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An aryloxy tetramethylcyclobutane was identified as a novel template for androgen receptor (AR) antagonists via cell-based highthroughput screening. Follow-up to the initial "hit" established $\mathbf{5}$ as a viable lead. Further optimization to achieve full AR antagonism led to the discovery of $\mathbf{2 6}$ and $\mathbf{3 0}$, both of which demonstrated excellent in vivo tumor growth inhibition upon oral administration in a castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) animal model.

Several androgen receptor (AR) antagonists have been used for the treatment of prostate cancer. ${ }^{1}$ One example is bicalutamide (compound 1, Figure 1), which was first approved as a combination therapy with surgical or medical castration for the treatment of advanced prostate cancer and subsequently launched as monotherapy for the treatment of early stage disease. ${ }^{2}$ Unfortunately, a decrease in efficacy was observed after $0.5-2$ years of treatment in nearly all patients undergoing either mono or combination therapy with bicalutamide. It has been suggested that the overexpression of AR is sufficient to confer resistance to hormone therapy. This phenomenon was initially called hormone refractory prostate cancer (HRPC), but it is currently more appropriately referred to as castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC). Elevated AR expression together with an imbalance between coactivators and corepressors are thought to be key players in the full antagonist-to-partial antagonist switch observed for existing antiandrogens such as flutamide and bicalutamide. The residual AR agonism is believed to be responsible for the observed drug resistance in CRPC patients. ${ }^{3}$ Recently, novel AR antagonists such as MDV3100 (2, Figure 1) and BMS-641988 (3) demonstrated efficacy in animal models of CRPC ${ }^{4,5}$ as well as clinically in CRPC patients. ${ }^{6}$ These encouraging results have stimulated research for a new generation of nonsteroidal pure AR antagonists directed to CRPC patients.

A CRPC cell-based high-throughput screening assay ${ }^{7}$ was used as the first step in our search for a second-generation novel structural class of full AR antagonists that would be efficacious against CRPC. Significant AR agonism (2.08-fold induction at 1 $\mu \mathrm{M})$ was observed for bicalutamide (1) in this assay. A corporate library of $\sim 800$ nuclear hormone receptor modulators (NHRM) was screened using the high-throughput assay. The aryloxy tetramethylcyclobutane alcohol 4 (Figure 2) was identified as an attractive hit, possessing potent AR antagonism with low molecular weight; however, it had residual agonism of 1.10 -fold induction (FI) at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration. ${ }^{8}$ Lead optimization of 4 to improve absorption, distribution, metabolism, and elimination (ADME) properties by reducing lipophilicity provided more ligand-efficient 5 [Figure 2, ligand efficiency based on number of heavy atoms (LE) $=0.41$, ligand efficiency based on lipophilicity $(\operatorname{LipE})=3.48]^{9}$ with no apparent residual agonism at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ( 0.85 -fold induction). In a pharmacokinetic study (PK), compound 5 demonstrated a systemic free drug concentration covering the target $\left(1 \times\right.$ free $\left.\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ for 24 h , following a single oral dose at $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ in mice. This class of compounds tended to be metabolically stable with multiple examples in the series
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Figure 1. Known AR antagonists.


AR cell:
antag \% Inh,: 72 \% inh @1uM agonism (FI): 2.08x@1uM sol: 14 uM cLogP: 2.71


4
AR cell:
antag \% Inh,: 92\%inh@1uM
agonism (FI): 1.10x@1uM
sol: 233 uM
cLogP:4.58
MW = 313


5
AR cell:
antag IC50: 175 nM
agonism (FI): 0.85x@1uM
HLM Clint: <8
sol: 587 uM
cLogP:3.28
MW $=311$
$\mathrm{LE}=0.41$
LipE $=3.48$

Figure 2. Initial hit and optimized lead.



Figure 3. Effect of compound 5 on tumor growth and PSA levels in LNCaP xenograft model of CRPC. Animals were grouped and treated with vehicle $(n=15), 10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ bicalutamide $(n=12)$, or $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ compound $5(n=14)$. Each compound was given orally once a day for 5 weeks. Compound 5 did not demonstrate statistically significant tumor volume inhibition (45\%) with respect to bicalutamide (41\%). PSA suppression was maximal at week 3 and comparable in both compounds (bicalutamide $=50 \%$ and $5=46 \%$ ). There was no effect on body weight observed for these compounds during the length of study. Bars represent standard errors of the mean (SEM). Statistical significant differences between treatments $={ }^{* *} P<0.01$ and ${ }^{* * *} P<0.001$; " $n$ " represents the number of animals per group. By week 5 , the number of animals in each group were 8,10 , and 11 for vehicle, bicalutamide, and compound 5 , respectively. Statistical analysis was done using two-way ANOVA (GraphPad Prism, Version 5.01, http://www.graphpad.com).
having an acceptable clearance [human liver microsomes (HLM) Clint $<8]^{10}$ even at high lipophilicity ( $\operatorname{cLog} P>3$ ).

In a CRPC in vivo model ${ }^{11}$ used to correlate PK and pharmacodynamic properties, 5 suppressed PSA, a biomarker of AR antagonism, ${ }^{12}$ after a single oral dose of $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ( $25 \%$ reduction of PSA at 48 h ). Upon daily oral administration of $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ for 5 weeks, 5 demonstrated statistically significant tumor volume inhibition (45\%). PSA suppression was maximal at week 3 ( $46 \%$ ) with respect to vehicle control but was not sustained. After 5 weeks of treatment, PSA suppression was only $13 \%$ for compound 5 and $25 \%$ for bicalutamide (1). Although the observed in vivo efficacy from this lead was encouraging, the lack of improvement over bicalutamide in this model suggested that 5 may not have improved clinical efficacy when compared to bicalutamide in CPRC patients (Figure 3).

