This article was downloaded by: [Pennsylvania State University] On: 10 May 2013, At: 05:37 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and the Related Elements

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gpss20

Preparation of Anhydro-Thiohexopyranosides and -Thiohexofuranosides with Dfructo-, L-sorbo-, L-psico- and L-tagato-Configuration Starting from L-Sorbose

Kerstin Polchow-Stein ^a & Jürgen Voss ^a

^a Department of Chemistry, Organic Chemistry, University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany Published online: 15 Jun 2007.

To cite this article: Kerstin Polchow-Stein & Jürgen Voss (2007): Preparation of Anhydro-Thiohexopyranosides and -Thiohexofuranosides with D-fructo-, L-sorbo-, L-psico- and L-tagato-Configuration Starting from L-Sorbose, Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and the Related Elements, 182:8, 1871-1891

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10426500701340915

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</u>

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae, and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



Preparation of Anhydro-Thiohexopyranosides and -Thiohexofuranosides with D-*fructo*-, L-*sorbo*-, L-*psico*and L-*tagato*-Configuration Starting from L-Sorbose

Kerstin Polchow-Stein Jürgen Voss

University of Hamburg, Department of Chemistry, Organic Chemistry, Hamburg, Germany

Methyl α -L-sorbopyranoside is transformed into the bicyclic thiosugar methyl 1,5-anhydro-3,4-di-O-mesyl-1-thio- β -D-fructopyranoside in two steps, whereas the corresponding 3-O-benzoate is obtained from methyl 1,3-O-benzylidene-a-L-sorbopyranoside in three steps. 2,3-O-Isopropylidenesorbofuranosides, on the other hand, can be transformed into 6-S-thioacetates by use of the thio-Mitsunobu reaction. These are suitable precursors for the preparation of 1,6-anhydro-1-thiosorbofuranosides. Also, methyl 1-S-acetyl-1-thio-L-sorbofuranosides with mesylate leaving groups are available by the thio-Mitsunobu reaction. They yield 1,4-anhydro-1-thio- β -L-tagatofuranosides, 1,3-anhydro- α -L-psicofuranosides and 1,6-anhydro-1-thio- α -L-sorbofuranosides depending on the configuration of the starting compound. Attempts to prepare azido-thio-sugars by displacement of mesylate groups with alkali metal azides failed.

Keywords Anhydrothiosugars; intramolecular $\rm S_N2$ reaction; methyl sorbopyranosides; methyl sorbofuranosides; thio-Mitsunobu reaction

INTRODUCTION

In a recent article in this journal,³ we have described first results on the synthesis of anhydro-thio-furanosides starting from a ketose, namely from D-fructose. The key step in these syntheses, i.e., the introduction of sulfur into the carbohydrate molecule, was achieved by the thio-Mitsunobu reaction. This method had already turned out earlier to be

Received June 27, 2006; accepted February 23, 2007.

The University of Hamburg and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie are gratefully acknowledged for their financial support. K. P.-S. thanks the University of Hamburg for a Graduate Fellowship.

Address correspondence to Jürgen Voss, Universitat of Hamburg, Department of Chemistry, Organic Chemistry, Martin-Luther-King-Platz 6, Hamburg D-20146, Germany. E-mail: juergen.voss@chemie.uni-hamburg.de

the method of choice in the pentofuranoside⁴ and glucopyranoside⁵ series. In particular, it was possible in most cases to prepare thioacetates directly from the corresponding methyl glycosides without protection of the hydroxy groups. We were, therefore, interested in an extension of the method to further ketoses and have explored its applicability in the sorbose series. In spite of the fact that L-sorbose is an inexpensive sugar because it is the intermediate in the most important process for the technical production of L-ascorbic acid,^{6,7} its chemistry in general has been much less studied than that of other monosaccharides. In particular, thiosorbose derivatives are rather rare. In addition to the synthesis of compounds of this type, we also wanted to investigate the stereochemistry of the reactions and, furthermore, of follow-up reactions of suitably substituted thiosorbosides such as the nucleophilic substitution with azides.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Pyranoses

In contrast to the difficulties which one is faced to with the preparation of methyl fructosides exhibiting a definite structure and configuration,^{3,8} methyl α -L-sorbopyranoside **1** is easily available with good yield.⁹ Our attempts to introduce an acetylthio group directly into the primary 1-position of **1** by a thio-Mitsunobu reaction failed, however. Although we tried various solvents and applied reaction temperatures between 0°C and 130°C, only the starting material **1** was recovered. Obviously, steric hindrance (the "neopentyl effect") prevents an attack of the bulky reagent, which is involved in the Mitsunobu reaction, at the primary hydroxy group in the 1-position of **1**. We have observed the same effect in the fructose series, where the 1-position is very resistant to nucleophilic reactions too.³ Therefore, we prepared the tetramesylate **2** as a starting compound, which gave the thioacetate **3** on reaction with potassium thioacetate in DMF (Scheme 1).

Again, the 1-position was not attacked by the nucleophile but instead the reaction took place at the least hindered secondary 5-position under inversion. The structure and the resulting β -D-*fructo*-configuration of **3** were confirmed by its NMR spectra. The structure of a 1-thioacetate can be excluded on account of the chemical shifts $\delta = 4.30/4.42$ for the protons at C-1 which agree with the corresponding values (4.33/4.44) in the precursor **1** in contrast to $\delta(1\text{-H}) = 3.33$ in the related 1,6-di-*S*acetyl-1,6-dithio- β -D-fructofuranoside.³ Furthermore, the *trans*-diaxial coupling ${}^{3}J_{\text{H3,H4}} = 10.6$ Hz and the low vicinal couplings ${}^{3}J_{\text{H5,H6/H5,H6'}} =$ 1.5/2.3 Hz are indicative of the D-*fructo*-configuration of **3** and exclude



SCHEME 1 (1): MsCl, pyridine; (2): AcSK, DMF, 153 °C; (3): AcSK, MeOCH₂CH₂OH, 125 °C; (4): Na₂S·9H₂O, DMSO, 100°C.

the alternative $S_N 2$ substitution at the 4-position, which would have led to a 4-thioacetate with D-tagato-configuration.

The intramolecular cyclization of **3** was achieved by reaction with sodium sulfide. Not unexpectedly, it took place under formation of the 1,5-anhydro-thiosugar **4** since nucleophilic displacement of the mesylate group at the primary 1-position is strongly favored over the much less reactive 3-mesylate group neighboring the anomeric center. Compound **4** can also be obtained directly from **2** and potassium thioacetate on prolonged refluxing in 2-methoxyethanol. In fact, it is difficult to isolate the intermediate **3** from reaction mixtures of **2** with potassium thioacetate (Scheme 1). Unfortunately, the yields are low in both cases but anyway the one-step procedure starting from **2** is, of course, more advantageous for the preparation of **4**.

As expected, **4** retains the β -D-*fructo*-configuration of **3** and exhibits a boat-conformation of the hexose ring, i.e., a bicyclo[2.2.2]octane type skeleton. This can be clearly deduced from its NMR spectra. The small coupling constant ${}^{3}J_{\text{H3,H4}} = 3.5$ Hz, in contrast to ${}^{3}J_{\text{H3,H4}} = 10.6$ Hz in **3**, is characteristic of a *gauche*-conformation of the two protons. The ${}^{13}\text{C}$ chemical shifts $\delta = 29.6$, $\delta = 37.5$, and $\delta = 96.1$ for C-1, C-5, and C-2, respectively, are in agreement with the structure of **4** too.

In a second reaction sequence, we have prepared the related benzoate 8 starting again with 1 (Scheme 2).

