View Article Online View Journal

# ChemComm

# Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: C. J. Thompson, Q. Zhang, N. Al-Maharik, M. Buehl, D. B. Cordes, A. Slawin and D. O'Hagan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, DOI: 10.1039/C8CC04964E.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the **author guidelines**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the ethical guidelines, outlined in our <u>author and reviewer resource centre</u>, still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/chemcomm



### Journal Name

## COMMUNICATION

# Fluorinated cyclopropanes: Synthesis and chemistry of the aryl $\alpha$ , $\beta$ , $\beta$ -trifluorocyclopropane motif

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx Connor J. Thomson,<sup>a</sup> Qingzhi Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Nawaf Al-Maharik,<sup>a,b</sup> Michael Buehl,<sup>a</sup> David B. Cordes,<sup>a</sup> Alexandra M. Z. Slawin<sup>a</sup> and David O'Hagan<sup>a</sup>\*

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

#### www.rsc.org/

Abstract: A general route to aryl  $\alpha,\beta,\beta$ -trifluorocyclopropanes is reported and aryl oxidation gave the corresponding  $\alpha,\beta,\beta$ trifluorocyclopropane carboxylic acid. Reactions of the corresponding amides with phenol/thiophenol resulted in HF elimination and then conjugate addition. The partially fluorinated cyclopropane has a similar lipophilicity to  $-CF_3$  despite three carbon atoms, and it emerges as a novel motif for drug discovery.

Around 20% of pharmaceuticals and 35% of agrochemicals contain fluorine largely from modifications for improving the pharmacokinetics of bioactive leads.<sup>1,2</sup> There are consequences in changing to such an electronegative atom, the most obvious of which is the introduction of polarity.<sup>3</sup> In the context of medicinal chemistry it is becoming recognised that polarity increases with selective fluorination (eg  $-CH_2F$ , -OCH<sub>2</sub>F) on alkane substituents, and this is an attractive feature for lowering Log P, whereas higher levels of fluorination (eg -CF<sub>3</sub>, -OCF<sub>3</sub>) lead to increased lipophilicity. These observations have stimulated our interest in partially fluorinated motifs as potential starting points as library components for drug discovery.<sup>4</sup> We have introduced all cis-2,3,5,6tetrafluorocyclohexane in this regard.<sup>5</sup> Also the ArSCF<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> and ArOCF<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> substituents are mixed fluorinated motifs, which are significantly less lipophilic than the corresponding RSCF<sub>3</sub> and OCF<sub>3</sub> groups respectively.<sup>6</sup> In this paper we describe a practical synthesis of 1,2,2-trifluorocyclopropanes as a partially fluorinated cyclopropane motif. Only two previous reports describe this ring system (Scheme 1). In an isolated example cyclopropane 2 was prepared by difluorocarbene addition from phenyl(trifluoromethyl)mercury to generate vinyl fluoride 1, however in general mercuric reagents are not attractive due to their toxicity. The only other reported synthesis<sup>8</sup> involved difluorocarbene addition to silylenol ether

<sup>a.</sup> EaStCHEM School of Chemistry, University of St. Andrews, St. Andrews, Fife, KY16 9ST.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

**3**. This gave the expected difluorocyclopropane **4** as the major product, however trifluorocyclopropane **6** emerged as a side product, which presumably arose by adventitious difluorocarbene addition to an *in-situ* formed vinylfluoride **5**. These are the only examples we are aware of for the preparation of this ring system and therefore the published routes and range of examples are extremely limited.



Scheme 1. Previous syntheses of  $\alpha,\beta,\beta$  -trifluorocyclopropanes.

In this paper we explore the addition of difluorocarbene generated from the Ruppert-Prakash reagent<sup>9</sup> to vinyl fluorides and find it a straightforward method for the synthesis of  $\alpha,\beta,\beta$ -trifluorocyclopropanes. We also look at aryl oxidation of these products to the corresponding  $\alpha,\beta,\beta$ -trifluorocyclopropane carboxylic acid and then explore the reactivity of the corresponding amides with thiols and phenols.

