View Article Online View Journal

ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: X. Dong, Z. Wang, Y. Duan and Y. Yang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, DOI: 10.1039/C8CC05285A.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the **author guidelines**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the ethical guidelines, outlined in our <u>author and reviewer resource centre</u>, still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/chemcomm



Journal Name

COMMUNICATION

One-Pot Selective N-Formylation of Nitroarenes to Formamides Catalyzed by Core-Shell Structured Cobalt Nanoparticles

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

Xiaosu Dong, Zhaozhan Wang, Yanan Duan and Yong Yang*

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

One-pot direct N-formylation of readily available nitroarenes with ammonium formate catalyzed by core-shell structured cobalt nanoparticles has been developed. A broad set of nitroarenes was successfully converted to their corresponding formamides in good to high yields with various functional groups tolerance. This heterogeneous catalyst can be easily removed from the reaction medium and can be reused for several times without significant loss in reaction efficiency.

Formamides are valuable intermediates in the synthesis of pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals, dyes, and fragrances.¹ They also serve as industrial solvents and are used as Lewis bases in catalysis.² In addition, the formyl group is important amine protecting groups in peptide synthesis.³ Owing to their immense utility, the development of novel methods for the expedient synthesis of formamides continues to be an active area of research.

Traditionally, N-Formamides synthesis is typically achieved by the reaction of anilines with toxic and sensitive formylating reagents, e.g. chloral, phosgene, CO, and acetic formic anhydrides with poor atom economy and massive waste production (Scheme 1a).⁴ In the past decades, transitionmetal-catalyzed N-formylation of anilines to formamides has attracted considerable attention. A number of metal catalysts have been developed for N-formylation of anilines with various formylating reagents such as methanol,^{5a-c} formic acid and its derivatives,^{5d-g} or (para)formaldehyde.^{5h-j} Remarkably, the catalytic N-formylation of anilines by using CO_2 as a carbonyl source together with varying reductants, e.g. $H_{2,0}^{6}$ hydrosilanes,⁷ or boranes,⁸ in the presence of metal-based catalysts has also been demonstrated. However, expensive or complex noble metal complexes are often employed, in which relatively harsh conditions are generally required,



Scheme 1. Traditional versus Proposed N-Formylation

or large amounts of by-products are produced simultaneously with low atom efficiency (Scheme 1b). Furthermore, aryl amines are most frequently used as starting materials for the synthesis of N-arylformamides among these well-developed methods, which are generally prepared from reduction of nitroarenes catalyzed by transition metals under high pressure of hydrogen.⁹ As such, from both economic and environmental viewpoints, there is a strong incentive to develop an alternative inexpensive base-metal approach for highly efficient and selective synthesis of formamides from readily available and inexpensive nitroarenes.

To date, only a handful of examples catalyzed by noble metal catalysts (e.g. Pd,¹⁰ Pt,¹¹ Au,¹² Rh,¹³ et al) for one-pot direct N-formylation of nitroarenes to N-formamides using formic acid or its derivatives, or even CO_2/H_2 as formylating sources have been reported (Scheme 1b). However, the high cost and limited reserve on the earth of noble metal catalysts accompanying with harsh reactions significantly impede their practical applications, especially for synthesis of biologically

Key CAS Laboratory of Bio-based Materials,

Qingdao Institute of Bioenergy and Bioprocess Technology

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao 266101, China

E-mail: yangyong@gibebt.ac.cn

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Details of reaction condition optimizations and control experiments. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

COMMUNICATION

Table 1. Optimization of reaction conditions.^a

		NO ₂ Cat HCOOH					
	1a			2a	3a		
Entry	Catalyst	Reductant (equiv.)	Solvent	Time (h)	Conversion (%) ^b	Selecti 2a	vity (%) ^b 3a
1	Co@NPC-800	HCOOH(12)	THF	24	100	5	95
2	Co@NPC-800	HCOOH(10)	THF	24	100	10	90
3	Co@NPC-800	HCOOH(8)	THF	24	68	14	86
4	Co@NPC-800	HCOOH(6)	THF	24	30	52	48
5	Co@NPC-800	HCOOH(4)	THF	24	28	66	34
~							

