# Effective cerebral antihypoxic activity of new aminocyclopentanones

MA Quermonne<sup>1</sup>, P Dallemagne<sup>2</sup>, J Louchahi-Raoul<sup>1</sup>, JC Pilo<sup>2</sup>, S Rault<sup>2</sup>, M Robba<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire de Pharmacologie, UFR des Sciences Pharmaceutiques;

<sup>2</sup>Laboratoire de Chimie Thérapeutique, UFR des Sciences Pharmaceutiques, 1, rue Vaubénard, 14032 Caen, France

(Received 10 February 1992; accepted 18 November 1992)

Summary — Effective antihypoxic activity of new aminocyclopentanones which was higher than that of the reference compounds has been demonstrated by the SCR hypoxia test.

antihypoxic activity / aminoindanones / aminocyclopentathiophenones / SCR - hypoxia test / skin conductance reaction

# Introduction

In continuation of our work on screening of new compounds with potential antihypoxic effect and in relation to recent studies which have demonstrated the CNS stimulating, antihypoxic or cerebroprotective properties of some indanamine derivatives [1, 2] we report herein the effective cerebral antihypoxic activity of new 3-aminoindanones and 4-aminocyclopentathiophenones.

The study of antihypoxic activity was conducted with the SCR (skin conductance reaction) hypoxia test, the adaptation under hypoxic conditions of the previously described SCR-test [3–5]. This activity was measured by 2 parameters: minimal recovery dose (MRD) and recovery percentage (RP). Determination of these 2 parameters permitted a global approach to improvement in cerebral activity depressed through hypoxia.

Although the chemistry of all compounds whose antihypoxic activity was evaluated has been the subject of several publications [6-12], in this paper we have summarized their synthesis and analytical data.

# Chemistry

3-Trifluoroacetylaminoindan-1-ones 1a-g and 4-trifluoroacetylaminocyclopenta [b] thiophen-6-one 1h were synthesized by intramolecular cyclization of 3-amino-3-arylpropionic acids 2a-h following 2 previously described pathways [6-9] (scheme 1). The  $\beta$ -amino acids **2a**-h were obtained by treatment of the aromatic carboxaldehydes **3a**-h with ammonium acetate and malonic acid in refluxing ethanol.

The intramolecular cyclization could be conducted in all cases by the Friedel–Crafts method after protection of the amino group with trifluoroacetic anhydride leading to the trifluoroacetamido derivatives 4a-h. The acid chlorides 5a-h were then obtained by refluxing in thionyl chloride. Cyclization was achieved by refluxing in dichloromethane with aluminium chloride [7].

3-Amino-3-(3-thienyl)propionic acid **2h** and 3amino-3-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl) propionic acid **2b** could be also cyclized in one step. Thus, **2h** and **2b** were dissolved at room temperature in trifluoroacetic acid and the solution was then added with an equivalent amount of trifluoroacetic anhydride. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 3 h and evaporated to dryness to afford the expected cyclized compounds **1h** and **1b** [7–9].

Chlorination of the cyclopentanones 1a and 1h was carried out at room temperature in chloroform with chlorine flow. It afforded monochloro derivatives 6a and 6h, whose *cis* structure was assigned by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum analysis (6a:  $J_{H-2H-3} = 7.3$  Hz; 6h:  $J_{H4H-5} = 6.4$  Hz). At refluxing temperature, chlorination led to the dichloro compounds 7a and 7h. The reaction mechanism has been studied previously [10, 11].

Trifluoroacetamido groups were hydrolyzed in acidic medium to yield the ammonium chlorides **8b–h**, **9a**, **10a** and **10h**. In this reaction, *cis* monochloro derivatives **6a** and **6h** were converted into *trans* compounds **9a** ( $J_{\text{H-2H-3}} = 3$  Hz) and **9h** ( $J_{\text{H-4H-5}} = 2.4$  H) [11, 12].

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence and reprints





Scheme 1.

# Pharmacology

The realization of the SCR test in normobaric hypoxic conditions was permitted by the use of a cell in which the  $O_2$  rate was decreased to 7.5% by introduction of a nitrogen gas flow. Under these conditions, the SCR was decreased, *ie* the rise in palmar skin conductance (PSC) due to the photostimulus was lower than under normoxic conditions [4]. The average rise in PSC in the control group was 1.8  $\mu$ A  $\pm$  0.3 in hypoxia compared with 3.5  $\mu$ A  $\pm$  0.4 in normoxia.