The benzylidene derivative **5** and the related dimesylate **6** are described in the literature.¹⁰ The work-up procedure for **5** is, however, laborious and involves heavy losses. Large amounts of inorganic salts have to be removed, since an excess of zinc chloride is used as a catalyst and condensating agent. We preferred, therefore, to prepare **5** by use of 1,1-dimethoxytoluene with catalytic amounts of camphorsulfonic acid instead of benzaldehyde. Cleavage of **6** with *N*-bromosuccinimide¹¹ led to the 1-bromo derivative **7** with 80% yield. Finally, the reaction with



SCHEME 2 (1): PhCH(OMe)₂, DMF, H⁺; (2): MsCl, pyridine; (3): NBS, CCl₄; (4): AcSK, DMF, 153°C.

potassium thioacetate gave the 3-O-benzoyl-anhydrothiosugar 8 in a nucleophilic substitution and concomitant base-promoted intramolecular cyclization (Scheme 2). The moderate yield of 26% is due to the several steps involved in the transformation of 7 to 8. In this case, none of the expected intermediates, the 1-thioacetate or the 5-thioacetate, could be detected.

The ¹H NMR spectrum of **7** closely resembles that of the related **2**. Only the signals of 1-H, 1'-H and 3-H in **7** are shifted downfield as compared with **2** due to the 1-bromo substituent and the anisotropic effect of the 3-benzoyl group, whereas all coupling constants are nearly identical. The same holds for **8**, which even more resembles its analog **4**. The expected downfield shift of the signal of 3-H from $\delta = 4.93$ (**4**) to $\delta = 5.53$ (**8**) is the only significant difference observed (Table I). The structural assignments of **7** and **8** are also corroborated by the

TABLE I Relevant Chemical Shifts δ [ppm] and Vicinal Coupling Constants ${}^{3}J$ [Hz] in the ${}^{1}H$ NMR Spectra of Thioanhydrosugars

Compound	1-H/1′-H	3-H	$J_{ m 3H,4H}$	4-H	$J_{\rm 4H,5H}$	5-H	$J_{\rm 5H,6H}$	$J_{\rm 5H,6'H}$	6-H/6′-H
4	2.71/3.48	4.93	3.6	5.17	3.4	3.08	1.6	3.0	4.47/4.50
8	2.82/3.45	5.53	3.4	5.26	3.4	3.11	1.7	3.0	4.52/4.56
13	2.42/3.31	5.05	1.8	5.15	6.9	5.1	0.7	3.2	2.36/3.03
14	2.36/3.02	4.65	3.1	4.94	7.3	4.7	1.0	3.2	2.28/2.87
15	2.25/3.35	4.76	3.1	4.99	7.2	4.8	1.0	3.1	2.35/2.94
16	2.25/3.36	4.28	2.3	4.41	7.4	4.70	2.2	2.8	2.34/2.91
17	2.48/3.05	3.63^{a}	3.1	3.71^a	3.1	4.51	1.8	3.2	2.30/3.15
23	3.02/3.02	4.44	2.5	3.26	0.0	4.61	5.7	5.0	4.22/4.23
26	3.10/3.14	3.53	2.5	5.25	0.0	4.69	4.6	4.2	4.23/4.32
27	2.25/3.36	4.77	3.1	5.00	7.2	4.84	1.0	3.1	2.35/2.95

^aAn unequivocal assignment to 3-H or 4-H is not possible

	-		v	0		
Compound	C-1	C-2	C-3	C-4	C-5	C-6
4	29.6	96.1	83.3	83.6	37.5	70.9
8	29.7	96.4	76.7	82.8	37.3	70.8
13	30.6	110.4	86.4	82.2	81.0	24.1
14	33.4	99.7	75.8	87.1	75.8	23.9
15	30.4	102.4	76.6	86.0	76.9	23.8
16	30.0	100.7	81.0	80.8	78.0	24.2
17	30.1	101.6	52.8^{a}	53.0^{a}	74.3	25.7
23	33.0	111.8	70.3	48.1	82.6	69.1
26	34.1	110.2	45.5	74.8	84.0	68.2
27	30.4	100.4	76.6	86.1	76.9	23.8

TABLE II Chemical Shifts δ [ppm] of Relevant Carbon Atoms in the ¹³C NMR Spectra of Thioanhydrosugars

^aAn unequivocal assignment to C-3 or C-4 is not possible.

agreement of their ¹³C NMR spectra with those of **2** and **4**, respectively. Only the C-1 signal of **7** at $\delta = 29.3$ appears with a considerable upfield shift as compared with $\delta = 66.0$ for **2** according to the bromo substituent. Also the C-3 signals at $\delta = 70.9$ (**7**) and $\delta = 76.7$ (**8**) are slightly but significantly shifted with respect to $\delta = 73.8$ (**2**) and $\delta = 83.3$ (**4**) (Table II).

Attempts to replace the mesylate group in 8 by an azido substituent failed. Debenzoylation of 8 with sodium methoxide and subsequent reaction with sodium azide and ammonium chloride led to decomposition without the formation of any azido derivative.

Furanoses

The preparation of sorbofuranosides is not as easy since the formation of the corresponding pyranosides is absolutely prevalent. Therefore, we started from 2,3-*O*-isopropylidene- α -L-sorbose **9** with a fixed furanose structure in order to synthesize anhydrothio-sorbofuranosides (Scheme 3).

Compound **9** is easily available from L-sorbose via the 2,3:4,6-di-Oisopropylidene- α -L-sorbose.^{7,12-14} In contrast to **1**, the isopropylidenesorbofuranose **9** formed a thioacetate **10** by a direct thio-Mitsunobu reaction with thioacetic acid in the presence of diisopropyl azodicarboxylate (DIAD) and triphenylphosphine. As expected, only the primary hydroxy group in the 6-position was attacked when equivalent amounts of the reagents were used. The yield of **10** was, however, low (26%). It could be considerably increased to 62% by applying the reagents in excess. Under these conditions, also 11% of the 1,6-bis-thioacetate **11** was



SCHEME 3 (1): Ph₃P, DIAD, AcSH, THF; (2): MsCl, pyridine; (3): NaHCO₃, MeOCH₂CH₂OH; (4): AcOH.

formed as by-product. The structures of the 6-thioacetate 10 and the 1,6-bis-thioacetate 11 are obvious from their ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. Mesylation of 10 gave the dimesylate 12. Cyclization of 12 with sodium hydrogencarbonate in boiling 2-methoxyethanol finally led to the 1,6-anhydro-thiosorbose 13 by cleavage of the thioacetate group and subsequent intramolecular nucleophilic attack of the thiolate at C-1 (Scheme 3).¹⁵ Small amounts of diisopropyl hydrazodicarboxylate (DIHD) could not be completely removed from 10, 12, and 13 despite of repeated column chromatography. Irrespective of this contamination, 13 was deprotected with aqueous acetic acid to yield pure 1,6-anhydro-4-O-mesyl-1-thio- α -L-sorbofuranose 14 (Scheme 3). The interesting 1,6anhydrothio (oxa-thia-bicyclo[3,2,1]octane) skeleton of 13 (and, consequently, of 14) represents the only reasonable outcome of the cyclization of 12, due to the stereochemical situation, i.e., the syn-configuration of the thioacetate substituent and the mesylate leaving group in the 4-position, the alternative formation of a 4,6-anhydrothio derivative through intramolecular S_N2 displacement is not possible. The structures are of course in agreement with the NMR spectra. The chemical shifts of 4-H in 12 and 13 are nearly identical, whereas the 1-H and 1-H signals of 13 are shifted up-field as one would expect (Table I). The only significant difference between the ¹³C NMR spectra of **12** and 13 consists in a considerable shift of the C-1 signal from 67.5 ppm in 12 to 30.6 ppm in 13 (33.4 in 14). Again, the chemical shifts of the C-4 signals are virtually the same for 12 (80.7 ppm) and 13 (81.2 ppm) (Table II).



SCHEME 4 (1): HCl, MeOH; (2): LiN_3 (LiOH, cf. text), DMF.

The isopropylidene derivative **13** was glycosylated with methanolic hydrogen chloride. Besides a **61**% yield of **15**, also 4% of the solvolysis product **16** was obtained (Scheme 4).

