



#### Inorganic base-catalysis

# A Potassium Carbonate-Catalyzed Efficient and Straightforward Synthesis of Dihydro-2-oxopyrrole (DPO) Building Blocks

Yan-Shun Zhang,<sup>[a]</sup> Hou-Ze Gui,<sup>[a]</sup> Yin Wei,<sup>[b]</sup> Min Shi<sup>\*[a,b]</sup>

**Abstract**: An inorganic base  $K_2CO_3$ -catalyzed cascade dimerization and cyclization reactions of 2-sulfonaminoacrylates to give dihydro-2-oxopyrrole (DPO) derivatives has been disclosed in this paper. This straightforward and atom-economic transformation featured by a simple experimental operation with easily available starting materials and broad substrate scope as well as good functional group tolerance, giving the desired DPO building blocks in moderate to good yields.

## Introduction

Dihydro-2-oxopyrrole (DPO) moiety is a common element within many biologically active natural products and pharmacologically important compounds such as *Oteromycin*,<sup>[1]</sup> a HIV integrase inhibitor and a antagonist of endothelin receptor; *Pyrrocidine*,<sup>[2]</sup> a potential antibiotic; *Ypaoamide*,<sup>[3]</sup> a feeding deterrent; and *Glimepiride*,<sup>[4]</sup> an antidiabetes drug (Figure 1). Moreover, many DPO's derivatives themselves also exhibited useful bioactive characters such as antimalarial,<sup>[5]</sup> pesticidal,<sup>[6]</sup> herbicidal,<sup>[7]</sup> etc.



Figure 1. Some natural products and commercially available drugs containing DPO moiety.

Due to the significant usefulness of DPO derivatives, a number of synthetic methods for the preparation of functionalized DPO scaffolds have been developed by organic chemists. As shown in Scheme 1, the main synthetic methodologies to access DPO derivatives have been summarized (previous work).

[a] Key Laboratory for Advanced Materials and Institute of Fine Chemicals, School of Chemistry & Molecular Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, Meilong Road No.130, Shanghai, 200237 (China).

[b] State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Center for Excellence in Molecular Synthesis, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Lingling Road, Shanghai 200032 China. E-mail: mshi@mail.sioc.ac.cn Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.xxxxxxx or from the author.



**Scheme 1.** Previous synthetic protocols and this work.

The first synthetic approach is multicomponent reactions (MCRs)<sup>[8]</sup> using electron-deficient alkynes, aldehydes/acyl chlorides, and amines as substrates in the presence of Lewis acids. In these MCRs, the reactions generally started from the condensation of aldehydes and amines to generate imine intermediates, which then reacted with alkenes to afford the desired products (Scheme 1, a). During the last decades, transition-metal-catalytic methods have become powerful synthetic tools in the synthesis of DPO derivatives. Transition-metal-catalysts such as Pd,<sup>[9]</sup> Ru,<sup>[10]</sup> Rh,<sup>[11]</sup> Cu<sup>[12]</sup> etc have been applied for the synthesis of DPO derivatives via the reactions of metal-activated alkenes or aldehydes with in situ generated imines from the reactions of activated aldehydes and amines or triazoles (Scheme 1, b). Moreover, ring-closing metathesis (RCM)<sup>[13]</sup> is a common technique for crafting heterocycles and carbocycles. Keum and his co-workers<sup>[13a]</sup> have reported a novel synthetic methodology through RCM reaction of diene amide, which was prepared via four components Ugi reaction, to afford DPO scaffolds in one step. In 2016, Gondal's group<sup>[13c]</sup> reported another example for obtaining DPO derivatives via RCM reaction of 4-step prepared diene amides starting from commercially available allyl chloride (Scheme 1, c). However, all of these synthetic methods had their shortages and limitations such as the use of expensive metal catalysts and multistep synthesis of starting materials.