On the basis of the hypothesis that residual AR agonism leads to inferior efficacy in CRPC, for example, bicalutamide, ${ }^{3}$ the biological activity profile of 5 (Figure 2) was inspected more closely for any sign of residual agonism. Results from the antiproliferation assay showed that 5 is less efficacious at a higher concentration resulting in a " V " shape dose-response curve (Figure 4). Compound 5 is highly soluble in water (sol $=587 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), so we speculated that the nonlinear dose-response phenomenon may be due to residual AR agonism at higher concentration. Bicalutamide demonstrated a linear dose-response effect when tested in the CaP cell panel at doses up to $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, but its overall weaker efficacy in inhibiting the proliferation of LNAR cells is consistent with profile of a partial antagonist against CRPC cancer cells. Moreover, significant agonism was seen for bicalutamide (1) in the LNAR-Luciferase assay ( $2.08 \times$ induction at $1 \mu \mathrm{M})$.



Figure 4. Antiproliferative effects of bicalutamide vs compound 5 in CaP cell panel (LNCAP, LNAR, and DU145). Cell proliferation was assessed by treating various prostate cancer ( CaP ) cells for 7 days in the presence of the compounds under study. The CaP panel was comprised of the following cell lines and corresponding AR status: LNCaP-mutant AR [T877A, ligand binding domain (LBD)], originated from metastatic lesion in a lymph node; LNAR-LNCaP cells engineered to express high levels of wild-type AR and the AR negative cell line, DU145. Cells were seeded in the morning in 96 -well plates in RPMI containing 5\% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and treated in the afternoon with the specified compounds and concentrations. On days 3 and 5, medium was changed, and compounds were replenished. On day 7 , cell viability was assessed by means of a resazurin assay.


Figure 5. DHT interactions. Crystal structure (PDB: 1T7R, ref 14c) of DHT in the LBD of hAR (green backbone).

Therefore, the antiproliferation assay was incorporated into our testing cascade as an additional measure to screen out analogues possessing any residual AR agonism. The readout from the assay should help address AR specificity of our compounds (DU145 is an AR negative cell line, Figure 4).

Pereira de Jésus-Tran et al. have described the molecular determinants responsible for affinity of ligands to the AR. ${ }^{13}$ The AR ligand-binding domain (LBD) contains hydrophobic residues that preferably interact with lipophilic ligands such as steroidal molecules [e.g., dihydrotestosterone (DHT) or testosterone].

These residues are mobile and can adopt various conformations. Additionally, the binding site is completed by a few polar residues that firmly tether DHT/testosterone via hydrogen bond networks formed with polar atoms found at both ends of the ligand structures. ${ }^{13}$ It appears that interaction with $\operatorname{Arg} 752$ and $\operatorname{Gln} 711$ on one end and a hydrogen bond to Asn705 and Thr877 on the other end of the LBD constitutes the most important recognition elements for ligand affinity. ${ }^{14}$ The interaction of DHT/testosterone to $\operatorname{Arg} 752$ occurs via C3 ketone, whereas in bicalutamide (1), the interaction presumably occurs via the $p$-cyano group; hence,
this cyano moiety was kept constant in our cyclobutyl scaffold as a crucial binding element (5, Figure 2). The interaction of DHT/ testosterone to Asn705 and Thr877 occurs through the $17 \beta$ hydroxyl group (Figure 5).


Figure 6. Compound 5 model interactions. Model of 5 (aqua) in the LBD of hAR (green backbone). DHT is shown in pink for reference.

Previous publications have described the critical role of helix 12 in conformational changes that can induce antagonism in various nuclear receptors. It has been hypothesized that pushing helix 12 into an open conformation is the mechanism leading to antagonism for estrogen receptor (ER) and other nuclear receptors. ${ }^{15}$ Because of its molecular length, 5 is unlikely to induce this conformational change. When compound 5 was modeled in AR-LBD binding site, the ligand made the crucial H-bond interactions to Arg752 through the cyano group and to Asn705 through the amide NH; however, the size of an acetate group was not large enough to "wedge" between Asn705 and Thr877 to drive the helix 12 in an open conformation (Figure 6), which may explain the residual agonism observed. On the basis of this analysis and with the knowledge that larger compounds in this series can be metabolically stable even at high $\log P$, new AR antagonists were designed to meet three key requirements: (1) form H-bond to $\operatorname{Arg} 752$, (2) form a H-bond to Asn705, and (3) force helix 12 into an open conformation (e.g., with a heterocyclic ring) that would wedge between Asn705 and Thr877.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Cyclobutyl Analogues ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) NaH, DMF. (ii) HCl, 1,4-Dioxane. (iii) HBTU, DMF, triethylamine.
Table 1. Aryl Ether (Left-Hand Side) Optimization of Aryloxy Tetramethylcyclobutanes


| Compd | d $\mathrm{Ar}_{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ant IC } C_{50} \\ & (\mathrm{nM})^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Agonism (FI @1 uM ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | HLM Clint (uL/min $/ \mathrm{mg}$ ) | Clog P | Solub (uM) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 |  | 175 | 0.8 | <7 | 3.28 | 587 |
| 11 |  | 32 | 1.2 | <8 | 4.37 | 9.8 |
| 12 |  | 70 | 0.8 | <7 | 4.37 | 37 |
| 13 |  | 126 | 1 | 11 | 4.70 | 33 |
| 14 |  | 161 | 1 | 17.5 | 4.37 | 45 |
| 15 |  | 519 | 0.8 | failed ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 3.59 | 447 |

[^1]Table 2. Amide Portion (Right-Hand Side) Optimization of Aryloxy Tetramethylcyclobutane
19
${ }^{a}$ Cell-based functional assay in LNCaP cells genetically engineered to overproduce AR (ref 7). ${ }^{b}$ Agonism reported as fold increase at 1 uM (ref 7). ${ }^{c}$ Compound 17 ionizes poorly under the MS conditions used in the HLM assay, so reliable data for this compound could not be obtained from this assay.

The preparation of the cyclobutyl analogues is outlined in Scheme 1 . Synthesis began with the nucleophilic displacement of various commercially available aryl fluorides 6 with the anion of Boc-protected cyclobutyl amino alcohol 7, ${ }^{16}$ followed by protecting group cleavage under acidic conditions to yield products 8, which were coupled with various carboxylic acids 9 to provide the general structure 10 in good yields. The synthesis was amenable to a library format.

