Tetrahedron Letters 54 (2013) 2077–2081

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet

# Mild and convenient N-formylation protocol in water-containing solvents

Bilal A. Aleiwi, Katsuhiko Mitachi, Michio Kurosu *

*Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Pharmacy, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, 881 Madison Avenue, Memphis, TN 38163, USA*

ARTICLE INFO

*Article history:*
Received 17 January 2013
Revised 1 February 2013
Accepted 4 February 2013
Available online 11 February 2013

*Keywords:*
N-formylation
Reactions in water media
Water-soluble Oxyma
Glyceroacetonide-Oxyma
Amino acids
Kanamycin
Spectinomycin
Daptomycin

ABSTRACT

We have realized that N-formylations of free amines of some drug leads can improve PK/PD property of parent molecules without decreasing their biological activities. In order to selectively formylate *primary* amines of polyfunctional molecules, we have sought a mild and convenient formylation reaction. In our screening of N-formylation of an α-amino acid, L-phenylalanine, none of formylation conditions reported to date yielded the desired HCO-L-Phe-OH with satisfactory yield. N-formylations of amino acids with $HCO_2H$ require a water-containing media and suppress polymerization reactions due to the competitive reactions among carboxylic acids. We found that N-formylations of α-amino acids could be achieved with a water-soluble peptide coupling additive, an Oxyma derivative, (2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)methyl-2-cyano-2-(hydroxyimino)acetate (**2**), EDCI, and $NaHCO_3$ in water or a mixture of water and DMF system, yielding N-formylated α-amino acids with excellent yields. Moreover, these conditions could selectively formylate *primary* amines over *secondary* amines at a controlled temperature. A usefulness of these conditions was demonstrated by selective formylation of daptomycin antibiotic which contains three different amino groups.



## Introduction

In our SAR studies of antibacterial agents, we have realized that N-formylations of free amines of some antibiotics do not significantly decrease their bioactivities and can be applied to improve PK/PD property of parental molecules. Because of necessity of selective formylation reactions of antibiotics and antibacterial agents in our ongoing programs, we have sought a mild and convenient N-formylation reaction condition that can be applied to a wide range of complex natural products, oligo- to poly-peptides, and amino acids. To date, the numerous formylating agents and conditions have been reported.[1] Although several formylating agents can be applicable for the formylations of C-protected amino acids, it is not possible to achieve effective formylation reactions for non-protected amino acids with reported reagents and conditions.[2] In addition, many formylating agents are hygroscopic and are not tolerated in appropriate solvents for the reactions for amino acids and oligo-peptides (e.g., water-containing solvents). In our recent finding of amide-forming reactions with the ethyl 2-cyano-2-(hydroxyimino)acetate (Oxyma, **1**) derivative, glyceroacetonide-Oxyma **2** in water media (Fig. 1),[3] it was observed that formylation of H-L-Phe-OH could be achieved with $HCO_2H$ (5 equiv), **2** (2 equiv), EDCI (2 equiv), and $NaHCO_3$ (10 equiv) in water (0.2–0.3 M) to yield the corresponding HCO-L-Phe-OH in greater than 90% yield. On the other hand, the same reaction in the absence of glyceroacetonide-Oxyma **2** did not furnish the desired HCO-L-Phe-OH. Thus, effectiveness of glyceroacetonide-Oxyma **2** in the formylation of amino acid in water was unambiguously determined. Herein, we report mild and convenient N-formylations in water or water-containing solvent systems, and selective N-formylations of *primary* amines.

## Results and discussion

Formylation of H-L-Phe-OH with $HCO_2H$, glyceroacetonide-Oxyma **2**, EDCI, and $NaHCO_3$ in water seems to undergo through the well-known reaction mechanism with EDCI,[4] however, in this reaction several interesting chemical observations are worth mentioning. $HCO_2H$ reacts with EDCI faster than H-L-Phe-OH; 5 equiv of $HCO_2H$ could completely suppress the undesired competitive reaction with H-L-Phe-OH. Due to the fact that formylation of H-L-Phe-OH with EDCI in water did not proceed in the absence of **2**, the initial intermediate, carbamimidic formic anhydride **3** may have a relatively short half-life or not be a good electrophile as a formylating agent in water. However, the intermediate **3** reacts




**1**: Oxyma **2**: Glyceroacetonide-Oxyma

**Figure 1.** Structures of Oxyma **1** and glyceroacetonide-Oxyma **2**.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 901 448 1045; fax: +1 901 448 6940.
*E-mail address:* mkurosu@uthsc.edu (M. Kurosu).

