

CHEMMEDCHEM

CHEMISTRY ENABLING DRUG DISCOVERY

Accepted Article

Title: Synthesis and Biological Screening of new Lawson Derivatives as selective substrate-based Inhibitors of Cytochrome bo3 Ubiquinol Oxidase from Escherichia coli

Authors: Isam Elamri, Melanie Radloff, Katharina F. Hohmann, Vijaykumar D. Nimbarte, Hamid R. Nasiri, Michael Bolte, Schara Safarian, Hartmut Michel, and Harald Schwalbe

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: ChemMedChem 10.1002/cmdc.201900707

Link to VoR: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cmdc.201900707



WILEY-VCH

www.chemmedchem.org

anuscrii

FULL PAPER

Synthesis and Biological Screening of new Lawson Derivatives as selective substrate-based Inhibitors of Cytochrome *bo*₃ Ubiquinol Oxidase from Escherichia coli

Isam Elamri⁺,^[a] Melanie Radloff⁺,^[b] Katharina F. Hohmann,^[a] Vijaykumar D. Nimbarte,^[a] Hamid R. Nasiri,^[a] Michael Bolte, ^[c] Schara Safarian,^[b] Hartmut Michel,^[b] and Harald Schwalbe^{*} ^[a]

[a] I. Elamri, K. F. Hohmann, V. D. Nimbarte, H. R. Nasiri. Prof. Dr. H. Schwalbe. Center for Biomolecular Magnetic Resonance Institute of Organic Chemistry and Chemical Biology Goethe-University Frankfurt am Main (Germany) Max-von Laue-Straße 7, 60438 Frankfurt am Main (Germany) Email: <u>schwalbe@nmr.uni-frankfurt.de</u>
 [b] M. Radloff, Dr. S. Safarian, Prof. Dr. H. Michel Department of Molecular Membrane Biology Max Planck Institute of Biophysics Max-von-Laue-Straße 3, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany
 [c] M. Bolte, Institute for Inorganic Chemistry, Goethe-University Frankfurt am Main (Germany).

[+] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

The respiratory chain of *Escherichia coli* contains two different types of terminal oxidases that are differentially regulated as a response to changing environmental conditions. These oxidoreductases catalyze the reduction of molecular oxygen to water and contribute to the proton motive force. The cytochrome *bo*₃ oxidase (cyt. *bo*₃) acts as the primary terminal oxidase under atmospheric oxygen levels, while the *bd*-type oxidase is most abundant under microaerobic conditions. In *E. coli*, both types of respiratory terminal oxidases (HCO and *bd*-type) utilize ubiquinol-8 as electron donor. Here, we assess the inhibitory potential of newly designed and synthesized 3-alkylated Lawson derivatives via the L-proline-catalyzed three-**c**omponent **r**eductive **a**lkylation (TCRA). The inhibitory effects of these Lawson derivatives on the terminal oxidases of *E. coli* (cyt. *bo*₃ and cyt. *bd*-I) were tested potentiometrically. Four compounds were able to reduce the oxidoreductase activity of cyt. *bo*₃ by more than 50% without affecting the cyt. *bd*-I activity. Moreover, two inhibitors for both cyt. *bo*₃ and cyt. *bd*-I oxidase could be identified. Based on molecular docking simulations, we propose binding modes of the new Lawson inhibitors. The molecular fragment benzyl enhances the inhibitory potential and selectivity for cyt. *bo*₃ while heterocycles reduce this effect. This work extends the library of 3-alkylated Lawson derivatives as selective inhibitors for respiratory oxidases and provides molecular probes for detailed investigations of the mechanisms of respiratory chain enzymes of *E. coli*.

Introduction

Naphthoquinones are among the most abundant compounds in nature.^[1] The growing interest to develop novel quinone derivatives for targeting diverse biomolecular processes originates from their role as essential metabolites in living organisms, e.g. phylloquinone (Vitamin K₁) in green plants or ubiquinols and menaquinols in bacteria.^[2] The naphthalenebased 1,4-diketone compounds are interacting with a broad spectrum of biological targets through two different mechanisms, by either forming covalent bonds due to their electrophilic properties or reducing oxygen. [3,4] Derivatization of the 1,4naphthoquinones scaffold into novel inhibitors opens potential avenues to increase the possibility of interaction with biological molecules, in particular also to gain target specificity [5-7] Consequently, the inhibitory properties of 1,4-naphthoguinones and their derivatives have been elucidated in multiple studies antimalarial,[8-10] anticancer,[11-13] presenting e.g.

antibacterial,^[14,15] antiseptic or cytotoxic^[16,17] activities. The respiratory chain of *E. coli* performs redox chemistry by exclusively utilizing quinols as electron carriers. Key enzymes are the quinol oxidizing cytochrome *bd-1*, *bd-11* and *bo*₃ oxidases. At atmospheric oxygen conditions (250 μ M O₂), the cyt. *bo*₃ oxidase is the primary terminal oxidase (K_m 2.4 μ M). Under limited oxygen concentrations cytochrome *bd*-type oxidases are essential for maintaining aerobic respiration due to their higher affinity for oxygen (K_m 3 – 500 nM)^[18]. The stoichiometry of the four electron reduction of O₂ through oxidation of membrane bound ubiquinol-8 (UQ8H₂) to ubiquinone-8 (UQ8) by cyt. *bo*₃ is shown in the following equation:

$$2 UQ8 H_2 + 8 H_{cyto}^{\dagger} + O_2 = 2 UQ8 + 8 H_{peri}^{\dagger} + 2 H_2 O$$

The free energy of this redox reaction is utilized to pump four protons from the cytoplasm to the periplasm while four substrate protons from the cytoplasm are used for the reduction of dioxygen

to water.^[18,19] Based on their electron donors, members of the heme-copper oxidases superfamily (HCO) are divided into two classes. Quinol-type oxidases (QOX) use membrane-soluble quinol molecules as two-electron donors while cytochrome c type oxidases (COX) receive their electrons from membrane associated cytochrome c proteins.^[20-23] The E. coli cyt. bo₃ oxidase is the best studied member of the QOX subfamily and is characterized by four-subunits. Subunit I, II and III are homologues to the core subunits of the mitochondrial A-type cytochrome c oxidase. [22,24] Cytochrome bd-type oxidases show no sequence homology to members of the heme-copper superfamily.^[2,25,26] Recently, several studies reported the ability of cyt. bo₃ to utilize low potential quinones, menaquinones (MKs) and demethylmenaquinones (DMKs) in their reduced form as electron donors under anaerobic conditions.^[27-32] Despite the high structural similarity to these quinone substrates, the inhibitory effects of naphthoquinone derivatives on the cytochrome bo3 (cyt. bo₃) oxidase have not been performed in the past. Only non-selective Aurachin and hydroxyquinoline N-oxide derivatives (e.g. 2-heptyl-4-quinolinol 1-oxide, HQNO) have been used to investigate the functional and structural properties of quinol binding and oxidation.