The preparation of aryl  $\alpha,\beta,\beta$ -trifluorocyclopropanes **11** required access to  $\alpha$ -fluorostyrenes **10** as substrates. These could be prepared from styrenes **8** followed by bromofluorination<sup>10</sup> to generate intermediates **9**, and then hydrogen bromide elimination<sup>11</sup> as illustrated in Scheme 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b.</sup> Alistiqlal University, Jericho-Palestine.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

COMMUNICATION



Scheme 2. i. $[CH_3PPh_3]Br$ , nBuLi, THF, 70°C, 4 h, 32-58%; ii. NBS, Et<sub>3</sub>N.3HF or Pyr.HF, DCM, 0°C to rt, 4 h, 39-80%; iii. KO<sup>t</sup>Bu, THF, 0°C to rt, 59-82%; iv. TMSCF<sub>3</sub>, NaI, THF, 55°C, 20 h, 53-93%.

Bromofluorination generated a single regioisomer of products **9** for all of the substrates explored except p-nitrostyrene (**8f**). In this case the more acidic Pyr.HF (70%) rather than  $Et_3N.3HF$  was required for reaction and it gave rise to the mixture of regio-isomers **9f** and **9f'** (2.8:1.0 ratio) as illustrated in Scheme 3.



Scheme 3. Bromofluorination of p-nitrostyrene. i) NBS, HF:Pyr (70%), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0<sup>°</sup>C to 25<sup>°</sup>C, 4 h, 39%.

A two-step telescoped approach to  $\alpha$ -fluorostyrene **10a** from styrene **8a** was explored and this led to a more efficient process (76% vs. 61%). In this case an increased number of equivalents of KO<sup>t</sup>Bu (8.0 vs 1.5 eq) was required to drive the dehydrobromination reaction to completion.  $\alpha$ -Fluorostyrene **10e** was also obtained in this one-pot-two-step manner in the yield of 82%. The resultant  $\alpha$ -fluorostyrenes were then treated with TMSCF<sub>3</sub>/Nal<sup>9</sup> to affect their conversions to cyclopropanes **5** in modest to good isolated yields as illustrated in Figure 1. This would appear to offer a straight forward route to this rare motif.



Figure 1. Aryl-1,2,2-trifluorocyclopropane products 11. Yields represent the cyclopropanation reaction from the corresponding  $\alpha$ -fluorostyrene 10.

The aryl cyclopropanes 11 were explored in a range of aromatic functionalisation reactions to assess the compatibility of the partially fluorinated ring with mainstream reaction conditions. For example nitration of **11a** proceeded cleanly to give a mixture of the o-, m- and p- nitroaromatic products (ratio 1.2 : 1.0 : 2.1) 11f, 11f' and 11f" as illustrated in Scheme 4. The meta product 11f' was most readily isolated by chromatography, while the ortho and para isomers **11f** and **11f**" were recovered as a mixture. Sonogashira<sup>12</sup> and Buchwald-Hartwig<sup>13</sup> Pd-mediate cross coupling reactions were carried out on the para-Br arylcyclopropane 11b (Scheme 4). A Sonogashira coupling of 11b to 1-ethynyl-4-propylbenzene (12), with Cul and Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, furnished 4,4'-substituted diphenylacetylene 13 in good (76%) yield and amination of 11b



Scheme 4. Nitration of 11a affords a mixture of isomers. i)  $NH_4NO_3$ , TFA, MeCN, 75 C, 4 h, 48%.



Scheme 5. Palladium catalysed cross-coupling reactions of 11b. i) 12,  $PdCl_2PPh_3$ , Cul, PPh<sub>3</sub>, Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMF, 80°C, 20h, 76%; ii) morpholine,  $Pd_2(dba)_3$ , BINAP,  $Cs_2CO_3$ , toluene, 80°C, 36 h, 93%.

Methyl ether cyclopropane **11e** was treated with boron tribromide<sup>14</sup> in an effort to prepare the corresponding phenol however this generated phenolcyclopropanol **17** in excellent yield (90%). This product appears to have arisen from a hydrolytic reaction of intermediate **16** triggered by the electronic nature of the phenol located *para* to the benzylic fluorine of the cyclopropane ring and the expulsion of hydrogen fluoride from intermediate **15**, as illustrated in Scheme 6. This may emerge as an attractive feature if incorporated into a drug scaffold as it offers the potential to release a reactive intermediate during metabolism. Such approaches have been used for the development of electrophilic cytotoxic pro-drugs which react with DNA.<sup>15</sup>



Scheme 6. Rational for the conversion of 11e to 17. i)  $\mathsf{BBr}_3,$  DCM, rt, 1h, 90°C, 90%.