The availability of lipophilic space prompted us to perform an initial structure-activity relationship (SAR) investigation around the aryl ether (left-hand side) to maximize the protein-ligand van der Waals interactions to boost potency. For this experiment, the amide portion (right-hand side) was fixed as an acetate, which provides a more ligand efficient template (low MW and $\operatorname{cLog} P$ ). As summarized in Table 1, 3-chloro-4-cyanophenyl in 11 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=$ 32 nM ) provided good cell-based antagonism but with residual


17
AR cell:
antag. IC50: 67 nM
agonism: $0.7 \times$ induction@1uM
Anti-proliferative:
No 'V' shape dose response
sol: 1.2 uM
cLogP: 4.91
LE $=0.37$
LipE $=2.26$


AR cell:
antag. IC50: 68 nM
agonism: 0.8 x induction@1uM
Anti-proliferative:
No 'V' shape dose response
sol: 4.9 uM
cLogP: 5.21
LE $=0.31$
$\operatorname{LipE}=1.96$

Figure 7. Physicochemical and biological properties of $\mathbf{1 7}$ and 23.
agonism ( 1.2 fold induction at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Although stable in $\mathrm{HLM},{ }^{10}$ the fragment has a high cLog $P$, which may contribute to the observed lower solubility $(9.8 \mu \mathrm{M})$. It is worth noting that apart from the original lead compound 5 , the analogue with a pyridine group (15) demonstrated good overall ADME properties (lower cLogP and good solubility) comparable to compound $\mathbf{5}$ without any residual agonism.

Next, the amide portion (right-hand side) group was optimized for potent AR antagonism. Several selected aryl ethers (left-hand side) from Table 1 including the ones with the highest ligand efficiency, dicyano phenyl $(\mathrm{LipE}=3.38)^{9}$ in 5 and 3-chloro-4-cyanophenyl $(\mathrm{LipE}=3.12)$ in 11, were matched with various heterocyclic carboxyl amides in a library format (Table 2, only the most potent 3 -chloro-4-cyanophenyl analogs are shown). Heteroaryl groups were selected based on their potentials to form additional H -bond interactions and to produce a "wedge effect" at the amide portion (right-hand side) with which to force Helix 12 into the open conformation.

In general, the dicyano phenyl analogues (not shown) were less potent in the AR cell-based antagonist assay than the corresponding 3-chloro-4-cyanophenyl analogues. Both pyrazole 17 and 3 -substituted imidazo[1,2-a]pyrimidin-3-yl 23 emerged as two preferred groups off the right-hand amide for potent full AR antagonism (Figure 7). ${ }^{8}$

Both leads 17 and $\mathbf{2 3}$ demonstrated moderate metabolic stability and low solubility, likely due to high lipophilicity ( $\operatorname{cLog} P \sim 5$ ). Therefore, subsequent analogues were designed with lower lipophilicity aiming for improved ADME characteristics. On the basis of the activity data of compound 20 (Table 2), substitution(s) off C3 and/or N4 positions of pyrazole groups were tolerated. As such, a series of analogues of 17 and 18 were designed where their pyrazole was substituted with small polar fragments (righthand side) (Table 3). The extended design was intended not only to improve solubility but also to re-enforce the open helix 12 conformation due to additional length and solvating the terminal polar groups (e.g., amide, alcohol, or cyano groups). Among them, hydroxyethanyl substitution such as 26 afforded improved solubility and good metabolic stability, while maintaining potent full AR antagonism.

Analogues of 23 (Table 2) with lower lipophilicity were designed and synthesized. Combined chemistry tactics of incorporating the pyridine aryl ether (left-hand side) (seen from 15) and removing the methyl group from the 2-position of the imidazo [1,2-a] pyrimidine bicyclic ring led to 30 (Figure 8). By modestly improving LipE, ${ }^{9}$ both solubility and metabolic stability

## Table 3. Incorporation of Polar Fragments to 17 and 18

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compd R1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ant } I C_{50} \\ & (\mathrm{nM})^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Agonism (FI $@ 1 \text { uMb }^{\text {b }}$ | HLM Clint (uL/min/mg) | Clog $P$ | Solub (uM) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 17 | 75 | 0.7 | failed ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 4.91 | 1.20 |
| 18 | 42 | 1.4 | $<8$ | 4.91 | 8 |
| 24 | 136 | 0.9 | 57 | 4.55 | 39 |
| 25 | 136 | 0.8 | <12 | 3.79 | $4.8{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
|  | 59 | 1.03 | 11 | 3.79 | $12^{\text {e }}$ |
| 27 | 90 | 0.8 | 25 | 4.08 | 27 |
| 28 | 163 | 0.7 | failed ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 3.59 | 24.8 |
| 29 | 174 | 0.88 | 15 | 4.02 | 235 |

${ }^{a}$ Cell-based functional assay in LNCaP cells genetically engineered to overproduce AR (ref 7). ${ }^{b}$ Agonism reported as fold increase at 1 uM (ref 7 ).
${ }^{c}$ Kinetic solubility at pH 7.4. ${ }^{d}$ Compounds 17 and 28 ionize poorly under the MS conditions used in the HLM assay, so reliable data for this compound could not be obtained from this assay. ${ }^{e}$ Thermodynamic solubility.

AR cell:
antag IC50: 59 nM
agonism: 1.03FI @1uM
HLM Clint: $11 \mathrm{uL} / \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$
sol: 12 uM
cLogP: 3.79
LE $=0.35$
LipE $=3.43$
$M W=416$


AR cell
antag IC50: 144 nM
agonism:0.82 FI @1uM
HLM Clint: <8 uL/mg/mL
sol: 11.4 uM
cLogP: 4.51
LE $=0.31$
LipE $=2.33$
$M W=404$

Figure 8. Optimized aryl ether (left-hand side) and amide portion (right-hand side).
were improved. In addition, the compounds have an acceptable clearance (HLM Clint $<15)^{10}$ despite their high Log $P$.