0040-4039/$ - see front matter © 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2013.02.013

**Table 1**
N-formylations of *primary* and *secondary* amines




11

| Entry | Starting material | Product | Condition | Yield (%) | Entry | Starting material | Product | Condition | Yield (%) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 |  |  | **B**[a] | Quant. | 13 |  |  | **A** or **B** | 95 |
| 2 |  |  | **B**[a] | Quant. | 14 |  |  | **A** or **B** | 95 |
| 3 |  |  | **B**[a] | Quant. | 15 |  |  | **A**[b] | 90 |
| 4 |  |  | **B**[a] | Quant. | 16 |  |  | **A**[b] | 85 |
| 5 |  |  | **B**[a] | Quant. | 17 |  |  | **A**[b] | 95 |
| 6 |  |  | **B**[a] | 30 | 18 |  |  | **A**[b] | 90 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 7 |  |  | **B**[a] | 25 | 19 |  |  | **A** | 90 |
| 8 |  |  | **A** or **B** | 95 | 20 |  |  | **A** | 30 |
| 9 |  |  | **A** or **B** | 95 | 21 |  |  | **A** | 50 |
| 10 |  |  | **A** or **B** | 95 | | | | | |
| 11 |  |  | **A** or **B** | Quant. | | | | | |
| 12 |  |  | **A** or **B** | Quant. | | | | | |

[a] The condition **A** was also effective.
[b] The same reaction under the condition **B** yielded the product in 30–60% yield.




**Figure 2.** The reaction kinetic curves of formylations of H-L-Val-OMe and *N*-Me-L-Val-OMe in $H_2O$ at rt and 0 °C.




**Scheme 1.** Formylation of H-L-Phe-OH in water and a plausible reaction mechanism.

with the glyceroacetonide-Oxyma **2**-sodium salt[5] to furnish the active ester **4** which has a relatively long half-life and serves as N-formylating agent in water (Scheme 1). It is important to note that formylation of H-L-Phe-OH with Oxyma **1** in water furnished the desired product in very low yield (<10%). As observed in peptide-forming reactions, formylation using **1** could be improved dramatically when the reaction was performed in a mixture of DMF–$H_2O$ (9/1).[3b] Thus, **1** and **2** can efficiently be utilized for formylation of H-L-Phe-OH by using water or a mixture of water and DMF. However, glyceroacetonide-Oxyma **2** has a significant advantage over **1** in that **2** can be removed completely after the reactions via an acidic water work-up, thus, only formylated-products can be extracted from reaction mixtures after a simple work-up.

In order to examine the scope and limitations of N-formylation reactions with $HCO_2H$, **2** (or **1**), EDCI, and $NaHCO_3$ in $H_2O$ (condition **A**) or in DMF–$H_2O$ (9/1, condition **B**), we have applied these conditions to a wide variety of *primary* and *secondary* amines, and α-amino acids. As observed for H-L-Phe-OH, formylations of all α-amino acids tested in this program provided the corresponding N-formylated products in $H_2O$. Representative data are summarized in Table 1 (entries 15–18). In all cases N-formylations of α-amino acids with condition **A** furnished the desired products in better yield than those with condition **B** (85–95 vs 30–60% yield). We have demonstrated N-formylation of an oligopeptide in water; N-formylation of the pentapeptide with condition **A** yielded the corresponding formylation product in 90% (entry 19). N-formylation of C-protected α-amino acids could be achieved efficiently either with condition **A** or **B** without a noticeable difference in yield of the products (entries 8–10). Thus, formylations of aliphatic and aromatic amines were performed with Oxyma **1** in DMF–$H_2O$ (condition **B**); N-formylations of benzylamine, octylamine, and aniline provided the corresponding products in quantitative yield (entries 1, 2, and 5). N-formylation reactions of a mono-protected 1,3-diamine and an amino-alcohol provided the N-formylated products in excellent yields (entries 3 and 4). On the other hand, N-formylations of 2-aminobenzoic acid and 2-aminophenol gave rise to the desired products in 30% and 25% yield, respectively (entries 6 and 7).[6] Formylations of *secondary* amines, piperidine, morpholine, L-Pro-OMe, and *N*-Me-L-Val-OMe were completed within 3 h to yield the corresponding products in good yields (entries 11–14). Interestingly, formylation of a *secondary* amine, *N*-Me-L-Val-OMe provided the formylated-product in less than 5% yield at 0 °C, whereas a *primary* amine H-L-Val-$OCH_3$ was formylated at 0 °C to rt. The rate of the reaction progress of formylations of *N*-Me-L-Val-OMe and H-L-Val-$OCH_3$ in $H_2O$ (condition **A**) was monitored over time and their reaction kinetic curves are shown in Figure 2. The striking difference in reaction rate for formylations of *primary* and *secondary* amines was observed when




