

## 0040-4039(94)02318-2

## π Participation in Nucleophilic Displacement of α-Cyclogeranyl Tosylate.

## Alfonso Fernández Mateos\*, Gustavo Pascual Coca, Jose J. Pérez Alonso, Rosa Rubio González and Carolina Tapia Hernández

Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultad de C. Químicas, Plaza de los Caídos 1-5, 37008 Salamanca, Spain.

**Abstract:** A study of  $\alpha$ -cyclogeranyl tosylate displacement by several nucleophiles is reported. Cyclopropane derivatives  $\underline{C}$  were formed by homoallylic participation only with hydroxide and diethyl malonate anions.

There is much evidence that double bonds in the homoallylic position <sup>1</sup>, as well as in positions further away, can afford anchimeric assistance in the departure of tosyl and other leaving groups. The norbornyl and cyclopropylmethyl systems are the most broadly studied and their reactions with polar protic solvents the best known <sup>2</sup>. An example of double bond participation is the methanolysis of cholesteryl tosylate which affords two isomeric derivatives, depending on the presence or absence of a buffer. Cholesteryl methyl ether is formed in the absence of potassium acetate, whereas the species arising under buffered conditions is the 3,5-cyclosteroid.

In both compounds, the entering nucleophile has the  $\beta$  orientation. Transitional species were formulated as a homoallylic bridged ion. Participation by  $\pi$  electrons of 5,6 double bond in the 3,5-cyclosteroid is stereoselectively  $\alpha$ .

A reaction related to the one above is the nucleophilic displacement of allylic substrates denominated S<sub>N2</sub>'.

Many factors affecting the S<sub>N</sub>2' reaction have been studied <sup>3</sup>, such as the nature of the attacking nucleophile. This is not the case of homoallylic substrates on which, to our knowledge, carbon nucleophiles, such as malonate anions or alkyl cuprates, have not been tested.

Our incursion in this matter was motivated by the rare experimental results obtained in the nucleophilic displacement of the tosyl derivative  $\underline{\mathbf{A}}$  with malonate anion in toluene (Scheme 1, X=CH(COOEt)<sub>2</sub>).

To our knowledge this is the first time that a carbon-carbon double bond has been found to participate in the departure of a leaving group induced by a <u>carbon nucleophile</u> in homoallylic substrates.

The same reaction conditions with the similar substrate  $\underline{\mathbf{D}}$  only produced the expected nucleophilic displacement and some elimination  $^4$ .

The differences in reactivity of compounds  $\underline{\mathbf{A}}$  and  $\underline{\mathbf{D}}$  could be attributed, among other factors, to conformational freedom. While the tricyclic tosylate  $\underline{\mathbf{D}}$  is in a very rigid conformation, the monocyclic tosylate  $\underline{\mathbf{A}}$  can adopt two main conformations  $A_{eq}$  and  $A_{ax}$ . As in the methanolysis reaction of cholesteryl tosylate, the double bond in conformation  $A_{ax}$  is in a geometrically favorable position for backwards attack on the carbon bearing the leaving group.

Although the ionization of tosylate must be very low in toluene, a " concerted" process as described by McLennan. for SN2' reaction would explain our case (Table I entry 7a). The change of apolar toluene to DMF, which increases the nucleophilicity of the malonate anion, only promotes the abnormal substitution (Table I entry 7b); this result is consistent with the finding of Stork on hindered allylic substrates.

To see the scope of the  $\pi$  participation on  $\alpha$ -cyclogeranyl tosylate  $\underline{\mathbf{A}}$ , we assayed several nucleophiles. The results are shown in Table 1.

Table I

Entry	Nucleophile	Reaction Conditions	Products	Yield
1	OH-	NaHCO <sub>3</sub> , H <sub>2</sub> O, acetone <sup>2</sup>	$1C(100)^7$	92%
2	$N_3$	NaN <sub>3</sub> ,DMF <sup>8</sup>	<u>2B</u> (100)	71%
3	$NO_2$	NaNO <sub>2</sub> ,DMF <sup>9</sup>	<u>3B</u> (100)	60%
4	<b>I</b> -	KI,DMF <sup>8</sup>	<u>4B</u> (100)	80%
5	Br-	KBr,DMF 8	<u>5B</u> (100)	75%
6	CH3-	Me <sub>2</sub> CuLi,ether <sup>10</sup>	<u>6B</u> (100)	55%
7a	-CH(COOMe) <sub>2</sub>	diethyl malonate, Na, toluene 12	7B (60) 7C (40)11	72%
7 b	**	diethyl malonate,NaH,DMF 13	<u>7C</u> (100)	80%
8	PhS-	PhSH,benzene,NaOH,H2O 14	<b>8B</b> (100)	100%
		NH₄Br		
9	CN-	NaCN,DMSO 15	<b>9B</b> (100)	100%

Only two nuclophiles gave the abnormal substitution products. The behaviour of our homoallylic system  $\underline{A}$  against nucleophiles such as the thiolate anion and dimethyl copper lithium is different from that found with allylic systems: while the allylic system gave normal (SN2) and abnormal (SN2') substitution products  $^3$ , our homoallylic system gave only SN2 products.

