

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 9 (1999) 1003-1008

NONSTEROIDAL ANDROGEN RECEPTOR AGONISTS BASED ON 4-(TRIFLUOROMETHYL)-2H-PYRANO[3,2-g]QUINOLIN-2-ONE

James P. Edwards, *.^{a,1} Robert I. Higuchi, ^a David T. Winn, ^{a,2} Charlotte L. F. Pooley, ^a

Thomas R. Caferro,^a Lawrence G. Hamann,^a Lin Zhi,^a Keith B. Marschke,^b

Mark E. Goldman,^{b,3} Todd K. Jones^{a,4}

Departments of ^aMedicinal Chemistry and ^bNew Leads Discovery Ligand Pharmaceuticals, 10275 Science Center Drive, San Diego, CA 92121, U.S.A.

Received 17 December 1998; accepted 23 February 1999

Abstract: A series of 2*H*-pyrano[3,2-g]quinolin-2-ones was prepared and tested for the ability to modulate the transcriptional activity of the human androgen receptor (hAR). The parent compound, 4-(trifluoromethyl)-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-g]quinolin-2-one, displayed moderate interaction with hAR, but substituted analogues were potent hAR modulators in vitro as mesaured by an hAR cotransfection assay in CV-1 cells and bound to hAR with high affinity in a whole cell assay. Several analogues were able to activate hAR-mediated gene transcription more potently and efficaciously than dihydrotestosterone. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction. A recent report from these laboratories described structure–activity relationship studies of a novel series of human androgen receptor (hAR) antagonists⁵ based on a linear tricyclic pharmacophore, 4- (trifluoromethyl)-2(1*H*)-piperidino[3,2-g]quinolinone, typified by the lead hAR antagonist LG120907 (Figure 1, 1).⁶ We have also explored the lactone analogues, **2**, and discovered that these compounds interact with hAR to elicit very different biological responses. A number of nonsteroidal hAR antagonists has been described in the literature and two of these, flutamide⁷ and bicalutamide (**3**),⁸ are used clinically in conjunction with LHRH agonists for the treatment of prostate cancer. Although steroidal hAR agonists are also useful clinically,⁹ few nonsteroidal androgen agonists have been disclosed.¹⁰ Using an hAR cotransfection assay in CV-1 cells to guide SAR studies,¹¹ we set out to determine the effects of alkyl-group substitution at various positions on the hAR modulatory activity of the 2*H*-pyrano[3,2-g]quinolin-2-one pharmacophore **2**, leading to the discovery of several analogues with potent androgen agonist activity in vitro.



Figure 1. LG120907 (1), 2H-Pyrano[3,2-g]quinolin-2-one General Structure (2), and Bicalutamide (3).

Background. During preliminary investigations into the SAR of 6-aryl-1,2-dihydro-2,2,4-trimethylquinoline, a novel pharmacophore for the progesterone receptor,¹² we discovered that Skraup cyclization¹³ of the 7-aminocoumarin **4a** afforded as a minor product the linear tricyclic compound **5a** in addition to the major angular isomer **6a** (Scheme 1). Although **6a** interacted only weakly with hPR and hAR, compound **5a** (LG120293) was discovered to be quite active as an *anti-androgen* (IC₅₀ = 81 nM, 95% efficacy; K_i = 48 nM) and prompted us to investigate the SAR of this new hAR modulator lead. For example, reaction of **4b** with acetone in the presence of

iodine afforded **5b** and **6b** in a ration of 1:19; **5b** not only bound to hAR with high affinity ($K_i = 4 \text{ nM}$), but also behaved as an androgen receptor *agonist*, with potency and efficacy similar to that of dihydrotestosterone (EC₅₀ = 7 nM, 89% efficacy). In contrast, **4c** afforded **5c** and **6c** in a ratio of 1:2; although **5c** bound to hAR ($K_i = 3 \text{ nM}$), it had functional activity intermediate between **5a** and **5b** and acted as a weak partial agonist (EC₅₀ = 0.7 μ M, 60% efficacy). This hAR modulatory behavior was opposite to that observed for the C(8)-disubstituted nitrogen analogues such as **1**, which are hAR antagonists in this assay.⁵ In line with earlier studies,^{5,10a} further SAR investigations focused on modifications at C(6)-C(8) in order to improve hAR modulatory activity, leaving constant the coumarin system (e.g., $R^5 = CF_3$).