**Scheme 2.** Selective formylation of daptomycin.

the reactions were performed in water or in water-containing solvents (see Scheme 1).

We have applied these formylation reaction conditions to several antibacterial natural products. Selective N-formylation of kanamycin A could be achieved at the *primary* amine, yielding the 6′-formylated kanamycin A in 30% isolation yield (65% yield based on LC–MS) (entry 20 in Table 1).[7] Formylation of spectinomycin in $H_2O$ at rt furnished the mono-formylated product in 50% yield (entry 21).[8] Daptomycin is a cyclic lipopeptide antibiotic used in the treatment of certain community-associated methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (CA-MRSA) and healthcare-associated-MRSA (HA-MRSA) infections.[9] Daptomycin possesses stereoelectronically different three free amines, four carboxylic acids, a free alcohol in the molecule, however, shows limited water solubility. Selective N-formylation of daptomycin was achieved at the *primary* amine of the lysine residue in DMF–$H_2O$ (2/1) to provide the expected N-formylation product in 65% isolation yield after a reverse HPLC purification (90% yield based on analysis of the crude product via $^1$H NMR and LC–MS) (Scheme 2).[10]

In summary, we have demonstrated selective N-formylation reactions using $HCO_2H$, Oxyma **1** or glyceroacetonide-Oxyma **2**, EDCI, and $NaHCO_3$ in DMF–$H_2O$ system or in $H_2O$.[11] The N-formylation reaction conditions described here do not require strict anhydrous conditions necessary for ordinal formylation reactions.[1,2] To the best of our knowledge, N-formylation reactions of α-amino acids have never been achieved efficiently without a suitable C-protection. We demonstrated that high yielding N-formylations of α-amino acids could readily be accomplished with the described conditions. Glyceroacetonide-Oxyma **2** displays remarkable physico-chemical properties as an additive of N-formylation reactions with EDCI in water media. Importantly, simple aqueous work-up procedures can remove all reagents utilized in the reactions to afford N-formylation products in high yield with excellent purity.

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank the National Institutes of Health (NIAID Grant AI084411-02) and The University of Tennessee for generous financial supports. NMR data were obtained on instruments supported by the NIH Shared Instrumentation Grant.