	0000.0000	1100011(0)		24	20	15	21
7	Co@NPC-800	HCOONH ₄ (10)	THF	12	100	<1	>99
8	Co@NPC-800	HCOONH ₄ (8)	THF	12	100	26	74
9	Co@NPC-800	HCOONH ₄ (6)	THF	12	100	71	29
10	Co@NPC-800	HCOONH ₄ (4)	THF	12	97	97	3
11	Co@NPC-800	HCOONH ₄ (10)	Toluene	12	100	55	45
12	Co@NPC-800	HCOONH ₄ (10)	1,4-Dioxane	12	100	13	87
13	Co@NPC-800	HCOONH ₄ (10)	CH ₃ CN	12	100	18	82
14	Co@NPC-800	HCOONH ₄ (10)	DMF	12	100	78	22
15	Co@NPC-800	HCOONH ₄ (10)	THF	4	100	15	85
16	Co@NPC-900	HCOONH ₄ (10)	THF	4	79	26	74
17	Co@NPC-700	HCOONH ₄ (10)	THF	4	37	35	65
18 ^c	NPC-800	HCOONH ₄ (10)	THF	12	0	0	0
19 ^d	-	HCOONH ₄ (10)	THF	12	0	0	0
20	Co(NO ₃) ₂ .6H ₂ O	HCOONH ₄ (10)	THF	12	0	0	0

^aReaction condtions: nitrobenzene (0.25 mmol), HCOOH or HCOONH₄ as formylating reagent with different equivalents with respect to nitrobenzene, catalyst (4.8 mol% of Co), solvent (2.5 mL), 120°C. ^bConversion and selectivity were determined by GC using dodecane as an internal standard.^c20 mg of the NPC support instead. ^dno catalyst.

active compounds due to the toxicity of noble metals. Nonetheless, no successful one-pot direct N-formylation of nitroarenes to formamides catalyzed by heterogeneous base metals has been descried, to the best of our knowledge.

In our continuous effort to develop green catalysis for sustainable organic synthesis, we herein report a heterogeneous core-shell structured cobalt nanoparticles (NPs) catalyst for one-pot direct N-formylation of nitroarenes. The catalyst exhibits outstanding catalytic performance for formamides synthesis from the reductive N-formylation of nitroarenes with ammonium formate under mild conditions. A broad set of nitroarenes bearing with diverse functional groups could be efficiently converted to formamides in decent to high yields. In addition, the catalyst can be easily recovered for successive uses with negligible loss in activity, demonstrating strong stability. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of direct and selective synthesis of formamides from readily available and inexpensive nitroarenes and ammonium formate catalyzed by a heterogeneous nonnoble base-metal catalyst (Scheme 1c).

The heterogeneous catalysts comprising of Co NPs as core and N,P-dual doped hierarchical porous carbon as shell derived from naturally renewable biomass, denoted as Co@NPC-T (where T represents the pyrolysis temperature), were synthesized by a facile tandem hydrothermal-pyrolysis processes as reported in our earlier work,¹⁴ the details

regarding the preparation and characterization of the catalyst Co@NPC-800 were included in the ESI. Our previous studies showed that the synergistic effect between Co NPs and N,P atoms incorporated in the carbon framework dramatically improved the catalytic performance for catalytic transfer hydrogenation of nitroarenes and the Co@NPC-800 gave the best outcome. As such, the Co@NPC-800 catalyst was initially employed for N-formylation of nitrobenzene (1a) as a benchmark reaction with formic acid (HCOOH) as formylating reagent to optimize the reaction conditions, and the representative results are compiled in Table 1. The reactions were performed with varying equivalent of HCOOH (with respect to 1a) in THF at 120°C. We found that the employed amounts of HCOOH had a critical influence on both reaction activity and selectivity (entries 1-6). More excess of HCOOH resulted in higher conversion of 1a with formation of Nphenylformamide (3a) as the major product. Particularly, complete conversion of 1a with 95% selectivity to 3a was achieved in the presence of 12 equivalents of HCOOH (entry 1). Similar trend for reaction efficiency and tunability in product selectivity was also observed when ammonium formate (HCOONH₄) was used as formylating reagent instead (entries 7-10). Comparatively, HCOONH₄ distinctly exhibited superior efficiency to HCOOH and exclusive selectivity to ${\bf 3a}$ was realized when using 10 equivalents of HCOONH₄ within 12 h under identical conditions (entry 7).

