🛇 Cite This: Org. Lett. XXXX, XXX, XXX–XXX

Letter

Direct Access to β -Trifluoromethyl- β -hydroxy Thioesters by Biomimetic Organocatalytic Enantioselective Aldol Reaction

Jin Hyun Park,[†] Jae Hun Sim,[†] and Choong Eui Song*®

Letters

Organic

Department of Chemistry, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 16419, Korea

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A broadly applicable biomimetic enantioselective decarboxylative catalytic aldol reaction of trifluoromethyl ketones with malonic acid half-thioesters (MAHTs) is described. Utilizing cinchona-based thioureas as highly efficient polyketide synthase-mimic catalysts, chiral tertiary



aldols, β -trifluoromethyl- β -hydroxy thioesters, were obtained in up to 99% yield and 95% ee. Facile transformation of the thioester moiety of the aldol adducts showcases the synthetic utility of this biomimetic aldol protocol to deliver a range of chiral trifluoromethylated tertiary aldol pharmacophores.

C hiral secondary and tertiary β -hydroxy ester units are encountered in various natural products and biologically and pharmaceutically relevant compounds (Figure 1).^{1,2}



Figure 1. (a) Representative examples of acetate aldol containing therapeutic and natural compounds; gray-colored circles represent the positions for the selective incorporation of CF₃-group via aldol chemistry. (b) Commercially available antiparasitic isoxazoline drugs bearing synthetic equivalents of chiral β -CF₃- β -hydroxy ester.

Currently, the incorporation of fluorine atom(s) into druglike molecules has attracted a great deal of interest in drug discovery, since it can improve the pharmacological properties of a bioactive molecule by altering its lipophilicity, metabolic stability, and bioavailability compared to the nonfluorinated parent compound.³ In particular, the trifluoromethyl group $(-CF_3)$ is frequently used as a bioisostere to improve both pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics of the molecule by replacing a chloride or a methyl group.⁴

In this regard, the enantioselective incorporation of CF_3 unit into bioactive chiral β -hydroxy ester derivatives would be one outstanding challenge for expansion of the pharmaceutical library with potentially interesting bioactivities (Figure 1a). Some of antiparasitic isoxazoline drugs (e.g., fluralaner and afoxolaner⁵) possessing synthetic equivalents of chiral β -CF₃- β -hydroxy esters are already available on the market (Figure 1b).

In principle, chiral β -trifluoromethyl β -hydroxy esters can be directly accessed by a catalytic asymmetric aldol reaction of trifluoromethyl ketones as aldol acceptors with ester enolate derivatives as aldol donors. Surprisingly, the direct catalytic enantioselective aldol reaction engaging trifluoromethyl ketones with simple ester enolate equivalents is little explored since simple esters without electron-withdrawing α -substituents are regarded as challenging aldol donor precursors in terms of reluctant enolization.⁶ To the best of our knowledge, the direct aldol reaction of trifluoromethyl ketones with enolates possessing the oxidation state of carboxylic acid derivatives have so far not been realized.⁷

By contrast, Nature freely uses the enzymatic decarboxylative activation of malonic acid half-thioesters (MAHTs) to generate simple ester enolates or their equivalents in the biosynthesis of polyketides and fatty acids.⁸ Inspired by Nature, recently, we successfully utilized MAHTs as ester enolate equivalents in the organocatalytic decarboxylative aldol reactions of MAHTs with aldehydes to obtain enantioenriched β -hydroxy thioesters.⁹ Thus, we presumed that interconnecting MAHTs and trifluoromethyl ketones via biomimetic aldol reactions would allow for facile access to a tertiary β -hydroxy ester units with CF₃-group. Systematic and cooperative hydrogen-bonding catalysis mimicking the action of polyketide synthases might be crucial for the deprotonation/ stabilization of MAHTs and orientation of trifluoromethyl ketones for high facial selectivity (Figure 2).

Herein we report a broadly applicable organocatalytic enantioselective decarboxylative aldol reaction of MAHTs

Received: April 26, 2019



Figure 2. Reaction mechanism of the polyketide synthase catalyzed malonyl-CoA addition to a thioester (left) and a plausible working model of an organocatalyzed aldol reaction of MAHT and trifluoromethyl ketones (right).

with a variety of trifluoromethyl ketones using cinchona-based thioureas as highly efficient polyketide synthase-mimic catalysts. The resulting enantio-enriched trifluoromethyl-substituted β -hydroxy thioesters were easily converted into a range of chiral trifluoromethylated tertiary aldol pharmacophores, highlighting the synthetic utility of the present biomimetic aldol protocol.