## References and notes

1. (a) Blicke, F. F.; Lu, C.-J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1952**, *74*, 3933; (b) Sheehan, J. C.; Yang, D.-D. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1958**, *80*, 1154; (c) Pettit, G. R.; Thomas, E. G. *J. Org. Chem.* **1959**, *24*, 895; (d) Staab, H. A.; Polenski, B. *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1962**, *655*, 95; (e) Yale, H. L. *J. Org. Chem.* **1971**, *36*, 3238; (f) Kraus, M. A. *Synthesis* **1973**, 361; (g) Effenberger, F.; Muck, A. O.; Bessey, E. *Chem. Ber.* **1980**, *113*, 2086; (h) Waki, M.; Meienhofer, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1977**, *42*, 2019; (i) Effenberger, F.; Bessey, E. *Chem. Ber.* **1980**, *113*, 2100; (j) Effenberger, F.; Keil, M.; Bessey, E. *Chem. Ber.* **1980**, *113*, 2110; (k) Gramain, J. C.; Rémuson, R. *Synthesis* **1982**, 264; (l) Martinez, J.; Laur, J. *Synthesis* **1982**, 979; (m) Yazawa, H.; Goto, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985**, *26*, 3703; (n) Kisfaludi, L.; Ötvös, L., Jr. *Synthesis* **1987**, 510; (o) Olah, G. A.; Ohannesian, L.; Arvanaghi, M. *Chem. Rev.* **1987**, *87*, 671; (p) Strazzolini, P.; Giumanini, A. G.; Cauci, S. *Tetrahedron* **1990**, *46*, 1081; (q) Neveux, M.; Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1991**, 1197; (r) Katritzky, A. R.; Chang, H.-X.; Yang, B. *Synthesis* **1995**, 503; (s) Duczek, W.; Deutsch, J.; Vieth, S.; Niclas, H.-J. *Synthesis* **1996**, 37; (t) Berry, M. B.; Blagg, J.; Craig, D.; Willis, M. C. *Synlett* **1992**, 659; (u) Akikusa, N.; Mitsui, K.; Sakamoto, T.; Kikugawa, Y. *Synthesis* **1992**, 1058; (v) Chancellor, T.; Morton, C. *Synthesis* **1994**, 1023; (w) Giard, T.; Be'nard, D.; Plaquevent, J. C. *Synthesis* **1998**, 297; (x) Meinnel, T.; Patiny, L.; Ragusa, S.; Blanquet, S. *Biochemistry* **1999**, *38*, 4287; (y) Reddy, P. G.; Kumar, G. D. K.; Baskaran, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 9149; (z) Hill, D. R.; Hsiao, C.-N.; Kurukulasuriya, R.; Wittenberger, S. J. *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 111; (aa) Cochet, T.; Bellosta, V.; Greiner, A.; Roche, D.; Cossy, J. *Synlett* **2011**, 1920. see Ref. 2.

2. (a) Jung, S. H.; Ahn, J. H.; Park, S. K.; Choi, J.-K. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *23*, 149; (b) Mihara, M.; Ishino, Y.; Minakata, S.; Komatsu, M. *Synthesis* **2003**, 2317; (c) De Luca, L.; Giacomelli, G.; Porcheddu, A.; Salaris, M. *Synlett* **2004**, 2570; (d) Iranpoor, N.; Firouzabadi, H.; Jamalian, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2005**, *46*, 7963; (e) Bose, A. K.; Ganguly, S. N.; Manhas, M. G.; Guha, A.; Pombo-Villars, E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, *47*, 4605; (f) Hosseini-Sarvari, M.; Shargi, H. *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 6652; (g) Das, B.; Krishnaiah, M.; Balasubramanyam, P.; Veeranjaneyulu, B.; Kumar, D. N. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, *49*, 2225; (h) Chandra Shekhar, A.; Ravi Kumar, A.; Sathaiah, G.; Luke Paul, V.; Sridhar, M.; Shanthan Rao, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2009**, *50*, 7099; (i) Saidi, O.; Bamford, M. J.; Blacker, A. J.; Lynch, J.; Marsden, S. P.; Plucinski, P.; Watson, R. J.; Willimas, J. M. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2010**, *51*, 5804; (j) Kim, J.-G.; Jang, D. O. *Synlett* **2010**, 1231; (k) Chen, F. M. F.; Benoiton, N. L. *Synthesis* **1979**, 709; (l) Brahmachari, G.; Laskar, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2010**, *51*, 2319; (m) Lei, M.; Ma, L.; Hu, L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2010**, *51*, 4186; (n) Shastri, L. A.; Shastri, S. L.; Bathula, C. D.; Basanagouda, M.; Kulkarni, M. V. *Synth. Commun.* **2011**, *41*, 476; (o) Krishnakumar, B.; Swaminathan, M. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2011**, *334*, 98; (p) SuchÝ, M.; Elmehriki, A. A. H.; Hudson, R. H. E. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 3952.

3. (a) Wang, Y.; Aleiwi, B. A.; Wang, Q.; Kurosu, M. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 4910; (b) Wang, Q.; Wang, Y.; Kurosu, M. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 3372.

4. (a) Khattab, S. N. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **2010**, *83*, 1374; (b) El-Faham, A.; Subiros-Funosas, R.; Albericio, F. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *19*, 3641; (c) Subiros-Funosas, R.; Prohens, R.; Barbas, R.; El-Faham, A.; Albericio, F. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 9394.

