



Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 506 (1996) 339-341

## Preliminary communication

# Ruthenium-catalysed *ortho* alkylation of hydroxyacetophenones; the functionalisation of ring C aromatic diterpenoids

Paul W.R. Harris, Paul D. Woodgate \*

Department of Chemistry, University of Auckland, Private Bag 92019, Auckland, New Zealand
Received 17 July 1995

#### Abstract

Reaction of 2-, 3-, or 4-hydroxyacetophenone with a catalytic amount of a ruthenium complex and excess of a vinyltrialkoxysilane results in *ortho* alkylation in high yield, providing that a suitable protecting group is used. Bicyclic and tricyclic analogues react similarly.

Keywords: Hydroxyacetophenone; Ortho alkylation; Ruthenium; Catalysis

We have reported previously on the cyclopentaannulation of ring C aromatic tricyclic diterpenoids promoted by the formation and reaction of transition metal-containing intermediates, leading to ring C aromatic steroidal analogues. For example, nucleophile attack on the  $\eta^6$ -Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub> complexes 1 [1] from the podocarpic acid derivative 2 leads mainly to the regioisomer 3 [2], which can be cyclized to 4. In another approach, the cyclomanganated 13-acetyl diterpenoid 5 underwent decarbonylation and then reaction with ethene to give 6 [3], while the 7-oxo analogue 7 yielded Heck-type adducts 8 (59%) and 9 (28%) [4]. These results demonstrated the unique capability of the transition metal mediated chemistry to direct functionalisation of the diterpenoid at C-14, a site not directly accessible in the free arene. However, a procedure which is catalytic in a transition metal would offer significant advantages. A ruthenium-catalysed procedure for the ortho alkylation of some acetophenones and 2-alkylacetophenones has been described [5]. We now report the application of this method to some derivatives of 2-, 3-, and 4-hydroxyacetophenone and to 6-methoxytetralone, and to the diterpenoid ketones 10 and 11.

Since competitive intramolecular chelation of ruthenium by a  $\beta$ -hydroxy ketone could either limit the turnover in the catalytic cycle [5], or prevent the *ortho* 

alkylation completely, a number of phenol-protecting groups were investigated. Thus (Table 1), refluxing 2-methoxyacetophenone (12) and Ru(CO)<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (6 mol%) with either trimethylvinylsilane or triethoxyvinylsilane in toluene for 48 h gave the *ortho* alkylation products 13 or 14 in 25-30% yield. Also present, however, were small amounts of adducts resulting from hydrodemethoxylation.

The benzyl ether 15 did not give an improved yield. An isopropyl group provided good protection against chelation, 17 affording 18 in 83% yield, but some hydrodealkoxylation still occurred. A t-butyldimethylsiloxy (TBDMS) group offered optimum efficiency, 19 giving 20 quantitatively. In all cases only the regioisomer resulting from coupling at the terminal carbon of the vinylsilane was formed. No reaction occurred with either 2-acetoxyacetophenone- or 2-p-toluenesulfonyloxyacetophenone.

The methyl ethers **21** and **24** of 3- or 4-hydroxy-acetophenone reacted satisfactorily (Table 2), although bis alkylation also occurred with excess trimethylvinyl-silane. However, the use of one equivalent of triethoxyvinylsilane with **24** and  $Ru(CO)_2(PPh_3)_3$  gave only monoalkylation **27** in 94% yield. 4-Acetoxyace-tophenone (**28**) afforded **29** from  $CH_2 = CHSi(OEt)_3$  in 60% yield, together with the bis adduct **30** (6%).

6-Methoxytetralone (31) afforded 32 in 92% yield. Gratifyingly, the 7-oxo-12-methoxy diterpenoid 11 gave the analogous C-14 substituted product, requiring only 2 mol% of RuH<sub>2</sub>(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> [5] and 24 h to give 33

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

OMe
OR2
Me OR2
$$R_1$$
Me OCH2
 $R_2$ 
 $R_3$ 
 $R_4$ 
 $R_4$ 
 $R_5$ 

(2: 
$$R^1 = CH_2OMe$$
,  $R^2 = Me$ ,  $R^3 = H$   
3:  $R^1 = CH_2OMe$ ,  $R^2 = Me$ ,  $R^3 = Nu$ )

from  $CH_2 = CHSi(OEt)_3$  in 90% yield. As expected, the *ortho* methoxy diterpenoid ketone **34** reacted only slowly with  $CH_2 = CHSi(OEt)_3$  and  $RuH_2(CO)(PPh_3)_3$  (6 mol%), affording **35** in only 24% yield after refluxing for 7 days, and the 12-demethoxy compound **36** was

MeQ

also produced. Again, however, a TBDMS ether proved much more suitable, 37 giving 38 in 98% yield after 36 h with 4 mol% RuH<sub>2</sub>(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>.

The ruthenium-catalysed method clearly offers a more efficient entry to C-14 alkylated ring C aromatic diter-

<b></b>		
Table	- 1	

Substrate	Alkene, mol equivalent	Catalyst, mol%	Time, h	Product(s), %
12	$CH_2 = CHSiMe_3, 5$	Ru(CO) <sub>2</sub> (PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> , 6	48	13, 29; a
12	$CH_2 = CHSi(OEt)_3$ , 5	$Ru(CO)_2(PPh_3)_3$ , 6	48	<b>14</b> , 25; <sup>b</sup>
15	$CH_2 = CHSi(OEt)_3$ , 5	$RuH_2(CO)(PPh_3)_3$ , 6	24	16, 28; <sup>b</sup>
17	$CH_2 = CHSi(OEt)_3$ , 5	$Ru(CO)_2(PPh_3)_3, 2$	16	<b>18</b> , 83; <sup>b</sup>
19	$CH_2 = CHSi(OEt)_3, 5$	$RuH_2(CO)(PPh_3)_3$ , 2	12	<b>20</b> , 100

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Some 2-ethylacetophenone and 2-ethyl-6-(2-trimethylsilylethyl)acetophenone also. <sup>b</sup> Some 2-(2-triethoxysilylethyl)acetophenone also.