Here, we describe synthesis and *in vitro* analysis of new 3-alkylated hydroxynaphthoquinones using L-proline-catalyzed three-component reductive alkylation. Within this set of compounds, we have identified new inhibitors with target selectivity for cyt. bo_3 of *E. coli.*

Synthesis

We synthesized a new set of alkylated hydroxynaphthoguinones and probed their activity and selectivity against cyt. bo3 and bd-I-type oxidases from E. coli. We aimed to synthesize a systematic set of new 3-alkylated hydroxynaphthoquinones (HNQ) using L-proline-catalyzed three-component reductive alkylation (TCRA) (Scheme 1). TCRA was described previously by Ramachary^[23,33,34] as an efficient procedure to substitute cyclic ß-keto-lactones with different aldehyde derivatives. Gribble et al.[35] have shown that the reaction yield can be significantly improved upon addition of two equivalents of corresponding aldehyde. Alkylation of HNQ scaffold using the TCRA method alkylation rates, despite the showed high expected mesomerization in presence of the aromatic benzene ring and the 2-hydroxyl group, yielding up to 80% of desired 3-alkylated Lawson-derivatives. However, in case of benzothiazol-2carbaldehyde derivative (Scheme 1, HNQ-11), the reaction yield was considerably lower. Analysis of the reaction utilizing TLS-MS spectrometry showed that proline-intermediate [II] was the dominating part in the reaction mixture. Attempts to force the equilibrium to the olefin-intermediate [III], either by increasing the quantity of the reduction agent (Hantzsch ester) or of the catalyst (L-proline), did not prove to be a successful approach. However, we were able to isolate the proline-intermediate [II] from this reaction using column chromatography as HNQ-11 (see Scheme 1). This confirmed the hypothesized feasibility of the TCRA alkylation mechanism for reductive alkylation of



Scheme 1. Synthesis of 3-alkylated hydroxynaphthoquinone derivatives (HNQ-1-12) via Three-component reductive alkylation TCRA. Hydroxynaphthoquinones (1 equiv), Hantzsch ester (1 equiv), L-proline (0.5 equiv). Solvent: DCM or MeOH for poorly soluble aldehyde derivatives. In some cases, the crude product was stirred for 4h with LiOH (4 equiv) in H₂O/MeOH to remove the excess of oxidized Hantzsch ester (saponification). The general procedure of the TCRA method is described in experimental section. Characterization of data is shown in the Supporting Information. Figures S2-S36.

2-hydroxynaphthoquinone, as shown in Scheme 2. The multiple-component cascade reaction starts with activation of the aldehyde to the corresponding iminium intermediate [I], which can undergo a nucleophilic attack from the double bond of the vinyl alcohol of Lawson **0** under very mild conditions, generating the substituted naphthalenetrione-intermediate *in situ* [II]. Due to high acidity of [II] a reductive elimination reaction occurs, giving a 3-alkylated olefin [III], which undergoes the redox reaction with Hantzsch ester, forming the corresponding hydrogenated product [IV] (Scheme 2a). The hypothesized mechanism of this reaction was supported by the inadvertently isolated intermediate HNQ-11 and the crystal structure of HNQ-6 (Scheme 2b and 2c).



Scheme 2.a) Hypothesized mechanism for catalytic cycle of TCRA to alkylated Lawson. **b)** Isolated HNQ-11 confirming intermediate [II]. **c)** Atomic model of the X-ray structure of HNQ-6 confirming the alkylated Lawson. Crystallographic data of HNQ-6 are shown in Supporting Information Figure S1.

Solubility assay

To meet the requirements for further functional investigations, solubilities of the HNQ compounds were determined by an absorbance-based thermodynamic equilibrium solubility assay.^[36] As shown in Scheme 3a, plotting absorbance over concentration at the wavelength of the maximum peak of the absorbance spectra, (HNQ-2 at λ =274 nm), provides a saturation point at 1.26±0.03 mM (solubility graphs for all HNQ compounds are shown in Supporting Information. Figure S37). Table 1 indicates that solubility of most evaluated compounds ranges between 0.87 mM and 5.48 mM, whereas three of them show solubility

higher than 8.3 mM or even 10.3 mM in buffer. Therefore, all twelve HNQ compounds are feasible for further functional studies.



Scheme 3.a) Solubility profile of HNQ-2 in buffer (20 mM NaPi, 50 mM NaCl, 0,02% DDM, pH 8.0) at room temperature and detection wavelength $\lambda = 274$ nm. b) Absorbance spectra of HNQ-2 for all concentrations used in the assay.

Table 1: Experimental solubility data of HNQ-1 – HNQ-12 in buffer(20 mM NaPi, 50 mM NaCl, 0,02% DDM, pH 8.0) at room temperature.Stock concentrations and concentrations for particular measurementsare shown in Supporting Information. Table S1.

Compound	Detection	Solubility	Solubility
	Wavelength [nm]	[µg/mL]	[mM]
HNQ-1	273	335±24	1.02±0.08
HNQ-2	274	334±7	1.26 ± 0.03
HNQ-3	470	627±21	2.36 ± 0.08
HNQ-4	275	267±19	0.87±0.06
HNQ-5	273	1669±69	5.5 ±0.3
HNQ-6	274	400±44	0.78±0.09
HNQ-7	479	> 2677	> 8.3
HNQ-8	275	> 3135	> 10.3
HNQ-9	273	> 2677	> 8.3
HNQ-10	490	840±151	2.1±0.4
HNQ-11	275	800±85	1.84 ± 0.19
HNQ-12	273	1640±94	4.6±0.3

Enzyme activity assay

The inhibitory effect of a set of HNQs was screened in micromolar range to identify selective cyt. *bo*₃ and cyt. *bd-1* inhibitors. Oxygen reduction was measured via a Clark-type electrode. Turnover rates of cyt. *bo*₃ and *bd*-I experiments are presented as relative activities (Figure 1). For experimental overview and further information see Supporting Information (Figures S38-40), Tables S2-3). Activity of cyt. *bo*₃ was strongly (>50 %) reduced by HNQ-1, -2, -6, -7 and -12. HNQ-1 and HNQ-12 are the most effective cyt. *bo*₃ inhibitors, yet lack selectivity as they also inhibit cyt. *bd-I*, but in a lower extend compared to HQNO. HQNO is described as a noncompetitive^[37] or uncompetitive^[38,39] inhibitor.

FULL PAPER

Overall, the *E. coli bd-I* type oxidase was less sensitive to the majority of examined compounds. Merely the two most hydrophobic substances showed an inhibitory effect on the cyt. *bd-I* type oxidase (HNQ-1: 30 %; HNQ-12: 56 %).

No or a low inhibitory effect (< 30 %) was observed for HNQ-3, -4, -8, -9 and -11 with both oxidases. With respect to cyt. bo_3 selectivity HNQ-2, -6 and -7 are the most promising candidates for further rounds of chemical optimization.



Figure 1. Screening of the inhibition potentials of tested hydroxynaphthoquinones (HNQ) on oxidase reduction activity of purified *E. coli* cyt.*bo*₃ (white) and *bd-I* (light grey) oxidase. Inhibition assay using HNQs at 250 μ M in presence of 200 μ M ubiquinone-1 and 5 mM dithiothreitol. Oxygen reduction activity was calculated from oxygen consumption rates at 30 nM enzyme concentration at RT for each experiment. 100% activity = adding corresponding volume of EtOH. KCN at 20 μ M and HQNO at 250 μ M represent positive inhibition controls for cyt. *bo*₃. Presented data are mean values of three independent experiments ± standard deviation.

In order to understand the correlation between inhibition and the chemical nature of the alkylated residue at position 3 of the HNQ backbone, we systematically grouped our compounds based on the substituents and comparatively evaluated their effects (Figure 2). An overview of the effect of structural modifications on the inhibition potential of oxygen reduction activity is given in Table 2. For residues composed of a benzylic ring in combination with an alkoxy or hydroxy group a decrease in inhibition is noticeable depending on position and arrangement of the heteroatoms (from HNQ-12 over -2, -6, -7 to -10) (Figure 2A). Benzylated HNQ-2 showed a strong inhibitory effect on cyt. bo₃, while not influencing the cyt. bd-l activity at all. Compounds HNQ-6 and -7 share a positive mesomeric effect (+M) due to the aromatic character of their residues. The benzodioxole of HNQ-6, enhanced the inhibition of cyt. bo3 activity (<30 % rest activity for cyt. bo3) while also showing an increased selectivity for the HCO type oxidase. Changing the dioxolan ring to a hydroxy and a methoxy group in HNQ-7 slightly reduces the inhibitory effect on cyt. bo3 (<50 % residual activity for cyt. bo3) and also negatively affects the selectivity compared to HNQ-6. HNQ-10 contains a resorcin residue and shows a low inhibition of 31 %. This suggests that addition of two hydroxy groups lowers the inhibitory effect on cyt. *bo*₃ compared to the simply benzylated HNQ-2. It is conceivable that the negative inductive effect (–I) of the OH-groups lowers the aromatic Pi-Pi system in HNQ-10 since this group possibly interacts with the amino acids of the cyt. *bo*₃ quinol binding site.

