Tetrahedron Letters 50 (2009) 4541-4544

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet

Phase-transfer catalyzed asymmetric arylacetate alkylation

Merritt B. Andrus*, Kaid C. Harper, Michael A. Christiansen, Meisha A. Binkley

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Brigham Young University, C100 BNSN, Provo, UT 84602, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 5 May 2009 Revised 20 May 2009 Accepted 22 May 2009 Available online 28 May 2009

Keywords:

Phase-transfer catalysis Alkylation Asymmetric synthesis Phenylacetate phenethyl ester Cinchona alkaloid catalyst

ABSTRACT

Phenethyl arylacetates are alkylated under phase-transfer conditions with cinchona catalysts with alkyl halides in high yield with excellent enantioselectivity (84–99% ee) following recrystallization. Cinchonidine (CD) derived catalyst gave the (R)-product and cinchonine (CN) catalyst produced the (S)-product. The phenethyl (PE) ester group is removed, using ammonium formate and catalytic Pd/C, to give alkylated carboxylic acid products in high selectivity. The utility of the approach is demonstrated by a direct synthesis of (S)-naproxen^m.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.



Phase-transfer catalyzed (PTC) alkylation, performed under liquid–liquid or solid–liquid conditions,⁶ has become a practical approach to amino acid synthesis using the well-known diphenylimine *t*-butyl glycine ester of O'Donnell.⁷ We recently reported a PTC alkylation method with aryl ketones to provide α -hy-



droxy products with good selectivity, including applications to the syntheses of ragaglitazar and kurasoin A.⁸ 2-Acylimidazoles were subsequently developed as more efficient PTC substrates for asymmetric glycolate alkylations.⁹ We now report an approach to arylacetate alkylation performed under simple PTC conditions to access both (R)- and (S)-products. α -Alkylated arylacetates comprise a wide range of compounds, many of which possess important biological activity.¹⁰ Common approaches for enantioselective arylacetate alkylation rely primarily on chiral auxiliaries.¹¹ The utility of the new PTC route focusing on naphthyl acetates is further demonstrated by a synthesis of the nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agent (S)-naproxen[™].¹²

Cinchona-derived PTC catalysts were initially screened for reactivity and selectivity including *N*-arylmethyl cinchonidines **4** and **5**, developed previously for PTC glycine alkylation (Scheme 2).^{6,7} The *N*-trifluorobenzyl hydrocinchonidine (HCD) catalyst **4** and the HCD dimer catalyst **5**, based on a 2,7-dimethylnaphthalene linker, proved to be most efficient as previously found with aryl ketone and acyl imidazoles.^{7f,9} These catalysts are available in three steps from inexpensive cinchonidine and the complementary pseudo-enantiomeric cinchonine (CN). The route to **5** reported by Park and Jew was modified to insure high catalyst purity and to avoid the production of non-selective ammonium salts formed by incomplete quinuclidine N-arylmethylation and 2,7-bis-bromo-





^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 801 422 8171; fax: +1 801 422 0153. *E-mail address*: mbandrus@chem.byu.edu (M.B. Andrus).

^{0040-4039/\$ -} see front matter @ 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2009.05.090



Scheme 2.

methyl naphthalene.^{7e,f,9} Bis-binaphthyl ammonium bromide **6** and the *N*,*N*-di-*n*-butyl catalyst **7**, developed by Maruoka and coworkers,^{7d,13} were also screened for PTC arylacetate alkylation.

PTC reactions were performed under various conditions for naphthyl acetate alkylation using 2-naphthyl 1 variations and catalyst CD-5 (Table 1). Solid-liquid conditions were found to be superior using insoluble cesium hydroxide hydrate as base in dichloromethane (DCM) at -40 °C (24 h). Liquid-liquid PTC conditions with aqueous KOH-toluene were unproductive in this case. With acylimidazole 1 (entry 1), product 3 was produced in moderate yield (73%) and no selectivity (0% ee, chiral HPLC) using either catalyst 4 or 5 (10 mol %) with benzyl bromide. In contrast, the previously investigated glycolate acylimidazoles were found to react with excellent selectivity under these conditions.⁹ Esters were also explored and good reactivity in most cases was obtained (entries 2, 3, and 5), however the selectivity was low using benzyl bromide, allyl bromide, or methyl iodide. The *t*-butyl ester variant (entry 4) gave no reaction even after extended reaction times (48 h).¹⁴ Acyl oxazolidinone (entry 6) showed poor reactivity while the phenethyl amide (entry 7) showed excellent reactivity (92%) and low selectivity (34% ee). The related 2-naphthyl acetyl phenethyl ester (entry 8) showed excellent reactivity (99%) with improved selectivity (56% ee) for the *R*-product. Extension of the ester chain lowered the selectivity and reactivity (entry 9). Extended aromatic functionality with the 1-naphthyl homolog (entry 10) and the addition of a second phenyl (entry 11) produced product with comparable selectivities and lower yields. The 6-methoxynaphthyl acetyl ester (entry 12) also showed excellent reactivity with moderate selectivity.