One of the most informative predictors of druggability is logP.<sup>16</sup> We chose to measure Log Ps of comparative phenyl derivatives by reverse phase HPLC in acetonitrile/water.<sup>17</sup> Trifluorocyclopropane **11a** is more polar than cyclopropylbenzene, consistent with partial fluorination which polarises the cyclopropane hydrogens (Figure 2). Notably, the trifluorocyclopropane **11a** has the same log P as trifluoromethylbenzene (logP = 3.2) suggesting that it may have use as a larger aliphatic substituent than trifluoromethyl, containing two more carbons, but without any increase in lipophilicity.



Published on 03 July 2018. Downloaded on 7/4/2018 3:02:44 AM

#### Journal Name

Figure 2. Comparison of experimentally derived Log P values of 11a relative to some aryl derivatives and molecular dipole values and an ESP map of 11a.

Conformational analysis<sup>18</sup> of aryl  $\alpha,\beta,\beta$ -trifluorocyclopropane **11a** has revealed that the lowest energy conformer orients the C-F bond perpendicular to the aryl ring (Figure S3). An electrostatic surface potential map<sup>19</sup> of this conformer is shown in Figure 2 and Figure S4, illustrating the polar nature of the ring. Calculated molecular dipole moments demonstrated a significant polarity for the partially fluorinated cyclopropane, with the lower energy conformer (C-F orthogonal) of **11a** being the more polar (3.01 D versus 2.74 D).

Having developed a synthesis of the aryl- $\alpha$ , $\beta$ , $\beta$ -trifluorocyclopropanes we then explored aryl oxidation. Treatment of **11a** with RuCl<sub>3</sub>/NalO<sub>4</sub> under the phase-transfer conditions first described by Sharpless,<sup>20</sup> resulted in an efficient oxidation to generate the corresponding  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ , $\beta$ -trifluorocyclopropane carboxylic acid **18** (Scheme 7).



Scheme 7. i) RuCl<sub>3</sub> (cat), NalO<sub>4</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O/CCl<sub>4</sub> , 90°C, 3 days, 60%; ii) PhNH<sub>2</sub> or PhCH<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, HOBt, EDCl, Et<sub>3</sub>N, rt, overnight, 86% and 82%. X-Ray structures of **18**, **19a** and **19b** are inset.

This carboxylic acid could be converted to amides with amines under standard conditions, a reaction which was exemplified using aniline and benzylamine as illustrated in Scheme 7. The structures of carboxylic acid 18 and amides 19a and 19b were confirmed by Xray structure analysis (Scheme 7). The  $\alpha$ -fluorine of the cyclopropyl ring and the carbonyl oxygens point in opposite directions (F-C-C=O torsions angles of 161.0° and 167.2° for **19a** and **19b** respectively), a conformation consistent with the established preference of  $\alpha$ fluoroamides.<sup>21</sup> This was further confirmed by a DFT theory study exploring the conformation of a truncated N-methylamide 20 model. The resultant rotational energy profile, rotating around the (F)C-C(O)N bond, is shown in Figure 3, and gas phase, and a dielectric continuum to simulate a polar solvent  $(H_2O)$  are compared. There is a significant energy minimum (~5.0 kcal mol  $L_{(gas)}$  or ~3.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup><sub>(H2O)</sub>) in each case for the conformation with the C-F and C=O bonds oriented anti – parallel to each other. Together, with the X-Ray structures of 13a and 13b this suggests a preferred conformation for this cyclopropyl amide motif.

The reactivity of amide **19a** was explored with nucleophiles in view of the inherently polar nature of the  $\alpha,\beta,\beta$ -trifluorocyclopropyl ring, particularly in conjugation with the amide. Treatment of **19a** with 4bromophenol in acetonitrile and K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> at 60°C generated a complex mixture from which phenol ether **22** was isolated and crystallised for X-ray structure determination (Scheme 8 and Figure 4). The product can be rationalised by progressing through a base induced dehydrofluorination, and then attack of *in situ* phenoxide to generate putative cyclopropene intermediate **21** shown in Scheme 8. A similar reaction with  $\beta$ -naphthiol and sodium hydride in THF was more efficient, and generated thioether **23a** in excellent yield. Reaction of 4-bromothiophenol with the amide under the same conditions led to **23b** a product that was readily crystallised. The



**Figure 3.** DFT (B3LYP/6-311+G\*\* level), rotational energy profile of N-methyl amide model **20**. Full circles: gas phase, open circles: in a polarizable continuum (CPCM). Conformation **20A** is lowest in energy when the C-F and C=O bonds oriented anti – parallel to each other.

structure is shown in Figure 4. These adducts demonstrate a particular reactivity of the  $\alpha,\beta,\beta$ -trifluorocyclopropyl amide motif which has potential in the design of mechanism based (suicide) enzyme inhibitors.