Scheme 1



Chemistry. In order to develop a general route to the linear tricyclic analogues required for potent hAR activity, several modifications of the previously disclosed routes to 4-(trifluoromethyl)-2(1*H*)-piperidino[3,2-g]quinolinone analogues were studied. For example, reaction of 3-aminophenylpivalate 7 with 3-acetoxy-3-methyl-1-butyne in the presence of CuCl afforded the propargyl aniline **8**,¹⁴ which could be cyclized to **9** by treatment with catalytic CuCl in refluxing THF (Scheme 2).¹⁵ Reduction of the olefin by catalytic hydrogenation, deprotection of the phenol, and von Pechman cyclization¹⁶ using 4,4,4-trifluoroacetoacetate mediated by zinc chloride in ethanol, afforded **10**, the 1-oxa version of **1**.



^a(i) 3-acetoxy-3-methyl-1-butyne (1.1 equiv), CuCl (5–10%), THF, 60 °C; (ii) CuCl (10–15%), THF, reflux; (iii) H₂, 10% Pd/C, EtOAc/ EtOH; (iv) KOH, MeOH; (v) 4,4,4-trifluoroacetoacetate (1.5 equiv), ZnCl₂ (1.5 equiv), EtOH (110–120 °C, sealed tube)

The 4-ketotetrahydroquinoline intermediates 13 were utilized (Scheme 3) to investigate the effects of removing substitution at C(8). Condensation of *m*-anisidine (11) with acrylic acid (12a), 2-butenoic acid (12b), or 2-pentenoic acid (12c) followed by PPA-mediated cyclization afforded 13a-c, respectively, in moderate yields. Protection of the amine as the *t*-butyl carbamate afforded 14a-c. Addition of an organometallic reagent (or reduction with LiAlH₄) followed by reduction of the benzylic alcohol by hydrogenation over palladium on carbon in the presence of a protic acid afforded the tetrahydroquinolines 15 in modest yields. Deprotection of both the aniline and the phenol could be accomplished in a single operation by treatment with BBr₃; the resulting phenols were labile and subjected without purification to von Pechman cyclization conditions (4,4,4-trifluoroacetoacetate, ZnCl₂, EtOH, sealed tube, 100–120 °C) to afford the desired linear tricyclics 2. Alternatively, substitution α to the ketone could be accomplished via enolate chemistry (KHMDS or NaH, iodoalkane, THF or DMF) to afford 4-ketotetrahydroquinolines 16, which were then converted to linear tricyclics 2 in a manner identical to that of the less substituted analogues 14.¹⁷ In analogy to earlier studies, the nitrogen atom of 2 could be methylated using standard reductive amination conditions {paraformaldehdye, Na(CN)BH₁, AcOH}^{5,10a}

Scheme 3^a viii Boc Вос 11 14 16 13 iv, v iv-vii ÇF3 MeO Boc 2 15 2, where $R^5 = CF_3$, $R^1 = R^6 = H$

^a(i) **12a**, **b**, or **c** (1.5 equiv), toluene, reflux; (ii) PPA, 110 °C; (iii) *t*-Boc₂O, DMAP, THF, rt; (iv) R⁴MgX (or LiAlH₄), 0 °C-rt; (v) Pd/C, H₂, AcOH, EtOAc/EtOH; (vi) BBr₃, CH₂Cl₂, -78 - 0 °C; (vii) 4,4,4-

trifluoroacetoacetate (1.5 equiv), ZnCl₂ (1.5 equiv), EtOH (110–120 °C, sealed tube); (viii) NaH, R³I, DMF, rt; or KHMDS, R³I, THF, 0 °C.