Recently, 2-aminoacrylate **1** has become an extremely useful synthon in organic synthesis based on its unique electronic properties and multifunctional groups. When the protecting group (PG) of amino moiety was an acyl group (Ac), 2-aminoacrylate could sever as a Michael acceptor to undertake an addition of a nucleophile in the presence of *N*-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) catalyst.<sup>[14]</sup> However, 2-aminoacrylate could also display its potential nucleophilicity under the same conditions when the PG

was a sulfonyl group.<sup>[15]</sup> Furthermore, the sulfonyl group protected 2-aminoacrylate could in situ isomerize to its imine equivalent to participate in the reaction as an electrophile,[16] avoiding the instability and limitations of imine substance [17] On the basis of these findings, we envisaged that sulfonyl group protected 2aminoacrylates could undergo cascade intermolecular nucleophilic dimerization and cyclization to afford the desired DPO skeleton in the presence of a base. Herein, we wish to report a facile synthetic approach for the rapid construction of dihvdro-2-oxopyrrole (DPO) building blocks from easily accessible methyl 2-sulfonaminoacrylates using K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (10 mol%) as the catalyst (Scheme 1, this work).

## **Results and Discussion**

We started the investigation on the reaction of 1a (0.4 mmol) and PBu<sub>3</sub> (20 mol%) in toluene (4.0 mL) at 75 °C and found that the desired product 2a was given in 58% yield after 4 h through a cascade dimerization and cyclization (Table 1, entry 1). A range of alkyl and aryl phosphines were then examined and we identified that  $PEt_3$ ,  $P(4-MeOC_6H_4)_3$ ,  $PPh_2Me$ ,  $PPhMe_2$  and dppm could catalyze the reaction, giving 2a in moderate yields ranging from 30%-46%, but less nucleophilic PPh<sub>3</sub> and P(4-CF<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> could not trigger the reaction (entries 2-8). Moreover, the use of nitrogen-containing Lewis base DABCO instead of PBu<sub>3</sub> produced 2a in 36% yield (entry 9). The examination of solvent effects using PBu<sub>3</sub> as the catalyst with ethyl acetate (EA), 1,2dichloroethane (DCE), and 1,4-dioxane revealed that these solvents did not facilitate the production of 2a (entries 10-12). Interestingly, when 100 mol% of AgOAc was used as the catalyst at 83 °C (boiling point of DCE), the desired product 2a was obtained in 71% yield (entry 13). Encouraged by this result, we tried to decrease the amount of AgOAc to 20 mol% or 10 mol%, but found that 2a was afforded in lower yields as 25% respectively (entries 14 and 15). The use of other metal salts such as AgOTf, Cu(OTf)<sub>2</sub> or AgSbF<sub>6</sub> had no catalytic activity for this reaction, suggesting that the counter ion with strong basicity might play an important role in this transformation (entries 16-18).

Table 1. Optim	ization of the reaction	conditions for	the synthesis of 2	a.
				NHTs

TsHN	COOMe TsHN C +	COOMe catalyst solve	(20 mol%) nt, temp MeOOC	N <sub>Ts</sub>
1a	1a			2a
entry <sup>a</sup>	catalyst	solvent	temp (°C)	yield (%) <sup>b</sup>
1	PBu <sub>3</sub>	PhMe	75	58
2	PEt <sub>3</sub>	PhMe	75	42
3	PPh <sub>3</sub>	PhMe	75	0
4	P(4-CF <sub>3</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	PhMe	75	0
5	P(4-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	PhMe	75	39
6	PPh <sub>2</sub> Me	PhMe	75	36
7	PPhMe <sub>2</sub>	PhMe	75	46
8	dppm	PhMe	75	30
9	DABCO	PhMe	75	36
10	PBu <sub>3</sub>	EA	75	33
11	PBu <sub>3</sub>	DCE	75	53
12	PBu <sub>3</sub>	dioxane	75	44
13 <sup>c</sup>	AgOAc	DCE	83	71
14	AgOAc	DCE	83	25
15 <sup>d</sup>	AgOAc	DCE	83	25
16 <sup>d</sup>	AgOTf	DCE	83	< 5
17 <sup>d</sup>	Cu(OTf) <sub>2</sub>	DCE	83	< 5
18 <sup>d</sup>	AgSbF <sub>6</sub>	DCE	83	< 5
19 <sup>d</sup>	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	PhMe	90	83
20 <sup>d</sup>	Cs <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	PhMe	90	82
21 <sup>e</sup>	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	PhMe	90	82
22 <sup>d, f</sup>	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	DCE	90	80

<sup>[a]</sup> All reactions were carried out with **1a** (0.4 mmol) and catalyst (20.0 mol%) in solvent (4.0 mL) at indicated temperature. <sup>[b]</sup> Isolate yield. <sup>[c]</sup> 100 mol% AgOAc was used. <sup>[d]</sup> 10 mol% catalyst was used. [e] 100 mol% K2CO3 was used. [f] The reaction was carried out in a sealed tube.