The SCR hypoxia test reveals the antihypoxic activity of some compounds which are able to produce the recovery of this parameter. This recovery has been demonstrated and quantified for reference compounds such as naftidrofuryl, piracetam, piribedil, nicergoline and vincamine, which are known as cerebro-protective drugs in ischemia [4, 13–15].

#### **Results and discussion**

Statistically significant recovery doses and corresponding recovery percentages at these doses of reference compounds and aminocyclopentanones **8b–h**, **9a**, **9h**, **10a** and **10h** have been listed in the table I. Owing to the fact that these recovery percentages were not proportional to doses, it was not possible to calculate the  $ED_{50}$ . Also, minimal recovery dose (MRD), which was the lowest dose (mg/kg) from which the SCR recovery percentage was statistically significant, represented the reference comparison dose between compounds.

These results (table I) show that the activities of compounds 10h, 8h, 9h, 8d, 10a and 9a are comparable to the activity of naftidrofuryl at lower doses ( $\approx 2$ - to 25-fold) and that compounds 9a and 9h, at very weak doses (0.5 and 5 mg/kg respectively), bring about nearly complete recovery of the SCR which cannot be obtained with reference compounds at same doses.

Results show that the most active compounds are the mono- or dichloro derivatives, and that the thiophenic derivatives are more active than their benzologues. These results prompt us to synthesize new compounds with increased lipophilicity in each series.

#### Conclusion

The SCR-hypoxia test demonstrates the antihypoxic activity of a new family of aminocyclopentanones. These compounds seem more active than naftidrofuryl or piracetam. Further studies on their biological action mechanism are in progress with the aim of determining if it proceeds from a vasodilatory effect.

 Table I. SCR recovery with aminocyclopentanones and reference compounds.

COMPOUNDS	DOSES RECOVERY		
	mg/kg	8	
_	0.1	NS	
	0.5	79 ± 52	
	5	80 ± 40	
8b	10	73 ±42	
	20	80 ± 43	
	50	NS	
	0.5	NS	
	1	40 ± 24	
8c	4	37 ±26	
	8	NS	
	20	NS	
-	0.05	NS	
	0.1	27 ± 18	
8d	0.5	$20 \pm 17$	
		$32 \pm 30$	
	5	37 + 25	
	10	NS	
	01	NS	
	05		
		37 + 30	
8e		50 + 27	
06		55 + 26	
	- 10	<u>33 ± 20</u>	
	20	JU 1 29	
	40	NS	
	0.1	NS	
	0.5	NS NS	
		<u>30 ± 26</u>	
8f	5	37 ± 29	
	10	<u>27 ± 27</u>	
	20	37 ± 30	
	40	NS	
	1	N5	
8g	5	NS	
	10	30 ± 24	
	_20	NS	
	0.01	NS	
	0.05	25 ± 18	
8h	0.1	35 ± 24	
	0.5	NS	
	0.1	NS	
	0.01	NS	
9a	0.05	45 ± 30	
	0.1	78 ± 46	
	0.5	94 ± 37	
	1	47 + 38	
	5	66 + 49	
	10	91 + 50	
	20	NS NS	
	0.005	NS	
	0.003	20 . 25	
0.5	0.01	20 = 23	
AU	0.05	04 ± 42	
	<u> </u>	0/± 3/	
	0.5	// ± 46	
	<u> </u>	91 ± 42	
	5	102 ± 47	
	10	<u>69 ± 26</u>	
	20	66 ± 35	
	30	$123 \pm 48$	

COMPOUNDS	DOSES	RECOVERY
	mg/kg	8
	0.05	NS
	0.1	58 ± 50
10a	. 5	<u>76 ± 45</u>
(	_ 10	89 ± 45
1	20	<u>38 ± 27</u>
	50	NS
	0.005	NS
	0.01	<u>42 ± 35</u>
	0.05	25 ± 17
[	0.1	32 ± 25
	0.5	52 ± 22
IOh		52 ± 29
	5	50 ± 24
ļ	10	62 ± 28
	20	52 ± 28
	50	NS
[	0.1	NS
	0.25	33 ± 33
1	0.5	35 ± 34
	2.5	61 ± 38
	5	73 ± 32
Naftidrofuryl	7.7	66 ± 26
j .	18.8	80 ± 43
	30	61 ± 31
	46	50 ± 28
	58	NS
	2.5	NS
1	5	NS
Nicergoline	7.5	69 ± 54
	10	89 ± 44
	20	73 ± 57
	40	NS
	0.15	NS
	0.31	NS
Piracetam	1.25	47 ± 32
	2.5	56 ± 37
1	5	58 ± 35
	10	52 ± 32
	2	NS
	4	NS
Vincamine	8	49 ± 46
	32	38 ± 29
	64	NS
	1	NS
Piríbedil	2	38 ± 21
1	4	62 ± 32
	8	70 ± 34

NS: non significant.