Inspired by these findings, a set of factors including solvent, temperature, amount of catalyst loading was intensively screened. Among the solvents investigated (entries 11-14), THF showed the best catalytic performance with complete conversion and exclusive selectivity to 3a after 12 h (entry 7). A decrease of the reaction temperature or catalyst loadings led to a lower catalytic activity and selectivity to 3a (Table S1 & 2, Figure S2 in the ESI). Subsequently, the resultant catalysts pyrolyzed at 700 and 900°C were employed for the reaction, respectively, under otherwise identical conditions (entries 15-17). In line with our previous observations,¹⁴ the Co@NPC-800 significantly outperformed in terms of both activity and selectivity of the desired product (entries 15-17). Moreover, the catalyst Co@NPC-800 is essential for the success of the Nformylation reaction. No reactivity was observed in the absence of catalyst or with PNC-800 or Co(NO₃)₂ as catalyst (entries 18-20).

Further control experiments reveal that (1) this one-pot direct N-formylation of nitroarenes undergoes successive reduction of nitro group to amine and formylation of amine to *N*-formamide; (2) HCOOH or HCOONH₄ serves as both a reducing and formylating reagent. We performed the reaction by adding 10 equivalents of HCOONH₄ batch-by-batch into the THF solution of **1a** under the standard conditions as shown in Figure 1A. 43% conversion of **1a** was exclusively reduced to aniline (**2a**) upon addition of first batch 3 equivalents of HCOONH₄ for 6 h, clearly indicating that HCOONH₄ firstly serves as a reducing reagent to facilitate reduction of nitro group. After another batch 4 equivalents of HCOONH₄ was added into the above reaction mixture, **1a** was further consumed but affording a mixture of **2a** and **3a** with **3a** as major product. Accordingly, the addition of rest batch 3 equivalents led to 90% conversion of **1a** with 83% distribution of **3a**. Such observations were further confirmed by the record of the product distribution as a function of reaction time (Figure 1B, Figure S2 in the ESI). Sole formation of **2a** was observed at the early stage of the reaction; while **3a** started to form gradually with an elapse of reaction times and dominated the reaction at the late stage until exclusive formation. Meanwhile, the reduction of nitrobenzene catalysed by the Co@NPC-800 catalyst is significantly faster than N-formylation.



Figure 1. The product distributions for (A) with batch addition of $HCOONH_4$ and (B) as a function of reaction time for N-formylation of nitrobenzene with $HCOONH_4$ under standard conditions.

It took 4 h to fully consume **1a** while 12 h was required for complete formation of **3a**. It has been reported that the ammonium formate mediated N-formylation of anilines took place readily in acetonitrile at reflux temperature without assistant of any metal catalyst.¹⁵ No significant difference in N-formylation reaction efficiency could be observed when **2a** was subjected to the standard conditions in the absence or presence of Co@NPC-800, indicating that there is no accelerating effect of the Co@NPC-800 catalyst in N-formylation of aniline (Scheme S1 in the ESI). Such result demonstrates the essential role of the Co@NPC-800 is to reduce nitroarenes to anilines in the whole process.

Subsequently, we investigated the substrate scope to explore the general applicability of this catalytic N-formylation protocol under the optimized conditions (Table 2). Overall, the catalyst Co@NPC-800 enabled the N-formylation of a diverse array of functionalized nitroarenes, providing the corresponding formamides in decent to high yields. Electrondonating groups substituted nitroarenes (1a-h) generally gave higher yields to their corresponding formamides than that of electron-withdrawing groups substituted ones (1q-u). Apparently, the para-position substituted nitroarenes regardless of the nature of substituents showed considerably poorer selectivity to the corresponding formamides albeit with complete conversion (1d, 1f, 1k, and 1n). In these cases, anilines are favourably produced as the major products, most likely due to the steric effect. More importantly, for halogenated nitrobenzenes (1i-p), full conversion is achieved, giving decent to high yields to the respective formamides without any observation of dehalogenation processes. Gratifyingly, nitroarenes bearing readily reducible functional groups, such as aldehyde (1r), ketone (1t), nitrile 1s), ester (1u), and vinyl (1v) were successfully formylated to the formamides and maintained the reducible groups untouched, highlighting the

Table 2. Substrate scope^a



^aReaction conditions: nitroarenes (0.25 mmol), Co@NPC-800 (20 mg, 4.8 mol% of Co), HCOONH₄ or HCOOH (2.5 mmol), THF (2.5 mL); 120 °C, 12 h. Yields of isolated products are reported. ^bHCOOH (20 equivalents) as hydrogen donor and formylating source. ^cHCOOH (10 equivalents) as hydrogen donor and formylating source.

excellent chemoselectivity of the nanostructured core-shell cobalt NPs catalysts. In addition, heteroatom-containing nitroarenes (**1w** and **1x**) can also be efficiently formylated to their corresponding formamides, which are in particular important intermediates in the pharmaceutical industry. Notably, a considerable higher selectivity to formamide was achieved when HCOOH was used as the formylating reagent instead of HCOONH₄ in some cases; especially for *para*-Cl- and Br-substituted nitrobenzenes (**1k** and **1n**), more excess of HCOOH (20 equivalents) is required to achieve satisfactory results. Note that in all cases listed in Table 2, all nitroarenes were completely converted but with different selectivity to their corresponding N-formamides, as shown in Table S4 in the ESI.