Table 2

Substrate	Alkene, mol equivalent	Catalyst, mol%	Time, h	Product(s), %
21	$CH_2 = CHSiMe_3, 5$	Ru(CO) <sub>2</sub> (PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> , 2	48	<b>22</b> , 8; <b>23</b> , 57
24	$CH_2 = CHSiMe_3$ , 5	$Ru(CO)_2(PPh_3)_3$ , 2	48	<b>25</b> , 34; <b>26</b> , 60
24	$CH_2 = CHSi(OEt)_3, 1$	$Ru(CO)_2(PPh_3)_3$ , 2	24	<b>27</b> , 94
28	$CH_2 = CHSi(OEt)_3$ , 5	$RuH_2(CO)(PPh_3)_3$ , 6	24	<b>29</b> , 60; <b>30</b> , 6

(31: R = H32:  $R = CH_2CH_2Si(OEt)_3$ )

penoids than those requiring a transition metal complex to be prepared and then used stoicheiometrically. Since the transformation of  $C-Si(OR)_n$  into heteroatom functionalised alkyl carbon has been reported [6–9], this chemistry opens the way for annulation reactions leading to ring C aromatic steroidal analogues with either a carbocyclic or heterocyclic ring D.

### R.C. Cambie, G.R. Clark, A.C. Gourdie, P.S. Rutledge, and P.D. Woodgate, J. Organomet. Chem., 297 (1985) 177.

- [2] R.C. Cambie, P.S. Rutledge, R.J. Stevenson, and P.D. Woodgate, J. Organomet. Chem., 471 (1994) 133.
- [3] R.C. Cambie, M.R. Metzler, C.E.F. Rickard, P.S. Rutledge, and P.D. Woodgate, J. Organomet. Chem., 426 (1992) 213.
- [4] R.C. Cambie, M.R. Metzler, P.S. Rutledge, and P.D. Woodgate, J. Organomet. Chem., 429 (1992) 59.
- [5] F. Kakiuchi, S. Sekine, Y. Tanaka, A. Kamatani, M. Sonoda, N. Chatani, and S. Murai, Bull. Chem. Soc., Jpn., 68 (1995) 62.
- [6] A. Hosomi, I. Susumi, and H. Sakurai, Chem. Lett., (1981) 243.
- [7] A. Sakurai, M. Ando, N. Kawada, K. Sato, and A. Hosomi, Tetrahedron. Lett., 27 (1986) 75.
- [8] K. Tamao, T. Kakai, T. Iwahara, R. Kanatani, J. Yoshida, and M. Kumada, *Tetrahedron*, 39 (1983) 983.
- [9] K. Tamao, N. Ishida, T. Tanaka, and M. Kumada, Organometallics, 2 (1983) 1694.

#### References

 $(7: R^1 = CH_2OMe, R^2 = Mn(CO)_4$ 

8:  $R^1 = CH_2OMe$ ,  $R^2 = CH_2CH_2CO_2Me$ 

9:  $R^1 = CH_2OMe$ ,  $R^2 = CH = CHCO_2Me$ 

11:  $R^1 = CO_2Me$ ,  $R^2 = H$ 

33:  $R^1 = CO_2Me$ ,  $R^2 = CH_2CH_2Si(OEt)_3$ 

 $(12 : R^1 = Me, R^2 = H)$ 

13:  $R^1 = Me$ ,  $R^2 = CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ 

14:  $R^1 = Me$ ,  $R^2 = CH_2CH_2Si(OEt)_3$ 

15:  $R^1 = CH_2Ph$ ,  $R^2 = H$ 

16:  $R^1 = CH_2Ph$ ,  $R^2 = CH_2CH_2Si(OEt)_3$ 

17:  $R^1 = iPr$ ,  $R^2 = H$ 

18:  $R^1 = iPr$ ,  $R^2 = CH_2CH_2Si(OEt)_3$ 

19:  $R^1 = TBDMS$ ,  $R^2 = H$ 

**20**:  $R^1 = TBDMS$ ,  $R^2 = CH_2CH_2Si(OEt)_3$ 

 $(21: R^1 = R^2 = H)$ 

22:  $R^1 = CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ ,  $R^2 = H$ 

23:  $R^1 = H$ ,  $R^2 = CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ )

 $(24: R^1 = Me, R^2 = H)$ 

25:  $R^1 = Me$ ,  $R^2 = H$ ,  $R^3 = CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ 

**26**:  $R^1 = Me$ ,  $R^2 = R^3 = CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ 

27:  $R^1 = Me$ ,  $R^2 = H$ ,  $R^3 = CH_2CH_2Si(OEt)_3$ 

28:  $R^1 = Ac$ ,  $R^2 = R^3 = H$ 

**29**:  $R^1 = Ac$ ,  $R^2 = H$ ,  $R^3 = CH_2CH_2Si(OEt)_3$ 

**30**:  $R^1 = Ac$ ,  $R^2 = R^3 = CH_2CH_2Si(OEt)_3$