Compounds 26 and 30 were further evaluated for their antiproliferation effects the CaP cell panel (Figure 9). The results obtained indicated that compound $\mathbf{3 0}$ was the more potent of the
two, with significant cell growth inhibition effects shown for LNCaP and LNAR cells but potentially having some off-target toxicity at the highest dose tested $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$, as indicated by the inhibition of DU145 (AR-negative) cells when treated with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ dose. Although compound 26 was less potent than compound 30 , it did not appear to have any off-target effect at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, as judged by the lack of response in the $A R$ negative cell line.

Pilot PK studies in mice suggested that both 26 and 30 (Figure 8) had the required PK profile to support oral dosing. As a result, they were chosen for further evaluation in tumor $\mathrm{PK} /$ pharmadynamic (PD) and efficacy models.

In the LNCAP-derived CRPC animal tumor model, ${ }^{11}$ both 26 and 30 demonstrated outstanding efficacy in inhibiting tumor growth. At the given doses, 26 [ $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ once a day dosing (QD), unbound $\left.C_{\text {ave }}=0.22 \mu \mathrm{M} \sim 3.7 \times \mathrm{IC}_{50}\right]^{17}$ and $30(25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ QD, unbound $\left.C_{\text {ave }}=0.62 \mu \mathrm{M} 4.5 \times \mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)^{17}$ nearly completely suppressed tumor growth (by 90 and $97 \%$, respectively) and the PSA levels ( 78 and $90 \%$, respectively) after 5 weeks (Figure 10), with no detectable body weight loss for the period of time when animals were treated. It is worth noting that at the treatment dose ( $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ QD), the systemic drug exposure of compound 30 (unbound $C_{\text {ave }}=0.62 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) ${ }^{17}$ is significantly lower



Figure 9. Antiproliferative effects of compounds 26 and 30 in CaP cell panel (LNCAP, LNAR, and DU145). Cell proliferation was assessed by treating various prostate cancer ( CaP ) cells for 7 days in the presence of the compounds under study (see also the Figure 4 legend).



Figure 10. Effect of compounds 26 and 30 on tumor growth and PSA levels in the LNCaP xenograft mouse model of CRPC. Animals were grouped and treated with vehicle $(n=8), 100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ compound $26(n=10)$, or $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ compound $30(n=11)$. Each compound was given orally once a day for 5 weeks. There was no effect on body weight observed for these compounds during the length of study. Statistical significant differences between treatments $={ }^{* * *} P<0.001$. Bars represent the SEM; " $n$ " represent the number of animals per group. Statistical analysis was done using two-way ANOVA (GraphPad Prism, Version 5.01, http://www.graphpad.com).


Figure 11. Compound 30 likely to induce helix 12 -open conformation. Left picture: Modeled binding conformation of compound 5 (aqua), which fits AR helix 12-closed conformation vs bound conformation of DHT in crystal structure (pink, PDB: 1T7R, ref 14c). Right picture: Modeled binding conformation of compound 5 (aqua) vs modeled binding conformation of compound 30 (yellow) in AR helix 12 -open conformation (see the Experimental Section for more details).
than $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, the concentration where there was an indication of potential off-target effects in the anti-proliferation assay.

Compound 30 is not easily accommodated in the AR LBD site model based on the cocrystal structure of AR and bicalutamide, a helix 12 -closed ("closed") conformation. Unlike the shorter analogue 5 that can fit into the "closed" conformation reasonably well (Figure 11, left), compound 30 cannot be accommodated
into the closed conformation without significant clashes with helix 12 of AR protein. To model the AR antagonists with a longer right-hand groups (e.g., 26 and 30), a helix 12-open ("open") AR homology model was created based on the Ralox-ifene-bound ER crystal structure (Raloxifine is a full antagonist; PDB code: 1ERR) in which helix 12 is disrupted and adopts what we call the "open" conformation. As shown in Figure 11 (right),
compound 30 can be accommodated in the LBD site when helix 12 moves out of the way. As discussed earlier, DHT has a $17 \beta$ hydroxyl group that functions as a "latch", which favors a helix 12closed (agonistic) conformation by forming key hydrogen bonds to Asn705 and Thr877 simultaneously. Compound 5 lacks the "latch" interactions, but the small right-hand group (acetamide) can fit into the closed conformation. As a result, AR may adopt either the open or the closed conformation with compound 5 bound, consistent with observed its partial antagonism. However, compound 30 is not only devoid of the "latch" interactions but also contains a large right-hand group. The combined structural features strongly favor the helix 12-open conformation, presumably leading to AR full antagonism.

In summary, a series of novel nonsteroidal pure AR antagonists were developed from leads identified through cell-based high-throughput screening. The initial aryloxy tetramethylcyclobutyl leads demonstrated good AR antagonism potency and ADME profile, but only moderate efficacy in inhibiting tumor growth in a CRPC animal model, similar to results obtained with bicalutamide. Utilizing a hypothesis of full AR antagonism based on protein conformation of helix 12, a protein-structure-guided library led to the identification of pyrazole and imidazo[1,2-a]-pyrimidin-3-yl as preferred moieties for potent AR full antagonism. Further optimization of ligand efficiency led to the discovery of 26 and 30, which demonstrated favorable efficacy in the CRPC model upon oral administration. The attractive in vivo efficacy and ADME profiles of these analogues warrant further evaluation for their potential use as oral agents to treat CRPC patients in the clinic.

## ■ EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General. All starting materials and chemicals reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification, unless otherwise indicated. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker instrument operating at 400 or 600 MHz . NMR spectra are obtained as $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ solutions (reported in ppm ), using $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as the reference standard ( 7.25 ppm ), or DMSO- $d_{6}(2.50 \mathrm{ppm})$. Other NMR solvents were used as needed. The mass spectra were obtained using LC/MS or HRMS. The purity of all final compounds was determined to be at least $95 \%$ pure by a combination of HPLC, LCMS, NMR (no extra peaks in the proton NMR spectrum), and combustion analysis (all final compounds have satisfying CHN results consistent with high purity).

