Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors based on sorafenib scaffold: Design, synthesis, crystallographic investigation and effects on primary breast cancer cells

Murat Bozdag, Marta Ferraroni, Carol Ward, Fabrizio Carta, Silvia Bua, Andrea Angeli, Simon P. Langdon, Ian H. Kunkler, Abdul-Malek S. Al-Tamimi, Claudiu T. Supuran

PII: S0223-5234(19)30734-2

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2019.111600

Reference: EJMECH 111600

To appear in: European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry

Received Date: 15 July 2019

Revised Date: 5 August 2019

Accepted Date: 5 August 2019

Please cite this article as: M. Bozdag, M. Ferraroni, C. Ward, F. Carta, S. Bua, A. Angeli, S.P. Langdon, I.H. Kunkler, A.-M.S. Al-Tamimi, C.T. Supuran, Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors based on sorafenib scaffold: Design, synthesis, crystallographic investigation and effects on primary breast cancer cells, *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* (2019), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2019.111600.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2019 Published by Elsevier Masson SAS.



# **Graphical abstract**



# Carbonic Anhydrase Inhibitors based on sorafenib scaffold: Design, Synthesis, Crystallographic Investigation and Effects on Primary Breast Cancer Cells

Murat Bozdag <sup>a,\*</sup>, Marta Ferraroni <sup>b</sup>, Carol Ward <sup>c</sup>, Fabrizio Carta <sup>a</sup>, Silvia Bua <sup>a</sup>, Andrea Angeli <sup>a</sup>, Simon P. Langdon <sup>c</sup>, Ian H. Kunkler <sup>c</sup>, Abdul-Malek S. Al-Tamimi <sup>d</sup>, Claudiu T. Supuran <sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> University of Florence, NEUROFARBA Dept., Sezione di Scienze Farmaceutiche e Nutraceutiche, Via Ugo Schiff 6, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino (Florence), Italy.

<sup>b</sup> University of Florence, Department of Chemistry "Ugo Schiff", Via della Lastruccia 3, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino (Florence), Italy.

<sup>c</sup> Breakthrough Breast Unit and Division of Pathology, Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, Edinburgh EH4 2XU, UK

<sup>d</sup> Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, College of Pharmacy, Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University, PO Box 173, Alkharj 11942. Saudi Arabia.

**Abstract**: Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors (CAIs) of the sulfonamide, sulfamate and coumarin classes bearing the phenylureido tail found in the clinically used drug Sorafenib, a multikinase inhibitor actually used for the management of hepatocellular carcinomas, are reported. All compounds were assayed on human (h) CA isoforms I, II, VII and IX, involved in various pathologies. Among the sulfonamides, several compounds were selective for inhibiting hCA IX, with  $K_{\rm I}$  values in the low nanomolar ranges (i.e. 0.7-30.2 nM). We explored the binding modes of such compounds by means of X-ray crystallographic studies on isoform hCA I in adduct with one sulfonamide and a sulfamate inhibitor. Antiproliferative properties of some sulfamates on breast tumor cell lines were also investigated.

Keywords: Carbonic Anhydrase; Sorafenib; Enzyme inhibitor; sulfonamide; sulfamate; coumarin.

\* Corresponding authors: <u>bozdag.murat@unifi.it</u> (MB) Phone: +39-055-4573666; <u>claudiu.supuran@unifi.it</u>. (CTS) Phone: +39-055-4573729

## **1.Introduction**

The 15 carbonic anhydrases (CAs, EC 4.2.1.1) expressed humans in are zinc(II) dependent metalloenzymes, differently distributed at subcellular and tissue levels [1,2]. Human (h) hCAs are involved in vital physiological processes by means of the reversible conversion of carbon dioxide to bicarbonate and protons which they catalyze [3-7]. Abnormalities in hCA expressions may lead to diseases, such as hypertension, glaucoma, epilepsy, obesity, altitude sickness, cancers and pH disequilibria [7,8]. CA inhibitors (CAIs) of the sulfonamide type or their bioisosteres, are routinely used as drugs. The most used are acetazolamide (AAZ), methazolamide (MTZ), dichlorophenamide (DCP), brinzolamide (BRZ), sulthiame (SLT), zonisamide (ZNS), the sulfamate topiramate (TPM) and various COXIBs with dual CA-cycloxygenase activity such as celecoxib and polmacoxib [7–9]. Besides the sulfonamide moiety, many new types of CAIs acting as zinc binders were discovered (i.e. dithiocarbamates [10-13] xanthates [14] and monothiocarbamates [15]). In addition, other classes of CAIs include molecules able to interact with the zinc coordinated water/hydroxide ion (i.e. phenols [16-20], carboxylic acids [20,21], polyamines [22,23], thioxocoumarins [24,25] and the sulfonic acid group formed from hydrolysis of sulfocoumarins [26–32]). Compounds occluding the entrance of the CA active site (cinnamic acid derivatives from in situ hydrolyzed coumarins [24,33–36]) and out of the site CAIs such as the 2-(benzylsulfonyl)-benzoic acid were also shown to act as CAIs [37]. Although many CAI chemical classes were reported, the selectivity in targeting specific enzymatic isoforms was not always granted. Therefore, any medicinal chemistry application of CAIs resulted hampered. Interestingly, the decoration of the sulfonamide CAI warhead by means of appropriate tails revealed to be a valid strategy to overcome the lack of enzymatic selectivity. In particular the arylureido-tails were particularly efficient in solving the selectivity issue among the many hCA isoforms [38-40], and in particular such a moiety led to compounds with a preferential inhibition towards the tumor associated isoforms (i.e. hCAs IX and XII) over the cytosolic off-target ones (i.e. hCAs I and II). Such results were confirmed in in vivo experiments using an appropriate breast cancer model [38-40], and were rationalized at molecular level by using X-ray crystallography techniques. The flexibility of the ureido-group has been claimed to be responsible for allowing the tails to better fit within the CA active site, and thus in maximizing the interactions occurring between the ligand and the amino acid residues exposed within the enzymatic cleft [38-40]. The compound (4-(3-(4fluorophenyl)ureido)benzenesulfonamide, also known as **SLC-0111**, was obtained by application of such a strategy and is currently facing phase II clinical trials in combination with gemcitabine for the treatment of metastatic pancreatic ductal cancer [41]. SLC-0111 is a selective inhibitor of hCA IX and XII, which are almost exclusively expressed in hypoxic tumors [42-44], being responsible

of the extracellular pH acidification [39,42–44]. Recent applications of the ureido tail strategy were reported by our group with the preparation of ureido- substituted sulfamates, coumarins, 4-hydroxy-3-(3-(phenylureido)benzenesulfonamides, *N*-phenyl-*N*-hydroxyureas and *N*-aryl-*N*'-ureido-*O*-sulfamates [24,45–49].

Our pursuit to find more efficient ureido-bearing molecules to treat hypoxic tumors directed us to consider sorafenib (Nexavar®), an orally available multikinase inhibitor approved by FDA to treat hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), as an interesting lead. Clinical studies showed sorafenib to exert promising effects on thyroid cancer, myeloid leukemia, mesothelioma and prostate cancers [50]. HCC is one of the most common cancers associated to high ratio of mortality [50–52]. Sorafenib was proved to extend the median time of progression in patients with advanced HCC and the life span of patients by an average of three months [50–53].

### 2. Results and Discussion

## 2.1. Drug Design and Chemistry.

The first studies on phenylureido-tailed benzenesulfonamides which revealed selective inhibition of the hypoxia related hCAs IX and XII were published by some of us [38-40] and among the series reported a molecule, known as SLC-0111, showed impressive antimetastatic effects on breast cancer in vivo[39]. Such a molecule currently is under phase II clinical trials in association with gemcitabine for the management of pancreatic tumors [41]. Such results allowed to validate the membrane bound hCA IX as pharmaceutical target for the treatment of hypoxic tumors. Another important study within this field was on sulfamate derivatives of SLC-0111 [54]. In vitro kinetics on the series reported showed highly potent inhibitors against the tumor associated hCAs. In particular, three CAIs were further selected for in vitro cellular assays and showed inhibition of cells migration and spreading in anoxic conditions. Moreover one of such inhibitors was tested on orthotopic MDA-MB-231 breast cancer model in mice and showed inhibition of metastases in lungs without relevant toxicity [54]. As expansion the same authors reported a new series of sulfamates which showed in vitro potent hCA IX inhibitory activity and antiproliferative properties on breast cancer cell lines (i.e. SKBR3, MCF10A, ZR-75-1, MDA-MB-361 in normoxia and MCF7 in hypoxia) [45]. The ureido moiety was also inserted in seleno containing compounds as CAIs and were investigated on prostate (PC3), breast (MDA-MB-231) and colon cancer (HT-29) cells in comparison with SLC-0111 [55]. Finally it is worth reporting the 2-aminophenol-4-sulfonamide ureido containing derivatives as CAIs [47]. Again the series showed isoform selective inhibition on the tumor associated hCAs, and the 4-fluorophenylureido derivative was investigated in vivo and

showed similar results to SLC-0111 [47]. In light of the high potency of the ureido containing CAIs on the tumor associated isoforms we decided to merge the structures of sorafenib and **SLC-0111** to obtain a novel series of CAIs potentially useful to manage such types of pathologies, as schematically represented in **Figure 1**.



Figure 1. Sorafenib, SLC-0111 and 13 obtained by our synthetic strategy.