When we tried to replace the mesylate group of **15** by an azide substituent we failed again. Only the starting material was recovered after refluxing **15** with sodium azide in DMF for 22 h. The corresponding reaction with lithium azide, on the other hand, unexpectedly led to the 3,4-anhydro derivative **17** with 92% yield. Obviously, the reagent was contaminated with lithium hydroxide, which set off a base-catalyzed epoxide formation under elimination of the mesylate group (Scheme 4).

The NMR spectra of **15** and **16** are in agreement with their structures. The proton signals of 3-H and 4-H (3.63 and 3.71 ppm or *vice versa*) in **17** exhibit the typical high-field shifts as compared with 3-H (4.76 ppm) and 4-H (4.99 ppm) in the precursor **15**, which is characteristic of epoxide protons.^{1,4,16,17} Also the vicinal coupling constant ${}^{3}J_{\text{H4,H5}} = 3.2$ Hz in **17** is indicative of the L-*psico* configuration with gauche conformation of 4-H and 5-H, as compared with ${}^{3}J_{\text{H4,H5}} = 7.2$ Hz in the precursor **15** with *eclipsed* conformation and L-*sorbo* configuration (Table I). Accordingly, the 13 C NMR signals of C-3 and C-4 in **17** appear in the typical epoxide range at 52.8/53.0 ppm^{1,4,16,17} in contrast to C-3 (77 ppm) and C-4 (86 ppm) in **15** (Table II).



SCHEME 5 (1): MsCl, pyridine; (2): HCl, MeOH; (3): Ph_3P , DIAD, AcSH, THF; (4): NaHCO₃, MeOCH₂CH₂OH.

In order to further explore the selectivity of the cyclization reactions, i.e., the formation of thietano (1,3-thioanhydro) versus thiolano (1,4-thioanhydro), or thiepano (1,6-thioanhydro) sugars we have prepared the anomeric thioacetates **22** and **24** as starting compounds (Scheme 5).

The β -anomer **22** was obtained from the known 1-O-acetyl-2,3-Oisopropylidene- α -L-sorbose **18**¹⁸ in three steps. Mesylation to form **19** and deprotection under acidic conditions led to the two anomeric dimesylates **20** (12%) and **21** (19%). Their configuration could be assigned based on NOESY NMR spectra. The β -anomer **20** exhibits the expected interaction between 3-H and the glycosidic OCH₃ group whereas the α -anomer **21** shows an interaction between 3-H and the protons of the 1-CH₂ group. After separation, the β -anomer **20** was subjected to a thio-Mitsunobu reaction to yield **22** (35%). Reaction of 22 with sodium hydrogencarbonate in boiling 2-methoxyethanol led to the 1,4-thioanhydroglycoside **23** (71%) with β -L-tagato-configuration under intramolecular



SCHEME 6 (1): Ph₃P, DIAD, AcSH, THF; (2): MsCl, pyridine; (3): NaHCO₃, MeOCH₂CH₂OH.

nucleophilic replacement of the mesylate leaving group at C-4, as expected (Scheme 5). An attack of the intermediate 1-thiolate at the 6-position is of course not possible for stereochemical reasons. The structure and configuration of **23** is corroborated by its ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra.

The thio-Mitsunobu reaction of the α -anomer **21**, on the other hand, gave 61% of the 1-thioacetate **24**. This compound was nearly quantitatively transformed into the 3,4,5-trimesylate **25**, which exhibits two leaving groups in positions suitable for intramolecular S_N2 reactions. In this case, the cyclization of **25** with sodium hydrogencarbonate led to a mixture of both of the two possible products **26** (6%) and **27** (8%), which were separated by chromatography (Scheme 6).

The structures of **26** and **27** could be unequivocally assigned based on their NMR spectra. Due to the substitution of the mesylate group, the ¹H NMR signal of 3-H in **26** exhibits an up-field shift to $\delta = 3.53$ ppm as compared to $\delta = 5.17$ ppm in the starting compound **25**, whereas no significant difference is observed for the protons in the 6-position. In **27**, on the other hand, the mesylate group at C-6 is displaced. Accordingly, the signals of 6-H (2.35 ppm) and 6'-H (2.95 ppm) appear at higher field as compared with **25** [δ (6-H/6'-H) = 4.42 ppm] (Table I). Correspondingly, the C-3 signal of **26** is shifted to 45.5 ppm from 79.9 ppm in **25** and, in contrast, the C-6 signal of **27** is shifted to 23.8 ppm from 66.5 ppm in **25** (Table II). As an overview and for comparison purposes, the relevant NMR data of the anhydro-thiosugars, which we have prepared, are compiled in Tables I and II.

CONCLUSION

Our study has shown that methyl α -L-sorbopyranoside **1** does not react in a thio-Mitsunobu reaction with thioacetic acid. This is probably due to steric hindrance which prevents an attack of the intermediate bulky phosphonium cation at the neopentyl position C-1.¹⁹ The sorbopyranoside **1** can, however, be transformed into the 1,5-thioanhydro-fructopyranosides **4** and **8** via its tetramesylate **2** or 1,3-*O*-benzylidene derivative **5**.

The 2,3-O-isopropylidene-sorbofuranosides **9** and **18**, on the other hand, undergo regioselective thio-Mitsunobu reactions in the 6position. The resulting thioacetates lead to the 1,6-thioanhydro (*thiepano*) sugars **13–16** in two steps. The *thiolano* sugar methyl 1,4anhydro-6-O-mesyl-1-thio- β -L-psicofuranoside **23** is formed from the 1thioacetate **22** whereas the corresponding α -anomer **24** yields the 1,3thioanhydro (*thietano*) and 1,6-thioanhydro (*thiepano*) sugars **26** and **27**.

Mesylate leaving groups in the thioanhydrosugars cannot be replaced by azido substituents in $S_{\rm N}2$ reactions. Instead, the 3,4-epoxide 17 is formed from 15.

EXPERIMENTAL

Corrected melting points were determined on an Electrothermal apparatus. Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin Elmer 341 polarimeter. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on Al foils coated with $SiO_2 F_{254}$ (Merck, Darmstadt). The spots were detected by the extinction of the fluorescence or, after spraying with 20% H₂SO₄ in EtOH, by heating. Column chromatography (CC) was performed on Kieselgel 60 F, 0.063–0.200 mm (Merck, Darmstadt). Eluents [EtOAc, petroleum ether (PE)] were distilled prior to use. IR (KBr pellets or films) spectra were measured on an ATI Mattson Instruments Genesis Series FT-IR spectrometer. NMR spectra were measured on Bruker AMX 400 (¹H: 400 MHz; ¹³C: 100.62 MHz) or DRX 500 (¹H: 500 MHz, if particularly stated) spectrometers. Chemical shifts δ (ppm) are related to SiMe₄ as internal standard in CDCl₃. Spectra measured in acetone d_6 were calibrated to $\delta(^{1}H) = 2.09$ ppm of the CD₂H signal and $\delta(^{13}C)$ = 30.56 ppm of the CD₃ signal and subsequently related to SiMe₄. In order to enhance the resolution, the ¹H NMR spectra were recalculated from the FID by use of the program WinNMR 5.1 (Bruker). Assignments of the signals were achieved by performing ¹H-¹H-COSY-, ¹H-¹³C-COSY-, NOESY-, DEPT-135-, or PENDANT experiments. Solvents were purified and dried by standard laboratory procedures,²⁰ THF was kept over KOH for several days and subsequently refluxed and distilled over metallic potassium. Thioacetic acid (Merck, Darmstadt) was purified by four times distillation at low temp. in vacuum. Removal of solvents was performed by vacuum distillation in a rotating evaporator.