5. We have demonstrated that Oxyma **1** and glyceroacetonide-Oxyma **2** exist as their Na salts in aq $NaHCO_3$ solution.

6. Poor reactivity of 2-aminobenzoic acid and 2-aminophenol in these formylations is probably due to the strong formation of intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the $NH_2$ and COOH or OH groups.

7. Difference in reactivity of the nitrogen atoms in kanamycin and amikacin, see: (a) Hanessian, S.; Kornienkoa, A.; Swayze, E. E. *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 995; (b) Bera, S.; Zhanel, G. G.; Schweizer, F. *J. Med. Chem.* **2010**, *53*, 3626; (c) Kawaguchi, H.; Naito, T.; Nakagawa, S.; Fujisawa, K. *Antibiotics* **1972**, *25*, 695; (d) Mingeot-Leclercq, M. P.; Glupczynski, Y.; Tulkens, P. M. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **1999**, *43*, 727; (e) Mingeot-Leclercq, M. P.; Tulkens, P. M. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **1999**, *43*, 1003.

8. (a) Andre, B. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2005**, 470; (b) Peeters, M. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **1984**, *26*, 608; (c) Sanson-Lepors, M. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **1986**, *30*, 512.

9. Debono, M.; Barnhart, M.; Carrell, C. B.; Hoffmann, J. A.; Occolowitz, J. L.; Abbott, B. J.; Fukuda, D. S.; Hamill, R. L.; Biemann, K.; Herlihy, W. C. *J. Antibiot.* **1987**, *40*, 761.

10. $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ = +30° (*c* 0.1, $CHCl_3$); IR (neat) 3302, 3063, 2928, 2856, 1723, 1717, 1657, 1545, 1536, 1503, 1454, 1408, 1203, 1142, 1024, 828, 742 cm$^{-1}$; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$) $\delta$ 12.31 (s, 4H), 10.80 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 8.51–8.43 (m, 2H), 8.37 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 8.26 (t, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H), 8.07 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 8.03 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (d, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.96–7.91 (m, 1H), 7.77 (t, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 2H), 7.69–7.57 (m, 2H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.30–7.25 (m, 1H), 7.18 (dd, *J* = 26.7, 24.4 Hz, 2H), 7.10–7.05 (m, 1H), 7.01–6.97 (m, 1H), 6.92 (s, 1H), 6.77 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.56 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.12–5.04 (m, 1H), 4.92–4.84 (m, 1H), 4.70–4.47 (m, 8H), 4.46–4.40 (m, 1H), 4.31–4.24 (m, 1H), 4.18–4.08 (m, 2H), 3.87 (d, *J* = 13.9 Hz, 1H), 3.49–3.42 (m, 2H), 3.21 (s, 1H), 3.15–3.04 (m, 3H), 2.94 (dd, *J* = 14.8, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 2.80 (ddd, *J* = 27.9, 16.7, 5.6 Hz, 2H), 2.68–2.57 (m, 2H), 2.51–2.39 (m, 4H), 2.37 (p, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 2.35–2.26 (m, 2H), 2.06 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.94 (dd, *J* = 15.6, 10.2 Hz, 1H), 1.78–1.66 (m, 1H), 1.61–1.44 (m, 3H), 1.43–1.34 (m, 2H), 1.30–1.21 (m, 10H), 1.21–1.14 (m, 5H), 1.11 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 6H), 0.87 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 6H); HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{73}H_{102}N_{17}O_{27}$ ($M+H^+$): 1648.7131, found: 1648.7135.

11. *General procedure for N-formylations:* To a solution of amine (1 equiv), formic acid (5 equiv), sodium bicarbonate (10 equiv), and glyceroacetonide-Oxyma **1** (2 equiv) in $H_2O$ (0.2–0.3 M) solution was added EDCI (2 equiv) The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h and quenched with 1% aq HCl. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (or $CHCl_3$ or $CHCl_3$–MeOH (10/1). The combined organic extracts were dried over $Na_2SO_4$ and evaporated in vacuo. Purification by a silica gel chromatography (or sephadex LH20) afforded the desired compound (yields were given in Table 1). Similarly, N-formylations were performed with Oxyma **1** in DMF–$H_2O$ (9/1).