A rationalization of the results found in the table is difficult to establish in the light of the nucleophilic character or by invoking the principle of HSAB.

Among soft nucleophiles we found two modes of action:

Normal SN2: RS-, I-, CN-," CH3-". Abnormal: CH(COOEt)2

The hard nucleophile OH only gave abnormal substitution.

Borderline nucleophiles: Br-, N<sub>3</sub>-, NO<sub>2</sub>- only gave the S<sub>N</sub>2 reaction.

The structures of the compounds described were assigned from their <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra.

**Acknowledgments.** This work was supported by a research grant from the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia of Spain (DGICYT PB 92-0286) whom we gratefully acknowledge. We also thank Universidad de Salamanca the fellowship to C.T.H.

## References:

- (1).- March, J. Advanced Organic Chemistry; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1985; p 273.
- (2).- (a) Berson, J. A. In *Molecular Rearrangements* part one; de Mayo, P., Ed.; Interscience Publishers: New York, 1963; p 111. (b) Wendler, N.L. In *Molecular Rearrangements* part two; de Mayo, P., Ed.; Interscience Publishers: New York, 1964; p 1075-1084.
- (3).- Magid, R.M. Tetrahedron 1980, 36, 1901.
- (4).- Fernández Mateos, A.; de Pascual Teresa, J.; Rubio González, R. J.Chem.Soc. Perkin Trans I 1990, 2429.
- (5).- McLennan, D.J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1976, 9, 281.
- (6).- (a) Stork, G. and White, W.N. J.Am.Chem Soc. 1953, 75, 4119. (b) Stork, G. and White, W.N. Ibid 1956, 78, 4609. (c) Stork, G.; Kreft, A.F. Ibid 1977, 99, 3850, 8373.
- (7).-Compound <u>1C</u>, <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 0.15 (1H. dd, J 4.8 Hz, J' 9.1 Hz), 0.44 (1H, dd, J 4.8 Hz, J' 5.6 Hz), 0.65 (1H, dd, J 5.6 Hz, J' 9.1 Hz), 0.89 (3H, s), 1.04 (3H, s), 1.16 (3H, s), 3.89 (1H, m) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C n.m.r. (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 11.73, 23.75, 25.21, 27.75, 28.03, 28.77, 31.93, 34.01, 34.58, 72.09 ppm.
- (8).- Albano, E.L.; Horton, D. J.Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 3519.
- (9).- Ballini, R.; Petrini, M. Synthesis 1986, 849.
- (10) .- Erdik, E. Tetrahedron 1984, 40, 641.
- (11).- Compound <u>7 B</u>, <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 0.81 (3H, s), 0.88 (3H, s), 1.18 (6H, t, J 7 Hz), 1.62 (3H, s), 3.42 (1H, t, J 6 Hz), 4.12 (4H, q, J 7 Hz), 5.24 (1H, s) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C n.m.r. (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 13.61, 13.61, 22.54, 22.80, 26.87, 26.88, 29.77, 30.47, 32.28, 46.37, 52.07, 60.59, 60.59, 120.54, 135.36, 168.73, 168.73 ppm.

Compound <u>7C</u>, <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 0.12 (1H, dd, J 5 Hz, J' 9.3 Hz), 0.25 (1H, dd, J 5 Hz, J' 5.7 Hz), 0.42 (1H, dd, J 5.7 Hz, J' 9.3 Hz), 0.86 (3H, s), 1.06 (6H, s), 1.26 (3H, t, J 7 Hz), 1.27 (3H, t, J 7 Hz), 3.47 (1H, d, J 8 Hz), 4.17 (2H, q, J 7 Hz), 4.18 (2H, q, J 7 Hz) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C n.m.r. (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 13.19, 13.98, 13.98, 19.34, 21.43, 27.24, 28.20, 29.06, 31.97, 32.94, 34.57, 38.92, 55.27, 60.88, 60.88, 169.23, 169.23 ppm.

- (12).- Shoppee, C.W.; Stephenson, R.J. J. Chem. Soc. 1954, 2230.
- (13).- Julia, M. and Maumy, M. Organic Synthesis; Noland, W.E., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1988; Vol. VI, p 586.
- (14).- Herriot, A.W. Synthesis 1975, 447.
- (15).- Kaufman, T.S.; González, M.; Ruveda, E.A. J.Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans I 1988, 2323.

(Received in UK 4 November 1994; revised 22 November 1994; accepted 25 November 1994)