In Vitro Biological Activity. The ability of the 2H-pyrano[3,2-g]quinolin-2-ones 2 to modulate the transcriptional activity of hAR in a cellular context was measured using a cotransfection assay in mammalian (CV-1) cells,^{6,11} and binding to hAR was measured using a whole cell assay system in mammalian (COS) cells.¹⁸ The data for C(4)-trifluoromethyl lactones designed to determine the effects of alkyl substitution on the tetrahydroquinoline ring of the pharmacophore are depicted in Table 1. Dihydrotestosterone (DHT) was used as the standard steroidal hAR agonist and bicalutamide as a standard nonsteroidal hAR antagonist.

1005

The C(1)-oxa version of 1, compound 10, bound avidly to the receptor ($K_i = 1 \text{ nM}$) and stimulated reporter gene expression with a potency comparable to DHT, but with partial efficacy ($EC_{50} = 9$ nM, 64% efficacy), confirming the widely differential hAR modulatory active of the lactone analogues relative to the lactams.⁵

Table 1. hAR Agonist and Antagonist Activity in Cotransfected CV-1 Cells and Binding Affinitites to hAR Transfected into COS Cells.^{a,b}



			- <u>- ,</u>			hAR Cotransfection Assay in CV-1 Cells									hAl	R W	hole		
						A geniet						Antogonist							
					Agonist						Antagonist			Cell Binding					
#	$\mathbf{R}^1 \mathbf{R}^2 \mathbf{R}^3 \mathbf{R}^4 \mathbf{R}^6$			Efficacy		EC_{5}	$EC_{50}(nM)$		Ν	Efficacy		$IC_{50}(nM)$		Ν	IC_5	₀ (n]	M)		
_						(%)						(%)						
	Dihydrotestosterone				100 ±	0	6	±	1	(9)						4	±	1	
3	Bicalutamide										78	± 3	157	± 35	(9)	117	±	35	
10	Me	Me	Н	Н	Н	64 ±	13	9	±	2	(5)						1	±	0.3
17	H	Н	Н	Н	Η	68 ±	8	19	±	6	(3)					(1)	1	±	0.2
18	Н	Н	Н	Me	Н	$100 \pm$	9	2	± (0.2	(9)					(1)	1	±	0.3
19	Н	Н	Н	Et	Н	119 ±	6	2	± (0.2	(9)					(5)	1	±	0.2
20	Н	Н	Η	Pr	Н	65 ±	4	5	±	1	(9)					(1)	5	±	2
21	H	Н	H	CF ₃	Η	$104 \pm$	12	2	± (0.3	(6)					(1)	2	±	0.2
22	H	Н	Η	C_2F_5	Н	$103 \pm$	16	13	±	3	(7)				_	(1)	5	±	2
23	H	H	Me	Etcis	H	$101 \pm$	22	7	±	3	(3)					(2)	2		
24	H	H	Me	Ettrans	Η	113 ±	24	1	± (0.2	(5)					(4)	0.3		
25	H	Н	Et	Etcis	H	53 ±	17	5	±	2	(2)					(2)	nd		
26	H	H	Et	Ettrans	Н	90 ±	7	5	±	1	(3)	35	± 16	1400		(2)	nd		
27	H	Me	Η	Etcis	Н	36 ±	3	27	±	13	(4)	20	<u>± 10</u>	16	<u>± 15</u>	(3)	12	<u>±</u>	2
28	Η	Et	H	Etcis	Н	86 ±	21	26	±	4	(4)					(2)	7		
29	Η	Et	Н	Mecis	H	86 ±	24	8	±	3	(2)					(2)	11		
30	H	Et	Η	H	Н	79 ±	13	7	±	3	(3)					(3)	1		
31	н	Et	Me trans	Н	Н	138 ±	7	1	± (0.2	(3)					(3)	7	±	3
32	H	Н	Н	Et	Me	70 ±	11	209	±	45	(3)					(4)	276		_