# **Experimental protocols**

### Chemistry

Melting points were determined on a Kofler block and are uncorrected. Elemental analysis agreed with theoretical values to within  $\pm$  0.4%. IR spectra were recorded with a Philips PU-9716 spectrophotometer. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra were recorded for solutions in (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO at 200 MHz with tetramethylsilane as an internal standard using a Jeol JMN-FX 200 spectrometer.

Table II. Melting point, yield, spectral and analytical data.

No*	mp (°C)	Yield (%)	$IR (cm^{-1}) (KBr)$	<sup>1</sup> H-NMR ( $ppm/TMS$ ) (DMSO- $d_6$ )
8b	>260	87	1685 (CO) 3100–2600 (NH <sub>3</sub> )	8.77 (NH <sub>3</sub> ); 7.63 (H <sub>4</sub> ); 4.86 (H <sub>3</sub> ); 3.94 (CH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.91 (CH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.78 (CH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.08 (H <sub>2</sub> ); 26.60 (H <sub>2</sub> )
8c	>260	63	1710 (CO) 3100–2600 (NH <sub>3</sub> )	8.58 (NH <sub>3</sub> ); 7.5 (arom); 5.08 (H <sub>3</sub> ); 3.15 (H <sub>2</sub> ); 2.70 (H <sub>2</sub> ); 2.54 (CH <sub>3</sub> )
8d	>260	67	1690 (CO) 3100–2600 (NH <sub>3</sub> )	9.62 (NH <sub>3</sub> ); 7.86 (H <sub>4</sub> ); 7.58 (H <sub>7</sub> ); 7.34 (H <sub>6</sub> ); 4.89 (H <sub>3</sub> ); 3.13 (H <sub>2</sub> ); 2.60 (H <sub>2</sub> ); 2.57 (CH <sub>3</sub> )
8e	>260	70	1700 (CO) 3100–2600 (NH <sub>3</sub> )	8.53 (NH <sub>3</sub> ); 7.45 (H <sub>5</sub> ); 7.30 (H <sub>6</sub> ); 4.98 (H <sub>3</sub> ); 3.12 (H <sub>2</sub> ); 2.67 (H <sub>2</sub> ); 2.35 (CH <sub>3</sub> ); 2.47 (CH <sub>3</sub> )
8f	>260	66	1720 (CO) 3100–2600 (NH <sub>3</sub> )	8.77 (NH <sub>3</sub> ); 7.98 (H <sub>4</sub> ); 7.70 (H <sub>5</sub> ); 7.56 (H <sub>7</sub> ); 4.91 (H <sub>3</sub> ); 3.06 (H <sub>2</sub> ); 2.73 (CH <sub>2</sub> ); 2.54 (H <sub>2</sub> ); 1.20 (CH <sub>3</sub> )
8g	>260	63	1720 (CO) 3100–2600 (NH <sub>3</sub> )	8.86 (NH <sub>3</sub> ); 8.11 (H <sub>4</sub> ); 7.50 (H <sub>5</sub> , H <sub>7</sub> ); 4.91 (H <sub>3</sub> ); 3.17 (H <sub>2</sub> ); 2.68 (H <sub>2</sub> )
8h	>260	90	1695 (CO) 2960–2680 (NH <sub>3</sub> )	9.03 (NH <sub>3</sub> ); 8.38 (H <sub>2</sub> ); 7.63 (H <sub>3</sub> ); 4.90 (H <sub>4</sub> ); 3.43 (H <sub>5</sub> ); 2.97 (H <sub>5</sub> )
9a	>260	63	1730 (CO) 3200–2600 (NH <sub>3</sub> )	9.20 (NH <sub>3</sub> ); 7.90 (arom); 5.05 (H <sub>3</sub> and H <sub>2</sub> )
9h	>260	87	1715 (CO) 3200–2640 (NH <sub>3</sub> )	9.30 (NH <sub>3</sub> ); 8.56 (H <sub>2</sub> ); 7.61 (H <sub>3</sub> ); 5.23 (H <sub>5</sub> ); 5.00 (H <sub>4</sub> )
10a	>260	91	1730 (CO) 3200–2640 (NH <sub>3</sub> )	9.45 (NH <sub>3</sub> ); 7.9 (arom); 5.09 (H <sub>3</sub> )
10h	>260	83	1720 (CO) 3200–2560 (NH <sub>3</sub> )	9.59 (NH <sub>3</sub> ); 8.69 (H <sub>2</sub> ); 7.68 (H <sub>3</sub> ); 5.58 (H <sub>4</sub> )

\*Analysis: C, H, N.