Our design strategy was further extended to include series of compounds bearing the sulfonamides, sulfamates and coumarin moieties as warheads.

As reported in **Schemes 1-3**, compounds **12-17** and **21** were obtained by coupling the amino containing derivatives **1-6** and **10** with the commercially available 4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl isocyanate **11**. The same reaction was applied to the synthesis of intermediates **18-20**. In particular **18** and **19** were further decorated with the sulfonamide bioisoster sulfamate, in order to afford **23** and **24** (scheme 2). On the other hand **20** was activated by means of its NHS ester **25** which was used to obtain elongated CAIs of the sulfonamide (i.e. **26**, **27**, **29**, **31**) [56] and coumarin type (i.e. **33** and **35**) [36].



Scheme 1. General synthesis of ureido derivatives 12-21



Scheme 2. General synthesis of sulfamates 23-24



Scheme 3. General synthesis of carbamides 26-27, 29, 31, 33, 35

All compounds were characterized by means of <sup>1</sup>H-, <sup>13</sup>C-, <sup>19</sup>F-NMR and ESI mass spectroscopy techniques.

## 2.2. Carbonic Anhydrase Inhibition

The reported compounds having the sulfonamides (i.e. **12-17**, **26**, **27**, **29**, **31**), the sulfamates (i.e. **23**, **24**) and the coumarin (i.e. **21**, **33**, **35**) moieties were tested *in vitro* for their inhibitory properties against the physiologically relevant hCA isoforms I, II, VII, IX in comparison with sorafenib and the clinically used drug **AAZ**, by means of the stopped-flow carbon dioxide assay [57] (Table 1).

		$K_{\rm I}$ (nM)*		
Стр	hCA I	hCA II	hCA VII	hCA IX

Journal Pre-proof						
12	0.63	0.24	0.38	0.13		
13	84.5	1.8	198.5	3.9		
14	6422.9	71.5	916.3	1.8		
15	4042.3	744.5	893.0	30.2		
16	513.6	51.4	801.2	0.7		
17	913.4	385.6	911.3	3972		
21	>10000	>10000	>10000	1588.1		
23	48.7	3.7	5.7	1.3		
24	39.3	4.0	3.5	0.8		
26	432.1	90.0	210.1	4.2		
27	4545.1	2660.2	488.1	3298		
29	4601.0	932.9	363.3	188.3		
31	6348.3	5761.4	584.9	102.4		
33	>10000	>10000	>10000	3412.4		
35	>10000	>10000	>10000	2254.4		
Sorafenib	>10000	>10000	8136.4	>10000		
SLC-0111**	5080	960	8550	45.1		
AAZ	250.0	12.1	6.0	25.8		

\* Mean from 3 different assays, by a stopped flow technique (errors were in the range of  $\pm$  5-10 % of the reported values). \*\* From reference [**38,58**].

The inhibition data are showed in Table 1 and the following SARs are below discussed:

(i) The off target cytosolic isoform hCA I was inhibited by all compounds bearing the zinc binding functionality of the sulfonamide and sulfamate type 12-17, 23-24, 26-27, 29, 31 with a variety of potencies. The derivative of AAZ 12 acted as sub-nanomolar hCA I inhibitor with a  $K_{\rm I}$  of 0.63 nM. The sulfanilamide derivative 13 and two sulfamates 23-24 were potent hCA I inhibitors with  $K_{\rm I}$ s ranging between 39.3 to 84.5 nM. The remaining sulfonamides 14-17, 26-27, 29 and 31 were medium to weak potency inhibitors of hCA I with  $K_{\rm I}$ s in the range of 432.1-6422.9 nM and they acted as weaker inhibitors compare to AAZ ( $K_{\rm I}$  of 250 nM). The coumarins 21, 33, 35 did not inhibit the slow cytosolic isoform

(hCA I) with inhibition constants > 10  $\mu$ M. This result has been observed before by many coumarin derivatives [24,33–35].

- The second abundant cytosolic isoform hCA II was inhibited by sulfonamides and (ii) sulfamates with  $K_{IS}$  in a range of 0.24-5761.4 nM. Compounds 12-13 and 23-24 were potent hCA II inhibitors with  $K_{IS}$  of 0.24-4.0 nM. The AAZ derivative 12 was the most potent inhibitor of cytosolic isoform hCA II with a  $K_{\rm I}$  of 0.24 nM, the second most potent inhibitor was the sulfanilamide derivative 13 with a  $K_{I}$  of 1.8 nM. Two sulfamates 23-24 were also acted as potent inhibitor of this isoform with  $K_{IS}$  of 3.7 and 4.0, respectively. The sulfonamide derivatives 14-17 were medium to less potent inhibitors of this isoform with a variety of  $K_{IS}$  between 51.4-744.5 nM. We observed a dramatic change of  $K_{I}$  (39.7 fold) by switching sulfonamide group from para- position 13 to meta- position 14 which had an inhibition constant of 71.5 nM. When a hydroxy group was appended at the para- position towards the sulfonamide of 14 to afford 15 resulted decrease of the inhibition constant  $(K_{\rm I})$ to 744.5 nM (10.4 fold). Very recently we investigated inhibition properties of ureidoderivatives of 4 which are same chemotype of 15 which were also weak inhibitors of hCA II isoform [47]. The introduction of aliphatic linker between the ring bearing zinc binding group (ZBG) and urea group (16-17) was showed weaker hCA II inhibitory properties, with  $K_{\rm IS}$  51.4 for 16 and 385.6 for 17 (28.6, 214.2 fold, respectively compared to 13). When a benzamide group was inserted between the phenylureido tail and inhibitor heads to investigate inhibition properties of longer compounds, 26-27, 29, 31 were resulted as weak inhibitors of hCA II isoform with  $K_{IS}$  in range of 90-5761 nM. The coumarins 21, 33, 35 did not inhibit the fast cytosolic isoform (hCA II) with inhibition constants > 10  $\mu$ M. This result has been observed before by many coumarin derivatives [24,33–35].
- (iii) Compounds investigated here also showed a variety of different inhibition constants on the cytosolic isoform hCA VII. The sulfonamides and the sulfamates were inhibited hCA VII with  $K_{IS}$  in the range of 0.38-916.3 nM. Again, the AAZ derivative 12 was the most potent inhibitor with a  $K_{I}$  of 0.38 nM. Followed by two potent sulfamates 23-24 with  $K_{IS}$  5.7, 3.5 nM, respectively. These three compounds were more effective inhibitors compare to AAZ ( $K_{I}$  of 6 nM). Remaining compounds were weak inhibitors of hCA VII isoform  $K_{IS}$  in the range of 198.5-916.3 nM. The coumarins did not inhibit hCA VII as well with  $K_{IS} > 10 \,\mu$ M.
- (iv) The sulfonamides and the sulfamates reported here showed a variety of inhibitory effects on the tumor associated extracellular isoform hCA IX ( $K_{IS}$  spanning from 0.13 to 3972 nM). AAZ derivative 12 was a sub-nanomolar inhibitor, and the most potent inhibitor of the series (with a  $K_{I}$  of 0.13 nM) followed by methylbenzenesulfonamide derivative 16 ( $K_{I}$  of

0.7 nM). Ethylbenzene sulfonamide derivative 17 was a weak inhibitor with a  $K_{\rm I}$  of 3972 nM, that showed a dramatic decrease on inhibition effect by extension of linker between the ureido and sulfonamide possessing phenyl ring of inhibitor (5674-fold ineffective compare to 16). Whereas, direct attachment of ureido group to sulfonamide possessing phenyl ring, 13 (the derivative of SLC-0111) showed potent inhibitory effect on hCA IX with a  $K_{\rm I}$  of 3.9 nM (5.6-fold weaker inhibitor compare to 16). Manipulation of the sulfonamide group from 4-position to 3-position resulted 14 which enhanced the inhibitory profile with a  $K_{\rm I}$  of 1.8 nM (2.16-fold more potent compare to 13). Whereas 15, a derivative of 14 possessing -OH moiety at the para position of sulfonamide showed dramatic decrease of inhibition with a  $K_{\rm I}$ of 30.2 nM (16.78-fold compare to 14). Two sulfamates 23-24 were excellent inhibitor of this isoform with  $K_{IS}$  of 1.3 and 0.8 nM, respectively. Similar results were reported by investigated ureido-possessing sulfamates previously [54]. The methylene benzenesulfonamide head possessing derivative 26 showed excellent inhibitory effect with a  $K_{\rm I}$  of 4.2 nM whereas ethylbenzenesulfonamide derivative 27 was ineffective inhibitor with a  $K_{\rm I}$  of 3298 nM, again a carbon chain extention shows a dramatic effect on inhibition capacity between 26 and 27. 29 and 31 were medium potency inhibitors with  $K_{18}$  of 188.3 and 102.4 nM, respectively. 12-14, 16, 23-24, 26 (K<sub>I</sub>s between 0.13-4.2 nM) were revealed more potent inhibitory effects on hCA IX compare to clinically used drug AAZ (K<sub>I</sub> of 25.8). Coumarins 21, 33, 35 inhibited hCA IX with low efficiency ( $K_{IS}$  1.59-3.41  $\mu$ M), whereas did not inhibit any of cytosolic isoforms (CA I, II, VII), (Table 1).