General Procedure for the Preparation of Cyclobutyl Analogues. Preparation of 30: N -\{trans-3-[(5-Cyano-6-methyl-pyridin-2-yl)oxy]-2,2,4,4-tetramethylcyclobuty/\}imidazo[1,2-a]pyri-midine-3-carboxamide. Step 1. 6-((1R,3R)-3-Amino-2,2,4,4-tetra-methylcyclobutoxy)-2-methylnicotinonitrile Hydrochloride. To a cooled stirred solution of compound $7(4.8 \mathrm{~g}, 19.7 \mathrm{mmol})^{16}$ in anhydrous THF ( 80 mL ) was added $\mathrm{NaH}(1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 39.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in portions and at a temperature below $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the addition, the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min . Then, the reaction mixture was cooled to $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 6-fluoro-2-methylnicotinonitrile (Ryan Scientific, PC5881) $(3.2 \mathrm{~g}, 23.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in portions. After the addition, the resulting mixture was stirred at reflux for 1 h under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. TLC (4:1 petroleum ether/ $\mathrm{EtOAc})$ showed the reaction was complete. The reaction was cooled to room temperature and quenched with cold water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting mixture was evaporated, and the residue was diluted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with EtOAc ( $100 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 50 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and evaporated to give the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (20:1 to 10:1 petroleum ether/EtOAc) to
give intermediate Boc-protected intermediate: tert-butyl $(1 R, 3 R)$ -3-(5-cyano-6-methylpyridin-2-yloxy)-2,2,4,4 tetramethylcyclobutylcarbamate $(4.2 \mathrm{~g}, 59 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

To a stirred solution of above intermediate tert-butyl $(1 R, 3 R)$-3-(5-cyano-6-methylpyridin-2-yloxy)-2,2,4,4 tetramethylcyclobutylcarbamate $(5.8 \mathrm{~g}, 16.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous dioxane $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl} /$ dioxane ( 80 mL ) dropwise at $5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the addition, the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h . The suspension was filtered, and the filter cake was washed with $10: 1$ petroleum ether/ EtOAc ( 50 mL ) and dried in vacuo to give the HCl salt of the title compound ( $4.80 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta$ $7.93(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.31$ $(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. MS (APCI): $260.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

Step 2. Coupling Reaction: N-((1R,3R)-3-(5-Cyano-6-methylpyridin-2-yloxy)-2,2,4,4-tetramethylcyclobutyl)imidazo[1,2-a]pyrimidine-3carboxamide (30). 6-((1R,3R)-3-Amino-2,2,4,4-tetramethylcyclobutoxy)-2-methylnicotinonitrile hydrochloride ( $70.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.237 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv), imidazo[1,2-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylic acid (Ryan Scientific, CA10088) ( $44.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.275 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.16$ equiv), and 2 -( 1 H -benzotriazole-1-yl)-1,1,3, 3-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate (HBTU, VWR, TCB1657) ( $113.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) were dissolved in 1.0 mL of DMF ( 0.237 M ). Triethylamine ( $0.10 \mathrm{~mL} 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) was added, and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 2.5 h . LCMS after 2 h at room temperature shows clean conversion to amide coupling product [MS (APCI): $405\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.16\right.$ in $100 \%$ EtOAc, $\left.\mathrm{UV}^{+}\right]$. The reaction was partitioned between 10 mL of deionized water and 20 mL of ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with 5 mL of saturated aqueous NaCl , dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated to 131.9 mg of a yellow wax, which was dissolved in ethyl acetate, adsorbed on silica, and purified on a 12 g silica column, eluting with $100 \%$ ethyl acetate. The corresponding fractions were combined and concentrated in vacuo to afford the product as a white solid, $62.8 \mathrm{mg}(66 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.15$ $(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.59 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.95,4.17 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.60$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.59 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.67-8.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.95$, $1.89 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd, 405.203351; found, 405.202098 ; errorm -3.09 ppm. Anal. calcd gor $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ cdt $0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 63.91 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.09; N, 20.32. Found: C, 63.85; H, 5.92; N, 20.28.

Characterization of Final Analogues. Preparation of $\mathbf{5}$ : N -[trans-3-(3,4-Dicyanophenoxy)-2,2,4,4-tetramethylcyclobutyl]acetamide. Step 1. The intermediate amine was prepared by the method of compound 30, using 4-fluorophthalonitrile (Aldrich, 47410) in step 1.
Step 2. The resultant 4-(( $1 R, 3 R$ )-3-amino-2,2,4,4-tetramethylcyclobutoxy) phthalonitrile from step 1 above $(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(13 \mathrm{~mL})$ and triethylamine ( $0.290 \mathrm{~g}, 0.399 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.87 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.2 equiv) was added followed by acetyl chloride ( $0.133 \mathrm{~g}, 0.120 \mathrm{~mL}$, 1.3 equiv). This mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min . The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was evaporated, and the residue was partitioned between EtOAc ( 50 mL ) and 1 M sodium hydroxide $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was separated, washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated under reduced pressure to get a yellow oil, which was adsorbed on silica, and purified on a 12 g silica column, eluting with $60 \%$ EtOAc/heptanes. The corresponding fractions were combined and concentrated in vacuo to afford the product $5(0.44 \mathrm{~g}$, quantitative) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.16$ $(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $7.83 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.84,2.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.53 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.59 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS (APCI): $312.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 69.43; H, 6.80; N, 13.49; N, 20.32. Found: C, 69.47; H, 6.86; N, 13.37.

Preparation of 11: N-[trans-3-(3-Chloro-4-cyanophenoxy)-2,2,4, 4-tetramethylcyclobutyl]acetamide. Compound 11 was prepared by
the method of compound 5, using 2-chloro-4-fluoro-benzonitrile (Aldrich, $344265)$ in step 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}),, 5.47(\mathrm{bd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. MS (APCI): $321(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. $0.1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 63.29$; H, 6.62; N, 8.68. Found: C, 63.10; H, 6.42; N, 8.45.