For our initial studies, the addition of MAHT (2a) and 2,2,2-trifluoroacetophenone (1a) in methyl *tert*-butyl ether (MTBE) at room temperature was chosen as a model reaction (Scheme 1). Acid-base bifunctional cinchona alkaloid





^{*a*}Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.2 mmol), **2a** (1.5 equiv, 0.3 mmol), catalyst (10 mol %, 0.02 mmol), MTBE (anhydrous, 0.1 M, 2.0 mL), rt, 24 h. ^{*b*}The conversion was determined by ¹⁹F NMR integration. ^{*c*}The % ee values were determined by HPLC analysis using a chiral stationary phase.

derivatives are known to promote addition reactions of MAHTs,^{9,10} and we therefore examined their catalytic performance in this model reaction. As shown in Scheme 1, the catalyst screening revealed thiourea-type catalysts (QN-TU and CD-TU) and showed promising catalytic results, whereas all the others (QN, QN-SA, QN-N-SQA, QN-SQA, and CD-SQA) gave inferior catalytic results (see the Supporting Information for further catalyst screening results). Notably, the absence of a methoxy group at the 6'-position of the quinoline moiety in the thiourea catalysts provoked a dramatic change in enantioselectivity (77% ee using QN-TU vs 87% ee using CD-TU). These results prompted us to further investigate cinchonidine thiourea type catalysts in detail, to improve activity and enantioselectivity. However, a modifica-

tion of aromatic moiety in cinchonidine-based catalysts did not improve the catalytic outcomes. The incorporation of an urea moiety instead of a thiourea was also not beneficial in terms of enantioselectivity and reactivity (87% ee using CD-TU vs 76% ee using CD-U). Thus, further optimization studies were conducted with CD-TU as the optimal catalyst. Lowering reaction temperatures resulted in increased enantioselectivity (87% ee at rt; 90% ee at 0 °C, entry 1; 92% ee at -20 °C, entry 3). The enantioselectivity could be further increased (91% ee at 0 °C, entry 2; 95% ee at -20 °C, entry 4) by employing the para-fluorophenyl substituted MAHT (2b) (see the Supporting Information for further MAHT screening results). However, only 50% conversion after 96 h was observed at -20 °C (entry 4). Even with higher catalyst loading (30 mol %), the reaction proceeded very slowly (entry 5). To our delight, however, a significant increase in yield without any erosion of the enantioselectivity was achieved simply by adding THF as a cosolvent (entry 6 and 7). Further solvent screening did not improve the catalytic outcome (see the Supporting Information for further solvent screening results). Thus, the reaction condition of entry 7 (using 2b, MTBE/THF (1:1 v/ v)) proved to be optimal in terms of both chemical yield and enantioselectivity.

Under the optimized reaction conditions (entry 7 of Table 1), a variety of trifluoromethyl ketones 1a-1u were subjected to this protocol. As shown from the results summarized in Scheme 2, most of the trifluoromethylated tertiary aldols (3)were obtained in high yields and enantioselectivity. Regardless of the electronic and steric nature of the aromatic substituent, high enantioselectivity (up to 95% ee) was achieved. In addition to aryl trifluoromethyl ketones 1a-1q, heteroaromatic trifluoromethyl ketones were also smoothly converted into the desired products 3r-3s with good enantioselectivity. Aliphatic trifluoromethyl ketone 1t was tolerated and yielded the desired aldol products 3t, however, only with moderate enantioselectivity. Notably, the reaction also worked well with ketone with CF₂CF₃ group to give the corresponding tertiary aldol 3u in good enantioselectivity. In addition, the absolute configuration of 3a and 3d was determined to be (S) by comparison with the sign of optical rotation of the transesterification products 4a and 4d reported in the literature (Scheme 3).¹