Therefore, we next attempted to use K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (10 mol%) and Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (10 mol%) as the catalysts in this reaction and found that carrying out the reaction in toluene at 90 °C afforded 2a in 83% and 82% yields, respectively (entries 19 and 20). Using 100 mol%

of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> gave 2a in 82% yield as well (entry 21). Performing the reaction in DCE at 90 °C (in a sealed reaction tube) provided 2a in 80% yield (entry 22). Thus, the optimal conditions are identified as that this cascade dimerization and cyclization should be carried out with 1a (0.4 mmol) in toluene (4.0 mL) at 90 °C for 4 h in the presence of  $K_2CO_3$  (10 mol%) (for more information on the optimization of the reaction conditions, see Table S1 in the Supporting Information).



<sup>[a]</sup> Reaction conditions: **1** (0.4 mmol) and K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (10 mol%) in 4.0 mL toluene at 90 °C for 4 hours. <sup>[b]</sup> Isolated yield. <sup>[C]</sup> Reaction was carried out overnight. <sup>[d]</sup> Using DCE as solvent

Scheme 2. Substrate scope for the production of  $2^{[a],[b]}$ With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, the substrate scope of this reaction was then explored. The results are summarized in Scheme 2. First, a number of para-substituted aryl sulfonamides were examined. For substrates 1a-1h bearing either an electron-deficient or electron-rich aromatic ring, the reaction proceeded smoothly, affording the corresponding products 2a-2h in moderate to good yields ranging from 56% to 84%. The structure of **2a** has been unambiguously determined by X-ray diffraction.<sup>[18]</sup> The ORTEP drawing is shown in Figure 2 and the CIF data are presented in the Supporting Information. Substrate **1i**, in which the aromatic ring had no substituent ( $R^1$  = H), gave the corresponding product 2i in 68% yield, suggesting that the electronic property of aromatic ring did not have significant impact on the reaction outcome. As can be seen from Scheme 2, substrate 1j, bearing a meta-substituted aromatic ring, furnished the desired product 2j in 60% yield. In the case of substrate 1k, in which two substituents were both introduced at the ortho- and meta-positions of the benzene ring, the desired product 2k was obtained in 28% yield if the reaction was performed overnight, perhaps due to the steric effect. Moreover. substrates 11 and 1m bearing heteroaromatic rings such as substituted pyridine and thiophene as well as substrate 1n having a fused naphthalene in their sulfonamide moieties were also tolerated, giving the corresponding products 2I-2n in 60%, 34% and 78% yields, respectively. Furthermore, we also found that the reaction proceeded smoothly when the sulfonamide moiety was a methylsulfonyl group (substrate 1o) and cyclopropylsulfonyl group (substrate 1p), affording the desired products 2o and 2p in 46% and 64% yields, respectively. However, no reaction occurred when R<sup>3</sup> was an acyl or a Boc protecting group (substrates 1r and 1s), presumably due to the electronic effect. The reaction also

had good substrate compatibility for ester moiety  $R^2$ . Replacing methyl ester with ethyl ester (substrate **1s**), benzyl ester (substrate **1t**), phenyl ester (substrate **1u**) and naphthyl ester (substrate **1v**) gave the corresponding products **2s-2v** in good yields ranging from 70% to 81%. Moreover, we have also investigated the reactivity of trisubstituted olefin substrate **1w** in this reaction. Unfortunately, none of the desired product could be formed under the standard conditions presumably due to the steric effect. It should be noted that this transformation could be carried out in a gram scale, delivering **2a** in 78% yield, indicating the practical usefulness of this new synthetic protocol (Scheme 2).



Figure 2. X-ray crystal structure of product 2a

A hetero-dimerization reaction was also examined with substrates 1a and 1v, but a complex mixture was obtained without the selectivity (Scheme 3).

