**2677**

**Syn thesis** B. Bennua-Skalmowski, H. Vorbrueggen **Paper** B. Bennua-Skalmowski, H. Vorbrueggen

# **Synthesis of a Cyclic 3-Methylsulfonyl Enol Ether**

### **Baerbel Bennua-Skalmowski Helmut Vorbrueggen\*1**

Research Laboratories, Schering AG (now Bayer AG), Müllerstr.170, 13353 Berlin, Germany helvor@chemie.fu-berlin.de



Dedicated to Professor Hans-Ulrich Reissig on the occasion of his 66<sup>th</sup> birthday

Received: 25.03.2015 Accepted after revision: 24.04.2015 Published online: 17.06.2015 DOI: 10.1055/s-0034-1380217; Art ID: ss-2015-z0195-op

**Abstract** Electrophilic introduction of a methylmercapto group into dihydropyran gives 3-methylmercaptodihydropyran, which is readily oxidized to 3-methylsulfonyldihydropyran.

**Key words** enol ether, methylmercapto, methylsulfonyl, methylsulfinyl, oxidation, Oxone

During the studies on new chemically stable analogues of the very labile natural prostacyclin **1a** we synthesized the chemically stable and biologically potent 5-cyanoprostacyclin  $1b$  (nileprost),<sup>2</sup> and wondered whether analogous chemically stable prostacyclin analogues with an electronattracting 5-methylsulfoxide group **1c** or in particular with a 5-methylsulfone group **1d** might also be chemically stable and biologically active (Figure 1).



We thus studied the reaction of dihydropyran (**2**) as a model for the enol ether moiety in prostacyclin **1a** with dimethylmethylmercaptosulfonium tetrafluoroborate (**3**)3 in the presence of diisopropyl ethylamine (**4**, Hünig's base) in acetonitrile, whereupon 57% of the redistilled known 3methylmercaptodihydropyran (**5**)4 was obtained (Scheme 1). Alternatively, reaction of **2** with methylsulfenyl chloride (**7**), prepared in situ from dimethyl disulfide (**6**) with SO2Cl2, 5 gave the crude chloride **8**, 4 which was not isolated and characterized, but dehydrohalogenated in situ with DBU followed by distillation to give 3-methylmercaptodihydropyran (**5**) in 37% yield. Oxidation of dimethyl disulfide (**6**) with *m*-chloroperbenzoic6 acid afforded the sulfoxide **9**, which underwent a Pummerer type reaction<sup>7</sup> with trifluoroacetic acid anhydride in the presence of **2** to provide the unsaturated sulfide **5** in 38% yield. Yet, the reaction of dihydropyran **(2)** with MeSO<sub>2</sub>Cl **(9)**/Et<sub>3</sub>N to introduce the methylsulfonyl group in one reaction step did not give any of the desired sulfone **11** but instead the known crystalline bicyclic adduct **10**8–10 (Scheme 1).



© Georg Thieme Verlag Stuttgart · New York — *Synthesis* **2015,** *47*, 2677–2679

**Syn thesis** B. Bennua-Skalmowski, H. Vorbrueggen **Paper**

Oxidation of **5** with Oxone in water afforded the crystalline and chemically stable sulfone **11** in 68% yield, whereas reaction of 5 with aqueous NaIO<sub>4</sub> furnished 76% of the racemic sulfoxide **12**, which is readily oxidized in 47% yield by NaOCl in H<sub>2</sub>O-acetonitrile to the desired sulfone 11 (Scheme 2). The described exploring experiments are not as yet optimized.



The high biological potency of our prostacyclin(carba $cyclin)$  analogues iloprost<sup>11</sup> and the even more potent cicaprost<sup>12</sup> caused us then to abandon the synthesis of further new prostacyclin analogues such as **1c** and **1d**.

The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were measured in CDCl<sub>3</sub> with a 90 MHz instrument. TLC was performed on glass plates precoated with silica gel.