A similar effect was observed for the nitrogen containing moieties in HNQ-3, -4, -5, -8 and -11. HNQ-11 is characterized by a bulky residue composed of a benzthiazole and proline that lacks the methylene spacer to the HNQ backbone. HNQ-8 contains a 7-azaindol group. We could not show an influence on either cyt. bo3 or bd-I type oxidase activity with these compounds. In HNQ-3 a pyridine group was added that seems to impede an interaction with both enzymes since the activity remains unaffected. Changing this group to N,N-dimethylanilin, as in HNQ-4, improved the inhibitory effect on both enzymes (15 % rest activity for cyt. bo3 and cyt. bd-l) but did not improve selectivity for cyt. bo3. Regarding HNQ-5 а modification of the N,N-dimethylanilin to a 1-H-indazole residue results in a higher selectivity for cyt. bo3. HNQ-5 shares a bulky residue similar to HNQ-8 composed of two rings including two nitrogens as heteroatoms. However, in HNQ-5 the benzylic-part of the 1-H-indazole group is linked to the hydroxynaphthoquinone backbone instead of the C5 heterocycle of HNQ-8.



Figure 2. Overview of relative inhibition of benzylic and alkoxy or hydroxy containing residues (a) and nitrogen containing residues (b) on oxidase reduction activity of *E. coli* cyt *bo*₃ with relevant residues in position 3.

Interestingly, while HNQ-5 shows a selective inhibitory effect on cyt. *bo*₃, HNQ-8 does not affect oxygen reductase activities at all (40 % inhibition HNQ-5; 0 % inhibition HNQ-8). Concerning the poor inhibitory effect of the heteroaromatic compound HNQ-3 it is reasonable that the heteroaromatic character of the pyridine residue hinders interaction with cyt. *bo*₃.

FULL PAPER



Figure 3. a) Proposed binding site of conjugate HNQ-2, HNQ-6 and HNQ-7 within the subunit-1 of cytochrome *bo*₃ ubiquinol oxidase (PDB: **1FFT**); **b)** 2D-interactions of HNQ-2 with ASN-A-124 (Pi-donor in cyan color), PHE-A-146 (Pi-Pi-stacking interactions in pink color), ILE-A-120 and PRO-A-117 (Pi-alkyl interactions in light pink color); 2D-interaction of HNQ-7 with PHE-A-146 (Pi-sigma interactions in violet color), SER-A-145, ILE-A-120 (amide-Pi stacked in violet color), GLY-A-121, PHE-A-118 (Carbon-hydrogen donor in cyan color) and with PRO-A-117 (Pi-alkyl interactions in pink color); 2D-interactions in violet color) and with ILE-A-109, PHE-A-113, PRO-A-117, VAL-A-153, VAL-A-156 (Pi-alkyl interactions in light pink color); 2D-interactions of Aurachin C with ARG-A-71 (Pi-cationic interactions in yellow color), VAL-A-114 (Pi-sigma interactions in violet color), and ILE-A-109, PHE-A-113, PRO-A-117, PHE-A-146, VAL-A-153, VAL-A-156, ILE-A-109 (Pi-alkyl interactions in light pink color), and with GLY-A-72 (carbon-hydrogen bond interactions in light green color).

Our experiments revealed that alkylation of hydroxynaphthoquinones with nitrogenous heterocycles e.g. benzamine (HNQ-4), indazole (HNQ-5), azaindole (HNQ-8) and benzthiazole (HNQ-11) is not expedient to inhibit neither cyt. *bo*₃, nor cyt. *bd-l* activity.

Substituted benzenes groups showed an improved inhibitory effect since this seems to show a certain level of tolerance towards electron-donating groups e.g. alkoxy in HNQ-6 or HNQ-12. This effect diminishes by introducing a hydroxy group (HNQ-7) or regarding HNQ-10 a double hydroxylation. HNQ-9 represents the only tested metallocene. This feature did not improve the inhibitory effect nor selectivity compared to metal-free HNQs.

To gain further insights into inhibition efficiencies, the apparent ki (k_i^{app}) values for HQNO, HNQ-12 and HNQ-6 were determined.^[37,40]. The assay was performed without preincubation of enzyme and inhibitor and with a fixed ubiquinone-1 concentration of 200 μ M. For HQNO k_i^{app} was found to be in a micromolar range at 3,2 ± 0,7 μ M. In case of HNQ-12 k_i^{app} was determined on a similar scale at 5,7 ± 0,6 μ M. The k_i^{app} for the third tested compound HNQ-6 was found to be two orders of magnitude higher at 225 ± 9 μ M (see Supporting Information Figure S41-43). Previous work determined a k_i^{app} for HQNO at 0.7 μ M via steady state kinetic experiments.^[40]

To explore molecular binding interactions with cyt. *bo*₃ we subsequently performed in-depth docking analyses for HNQ-2, HNQ-6 and HNQ-7, respectively.

Table 2: Effect of structural hydroxynaphthoquinone modification on the inhibition potential of purified *E. coli* cyt. *bo*₃ and *bd-l* oxidase.

Structural Inhibitor Modification		Effect on inhibition	
Structural minibitor Modification		bo₃	bd
2-Hydroxy group instead of 2-Methyl group of quinone substrates	1-12	+++	
Alkylation with long chain or bulky residues	1,12	+++	++
Simple benzylation*	2	+++	
Benzylation with aromatic substituents that exhibit a positive mesomeric effect	6,7	++	
Benzylation with aromatic substituents that exhibit a negative inductive effect	10		
Alkylation with nitrogen heterocycles	3-5, 8 11		

*the attempt to increase the inhibition effect on cyt. *bo*₃ through introducing a phenoxygroup (HNQ-12) containing two phenyl groups was successful but at expence of selectivity since inhibition of *bd*-oxidase was also noticeably increased

Molecular docking studies

Ubiquinol cyt. *bo*₃ oxidase crystal structure is composed of tetramer subunits chain-A, chain-B, chain-C, chain-D, chain-E and chain-F, respectively with a sequence length of 663 amino acids. Most frequently used inhibitors for cyt. *bo*₃ are the

non-competitive HQNO (2-*n*-heptyl-4-hydroxyquinoline *N*-oxide) and different Aurachin C derivatives.^[37,40–43] Previous work by Choi et al.^[40] explored *E.coli* cyt. *bo*₃ with the natural substrate ubiquinol-8 and known inhibitors Aurachin C derivatives as well as HQNO (2-*n*-heptyl-4-hydroxyquinoline *N*-oxide) suggested that there is only one binding site for ubiquinol, a high affinity single-site (Q_H), which disprove the current consensus model of two binding sites (Q_H, Q_L). Furthermore, it was confirmed that inhibitors such as HQNO bind also on the Q_H site displacing only the quinone part of the endogenous substrate due the high affinity of the isoprene chain to the protein. ^[40]

In-depth docking analysis has been performed to elucidate the interactions of the most active agents HNQ-2, HNQ-6 and HNQ-7 and HQNO, Aurachin C1-10 as reference inhibitors respectively at the Q_H site of cyt. bo₃ ubiquinol oxidase (PDB: **1FFT**) by using Autodock 4.2.^[21] Interestingly, we observed that despite structural differences, the quinolinone ring of Aurachin C1-10 interacts with the same two residues like the hydroquinone ring of ubiquinol-2, namely Arg 71 and Asp 75. It is likely that the binding sites for Aurachin C and ubiquinol-2 are partially overlapping. Further, by comparing docking of all three inhibitor classes it could be found that the binding mode of HNQ-derivatives is more similar to that of HQNO than of Aurachin C. Aurachin C interacts with residues in the substrate binding loop (see Figure 3 and Supporting Figure S47). Our Information designed set of hydroxynaphthoguinones inhibitors were inspired from the native substrate ubiquinol-8 (ring B in Figure 4) and HQNO (ring A in Figure 4). During the development of diverse set of ligands several modifications were introduced into the basic scaffold.