	+ TsHN_COONp	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> (10 mol%) toluene, 90 °C	mixture of homo- and hetero-coupling products
1a	11		

Scheme 3. Investigation on the hetero-dimerization

A plausible reaction mechanism for this reaction is shown in Scheme 4 using **1a** as a model substrate.  $K_2CO_3$  abstracted a proton from NHTs group of **1a** to generate intermediate **I**, which attacked the ester moiety of another substrate **1a** to afford intermediate **II**. The release of MeO<sup>-</sup> from intermediate **II** produced the dienyl amide compound **III**, which subsequently underwent deprotonation and isomerization to give intermediate **IV**. An intramolecular cyclization of intermediate **IV** took place to produce intermediate **V**, which could be reprotonated to give the precursor **VI**. Isomerization of **VI** produced the desired product **2a** *via* a proton migration. The *in situ* generated MeO<sup>-</sup> or intermediate **V** could act as a base as well in the catalytic cycle, rendering that the use of catalytic amount of  $K_2CO_3$  is possible for this novel cascade dimerization and cyclization process.



Scheme 4. Proposed reaction mechanism.

#### Conclusions

In summary, we have disclosed a novel and efficient inorganic base  $K_2CO_3$ -catalyzed cascade dimerization and cyclization reactions of 2-sulfonaminoacrylate derivatives to synthesize dihydro-2-oxopyrrole (DPO) derivatives in moderate to good yields in one step. In this atom-economic and metal-free transformation, the starting materials are easily available and the experimental operations are simple along with broad substrate scope and good functional group tolerance. Furthermore, gramscale synthesis makes this protocol to be more efficient and practical. Further investigations on the application of this protocol to the synthesis of biologically active DPO derivatives are underway.

### **Experimental Section**

**General methods:** Proton nuclear magnetic resonance (<sup>1</sup>H NMR) spectra, carbon nuclear magnetic resonance (<sup>13</sup>C NMR) spectra and fluorous nuclear magnetic resonance (<sup>19</sup>F NMR) spectra were recorded at 400, 100 and 367 MHz, respectively. Data are presented as follows: chemical shift (ppm), multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet), coupling constants in Hertz (Hz) and integration. Mass and High Resolution Mass Spectra (HRMS) spectra were recorded by DART or ESI method. The employed solvents were dry up by standard methods when necessary. Commercially obtained reagents were used without further purification. For thin-layer chromatography (TLC), silica gel plates (Huanghai GF254) were used. Flash column chromatography was carried out using 300-400 mesh silica gel at increased pressure.

**General Procedure for the Synthesis of 1**: To a 100 mL roundbottom flask was added sulfonamide (22.0 mmol), methyl pyruvate (20.0 mmol), *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (0.2 mmol), *p*methoxyphenol (0.2 mmol) and toluene (50 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred and heated under reflux for 18 hours. Then, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by a flash column chromatography (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 4/1) to give the corresponding product **1**.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 2: To a 10 mL Schlenk tube was added substrate 1 (0.4 mmol, 2.0 eq.) and  $K_2CO_3$  (0.02 mmol, 10 mol%) and then toluene (4.0 mL) was added into tube. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at 90 °C. Upon reaction completion, the mixture was filtered through a celite. The filtrate

was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by a silica gel flash chromatography (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 2/1) to afford the desired products **2** in moderate to good yields.

**Compound 2a:** 80 mg, yield: 83%; white solid. MP: 155-157  $^{\circ}$ C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  1.84 (s, 3H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 6.08 (s, 1H), 7.39 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.43 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.82 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.93 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 9.04 (s, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  20.6, 20.7, 21.2, 52.7, 69.1, 123.8, 127.4, 128.7, 129.4, 129.7, 129.8, 135.8, 136.1, 144.7, 145.7, 163.2, 168.8. IR (neat) v 3228, 1732, 1658, 1435, 1359, 1287, 1154, 1117, 867, 810, 660 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: 496.1207, found: 496.1210.

**Compound 2b**: 83 mg, yield: 82%; yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  1.71-1.23 (m, 6H), 1.85 (s, 3H), 2.70 (q, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.72 (q, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 6.69 (s, 1H), 7.42 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.46 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.86 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.87 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 9.13 (s, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  14.5, 14.6, 21.3, 28.4, 28.5, 52.8, 69.1, 123.7, 127.5, 128.4, 128.6, 128.8, 129.4, 129.9, 135.8, 136.0, 136.3, 150.7, 151.7, 163.2, 168.8. IR (neat) v 3240, 2928, 1731, 1361, 1255, 1165, 1087, 834, 778 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: 524.1520, found: 524.1522.