^a Efficacy for agonist assays is defined in % vs. DHT = 100. Efficacy for antagonist assays is % inhibition of transcriptional activity observed at an EC₅₀ concentration of DHT. ^b Values are in nM, mean \pm SEM, N > 2. If no SEM is noted, value is from a single determination. N = number

of independent determinations. "--" = not active (<20% efficacy and/or > 10 μ M potency)

Removal of the C(8) methyls afforded a compound (17) with reduced activity in the functional assay, but which still bound to the receptor with high affinity ($K_i = 1$ nM). The addition of a methyl (18) or an ethyl (19) group to C(6) gave ligands which stimulated reporter gene expression with full efficacy compared to DHT (100% and 119%, respectively) and were more potent (EC₅₀ = 2 nM) than the natural hormone. A trifluoromethyl group at C(6) gave similar results (21), but propyl and perfluoroethyl groups afforded less potent compounds (20, 22).

Since 19 (LG121011) was consistently slightly more active than 18 in the functional assay, we next investigated the effects of additional substitution of the tetrahydroquinoline ring, initially keeping the ethyl group at C(6) constant. Addition of a methyl group at C(7) afforded two diastereomeric compounds: the *cis* diastereomer (23) was less active than the parent, but the *trans* diastereomer (24) was at least as active ($K_i = 1 \text{ nM}$, 113% efficacy). Likewise, the C(6)-C(7) *cis*-diethyl derivative (25) was less active than the *trans* isomer (26), but both were less active than 19. Substitution at C(8) was detrimental to hAR activity (27-28) when the C(6) substituent was an ethyl group, but interestingly the *cis*-C(6)-methyl, C(8)-ethyl compound 29 activated gene expression with good potency and efficacy (EC₅₀ = 8 nM, 86%). Moving the methyl group of 29 from C(6) to C(7) afforded 31, which was one of the most potent and efficacious compounds in this series (EC₅₀ = 1 nM, 138%). The free NH was crucial for potent hAR activity, as exemplified by N-methyl 19 (compound 32). This dramatic effect of N-methylation on activity was observed consistently for this series.¹⁹

The cross-reactivity of **5a**, **5b**, **10**, and **17–32** with other steroid receptors was assessed using human PR, glucocorticoid (hGR), estrogen (hER), and mineralocortocoid (hMR), cotransfection assays (Table 2). No agonist activity was observed for any of the test compounds, but antagonist activity was detected, most notably on the progesterone receptor.²⁰ Compounds which displayed the most significant (<400 nM) cross-reactivity are tabulated. The separation between hAR agonist and hPR antagonist activity was generally 100-fold or greater, particularly for the more active androgens (e.g., **19**, **24**, **31**).

#	hPR Eff. (%)	hPR IC ₅₀ (nM)	hGR Eff. (%)	hGR IC ₅₀ (nM)	hER Eff. (%)	hER IC ₅₀ (nM)	hMR Eff. (%)	hMR IC ₅₀ (nM)
5b	79	100	<20		<20		<20	
10	84	570	<20		<20		50	1500
18	83	280	<20		<20		<20	
19	91	200	<20		<20		<20	
20	89	106	<20		<20		<20	
21	68	270	<20		<20		<20	
23	87	130	57	340	33	3100	39	2800
24	98	130	70	2300	<20		60	3000
26	98	65	63	2800	<20		50	1900
31	88	440	<20		<20		<20	

Table 2. hPR, hGR, hER, and hMR Antagonist Activity in Cotransfected CV-1 Cells for Selected Pyrano[3,2-g]quinolin-2-ones.^a

^a See Table 1 for legend.