Overall the inhibition data showed a preferential activity of the sulfonamides **14-16** and **26** and the sulfamates **23-24** on hCA IX, with sub-to low nanomolar  $K_{I}$  values for many such derivatives.

#### 2.3. X-Ray crystallography.

We assessed the binding modes of compounds **17** and **23** within the active site of hCA I by means of X-ray crystallographic experiments. Our intention was to investigate a hCA isoform usually less investigated, since the majority of CA X-ray crystal adducts reported are related to the ubiquitous hCA II or to the tumor associated hCA IX mimic [20]. hCA I is abundant in red blood cells (at 1  $\mu$ M concentration level), and it might influence the pharmacokinetics of drugs interacting with it or other CA isoforms of pharmacological interest.

The difference |Fo Fc| electron density maps of adducts of hCA I with inhibitors showed well ordered structures within the active site of hCA I and clearly assignable to compounds **17** and **23** bound by means of their sulfonamide and sulfamate group to the active site metal ion (**Figure 3**).



Figure 2. hCA I complexes of compound 17 (A; PDB accession code 6I0L) and 23 (B; PDB accession code 6I0J) at 1.4 and 1.35 Å resolution respectively.

A further look into the structural features revealed 17 and 23 coordinated to the Zn(II) ion by means of the deprotonated sulfonamide or sulfamate moieties (SO<sub>2</sub>NH<sup>-</sup>, OSO<sub>2</sub>NH<sup>-</sup>), which in turn are also involved in a strong H-bond with the OH of Thr199 residue. One of the sulfonamide/sulfamate oxygen was also involved in a hydrogen bond interaction with the amide typical nitrogen Thr199. This coordination pattern, which is for all primary of sulfonamides/sulfamates investigated so far, is respected also in these cases [20]. The two inhibitors have a similar orientation inside the cavity, but 23 presents a bended conformation. This could be the result of the strong H-bond involvement of the ureido-moiety of 23 with Gln92 residue, further reinforced by a perpendicular  $\pi$ -stacking between its phenyl ring and the imidazole of His94 at the bottom of the active site cavity site (Figure 3).



Figure 3. Superimposition of hCA I-17 (purple) and 23 (cyan) adducts.

The 3-(trifluoromethyl)-4-chlorophenyl tail of **17** is involved in hydrophobic interactions with Ala135 and Tyr204 whereas the same tail moiety of **23** is slightly retained within the enzymatic cleft and makes hydrophobic interactions with Leu131 and Ala135. The additional interactions of **23** when compared to **17**, within the catalytic cavity site (i.e.  $\pi$  stacking and the hydrogen bond interaction with Gln92) are reflected into the inhibition results on the same CA isoform. As reported in **Table 1** and above discussed, compound **17** is 18.8 fold less effective on hCA I when compared to **23** which is bound tighter.

## 2.4. Effects of Compounds 23 and 24 on Primary Breast Tumor Growth.

The *in vitro* obtained results led us to select less investigated sulfamate type inhibitors **23** and **24** (which are excellent inhibitors of hCA IX with  $K_{IS}$  between 0.8-1.3 nM, Table 1) for their effects on primary breast tumor HBL100, MDA-MB-231 and MCF7 cell lines in normoxic and hypoxic conditions.



**Figure 4.** The effect of sulfamate type inhibitors **23** and **24** on proliferation of breast cancer cells in normoxic and hypoxic conditions.

HBL100, MDA-MB-231 and MCF7 breast cancer cells  $(1 \times 10^3)$ /well, were allowed to adhere for 24h. Cells were either cultured in normoxia (21% O<sub>2</sub>), or hypoxia (0.5% O<sub>2</sub>), for a further 24 h before the addition of inhibitors at the concentrations indicated above and cultured in these conditions for a further 5 days. Proliferation was assessed using SRB assays. Results shown mean  $\pm$  SEM (n=6; 6 replicates per experiment).

Figure 4 demonstrates that the sulfamate inhibitors 23 and 24 decreased the proliferation of all three breast cancer cell lines over the time period examined at concentration greater than 10  $\mu$ M. At 30  $\mu$ M, both sulfamates reduced proliferation by approximately 50%. This occurred regardless of the oxygenation status of the cells, with similar concentration responses to both inhibitors, in normoxic or hypoxic conditions and in all cell lines studied.

## 3. Conclusion.

Herein we reported a series of CAIs obtained by merging the multikinase inhibitor Sorafenib with the benzene sulfonamide hCA IX inhibitor **SLC-0111**. All compounds here share the same tail moiety of Sorafenib (i.e. 4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl ureido group) and were assayed *in vitro* as inhibitors of four hCA isoforms of pharmacological relevance, the cytosolic isoforms hCA I, II and VII, and the tumor associated transmembrane isoform hCA IX. Interestingly the *in vitro* results showed a preferential inhibition of the tumor associated isoform with  $K_1$  values spanning between the low to medium nanomolar concentration. We determined the binding modes of compounds **17** and **23** on the hCA I isoform by means of X-ray crystallographic studies of their adducts with hCA I. Moreover, two sulfamates **23** and **24** were investigated for their antiproliferative activities on HBL100, MDA-MB-231 and MCF7 cell lines at normoxic and hypoxic conditions. Both sulfamates were found to decrease proliferation of these breast cancer cell lines at concentrations above 10  $\mu$ M regardless of oxygenation status.

## 4. Experimental Section

#### 4.1. Chemistry

All anhydrous solvents and reagents used in this study were purchased from Alfa Aesar, TCI, and Sigma-Aldrich. The synthetic reactions involving air- or moisture-sensitive chemicals were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere using dried glassware and syringe techniques in order to transfer the solutions. Nuclear magnetic resonance (<sup>1</sup> H-, <sup>13</sup>C-, and <sup>19</sup>F-NMR) spectra were recorded using a Bruker Avance III 400 MHz spectrometer using DMSO- $d_6$  as solvent. The chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm), and the coupling constants (J) are expressed in Hertz (Hz). The splitting patterns are designated as s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet; brs, broad singlet; dd, doublet of doublets. The correct assignment of exchangeable protons (i.e., OH and NH) was carried out by means of the addition of D<sub>2</sub>O. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was done on Merck silica gel F-254 plates. The HPLC was performed by using a Waters 2690 separation module coupled with a photodiode array detector (PDA Waters 996) using a Nova-Pak C18 4  $\mu$ m 3.9 mm  $\times$  150 mm (Waters) silica-based reverse phase column. The sample was dissolved in 10% acetonitrile/H<sub>2</sub>O and an injection volume of 45 µL. The mobile phase (flow rate 1.0 mL/min) was a gradient of  $H_2O$  + trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) 0.1% (A) and acetonitrile + TFA 0.1% (B), with steps as follows: (A%/B%), 0-10 min 90:10, 10-25 min gradient to 60:40, 26:28 min isocratic 20:80, 29-35 min isocratic 90:10. TFA 0.1% in water as well in acetonitrile was used as counterion. All compounds reported here were ≥95% HPLC pure. The solvents used in MS measures were acetone, acetonitrile (Chromasolv grade), and mQ water 18 MU. The high resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) analysis was performed with a Thermo Finnigan LTQ Orbitrap mass spectrometer coupled with an electrospray ionization source (ESI). Analysis was carried out in positive ion mode  $[M + H]^+$ , and it was used a proper dwell time acquisition to achieve 60,000 units of resolution at full width at half maximum (fwhm). Elemental composition of compounds was calculated on the basis of their measured accurate masses, accepting only results with an attribution error less than 5 ppm and a not integer RDB (double bond/ring equivalents) value. Stock solutions of analytes were prepared using acetone (1.0 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) and stored at 4 °C. Then working solutions of each analyte were prepared by dilution of the stock solutions using mQ H<sub>2</sub>O/ACN 1/1 (v/v) up to a concentration of 1.0  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>. The HRMS analysis was performed by introducing the analyte working solution via syringe pump at 10  $\mu$ L min<sup>-1</sup>.

## 4.2. Synthesis of reported compounds

### 4.2.1. General synthetic procedure a to synthesis of urea derivatives 12-21

A solution of amine **1-10** (0.2g, 1 equiv) was treated with 4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl isocyanate **11** (1.0 equv) in dry acetonitrile (3-4 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt until the consumption of starting materials (TLC monitoring). Reaction was quenched with H<sub>2</sub>O to give a precipitate that was filtered-off and washed with diethyl ether (3 x 5 mL) and dried under vacuum to afford the products **12-21**.

4.2.2. General synthetic procedure b to synthesis of sulfamates 23-24

A solution of phenols **18-19** (0.2 g, 1.0 equiv) was treated with freshly prepared sulfamoylchloride **22** (6.0 equiv, portion-wise) in dry DMA at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was warmed to rt and stirred for 15 min then quenched with slush. The obtained precipitate was filtered-off, washed with DCM (3 x 5 mL) and dried under vacuum to afford desired products **23-24**.

4.2.3 Synthesis of 2,5-dioxopyrrolidin-1-yl4-(3-(4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ureido) benzoate (25): A solution of 20 (1.0 g, 1.0 equiv) was treated with NHS (1.5 equiv) at 0 °C in dry DMF (5.0 ml), followed by addition of EDCI (1.5 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred until the consumption of starting materials (TLC monitoring). The reaction was quenched with H<sub>2</sub>O to obtain a precipitate which was filtered-off, washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (3 x 5 mL) and dried under vacuum to obtain compound 25.