Methyl 1,3,4,5-Tetra-O-mesyl- α -L-sorbopyranoside (2)

Methyl α -L-sorbopyranoside (1)⁹ (1.00 g, 5.15 mmol) and methanesulfonyl chloride (4.85 mL, 62.27 mmol) were dissolved in dry pyridine (30 mL) under cooling with ice. After stirring at 20°C for 12 h, the pyridine was removed. The residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL). The solution was washed twice with H_2O (each 50 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated until dry. The residue was purified by CC (EtOAc) to give 2.50 g (96%) 2 as colorless crystals. Mp. 149– 150°C. $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ –19.6 (1.04, CHCl₃). IR: ν 1176 (SO₂), 1360 (SO₂) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 3.11 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.16 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.21 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.25 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.40 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.65 (d, 1H, 6-H), 4.19 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 4.33 (d, 1H, 1-H), 4.44 (d, 1H, 1-H), 4.78 (ddd, 1H, 5-H), 4.87 (d, 1H, 3-H), 5.11 (vt, 1H, 4-H). ${}^{2}J_{\text{H1},\text{H1}'} = 10.3 \text{ Hz},$ ${}^{3}J_{\text{H3.H4}} = 9.5 \text{ Hz}, {}^{3}J_{\text{H4.H5}} = 9.3 \text{ Hz}, {}^{3}J_{\text{H5.H6}} = 1.4 \text{ Hz}, {}^{3}J_{\text{H5.H6'}} = 6.2 \text{ Hz},$ ${}^{2}J_{\text{H6,H6'}} = 11.1 \text{ Hz}$. ${}^{13}\text{C} \text{ NMR} (\text{CDCl}_3)$: $\delta 37.9 (\text{SO}_2\text{CH}_3), 38.7 (\text{SO}_2\text{CH}_3),$ 39.1 (SO₂CH₃), 39.3 (SO₂CH₃), 49.7 (OCH₃), 60.3 (C-6), 66.0 (C-1), 73.2 (C-5), 73.8 (C-3), 75.6 (C-4), 98.1 (C-2).

Methyl 5-S-Acetyl-1,3,4-tri-O-mesyl-5-thio- β -D-fructopyranoside (3)

AcSK (0.71 g, 6.22 mmol) and **2** (2.10 g, 4.15 mmol) were dissolved in dry DMF (16 mL) and stirred under N₂ at 20°C for 24 h. After addition of another portion of AcSK (0.57 g, 4.99 mmol) in DMF (12.5 mL), the solution was heated to reflux under N₂ for 6 h. The DMF was removed. The residue was extracted with EtOAc and the extract was evaporated until dry. The product was purified by CC (EtOAc/PE 3:1) to yield **3** (0.45 g, 22%) as a syrup. IR: ν 1176 (SO₂), 1360 (SO₂), 1734 (C=O) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.49 (s, 3H, CH₃CO), 3.11 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.14 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.20 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.39 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.82 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 4.14 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 4.30 (d, 1H, 1-H), 4.39 (m, 1H, 5-H), 4.42 (d, 1H, 1-H), 4.80 (d, 1H, 3-H), 5.32 (dd, 1H, 4-H). ²J_{H1,H1'} = 10.6 Hz, ³J_{H3,H4} = 10.3

Hz, ${}^{3}J_{H4,H5} = 4.6$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{H5,H6} = 1.6$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{H5,H6'} = 2.3$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{H6,H6'} = 12.5$ Hz. ${}^{13}C$ NMR (CDCl₃): δ 30.8 (CH₃CO), 37.9 (SO₂CH₃), 39.1 (SO₂CH₃), 39.2 (SO₂CH₃), 46.6 (C-5), 49.6 (OCH₃), 63.6 (C-6), 66.4 (C-1), 74.1 (C-4), 74.5 (C-3), 98.9 (C-2), 193.5 (C=O).

Methyl 1,5-Anhydro-3,4-di-O-mesyl-1-thio- β -D-fructopyranoside (4)

(a) The tetramesylate **2** (1.00 g, 1.97 mmol) and CH₃COSH (0.70 mL, 9.88 mmol) were dissolved in 2-methoxyethanol (90 mL). N₂ was bubbled through the solution and KOH (0.55 g, 9.80 mmol) was added. The mixture was heated to reflux (125°C) for 20 h, the solvent was removed and the residue was purified by CC (EtOAc/PE/EtOH 10:6:0.5) to yield **4** (40.4 mg, 6%) as crystals.

(b) The thioacetate **3** (0.45 g, 0.92 mmol) and Na₂S \cdot 9H₂O (0.74 g, 3.07 mmol) were heated to 100°C in DMSO (6 mL) for 20 h. Ice-water (10 mL) was added, and the solution was extracted first with CH₂Cl₂ and then with PE. After drying with MgSO₄, the solvent was removed and the residue was purified by CC (EtOAc/PE 2:1) to give **4** (18.3 mg, 5%).

IR: ν 1171 (SO₂), 1180 (SO₂), 1350 (SO₂), 1360 (SO₂) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.71 (d, 1H, 1-H), 3.08 (m, 1H, 5-H), 3.14 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.19 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.42 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.48 (d, 1H, 1'-H), 4.47 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 4.50 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 4.93 (d, 1H, 3-H), 5.17 (vt, 1H, 4-H). ²J_{H1,H1'} = 11.4 Hz, ³J_{H3,H4} = 3.6 Hz, ³J_{H4,H5} = 3.4 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6} = 1.6 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6'} = 3.0 Hz, ²J_{H6,H6'} = 10.2 Hz. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 29.6 (C-1) 37.5 (C-5), 38.87 (SO₂CH₃), 38.91 (SO₂CH₃), 50.2 (OCH₃), 70.9 (C-6), 83.3 (C-3), 83.6 (C-4), 96.1 (C-2).

Methyl 1,3-O-Benzylidene- α -L-sorbopyranoside (5)

Compound 1⁹ (4.85 g, 25.0 mmol) was dissolved in dry DMF (20 mL). Benzaldehyde dimethylacetal (3.75 mL, 25.0 mmol) and camphorsulfonic acid (0.02 g, 0.2 mmol) were added and the reaction mixture was kept at 50°C under a slight vacuum (11 Torr) for 15 h. The solvent was removed. The resulting syrup was stirred with PE to yield a slightly syrupy solid. Recrystallization from 2-propanol gave pure **5** (3.81 g, 57%) as colorless crystals. M.p. 165–166°C, Lit.¹⁰: 183–184°C. $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ – 63.1 (1.01, 2-propanol), Lit.¹⁰: –54.6. IR: ν 3496 (OH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆, assignment of OH by addition of D₂O): δ 3.21 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.29 (vt, 1H, 6-H), 3.33 (d, 1H, 5-OH), 3.40 (m, 1H, 5-H), 3.44 (d, 1H, 3-H), 3.52 (d, 1H, 1-H), 3.59 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 3.63 (m, 1H, 4-H),

4.26 (d, 1H, 1'-H), 5.13 (d, 1H, 4-OH), 5.62 (s, 1H, PhC*H*), 7.34–7.40 (m, 3H, H_{ar}), 7.45–7.47 (m, 2H, H_{ar}). ${}^{2}J_{\text{H1,H1'}} = 11.8$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{\text{H3,H4}} = 9.9$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{\text{H4,H5}} = 8.8$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{\text{H4,OH4}} = 32.3$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{\text{H5,H6}} = 10.8$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{\text{H5,H6'}} = 6.0$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{\text{H5,OH5}} = 9.6$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{\text{H6,H6'}} = 10.9$ Hz. ${}^{13}\text{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ 47.4 (OCH₃), 63.3 (C-6), 66.3 (C-1), 69.8 (C-5), 70.5 (C-4), 81.6 (C-3), 92.5 (C-2), 101.1 (PhCH), 126.4 (2 C_{ar}), 127.9 (2 C_{ar}), 128.7 (1 C_{ar}), 137.9 (1 C_{ar}).