The first derivative HNQ-1 exhibits a long aliphatic side chain, which resembles to the side chain of HQNO and substrate ubiquinol-8. The results of enzyme assays suggest that the scaffolds with relatively long aliphatic side chain are non-selective in nature. To investigate it further we performed intensive molecular docking studies and found that the aliphatic side chains are involved in steric hindrance and some unconventional intermolecular bumps were observed in HNQ-1 and HQNO. This might be the reason for weak binding of HNQ-1 and HQNO into the hydrophobic pocket of the cyt. bo3 ubiquinol oxidase (PDB: 1FFT). Further docking analysis were performed on the most promising derivatives HNQ-2, HNQ-6 and HNQ-7, which showed selective inhibition of cyt. bo3. The binding energies presented in Table 2 support results of enzyme activity. HNQ-2, -6, -7 showed a lower binding energy in comparison with HQNO and Aurachin C1-10.

Interactions of HNQ-2: We found that for HNQ-2, the 3-hydroxynaphthalene-1,4-dione central scaffold is involved in the Pi-Pi stacking (pink color) interaction with PHE-A-146. In addition, unconventional Pi-donor hydrogen binding interactions (cyan color) were observed with ASN-A-124. The phenyl ring with methylene spacer attached to central ring gives an opportunity to rotate freely and found to be involved in the Pi-Pi stacking interactions (pink color) with the PHE-A-146 and some Pi-alkyl interactions (light Pink color) with ILE-A-120 and PRO-A-117 respectively (see Figure 3 and Supporting Information Figure S44).

Interactions of HNQ-6: Molecular docking insight of HNQ-6 suggests that the central naphthalene ring is involved in Pi-sigma interactions (violet color), Pi-Pi stacking interactions (pink color) and Pi-alkyl interactions (light pink color) with PHE-A-146 and ILE-A-120 respectively. In addition to this conventional hydrogen interactions (green color) and unconventional Pi-donor hydrogen binding interactions (cyan color) were observed in 1,4-dione carbonyl and hydroxyl moiety of HNQ-6 with ASN-A-124 and PRO-A-117 respectively. The 1,3-benzodioxole residues attached to the HNQ-ring gives an opportunity to rotate freely and found to be involved in the Pi-alkyl interactions (light pink color) with the LEU-A-122 and unconventional Pi-donor hydrogen binding interactions (cyan color) with PHE-A-118 (see Figure 3 and Supporting Information Figure S44).

Interactions of HNQ-7: Hydroxynaphthoquinone HNQ-7 is involved in the Pi-Pi stacking (pink color) and Pi-alkyl (light pink color) with ILE-A-128, PHE-A-146, SER-A-145. The methoxy hydroxy ring is involved in the unconventional hydrogen binding interactions (light green color) in between methoxy group and GLY-A-121 and PHE-A-118. In addition to this pi electron cloud of substituted phenyl ring is involved in Pi-Pi stacking interaction with PRO-A-117 and GLY-A-121 respectively (see Figure 3 and Supporting Information Figure S44).

Table 3. Binding energies for best fives conformations of HNQ-derivatives and HQNO and Aurachin C1-10 for cyt. *bo*₃. For remaining HNQ-derivatives, see Supporting Information Table S4.

Binding energies kcal/mol

HQNO	-5.72	-5.41	-5.32	-5.24	-5.19
Aurachin C1-10	-4.7	-4.65	-4.01	-3.96	-3.65
HNQ-2	-6.69	-6.68	-6.58	-6.63	-6.18
HNQ-6	-6.95	-6.81	-6.65	-6.49	-6.22
HNQ-7	-6.44	-6.22	-6.35	-6.28	-6.05

As outlined in Figure 3, the comparison of the binding pockets of HNQ-2, HNQ-6 and HNQ-7 to the reference inhibitor HQNO

FULL PAPER

revealed that the designed set of ligands are binding into the similar pocket but with slight difference mode of interactions pattern with regard to the involved amino acids. Furthermore, the methylene-bridge of all alkylated HNQ-derivatives showed no interactions, which facilitate presumably to the flexibility of the compounds needed to enter the binding pocket. Indeed, HNQ-11 lacks this methylene spacer and shows relatively lowest inhibitory potential of all tested HNQs. In addition, the key finding here suggesting that in all scaffolds PHE-A-146 is the major interaction partner with the hydroxynaphthoquinone ring. In addition, for the most selective cyt. bo3 inhibitor HNQ-2, an interesting set of Pi-Pi interactions in between PHE-A-146 and the benzyl-ring was observed. Moreover, this benzylic Pi-electron cloud is also playing crucial role in interactions with ILE-A-120 and PRO-A-117, which creates space to accommodate the HNQ-2 within the binding pocket of ubiquinol oxidase cyt. bo3. These key findings from the molecular modelling studies are in good agreement with the enzyme inhibition assays.



Figure 4. a) Primary design of HNQ-1 inspired from the combination of substrate ubiquinol-8 and inhibitor HQNO. **b)** Modified hydroxynaphthoquinones HNQ-2, HNQ-6, and HNQ-7.

Conceptually, we consider our results as an extension of the conclusion that has been shown in the study of Mogi et al., by which the ubiquinol oxidation sites in cytochromes cyt. *bo*₃ and cyt. *bd* were examined with modified Aurachin C analogues.^[41] It was demonstrated that an increase in the chain length of the alkyl chain decreases the inhibitory effect towards cyt. *bo*₃ in contrast to cyt. *bd*, suggesting a smaller binding site of cyt. *bo*₃ compared to cyt. *bd*. In the current study, we observed that alkylations of hydroxynaphthoquinone scaffold with alkyl chains or bulky substituents are positively influencing the inhibition of both, cyt. *bd* and cyt. *bo*₃ (*e.g.* HNQ-1 and HNQ-12). We further found that alkylation with cyclic residues like benzyl groups (HNQ-2, HNQ-6, HNQ-7) increases the inhibitory potency only for cyt. *bo*₃.

To confirm the selectivity of designed alkylated HNQ derivatives for cyt. bo3 oxidase we performed docking analyses with cyt. bd oxidase. We used the atomic structure of the bd-oxidase (5DOQ) from Geobacillus thermodenitrificans^[44] (determined by X-ray diffraction at 3,05 Å). Based on this crystal-structure (5DOQ) of the menaquinol oxidase we performed docking in the quinol binding loop.^[45] We observed that HQNO and Aurachin C show a higher affinity for cyt. bd oxidase based on the binding energies compared to cyt. bo3 (see Supporting Information Table S5). In contrary, our set of tested HNQ-derivatives is more selective for cyt. bo3 oxidase. Our in silico experiments are hence complementary to the biochemical data presented in this work. Detailed interactions of HQNO, Aurachin C, and HNQ-derivatives are discussed in Supporting Information (Figure S48-52). The long side chain seems to be the reason for the higher affinity of HQNO and Aurachin C1-10 towards the menaquinol binding domain of the cytochrome bd oxidase. By computational docking, it becomes obvious that the side chain is involved in alkyl and Pi-alkyl type of interactions with ILE-A-146, ILE-A-22, TRP-A-374, ILE-A-370 and VAL-A-187. The central scaffold Aurachin C1-10 is involved in a Pi-sigma type interaction with ALA-A-377 and a Pi-Pi T-shaped interaction with HIS-A-186.

In conclusion, the current work extended the library of cyt. bo3 oxidase inhibitors with the beneficial naphthoquinone class. Twelve hydroxynaphthoquinones new 3-alkylated derivatives were synthesized using L-proline-catalyzed three-component reductive alkylation (TCRA). The successfully tested one-step reaction was suitable to achieve a high alkylation efficiency in most cases. Furthermore, due to isolation of one intermediate HNQ-11 the hypothesized alkylation mechanism was confirmed. Solubility of all synthesized derivatives was determined spectrophotometrically by a thermodynamic equilibrium assay in 96-well plates to meet the requirements for functional enzyme tests. Hence in these inhibition experiments, this class shows hiaher selectivity а for *E. coli* cyt. *bo*₃ compared to previously studied inhibitors Aurachin C or HQNO. A purposed interaction mode with cyt. bo₃ was demonstrated. Simple benzylated HNQ-2 is the most favorable cyt. bo3 inhibitor regarding selectivity and efficacy within library. Furthermore, combination of enzyme activity our assay and In-depth docking analysis provided detailed insights into the binding site of new alkylated HNQ derivatives, the positive role of the methylene bridge as well as characteristic of substituents to improve interactions with the amino acids within the binding pocket of targeted enzyme.