4.2.4. General synthetic method **c** for the synthesis of compounds 26-27, 29, 31, 33, 35: A solution of amine 5-6, 28, 30, 32 and 34 (50-150 mg, 1.0 equiv) in dry DMF (3-4 mL) was treated with activated ester 25 (1.0 equiv), followed by addition of  $Et_3N$  (2.5 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred until the consumption of the starting materials, then quenched with H<sub>2</sub>O (2-3 mL) and acidified by 1M aqueous solution of HCl. The obtained precipitate was filtered-off, washed with diethyl ether (3 x 5 mL) and dried under vacuum to obtain desired products 26-27, 29, 31, 33 and 35.

4.2.5. 5-(3-(4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ureido)-1,3,4-thiadiazole-2-sulfonamide (12): white solid; yield 65%; silica gel TLC R<sub>f</sub> 0.35 (MeOH/DCM 10% v/v); mp 242-243 °C;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) 7.72 (1H, d, J 8.8), 7.83 (1H, m), 8.13 (1H, s), 8.35 (2H, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, SO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>), 9.72 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH), 11.88 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) 118.9 (q,  ${}^{3}J_{\rm C-F}$  6), 123.6 (1,  ${}^{1}J_{\rm C-F}$  271), 125.0, 125.1, 127.7 (q,  ${}^{2}J_{\rm C-F}$  30), 133.1, 138.8, 153.3, 164.1, 164.5;  $\delta_{\rm F}$  (376 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) -61.5; m/z (ESI negative) 399.9 [M-H]<sup>-</sup>.

4.2.6. 4-(3-(4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ureido)benzenesulfonamide (**13**): White solid, yield 60%; silica gel TLC R<sub>f</sub> 0.55 (MeOH/DCM 20% v/v); mp 260-261 °C;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) 7.26 (2H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, SO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.66 (4H, m), 7.77 (2H, d, *J* 8.0), 8.16 (1H, m), 9.28

(1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, N*H*), 9.31 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, N*H*);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) 117.9 (q,  ${}^{3}J_{\rm C-F}6$ ), 118.9, 123.7 (q,  ${}^{4}J_{\rm C-F}$  2), 123.8 (q,  ${}^{1}J_{\rm C-F}$  271.3), 124.2, 127.7 (q,  ${}^{2}J_{\rm C-F}$  30.4), 127.8, 133.0, 138.3, 139.9, 143.3, 153.2;  $\delta_{\rm F}$  (376 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) -61.4; *m/z* (ESI negative) 392.0 [M-H]<sup>-</sup>.

4.2.7. 3-(3-(4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ureido)benzenesulfonamide (14): White solid, yield 76%; silica gel TLC R<sub>f</sub> 0.45 (MeOH/DCM 10% v/v); mp 245-246 °C;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) 7.40 (2H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, SO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.51 (2H, m), 7.60 (1H, dt, J 2.0, 7.6), 7.68 (2H, m), 8.12 (1H, m), 8.17 (1H, d, J 2.0), 9.23-9.25 (2H, m, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) 116.5, 117.9 (q,  ${}^{3}J_{\rm C-F}$  22), 120.3, 122.5, 123.6 (q,  ${}^{4}J_{\rm C-F}$  2), 123.8 (q,  ${}^{1}J_{\rm C-F}$  271), 124.2, 127.7 (q,  ${}^{3}J_{\rm C-F}$  30), 130.4, 132.9, 140.1, 140.7, 145.7, 153.3;  $\delta_{\rm F}$  (376 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) -61.5; m/z(ESI positive) 392.0 [M-H]<sup>-</sup>.

4.2.8. *3*-(*3*-(*4*-chloro-*3*-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ureido)-*4*-hydroxybenzenesulfonamide (**15**): White solid, yield 62%; silica gel TLC R<sub>f</sub> 0.12 (MeOH/DCM 10% v/v); mp 254-255 °C;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) 6.99 (1H, d, *J* 8.4), 7.16 (2H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, SO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.36 (1H, dd, *J* 2.4, 8.4), 7.60 (1H, dd, *J* 2.0, 8.8), 7.66 (1H, d, *J* 8.8), 8.20 (1H, d, *J* 2.0), 8.48 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH), 8.66 (1H, d, *J* 2.4), 9.89 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH), 10.96 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, OH);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) 114.7, 117.1, 117.3 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> 6), 121.4, 123.2 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> 273), 123.4 (q, <sup>4</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> 2), 123.6, 127.8 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> 30), 128.3, 133.1, 135.9, 140.2, 149.5, 153.1;  $\delta_{\rm F}$  (376 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) -61.5; *m/z* (ESI negative) 408.0 [M-H]<sup>-</sup>.

4.2.9. 4-((3-(4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ureido)methyl)benzenesulfonamide (16): White solid, yield 20%; mp 158-159 °C;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) 4.40 (2H, d, J 5.6), 7.00 (1H, t, J 5.6, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH), 7.34 (2H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, SO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.50 (2H, d, J 8.4), 7.58 (1H, d, J 8.8), 7.64 (1H, dd, J 2.4, 8.8), 7.81 (2H, d, J 8.4), 8.11 (1H, d, J 2.4), 9.25 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) 43.4, 117.1 (q, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> 6), 122.4 (q, <sup>4</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> 2), 123.3, 123.8 (q, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> 271), 126.7, 127.6 (q, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> 30), 128.2, 132.7, 141.0, 143.5, 145.2, 155.9;  $\delta_{\rm F}$  (376 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) -61.4; *m/z* (ESI positive) 452.0 [M+HCOO]<sup>-</sup>.

4.2.10. 4 - (2 - (3 - (4 - chloro - 3 - (trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ureido)ethyl)benzenesulfonamide (17): White $solid, yield 78%; silica gel TLC R<sub>f</sub> 0.67 (MeOH/DCM 20% v/v); mp 229-230 °C; <math>\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) 2.88 (2H, t, J 6.8), 3.41 (2H, q, J 6.8), 6.39 (1H, t, J 6.8, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH), 7.33 (2H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, SO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.46 (2H, d, J 8.2), 7.58 (2H, m), 7.79 (2H, d, J 8.2), 8.10 (1H, m), 9.03 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) 36,3, 41.3, 117.1 (q, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> 6), 122.4 (q, <sup>4</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> 2), 123.2, 123.8 (q, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> 271), 126.7, 127.6 (q, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> 30), 130.1, 132.7, 141.0, 143.1, 144.7, 155.8;  $\delta_{\rm F}$  (376 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) -61.4; *m/z* (ESI positive) 422.0 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>.

4.2.11. *1-(4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)urea* (*18*): White solid, yield 55%; silica gel TLC R<sub>f</sub> 0.33 (MeOH/DCM 10% v/v);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) 6.73 (2H, d, *J* 8.8), 7.26 (2H, d, *J* 8.8), 7.64 (2H, m), 8.13 (1H, d, *J* 2.4), 8.51 (1H, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, N*H*), 9.05 (1H, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, N*H*), 9.15 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, O*H*);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) 116.2, 117.5 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> 6), 122.0, 122.9 (q, <sup>4</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> 2), 123.7, 123.8 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> 271), 127.8 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> 30), 131.5, 132.8, 140.6, 153.6, 154.0;  $\delta_{\rm F}$  (376 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) -61.5.

4.2.12. *1-(4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3-(3-hydroxyphenyl)urea* (**19**): White solid, yield 60%; silica gel TLC R<sub>f</sub> 0.47 (MeOH/DCM 10%  $\nu/\nu$ );  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) 6.44 (1H, m), 6.84 (1H, m), 7.08 (2H, m), 7.64 (1H, d, *J* 1.2), 8.15 (1H, t, *J* 1.2), 8.75 (1H, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, N*H*), 9.10 (1H, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, N*H*), 9.37 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, O*H*);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) 106.6, 110.2, 110.4, 117.6 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> 6), 123.1 (q, <sup>4</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> 2), 123.7 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> 271), 123.9, 127.5 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> 30), 130.4, 132.9, 140.3, 141.1, 153.2, 158.7;  $\delta_{\rm F}$  (376 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) -61.5.

4.2.13. 4-(3-(4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ureido)benzoic acid (20): White solid, yield 77%; silica gel TLC R<sub>f</sub> 0.45 (EtOAc/n-hexane 80% v/v);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) 7.62 (2H, d, J 8.8), 7.68 (2H, m), 7.91 (2H, d, J 8.8), 8.15 (1H, m), 9.26 (1H, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH), 9.30 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH), 12.68 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, COOH);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (100 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) 117.9 (q, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> 6), 118.6, 123.6 (q, <sup>4</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> 2), 123.7 (q, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> 271), 124.2, 125.1, 127.7 (q, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> 30), 131.5, 133.0, 140.0, 144.5, 153.1, 167.9;  $\delta_{\rm F}$  (376 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) -61.5.

4.2.14. 1-(4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3-(4-methyl-2-oxo-2H-chromen-7-yl)urea (21): White solid; yield 70%; mp>300°C;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) 2.44 (3H, s), 6.27 (1H, s), 7.40 (1H, d, J 8.6), 7.64-7.74 (4H, m), 8.13 (1H, m), 9.39 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH), 9.42 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) 18.8, 105.6, 112.6, 115.0, 115.4, 117.8 (q,  ${}^{3}J_{\rm C-F}$  6), 123.5 (q,  ${}^{4}J_{\rm C-F}$  2), 123.6 (q,  ${}^{1}J_{\rm C-F}$  270), 124.2, 126.7, 127.7 (q,  ${}^{2}J_{\rm C-F}$  30), 132.9, 139.8, 143.8, 153.0, 154.0, 154.8, 160.9;  $\delta_{\rm F}$  (376 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) -61.5; m/z (ESI negative) 395.0 [M-H]<sup>-</sup>.