Methyl 1,3-O-Benzylidene-4,5-di-O-mesyl- α -L-sorbopyranoside (6)

The mesylate **6** was prepared by mesylation of 5 according to ref.¹⁰ IR: ν 1176 (SO₂), 1360 (SO₂) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.95 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.17 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.38 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.62 (d, 1H, 1-H), 3.78 (vt, 1H, 6-H), 3.79 (d, 1H, 3-H), 4.08 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 4.39 (d, 1H, 1-H), 4.69 (ddd, 1H, 5-H), 5.16 (dd, 1H, 4-H), 5.61 (s, 1H, PhC*H*), 7.34–7.38 (m, 3H, H_{ar}), 7.46–7.49 (m, 2H, H_{ar}). ²*J*_{H1,H1'} = 12.3 Hz, ³*J*_{H3,H4} = 10.0 Hz, ³*J*_{H4,H5} = 8.9 Hz, ³*J*_{H5,H6} = 6.6 Hz, ³*J*_{H5,H6'} = 10.4 Hz, ²*J*_{H6,H6'} = 10.8 Hz. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 38.8 (SO₂CH₃), 38.9 (SO₂CH₃), 48.6 (OCH₃), 61.6 (C-6), 66.8 (C-1), 75.2 (C-5), 77.5 (C-4), 79.4 (C-3), 92.7 (C-2), 102.6 (PhCH), 126.2 (2 C, C_{ar}), 128.5 (2 C, C_{ar}), 129.6 (C_{ar}-4'), 136.3 (C_{ar}-1').

Methyl 3-O-Benzoyl-1-bromo-1-deoxy-4,5-di-O-mesyl- α -L-sorbopyranoside (7)

Compound 6 (1.50 g, 3.42 mmol) was dissolved in CCl₄ (33 mL) under N₂. NBS (0.77 g, 4.33 mmol) and BaCO₃ (2.85 g, 14.4 mmol) were added, and the reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 4 h. After cooling, the solution was filtered and the residue was washed with EtOAc. The combined solutions were evaporated and the product was purified by CC (EtOAc/PE 3:1) to yield 7 (1.42 g, 80%) as colorless crystals. Mp. 126–127°C. [α]²⁰_D –57.8 (1.00, CHCl₃). IR: ν 1178 (SO₂), 1363 (SO₂). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.81 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.15 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.35 (d, 1H, 1-H), 3.39 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.61 (d, 1H, 1-H), 3.70 (vt, 6-H), 4.20 (dd, 1H, $\hbox{6-H)}, 4.79\,(ddd,\,1H,\,5\text{-}H), 5.25\,(vt,\,1H,\,4\text{-}H), 5.66\,(d,\,1H,\,3\text{-}H), 7.44\text{-}7.50\,(dd,\,1H,\,3\text{-}H), 7.44\text{-}7.50\,(dd,\,3H,\,3H,\,3H), 7.44\text{-}7.50\,(dd,\,3H,\,3H,\,3H), 7.44\text{-}7.50\,(dd,\,3H,\,3H), 7.44\text{-}7.50\,(dd,\,3H), 7.44\text{-}7.50\,(d$ (m, 2H, H_{ar}), 7.60–7.64 (m, 1H, H_{ar}), 8.11–8.13 (m, 2H, H_{ar}). ${}^{2}J_{H1,H1'} =$ 11.7 Hz, ${}^{3}J_{\text{H3,H4}} = 10.1$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{\text{H4,H5}} = 9.4$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{\text{H5,H6}} = 10.6$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{\text{H5,H6'}} = 6.2$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{\text{H6,H6'}} = 11.0$ Hz. 13 C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 29.3 (C-1), 38.5 (SO₂CH₃), 38.9 (SO₂CH₃), 48.8 (OCH₃), 61.1 (C-6), 70.9 (C-3), 74.0 (C-5), 77.4 (C-4), 98.8 (C-2), 128.5 (1 C_{ar}), 128.8 (2 C_{ar}), 130.2 (2 C_{ar}), 133.9 (1 C_{ar}), 165.1 (C=O).

Methyl 1,5-Anhydro-3-O-benzoyl-4-O-mesyl-1-thio- β -D-fructopyranoside (8)

The bromo compound 7 (0.64 g, 1.24 mmol) was dissolved in dry DMF (10 mL). N₂ was bubbled through the solution. Then AcSK (0.42 g, 3.68 mmol) was added and the solution was heated to reflux for 12 h. The reaction mixture was directly submitted to CC (EtOAc/PE) to yield 8 (120 mg, 26%) as crystals. M.p. 92–93°C (dec.). IR: ν 1176 (SO₂), 1361 (SO₂), 1728 (C=O) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.82 (d, 1H, H-1), 3.09 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.11 (m, 1H, 5-H), 3.33 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.45 (d, 1H, 1-H), 4.52 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 4.56 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 5.26 (vt, 1H, 4-H), 5.53 (d, 1H, 3-H), 7.45–7.48 (m, 2H, H_{ar}), 7.58–7.61 (m, 1H, H_{ar}), 8.09–8.11 (m, 2H, H_{ar}). ²J_{H1,H1'} = 11.3 Hz, ³J_{H3,H4} = 3.4 Hz, ³J_{H4,H5} = 3.4 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6} = 1.7 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6'} = 3.0 Hz, ²J_{H6,H6'} = 10.1 Hz. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 29.7 (C-1), 37.3 (C-5), 38.8 (SO₂CH₃), 50.4 (OCH₃), 70.8 (C-6), 76.7 (C-3), 82.8 (C-4), 96.4 (C-2), 128.5 (2 C_{ar}), 129.2 (C_{ar}), 130.1 (2 C_{ar}), 133.5 (C_{ar}), 165.6 (C=O).

6-S-Acetyl-2,3-O-isopropylidene-6-thio- α -L-sorbofuranose (10) and 1,6-Di-S-acetyl-2,3-O-isopropylidene-1,6-dithio- α -L-sorbofuranose (11)

PPh₃ (6.39 g, 24.4 mmol) was dissolved in dry THF (50 mL) under N₂. After cooling to 0°C, diisopropyl azodicarboxylate (DIAD, 4.70 mL, 24.4 mmol) was dropped in and the solution (1) was stirred at 0°C for 30 min. AcSH (2.00 mL, 28.1 mmol) was dropped into a solution of 2,3-*O*-isopropylidene-α-L-sorbofuranose **9**^{13,14} (4.27 g, 19.4 mmol) in dry THF (40 mL) under N₂ at 0°C. After 30 min stirring at 0°C, this solution (2) was dropped into solution (1). The reaction mixture was stirred at 20°C for 3 days. The solvent was removed and the residue was separated by CC (EtOAc/PE 3:2) to yield F1, $R_{\rm f} = 0.76$ (0.71 g, contaminated with DIHD: 11% of 11), and F2, $R_{\rm f} = 0.51$ (4.20 g, contaminated with DIHD: 62% of 10) as syrups.

10: IR: ν 1689 (C=O), 3464 (OH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (acetone-d₆): δ 1.30 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.39 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.32 (s, 3H, COCH₃), 3.08 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 3.13 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 3.83–3.86 (m, 2H, 1-H, 1-H), 4.01 (dd, 1H, 4-H), 4.22 (m, 1H, 5-H), 4.34 (d, 1H, 4-OH), 4.43 (s, 1H, 3-H), 4.56 (dd, 1H, 1-OH). ²*J*_{H1,H1'} = 10.3 Hz, ³*J*_{H1,OH} = 1.8 Hz, ³*J*_{H1',OH} = 5.8 Hz, ³*J*_{H4,H5} = 2.3 Hz, ³*J*_{H4,OH} = 8.1 Hz, ³*J*_{H5,H6} = 1.7 Hz, ³*J*_{H5,H6'} = 7.0 Hz, ²*J*_{H6,H6'} = 13.4 Hz. ¹³C NMR (acetone-d₆): δ 26.6 (CH₃), 27.6 (CH₃), 27.7 (C-6), 30.4 (COCH₃), 63.7 (C-1), 75.1 (C-4), 81.4 (C-5), 86.6 (C-3), 112.4 (C-2), 115.0 (CMe₂), 195.4 (C=O).