The phenylacetyl phenethyl (PE) ester **1** was also investigated under the solid–liquid PTC conditions (Scheme 3). With allyl bromide as electrophile the *R*-product **3** was obtained in 77% yield with high selectivity, 93% ee using CD-dimer **5**. In contrast to previous arylketone and acylimidazole substrates, which highly favor *Z*-enolate intermediates, phenylacetate esters give *E* and *Z* enolates **2** with comparable energies.^{8a}

Additional catalysts and conditions were explored to further improve the selectivity with naphthyl acetyl ester **1** (Table 2). Other catalysts, trifluorobenzyl **4**, and the binaphthyl ammonium bromides **6** and **7** were found to produce lower yields and selectivities using allyl bromide.

While the naphthyl acetates are limited with only modest selectivities, the crystalline nature of the phenethyl ester products **3** allowed for subsequent recrystallization to provide improved selectivity in most cases. The naphthyl acetyl phenethyl ester **1** substrate was reacted with various electrophiles under the optimal conditions using CD-catalyst **5** (Table 3). Allyl bromides reacted with moderate initial selectivity (entries 1–3). Following recrystal-

Га	ble	e 1
_		_



^a 6-MeO-naphthyl acetate as substrate 1.



lization from warm ether/hexanes, selectivities were shown to be greatly improved (70–93% ee) and overall yields were modest for this two-step operation, involving chromatography and recrystallization (63–68%). Benzyl bromides (entries 4–7) produced products that were obtained in excellent selectivity (92–99% ee) and good

Table 2



4

50

84

76

22

49

Table 3

5

5

-20

-60

1

2

3

5

6



Entry	RBr	Time (h)	Crude % ee	% Yield ^a	% ee
1	Br	47	46	63	93
2	Br	26	57	68	86
3	Br	28	59	68	70
4	Br	28	48	81	97
5	t-Bu Br	23	71	72	99
6	Ph Br	28	89	81	92
7	Br	27	63	73	94
8	MeI	18	55	71	92
9	<i>⊯</i> ∽∽ ^{Br}	19	43	62	85 ^b

Yields shown are for products purified by short-path silica gel chromatography and recrystallized from ether/hexanes.

6-MeO-naphthyl acetate as substrate 1.

overall yields (72-81%). Reaction with methyl iodide (entry 8) also gave high selectivity (92% ee) with modest overall yield (71%) for the *R*-product **3**. The 6-methoxynaphthyl acetyl PE ester (entry 9) showed good reactivity and selectivity (85% ee).

A short, five-step synthesis of the well-known anti-inflammatory agent (*S*)-naproxen[™] was performed to demonstrate utility of aryl acetate PTC (Scheme 4).¹² The requisite aryl acetyl PE ester was generated from 2-acetyl-6-methoxynaphthalene 8. Willgerodt-Kindler reaction with precipitated sulfur, p-TsOH, and morpholine gave the acetylthioamide, which was hydrolyzed to the corresponding acid.¹⁵ Esterification generated phenethyl **9** in



excellent overall yield. The pseudo-enantiomeric cinchonine (CN) dimer catalyst **10** was produced using the optimized route developed for CD-5. PTC methylation now using 10 under the solid-liquid conditions with methyl iodide (23 h) gave product (S)-11, initially in 99% yield (64% ee, 230 mg scale). Recrystallization (Et₂O/hex.) gave **11** in 71% overall yield with 92% ee. Notably this methylated product 11 is produced with high selectivity using the CN catalyst to give the complementary S-product. The PE ester was converted to the carboxylic acid 12 using the reported conditions of Carpino (Pd(OAc)₂, Pd/C 5 mol %) with ammonium formate, 91% yield, $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ +56.¹⁶

Commercially obtained S-naproxen 12 was independently converted to the PE ester 11 and the optical rotation and the chiral HPLC trace were compared with the PTC-derived product ($[\alpha]_{p}$ +27.5 to +29 for PTC-derived **11**). The comparable selectivity, (R)product from CD and (S)-product from CN catalyst, suggests a complementary mode of interaction for the enolate 2 and the pseudoenantiomeric catalysts. Selectivities for previous glycolate substrates were not complementary, where CD catalysts gave (S)products and the CN catalyst also produced (S)-products but with much lower selectivity.8 A kinetic effect with preferential enolate-catalyst pairing and alkylation, via *E* or *Z*-**2**, may also be operative in this case.