### **5-(Methylthio)-3,4-dihydro-2***H***-pyran (5)**

*By Reaction of 2 with Dimethylmethylmercaptosulfonium Tetrafluoroborate*: To a stirred solution of dimethylmethylmercaptosulfonium tetrafluoroborate (**3**;3 5.88 g, 30 mmol) in anhydrous MeCN (50 mL) was added dropwise at –20 °C within 20 min a solution of dihydropyran (**2**; 1.83 mL, 20 mmol) in anhydrous MeCN (20 mL). The stirring at -20 °C was continued for 2.5 h and a solution of *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>NEt (4; 38 mmol, 6.6 mL) in MeCN (10 mL) was added within 20 min at –20 °C. After removing the cooling bath, the mixture was warmed up to r.t. overnight and the reaction mixture was diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (25 mL) and washed with H<sub>2</sub>O ( $2 \times 15$  mL). After drying (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtration, and removal of the solvent, the foul smelling residual oil  $(5.79 \text{ g})$  was distilled in a Kugelrohr apparatus at 80–90 °C/12 mmHg to give pure **5** as a colorless oil; yield: 1.49 g (57%); *R<sub>f</sub>* = 0.68 (toluene–EtOAc, 5:1).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (90 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 6.70 (s, 1 H, =CH), 3.95 [t, *J* = 5 Hz, 2 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>], 2.1 (s, 3 H, SCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.8–2.3 (m, 4 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>).

Anal. Calcd for  $C_6H_{10}$ OS (130.21): C, 55.35; H, 7.74; S, 24.63. Found: C,55.25; H, 7.64; S, 24.16.

*From 2 via the Chloride 8*: To a cooled (–50 °C) solution Me<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (6; 4.45 mL, 50 mmol) in anhydrous  $CH_2Cl_2$  (25 mL) was added a solution of  $SO_2Cl_2$  (4.07 mL, 50 mmol) in anhydrous  $CH_2Cl_2$  (15 mL) with stirring within 10 min. After further 5 min, a solution of dihydropyran (**2**; 9.15 mL, 100 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (20 mL) was added with stirring at –50 °C. Subsequently, a solution of DBU (16.1 mL, 110 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$ (25 mL) was added at –50 °C. After warming up to r.t. overnight, the mixture was washed with sat. aq NaHCO<sub>3</sub> ( $2 \times 25$  mL), the organic phase dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and concentrated. Distillation of the crude product in a Kugelrohr apparatus at 80–90 °C/12 mmHg afforded **5** as a colorless oil; yield: 4 g (31%).

*From 2 by Reaction with the S-Oxide 9:*  $Me<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>$  (6; 8.87 mL, 100 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (50 mL) was cooled to 0  $^{\circ}$ C and a suspension of 80% *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid (21.56 g, 100 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (200 mL) was added within 1 h with stirring. After 5 h additional reaction time at 0 °C, the suspension was filtered and the filtrate dried ( $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ ), and evaporated to give 9.85 g crude *S*-oxide **9**, which was distilled in a Kugelrohr apparatus at 70–90 °C/12 mmHg; yield: 8.2 g (74%). To a solution of the *S*-oxide **9** (1.32 g, 12 mmol) and dihydropyran (**2**; 1.83 mL, 20 mmol) in anhydrous  $CH_2Cl_2$  (25 mL) was added dropwise a solution of trifluoroacetic acid anhydride (1.38 mL, 10 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (5 mL) at r.t. with stirring while cooling in a water bath. After 2 h at r.t., a solution of DBU (3.22 mL, 22 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (5 mL) was added to the brown reaction mixture, whereupon the dark mixture turned to yellow. After keeping the reaction mixture for 2 d, it was shaken with sat. aq NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and evaporated. The crude product (3.98 g) was distilled in a Kugelrohr apparatus at 80–90 °C/12 mmHg to give pure **5** as a colorless oil; yield: 0.99 g (38%).