Durability and recyclability of a catalyst are important features for the advancement of sustainable practical processes. Upon completion of the N-formylation of nitrobenzene, the catalyst Co@NPC-800 was recollected by centrifugation, washed and dried for subsequent cycles. Noticeably, the Co@NPC-800 catalyst is highly stable and can be conveniently recycled up to five times to selectively produce *N*-phenylformamide (Figure S3 in the ESI). No noticeable changes in the core-shell nanostructure of the catalyst Co@NPC-800 can be observed after 5 cycles (Figure S4 & 5 in the ESI).

In conclusion, a novel hybrid nanocomposite comprising cobalt nanoparticles as core and N,P-dual doped porous carbon as shell is found to be a highly efficient, reusable

COMMUNICATION

heterogeneous non-noble metal catalyst for one-pot direct Nformylation of readily available nitroarenes with ammonium formate, selectively affording versatile and biologically active formamides. This study provides a green and sustainable methodology for expedient synthesis of formamides from nitro compounds under mild conditions.

The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support from QIBEBT, DICP & QIBEBT (Grant No. DICP& QIBEBT UN201704), and Dalian National Laboratory for Clean Energy (DNL), Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- (a) H. Bipp, H. Kieczka, In Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2003; Vol. 15, pp 36-47. (b) J. R. Dunetz, J. Magano, G. A. Weisenburger, Org. Process Res. Dev., 2016, 20, 140-177. (c) N. V. Reddy, P. S. Kumar, P. S. Reddy, M. L. Kantam, K. R. Reddy, New J. Chem., 2015, 39, 805-809.
- 2 (a) K. Weissermel, H.-J. Arpe, Industrial Organic Chemistry, 3rd ed., translated by C. R. Lindley, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1997. (b) I. M. Downie, M. J. Earle, h. Heaney, K. F. Shuhaibar, *Tetrahedron*, 1993, **49**, 4015-4034. (c) S. B. Jagtap, S. B. Tsogoeva, Chem. Commun., 2006, 4747-4748.
- 3 P. G. M. Wuts, *Greene's Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis*, 5th ed., Wiley, Hoboken, NJ. 2014, pp. 991-1003.
- 4 G. A. Olah, L. Ohannesian, M. Arvanaghi, *Chem. Rev.*, 1987, 4, 671-686.
- 5 (a) S. Tanaka, T. Minato, E. Ito, M. Hara, Y. Kim, Y. Yamamoto, N. Asao, Chem. Eur. J., 2013, 19, 11832-11836. (b) N. Ortega, C. Richter, F. Glorius, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 1776-1779. (c) T. Ishida, M. Haruta, ChemSusChem, 2009, 2, 538-541. (d) V. K. Das, R. R. Devi, P. K. Raul, A. J. Thakur, Green Chem., 2012, 14, 847-854. (e) J. Deutsch, R. Eckelt, A. Köckritz, A. Martin, Tetrahedron, 2009, 65, 10365-10369. (f) S. Kazemi, A. Mobinikhaledi, M. Zendehdel, Chin. Chem. Lett., 2017, 28, 1767-1772. (g) I. Sorribes, K. Junge, M. Beller, Chem. Eur. J., 2014, 20, 7878-7883. (h) O. Saidi, M. J. Bamford, A.J. Blacker, J. Lynch, S. P. Marsden, P. Plucinski, R. J. Watson, J. M. J. Williams, Tetrahedron Lett., 2010, 51, 5804-5806. (i) N. Shah, E. Gravel, D. V. Jawale, E. Doris, I. N. N. Namboothiri, ChemCatChem, 2014, 6, 2201-2205. (j) Z. Ke, Y. Zhang, X. Cui, F. Shi, Green Chem., 2016, 18, 808-816.
- 6 (a) U. Jayarathne, N. Hazari, W. H. Bernskoetter, ACS Catal., 2018, 8, 1338-1345. (b) H. Y. Liu, Q. Q. Mei, Q. L. Xu, J. L. Song, H. Z. Liu, B. X. Han, Green Chem., 2017, 19, 196-201. (c) T. Mitsudome, T. Urayama, S. Fujita, Z. Maeno, T. Mizugaki, K. Jitsukawa, K. Kaneda, ChemCatChem, 2017, 9, 3632-3636. (d) P. Daw, S. Chakraborty, G. L. Y. Diskin-Posner, Y. Ben-David, D. Milstein, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 2500-2504. (e) A. Tilii, E. Blondiaux, X. Frogneux, T. Cantat, Green Chem., 2015, 17, 157-168. (f) L. Zhang, Z. B. Han, X. Y. Zhao, Z. Wang, K. L. Ding. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 6186-6189. (g) X. Cui, X. Dai, Y. Zhang, Y. Deng, F. Shi, Chem. Sci., 2014, 5, 649-655.
- 7 (a) C. Xie, J. L. Song, H. R. Wu, B. W. Zhou, C. Y. Wu, B. X. Han, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2017, 5, 7086-7092. (b) R. C. Luo, X. W. Lin, Y. J. Chen, W. Y. Zhang, X. T. Zhou, H. B. Ji, ChemSusChem, 2017, 10, 1224-1232. (c) R. A. Molla, P. Bhanja, K. Ghosh, S. S. Islam, A. Bhaumi, S. M. Islam, ChemCatChem, 2017, 9, 1939-1946. (d) K. Motokura, N. Takahashi, D. Kashiwame, S. Yamaguchi, A. Miyaji, T. Baba,