**Compound 2c:** 76 mg, yield: 68%; yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  1.32 (s, 9H), 1.34 (s, 9H), 1.85 (s, 3H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 6.68 (s, 1H), 7.62-7.69 (m, 4H), 7.88 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 9.14 (s, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  26.4, 35.4, 35.5, 40.1, 40.2, 57.9, 74.3, 128.5, 131.1, 131.2, 132.4, 133.7, 135.0, 141.0, 141.2, 162.5, 163.5, 168.4, 173.9. IR (neat) v 3245, 2920, 1743, 1666, 1391, 1137, 1116, 831, 761 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>38</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: 580.2146, found: 580.2154.

**Compound** *2d*: 86 mg, yield: 84%; yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS)  $\bar{o}$  1.85 (s, 3H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 6.66 (s, 1H), 7.08 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.11 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.88 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.99 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz, TMS)  $\bar{o}$  26.5, 58.0, 60.5, 60.6, 74.2, 119.2, 119.4, 128.6, 134.8, 135.1, 135.5, 136.2, 136.3, 168.4, 168.9, 169.6, 174.1. IR (neat) v 2922, 2852, 1730, 1594, 1497, 1355, 1258, 1152, 1115, 1087, 832, 803 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>9</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: 528.1106, found: 528.1109.

**Compound 2e:** 77 mg, yield: 74%; yellow solid. MP: 162-164 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  1.87 (s, 3H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 6.74 (s, 1H), 7.63 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.68 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.95 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 8.05 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  21.1, 52.9, 69.4, 124.7, 129.1, 129.2, 129.4, 129.7, 130.5, 137.2, 137.7, 139.4, 140.4, 163.2, 168.6. IR (neat) v 3102, 2922, 1730, 1704, 1476, 1366, 1285, 1170, 1084, 859, 827, 753 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>20</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: 536.0114, found: 536.0114.

**Compound 2f.** 72 mg, yield: 74%; yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  1.87 (s, 3H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 6.75 (s, 1H), 7.37 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.42 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 8.04 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 5.2 Hz, 2H), 8.14 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 5.2 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  21.2, 52.9, 69.3, 116.0, 116.2, 116.4, 124.5, 129.7, 130.5 (d, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 9.7 Hz), 132.0 (d, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 13.6 Hz), 134.7 (d, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 2.9 Hz), 135.2 (d, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 3.2 Hz), 164.5 (d, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 52.6 Hz), 167.6 (d, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 54.2 Hz), 168.7. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, CFCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -105.02 ~ -104.99 (m, 1F), -103.56 ~ -103.50 (m, 1F). IR (neat) v 2913, 2851, 1733, 1590, 1493, 1364, 1154, 1086, 837, 690 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>20</sub>F<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: 504.0705, found: 504.0709.

**Compound 2g:** 79 mg, yield: 65%; yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS) δ 1.88 (s, 3H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 6.77 (s,

1H), 7.79 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.84 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.98 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  21.1, 52.9, 69.4, 124.7, 128.1, 129.2, 129.6, 130.5, 132.2, 132.4, 137.7, 138.1, 163.2, 168.6. IR (neat) v 3290, 1707, 1645, 1441, 1353, 1254, 1153, 1078, 833, 777 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>20</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: 623.9104, found: 623.9092.

**Compound** *2h*: 66 mg, yield: 56%; yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS)  $\overline{0}$  1.90 (s, 3H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 6.83 (s, 1H), 7.97 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.01 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.19 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.28 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz, TMS)  $\overline{0}$  21.0, 52.9, 69.6, 123.4 (q, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 275.0 Hz), 123.5 (q, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 270.5 Hz), 125.1, 126.2 (q, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 3.7 Hz), 126.4 (q, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 3.8 Hz), 128.2, 129.5, 129.6, 134.6 (q, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 32.7 Hz), 135.0 (q, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 32.5 Hz), 142.1, 142.6, 163.2, 168.5. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, CFCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\overline{0}$  -58.67 (s, 3F), -58.57 (s, 3F). IR (neat) v 3230, 1746, 1723, 1406, 1321, 1169, 1129, 1110, 1061, 842, 713 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>20</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: 604.0641, found: 604.0649.