11: IR: ν 1709 (C=O), 1718 (C=O), 3315 (OH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (acetone-d₆): δ 1.34 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.37 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.325 (s, 3H, COCH₃), 2.328

(s, 3H, COCH₃), 3.09–3.18 (m, 2H, 6-H, 6-H), 3.39 (d, 1H, 1-H), 3.43 (d, 1H, 1-H), 4.11 (ddd, 1H, 4-H), 4.22 (vtd, 1H, 5-H), 4.29 (bs, 1H, 3-H), 4.50 (dd, 1H, 4-OH). ${}^{2}J_{\rm H1,H1'} = 13.9$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{\rm H3,H4} = 0.5$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{\rm H4,H5} = 2.1$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{\rm H4,OH} = 4.6$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{\rm H5,H6} = 7.1$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{\rm H5,H6'} = 7.1$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{\rm H6,H6'} = 13.4$ Hz. 13 C NMR (acetone-d₆): δ 26.8 (CH₃), 27.6 (CH₃), 27.7 (C-1), 30.8 (COCH₃), 30.9 (COCH₃), 35.2 (C-6), 75.3 (C-4), 81.6 (C-5), 87.6 (C-3), 112.3 (C-2), 114.3 (CMe₂), 194.6 (C=O), 195.6 (C=O).

6-S-Acetyl-2,3-O-isopropylidene-1,4-di-O-mesyl-6-thio- α -L-sorbofuranose (12)

Compound **10** (5.81 g = 16.8 mmol of 10) was mesylated with methanesulfonyl chloride (9.80 mL, 126 mmol) in pyridine (65 mL) as described for **2**. Purification by CC (EtOAc) gave **12** as a syrup (7.56 g, contaminated with 14% DIHD: 90% of **12**). IR: ν 1176 (SO₂), 1360 (SO₂), 1697 (C=O) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.39 (d, 3H, CH₃), 1.52 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.37 (s, 3H, COCH₃), 3.08 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.158 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 3.159 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.23 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 4.33 (d, 1H, 1-H), 4.37 (d, 1H, 1-H), 4.48 (vtd, 1H, 5-H), 4.82 (s, 1H, 3-H), 5.05 (d, 1H, 4-H). ²J_{H1,H1'} = 11.4 Hz, ³J_{H4,H5} = 2.8 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6} = 7.2 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6'} = 6.9 Hz, ²J_{H6,H6'} = 13.8 Hz. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 26.3 (CH₃), 26.4 (C-6), 27.2 (CH₃), 30.5 (COCH₃), 37.7 (SO₂CH₃), 38.7 (SO₂CH₃), 67.5 (C-1), 79.2 (C-5), 80.7 (C-4), 83.5 (C-3), 111.5 (C-2), 113.8 (C-Me₂), 194.4 (C=O).

1,6-Anhydro-2,3-O-isopropylidene-4-O-mesyl-1-thio- α -L-sorbofuranose (13)

Irrespective of its contamination, **12** (2.56 g, 5.05 mmol **12**) and NaHCO₃ (1.00 g, 11.9 mmol) were refluxed under N₂ in 2-methoxyethanol/H₂O (19:1) for 14 h. The solvents were removed and the residue chromatographed (EtOAc/PE 1:1) to yield **13** (0.40 g, contaminated with DIHD, 18% **13**) as a syrup. IR: ν 1180 (SO₂), 1360 (SO₂) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.42 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.57 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.36 (dd, 3H, 6-H), 2.42 (d, 1H, 1-H), 3.03 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 3.15 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.31 (d, 1H, 1'-H), 5.05 (d, 1H, 3-H), 5.07–5.10 (m, 1H, 5-H), 5.15 (dd, 1H, 4-H). ²J_{H1,H1'} = 12.5 Hz, ³J_{H3,H4} = 1.8 Hz, ³J_{H4,H5} = 6.9 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6} = 0.7 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6'} = 3.2 Hz, ²J_{H6,H6'} = 13.8 Hz. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 24.1 (C-6), 27.6 (CH₃), 28.3 (CH₃), 30.6 (C-1), 38.1 (SO₂CH₃), 81.0 (C-5), 82.2 (C-4), 86.4 (C-3), 110.4 (C-2), 117.7 (CMe₂).

1,6-Anhydro-4-O-mesyl-1-thio- α -L-sorbofuranose (14)

Without further purification, **13** (0.30 g = 0.68 mmol **13**) was refluxed in aqueous HOAc (10 mL, 20%) for 4 h. NaHCO₃ (1.85 g) was added for

neutralization. The solution was saturated with NaCl and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. After drying, the organic phase was evaporated and the residue was chromatographed (EtOAc/PE 3:1) to yield pure **14** as a syrup (31 mg, 18%). ¹H NMR (acetone-d₆): δ 2.28 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 2.36 (d, 1H, 1-H), 2.87 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 3.02 (m, 3H, 1'-H, 2-OH, 3-OH), 3.25 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 4.65 (d, 1H, 3-H), 4.69–4.72 (m, 1H, 5-H), 4.94 (dd, 1H, 4-H). ²J_{H1,H1'} = 12.5 Hz, ³J_{H3,H4} = 3.1 Hz, ³J_{H4,H5} = 7.3 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6} = 1.0 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6'} = 3.2 Hz, ²J_{H6,H6'} = 13.7 Hz. ¹³C NMR (acetone-d₆): δ 23.9 (C-6), 33.4 (C-1), 38.0 (SO₂CH₃), 75.76 (C-3 or C-5), 75.82 (C-5 or C-3), 87.1 (C-4), 99.7 (C-2).

Methyl 1,6-Anhydro-4-O-mesyl-1-thio- α -L-sorbofuranoside (15) and Methyl 1,6-Anhydro-1-thio- α -L-sorbofuranoside (16)

Acetyl chloride (3.40 mL) was dissolved in MeOH (23 mL) to form a solution of HCl in MeOH. Purified **13** (0.42 g, 1.42 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred at 20°C for 4 d. After neutralization with NEt₃, the solvents were removed and the residue was extracted with EtOAc. The solvent was removed from the extract. Chromatography (EtOAc/PE 3:1) gave **15** (0.233 g, 61%) as a syrup.

15: IR: ν 1178 (SO₂), 1354 (SO₂), 3454 (OH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.25 (d, 1H, 1-H), 2.35 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 2.79 (bs, 1H, OH), 2.94 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 3.19 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.35 (d, 1H, 1'-H), 3.54 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.76 (d, 1H, 3-H), 4.84 (m, 1H, 5-H), 4.99 (dd, 1H, 4-H). ² $J_{\text{H1,H1'}} = 12.3$ Hz, ³ $J_{\text{H3,H4}} = 3.2$ Hz, ³ $J_{\text{H4,H5}} = 7.2$ Hz, ³ $J_{\text{H5,H6}} = 1.0$ Hz, ³ $J_{\text{H5,H6'}} = 3.1$ Hz, ² $J_{\text{H6,H6'}} = 13.8$ Hz. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 23.8 (C-6), 30.4 (C-1), 38.0 (SO₂CH₃), 51.9 (OCH₃), 76.6 (C-3 or C-5), 76.9 (C-3 or C-5), 86.0 (C-4), 102.4 (C-2).

When unpurified **13** (containing its hydrolysis product 1,6-anhydro-2,3-O-isopropylidene-1-thio- α -L-sorbofuranose) was used as starting material, a 4% yield of **16** was isolated after CC (EtOAc/PE 3:1) in addition to **15**.

16: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.25 (ddd, 1H, 1-H), 2.34 (ddd, 1H, 6-H), 2.58 (s, 2H, 3-OH, 4-OH), 2.91 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 3.36 (d, 1H, 1-H), 3.52 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.28 (d, 1H, 3-H), 4.41 (dd, 1H, 4-H), 4.70 (dvt, 1H, 5-H). ²J_{H1,H1'} = 12.3 Hz, ⁴J_{H1,H6} = 0.4 Hz, ⁵J_{H1,H5} = 0.8 Hz, ³J_{H3,H4} = 2.3 Hz, ³J_{H4,H5} = 7.4 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6} = 2.2 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6'} = 2.8 Hz, ²J_{H6,H6'} = 13.6 Hz. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 24.2 (C-6), 30.0 (C-1), 51.8 (OCH₃), 78.0 (C-5), 80.8 (C-3 or C-4), 81.0 (C-3 or C-4), 100.7 (C-2).