Catal. Sci. Technol., 2013, **3**, 2392-2396. (e) O. Jacquet, C. Das Neves Gomes, M. Ephritikhine, T. Cantat, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, **134**, 2934-2937. (f) S. Das, F.D. Bobbink, S. Bulut, M. Soudani, P.J. Dyson, Chem. Commun., 2016, **52**, 2497-2500. (g) X. Frogneux, O. Jacquet, T. Cantat, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2014, **4**, 1529-1533.

- 8 V. B. Saptal, T. Sasaki, B. M. Bhanage, *ChemCatChem*, 2018, DOI: 10.1002/cctc.201800185.
- 9 (a) A. Corma, Serna, P. Science, 2006, 313, 332-334. (b) H. U. Blaser, H. Steiner, M. Studer, ChemCatChem, 2009, 1, 210-22; (c) R. V. Jagadeesh, A.-E. Surkus, H. Junge, M.-M. Pohl, J. Radnik, J. Rabeah, H. M. Huan, V. Schunemann, A. Brückner, M. Beller, Science, 2013, 342, 1073-1076. (d) S. Zhang, C.-R. Chang, Z.-Q. Huang, J. Li, Z. Wu, Y. Ma, Z. Zhang, Y. Wang, Y. Qu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 2629-2637.
- 10 (a) V. Thakur, A. Kumar, N. Sharma, A. K. Shil, P. Das, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2018, 360, 432-437. (b) Y. Wang, Z. Zhan, Y. Zhou, M. Lei, L. Hu, Monatsh Chem., 2018, 149, 527-533. (c) R. B. Nasir Baig, S. Verma, M. N. Nadagouda, R. S. Varma, Green Chem., 2016, 18, 1019-1022. (d) B. Karimi, F. Mansouri, H. Vali, ChemPlusChem, 2015, 80, 1750-1759. (e) X. J. Cui, Y. Zhang, Y. Q. Deng, F. Shi, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 13521-13524. (f) T. V. Pratap, S. Baskaran, Tetrahedron Lett., 2001, 42, 1983-1985.
- 11 M. Li, L. Hu, X. Cao, H. Hong, J. Lu, H. Gu, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 2763-2768.
- 12 (a) L. Yu, Q. Zhang, S. S. Li, J. Huang, Y. M. Liu, H. Y. He, Y. Cao, *ChemSusChem*, 2015, **8**, 3029-3035. (b) X.-B. Lou, L. He, Y. Qian, Y.-M. Liu, Y. Cao, K.-N. Fan, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2011, **353**, 281-286.
- 13 J. Xiao, C. Wang, Y. Wei, J. Wu, D. Xue, Z. Liu, Z. Zhang, G. Chen, *Synlett*, 2014, **25**, 1295-1298.
- 14 Y.-N. Duan, T. Song, X.-S. Dong, Y. Yang, *Green Chem.*, 2018, 20, 2821-2828.
- 15 P. G. Reddy, G. D. K. Kumar, S. Baskaran, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2000, **41**, 9149–9151