**Compound** *2i*: 62 mg, yield: 68%; yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  1.85 (s, 3H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 6.72 (s, 1H), 7.57-7.61 (m, 2H), 7.62-7.65 (m, 2H), 7.67-7.70 (m, 2H), 7.73-7.77 (m, 2H), 7.95 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 8.06 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 9.21 (s, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  21.2, 52.8, 69.2, 124.2, 127.3, 128.5, 129.0, 129.2, 129.8, 133.7, 134.5, 138.7, 138.9, 163.2, 168.7. IR (neat) v 3199, 1723, 1660, 1448, 1365, 1270, 1153, 1086, 878, 760 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: 468.0894, found: 468.0896.

**Compound 2***j*: 58 mg, yield: 60%; yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  1.89 (s, 3H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 6.83 (s, 1H), 7.49 (td, *J* = 8.8, 2.4Hz, 1H), 7.56 (td, *J* = 8.8, 2.4Hz, 1H), 7.64-7.72 (m, 3H), 7.80 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.90 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 9.36 (s, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  21.0, 52.9, 69.5, 114.4 (d, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 24.7 Hz), 115.6 (d, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 25.4 Hz), 120.8 (d, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 21.2 Hz), 121.7 (d, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 21.2 Hz), 123.4 (d, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 3.3 Hz), 124.6 (d, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 3.3 Hz), 125.1, 129.5, 131.3 (d, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 7.8 Hz), 131.5 (d, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 8.0 Hz), 140.4 (d, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 7.4 Hz), 140.9 (d, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 6.9 Hz), 160.8 (d, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 36.0 Hz), 163.2, 163.3 (d, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 36.5 Hz), 168.5. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, CFCI<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  - 110.67 (s, 1F), -110.46 (s, 1F). IR (neat) v 3249, 1759, 1703, 1472, 1368, 1294, 1166, 780, 695, 679 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>16</sub>F<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>NaS<sub>2</sub>: 509.0259, found: 509.0262.

**Compound** *2k*: 30 mg, yield: 28%; yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  1.90 (s, 3H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 6.82 (s, 1H), 7.42-7.51 (m, 2H), 7.55-7.68 (m, 2H), 7.69-7.73 (m, 1H), 7.81-7.85 (m,1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  20.4, 52.9, 70.0, 117.4 (d, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 27.3 Hz), 118.7 (d, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 27.7 Hz), 119.3 (d, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 7.4 Hz), 119.5 (d, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 7.8 Hz), 122.9 (dd, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 24.1, 8.9 Hz), 124.1 (dd, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 24.1, 8.9 Hz), 126.5, 127.2 (dd, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 14.4, 7.7 Hz), 127.8 (dd, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 16.6, 7.4 Hz), 129.0, 153.9 (dd, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 17.9, 2.7 Hz), 156.3 (dd, *J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 18.6, 2.5 Hz), 163.4, 168.3. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, CFCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -114.24- -114.04 (m, 2F), -113.03- -112.89 (m, 1F), -112.07- -112.01 (m, 1F). IR (neat) v 3262, 1732, 1490, 1253, 1161, 1117, 1088, 820, 693, 661 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>18</sub>F<sub>4</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: 540.0517, found: 540.0520.

**Compound** *2I*: 58 mg, yield: 60%; yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  1.90 (s, 3H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 2.47 (s, 3H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 6.75 (s, 1H), 7.90-7.97 (m, 3H), 8.10 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.50 (s, 1H), 8.57 (s, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  17.5, 17.6, 21.0, 52.7, 69.0, 122.3, 123.9, 125.6, 129.9, 138.2, 138.3, 138.6, 139.3, 150.5, 150.6, 152.9, 153.5, 163.2, 168.8. IR (neat) v 2917, 1740, 1653, 1365, 1258, 1176, 1120, 1105, 874, 669 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: 481.0846, found: 481.0853.

**Compound 2n:** 86 mg, yield: 78%; yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  1.88 (s, 3H), 3.57 (s, 3H), 6.84 (s, 1H), 7.66-7.72 (m, 4H), 7.88-8.05 (m, 6H), 8.09-8.13 (m, 2H), 8.59 (s, 1H), 8.66 (s, 1H), 9.29 (s, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  21.2, 52.7, 69.2, 122.1, 122.9, 124.4, 127.8, 127.9, 129.0, 129.2, 129.3, 129.6, 129.7, 129.8, 130.7, 131.7, 131.8, 135.1, 135.52, 135.56, 163.3, 168.8. IR (neat) v 3257, 2914, 1744, 1710, 1456, 1362, 1164, 1129, 1117, 813, 752 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: 568.1207, found: 568.1199.