### **5-(Methylsulfonyl)-3,4-dihydro-2***H***-pyran (11)**

*By Oxidation of Sulfide 5*: A solution of NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (2.07 g 15 mmol) in  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  (10 mL) was diluted with MeOH (25 mL) and combined with a solution of KHSO<sub>5</sub> (6.45 g, 10.5 mmol) in  $H_2O$  (30 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. A solution of **5** (0.65 g, 5 mmol) in MeOH (15 mL) was added dropwise with stirring within 1 h and the stirring was continued for 3 h at 0 °C. After warming up to r.t. overnight,  $H_2O$  was added to the mixture and extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (5 × 100 mL). The combined extracts were dried ( $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ ), filtered, and evaporated to give crude 11 (0.55 g, 68%). On extraction of the crude product repeatedly with  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$ and concentration of the  $Et_2O$ , the sulfone 11 crystallized as colorless crystals; yield: 0.45 g (28%); mp 76–77 °C; R<sub>f</sub> = 0.34 (EtOAc– MeOH, 8:2).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (90 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 7.48 (s, 1 H, =CH), 4.15 (t, *J* = 5 Hz, 2 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.95 (s, 3 H, SCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.80–2.50 (2 m, 4 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>).

Anal. Calcd for  $C_6H_{10}O_3S$  (162.21): C, 44.43; H, 6.21; S, 19.77. Found C, 44.33; H, 6.10; S, 19.53.

*By Oxidation of Sulfoxide 12*: To a solution of aq 10% NaOCl (1.5 mL, 2 mmol) and aq 2 N NaOH (0.5 mL, 2 mmol) was added 5-(methylsulfinyl)-3,4-dihydro-2*H*-pyran (**12**; 0.29 g, 2 mmol) and the mixture stirred overnight at r.t. Then, a further amount of aq 10% NaOCl (1 mL) was added and the mixture was kept again overnight, whereupon no starting material **12** could be detected anymore by TLC (eluent: EtOAc–MeOH, 8:2). After the addition of  $CH_2Cl_2$  (50 mL) and a small amount of  $H_2O$ , the organic phase was dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and evaporated to give crude **11** (0.25 g), which was crystallized from  $Et_2O$ to give pure **11** in two crops; yield: 0.15 g (47%); colorless crystals; mp 76–77 °C.

### **5-(Methylsulfinyl)-3,4-dihydro-2***H***-pyran (12)**

To an ice cold stirred solution of **5** (2.6 g, 20 mmol) in MeOH (100 mL) was added dropwise a solution of NaIO<sub>4</sub> (4.28 g, 20 mmol) in H<sub>2</sub>O (60 mL), whereupon the solution turned yellow and a colorless precipitate formed. After stirring overnight at r.t., the mixture was filtered, and the filtrate concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (50 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated to give the crude oily racemic **12** (2.88 g). Distillation at 120 °C/600 mmHg afforded purified **12** as a colorless oil; yield: 2.23 g (76%).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (90 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 7.50 (s, 1 H, =CH), 4.10 (t, *J* = 6 Hz, 2 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.90 (s, 3 H, SCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.80-2.50 (2 m, 4 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>).

**Syn thesis** B. Bennua-Skalmowski, H. Vorbrueggen **Paper** Paper **Paper** 

# **Supporting Information**

Supporting information for this article is available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0034-1380217.

## **References**

- (1) Present address: Institut Für Chemie und Biochemie, Freie Universität Berlin, Takustr. 3, 14195 Berlin, Germany.
- (2) Skuballa, W.; Raduechel, B.; Vorbrueggen, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 4285.
- (3) Meerwein, H.; Zenner, K. F.; Gipp, R. *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1965**, *688*, 67.
- (4) Baldwin, M. J.; Brown, R. K. *Can. J. Chem.* **1967**, *45*, 1195.
- (5) Brintzinger, H.; Pfannstiehl, K.; Koddebusch, H. *Chem. Ber.* **1950**, *83*, 87.
- (6) Freeman, F.; Angeletakis, C. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 4039.
- (7) de Lucchi, O.; Miotti, U.; Modena, G. *Org. React.* **1991**, *40*, 157.
- (8) Opitz, O.; Rieth, K. G.; Walz, G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1966**, 5269.
- (9) Opitz, O.; Bluecher, D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1966**, 5263.
- (10) Beagley, B.; James, M.; Pritchard, R. G.; Raynor, C. M.; Smith, C.; Stoodley, R. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1992**, 2371.
- (11) Skuballa, W.; Vorbrueggen, H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1981**, *20*, 1046.
- (12) Skuballa, W.; Schillinger, E.; Stuerzebecher, C. St.; Vorbrueggen, H. *J. Med. Chem.* **1986**, *29*, 313.