Scheme 8. Reactions of amide **19a** with phenol and thiols. i) 4-bromophenol,  $K_2CO_3$ ,  $CH_3CN$ ,  $60^{\circ}C$ , overnight 18 h, 32%; ii) 2-naphthalenethiol or 4-bromothiophenol, NaH, THF, 0°C to rt, 16 h 72 and 65%; iii) MeSK,  $CH_3CN$ , rt, 16 h, 71%; iv) air,  $CH_3CN$ ,  $60^{\circ}C$ , partial oxidation; v) mCPBA, DCM, 0°C to rt, 4h, 81%.

Several experiments were performed to try to observe cyclopropene intermediate **21** by <sup>19</sup>F-NMR, however these were unsuccessful. Treating amide **19a** with sodium hydride at 0°C to rt in THF or with K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> at 50-60°C in acetonitrile led to a disappearance of amide **19a** (*in situ* VT-<sup>19</sup>F-NMR) along with the formation of a gummy residue suggesting polymerisation.



Figure 4. X-ray derived structures of 22 and 23b

#### COMMUNICATION

Amide was also reacted with KSMe in acetonitrile, as both a base and a nucleophile. The resultant adduct proved labile to oxidation and generated sulfoxide 24. Complete oxidation of this adduct with mCPBA afforded the sulfonyl derivative 25. In the course of <sup>1</sup>H-NMR analysis of sulfone 25 in MeOD as the solvent it was clear that there was a gradual exchange of two C-H protons on the ring. The proton alpha to the amide group ( $\delta$ H 4.2 ppm) exchanged more rapidly (hours) than that alpha (C-3) of the sulfonyl (days) (Figure S1). The introduction of deuterium was also evident in the <sup>19</sup>F{<sup>1</sup>H}-NMR spectrum where fluorine signals experience isotope induced  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -shifts of between 0.12-0.22 ppm (Figure S2). This isotope exchange could be completely reversed in MeOH.

This study has established a general route to the  $\alpha, \beta, \beta$ trifluorocyclopropane motif. Aryl oxidation of the trifluorocyclopropane derivative generated carboxylic acid 18 which could be converted to amides. The amides had a clear conformational preference and underwent an elimination addition reaction with phenols and thiophenols, which suggests a potential role in mechanism based inhibition of enzymes.

#### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the EPSRC for supporting this work. The authors acknowledge the EPSRC National Mass Spectrometry Facility (Swansea) and D.O'H. thanks the Royal Society for a Wolfson Research Merit Award.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts to declare.

#### Notes and references

- 1 (a) N. A. Meanwell, J. Medicinal Chem., 2018, 61, 4228-4248; (b) P. Jeschke, Pest. Manag. Sci., 2017, 73, 1053 - 1066; (c) Y. Zhou, J. Wang, Z. Gu, S. Wang, W. Zhu, J. L. Aceña, V. A. Soloshonok, K. Izawa, H. Liu., Chem. Rev., 2016, 116, 422-518; (d) J. Wang, J. L. Sanchez-Rosello, J. L. Acena, C. del Pozo, A. E. Sorochinsky, S. Fustero, V. A. Soloshonok and H. Liu, Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 2432-2506.
- (a) T. Fujiwara, D. O'Hagan, J. Fluorine Chem., 2014, 167, 16-2. 29; (b) D. O'Hagan, J. Fluorine Chem., 2010, 131, 1071-108.
- 3. (a) Q. A. Huchet, N. Trapp, B. Kuhn, B. Wagner, H. Fischer, N. A. Kratochwil, E. M. Carreira, K. Müller, J. Fluorine. Chem., 2017, 198, 34 - 46; (b) R. Vorberg, N. Trapp, D. Zimmerli, B. Wagner, H. Fischer, N. A. Kratochwil, M. Kansy, E. M. Carreira, K. M Müller, ChemMedChem, 2016, 11, 2216 -2239
- 4. (a) A. Rodil, S. Bosisio, M. S. Ayoup, L. Quinn, D. B. Cordes, A. M. Z. Slawin, C. D. Murphy, J. Michel, D. O'Hagan, Chem. Sci., 2018, 9, 3023 - 3028; (b) T. Bykova, N. Al-Maharik, A. M. Z. Slawin, M. Bühl, T. Lebl, D. O' Hagan, Chem. Eur. J., 2018, in press.
- 5. (a) M. S. Ayoup, D. B. Cordes, A. M. Z. Slawin, D. O'Hagan, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2015, 13, 5621 - 5624; b) A. J. Durie, T. Fujiwara, N. Al-Maharik, A. M. Z. Slawin, D. O'Hagan, J. Org. Chem., 2014, 79, 8228 - 8233; A. J. Durie, A. M. Z. Slawin, T. Lebl, P. Kirsch, D. O'Hagan, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 9643 – 9645; c) A. J. Durie, T. Fujiwara, R. Cormanich, M. Bühl, A.

