

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 18 (2008) 3431-3435

Discovery of a novel series of nonsteroidal androgen receptor modulators: 5- or 6-oxachrysen-2-ones

Shuo Zhao,* Yixing Shen, Arjan van Oeveren, Keith B. Marschke and Lin Zhi*

Discovery Research, Ligand Pharmaceuticals Inc., 10275 Science Center Drive, San Diego, CA 92121, USA

Received 4 February 2008; revised 24 March 2008; accepted 31 March 2008 Available online 8 April 2008

Abstract—A novel oxachrysenone series (2) of nonsteroidal selective androgen receptor modulators (SARM) was developed based on the 6-aryl-2-quinolinones (1). Synthesis and preliminary SAR results based on in vitro assays are discussed. In the cotransfection assay, lead compound **5d** showed AR agonist activity more potent than dihydrotestosterone (DHT), whereas compound **17b** was a potent antagonist similar to bicalutamide. © 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Testosterone replacement therapy may be beneficial to the quality of life of men in middle age and beyond, akin to the role of estrogen replacement for symptomatic peri- and postmenopausal women.¹ Although the beneficial effects of testosterone on muscle, bone, and physique have been reported, considerable controversy remains for testosterone supplementation in aging men, especially the issue of potential risks (cardiovascular disease, benign prostatic hyperplasia, prostate canhepatotoxicity, etc.).² The discovery cer. and development of Selective Androgen Receptor Modulators (SARMs) provide the opportunity to find nonsteroidal, orally active small molecules that are tissueselective and elicit the desired anabolic activity with reduced side effects.^{3,4}

Several different nonsteroidal SARM pharmacophores have been reported,⁵ such as aryl-propionamides,⁶ bicyclic hydantoins,⁷ tetrahydro-quinolines,⁸ and indole-containing tetracycles.⁹ As part of our efforts¹⁰ to develop novel SARMs with more desirable pharmacological profiles, here we report a novel series of tetracyclic androgen receptor modulators (structure **2**, Figure 1) that was designed based on an early 6-aryl-2-quinolinone SARM lead (**1**) by locking the rotation of the pendent aryl group.¹¹

A general route for the synthesis of 6-oxa-chrysen-2ones is shown in Scheme 1. Treatment of a known

0960-894X/\$ - see front matter @ 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2008.03.085



Figure 1.

aminobromobenzocoumarin 3^{12} with but-3-enoyl chloride provides amide 4. Intramolecular palladium catalyzed cyclization provides 6-oxa-chrysen-2-ones 5. Reduction of compound 5 with diisobutylaluminum hydride provides hemiacetal 6, which can be further reduced with triethylsilane and boron trifluoride etherate to provide analogs of structure 7. Alternatively, hemiacetal 6 is converted to acetal 8, by treatment with acid (such as *p*-TSA) in methanol. Racemate mixture of analogues 9 can be prepared by treating acetal 8 with a nucleophile in the presence of boron trifluoride etherate.

Regioisomeric 5-oxa-chrysen-2-ones **15** and **17** were synthesized as described in Scheme 2. Selective methylation of known intermediate 10^{13} with methyl iodide and sodium bicarbonate affords compound **11**, which undergoes selective O-alkylation to afford compound **12**. Suzuki coupling of **12** and boronic acids¹⁴ **13** affords intermediates of structure **14**. Cyclization of structure **14** in concd HBr and AcOH affords lactone **15**. Reduction of lactone **15** by diisobutylaluminum hydride affords acetal **16** that was converted to analogues **17** by

Keywords: Androgen receptor; SARM; AR agonist; AR antagonist; Oxachrysen-2-ones.

^{*} Corresponding authors. E-mail: szhao2005@gmail.com



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) CH_2 =CHCH₂COCl, DMAP, DMF, reflux, 50–70%; (b) Pd(OAc)₂, (*o*-tolyl)₃P, Et₃N, DMF, 90 °C, 30–60%; (c) DIBAL-H, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 40–85%; (d) Et₃SiH, BF₃·OEt₂, CH₂Cl₂, -78 to 0 °C, 40–80%; (e) *p*-TSA, MeOH, rt, >95%; (f) trimethylsilanes, BF₃·OEt₂, CH₂Cl₂, -78 to 0 °C, 50–90%.