Preparation of 12: $N$-[trans-3-(4-Cyano-3-methylphenoxy)-2,2,4, 4-tetramethylcyclobutyl]acetamide. Compound 12 was prepared by the method of compound 5 , using 4-fluoro-2-methylbenzonitrile (Aldrich, 594660) in step 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.97-1.38$ $(\mathrm{m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.51$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.59 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{APCI}):$ $301.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 67.90$; H , 8.23 ; N, 8.80. Found: C, 67.72; H, 8.04; N, 8.67.

Preparation of 13: N -\{trans-3-[4-Cyano-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenoxy]-2,2,4,4-tetramethylcyclobutyl\}acetamide. Compound $\mathbf{1 3}$ was prepared by the method of compound 5 , using 4-fluoro-2-trifluoromethylbenzonitrile (Alfa Aesar, B20617) in step 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $8.34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.59,2.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (APCI): $355.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. HRMS: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd, 354.155510 ; found, 354.152381. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 60.24 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.04$; N, 7.81. Found: C, 60.15; H, 5.89; N, 7.75.

Preparation of 14: N -[trans-3-(2-Chloro-4-cyanophenoxy)-2,2,4, 4-tetramethylcyclobutyl]acetamide. Compound 14 was prepared by the method of compound $\mathbf{5}$, using 3-chloro-4-fluorobenzonitrile (Aldrich, $376582)$ in step $1 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.02 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.59,2.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.59 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.57 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. MS (APCI): $321(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. $1.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.3 \mathrm{AcOH}: \mathrm{C}, 59.24 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.84$; N, 7.85. Found: C, 59.01 ; H, 6.59 ; N, 8.05.

Preparation of 15: N - \{trans-3-[(5-Cyano-6-methylpyridin-2-yl)oxy]-2,2,4,4-tetramethylcyclobuty/\}acetamide. Compound 15 was prepared by the method of compound 5, using 6-fluoro-2-methylnicotinonitrile (Ryan Scientific, PC5881) in step $1 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ $7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.59 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.09 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.59 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{APCI}): 302(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} . \mathrm{HRMS}:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calcd, 302.186303; found, 302.186859. Anal. calcd For $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 67.75; H, 7.69; N, 13.94. Found: C, 67.68; H, 7.57; N, 13.93.

Preparation of 16: $N$-[trans-3-(3-Chloro-4-cyanophenoxy)-2,2,4, 4-tetramethylcyclobutyl]pyrazine-2-carboxamide. Compound 16 was prepared by the method of compound 30, using 2-chloro-4-fluorobenzonitrile in step 1 and pyrazine-2-carboxylic acid (Fluka, 82611) in step 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.15(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $4.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.09 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.84,2.27 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.27 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.59 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.09 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS: [ $\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd, 385.14258 ; found, 385.1429 ; error, 0.83 ppm . Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 61.69, \mathrm{H}, 5.57$; N, 14.39. Found: C, 61.75; H, 5.45; N, 14.33.

Preparation of 17: N -[trans-3-(3-Chloro-4-cyanophenoxy)-2,2,4, 4-tetramethylcyclobutyl]-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide. Compound 17 was prepared by the method of compound 30, using 2-chloro-4-fluorobenzonitrile (Aldrich, 344265) in step 1 and $1 H$-pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid (Aldrich, 707384 ) in step 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.22(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.59 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.72,2.40 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.10 (br. s., 1 H ), 7.57 (d, $J=8.59 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.27 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 10.29 (br. s., 1 H ). MS (APCI): $373.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 61.21; H, 5.68; N, 15.03. Found: C, 61.09; H, 5.72; N, 14.90 .

Preparation of 18: $N$-[trans-3-(3-Chloro-4-cyanophenoxy)-2,2,4, 4-tetramethylcyclobutyl]-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxamide. Compound 18
was prepared by the method of compound 30, using 2-chloro-4-fluorobenzonitrile in step 1 and 1-(tetrahydro-pyran-2-yl)-1H-pyrazole-4carboxylic acid (Anichem, K11582) in step 2. After silica gel chromatography, the THP group was then removed by treatment with excess 4.0 M HCl /dioxane solution at room temperature for 18 h . The resulting monhydrochloride salt was collected by suction filtration. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.35 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.72,2.40 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.53 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd, 373.14258; found, 373.14173; error, -2.28 ppm. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 1.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{HCl}: \mathrm{C}, 51.77 ; \mathrm{H}$, 5.83; N, 12.71. Found: C, 51.68; H, 6.03; N, 12.42.

Preparation of 19: N -[trans-3-(3-Chloro-4-cyanophenoxy)-2,2,4, 4-tetramethylcyclobutyl]-1-methyl-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxamide. Compound 19 was prepared by the method of compound 30, using 2-chloro-4-fluoro-benzonitrile in step 1 and 1-methyl-1H-pyrazole-4carboxylic acid (Anichem, K11201) in step 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.35 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.84,2.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.27 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{t}, J=4.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd, 387.15823 ; found, 387.157169 ; error, -2.74 ppm . Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{AcOH}: \mathrm{C}, 59.27 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.19$; N, 13.49. Found: C, 59.31; H, 6.26; N, 13.65.

Preparation of 20: N-[trans-3-(3-Chloro-4-cyanophenoxy)-2,2,4, 4-tetramethylcyclobutyl]-1,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide. Compound 20 was prepared by the method of compound 30, using 2-chloro-4-fluoro-benzonitrile (Aldrich, 344265) in step 1 and 1,5-dimethyl-1 H -pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid (Aldrich, 722359) in step 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.59 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.33 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.84$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS (APCI): $401(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. $0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 61.53 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.39$; N, 13.67. Found: C, 61.31 ; H, 6.38; N, 13.59.