Thioesters are ubiquitous not only in many biosynthetic reactions (e.g., in the biosynthesis of fatty acids, polyketides and nonribosomal peptides)¹² but also in many functional group manipulations and C-C bond forming reactions, since they are more reactive toward nucleophiles than analogous oxyesters due to smaller orbital overlap between the sulfur as well as the higher acidity of α -proton.^{13,14a} Thus, to illustrate the synthetic utility of our aldol protocol, diverse transformation of the thioester moiety of enantio-enriched CF₃containing tertiary aldols 3 were performed. Products (4a and 4d) of direct transesterification were successfully obtained without any erosion of ee values.¹¹ The β -hydroxy amides, 5a, 5j, and 5r, key building blocks in the synthesis of trifluoromethylated analogues of antidepressant drugs, such as (*R*)-fluoxetine, (*R*)-tomoxetine, and (*R*)-duloxetine, were also accessed by direct amidation.^{9,14} The CF₃-analogue **6i** of antidepressant drug fenpentadiol¹⁵ was also simply obtained from 3i, using Grignard reaction. Furthermore, the ketones 7j and 70, key intermediates in the synthesis of the antiparasitic isoxazoline drugs such as fluralaner and afoxolaner,^{5b} respectively, were accessed from 3j and 3o, using Liebe-

Table 1. Reaction Condition Optimization^a

		CF ₃	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1a \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1a \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2b \\ (X = F) \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $				
entry	cat (mol %)	MAHT	solvent	temp (°C)	time (h)	$\operatorname{conv}(\%)^{b}$	ee (%) ^c
1	10	2a	MTBE	0	48	95	90
2	10	2b	MTBE	0	48	50	91
3	20	2a	MTBE	-20	96	74	92
4	20	2b	MTBE	-20	96	50	95
5	30	2b	MTBE	-20	205	82	95
6	30	2b	MTBE/THF 9:1	-20	96	82	95
7	30	2b	MTBE/THF 5:5	-20	96	87	95

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.1 mmol), **2** (3 equiv, 0.3 mmol), CD-TU, solvent (anhydrous, 0.1 M, 1.0 mL). ^{*b*}The conversion was determined by ¹⁹F NMR integration. ^{*c*}The % ee values were determined by HPLC analysis using a chiral stationary phase.

Scheme 2. Substrate Scope^a



^{*a*}Reaction conditions: 1a-u (0.3 mmol), 2b (3.0 equiv, 0.9 mmol), CD-TU (30 mol %, 0.09 mmol), MTBE/THF = 1:1 (anhydrous, 0.1 M, 3.0 mL), -20 °C, 96 h. ^{*b*}Cinchonine thiourea (CN-TU) was used. ^{*c*}Reaction was performed at rt.

skind-Srogl coupling.¹⁶ Notably, a recent report suggests that fluralaner and afoxolaner can be utilized in the control of vector-borne human diseases including malaria, zika fever, and leishmaniasis.¹⁷

Scheme 3. Synthetic Utility of the Aldol Products^{ab}



^{*a*}Reaction conditions: (i) Mg, MeOH, rt, 2 h. (ii) RNH₂, MeOH, rt, 12 h or MeNH(OMe)·HCl, AgOCOCF₃, Et₃N, DCM, rt, 72 h. (iii) (a) TMSOTf, 2,6-Lutidine, DCM, rt, 18 h. (b) MeMgBr, DCM, rt, 18 h. (c) TBAF, THF, rt, 24 h. (iv) (a) TMSOTf, 2,6-Lutidine, DCM, rt, 18 h. (b) ArB(OH)₂, CuTC, TFP, Pd₂(dba)₃, THF, reflux, 18 h. (c) TetraEG, KF, rt, 6 h. ^{*b*}Abbreviations: TMSOTf = trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate, TBAF = *n*-tetrabutylammonium fluoride, CuTC = copper thiophene-2-carboxylate, TFP = tri(2-furyl)-phosphine, Pd₂(dba)₃ = tris(dibenzylideneacetone) dipalladium, TetraEG = tetraethylene glycol. ^{*c*}**3ab** (90% ee) was used. ^{*d*}**3i** (87% ee) was used.