4.2.15. 4-(3-(4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ureido)phenyl sulfamate (23): White solid, yield 77%; silica gel TLC R<sub>f</sub> 0.70 (MeOH/DCM 10% v/v); mp 175-176°C (dec);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, DMSOd<sub>6</sub>) 7.24 (2H, d, J 9.0), 7.55 (2H, d, J 9.0), 7.66 (2H, m), 7.95 (2H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, SO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>), 8.14 (1H, d, J 2.2), 9.00 (1H, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH), 9.21 (1H, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH); $\delta_{\rm C}$  (100 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) 117.7 (q, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> 6), 120.6, 123.3 (q, <sup>4</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> 3), 123.6, 123.8 (q, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> 271), 124.0, 127.7 (q, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> 30), 132.9, 138.6, 140.2, 145.9, 153.4; *m/z* (ESI negative) 408.0 [M-H]<sup>-</sup>.

4.2.16. *3-(3-(4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ureido)phenyl sulfamate (24)*: White solid, yield 65%; silica gel TLC  $R_f$  0.55 (MeOH/DCM 10% v/v); mp 190-191°C (dec);  $\delta_H$  (400 MHz, DMSO-

 $d_6$ ) 6.95 (1H, m), 7.39 (2H, m), 7.57 (1H, m), 7.67 (2H, m), 8.03 (2H, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, SO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>), 8.15 (1H, d, *J* 2.0), 9.11 (1H, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH), 9.21 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH);δ<sub>C</sub> (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) 113.2, 116.6, 117.4, 117.8 (q,  ${}^{3}J_{C-F}$  6), 123.4 (q,  ${}^{4}J_{C-F}$  2), 123.7 (q,  ${}^{1}J_{C-F}$  271), 124.1, 127.7 (q,  ${}^{2}J_{C-F}$  30), 130.7, 132.9, 140.1, 141.4, 151.4, 153.2; δ<sub>F</sub> (376 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) -61.5; *m/z* (ESI negative) 408.0 [M-H]<sup>-</sup>.

4.2.17. 2,5-dioxopyrrolidin-1-yl 4-(3-(4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ureido)benzoate (25): White solid; yield 92%;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) 2.92 (4H, s), 7.70 (2H, m), 7.77 (2H, d, J 9.0), 7.99 (1H, s), 8.07 ( 2H, d, J 9.0), 8.17 (1H, d, J 2.2), 9.45 (1H, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH), 9.57 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) 26.4, 118.1, 118.1 (q,  ${}^{3}J_{\rm C-F}$  6), 119.0, 123.8 (q,  ${}^{4}J_{\rm C-F}$  2), 123.7 (q,  ${}^{1}J_{\rm C-F}$  271), 124.4, 127.7 (q,  ${}^{2}J_{\rm C-F}$  30), 132.4, 132.9, 139.7, 146.8, 152.9, 162.2, 171.3;  $\delta_{\rm F}$  (376 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) -61.5.

4.2.18. 4 - (3 - (4 - chloro - 3 - (trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ureido) - N - (4 - sulfamoylbenzyl)benzamide (26):White solid; yield 60%; silica gel TLC R<sub>f</sub> 0.26 (MeOH/DCM 10% v/v); mp195-196 °C;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) 4.56 (2H, d, J 6.0), 7.35 (2H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, SO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.52 (2H, d, J 8.3), 7.59 (2H, d, J 8.8), 7.68 (2H, m), 7.81 (2H, d, J 8.3), 7.90 (2H, d, J 8.8), 8.16 (1H, m), 9.04 (1H, t, J 6.0, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH), 9.18 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH), 9.30 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) 43.2, 117.8 (q,  ${}^{3}J_{\rm C-F}$  6), 118.5, 123.5 (q,  ${}^{4}J_{\rm C-F}$  2), 123.7 (q,  ${}^{1}J_{\rm C-F}$  270), 124.2, 126.6, 127.7 (q,  ${}^{2}J_{\rm C-F}$  30), 128.4, 128.6, 129.2, 133.0, 140.1, 143.1, 143.5, 144.9, 153.2, 166.8;  $\delta_{\rm F}$  (376 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) -61.5; m/z (ESI negative) 525.1 [M-H]<sup>-</sup>.

4.2.19. 4-(3-(4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ureido)-N-(4-sulfamoylphenethyl)benzamide (27): White solid; yield 62%; silica gel TLC R<sub>f</sub> 0.22 (EtOAc/*n*-hexane 80% *v*/*v*); mp 269-270 °C;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) 2.96 (2H, t, *J* 7.2), 3.54 (2H, q, *J* 7.2), 7.32 (2H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, SO<sub>2</sub>N*H*<sub>2</sub>), 7.47 (2H, d, *J* 8.4), 7.57 (2H, d, *J* 8.8), 7.67 (2H, m), 7.80 (4H, m), 8.15 (1H, m), 8.49 (1H, t, *J* 7.2, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, N*H*), 9.14 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, N*H*), 9.29 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, N*H*); $\delta_{\rm C}$  (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) 35.8, 41.3, 117.8 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> 6), 118.5, 123.5 (q, <sup>4</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> 2), 123.7 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> 270), 124.1, 126.6, 127.7 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> 30), 129.0, 129.0, 130.1, 133.0, 140.1, 142.8, 143.0, 144.8, 153.2, 166.7;  $\delta_{\rm F}$  (376 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) -61.5; *m*/*z* (ESI negative) 539.1 [M-H]<sup>-</sup>.

4.2.20.  $4-(3-(4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ureido)-N-(3-(4-sulfamoylphenoxy)propyl)benzamide (29): White solid, yield 64%; silica gel TLC R<sub>f</sub> 0.25 (MeOH/DCM 10% v/v); mp 231-232 °C; <math>\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) 2.03 (2H, pent, J 6.5), 3.45 (2H, q, J 6.5), 4.15 (2H, t, J 6.5), 7.12 (2H, d, J 8.9), 7.23 (2H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, SO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.57 (2H, d, J 8.8), 7.67 (2H, m), 7.78 (2H, d, J 8.9), 7.84 (2H, d, J 8.8), 8.15 (1H, m), 8.46 (1H, t, J 6.5, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH), 9.14 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH), 9.27 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O,

N*H*);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) 29.8, 37.1, 66.8, 115.4, 117.8 (q,  ${}^{3}J_{\rm C-F}$  6), 118.4, 123.5 (q,  ${}^{4}J_{\rm C-F}$  2), 123.7 (q,  ${}^{1}J_{\rm C-F}$  270), 124.1, 127.7 (q,  ${}^{2}J_{\rm C-F}$  30), 127.8, 128.6, 129.0, 129.1, 132.9, 137.0, 140.1, 142.8, 153.2, 162.0, 166.8;  $\delta_{\rm F}$  (376 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) -61.5; *m/z* (ESI negative) 569.1 [M-H]<sup>-</sup>.

4.2.21. 4-(3-(4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ureido)-N-(6-(4-sulfamoylphenoxy)hexyl)benzamide (**31** $): White solid; yield 55%; mp 237-238°C; <math>\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) 1.44 (4H, m), 1.58 (2H, pent, J 7.0), 1.77 (2H, pent, J 7),3.28 (2H, q, J 7.0), 4.08 (2H, t, J 7.0), 7.10 (2H, d, J 8.8), 7.23 (2H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, SO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.57 (2H, d, J 8.6), 7.66 (2H, m), 7.78 (2H, d, J 8.8), 7.83 (2H, d, J 8.6), 8.16 (1H, s), 8.36 (1H, t, J 7.0, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH), 9.15 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH), 9.30 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) 26.2, 27.2, 29.4, 30.1, 68.8, 115.3,117.8 (q,  ${}^{3}J_{\rm C-F}$  6), 118.4, 123.5 (q,  ${}^{4}J_{\rm C-F}$  2), 123.7 (q,  ${}^{1}J_{\rm C-F}$  270), 124.1, 127.7 (q,  ${}^{2}J_{\rm C-F}$  30), 127.8, 128.6, 129.0, 129.2, 133.0, 136.9, 140.1, 142.7, 153.2, 162.0, 166.5;  $\delta_{\rm F}$  (376 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) -61.5; m/z (ESI negative) 611.2 [M-H]<sup>-</sup>.

4.2.22. 4-(3-(4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ureido)-N-(2-((2-oxo-2H-chromen-7-yl)oxy)ethyl) benzamide (*33*): White solid; yield 35%; mp 217-218 °C;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) 3.67 (2H, q, *J* 5.6), 4.26 (2H, t, *J* 5.6), 6.32 (1H, d, *J* 9.5), 7.00 (1H, dd, *J* 2.4, 8.6), 7.08 (1H, d, *J* 2.4), 7.57 (2H, d, *J* 8.8), 7.66 (3H, m), 7.86 (2H, d, *J* 8.8), 8.02 (1H, d, *J* 9.5), 8.15 (1H, m), 8.65 (1H, t, *J* 5.6, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, N*H*), 9.21 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, N*H*), 9.36 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, N*H*);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) 39.5, 67.8, 102.2, 113.4, 113.5, 113.7, 117.7 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> 6), 118.4, 123.5 (q, <sup>4</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> 2), 123.8 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> 270), 124.1, 127.6 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> 30), 127.8, 128.6, 128.6, 129.2, 130.5, 133.0, 140.1, 143.1, 145.3, 153.2, 156.4, 161.3, 162.6, 167.0;  $\delta_{\rm F}$  (376 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) -61.5; *m*/z (ESI negative) 544.1 [M-H]<sup>-</sup>.