Preparation of 21: N-[trans-3-(3-Chloro-4-cyanophenoxy)-2,2,4, 4-tetramethylcyclobutyl]-5-methyl-1,3,4-oxadiazole-2-carboxamide. Compound 21 was prepared by the method of compound 30, using 2-chloro-4-fluoro-benzonitrile (Aldrich, 344265) in step 1 and 5-methyl[1,3,4] oxadiazole-2-carboxylic acid (Oakwood 047529) in step $2 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $4.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.72,2.40 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.27 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS (APCI): $389(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21^{-}}$ $\mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 56.09$; H, 5.70; N, 13.77. Found: C, 56.46; H, 5.73; N, 13.41.

Preparation of 22: $N$-[trans-3-(3-Chloro-4-cyanophenoxy)-2,2,4, 4-tetramethylcyclobutyl]imidazo[1,2-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide. Compound 22 was prepared by the method of compound 30, using 2-chloro-4-fluoro-benzonitrile (Aldrich, 344265) in step 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.08 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.59$, $2.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.95,4.17 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.59 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.29,2.02 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 9.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.05 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{APCI}): 424(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 1.15 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 59.43 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.51$; N, 15.75. Found: C, 59.19; H, 5.18; N, 15.60.

Preparation of 23: N -[trans-3-(3-Chloro-4-cyanophenoxy)-2,2,4, 4-tetramethylcyclobutyl]-2-methylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide. Compound 23 was prepared by the method of compound 30, using 2-chloro-4-fluoro-benzonitrile (Aldrich, 344265) in step 1 and 2-methyl-imidazo[1,2-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylic acid (Ryan Scientific, CA10041) in step 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.08 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03$ (dd, $J=8.72,2.40 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.95,4.17 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.27 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.08 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.59 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63$
(dd, $J=4.04,2.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.82,2.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{APCI})$ : $438(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 61.81 ; \mathrm{H}$, 5.64; N, 15.67. Found: C, 61.60; H, 5.53; N, 15.60.

Preparation of 24: $N$-[trans-3-(3-Chloro-4-cyanophenoxy)-2,2,4, 4-tetramethylcyclobutyl]-1-(2-methoxyethyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide. Compound 24 was prepared by the method of compound 30, using 2-chloro-4-fluoro-benzonitrile (Aldrich, 344265) in step 1 and 2-methyl-imidazo[1,2-a] pyrimidine-3-carboxylic acid (Accel Pharmtech, P7411) in step 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.27 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.84,2.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.27 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{t}, J=5.31 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.64(\mathrm{t}, J=5.31 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (APCI): $431.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 61.32 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.32; N, 13.00. Found: C, 61.18; H, 6.27; N, 12.85.

Preparation of 25: N -[trans-3-(3-Chloro-4-cyanophenoxy)-2,2,4, 4-tetramethylcyclobutyl]-1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide. Step 1. 1-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxylic Acid. To a stirred solution of 3-bromo-1-propanol (Ryan Scientific, HA10111) $(8.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.068 \mathrm{~mol})$ and a catalytic amount of TosOH in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dihydropyran (DHP) $(6.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.071 \mathrm{~mol})$ portion wise. After the addition, the mixture was stirred at the same temperature for more than 3 h . The resulting mixture was then diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with water $(20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$, aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated to give 2-(2-bromoethoxy)tetrahydro-2H-pyran (10 g, $70 \%$ ) as yellow liquid, which was used for the next step directly.

The mixture of 1 H -pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid methyl ester (Anichem C1398) ( $5.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0436 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 2-(2-bromoethoxy)tetrahydro-2H-pyran from step above $(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.048 \mathrm{~mol})$, and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(18.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.13 \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated to reflux and stirred overnight. LC-MS test showed the reaction was complete. The precipitate was removed by filtration and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by flash chromatography with EtOAc/petroleum ether from $1 / 10$ to $1 / 5$ to give 2 -(hydroxyethyl)-1H-pyrazole-3carboxylic acid ( 3.0 g ) and isomer methyl 1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxylate $(5.0 \mathrm{~g})$. A mixture of compound 2-(hydroxyethyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid $(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0118 \mathrm{~mol})$ and concentrated HCl $(1.96 \mathrm{~mL})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature for more than an hour. The resulting mixture was concentrated to dryness to give the ester methyl 1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxylate, which was used for next step hydrolysis directly. The mixture of methyl 1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxylate ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0118 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaOH}(1.18 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0295 \mathrm{~mol})$ in the mixed solvent of water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature overnight. TLC analysis (1:1 petroleum ether:EtOAc) indicated that the reaction was complete. The mixture was concentrated. The residue was dissolved in water $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The aqueous was acidified with concentrated HCl to pH 5 and concentrated. The crude product was then dissolved with $\mathrm{EtOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The precipitate was removed by filtration. The filtrate was concentrated and recrystallized with $1 / 10 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /petroleum ether to afford 1-(2-hydroxyethyl)$1 H$-pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid ( $474 \mathrm{mg}, 26 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): \delta 7.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.33(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.99-3.96 (t, 2H). MS (APCI): $179.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

Step 2. N-[trans-3-(3-Chloro-4-cyanophenoxy)-2,2,4,4-tetramethyl-cyclobutyl]-1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (25). Compound 25 was prepared by the method of compound 30 , using 2-chloro-4-fluoro-benzonitrile (Aldrich, 344265) in step 1 and 1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid (from step 1 above) in step 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80$ (br. s., 1 H$), 7.25-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22$ (br. s., 1 H$), 7.03$ (br. s., 1 H$), 6.63$ (br. s., 1 H$), 4.90$ (br. s., 1 H$), 4.50-4.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $5.61 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78$ (br. s., 2 H ), 1.19 (s, 6 H ), $1.12(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (APCI):
$417.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. calcd For $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 65.73 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.28; N, 20.00. Found: C, 65.41; H, 6.29; N, 19.94.