**Compound 2s:** 72 mg, yield: 73%; yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  1.14 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.83 (s, 3H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 4.10-4.23 (m, 2H), 6.65 (s, 1H), 7.39 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.43 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.83 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.94 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 9.11 (s, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  13.3, 20.6, 20.7, 21.1, 62.2, 69.2, 123.6, 127.4, 128.6, 129.5, 129.7, 129.8, 135.9, 136.1, 144.7, 145.7, 163.2, 168.2. IR (neat) v 3222, 2925, 1732, 1663, 1439, 1290, 1166, 1110, 1090, 813, 708 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: 510.1363, found: 510.1368.

**Compound 2t:** 78 mg, yield: 70%; yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>Cl, 400 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  1.89 (s, 3H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 5.18 (q, *J* = 12.8 Hz, 2H), 6.46 (s, 1H), 7.10 (s, 1H), 7.17 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (t, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 2H), 7.34-7.36 (m, 3H), 7.65 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.91 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>Cl, 100 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  21.5, 21.6, 21.9, 68.3, 69.7, 122.1, 127.0, 128.0, 128.4, 128.5, 128.8, 129.0, 129.4, 129.8, 134.6, 135.0, 135.1, 144.9, 145.6, 163.4, 168.2. IR (neat) v 3400, 2964, 1746, 1729, 1456, 1258, 1165, 1083, 844, 812, 799 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: 572.1520, found: 572.1521.

**Compound** *2u*: 83 mg, yield: 77%; yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  1.94 (s, 3H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 6.85 (s, 1H), 7.05 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.43-7.48 (m, 4H), 7.90 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.99 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 9.27 (s, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  20.6, 20.7, 20.9, 69.0, 121.3, 122.8, 126.3, 127.5, 128.4, 129.5, 129.7, 129.8, 130.4, 135.8, 136.0, 144.7, 145.9, 150.8, 163.1, 167.3. IR (neat) v 3286, 2921, 1719, 1653, 1368, 1177, 1153, 1067, 842, 739, 700 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: 558.1363, found: 558.1373.

JUSCL

**Compound 2v:** 96 mg, yield: 81%; red oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  1.99 (s, 3H), 2.28 (s, 3H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 6.95 (s, 1H), 7.22 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.51-7.60 (m, 3H), 7.91-8.03 (m, 7H), 9.26 (s, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz, TMS)  $\delta$  25.8, 25.9, 26.2, 74.3, 123.5, 125.8, 128.0, 131.3, 132.1, 132.7, 132.9, 133.1, 133.6, 133.8, 134.9, 135.0, 135.7, 136.9, 138.9, 141.0, 141.2, 149.9, 151.1, 153.6, 168.4, 172.7. IR (neat) v 2916, 1730, 1716, 1287, 1150, 1122, 1087, 816, 720, 702, 687 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>NaS<sub>2</sub>: 613.1074, found: 613.1059.

#### Acknowledgements

We are grateful for the financial support from the National Basic Research Program of China [(973)-2015CB856603], the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDB2000000) and sioczz201808, the National Natural Science Foundation of China (220472096, 21372241, 21572052, 20672127, 21421091, 21372250, 21121062, 21302203, 20732008, 21772037, 21772226 and 21861132014), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities 222201717003.

Received: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff)) Published online on ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