4.2.23. 4-(3-(4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ureido)-N-(2-((2-oxo-2H-chromen-6-yl)oxy)ethyl) benzamide (**35**): White solid, yield 42%; mp 219-220 °C;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) 3.68 (2H, q, J 5.6), 4.20 (2H, t, J 5.6), 6.53 (1H, d, J 9.6), 7.27 (1H, dd, J 2.4, 8.8), 7.38 (2H, m), 7.58 (2H, d, J 8.8), 7.67 (2H, m), 7.86 (2H, d, J 8.8), 8.04 (1H, d, J 9.6), 8.15 (1H, m), 8.66 (1H, t, J 5.6,exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH), 9.29 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH), 9.45 (1H, s, exchange with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH);  $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) 39.7, 67.7, 112.5, 117.5, 117.7 (q, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> 6), 118.3, 118.4, 120.2, 120.8, 123.4 (q, <sup>4</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> 2), 124.0, 124.2 (q, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> 270), 127.6 (q, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> 30), 128.6, 129.2, 133.0, 140.1, 143.0, 145.0, 148.8,153.2, 155.7, 161.1, 167.0;  $\delta_{\rm F}$  (376 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) -61.5; *m*/z (ESI negative) 544.1 [M-H]<sup>-</sup>.

## 4.3. CA inhibition

An Applied Photophysics stopped-flow instrument has been used for assaying the CA catalyzed CO<sub>2</sub> hydration activity [57]. Phenol red (at a concentration of 0.2 mM) has been used as indicator,

working at the absorbance maximum of 557 nm, with 20 mM Hepes (pH 7.5) as buffer, and 20 mM Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (for maintaining constant the ionic strength), following the initial rates of the CA-catalyzed CO<sub>2</sub> hydration reaction for a period of 10-100 s. The CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations ranged from 1.7 to 17 mM for the determination of the kinetic parameters and inhibition constants. For each inhibitor at least six traces of the initial 5-10% of the reaction have been used for determining the initial velocity. The uncatalyzed rates were determined in the same manner and subtracted from the total observed rates. Stock solutions of inhibitor (0.1 mM) were prepared in distilled-deionized water and dilutions up to 0.01 nM were done thereafter with the assay buffer. Inhibitor and enzyme solutions were preincubated together at room temperature (15 min) prior to assay, in order to allow for the formation of the E-I complex. Data from Table 1 were obtained after 15 minutes incubation of enzyme and inhibitor, as for the sulfonamides reported earlier [9,45,59,60]. The inhibition constants were obtained by non-linear least-squares methods using PRISM 3 and the Cheng-Prusoff equation, as reported earlier [9,45,59,60] and represent the mean from at least three different determinations. All CA isoforms were recombinant ones obtained in-house as reported earlier [9,45,59,60].

# 4.4. Crystallization and X-ray data collection

Crystals of hCA I complexed with compounds **17** and **23** were obtained using the sitting drop vapor diffusion method.  $2 \mu l$  of 10 mg/ml solution of hCA I in Tris-HCl 20 mM pH 9.0 were mixed with 2  $\mu l$  of a solution of 28-31% PEG4000, 0.2 M Sodium acetate, 0.1 M Tris pH 8.5-9.0 and were equilibrated against the same solution at 296 K. Crystals of the protein grew in fifteen days. Afterwards hCAI crystals were soaked in 5mM inhibitor solutions for 3 days.

The crystals were flash-frozen at 100 K using a solution obtained by adding 15% (v/v) glycerol to the mother liquor solution as cryoprotectant. Data on crystals of the complexes were collected using synchrotron radiation at the ID30A-1 beamline at ESRF (Grenoble, France) with a wavelength of 0.966 Å and a PILATUS3 2M Dectris CCD detector. Data were integrated and scaled using the program XDS [61]. Data processing statistics are shown in Supporting Information Table S1.

#### 4.4.1. Structure determination

The crystal structure of hCA I (PDB accession code 1JV0) without solvent molecules and other heteroatoms was used to obtain initial phases of the structures using Refmac5 [62]. 5% of the unique reflections were selected randomly and excluded from the refinement data set for the purpose of  $R_{free}$  calculations. The initial |Fo - Fc| difference electron density map unambiguously showed the inhibitor molecules. Atomic models for inhibitors were calculated and energy

minimized using the program JLigand 1.0.40 [63]. Refinements proceeded using normal protocols of positional, isotropic atomic displacement parameters alternating with manual building of the models using COOT [64]. Solvent molecules were introduced automatically using the program ARP [65]. The quality of the final models were assessed with COOT and RAMPAGE [66]. Crystal parameters and refinement data are summarized in Table S1 in the Supporting information. Atomic coordinates were deposited in the Protein Data Bank (PDB accession code: 6I0L and 6I0J). Graphical representations were generated with Chimera [67].

### 4.5. Cell Lines and Culture

The breast cancer cell lines, HBL100, MCF7 and MDA.MB.231 were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100  $\mu$ g/ml streptomycin in 21% O<sub>2</sub>. Hypoxic cells were grown at 0.5% O<sub>2</sub> in a Don Whitley H35 Hypoxystation. All cell lines used were tested and authenticated using STR profiling by Public Health England, Porton Down, Salisbury, UK.

## 4.5.1. Sulforhodamine B assay

Cells (1 x  $10^3$ /well) were seeded into 96-well flat-bottomed plates and incubated for 48 h before the sulfamates were added using concentrations between 0 – 300  $\mu$ M. After 5 days treatment, plates were fixed by the addition of 50  $\mu$ l 25% trichloroacetic acid solution per well for 1 h at 4 °C. Plates were washed with H<sub>2</sub>O and air dried before the addition of 50  $\mu$ l 0.4% sulforhodamine B solution in 1% acetic acid for 30 min. Plates were washed in 1% acetic acid and air dried before the addition of 150  $\mu$ l 10 mM Tris solution (pH 10.5). After 30 min, the absorbance of each well was measured at 540 nm on a BP900 biohit plate reader.

## **Disclosure Statement**

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### References

- [1] C.T. Supuran, Carbonic anhydrases, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 21 (2013) 1377–1378.
  doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2013.02.026.
- [2] C.T. Supuran, Structure and function of carbonic anhydrases, Biochem. J. 473 (2016) 2023–2032. doi:10.1042/BCJ20160115.

- [3] M. Sentürk, I. Gülçin, A. Daştan, O.I. Küfrevioğlu, C.T. Supuran, Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors. Inhibition of human erythrocyte isozymes I and II with a series of antioxidant phenols, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 17 (2009) 3207–3211. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2009.01.067.
- [4] T. Abdülkadir Coban, Ş. Beydemir, İ. Gücin, D. Ekinci, A. Innocenti, D. Vullo, C.T. Supuran, Sildenafil is a strong activator of mammalian carbonic anhydrase isoforms I–XIV, Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry. 17 (2009) 5791–5795. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2009.07.019.
- [5] A. Innocenti, S. Beyza Öztürk Sarıkaya, İ. Gülçin, C.T. Supuran, Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors. Inhibition of mammalian isoforms I-XIV with a series of natural product polyphenols and phenolic acids, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 18 (2010) 2159–2164. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2010.01.076.
- [6] S.B. Oztürk Sarikaya, F. Topal, M. Sentürk, I. Gülçin, C.T. Supuran, In vitro inhibition of αcarbonic anhydrase isozymes by some phenolic compounds, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 21 (2011) 4259–4262. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.05.071.
- [7] C.T. Supuran, Carbonic anhydrases: novel therapeutic applications for inhibitors and activators, Nat Rev Drug Discov. 7 (2008) 168–181. doi:10.1038/nrd2467.
- [8] D. Neri, C.T. Supuran, Interfering with pH regulation in tumours as a therapeutic strategy, Nat Rev Drug Discov. 10 (2011) 767–777. doi:10.1038/nrd3554.
- [9] M. Abdoli, A. Angeli, M. Bozdag, F. Carta, A. Kakanejadifard, H. Saeidian, C.T. Supuran, Synthesis and carbonic anhydrase I, II, VII, and IX inhibition studies with a series of benzo[d]thiazole-5- and 6-sulfonamides, J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem. 32 (2017) 1071–1078. doi:10.1080/14756366.2017.1356295.
- [10] M. Bozdag, F. Carta, D. Vullo, A. Akdemir, S. Isik, C. Lanzi, A. Scozzafava, E. Masini, C.T. Supuran, Synthesis of a new series of dithiocarbamates with effective human carbonic anhydrase inhibitory activity and antiglaucoma action, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 23 (2015) 2368– 2376. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2015.03.068.
- [11] F. Carta, M. Aggarwal, A. Maresca, A. Scozzafava, R. McKenna, C.T. Supuran, Dithiocarbamates: a new class of carbonic anhydrase inhibitors. Crystallographic and kinetic investigations, Chem. Commun. (Camb.). 48 (2012) 1868–1870. doi:10.1039/c2cc16395k.
- [12] F. Carta, M. Aggarwal, A. Maresca, A. Scozzafava, R. McKenna, E. Masini, C.T. Supuran, Dithiocarbamates strongly inhibit carbonic anhydrases and show antiglaucoma action in vivo, J. Med. Chem. 55 (2012) 1721–1730. doi:10.1021/jm300031j.
- [13] S. Avram, A.L. Milac, F. Carta, C.T. Supuran, More effective dithiocarbamate derivatives inhibiting carbonic anhydrases, generated by QSAR and computational design, J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem. 28 (2013) 350–359. doi:10.3109/14756366.2012.727410.