Preparation of 26: N -[trans-3-(3-Chloro-4-cyanophenoxy)-2,2,4, 4-tetramethylcyclobutyl]-1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxamide. Compound 26 was prepared by the method of compound 30 , using 2-chloro-4-fluoro-benzonitrile in step 1 and 1-(2-hydroxy-ethyl)-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylic acid (Ryan Scientific, BBV-074900) in step 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $3.74(\mathrm{q}, J=5.22 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{t}, J=5.43 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{t}, J=5.05 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.84,2.27 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.27 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd, 417.168795; found, 417.169311; error, 1.24. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 60.50; H, 6.04; N, 13.44; Cl, 8.50. Found: C, 60.43; H, 6.22; N, 13.51; Cl, 8.39.

Preparation of 27: $N$-[trans-3-(3-Chloro-4-cyanophenoxy)-2,2,4, 4-tetramethylcyclobutyl]-1-(2-cyanoethyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide. Step 1. Synthesis of 1-(2-Cyano-ethyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxylic Acid. A solution of pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid methyl ester ( $588.7 \mathrm{mg}, 4.668 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acrylonitrile ( $0.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DBU $(0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(2.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred in a sealed tube at room temperature for 26 h . After evaporation and purification by silica gel chromatography (eluting with $50-100 \%$ ethyl acetate in heptane), 1-(2-cyano-ethyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid methyl ester ( $803.9 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta 3.12(\mathrm{t}, J=6.44 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{t}, J=6.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.27 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.27 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. A portion of this ester $(692.6 \mathrm{mg}, 3.865 \mathrm{mmol})$ was saponified with lithium hydroxide monohydrate ( $220.3 \mathrm{mg}, 5.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol $(15.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature for 26 h . The reaction was diluted with 10 mL of deionized water, the methanol was evaporated, and the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was discarded, and the aqueous layer treated dropwise with 6 N HCl until $\mathrm{pH} \sim 1$. The now-acidic aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. These extracts were combined, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated to give 1-(2-cyano-ethyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid ( $432.1 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta 3.11(\mathrm{t}, J=6.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.47(\mathrm{t}, J=6.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.27 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.27 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 12.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Step 2. N-[trans-3-(3-Chloro-4-cyanophenoxy)-2,2,4,4-tetra-methylcyclobutyl]-1-(2-cyanoethyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (27). Compound 27 was prepared by the method of compound 30 , using 2-chloro-4-fluoro-benzonitrile in step 1 and 1-(2-cyano-ethyl)-1H-pyra-zole-3-carboxylic acid (see step 1 above) in step $2 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.12(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{t}, J=6.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.96$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{t}, J=6.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.27 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.72,2.40 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.59 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.27 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd, 426.169129; found, 426.169823; error, 1.63 ppm .

Preparation of 28: N,N-[trans-3-(3-Chloro-4-cyanophenoxy)-2,2,4, 4-tetramethylcyclobutyl]-1-[2-(methylamino)-2-oxoethyl]-1H-pyrazole3 -carboxamide. Compound 28 was prepared by the method of compound 30, using 2-chloro-4-fluoro-benzonitrile (Aldrich, 344265) in step 1 and 1-(2-(methylamino)-2-oxoethyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid (Chemcollect, ChemCol-MC006604). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-$ $\left.d_{6}\right): \delta 1.10-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.19$ (br. s., 6 H$), 2.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.39 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.95 (d, $J=8.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38$ (br. s., 1 H ), 4.87 (br. s., 2 H ), 6.62-6.74 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.30 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21($ br. s., 1 H$), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.78 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71-7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03$ (br. s., 1 H$). \mathrm{MS}$ (APCI): $444.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. calcd For $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}$, 58.93; H, 5.96; N, 15.62. Found: C, 58.81; H, 5.96; N, 15.49.

Preparation of 29: $N$-[trans-3-(3-Chloro-4-cyanophenoxy)-2,2,4, 4-tetramethylcyclobutyl]-1-[2-(dimethylamino)-2-oxoethyl]-1H-pyra-zole-3-carboxamide. Compound 29 was prepared by the method of
compound 30, using 2-chloro-4-fluoro-benzonitrile (Aldrich, 344265) in step 1 and 1-(2-(dimethylamino)-2-oxoethyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid (ChemCollect, ChemCol-MC006605). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.11$ (br. s., 6 H ), 1.18 (br. s., 6 H ), 2.86 (br. s., 3 H ), 3.03 (br. s., 3 H ), 3.96 (d, $J=8.30 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.38 (br. s., 1 H ), 5.21 (br. s., $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.66$ (br. s., 1 H$), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.30 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.73 (br. s., 1 H ), $7.82-8.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS (APCI): $458.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 59.16 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.26 ; \mathrm{N}, 15.00$. Found: C, 59.12; H, 6.21; N, 14.72 .

Computational Model Used for Docking Compound 5 in AR Helix 12-Closed Conformation. The AR protein model for Figure 6 was based on the AR-bicalutamide crystal structure (PDB code: 1Z95) where helix 12 was removed (starting at Lys-883) to accommodate induced-fit docking of RD-162 where residues within $6 \AA$ of the ligand are allowed to relax (unpublished routine in AGDOCK). Compound 5 was docked into this relaxed structure using the AGDOCK ${ }^{18,19}$ docking program.

Computational Model Used for Docking Compounds 5 and 30 (Figure 11) in AR Helix 12-Open Conformation. The AR homology model was built based on Raloxifene bound ER crystal structure (PDB code: 1ERR) using the PRIME homology modeling program. The side chain coordinate and the loop conformation were explored and optimized in the same program. Docking simulation was performed with Glide docking program, ${ }^{20}$ and compounds were docked into a binding pocket of bicalutamide in AR crystal structure (PDB code: 1Z95) and the homology model.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ Cell-based functional assay in LNCaP cells genetically engineered to overproduce AR (ref 7). ${ }^{b}$ Agonism reported as fold increase at 1 uM (ref 7 ). ${ }^{c}$ Kinetic solubility at $\mathrm{pH} 7.4 .{ }^{d}$ Compound 15 ionizes poorly under the MS conditions used in the HLM assay, so reliable data for this compound could not be obtained from this assay.