FULL PAPER

Experimental Section

General **Procedure:** Synthesis of 3alkylated hydroxynaphthoquinone derivatives (HNQ1-12) via TCRA methode: A mixture of 2-hydroxy-1, 4-naphthoquinone (1 equiv), Hantzsch ester (1 equiv), L-Proline (0,5 equiv) and corresponding aldehyde (2 equiv) dissolved in dried CH₂Cl₂ or MeOH was heated under N2 atmosphere 18 h to reflux. After cooling to room temperature the obtained solution was concentrated in vacuum and the alkylated product was purified by silica-gel column chromatography. In some cases, the purification was impracticable in the presence of oxidized Hantzsch ester exhibiting identical R_f value to those of desired product. Therefore, a mixture of Methanol/Water, 3:1 was added to the mixed fraction (after HPLC purification). The precipitated solid was filtrated out and LiOH (3-5 equiv) was added (reaction was controlled by TLC). The Solution was than stirred for 2 h and Methanol was removed. The aqueous layer was covered with DCM and acidified with a saturated NaHCO₃-Solution. The two layers were separated and the aqueous layer was further extracted with DCM. The organic layers were combined and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to yield finally after the saponification the pure product.

2-Hydroxy-3-tridecyl-1,4-naphthoquinone (HNQ-1)

Aldehyde: Tridecanal. Reaction solvent: CH₂Cl₂. Column chromatography with *c*-hexane/EtOAc, 4:1. Yellow solid (65%). $R_{f} = 0.70$ (*c*-hexane/EtOAc, 1:1).¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.12(d, ³J = 7.9 Hz, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 8.07 (dd, ³J = 7.6 Hz, ⁴J = 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (td, ³J = 7.7 Hz, ⁴J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (td, ³J = 7.7 Hz, ⁴J = 1.1, 1H), 7,30 (br s, 1H, OH), 2.59 (t, ³J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 1.53-1.25 (m, 22H), 0.87 (t, ³J = 6.9 Hz, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 184.8, 181.6, 153.2, 135.0, 133.1, 132.9, 129.6, 127.0, 126.2, 125.0, 32.0, 29.9, 29.8, 29.7, 29.6, 29.5, 28.4, 23.6, 22.8, 14.3 ppm. (MS-ESI): m/z = calcd for C₂₃H₃₂O₃ [M-H]⁻: 355.24; found 355.27.

2-Hydroxy-3 -methylene-(benzyl)-1,4-naphthoquinone (HNQ-2)

Aldehyde: Benzaldehyde. Reaction solvent: CH₂Cl₂. Column chromatography with *c*-hexane/EtOAc, 5:1. Yellow solid (55%). R_f = 0.45 (*c*-hexane/EtOAc, 5:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.04 (dd, ³*J* = 7.6 Hz, ⁴*J* = 0.7 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (dd, ³*J* = 7.5 Hz, ⁴*J* = 0.9 Hz,1H), 7.66 (td, ³*J* = 7.5 Hz, ⁴*J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (td, ³*J* = 7.6 Hz, ⁴*J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (br s, 1H, OH), 7.32 (d, ³*J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.18 (t, ³*J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.10 (t, ³*J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (s,

2H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 183.2, 180.7, 152.1, 137.9, 134.0, 132.0, 131.8, 128.4, 128.2, 127.4, 125.9, 125.3, 125.1, 122.0, 28.2 ppm. (MS-ESI): *m*/*z* = calcd for C₁₇H₁₂O₃ [M-H]⁻: 263.08; found 263.09.

2-Hydroxy-3-methylen-(4-N-pyridyl)-1,4-naphthoquinone

(HNQ-3) Aldehyde: 4-Pyridincarboxyaldehyde. Reaction solvent: CH₂Cl₂. Column chromatography with *c*-hexane/EtOAc, 1:1. Orange solid (55%). R₁= 0.3 (*c*-hexane/EtOAc, 4:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.49 (d, ³*J* = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 8.1 (dd, ³*J* = 7.6 Hz, ⁴*J* = 0.7 Hz, 1H), 8.0 (dd, ³*J* = 7.5 Hz, ⁴*J* = 0.9 Hz, 1H) 7.90 (td, ³*J* = 7.7 Hz, ⁴*J* = 1.2 Hz, 2H) 7.85 (td, ³*J* = 7.7 Hz, ⁴*J* = 1.2 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (d, ³*J* = 5.9, 2H), 3.90 (s, 2H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 183.9, 181.0, 156.9, 149.0, 134.5, 133.2, 131.9, 130.2, 125.7, 124.0, 120.3, 28.1 ppm. ESI-MS (*m*/*z*): calcd for C₁₆H₁₁NO₃, [M-H]⁻: 264.07; found 264.09.

2-Hydroxy-3-methylen-(4-N,N-dimethylanilid)-1,4

naphthoquinone(HNQ-4)Aldehyde:4-N,N-Dimethylaminobenzaldehyde.Reaction solvent:CH2Cl2.Columnchromatographywith *c*-hexane/EtOAc, 2:1.Orange solid(28.4 %).Rf = 0.4 (*c*-hexane/EtOAc, 4:1).¹HNMR (600 MHz,CDCl3): δ 8.01 (d, ${}^{3}J$ =7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (d, ${}^{3}J$ =7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.63(m, 1H), 7.56 (m, 1H), 7.2 (d, ${}^{3}J$ =8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.58 (d, ${}^{3}J$ =8.2 Hz,2H),3.77 (s, 2H), 2.79 (s, 6H) ppm.¹³CNMR (150 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 183.5, 180.8, 151.7, 148.2, 133.8, 131.8, 128.8, 128.4, 125.8,125.0, 122.7, 111.9, 39.8, 27.1 ppm.ESI-MS (*m/z*): [M-H]⁻ calcdfor C₁₉H₁₇NO₃, 306.12; found 306.13.

2-Hydroxy-3-[(indazol-5-yl)methyl]-1,4-naphthoquinone

(HNQ-5) Aldehyde: Indazol-5-carboxyaldehyde. Reaction solvent: CH₂Cl₂. Column chromatography with Chexane/EtOAc, 2:1. Orange solid (66%). R_f = 0.16 (chexane/EtOAc, 2:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 12.9 (br,1H), 8.0 -7.9 (m, 3H), 7.8 (td, ${}^{3}J$ = 7.9 Hz, ${}^{4}J$ = 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.8 (td, ${}^{3}J$ = 7.7 Hz, ${}^{4}J$ = 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.6 (s, 1H), 7.42 (d, J = 8.9 Hz,1H), 7.3 (dd, ${}^{3}J$ = 8.5 Hz, ${}^{4}J$ = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.9 (s, 2H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 184.3, 181.2, 155.7, 134.5, 133.2, 131.9, 131.2, 130.1, 127.4, 125.8, 125.7, 123.0, 122.9, 119.3, 109.8, 31.1, 29.9, 28.4 ppm. ESI-MS (m/z): [M-H]⁻ calcd for C₁₈H₁₂N₂O₃, 303.08, found 303.11.

2-Hydroxy-3-[(1,2-methylenedioxybenz-5yl)methyl]-1,4-

naphthoquinone (HNQ-6) Aldehyde: Piperonal. Reaction solvent: CH_2Cl_2 . Column chromatography with *c*-hexane/EtOAc, 2:1. Orange solid (80%). $R_f = 0.37$ (*c*-hexane/EtOAc, 2:1). ¹H

FULL PAPER

NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.04 (d, ³J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, ³J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.67 td, ³J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (td, ³J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.82 (br, 1H), 6.78 (d, ³J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 6.62 (d, ³J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.81 (s, 2H), 3.78 (s, 2H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 184.4, 181.7, 152.9, 147.5, 146.0, 135.1, 133.0, 132.8, 132.6, 129.4, 126.9, 126.1, 123.1, 122.1, 109.8, 108.2, 100.8, 28.9 ppm. ESI-MS (*m/z*): [M-H]⁻ calcd for C₁₈H₁₂O₅, 307.07, found 307.08.