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) CH₃I, NaHCO₃, DMF, rt, 85%; (b) 2-iodopropane, CsF, DMF, rt, 89%; (c) Pd(PPh₃)₄, 2 M Na₂CO₃, 1,4-dioxane, 110 °C, 40–60%; (d) 48% HBr/HOAc, reflux, 50–70%; (e) DIBAL-H, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 70–85%; (f) Et₃SiH, BF₃·OEt₂, CH₂Cl₂, -78 to 0 °C, 30–65%.

treatment of acetal **16** with triethylsilane in the presence of boron trifluoride etherate.

We used the cotransfection assay^{9,15} data to guide SAR studies and to characterize analogs, and binding assay⁹

data as references. The IL-6 promoter assay in bone cells¹⁶ was used as secondary assay to indicate potential anabolic bone activity for agonists and potential bone-sparing antagonists. The human androgen receptor (hAR) competitive binding affinities of the analogs, their

Table 1. hAR agonist and antagonist activity in the cotransfection assay in CV-1 cells, binding affinities to hAR, and repression activity in IL-6 assay^a



Compound	\mathbb{R}^2	R ³	\mathbb{R}^4	hAR ^b EC ₅₀ in nM (Eff.)	hAR ^c IC ₅₀ in nM (Eff.)	hAR binding K _i (nM)	Saos-2 IL-6 repression ^d IC ₅₀ in nM (Eff.)
5a	F	Н		240 ± 99 (22 ± 5%)	27 ± 2 (57 ± 11%)	1000	$101 \pm 0 (50 \pm 1\%)$
5b	OCH ₃	Н			48 ± 14 (62 ± 17%)	610	$0.8 \pm 0.0 (55 \pm 10\%)$
5c	Н	OCH ₃		11 ± 8 (72 ± 19%)		28 ± 1.0	21 ± 28 (97 ± 18%)
5d	Н	$O_2CC(CH_3)_3$		$1.1 \pm 0.1 \ (132 \pm 8\%)$	_	8.7	$1.9 \pm 0.2 \ (104 \pm 4\%)$
5e	OH	OCH ₃		21 ± 6 (117 ± 11%)		4.0 ± 1.5	$0.2 \pm 0.0 \ (110 \pm 3\%)$
5f	$O_2CC(CH_3)_3$	OCH ₃		43 ± 14 (97 ± 13%)	_	10 ± 4	$0.2 \pm 0.1 \ (112 \pm 4\%)$
5g	OCH ₂ CH ₃	OCH ₃		18 ± 0.7 (33 ± 13%)	_	62	$10 \pm 9.4 \ (84 \pm 2\%)$
5h	$OCH(CH_3)_2$	OCH ₃		$23 \pm 8 (21 \pm 6\%)$	20 ± 3 (29 ± 9%)	21	$2.8 \pm 1.2 \ (76 \pm 1\%)$
9a	Н	OCH ₃	Н	50 ± 14 (70 ± 13%)		59	$12 \pm 9 \ (70 \pm 5\%)$
9b	Н	CH ₂ OH	Н	12 ± 2.0 (156 ± 22%)	_	26	0.3 (99%)
9c	OH	OCH ₃	Н	$306 \pm 102 \ (118 \pm 0.2\%)$	$19 \pm 0 (47 \pm 24\%)$	5	$1.2 \pm 0.5 \ (96 \pm 18\%)$
9d	Н	OCH ₃	OH	208 ± 78 (93 ± 8%)	_	1300	$50 \pm 0 \ (72 \pm 17\%)$
9e	Н	OCH ₃	OCH ₃	184 ± 23 (27 ± 1%)	$19 \pm 0 (49 \pm 3\%)$	2346	$112 \pm 0 \ (54 \pm 5\%)$
9f	Н	OCH ₃	CH ₃		$62 \pm 30 \ (63 \pm 10)$	250	No data
9g	Н	OCH ₃	Allyl		144 ± 77 (63 ± 6%)	1593	No data
18a	Н	OCH ₃		$20 \pm 3 (67 \pm 10\%)$		11 ± 5	$14 \pm 3 (97 \pm 3\%)$
18b	Н	OH		$16 \pm 12 (138 \pm 6\%)$	_	1.3	$1.1 \pm 0.2 \ (97 \pm 6\%)$
15a	Н	OCH ₃		$90 \pm 0 (33 \pm 9\%)$	_	50	89 ± 17 (76 ± 3%)
15b	Н	OH		97 ± 52 (65 ± 55%)	_	3	$22 \pm 3 (93 \pm 6\%)$
17a	Н	Н		60 ± 2 (34 ± 8%)		8 ± 4	40 (91%)
17b	Н	OCH ₃			78 ± 57 (68 ± 9%)	27	477 ± 0 (42 ± 12%)
DHT				5.1 ± 0.1 (100%)		0.20 ± 0.02	0.05 (100%)
Bicalutamide					162 ± 99 (85 ± 9%)	151 ± 36	232 ± 84 (28 ± 8%)