M. Z. Slawin, D. O'Hagan, Chem. Eur. J., 2014, 20, 6259 -6263.

- 6. R.Tomita, N. Al-Maharik, A. Rodil, M. Bühl, D. O'Hagan, Org. Biol. Chem., 2018, 16, 1113-1117.
- D. Billen, N. Chubb, D. Gethin, K. Hall, L. Roberts and N. Walshe, US Pat., 148 649, 2005.
- 8. K. Oshiro, Y. Morimoto and H. Amii, Synthesis, 2010, 42, 2080-2084
- F. Wang, T. Luo, J. Hu, Y. Wang, H. S. Krishnan, P. V. Jog, S. K. Ganesh, G. K. S. Prakash, G. A. Olah, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 7153 -7157.
- 10. (a) T. Ernet, G. Haufe, Synthesis, 1997, 953-956; (b) G. Haufe, G. Alvernhe, A. Laurent, Tetrahedron Lett., 1986, 27, 4449 -4452; G. Haufe, G. Alvernhe, A. Laurent, T. Ernet, O. Goj, S. Kröger, A. Sattler, Org. Syn., 1999, 76, 159-168.
- 11. (a) G. Haufe, T. C. Rosen, O. G. J. Fröhlich, K. Rissanen, J. Fluorine. Chem., 2002, 114, 189 - 198; (b) L. Eckes, M. Hanack, Synthesis, 1978, 217 - 219; (c) H. Suga, T. Hamatani, Y. Guggisberg, M. Schlosser, Tetrahedron, 1990, 46, 4255 -4260.
- 12. K. Sonogashira, Y. Tohda, N. Hagihara, Tetrahedron Lett., 1975, **16**, 4467–4470.
- 13. S. Shekhar, P. Ryberg, J. F. Hartwig, J. S. Mathew, D. G. Blackmond, E. R. Strieter, S. L. Buchwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, **128**, 3584 - 3591.
- 14. J. F. W. McOmie, M. L. Watts, D. E. West, Tetrahedron, 1968, 24, 2289 - 2292.
- 15. (a) D. Gillingham, S. Geigle, O. A. von Lilienfeld, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2016, 45, 2637-2655; (b) D. L Boger, D. S. Johnson, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 1995, 92, 3642 - 3649.
- 16. a) C. A. Lipinski, Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev. 2016, 101, 34 41. (b) C. A. Lipinski, F. Lombardo, B. W. Dominy, P. J. Feeney, Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 1997, 23, 3 - 25.
- 17. a) R. Tomita, N. Al-Maharik, A. Rodil, M. Bühl, D. O'Hagan, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2018, 16, 1113-1117. b) C. Giaginis and A. Tsantili-Kakoulidou, J Liq Chromatogr Relat Technol., 2008, 31, 79 - 96; c) C. My Du, K. Valko, C. Bevan, D. Reynolds and M. H. Abraham, Anal. Chem., 1998, 70, 4228 – 4234.
- 18. M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. Montgomery, J. A. J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, N. J. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, Ö. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, D. J. Fox, Gaussian 09, Rev. A.02, Wallingford CT, 2009
- 19. (a) A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648-5642; (b) C. Lee, W. Yang, R. G. Parr, Phys. Rev. B 1988, 37, 785-789.
- 20. P. H. J. Carlsen, T. Katsuki, V. S. Martin, K. B. Sharpless, J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 3936-3938.
- 21. (a) J. W. Banks, A. S. Batsanov, J. A. K. Howard, D. O'Hagan, H. S. Rzepa and S. Martin-Santamaria, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, 1999, 2409-2411; (b) B. Jaun, D. Seebach, R. I. Mathad, Helv. Chim. Acta., 2011, 94, 355 -361; (c) C. R. Jones, P. K. Baruah, A. L. Thompson, S. Scheiner and M. D. Smith, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 12064-12071.