2-Hydroxy-3-[(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)methyl]-1,4-

naphthoquinone (HNQ-7) Aldehyde: Vanillin. Reaction solvent: CH₂Cl₂. Column chromatography with *c*-hexane/EtOAc, 2:1. Yellow solid 37%). R_f= 0.16 (*c*-hexane/EtOAc, 2:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ 8.7 (br. s, 1H), 8.0 (dd, ³*J* = 7.7 Hz, ⁴*J* = 0.9 Hz, 1H); 7.97(dd, ³*J* = 7.7 Hz, ⁴*J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (td, ³*J* = 7.6 Hz, ⁴*J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H); 7.77 (td, ³*J* = 7.5 Hz, ⁴*J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H); 6.85 (s, 1H); 6.64 (m, 2H); 3.73 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ = 181.8, 178.7, 153.0, 144.8, 142.2, 132.0, 130.7, 129.5, 127.7, 127.5, 123.3, 123.2, 120.5, 118.3, 112.8, 110.6, 53.2, 25.5 ppm. ESI-MS (*m*/*z*): [M-H]⁻ calcd for C₁₈H₁₄O₅, 309.08; found 309.12.

2-Hydroxy-3-[(1H-pyrrolo(2,3-b)pyridine-3-yl)methyl]-1,4naphthoquinone (HNQ-8) Aldehyde: 7-azaindol-3-

carboxyaldehyde. Reaction solvent: CH₂Cl₂. Column chromatography with *c*-hexane/EtOAc, 2:1. Orange solid (51%). R_f = 0.23 (*c*-hexane/EtOAc, 1:7).¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ 11.34 (s, 1H), 8.16 (dd, ³*J* = 4.7 Hz, ⁴*J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 8.04 (dd, ³*J* = 7.8 Hz, ⁴*J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (dd, ³*J* = 7.5 Hz, ⁴*J* = 1.1 Hz, 2H); 7.80 (td, ³*J* = 7.4 Hz, ⁴*J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (td, ³*J* = 7.5 Hz, ⁴*J* = 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, ³*J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (dd, ³*J* = 7.9 Hz, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 3.9 (s, 2H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ = 184.2, 181.2, 155.5, 148.3, 142.2, 134.4, 133.0, 131.9, 130.1, 126.7, 125.7, 125.6, 123.8, 122.5, 119.2, 114.8, 110.6, 18.7 ppm. ESI-MS (*m*/*z*): [M-H]⁺ calcd for C₁₈H₁₂N₂O₃, 305.08; found 305.16.

2-Hydroxy-3-[(ferreocenyl)methyl]-1,4-naphthoquinone

(HNQ-9) Aldehyde: Ferrocenecarboxaldehyde Reaction solvent: CH₂Cl₂. Column chromatography with CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 49:1. Green solid (22%). R_{*I*} = 0.25 (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 49:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8,02 (d, ³*J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (d, ³*J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H) 7,64-7.56 (m, 2H), 7.32 (br. s, 7.32), 4,08 (m, 9H), 3,97(s, 1H), 3,57 (s, 2H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 184.5, 181.9, 152.7, 135.0, 133.0, 129.5, 127.0, 126,1. 123.5, 85.8, 69.1, 68.8, 67.4, 23.2 ppm. ESI-MS (*m*/*z*): [M-H] ⁻ calcd for C₂₃H₂₂FeO₃, 372.03; found 371.05.

2-Hydroxy-3-[(3,5-dihydroxybenzyl)methyl]-1,4-

naphthoquinone(HNQ-10)Aldehyde:3,5-DihydroxybenzaldehydeReactionsolvent:MeOH.Columnchromatography with CH2Cl2:Acetone49:1.Red solid (66%).Rr= 0.56(CH2Cl2/MeOH, 3:1).¹HNMR(600MHz,DMSO-d6):δ8.90(br. s, 2H),7.91-7.70(m, 2H),7.76(m, 1H),7.70(m, 1H),6.16(s, 2H),5.96(s, 1H),3.18(s, 2H)ppm.¹³CNMR(150MHz,MeOD): δ = 185.3;159.0;145.4;136.07;132.7;122.0;115.6;108.4;100.9;29.8ppm.ESI-MS(m/z):[M-H] - calcd forC₁₇H₁₂O₅295.07;found294.94.AlageAlageAlageAlageAlage

2-Hydroxy-3-[(2-thiazol(2-3)benzyl)methyl)pyrrolidine-2-

carboxylic acid-1,4-naphthoquinone (HNQ-11) Aldehyde: Benzothiazole-2-carboxaldehyde. Reaction solvent: MeOH. Column chromatography with CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 14:1.Red solid (60%).R_t= 0.55 (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 14:1).¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ7.99 (d, ³J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.96 (d, ³J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d, ³J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (td, ³J = 7.4 Hz, ⁴J = 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (td, ³J = 7.7 Hz, ⁴J = 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (t, ³J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (t, ³J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (t, ³J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, ³J = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (d, ³J = 14.6 Hz, 1H) 3.55 (m, 1H), 2.94 (q, ³J = 9.7 Hz), 2.43 (m, 1H), 2.0 – 1.93 (m, 3H), ppm. ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 183.1, 181.8, 163.1, 152.8, 135.7, 133.9, 132.8, 132.4, 131.5, 126.6, 126.4, 125.9, 125.8, 123.6, 121.8, 64.7, 54.9, 52.9, 31.6, 23.4 ppm. MALDI: [M-CO₂]⁻ calcd for C₂₃H₁₈N₂O₅S, 390.1; found 390.7.

2-Hydroxy-3-[(4-phenoxybenzyl)methyl]-1,4-naphthoquinone (**HNQ-12**) Aldehyde: 3-phenoxy-benzaldhyde. Reaction solvent: CH₂Cl₂. Column chromatography with *c*-hexane/EtOAc, 3:1. orange solid (45%). R_f = 0.57 (*c*-hexane/EtOAc, 3:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ 8.05 (dd, ³*J* = 7.7 Hz, ⁴*J* = 1.1 Hz, 1H), 8.0 (dd, ³*J* = 7.5 Hz, ⁴*J* = 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (td, ³*J* = 7.7 Hz, ⁴*J* = 1.4, 1H), 7.60 (td, ³*J* = 7.7 Hz, ⁴*J* = 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (s, 1H), 7.27 (d, ³*J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.21 (m, 2H), 6.98 (t, ³*J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (dd, ³*J* = 8.7 Hz, ⁴*J* = 0.9 Hz, 2H), 6.82 (d, ³*J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 3.83 (s, 2H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ = 179.2, 176.5, 152.2, 150.4, 147.7, 129.8, 128.5, 127.8, 127.6, 125.3, 124.4, 124.2, 121.7, 121.0, 117.8, 113.7, 113.5, 23.1 ppm. ESI-MS (*m*/*z*): [M-H] ⁻ calcd for C₂₃H₁₆O₄, 355.1; found 355.05. Characterization data for all synthesized compounds can be found in the Supporting Information

Solubility assay

Thermodynamic equilibrium solubility of HNQ compounds was determined in sodium phosphate buffer containing 20 mM NaPi, 50 mM NaCl, 0,02% DDM at pH 8.0. All steps were performed at room temperature following the method by Bharate &

FULL PAPER

Vishwakarma 2015.^[36] Measurements were done in triplicates. HNQ compounds were dissolved in a particular amount of methanol or DCM (HNQ-9) needed for complete dissolving. Required volumes of these methanol stock solutions were transferred in 1.5 mL reaction tubes (0.1 – 600 μ L dependent on compound and stock concentration) to get a concentration row. After 24 - 48h complete evaporation of methanol or DCM was accomplished. Remaining solid compounds were dissolved by adding 100 / 200 µL of sodium phosphate buffer to the reaction tubes, which were shaken at 200 rpm for one day for equilibration. Next day, centrifugation of the reaction tubes for 30 min at 13 000 rpm. 50 / 100 µL of supernatant were pipetted into a 96er well plate (UV-Star, Greiner) and absorbance spectra were recorded for each well with a TECAN Spark plate reader (Tecan Trading AG, Switzerland). By plotting absorbance at λ_{max} against concentration [mM] of the compound, saturation point of graph indicates the thermodynamic equilibrium solubility. Data analysis and visualization with SigmaPlot Pro 12.5 (Systat Software GmbH, Germany).