Procedure for 3-amino-3-arylpropionic acids 2a-h [5, 6] A solution of 1 mol arylaldehyde 3a-h in 300 ml ethanol was added with 2 mol ammonium acetate and 1 mol malonic acid. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 6 h. The precipitate formed was filtered and washed with 250 ml boiling ethanol. Yield was  $\approx 65\%$ .

# Procedure for 3-trifluoroacetylamino-3-phenylpropionic acids 4a, 4c-4g [6]

A solution of 0.1 mol amino acid 2a, 2c-2g in 50 ml trifluoroacetic anhydride was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. It was then evaporated to dryness and the oily residue crystallized. The solid was recrystallized from ether. Yield was  $\approx$ 85%.

# Procedure for 3-trifluoroacetylamino-3-phenylpropionic acid chlorides 5a, 5c-5g [6]

A solution of 0.1 mol trifluoroacetylaminoacid 4a, 4c-4g in 50 ml thionyl chloride was refluxed for 30 min and evaporated

to dryness. The residual solid was then washed with petroleum ether, filtered and dried. Yield was  $\approx 95\%$ .

Procedure for 3-trifluoroacetylaminoindan-1-ones 1a, 1c-1g [6] A solution of 0.05 mol acid chloride 5a, 5c-5g in 100 ml methylene chloride was added with 10 g aluminium chloride. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 20 min and evaporated to dryness. Residue was triturated with 100 ml H<sub>2</sub>O and the insoluble part was filtered, dried and recrystallized from ether. Yield was  $\approx 65\%$ .

#### Procedure for 5,6,7-trimethoxy-3-trifluoroacetylaminoindan-1one **1b** [7, 8] and 4-trifluoroacetylamino-4,5-dihydrocyclopenta [b] thiophen-6-one **1h** [5]

A solution of 0.1 mol amino acid **2b** or **2h** in 40 ml trifluoroacetic acid was stirred for 20 min at room temperature and then 40 ml of trifluoroacetic anhydride added. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 3 h and evaporated to dryness. The oily residue was triturated in 100 ml H<sub>2</sub>O and the crystals formed were filtered, washed with water, dried and recrystallized from ether. Yield was  $\approx 82\%$  for **1b** and 66\% for **1h**. Procedure for cis 2-chloro-3-trifluoroacetylaminoindan-1-one 6a [10] and cis 5-chloro-4-trifluoroacetylamino-4,5-dihydrocyclopenta [b] thiophen-6-one 6h [9]

A solution of 0.05 mol trifluoroacetylaminocyclopentanone 1a or 1h in 50 ml chloroform was bubbled for 45 s at room temperature with chlorine. The precipitate formed was filtered, dried and recrystallized from ether. Yield was  $\approx 50\%$  for 6a and 44% for 6h.

#### Procedure for 2,2-dichloro-3-trifluoroacetylaminoindan-1-one 7a [10] and 5,5-dichloro-4-trifluoracetylamino-4,5-dihydrocyclopenta [b] thiophen-6-one 7h [9]

A solution of 0.05 mol trifluoroacetylaminocyclopentanone 1a or 1h in 50 ml chloroform was bubbled for 45 s at room temperature with chlorine. The reaction mixture was then refluxed for 20 min and evaporated to dryness. The solid residue was recrystallized from ether. Yield was  $\approx 81\%$  for 7a and 82% for 7h.

#### Procedure for 1-oxoindanyl-3-ammonium chlorides 8a-g [6], 9a [10] and 10a [10] and 6-oxocyclopenta [b] thienyl-4ammonium chlorides 8h [5], 9h [11] and 10h [11]

ammonium chlorides 8h [5], 9h [11] and 10h [11] 0.05 mol trifluoroacetylaminocyclopentanone 1b-h, 6a, 6h, 7a or 7b was dissolved in 50 ml of 6 N aqueous solution of hydrochloric acid. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 30 min, then cooled and filtered. The solution was evaporated to dryness and residual crystals were recrystallized from isopropanol (table II).

