activity of nantenine analogs at both receptors.

The evaluation of this set of compounds has further expanded our fundamental knowledge concerning the viability of the aporphine template for development as selective  $5-HT_{2A}$  receptor antagonists. For certain, evaluation of larger series of analogs will enable a better understanding of the extent to which the SAR information extracted up to this point may be generalized. Compound **12b** identified herein as well as compounds **2** and **3** identified earlier, are useful starting points for further SAR exploration and optimization studies. We are continuing in this vein and will report our findings in due course.

## Acknowledgments

This publication was made possible by Grant Numbers 1SC1GM092282 and G12RR003037 from the National Institutes of Health. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors

and do not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH or its divisions.

## Supplementary data

Supplementary data (experimental procedures on synthesis of all new compounds, procedure for biological assays and NMR spectral data on all analogs) associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2014.02. 066.

## **References and notes**

- 1. Mohamed, S. M.; Hassan, E. M.; Ibrahim, N. A. Nat. Prod. Res. 2010, 24, 1395.
- Kim, K. H.; Piao, C. J.; Choi, S. U.; Son, M. W.; Lee, K. R. Planta Med. 2010, 76, 1732.
- 3. Yu, B.; Cook, C.; Santanam, N. J. Med. Food 2009, 1074, 12.
- Tang, H.; Wei, Y. B.; Zhang, C.; Ning, F. X.; Qiao, W.; Huang, S. L.; Ma, L.; Huang, Z. S.; Gu, L. Q. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2009, 44, 2523.
- Tang, H.; Wang, X. D.; Wei, Y. B.; Huang, S. L.; Huang, Z. S.; Tan, J. H.; An, L. K.; Wu, J. Y.; Chan, A. S.; Gu, L. Q. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2008**, *43*, 973.
- 6. Cassels, B. K.; Asencio, M. Nat. Prod. Commun. 2008, 3, 643.
- Yang, Z.-D.; Zhang, X.; Du, J.; Ma, Z.-J.; Guo, F.; Li, S.; Yao, X.-J. Nat. Prod. Res. 2012, 26, 387.
- Ayers, S.; Zink, D. L.; Mohn, K.; Powell, J. S.; Brown, C. M.; Murphy, T.; Brand, R.; Pretorius, S.; Stevenson, D.; Thompson, D.; Singh, S. B. Planta Med. 2007, 73, 296.
- Si, Y. G.; Gardner, M. P.; Tarazi, F. I.; Baldessarini, R. J.; Neumeyer, J. L. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 51, 983.
- Si, Y. G.; Gardner, M. P.; Tarazi, F. I.; Baldessarini, R. J.; Neumeyer, J. L. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, 18, 3971.
- Toth, M.; Berenyi, S.; Csutoras, C.; Kula, N. S.; Zhang, K.; Baldessarini, R. J.; Neumeyer, J. L. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 1918, 2006, 14.
- Linnanen, T.; Brisander, M.; Mohell, N.; Johansson, A. M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2001, 11, 367.
- 13. Si, Y. G.; Gardner, M. P.; Tarazi, F. I.; Baldessarini, R. J.; Neumeyer, J. L. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2007**, *17*, 4128.

- Hedberg, M. H.; Jansen, J. M.; Nordvall, G.; Hjorth, S.; Unelius, L.; Johansson, A. M. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 3491.
- Cannon, J. G.; Flaherty, P. T.; Ozkutlu, U.; Long, J. P. J. Med. Chem. 1841, 1995, 38.
  Ivorra, M. D.; Valiente, M.; Martinez, S.; Madrero, Y.; Noguera, M. A.; Cassels, B.
- K.; Sobarzo, E. M.; D'Ocon, P. Planta Med. 2005, 71, 897.
- Marek, G. J.; Carpenter, L. L.; McDougle, C. J.; Price, L. H. Neuropsychopharmacology 2003, 28, 402.
- 18. de Angelis, L. Curr. Opin. Investig. Drugs 2002, 3, 106.
- Orejarena, M. J.; Lanfumey, L.; Maldonado, R.; Robledo, P. Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol. 2011, 14, 927.
- Dhonnchadha, B. A. N.; Fox, R. G.; Stutz, S. J.; Rice, K. C.; Cunningham, K. A. Behav. Neurosci. 2009, 123, 382.
- Cunningham, K. A.; Anastasio, N. C.; Fox, R. G.; Stutz, S. J.; Bubar, M. J.; Swinford, S. E.; Watson, C. S.; Gilbertson, S. R.; Rice, K. C.; Rosenzweig-Lipson, S.; Moeller, F. G. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2013, 4, 110.
- 22. Meltzer, H. Y.; Massey, B. W. Curr. Opin. Pharmacol. 2011, 11, 59.
- Horacek, J.; Bubenikova-Valesova, V.; Kopecek, M.; Palenicek, T.; Dockery, C.; Mohr, P.; Hoschl, C. CNS Drugs 2006, 20, 389.
- 24. Worrel, J. A.; Marken, P. A.; Beckman, S. E.; Ruehter, V. L. Am. J. Health Syst. Pharm. 2000, 57, 238.
- Pecic, S.; Makkar, P.; Chaudhary, S.; Reddy, B. V.; Navarro, H. A.; Harding, W. W. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2010, 18, 5562.
- Chaudhary, S.; Pecic, S.; Le, G. O.; Navarro, H. A.; Harding, W. W. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2009, 19, 2530.
- Chaudhary, S.; Ponnala, S.; Legendre, O.; Gonzales, J. A.; Navarro, H. A.; Harding, W. W. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2011, 19, 5861.
- Legendre, O.; Pecic, S.; Chaudhary, S.; Zimmerman, S. M.; Fantegrossi, W. E.; Harding, W. W. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2010, 20, 628.
- 29. Campbell, A.; Baldessarini, R. J.; Gao, Y.; Zong, R.; Neumeyer, J. L. Neuropharmacology 1990, 29, 527.
- Gao, Y.; Zong, R.; Campbell, A.; Kula, N. S.; Baldessarini, R. J.; Neumeyer, J. L. J. Med. Chem. 1988, 31, 1392.
- 31. Cannon, J. G.; Moe, S. T.; Long, J. P. Chirality 1991, 3, 19.
- Liu, Z.; Zhang, H.; Ye, N.; Zhang, J.; Wu, Q.; Sun, P.; Li, L.; Zhen, X.; Zhang, A. J. Med. Chem. 2010, 53, 1319.
- Chaudhary, S.; Pecic, S.; Le, G. O.; Harding, W. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 2437.
- 34. Kapadia, N.; Harding, W. Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 8914.