Methyl 1,6:3,4-Dianhydro-1-thio- α -L-psicofuranoside (17)

The mesylate **15** (0.144 g, 0.53 mmol) and LiN_3 (0.080 g, 1.63 mmol) were refluxed in DMF (10 mL) for 18 h. The solvent was removed

and the residue was purified by CC (EtOAc/PE 3:1) to yield **17** as a syrup (0.085 g, 92%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.30 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 2.48 (d, 1H, 1-H), 3.05 (d, 1H, 1-H), 3.15 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 3.54 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.63 (d, 1H, 3-H or 4-H), 3.71 (d, 1H, 3-H or 4-H), 4.51 (ddd, 1H, 5-H). ²J_{H1,H1'} = 12.9 Hz, ³J_{H3,H4} = 3.2 Hz, ³J_{H4,H5} = 3.2 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6} = 1.8 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6'} = 3.2 Hz, ²J_{H6,H6'} = 13.4 Hz. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 25.7 (C-6), 30.1 (C-1), 52.5 (CH₃), 52.8 (C-3 or C-4), 53.0 (C-3 or C-4), 74.3 (C-5), 101.6 (C-2).

1-O-Acetyl-2,3-O-isopropylidene- α -L-sorbofuranose (18)¹⁸

 9^{12-14} of with Reaction Ac_2O gave 1-O-acetyl-2,3:4,6-di-Oisopropylidene- α -L-sorbofuranose¹⁸ (purified by CC with EtOAc instead of distillation,¹⁸ 99% yield). The di-O-isopropylidene derivative (5.70 g, 18.85 mmol) was dissolved in 60% aqueous HOAc (67 mL) and heated to 80-85°C for 1 h. The solvent was removed. After repeated codistillation with toluene and CC (EtOAc), **18** ($R_{\rm f} = 0.47, 4.51$ g, 91%) was obtained as a syrup. $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ –51.0 (1.0, acetone). IR: ν 1747 (C=O), 3423 (OH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (acetone-d₆): δ 1.30 (s. 3H, CH₃), 1.40 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.02 (s, 3H, COCH₃), 3.71 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 3.78 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 4.02 (d, 1H, 1-H), 4.19 (d, 1H, 4-H), 4.23 (dvt, 2H, 5-H, OH), 4.35-4.38 (m, 2H, 1-H, 3-H), 4.58 (d, 1H, OH). ${}^{2}J_{H1,H1'} = 11.8$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{H4,H5} = 2.8$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{\text{H5,H6}} = 5.6 \text{ Hz}, {}^{3}J_{\text{H5,H6'}} = 5.5 \text{ Hz}, {}^{2}J_{\text{H6,H6'}} = 11.6 \text{ Hz}, {}^{3}J_{\text{H,OH}} = 2.8 \text{ Hz}.$ ¹³C NMR (acetone-d₆): δ 20.7 (COCH₃), 26.6 (CH₃), 27.7 (CH₃), 60.7 (C-6), 63.9 (C-1), 75.8 (C-4), 82.4 (C-5), 86.4 (C-3), 112.3 (C-2), 113.4 (CMe₂), 170.4 (C=O).

1-O-Acetyl-2,3-O-isopropylidene-4,6-di-O-mesyl- α -L-sorbofuranose(19)

Compound **18**¹⁸ (4.39 g, 16.7 mmol) was mesylated as described for **2**. CC (EtOAc) gave **19** (6.64 g, 95%) as a colorless syrup. IR: ν 1174 (SO₂), 1361 (SO₂), 1745 (C=O) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (acetone-d₆): δ 1.38 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.49 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.07 (s, 3H, COCH₃), 3.17 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.30 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 4.23 (s, 1H, 1-H), 4.37 (d, 1H, 1-H), 4.40 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 4.50 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 4.71 (ddd, 1H, 5-H), 4.83 (s, 1H, 3-H), 5.18 (dd, 1H, 4-H). ²J_{H1,H1'} = 12.0 Hz, ³J_{H3,H4} = 0.5 Hz, ³J_{H4,H5} = 3.0 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6} = 7.4 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6'} = 4.4 Hz, ²J_{H6,H6'} = 10.9 Hz. ¹³C NMR (acetone-d₆): δ 20.7 (COCH₃), 26.6 (CH₃), 27.4 (CH₃), 37.3 (SO₂CH₃), 38.2 (SO₂CH₃), 63.5 (C-1), 67.7 (C-6), 78.3 (C-5), 82.4 (C-4), 86.8 (C-3), 113.7 (C-2), 113.8 (CMe₂), 170.5 (C=O).

Methyl 4,6-Di-O-mesyl- β -L-sorbofuranoside(20) and Methyl 4,6-Di-O-mesyl- α -L-sorbofuranoside (21)

Compound **19** (4.18 g, 11.5 mmol) was dissolved in methanolic HCl (96 mL), prepared from acetyl chloride (14.4 mL). The solution was stirred at 20°C for 42 h, then neutralized with NEt₃ (21 mL), and the solvent was removed. The residue was extracted with EtOAc, the solvent was removed from the extract, and the residue was repeatedly chromatographed (EtOAc) to yield **20** (0.420 g, 10%, $R_{\rm f} = 0.39$) and 21 (0.674 g, 17%, $R_{\rm f} = 0.31$) as syrups.

20: $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +15.4 (1.0, acetone). IR: ν 1174 (SO₂), 1350 (SO₂), 3427 (OH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (acetone-d₆): δ 3.17 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.22 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.32 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.70 (d, 1H, 1-H), 3.81 (d, 1H, 1-H), 4.36 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 4.43 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 4.45 (d, 1H, 3-H), 4.70–4.74 (m, 1H, 5-H), 5.16 (dd, 1H, 4-H). ²J_{H1,H1'} = 12.0 Hz, ³J_{H3,H4} = 3.2 Hz, ³J_{H4,H5} = 6.3 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6} = 7.2 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6'} = 4.1 Hz, ²J_{H6,H6'} = 10.8 Hz. ¹³C NMR (acetone-d₆): δ 37.9 (SO₂CH₃), 38.2 (SO₂CH₃), 49.2 (OCH₃), 59.8 (C-1), 69.5 (C-6), 77.5 (C-5), 79.0 (C-3), 84.4 (C-4), 109.8 (C-2).

21: $[\alpha]_{20}^{20}$ +74.8 (1.02, acetone). IR: ν 1174 (SO₂), 1352 (SO₂), 3408 (OH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (acetone-d₆): δ 3.16 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.26 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.36 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.66 (d, 1H, 1-H), 3.73 (d, 1H, 1-H), 3.94 (m, 1H, OH), 4.22 (d, 1H, OH), 4.32 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 4.43 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 4.46 (dd, 1H, 3-H), 4.57 (vtd, 1H, 5-H), 5.17 (dd, 1H, 4-H). ²J_{H1,H1'} = 12.1 Hz, ³J_{H3,H4} = 6.3 Hz, ³J_{H3,OH3} = 7.7 Hz, ³J_{H4,H5} = 6.9 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6} = 6.2 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6'} = 3.5 Hz, ²J_{H6,H6'} = 11.3 Hz. ¹³C NMR (acetone-d₆): δ 36.6 (SO₂CH₃), 38.4 (SO₂CH₃), 49.7 (OCH₃), 60.5 (C-1), 68.9 (C-6), 74.3 (C-5), 76.7 (C-3), 85.3 (C-4), 104.8 (C-2).