Oxygen reductase activity measurements

Oxygen reductase activity was measured as oxygen consumption rate of purified protein by a OX-MR Clark-type oxygen electrode linked to a PA 2000 picoammeter and to an ADC-216 for analog to digital conversion. Recording of data with SensorTrace Basic 2.1 software (all Unisense, Denmark).

Measurements were performed at room temperature in stirred 2 ml - glass vials with a total reaction volume of 600 µL. Oxygen consumption was initiated by adding 30 nM of the respective enzyme to the equilibrated mixture containing 20 mM NaPi (pH 8.0), 50 mM NaCl, 0.02 % DDM, 5 mM Dithiothreitol (DTT) and 200 µM Ubiquinone-1 (2,3-Dimethoxy-5-methyl-6-(3-methyl-2-buten-1-yl)-1,4-benzoquinone). Equilibration was done for 10 minutes prior to enzyme addition. Inhibition experiments with 250 µM of respective HNQ from 20 mM stock solution in EtOH before equilibration. Data analysis and visualization with Origin Lab Pro 9.5 (Additive GmbH, Germany). HQNO (2-heptyl-4quinolinol 1-oxide) was purchased from biomol GmbH, Hamburg. Determination of apparent k_i (k_i^{app}) was performed under the same conditions but with a range of inhibitor concentrations from 1000 µM to 0,2 µM. The kiapp value was adopted as the EC50 from the sigmoidal DoseResp Fit. Further information can be found in Supporting Information Figure S40-43.

Production of cytochrome bd-I and bo3 from E. coli

Production of cytochrome bd-I from E. coli

Production of cytochrome bd-l oxidase from E. coli in E. coli C43 (DE3) Δbo₃ cells transformed with the pet17b-cydABX-StrepII plasmid.[46] 1 ml of 50 % Glycerol stock was added to 50 mL LB-Carb-Kan (50 µg/ml carbenicillin; 50 mg/ml kanamycin) and incubated at 175 rpm at 37°C for 8 h. Pre- culture was transferred to 1 L LB-Carb-Kan to grow over night. Production culture of 2,5 L LB-Kan was inoculated with 70 ml of overnight culture supplemented with 0,025 mM IPTG to start basal production from the beginning. After reaching OD₆₀₀ 0,7 heterologous bd-l oxidase production was started by adding IPTG to a final concentration of 0,25 mM. Incubation at 37 °C for 4 h and lower temperature to 30 °C for another 16h. Cell harvesting was carried out by centrifugation with Avanti J-26 XP at 4°C at 8000 x g. Cell disruption via microfluidizer for six cycles at 80 psi in 50 mM NaPi (pH 8,0) and 100 mM NaCl supplemented with 1 mM MgCl, recombinant DNase I (Sigma) and proteaseinhibitor Aminoethylbenzene-sulfonyl fluoride (Pefabloc, Roche). Low-velocity centrifugation at 5000 x g at 4 °C for 30 min before high-velocity centrifugation of the supernatant at 220 000 x g at 4°C for 90 min. Membrane pellets were resuspended in 50 mM NaPi (pH 8,0), 100 mM NaCl containing buffer and stored at -80 °C.

Streptactin purification of E. coli bd-I oxidase

Solubilization of isolated membranes in 50 mM NaPi (pH 8,0), 100 mM NaCl with 1 % n-Dodecyl β-D-maltoside (β-DDM) to the mass ratio of 1:5 detergent:membrane protein at 4 °C for 120 min on orbitalshaker. Removal of unsolubilized material by 70 000 x g for 30 min. The supernatant was filtrated through a 0,2 µm syringe filter and Avidin was added to a final concentration of 2 mg/mL. Affinity chromatography was done via peristaltic pump with prepacked 5 mL StrepTrap HP column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with 20 mM NaPi (pH 8,0), 100 mM NaCl, 0,02 % DDM at a flow rate of 3 mL/min. Washing step was carried out with the same buffer for 8 CV. Elution with the same buffer containing 10 mM Desthiobiotin (IBA Lifesciences). Sample polishing by dialysis with Slide-A-Lyzer (CutOff 10K) dialysis casettes (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in 4 L 50 mM NaPi (pH 8,0), 100 mM NaCl with 1% n-Dodecyl β-D-maltoside (β-DDM) over night. Verification of E. coli bd-l type oxidase and purity of the product was realized by SDS-page and native page gel electrophoresis, see Supporting information for results.

Production of cytochrome bo3 from E. coli

The protocol for the bo_3 – oxidase production from (Rumbley et al. 1997) was used. In brief strain GO195 transformed with pIRHisA

10

plasmid was a kindly gift from Bob Gennis Lab. The purified membranes were a kindly gift from Hao Xie.

From an overnight pre-culture in 50 ml LB-Amp-Kan (100 μ g/mL ampicillin; 50 μ g/mL kanamycin) 10 mL was transferred into 2.5 L LB medium supplemented with 3 % Lactic acid and 500 μ M CuSO₄. Harvest in mid-logarithmic phase. Membranepreparation via two French Press cycles at 20 000 psi in 50 mM KPi (pH 8,3), 5 mM MgSO₄ with 4 mg/mL DNAse and Pefabloc. Followed by 15 min centrifugation at 17 000 x g and high spin centrifugation of the supernatant at 180 000 x g for 3 h. Membranes were stored at -80 °C prior to Ni-NTA affinity purification.

Ni-NTA purification of E. coli bo3 oxidase

A slightly modified protocol to (Rumbley et al. 1997) was used for cytochrome bo3-oxidase. Solubilization of isolated membranes in 50 mM NaPi with 1 % Triton X-100 and 1,25 % Octylglucoside at 4 °C for 1 h on orbitalshaker. Removal of unsolubilized material by 70 000 x g for 30 min. 4 mL Ni-NTA bed were equilibrated with 50 mM NaPi (pH 8,3), 0,1 % Triton X-100 and 25 mM Imidazole before solubilized protein was added. Washing step with 4 CV of the equilibration buffer, followed by high salt washing step with 250 mM NaPi (pH 8,3), 0,1 % Triton X-100 and 25 mM Imidazole for 4 CV. Third washing with 50 mM NaPi (pH 8,3), 0,1 % DDM and 25 mM Imidazole for 4 CV to change the detergent from Triton X-100 to DDM and lower the salt concentration. For elution a linear gradient of imidazole up to 300 mM was used. His-tagged bo3 oxidase eluted between 100 mM and 200 mM. The pooled fractions were concentrated using a Amicon ultra concentrator with 50 kDa cutoff (Millipore) and dialyzed overnight with Slide-A-Lyzer (CutOff 10K) dialysis casettes (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in 4 L 50 mM NaPi (pH 8,0), 100 mM NaCl with 1 % n-Dodecyl β-Dmaltoside (β-DDM). Verification of *E. coli* bo₃ oxidase and purity of the product was realized by SDS-page and native page gel electrophoresis, see Supporting information for results.