- [14] F. Carta, A. Akdemir, A. Scozzafava, E. Masini, C.T. Supuran, Xanthates and trithiocarbonates strongly inhibit carbonic anhydrases and show antiglaucoma effects in vivo, J. Med. Chem. 56 (2013) 4691–4700. doi:10.1021/jm400414j.
- [15] D. Vullo, M. Durante, F.S. Di Leva, S. Cosconati, E. Masini, A. Scozzafava, E. Novellino, C.T. Supuran, F. Carta, Monothiocarbamates Strongly Inhibit Carbonic Anhydrases in Vitro and Possess Intraocular Pressure Lowering Activity in an Animal Model of Glaucoma, J. Med. Chem. 59 (2016) 5857–5867. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.6b00462.
- [16] A. Innocenti, D. Vullo, A. Scozzafava, C.T. Supuran, Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors: interactions of phenols with the 12 catalytically active mammalian isoforms (CA I-XIV), Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 18 (2008) 1583–1587. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2008.01.077.
- [17] R.A. Davis, A. Hofmann, A. Osman, R.A. Hall, F.A. Mühlschlegel, D. Vullo, A. Innocenti, C.T. Supuran, S.-A. Poulsen, Natural product-based phenols as novel probes for mycobacterial and fungal carbonic anhydrases, J. Med. Chem. 54 (2011) 1682–1692. doi:10.1021/jm1013242.
- [18] A. Innocenti, I. Gülçin, A. Scozzafava, C.T. Supuran, Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors. Antioxidant polyphenols effectively inhibit mammalian isoforms I-XV, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 20 (2010) 5050–5053. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2010.07.038.
- [19] A. Innocenti, D. Vullo, A. Scozzafava, C.T. Supuran, Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors: inhibition of mammalian isoforms I-XIV with a series of substituted phenols including paracetamol and salicylic acid, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 16 (2008) 7424–7428. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2008.06.013.
- [20] V. Alterio, A. Di Fiore, K. D'Ambrosio, C.T. Supuran, G. De Simone, Multiple binding modes of inhibitors to carbonic anhydrases: how to design specific drugs targeting 15 different isoforms?, Chem. Rev. 112 (2012) 4421–4468. doi:10.1021/cr200176r.
- [21] E. Langella, K. D'Ambrosio, M. D'Ascenzio, S. Carradori, S.M. Monti, C.T. Supuran, G. De Simone, A Combined Crystallographic and Theoretical Study Explains the Capability of Carboxylic Acids to Adopt Multiple Binding Modes in the Active Site of Carbonic Anhydrases, Chemistry. 22 (2016) 97–100. doi:10.1002/chem.201503748.
- [22] A. Scozzafava, C.T. Supuran, F. Carta, Polyamines and α-Carbonic Anhydrases, Molecules.
  21 (2016). doi:10.3390/molecules21121726.
- [23] F. Carta, C. Temperini, A. Innocenti, A. Scozzafava, K. Kaila, C.T. Supuran, Polyamines inhibit carbonic anhydrases by anchoring to the zinc-coordinated water molecule, J. Med. Chem. 53 (2010) 5511–5522. doi:10.1021/jm1003667.

- [24] F. Carta, A. Maresca, A. Scozzafava, C.T. Supuran, Novel coumarins and 2-thioxocoumarins as inhibitors of the tumor-associated carbonic anhydrases IX and XII, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 20 (2012) 2266–2273. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2012.02.014.
- [25] M. Ferraroni, F. Carta, A. Scozzafava, C.T. Supuran, Thioxocoumarins Show an Alternative Carbonic Anhydrase Inhibition Mechanism Compared to Coumarins, J. Med. Chem. 59 (2016) 462–473. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b01720.
- [26] M. Tanc, F. Carta, M. Bozdag, A. Scozzafava, C.T. Supuran, 7-Substituted-sulfocoumarins are isoform-selective, potent carbonic anhydrase II inhibitors, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 21 (2013) 4502–4510. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2013.05.032.
- [27] K. Tars, D. Vullo, A. Kazaks, J. Leitans, A. Lends, A. Grandane, R. Zalubovskis, A. Scozzafava, C.T. Supuran, Sulfocoumarins (1,2-benzoxathiine-2,2-dioxides): a class of potent and isoform-selective inhibitors of tumor-associated carbonic anhydrases, J. Med. Chem. 56 (2013) 293–300. doi:10.1021/jm301625s.
- [28] A. Grandane, M. Tanc, L. Di Cesare Mannelli, F. Carta, C. Ghelardini, R. Žalubovskis, C.T. Supuran, 6-Substituted sulfocoumarins are selective carbonic anhdydrase IX and XII inhibitors with significant cytotoxicity against colorectal cancer cells, J. Med. Chem. 58 (2015) 3975–3983. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00523.
- [29] A. Grandane, M. Tanc, R. Žalubovskis, C.T. Supuran, Synthesis of 6-aryl-substituted sulfocoumarins and investigation of their carbonic anhydrase inhibitory action, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 23 (2015) 1430–1436. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2015.02.023.
- [30] A. Grandane, M. Tanc, R. Zalubovskis, C.T. Supuran, 6-Triazolyl-substituted sulfocoumarins are potent, selective inhibitors of the tumor-associated carbonic anhydrases IX and XII, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 24 (2014) 1256–1260. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2014.01.076.
- [31] A. Grandane, M. Tanc, R. Zalubovskis, C.T. Supuran, Synthesis of 6-tetrazolyl-substituted sulfocoumarins acting as highly potent and selective inhibitors of the tumor-associated carbonic anhydrase isoforms IX and XII, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 22 (2014) 1522–1528. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2014.01.043.
- [32] A. Nocentini, F. Carta, M. Tanc, S. Selleri, C.T. Supuran, C. Bazzicalupi, P. Gratteri, Deciphering the Mechanism of Human Carbonic Anhydrases Inhibition with Sulfocoumarins: Computational and Experimental Studies, Chemistry. 24 (2018) 7840–7844. doi:10.1002/chem.201800941.
- [33] A. Maresca, C. Temperini, H. Vu, N.B. Pham, S.-A. Poulsen, A. Scozzafava, R.J. Quinn, C.T. Supuran, Non-zinc mediated inhibition of carbonic anhydrases: coumarins are a new class of suicide inhibitors, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131 (2009) 3057–3062. doi:10.1021/ja809683v.

- [34] A. Maresca, C. Temperini, L. Pochet, B. Masereel, A. Scozzafava, C.T. Supuran, Deciphering the mechanism of carbonic anhydrase inhibition with coumarins and thiocoumarins, J. Med. Chem. 53 (2010) 335–344. doi:10.1021/jm901287j.
- [35] M. Bozdag, A.M. Alafeefy, A.M. Altamimi, D. Vullo, F. Carta, C.T. Supuran, Coumarins and other fused bicyclic heterocycles with selective tumor-associated carbonic anhydrase isoforms inhibitory activity, Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry. 25 (2017) 677–683. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2016.11.039.
- [36] S. Bua, L. Di Cesare Mannelli, D. Vullo, C. Ghelardini, G. Bartolucci, A. Scozzafava, C.T. Supuran, F. Carta, Design and Synthesis of Novel Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs and Carbonic Anhydrase Inhibitors Hybrids (NSAIDs-CAIs) for the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis, J. Med. Chem. 60 (2017) 1159–1170. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.6b01607.
- [37] K. D'Ambrosio, S. Carradori, S.M. Monti, M. Buonanno, D. Secci, D. Vullo, C.T. Supuran,
  G. De Simone, Out of the active site binding pocket for carbonic anhydrase inhibitors, Chem.
  Commun. (Camb.). 51 (2015) 302–305. doi:10.1039/c4cc07320g.
- [38] F. Pacchiano, F. Carta, P.C. McDonald, Y. Lou, D. Vullo, A. Scozzafava, S. Dedhar, C.T. Supuran, Ureido-substituted benzenesulfonamides potently inhibit carbonic anhydrase IX and show antimetastatic activity in a model of breast cancer metastasis, J. Med. Chem. 54 (2011) 1896–1902. doi:10.1021/jm101541x.
- Y. Lou, P.C. McDonald, A. Oloumi, S. Chia, C. Ostlund, A. Ahmadi, A. Kyle, U. Auf dem Keller, S. Leung, D. Huntsman, B. Clarke, B.W. Sutherland, D. Waterhouse, M. Bally, C. Roskelley, C.M. Overall, A. Minchinton, F. Pacchiano, F. Carta, A. Scozzafava, N. Touisni, J.-Y. Winum, C.T. Supuran, S. Dedhar, Targeting tumor hypoxia: suppression of breast tumor growth and metastasis by novel carbonic anhydrase IX inhibitors, Cancer Res. 71 (2011) 3364–3376. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-4261.
- [40] F. Pacchiano, M. Aggarwal, B.S. Avvaru, A.H. Robbins, A. Scozzafava, R. McKenna, C.T. Supuran, Selective hydrophobic pocket binding observed within the carbonic anhydrase II active site accommodate different 4-substituted-ureido-benzenesulfonamides and correlate to inhibitor potency, Chem. Commun. (Camb.). 46 (2010) 8371–8373. doi:10.1039/c0cc02707c.
- [41] A Study of SLC-0111 and Gemcitabine for Metastatic Pancreatic Ductal Cancer in Subjects Positive for CAIX - Full Text View - ClinicalTrials.gov, (n.d.). https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03450018 (accessed January 24, 2019).
- [42] P.C. McDonald, J.-Y. Winum, C.T. Supuran, S. Dedhar, Recent Developments in Targeting Carbonic Anhydrase IX for Cancer Therapeutics, Oncotarget. 3 (2012) 84–97. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.422.