Arylacetate PTC alkylation is a general approach to enantioenriched α -alkylated products using simple substrates and catalysts. Complementary enantiomeric products are produced from either CD or CN catalysts in high selectivities following recrystallization. The phenethyl ester products are readily converted to useful products.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful for support provided by the American Chemical Society, Petroleum Research Fund-AC (41552-AC1), Research Corporation Research Opportunity Award, and the Brigham Young University Cancer Research Center. We are also grateful to Erik J. Hicken, David T. Harris, Jeffrey C. Stephens, D. Karl Bedke, and Morgan J. Gainer for preliminary experiments.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data (experimental procedures, characterization, and NMR and HPLC data for all compounds) associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/ j.tetlet.2009.05.090.

References and notes

- 1. Eames, J.; Suggate, M. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 186-189.
- Imai, M.; Hagihara, A.; Kawasaki, H.; Manabe, K.; Koga, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 8829–8830.
- (a) Doyle, A. G.; Jacobsen, E. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 62–63; (b) Doyle, A. G.; Jacobsen, E. N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 3701–3705.
- 4. Vignola, N.; List, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 450–451.
- Beeson, T. D.; Mastracchio, A.; Hong, J.; Ashton, K.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Science 2007, 316, 582–585.
- (a) Ooi, T.; Maruoka, K. Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 526–533; (b) Lygo, B.; Andrews, B. I. Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 518–525; (c) Patterson, D. E.; Xie, S.; Jones, L. A.; Osterhout, M. H.; Henry, C. G.; Roper, T. D. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2007, 11, 624–627; (d) Maruoka, K. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2008, 12, 679–697; (e) Hashimoto, T.; Maruoka, K. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5656–5682.
- 7. (a) O'Donnell, M. J.; Bennett, W. D.; Wu, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 2353–2354; (b) Corey, E. J.; Xu, F.; Noe, M. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 12414–12415; (c) Lygo, B.; Wainwright, P. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 8595–8598; (d) Ooi, T.; Kameda, K.; Maruoka, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 6519–6520; (e) Jew, S.; Yoo, M.; Jeong, B.; Park, I. Y.; Park, H. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 4245–4248; (f) Park, H.; Jeong, B.; Yoo, M.; Lee, J.; Park, M.; Lee, Y.; Kim, M.; Jew, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 3036–3038; (g) O'Donnell, M. J.; Delgado, F.; Hostettler, C.; Schwesinger, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 8775–8778.

 (a) Andrus, M. B.; Hicken, E. J.; Stephens, J. C.; Bedke, D. K. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 9470–9479; (b) Andrus, M. B.; Hicken, E. J.; Stephens, J. C.; Bedke, D. K. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 8651–8654.

M. B. Andrus et al./Tetrahedron Letters 50 (2009) 4541-4544

- Andrus, M. B.; Christiansen, M. A.; Hicken, E. J.; Gainer, M. J.; Bedke, K. D.; Harper, K. C.; Mikkelson, S. R.; Dodson, D. D.; Harris, D. V. Org. Lett. 2007, 8, 4865–4868.
- (a) Landoni, M. F.; Soraci, A. *Curr. Drug Metab.* **2001**, *2*, 37–51; (b) Kim, M.; Kim,
 J. Y.; Song, K. S.; Kim, J.; Lee, J. *Tetrahedron* **2007**, *63*, 12845–12852; (c)
 Pelphrey, P. M.; Popov, V. M.; Joska, T. M.; Beierlein, J. M.; Bolstad, S. D.;
 Fillingham, Y. A.; Wright, D. L.; Anderson, A. C. J. Med. Chem. **2007**, *50*, 940–950.
- (a) Tanaka, F.; Node, M.; Tanaka, K.; Mizuchi, M.; Hosoi, S.; Nakayama, M.; Taga, T.; Fuji, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1995**, *117*, 12159–12171; (b) Mori, K. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2005**, *16*, 685–692; (c) Evans, D. A.; Wu, L. D.; Wiener, J. J. M.; Johnson, J. S.; Ripin, D. H. B.; Tedrow, J. S. J. Org. Chem. **1999**, *64*, 6411– 6417.
- 12. Harrington, P. J.; Lodewijk, E. Org. Process Res. Dev. 1997, 1, 72-76.
- Kitamura, M.; Shirakawa, S.; Maruoka, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1549– 1551.
- 14. Kumar, S.; Ramachandran, U. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2005, 16, 647–649.
- (a) Carmack, M.; Spielman, M. A.: In *Organic Reactions*; Adams, R., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1946; Vol. 3, pp 83–104. Ch. 2; (b) Harrison, I. T.; Lewis, B.; Nelson, P.; Rooks, W.; Roszkowski, A.; Tomolonis, A.; Fried, J. H. *J. Med. Chem.* **1970**, *13*, 203–205; (c) Harrak, Y.; Rosell, G.; Daidone, G.; Plescia, S.; Schillaci, D.; Pujol, M. D. Bioorg. Med. Chem. **2007**, *15*, 4876–4890.
- 16. Carpino, L. A.; Tunga, A. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 1930-1932.