Molecular docking procedure

The optimization of all the geometries of scaffolds was performed with Gaussian 09 semi-empirical PM3 force-field method (see Supporting Information Figure S44).^[47] The crystal structures of PDB (RSCB PDB: **1FFT** and **5DOQ**) was obtained from the RSCB protein data bank.^[21] Docking studies were performed using Autodock 4.2 software.^[48] The visualization and analysis of interactions were performed using Pymol, version 0.99.^[49]

- J. R. Widhalm, D. Rhodes, *Hortic. Res.* 2016, 3, DOI 10.1038/hortres.2016.46.
- [2] B. Søballe, R. K. Poole, *Microbiology* **1999**, *145*, 1817– 1830.
- [3] H. R. Nasiri, K. Hohmann, M. G. Hatemler, A. Plodek, F.
 Bracher, H. Schwalbe, *Med. Chem. Res.* 2017, 26, 1170– 1175.
- [4] Y. Kumagai, Y. Shinkai, T. Miura, A. K. Cho, Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 2012, 52, 221–247.
- J. L. Bolton, M. A. Trush, T. M. Penning, G. Dryhurst, T. J.
 Monks, *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 2000, *13*, 135–160.
- [6] A. V. Pinto, S. L. De Castro, *Molecules* 2009, 14, 4570– 4590.
- [7] O'Brien, Chem. Biol. Interact. 1991, 80, 1–41.
- [8] B. Prescott, **1969**, *431*, 181–182.
- [9] T. S. Lin, L. Y. Zhu, S. P. Xu, A. A. Divo, A. C. Sartorelli, J. Med. Chem. 1991, 34, 1634–1639.
- D. C. Schuck, S. B. Ferreira, L. N. Cruz, D. R. Da Rocha,
 M. S. Moraes, M. Nakabashi, P. J. Rosenthal, V. F.
 Ferreira, C. R. S. Garcia, *Malar. J.* 2013, *12*, 1–6.
- [11] K. W. Wellington, *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 20309–20338.
- [12] A. Kacmaz, N. G. Deniz, S. G. Aydinli, C. Sayil, E. Onay-Ucar, E. Mertoglu, N. Arda, *Open Chem.* 2019, *17*, 337–345.
- [13] and P.-K. L. Bhasin D., Somsundaram N. Chettiar, Jonathan P. Etter, May Mok, *Bioorganic Med. Chem.* 2013, 21, 1–22.
- [14] H. R. Nasiri, M. G. Madej, R. Panisch, M. Lafontaine, J. W. Bats, C. R. D. Lancaster, H. Schwalbe, *J. Med. Chem.* 2013, *56*, 9530–9541.
- [15] V. K. Tandon, H. K. Maurya, M. K. Verma, R. Kumar, P. K. Shukla, *ChemInform* **2010**, *41*, no-no.
- [16] T. Hayashi, F. T. Smith, K. Lee, J.Med.Chem 1987, 11, 2005–2008.
- V. C. Niculescu, N. Muresan, A. Salageanu, C. Tucureanu,
 G. Marinescu, L. Chirigiu, C. Lepadatu, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2012, 700, 13–19.
- [18] K. Kita, K. Konishi, Y. Anraku, J. Biol. Chem. 1984, 259, 3368–3374.
- [19] K. C. Minghetti, R. B. Gennis, *Bichemical Biophys. Res.* Commun. 1988, 243–248.
- [20] J. and V. Borisov, V.B., Gennis, R.B., Hemp, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2011, *11*, 1398–1413.
- J. Abramson, S. Riistama, G. Larsson, A. Jasaitis, M.
 Svensson-Ek, L. Laakkonen, A. Puustinen, S. Iwata, M.
 Wikström, *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 2000, 7, 910–917.
- [22] L. J. Lemieux, M. W. Calhoun, J. W. Thomas, W. J.
 Ingledew, R. B. Gennis, *J. Biol. Chem.* **1992**, *267*, 2105–2113.
- [23] D. B. Ramachary, C. F. Barbas, Chem. A Eur. J. 2004,

ChemMedChem

WILEY-VCH

DOI 10.1002/chem.200400597.

- [24] V. Chepuri, L. Lemieux, D. C. T. Au, R. B. Gennis, J. Biol. Chem. 1990, 265, 11185–11192.
- [25] I. G. Y. B.J. Wallace, Growth (Lakeland) 1977, 461, 75–83.
- [26] G. B. Cox, N. A. Newton, F. Gibson, A. M. Snoswell, J. A. Hamilton, *Biochem. J.* **1970**, *117*, 551–562.
- [27] Z. Ding, C. Sun, S. M. Yi, R. B. Gennis, S. A. Dikanov, *Biochemistry* **2019**, *58*, 4559–4569.
- [28] M. Bekker, G. Kramer, A. F. Hartog, M. J. Wagner, C. G. de Korster, K. J. Hellingwerf, M. J. Teixeira de Mattos, *Microbiology* 2007, 153, 1974–1980.
- [29] P. Sharma, M. J. Teixeira De Mattos, K. J. Hellingwerf, M. Bekker, *FEBS J.* **2012**, *279*, 3364–3373.
- [30] A. Nitzschke, K. Bettenbrock, *PLoS One* **2018**, *13*, 1–18.
- [31] B. J. Wallace, I. G. Young, BBA Bioenerg. 1977, 461, 84– 100.
- [32] J. Rendon, E. Pilet, Z. Fahs, F. Seduk, L. Sylvi, M. H. Chehade, F. Pierrel, B. Guigliarelli, A. Magalon, S. Grimaldi, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Bioenerg.* 2015, 1847, 739–747.
- [33] D. B. Ramachary, M. Kishor, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, DOI 10.1039/c003588b.
- [34] D. B. Ramachary, S. Jain, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, DOI 10.1039/c0ob00611d.
- [35] E. E. Kim, E. O. Onyango, L. Fu, G. W. Gribble, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2015**, *56*, 6707–6710.
- [36] S. S. Bharate, R. A. Vishwakarma, *Bioorganic Med. Chem. Lett.* 2015, 25, 1561–1567.
- [37] L. L. Yap, M. T. Lin, H. Ouyang, R. I. Samoilova, S. A.
 Dikanov, R. B. Gennis, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Bioenerg.* 2010, 1797, 1924–1932.
- [38] M. Sato-Watanabe, T. Mogi, H. Miyoshi, H. Iwamura, K.
 Matsushita, O. Adachi, Y. Anraku, *J. Biol. Chem.* **1994**, 269, 28899–28907.
- [39] S. M. Musser, M. H. B. Stowell, Hung Kay Lee, J. N.
 Rumbley, S. I. Chan, *Biochemistry* **1997**, *36*, 894–902.
- S. K. Choi, L. Schurig-Briccio, Z. Ding, S. Hong, C. Sun, R.
 B. Gennis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 8346–8354.
- [41] H. Miyoshi, K. Takegami, K. Sakamoto, T. Mogi, H. Iwamura, J. Biochem. 1999, 125, 138–142.
- [42] R. Welter, L. Q. Gu, L. Yu, C. A. Yu, J. Rumbley, R. B. Gennis, J. Biol. Chem. 1994, 269, 28834–28838.
- [43] M. Sato-Watanabe, T. Mogi, H. Miyoshi, Y. Anraku, Biochemistry 1998, 37, 5356–5361.
- S. Safarian, C. Rajendran, H. Müller, J. Preu, J. D. Langer,
 S. Ovchinnikov, T. Hirose, T. Kusumoto, J. Sakamoto, H.
 Michel, *Science (80-.).* 2016, *352*, 583–586.
- [45] A. Harikishore, S. S. M. Chong, P. Ragunathan, R. W.
 Bates, G. Grüber, *Mol. Divers.* 2020, DOI 10.1007/s11030-020-10034-0.

- [46] J. Hoeser, S. Hong, G. Gehmann, R. B. Gennis, T.Friedrich, *FEBS Lett.* 2014, *588*, 1537–1541.
- [47] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, et al., *Gaussian 09, Revis. B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT* 2009.
- [48] W. Forli, S. Halliday, R. Belew, A. Olson, *Citeseer* 2012.
- [49] L. Schrödinger, *Thomas Hold.* 2015, DOI 10.1007/s13398-014-0173-7.2.

Acknowledgment

We thank the students: Sayaka Nagasaki, Vanessa Bause, Giuseppe Faudone, Fabian Gohl, Patrick P. Rolo for their help in this project. The Work was supported by DFG in graduate college: CLIC, by EU in ITN: EUROPOL and by state of Hesse for BMRZ.

Keywords: Inhibitor design, membrane proteins, respiratory chain, cytochrome *bo3, bd*.

FULL PAPER

Entry for the Table of Contents

Accepted Manuscript