**Keywords:** inorganic base-catalyzed; cycloaddition; dihydro-2oxopyrrole derivatives

- [1] H. Uchiro, N. Shionozaki, R. Tanaka, H. Kitano, N. Iwamura, K. Makino, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2013, 54, 506-511.
- [2] R. Tanaka, K. Ohishi, N. Takanashi, T. Nagano, H. Suizu, T. Suzuki, S. Kobayashi, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4886-4889.
- [3] D. G. Nagle, V. J. Paul, M. Ann Roberts, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 6263-6266.
- [4] M. K. Gurjar, R. A. Joshi, S. R. Chaudhuri, S. V. Joshi, A. R. Barde, L. K. Gediya, P. V. Ranade, S. M. Kadam, S. J. Naik, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, 44, 4853-4855.
- [5] S. B. Singh, M. A. Goetz, E. T. Jones, G. F. Bills, R. A. Giacobbe, L. Herranz, S. Stevens-Miles, D. L. Williams, *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 7040-7042.
- [6] M. b. B. Bohner, U. S. Patent 4200, 1980, 655
- [7] L. Zhang, Y. Tan, N.-X. Wang, Q.-Y. Wu, Z. Xi, G.-F. Yang, Biorg. Med. Chem. 2010, 18, 7948-7956.
- B) a) Q. Zhu, H. Jiang, J. Li, S. Liu, C. Xia, M. Zhang, J. Comb. Chem. 2009, 11, 685-696; b) J. Sun, Q. Wu, E.-Y. Xia, C.-G. Yan, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 2981-2986; c) L. Lv, S. Zheng, X. Cai, Z. Chen, Q. Zhu, S. Liu, ACS Comb. Sci. 2013, 15, 183-192; d) S. Rana, M. Brown, A. Dutta, A. Bhaumik, C. Mukhopadhyay, Tetrahedron Lett. 2013, 54, 1371-1379; e) S. S. Sajadikhah, M. T. Maghsoodlou, N. Hazeri, Chin. Chem. Lett. 2014, 25, 58-60.
- [9] Y. Luo, X. Lu, Y. Ye, Y. Guo, H. Jiang, W. Zeng, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5640-5643.
- [10] D. Berger, W. Imhof, Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 2015-2023.
- [11] R.-Q. Ran, J. He, S.-D. Xiu, K.-B. Wang, C.-Y. Li, *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 3704-3707.
- [12] L. Dang, L. Liang, C. Qian, M. Fu, T. Ma, D. Xu, H. Jiang, W. Zeng, J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 769-776.
- [13] a) I. W. Ku, S. B. Kang, G. Keum, Y. Kim, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* 2011, 32, 3167-3170; b) S. P. Chavan, A. B. Pathak, K. P. Pawar, *synthesis* 2015, 47, 955-960; c) H. Y. Gondal, D. Buisson, *Chem. Heterocycl. Compd.* 2016, 52, 183-191.
- [14] T. Jousseaume, N. E. Wurz, F. Glorius, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1410-1414.
- [15] L.-L. Zhao, X.-S. Li, L.-L. Cao, R. Zhang, X.-Q. Shi, J. Qi, Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 5985-5988.
- [16] a) X.-S. Li, L.-L. Zhao, X.-K. Wang, L. L. Cao, X.-Q. Shi, R. Zhang, J. Qi, Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 3943-3946; b) H.-Z. Gui, Y. Wei, M. Shi, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2018, 16, 9218-9222; c) Z.-P. Wang, Q. Wu, J. Jiang, Z.-R. Li, X.-J. Peng, P.-L. Shao, Y. He, Org. Chem. Front. 2018, 5, 36-40.
- [17] a) A. Klásek, V. Mrkvička, A. Lyčka, I. Mikšík, A. Růžička, *Tetrahedron* 2009, 65, 4908-4916; b) B.-L. Zhao, D.-M. Du, *Org. Lett.* 2018, 20, 3797-3800.
- [18] CCDC 1913944 (for 2a) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.

### Inorganic base-catalysis

Yan-Shun Zhang, Hou-Ze Gui, Yin Wei and Min Shi\* \_\_\_\_\_ Page – Page

### Cascade Dimerization and Cyclization

🖈 Simple operation and easily available materials

★ Metal-free and atom-economic process ★ Construction of quaternary carbon center

The Broad substrate scope and good functional tolerance



 NHR<sup>2</sup>
 K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (10 mol%)

 COOR<sup>1</sup>
 toluene, 90 °C



20 examples up to 84% yield

A Potassium Carbonate-Catalyzed Efficient and Straightforward Synthesis of Dihydro-2-oxopyrrole (DPO) Building Blocks

We have disclosed a novel and efficient inorganic base  $K_2CO_3$ -catalyzed cascade dimerization and cyclization reactions of 2-sulfonaminoacrylate derivatives to synthesize dihydro-2oxopyrrole (DPO) derivatives in moderate to good yields in one step.