^a Values with standard errors represent the mean value of at least 2 separate experiments with triplicate determinations. A dash indicates an efficacy of <20% and/or a potency of >10,000 nM. ^b Agonist efficacies were determined relative to DHT (100%). ^c Antagonist efficacies (%) were determined as a function of maximal inhibition of DHT at the EC₅₀ concentration. ^d Due to the repression format of the assay, IC₅₀ may not be accurate for activity comparison if efficacy is below 60%.

hAR functional activity in CV-1 cells, and IL-6 repression assay results are summarized in Table 1. DHT and bicalutamide were used as references.

SAR studies of the series were initiated from the lactone compounds of structure 5. Early compounds 5a and b showed interesting partial antagonistic activities regardless of their distinct property of substitution groups at the 9-position and weak AR binding as seen in many antagonists. These results are consistent with the parent analogs of structure 1. When the methoxy group at 9position (5b) was moved to 10-position (5c), the functional activity in the hAR cotransfection assay totally shifted from a partial antagonist to agonist. In the IL-6 assay, the substitution position change increased efficacy of compound 5c to 97% of DHT from 55% for compound **5b**. Compound **5d** with an ester functionality at 10-position represented an example of a potent hAR full agonist with good binding affinity. The bis-substitution at 9- and 10-positions was briefly studied and compound 5e with 9-hydroxyl-10-methoxy gave the best full agonist activity (1.1 nM EC₅₀ and 132% efficacy). Compound 5f with a bulky ester group at 9-position also showed excellent agonist activity similar to that of 5e, which may be the result of partial hydrolysis of the ester in the assays since compounds 5g and h, which have similar bulky 9-substituents, had only partial activity.

To assess necessity of the lactone moiety, the carbonyl group of two compounds at 5-position was fully reduced to give cyclic ether compounds 9a and c. Removal of the carbonyl group reduced the hAR EC_{50} of **5c** (11 nM) by 5-fold (9a, $EC_{50} = 50 \text{ nM}$) and of 5e (21 nM) by 30-fold (9c, $EC_{50} = 306 \text{ nM}$), although the binding affinity of 9c and 5e is similar. Interestingly, replacement of the 10methoxy group of 9a with 10-methylalcohol (9b) generated a potent full agonist. The lactone analog of 9b is not prepared due to synthetic challenges so that generality of the substitution enhancement is difficult to say. Another intriguing profile is the mixed agonist/antagonist compound 9c, which had both moderate agonist activity (EC₅₀ = 306 nM with 118% efficacy) and potent partial antagonist activity (IC₅₀ = 19 nM with 49% efficacy) in the cotransfection assay. Additionally, it had excellent hAR binding affinity and DHT-like activity in the bone cell assay.

Partially reducing the lactone to hemiacetal significantly decreases the agonist potency (comparing 9d and 5c). Introduction of a small group at 5-position generated several partial antagonists, 9e–g. These analogs were tested as racemate mixtures. The 4-trifluoromethyl analogs¹⁷ 18a and b were prepared to enhance activity or pharmacokinetic property based on results of other 2-quinolinone series¹⁰ in our laboratory. Analog 18a showed improved agonist activity over its 4-methyl analog, 9a. Compound 18b is a much better agonist than compound 18a in all three assays tested (Table 1), which indicates that 10-hydroxyl is an optimal substituent relative to 10-methoxy in the series.