Finally, on the basis of in situ electrospray ionization mass spectroscopy (ESI-MS) analysis of the reaction mixture (see Supporting Information for details), it is proposed that nucleophilic addition of MAHTs to trifluoromethyl ketones precedes decarboxylation to complete the catalytic cycle by releasing the desired aldol products. Other types of catalytic aldol reactions using MAHTs have also been proposed to occur via a similar reaction sequence.^{8b,9}

In conclusion, we have developed a broadly applicable enantioselective biomimetic aldol reaction of malonic acid half thioesters as acetate enolate precursors with a variety of trifluoromethyl ketones. Utilizing chiral cinchona-based thioureas as efficient polyketide synthase-mimic catalysts, a range of aromatic and nonaromatic trifluoromethyl ketones were converted into the corresponding β -hydroxy esters in good to excellent yields and enantioselectivities. The resulting enantio-enriched trifluoromethyl-substituted β -hydroxy thioesters were easily converted into a range of chiral trifluoromethylated tertiary aldol pharmacophores, facilitated by the synthetic flexibility of the sulfur atom. Thus, the current methodology will lead to various applications in the field of the development of new pharmaceutical compounds with improved therapeutic properties.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.9b01469.

Experimental details and analytical data (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: s1673@skku.edu.

ORCID

Choong Eui Song: 0000-0001-9221-6789

Author Contributions

^TJ.H.P. and J.H.S. contributed equally.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the National Research Foundation of Korea for the financial support of this work (NRF-2017R1A2A1A05001214 and NRF-2019R1A4A2001440). We also thank to Prof. H. Y. Bae (Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology) for carrying out preliminary work (catalyst screening with QN-SQA) and Prof. J. W. Lee (University of Copenhagen) for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

(1) Modern Methods in Stereoselective Aldol Reactions, 1st ed.; Mahrwald, R., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2013.

(2) For the selected examples: Atorvastatin: (a) Dias, L. C.; Vieira, A. S.; Barreiro, E. J. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2016, 14, 2291–2296. Epothilone B: (b) Cheng, H.; Huang, H.; Huang, G. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2018, 157, 925–934. Dicrotaline: (c) Denholm, A. A.; Robins, D. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1991, 19–21. (d) Roy, S.; Sharma, A.; Dhotare, B.; Vichare, P.; Chattopadhyay, A.; Chattopadhyay, S. Synthesis 2007, 2007, 1082–1090. Vineomycinone B2: (e) Kusumi, S.; Tomono, S.; Okuzawa, S.; Kaneko, E.; Ueda, T.; Sasaki, K.; Takahashi, D.; Toshima, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 15909– 15912.

(3) For the selected recent reviews: (a) Lee, J.-W.; Oliveira, M. T.; Jang, H. B.; Lee, S.; Chi, D. Y.; Kim, D. W.; Song, C. E. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2016, 45, 4638–4650. (b) Yang, X.; Wu, T.; Phipps, R. J.; Toste, F. D. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 826–870. (c) Zhu, W.; Wang, J.; Wang, S.; Gu, Z.; Aceña, J. L.; Izawa, K.; Liu, H.; Soloshonok, V. A. J. Fluorine Chem. 2014, 167, 37–54. (d) Wang, J.; Sánchez-Roselló, M.; Aceña, J. L.; del Pozo, C.; Sorochinsky, A. E.; Fustero, S.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Liu, H. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 2432–2506. (e) Walker, M. C.; Chang, M. C. Y. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 6527–6536. (f) Purser, S.; Moore, P. R.; Swallow, S.; Gouverneur, V. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 320–330. (g) Bégué, J.-P.; Bonnet-Delpon, D. J. Fluorine Chem. 2006, 127, 992–1012.

(4) Nie, J.; Guo, H.-C.; Cahard, D.; Ma, J.-A. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 455–529.

(5) (a) Cheng, H.-G.; Chen, H.; Liu, Y.; Zhou, Q. Asian J. Org. Chem. 2018, 7, 490–508. (b) Lee, K. A.; Silverio, D. L.; Torker, S.; Robbins, D. W.; Haeffner, F.; van der Mei, F. W.; Hoveyda, A. H. Nat.

Chem. 2016, 8, 768–777. (c) Zhang, Y.-K.; Plattner, J. J.; Easom, E. E.; Zhou, Y.; Akama, T.; Bu, W.; White, W. H.; Defauw, J. M.; Winkle, J. R.; Balko, T. W.; Guo, S.; Xue, J.; Cao, J.; Zou, W. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2015, 25, 5589–5593. (d) Kumar, V.; Kaur, K. J. Fluorine Chem. 2015, 180, 55–97. (e) Kawai, H.; Okusu, S.; Tokunaga, E.; Shibata, N. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 2013, 6506–6509. (f) Matoba, K.; Kawai, H.; Furukawa, T.; Kusuda, A.; Tokunaga, E.; Nakamura, S.; Shiro, M.; Shibata, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 5762–5766.