- [43] M. Hilvo, Expression of Carbonic Anhydrase IX in Mouse Tissues, Journal of Histochemistry and Cytochemistry. 52 (2004) 1313–1322. doi:10.1369/jhc.3A6225.2004.
- [44] C.T. Supuran, Carbonic Anhydrase Inhibition and the Management of Hypoxic Tumors, Metabolites. 7 (2017). doi:10.3390/metabo7030048.
- [45] J.-Y. Winum, F. Carta, C. Ward, P. Mullen, D. Harrison, S.P. Langdon, A. Cecchi, A. Scozzafava, I. Kunkler, C.T. Supuran, Ureido-substituted sulfamates show potent carbonic anhydrase IX inhibitory and antiproliferative activities against breast cancer cell lines, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 22 (2012) 4681–4685. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2012.05.083.
- [46] C. Ward, J. Meehan, P. Mullen, C. Supuran, J.M. Dixon, J.S. Thomas, J.-Y. Winum, P. Lambin, L. Dubois, N.-K. Pavathaneni, E.J. Jarman, L. Renshaw, I.H. Um, C. Kay, D.J. Harrison, I.H. Kunkler, S.P. Langdon, Evaluation of carbonic anhydrase IX as a therapeutic target for inhibition of breast cancer invasion and metastasis using a series of in vitro breast cancer models, Oncotarget. 6 (2015) 24856–24870. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.4498.
- [47] M. Bozdag, F. Carta, M. Ceruso, M. Ferraroni, P.C. McDonald, S. Dedhar, C.T. Supuran, Discovery of 4-Hydroxy-3-(3-(phenylureido)benzenesulfonamides as SLC-0111 Analogues for the Treatment of Hypoxic Tumors Overexpressing Carbonic Anhydrase IX, J. Med. Chem. 61 (2018) 6328–6338. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.8b00770.
- [48] M. Bozdag, F. Carta, A. Angeli, S.M. Osman, F.A.S. Alasmary, Z. AlOthman, C.T. Supuran, Synthesis of N'-phenyl-N-hydroxyureas and investigation of their inhibitory activities on human carbonic anhydrases, Bioorg. Chem. 78 (2018) 1–6. doi:10.1016/j.bioorg.2018.02.029.
- [49] M. Bozdag, G. Poli, A. Angeli, E. Lucarini, T. Tuccinardi, L. Di Cesare Mannelli, S. Selleri, C. Ghelardini, J.-Y. Winum, F. Carta, C.T. Supuran, N-aryl-N'-ureido-O-sulfamates: Potent and selective inhibitors of the human Carbonic Anhydrase VII isoform with neuropathic pain relieving properties, Bioorganic Chemistry. 89 (2019) 103033. doi:10.1016/j.bioorg.2019.103033.
- [50] C. Méndez-Blanco, F. Fondevila, A. García-Palomo, J. González-Gallego, J.L. Mauriz, Sorafenib resistance in hepatocarcinoma: role of hypoxia-inducible factors, Experimental & Molecular Medicine. 50 (2018) 134. doi:10.1038/s12276-018-0159-1.
- [51] G.M. Keating, A. Santoro, Sorafenib: a review of its use in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma, Drugs. 69 (2009) 223–240. doi:10.2165/00003495-200969020-00006.
- [52] F. Bray, J. Ferlay, I. Soerjomataram, R.L. Siegel, L.A. Torre, A. Jemal, Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in

185 countries, CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians. 68 (2018) 394–424. doi:10.3322/caac.21492.

- [53] R. Dutta, R.I. Mahato, Recent advances in hepatocellular carcinoma therapy, Pharmacol. Ther. 173 (2017) 106–117. doi:10.1016/j.pharmthera.2017.02.010.
- [54] R.G. Gieling, M. Babur, L. Mamnani, N. Burrows, B.A. Telfer, F. Carta, J.-Y. Winum, A. Scozzafava, C.T. Supuran, K.J. Williams, Antimetastatic effect of sulfamate carbonic anhydrase IX inhibitors in breast carcinoma xenografts, J. Med. Chem. 55 (2012) 5591–5600. doi:10.1021/jm300529u.
- [55] A. Angeli, D. Tanini, T.S. Peat, L. Di Cesare Mannelli, G. Bartolucci, A. Capperucci, C. Ghelardini, C.T. Supuran, F. Carta, Discovery of New Selenoureido Analogues of 4-(4-Fluorophenylureido)benzenesulfonamide as Carbonic Anhydrase Inhibitors, ACS Med Chem Lett. 8 (2017) 963–968. doi:10.1021/acsmedchemlett.7b00280.
- [56] M. Bozdag, M. Pinard, F. Carta, E. Masini, A. Scozzafava, R. McKenna, C.T. Supuran, A Class of 4-Sulfamoylphenyl-ω-aminoalkyl Ethers with Effective Carbonic Anhydrase Inhibitory Action and Antiglaucoma Effects, J. Med. Chem. 57 (2014) 9673–9686. doi:10.1021/jm501497m.
- [57] R.G. Khalifah, The carbon dioxide hydration activity of carbonic anhydrase. I. Stop-flow kinetic studies on the native human isoenzymes B and C, J. Biol. Chem. 246 (1971) 2561–2573.
- [58] A. Angeli, F. Carta, G. Bartolucci, C.T. Supuran, Synthesis of novel acyl selenoureido benzensulfonamides as carbonic anhydrase I, II, VII and IX inhibitors, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 25 (2017) 3567–3573. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2017.05.014.
- [59] E. Bertol, A. Argo, P. Procaccianti, F. Vaiano, M.G. Di Milia, S. Furlanetto, F. Mari, Detection of gamma-hydroxybutyrate in hair: validation of GC-MS and LC-MS/MS methods and application to a real case, J Pharm Biomed Anal. 70 (2012) 518–522. doi:10.1016/j.jpba.2012.07.009.
- [60] E. Bertol, F. Mari, G. Orzalesi, I. Volpato, Combustion products from various kinds of fibers: Toxicological hazards from smoke exposure, Forensic Science International. 22 (1983) 111–116. doi:10.1016/0379-0738(83)90002-6.
- [61] A.G.W. Leslie, H.R. Powell, Processing diffraction data with mosflm, in: R.J. Read, J.L. Sussman (Eds.), Evolving Methods for Macromolecular Crystallography, Springer Netherlands, 2007: pp. 41–51.
- [62] G.N. Murshudov, A.A. Vagin, E.J. Dodson, Refinement of Macromolecular Structures by the Maximum-Likelihood Method, Acta Cryst D. 53 (1997) 240–255. doi:10.1107/S0907444996012255.

- [63] A.A. Lebedev, P. Young, M.N. Isupov, O.V. Moroz, A.A. Vagin, G.N. Murshudov, JLigand: a graphical tool for the CCP4 template-restraint library, Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 68 (2012) 431–440. doi:10.1107/S090744491200251X.
- [64] P. Emsley, B. Lohkamp, W.G. Scott, K. Cowtan, Features and development of Coot, Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66 (2010) 486–501. doi:10.1107/S0907444910007493.
- [65] E.M. Westbrook, Crystal-density measurements, in: M.G. Rossmann, E. Arnold (Eds.), International Tables for Crystallography Volume F: Crystallography Ofbiological Macromolecules, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 2001: pp. 117–123. doi:10.1107/97809553602060000664.
- [66] S.C. Lovell, I.W. Davis, W.B. Arendall, P.I.W. de Bakker, J.M. Word, M.G. Prisant, J.S. Richardson, D.C. Richardson, Structure validation by Calpha geometry: phi,psi and Cbeta deviation, Proteins. 50 (2003) 437–450. doi:10.1002/prot.10286.
- [67] E.F. Pettersen, T.D. Goddard, C.C. Huang, G.S. Couch, D.M. Greenblatt, E.C. Meng, T.E. Ferrin, UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis, J Comput Chem. 25 (2004) 1605–1612. doi:10.1002/jcc.20084.

ourna

- CAIs obtained by merging the multikinase inhibitor sorafenib with the benzene sulfonamide hCA IX inhibitor **SLC-0111** are reported
- Sulfamates 23 and 24 decrease proliferation breast cancer cell lines at concentrations above 10 μM.
- The binding modes of compounds **17** and **23** on the hCA I isoform by means of X-ray crystallographic studies are reported.

ound