The reversed lactone analog **15a** showed much less hAR agonist activity in comparison to parent compound **5c**

despite potential enhancement by the 4-trifluoromethyl group. The 10-hydroxyl analog **15b** also demonstrated significant improvement to the 10-methoxy compound **15a** in all three assays tested. Finally, the 5-oxa analog **17a** had interesting partial agonist activity, although it has no substitution at the 10-position. Compound **17b** had decent antagonist activity in comparison with bicalutamide in the assays, which is quite distinct from the 6-oxa analog **18a**.

Cross-reactivity of the new compounds with other steroid hormone receptors was assessed using human PR (hPR) and glucocorticoid receptor (hGR) cotransfection assays. The results (not shown) indicate that the compounds are highly selective towards hAR. Only compound **5d** showed appreciable hPR antagonist activity (IC₅₀ = 269 nM and 67% efficacy).

In summary, the oxachrysenone hAR modulator pharmacophore was successfully established, which provides additional SAR opportunities to develop more selective SARMs to meet the unmet patient needs. Several full agonist compounds (5d, 5e, 9b, and 18b) were generated with potential anabolic bone activity and a couple of partial antagonists (9c and 17b) were also generated with potential advantage over bicalutamide. The pharmacokinetic property and the in vivo tissue selectivity profiles of the compounds remain to be characterized.

Acknowledgment

We thank the Department of New Leads for performing the assays.

References and notes

- (a) Allan, C. A.; McLachlan, R. I. *Clin. Endocrinol.* 2004, 60, 653; (b) Hlatky, M. A.; Boothroyd, D.; Vittinghoff, E.; Sharp, P.; Whooley, M. A. *JAMA* 2002, 287, 591.
- 2. Rhoden, E. L.; Morgentaler, A. N. Engl. J. Med. 2004, 350, 482.
- 3. Negro-Vilar, A. J. Clin. Endocr. Metab. 1999, 84, 3459.
- Zhi, L.; Martinborough, E. In *Annual Reports in Medicinal Chemistry*; Doherty, A. M., Ed.; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, 2001; Vol. 36, pp 169–180.
- (a) Gao, W.; Bohl, C. E.; Dalton, J. T. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 3352; (b) Chen, J.; Kim, J.; Dalton, J. T. Mol. Interv. 2005, 5, 173.
- Dalton, J. T.; Mukherjee, A.; Zhu, Z.; Kirkovsky, L.; Miller, D. D. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1998, 244, 1.
- (a) Sun, C.; Robl, Jeffrey A.; Wang, T. C.; Huang, Y.; Kuhns, J. E.; Lupisella, J. A.; Beehler, B. C.; Golla; Sleph, P. G.; Seethala, R.; Fura, A.; Krystek, S. R., Jr; An, Y.; Malley, M. F.; Sack, J. S.; Salvati, M. E.; Grover, G. J.; Ostrowski, J.; Hamann, L. G. J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49, 7596; (b) Balog, A.; Salvati, M. E.; Shan, W.; Mathur, A.; Leith, L. W.; Wei, D.; Attar, R. M.; Geng, J.; Rizzo, C. A.; Wang, C.; Krystek, S. R.; Tokarski, J. S.; Hunt, J. T.; Gottardis, M.; Weinmann, R. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2004, 14, 6107.
- Miyakawa, M.; Oguro, N.; Hanada, K.; Furuya, K.; Yamamoto, N. PCT Intl. Appl. WO 2004013104, 2004; *Chem. Abstr.* 2004, 140, 181335.