Conclusion. The in vitro data presented for this series of linear tricyclic 2*H*-pyrano[3,2-g]quinolin-2-ones further demonstrate the potential for the discovery of nonsteroidal androgen receptor agonists with activities comparable to the natural hormone, DHT. These results, along with structurally related nonsteroidal hAR agonists recently described, ^{10a,20,21,22} form a basis for the further development of nonsteroidal androgens to complement the currently available steroidal treatments in the field of androgen replacement therapy.⁹

References and Notes

- Current Address: The R.W. Johnson Pharmaceutical Research Institute, 3535 General Atomics Ct., San Diego, CA 92121, USA.
- 2. Current Address: Idun Pharmaceuticals, 11085 N. Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA.
- 3. Current Address: Axiom Biotechnologies, 3550 General Atomics Court, San Diego, CA 92121, USA.
- 4. Current address: Ontogen Corporation, 2325 Camino Vida Roble, Carlsbad, CA 92009.
- Hamann, L. G.; Higuchi, R. I.; Zhi, L.; Edwards, J. P.; Wang, X.-N.; Marschke, K. B.; Kong, J.; Farmer, L. J.; Jones, T. K. J. Med. Chem., 1998, 41, 623.
- For leading references to the family of closely related intracellular receptors to which the androgen receptor belongs, see: (a) McDonnell, D. P.; Vegeto, E.; Gleeson, M. A. G. *Bio/Technology* 1993, *11*, 1256. (b) Rosen, J.; Day, A.; Jones, T. K.; Jones, E. T. T.; Nadzan, A. M.; Stein, R. B. *J. Med. Chem.* 1995, *38*, 4855.
- (a) Neri, R.; Peets, E.; Watnick, A. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 1979, 7, 565. (b) Neri, R.; Peets, E. A. J. Steroid Biochem. 1975, 6, 815.
- 8. (a) Kaisary, A. V. Prostate Suppl. 1994, 5, 27. (b) Lungimayr, G. Anti-Cancer Drugs 1995, 6, 508.
- (a) Tenover, J. S. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 1992, 75, 1092. (b) Bhasin, S. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 1992, 74, 1221. (c) Bhasin, S.; Bremner, W. J. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 1997, 82, 3.
- (a) Edwards, J. P.; West, S. J.; Pooley, C. L. F.; Marschke, K. B.; Farmer, L. J.; Jones, T. K. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1998, 8, 745. (b) Dalton, J. T.; Mukherjee, A.; Zhu, Z.; Kirkovsky, L.; Miller, D. D. Biochem. Biophysical Res. Commun. 1998, 244, 1.
- 11. Berger, T. S.; Parandoosh, Z.; Perry, B. W.; Stein, R. B. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 1992, 41, 733.
- See: (a) Pooley, C. L. F.; Edwards, J. P.; Goldman, M. E.; Wang, M.-W.; Marschke, K. B.; Crombie, D. L.; Jones, T. K. J. Med. Chem. 1998, 41, 3461. (b) Hamann, L. G.; Winn, D. T.; Pooley, C. L. F.; Tegley, C. M.; West, S. J.; Farmer, L. J.; Zhi, L.; Edwards, J. P.; Marschke, K. B.; Mais, D. E.; Goldman, M. E.; Jones, T. K. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1998, 8, 2731, and references therein.
- 13. Manske, R. H. F.; Kulka, M. Org. React. 1953, 7, 59.
- 14. Imada, Y.; Yuasa, M.; Nakamura, I.; Murahashi, S.-I. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 2282.
- 15. Eason, N. R.; Cassady., D. R. J. Org. Chem. 1962, 27, 4713.
- 16. Sethna, S.; Phadke, R. Org. React. 1953, 7, 1.
- 17. The relative configuration of all diastereomeric compounds was assigned by NOE experiments. Chiral compounds were prepared and tested as racemates.
- 18. A complete description of these assays, including materials and methods, can be found in reference 4.
- 19. Data not shown.
- 20. This is consistent with related subseries; see ref. 4 and 9a.
- 21. Hamann, L. G.; Mani, N. S.; Davis, R. L.; Wang, X.-N.; Marschke, K. B.; Jones, T. K. J. Med. Chem. 1999, 42, 210.
- 22. Zhi, L.; Tegley, C. M.; Marschke, K. B.; Jones, T. K. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1999, 9, 1009.