(6) For the direct catalytic asymmetric aldol (-type) reactions using aldol donors in the carboxylic acid oxidation state: Thioamide: (a) Bao, Y.; Kumagai, N.; Shibasaki, M. Chem. Sci. **2015**, *6*, 6124–6132. 5H-Oxazol-4-one: (b) Misaki, T.; Takimoto, G.; Sugimura, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132, 6286–6287. β , γ -Unsaturated ester: (c) Yamaguchi, A.; Matsunaga, S.; Shibasaki, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2009**, 131, 10842–10843. Amide: (d) Saito, S.; Kobayashi, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2006**, 128, 8704–8705. Nitrile: (e) Suto, Y.; Tsuji, R.; Kanai, M.; Shibasaki, M. Org. Lett. **2005**, 7, 3757–3760.

(7) For the direct catalytic asymmetric aldol reactions of trifluoromethyl ketones with ketones as aldol donor: (a) Kokotos, C. G. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 1131–1135. (b) Hara, N.; Tamura, R.; Funahashi, Y.; Nakamura, S. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1662–1665. (c) Zheng, Y.; Xiong, H.-Y.; Nie, J.; Hua, M.-Q.; Ma, J.-A. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 4308–4310.

(8) (a) Bernardi, L.; Fochi, M.; Comes Franchini, M.; Ricci, A. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 2911–2922. (b) Blaquiere, N.; Shore, D. G.; Rousseaux, S.; Fagnou, K. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 6190–6198. (c) Austin, M. B.; Izumikawa, M.; Bowman, M. E.; Udwary, D. W.; Ferrer, J.-L.; Moore, B. S.; Noel, J. P. J. Biol. Chem. 2004, 279, 45162–45174. (d) Staunton, J.; Weissman, K. J. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2001, 18, 380–416.

(9) Bae, H. Y.; Sim, J. H.; Lee, J.-W.; List, B.; Song, C. E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 12143–12147 and references therein.

(10) For the selected recent examples: (a) Saadi, J.; Wennemers, H. Nat. Chem. 2016, 8, 276–280. (b) Hara, N.; Nakamura, S.; Sano, M.; Tamura, R.; Funahashi, Y.; Shibata, N. Chem. - Eur. J. 2012, 18, 9276–9280. (c) Lubkoll, J.; Wennemers, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 6841–6844. (d) Magdziak, D.; Lalic, G.; Lee, H. M.; Fortner, K. C.; Aloise, A. D.; Shair, M. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 7284–7285. For the enantioselective decarboxylative aldol reactions with malonic acid half-oxyesters (MAHOs): (e) March, T.; Murata, A.; Kobayashi, Y.; Takemoto, Y. Synlett 2017, 28, 1295–1299.

(11) (a) Gautschi, M.; Seebach, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1992, 31, 1083–1085. (b) Mioskowski, C.; Solladié, G. J. C. S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1977, 162–163.

(12) Franke, J.; Hertweck, C. Cell Chemical Biology 2016, 23, 1179–1192.

(13) Hirschbeck, V.; Gehrtz, P. H.; Fleischer, I. Chem. - Eur. J. 2018, 24, 7092-7107.

(14) (a) Park, S. Y.; Hwang, I.-S.; Lee, H.-J.; Song, C. E. Nat. Commun. 2017, 8, 14877–14884. (b) Schade, S.; Thomas, A.; Aggarwal, V. K. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 16213–16228.

(15) (a) Valette, R. U.S. Patent US3660560A, 2018. (b) The Dictionary of Drugs: Chemical Data, Structures and Bibliographies; Elks, J., Ed.; Springer: Berlin, 2014.

(16) (a) Prokopcová, H.; Kappe, C. O. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2279–2286. (b) Liebeskind, L. S.; Srogl, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 11260–11261.

(17) Miglianico, M.; Eldering, M.; Slater, H.; Ferguson, N.; Ambrose, P.; Lees, R. S.; Koolen, K. M. J.; Pruzinova, K.; Jancarova, M.; Volf, P.; Koenraadt, C. J. M.; Duerr, H.-P.; Trevitt, G.; Yang, B.; Chatterjee, A. K.; Wisler, J.; Sturm, A.; Bousema, T.; Sauerwein, R. W.; Schultz, P. G.; Tremblay, M. S.; Dechering, K. J. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2018**, *115*, 6920–6926.