#### Pharmacology

#### Animals

Male and female IOPS OF1 mice weighing 18–24 g were randomized into groups of 20 animals.

#### Drugs

All drugs were administered ip. They were dissolved in a distilled water solution of 0.5% carboxymethylcellulose, and the concentration level adjusted in order to administer 0.4 ml per 20 g body weight.

#### Apparatus

Experiments were carried out in normobaric hypoxia with an  $O_2$  rate of 7.5%. The unit allowed work in a constant atmosphere at normal pressure and was made of polyvinyl chloride which was flexible and transparent with: 4 cuffs with gloves to work inside the unit; gaseous nitrogen flow; an instrument for measuring oxygen rate; a palmar skin conductance meter and a 100 W glow lamp providing photostimulus.

The PSC meter consisted of resistances, a DC generator (a 4.5 V dry battery) and a galvanometer connected to 2 metallic electrodes. Their free ends were 2 mm apart, so that the circuit was open. A black cloth cover placed over the cell turned it into a darkroom suitable for eliciting the SCR in response to photostimulus.

#### Experimental procedure

Subjects in groups of 10 were treated in the darkroom outside the cell 20 min before the reading was taken. Then they were brought into the hypoxic atmosphere of the unit 10 min after treatment, ie 10 min before the reading was taken.

#### Readings

The mouse was taken by its skin in the nuchal region and positioned in front of the electrodes which were immediately placed. In this manner, the circuit was closed. The intensity of the current was proportional to the conductivity of the palmar skin of the animal. A first PSC reading  $(r_0)$  for the animal was carried out in the dark. Then the photostimulus was applied for 7-10 s. A new reading  $(r_1)$ , corresponding to the variance in skin conductance level was then required. The difference between the 2 readings  $(r_1-r_0)$  represented the SCR.

#### Statistical analysis

The calculation  $r_1-r_0$  represented the SCR after treatment. The SCR  $(r_1-r_0)$  was calculated for each animal (control or treated). On the basis of these results, SCR recovery percentage was calculated for individual animals.

SCR recovery % = 
$$\frac{(r_1 - r_0) \text{ treated } - (r_1 - r_0) \text{ control}}{(r_1 - r_0) \text{ control}}$$

Then for each group SCR recovery percentage average, with SE at P < 0.05 was also calculated.

# References

- 1 Oshiro Y, Sakurai Y, Tanaka T, Ueda H, Kikuchi T, Tottori K (1991) J Med Chem 34, 2004–2013
- 2 Oshiro Y, Sakurai Y, Tanaka T, Kikuchi T, Hirose T, Tottori K (1991) J Med Chem 34, 2014–2023
- 3 Marcy R, Quermonne MA (1974) Psychopharmacologia 34, 335-349
- 4 Marcy R, Quermonne MA, Raoul J, Nammathao B (1987) Prog Neuro-Psycho Pharmacol & Biol Psychiatry 11, 35-43
- 5 Rault S, Lancelot JC, Bouyazza L, Robba M, Quermonne MA, Nammathao B, Louchahi-Raoul J, Marcy R (1991) Eur J Med Chem 26, 939–946
- 6 Dallemagne P, Rault S, Cugnon de Sévricourt M, Hassan KM, Robba M (1986) Tetrahedron Lett 23, 2607–2610
- 7 Rault S, Dallemagne P, Robba M (1987) Bull Soc Chim Fr 6, 1079–1083
- 8 Dallemagne P, Rault S, Pilo JC, Foloppe MP, Robba M (1991) Tetrahedron Lett 32, 6327–6328
- 9 Dallemagne P, Pilo JC, Rault S, Robba M (1992) Bull Soc Chim Fr (in press)
- 10 Dallemagne P, Rault S, Maume D, Robba M (1987) Heterocycles 26, 1449–1453
- 11 Rault S, Pilo JC, Dallemagne P, Foloppe MP, Robba M (1990) Bull Soc Chim Fr 127, 316–323
- 12 Dallemagne P, Boulouard M, Rault S, Robba M (1992) Heterocycles (in press)
- 13 Plotkine M, Paultre CZ, Boulu R, Rossignol P (1975) Thérapie 30, 713-723
- 14 Vlahov V, Nikolova M, Nikolov R (1980) Arch Int Pharmacodyn 243, 103-110
- 15 McCulloch J, Edvinsson L (1980) Eur J Phamacol 66, 327–337