- Zhang, X.; Li, X.; Allan, G. F.; Musto, A.; Lundeen, S. G.; Sui, Z. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2006, 16, 3233.
- 10. (a) Martinborough, E.; Shen, Y.; van Oeveren, A.; Long, Y. O.; Lau, T. L. S.; Marschke, K. B.; Chang, W. Y.; Lopez, F. J.; Vajda, E. G.; Rix, P. J.; Viveros, O. H.; Negro-Vilar, A.; Zhi, L. J. Med. Chem. 2007. 50. 5049: (b) van Oeveren, A.; Pio, B. A.; Tegley, C. M.; Higuchi, R. I.; Wu, M.; Jones, T. K.; Marschke, K. B.; Negro-Vilar, A.; Zhi, L. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2007, 17, 1523; (c) van Oeveren, A.; Motamedi, M.; Martinborough, E.; Zhao, S.; Shen, Y.; West, S.; Chang, W.; Kallel, A.; Marschke, K. B.; López, F. J.; Negro-Vilar, A.; Zhi, L. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2007, 17, 1527; (d) van Oeveren, A.; Motamedi, M.; Mani, N. S.; Marschke, K. B.; Lopez, F. J.; Schrader, W. T.; Negro-Vilar, A.; Zhi, L. J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49, 6143; (e) Kong, J. W.; Hamann, L. G.; Ruppar, D. A.; Edwards, J. P.; Marschke, K. B.; Jones, T. K. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2000, 10, 411; (f) Hamann, L. G.; Mani, N. S.; Davis, R. L.; Wang, X.-N.; Marschke, K. B.; Jones, T. K. J. Med. Chem. 1999, 42, 210; (g) Higuchi, R. I.; Edwards, J. P.; Caferro, T. R.; Ringgenberg, J. D.; Kong, J. W.; Hamann, L. G.; Arienti, K. L.; Marschke, K. B.; Davis, R. L.; Farmer, L. J.; Jones, T. K. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1999, 9, 1335; (h) Edwards, J. P.; Higuchi, R. I.; Winn, D. T.; Pooley, C. L. F.; Caferro, T. R.; Hamann, L. G.; Zhi, L.; Marschke, K. B.; Goldman, M. E.; Jones,

T. K. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. **1999**, *9*, 1003; (i) Zhi, L.; Tegley, C. M.; Marschke, K. B.; Jones, T. K. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. **1999**, *9*, 1009; (j) Hamann, L. G.; Higuchi, R. I.; Zhi, L.; Edwards, J. P.; Wang, X.-N.; Marschke, K. B.; Kong, J. W.; Farmer, L. J.; Jones, T. K. J. Med. Chem. **1998**, *41*, 623; (k) Edwards, J. P.; West, S. J.; Pooley, C. L. F.; Marschke, K. B.; Farmer, L. J.; Jones, T. K. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. **1998**, *8*, 745.

- 11. Zhi, L.; Pio, B.; Farmer, L. US 6964973, 2005.
- (a) Zhi, L.; Jones, T. K.; Marschke, K. B.; Tegley, C. M.; Fensome, A.; Zhang, P. PCT Intl. Appl. WO 2000066590, 2000; (b). *Chem. Abstr.* 2000, 133, 335238.
- Higuchi, R. I.; Zhi, L.; Karanewsky, D. S.; Thompson, A. W.; Caferro, T. R.; Mani, N. S.; Chen, J. -H.; Cummings, M. L.; Edwards, J. P.; Adams, M. E.; Deckhut, C. L. F. PCT Int. Appl. WO 2002068427, 2002.
- 14. Fu, J.-M.; Snieckus, V. Can. J. Chem. 2000, 78, 905.
- (a) Hollenberg, S. M.; Evans, R. M. Cell 1988, 55, 899; (b) Simental, J. A.; Sar, M.; Lane, M. V.; French, F. S.; Wilson, E. M. J. Biol. Chem. 1991, 266, 510.
- Miner, J.; Chang, W.; Chapman, M. S.; Finn, P. D.; Hong, M. H.; López, F. J.; Rosen, J.; Schrader, W.; Tuner, R.; van Oeveren, A.; Viveros, H.; Zhi, L.; Negro-Vilar, A. *Endocrinology* **2007**, *148*, 363.
- For the synthesis of 4-trifluoromethyl analogs: van Oeveren, C. A.; Shen, Y.; Zhao, S.; Zhi, L. PCT Intl. Appl. WO 2007075884, 2007.