Methyl 1-S-Acetyl-4,6-di-O-mesyl-1-thio- β -L-sorbofuranoside (22)

Thio-Mitsunobu reaction of 20 (0.29 g, 0.84 mmol) with AcSH (0.15 mL, 2.11 mmol), DIAD (0.40 mL, 2.03 mmol), and PPh₃ (0.54 g (2.06 mmol) in THF as described for 10, and CC (EtOAc) gave **22** as a syrup, which was slightly contaminated with DIHD (0.117 g, 35% of pure **22** according to its ¹H NMR spectrum). IR: ν 1178 (SO₂), 1369 (SO₂), 1724 (C=O), 3352 (OH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.37 (s, 3H, COCH₃), 3.09 (s, 6H, 2 SO₂CH₃), 3.28 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.39 (s, 2H, 1-H, 1-H), 4.28 (d, 1H, 3-H), 4.35–4.46 (m, 2H, 6-H, 6-H), 4.77 (vt, 1H, 5-H), 5.09 (dd, 1H, 4-H). ³J_{H3,H4} = 1.4 Hz, ³J_{H4,H5} = 5.9 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6} = 5.9 Hz, (SO₂CH₃), 38.4 (SO₂CH₃), 49.0 (OCH₃), 66.9 (C-6), 77.5 (C-5), 78.4 (C-3), 82.3 (C-4), 109.8 (C-2), 194.4 (C=O).

Methyl 1,4-Anhydro-6-O-mesyl-1-thio- β -L-psicofuranoside(23)

The thioacetate **22** (0.117 g, 0.29 mmol) and NaHCO₃ (0.200 g, 2.38 mmol) were refluxed in 2-methoxyethanol/H₂O (19:1, 200 mL) for 22 h as described for **13**. CC (EtOAc) gave **23** as a syrup, which was slightly contaminated with DIHD (55 mg, 70% of pure **23**). IR: ν 1176 (SO₂), 1354 (SO₂) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 3.02 (s, 2H, 1H, 1-H), 3.06 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.26 (d, 1H, 4-H), 3.39 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.22 (d, 1H, 6-H), 4.23 (d, 1H, 6-H), 4.44 (m, 1H, 3-H), 4.61 (vt, 1H, 5-H). ³J_{H3,H4} = 2.5 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6} = 5.7 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6'} = 5.0 Hz. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 33.0 (C-1), 37.7 (SO₂CH₃), 48.1 (C-4), 58.9 (OCH₃), 69.1 (C-6), 70.3 (C-3), 82.6 (C-5), 111.8 (C-2).

Methyl 1-S-Acetyl-4,6-di-O-mesyl-1-thio- α -L-sorbofuranoside (24)

Thio-Mitsunobu reaction of **21** (0.584 g, 1.667 mmol) with AcSH (0.30 mL, 4.22 mmol), DIAD (0.75 mL, 3.81 mmol) and PPh₃ (1.00 g, 3.81 mmol) in THF as described for **22**, and CC (EtOAc) gave **24** as a syrup, which was slightly contaminated with DIHD (0.418 g, 61% of pure **24** according to its NMR spectrum). IR: ν 1178 (SO₂), 1360 (SO₂), 1729 (C=O) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.39 (s, 3H, COCH₃), 3.08 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.17 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.27 (d, 1H, 1-H), 3.31 (d, 1H, 1-H), 3.37 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.20 (d, 1H, 3-H), 4.33 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 4.39 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 4.45 (m, 1 H, 5-H), 5.16 (vt, 1 H, 4-H). ²J_{H1,H1'} = 14.6 Hz, ³J_{H3,H4} = 6.3 Hz, ³J_{H4,H5} = 6.9 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6} = 4.9 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6'} = 3.8 Hz, ²J_{H6,H6'} = 11.0 Hz. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 29.7 (C-1), 30.4 (COCH₃), 37.5 (SO₂CH₃), 38.5 (SO₂CH₃), 49.4 (OCH₃), 67.0 (C-6), 73.5 (C-5), 77.6 (C-3), 83.6 (C-4), 103.2 (C-2), 195.1 (C=O).

Methyl 1-S-Acetyl-2,4,6-tri-O-mesyl-1-thio- α -L-sorbofuranoside (25)

Mesylation of **24** (0.418 g, 1.022 mmol, 2 h) as described for **2**, and CC (EtOAc) gave **25** as a syrup, which was slightly contaminated with DIHD (0.492 g, 99% of pure **25** according to its NMR spectrum). IR: ν 1178 (SO₂), 1361 (SO₂) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.40 (s, 3H, COCH₃), 3.10 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.19 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.20 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.32 (d, 1H, 1-H), 3.39 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.52 (d, 1H, 1'-H), 4.42 (m, 2H, 6-H, 6'-H), 4.51 (dvt, 1H, 5-H), 5.17 (d, 1H, 3-H), 5.43 (vt, 4-H). ²*J*_{H1,H1'} = 14.7 Hz, ³*J*_{H3,H4} = 6.5 Hz, ³*J*_{H4,H5} = 7.0 Hz, ³*J*_{H5,H6} = 4.9 Hz, ³*J*_{H5,H6'} = 3.8 Hz. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 29.3 (C-1), 30.4 (COCH₃), 37.7 (SO₂CH₃), 38.5

(SO₂CH₃), 38.9 (SO₂CH₃), 49.5 (OCH₃), 66.5 (C-6), 73.7 (C-5), 79.90 (C-3), 79.94 (C-4), 103.3 (C-2), 194.1 (C=O).

Methyl 1,3-Anhydro-4,6-di-O-mesyl-1-thio- α -Ltagatofuranoside(26) and Methyl 1,6-Anhydro-3,4-di-O-mesyl-1-thio- α -L-sorbofuranoside (27)

Irrespective of the contamination, the thioacetate **25** (1.98 g, 1.011 mmol of pure **25**) and NaHCO₃ (0.35 g, 4.17 mmol) were refluxed in 2-methoxyethanol/H₂O (19:1, 200 mL) for 18 h as described for **13**. CC (EtOAc/PE 3:1) gave 26 (0.019 g, 6%) and **27** (0.029 g, 8%) as pure syrups.

26: IR: ν 1178 (SO₂), 1358 (SO₂) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 3.10 (d, 1H, 1-H), 3.13 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.14 (d, 1H, 1-H), 3.15 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.52 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.53 (d, 1H, 3-H), 4.23 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 4.32 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 4.69 (dd, 1H, 5-H), 5.25 (d, 1H, 4-H). ²J_{H1,H1'} = 12.8 Hz, ³J_{H3,H4} = 2.5 Hz, ³J_{H4,H5} = 6.6 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6} = 4.6 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6'} = 4.2 Hz, ²J_{H6,H6'} = 11.6 Hz. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 34.1 (C-1), 37.9 (SO₂CH₃), 39.1 (SO₂CH₃), 45.5 (C-3), 53.5 (OCH₃), 68.2 (C-6), 74.8 (C-4), 84.0 (C-5), 110.2 (C-2).

27: IR: ν 1176 (SO₂), 1354 (SO₂) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.25 (d, 1H, 1-H), 2.35 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 2.95 (dd, 1H, 6-H), 3.07 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.19 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.36 (d, 1H, 1-H), 3.54 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.77 (d, 1H, 3-H), 4.84 (m, 1H, 5-H), 5.00 (dd, 1H, 4-H). ²J_{H1,H1'} = 12.4 Hz, ³J_{H3,H4} = 3.1 Hz, ³J_{H4,H5} = 7.2 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6} = 1.0 Hz, ³J_{H5,H6'} = 3.1 Hz, ²J_{H6,H6'} = 13.8 Hz. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 23.8 (C-6), 30.4 (C-1), 37.7 (SO₂CH₃), 38.0 (SO₂CH₃), 51.9 (OCH₃), 76.6 (C-3), 76.9 (C-5), 86.1 (C-4), 100.4 (C-2).

REFERENCES

- Thiosugars, Part 15.—Part 14: D. Otzen and J. Voss, Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon, 181, 1249 (2006).
- [2] K. Polchow, Stereoselektive Synthese von Thio-anhydrosacchariden [Stereoselective Synthesis of Thio-Anhydrosaccharides], Ph.D. thesis, University of Hamburg, Germany, 2002; "der andere verlag", Osnabrück, 2002, ISBN 3-936231-24-9.
- [3] K. Polchow and J. Voss, Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon, 180, 353 (2005).
- [4] O. Schulze, J. Voss, G. Adiwidjaja, and F. Olbrich, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 339, 1787 (2004) and references cited therein.
- [5] G. Adiwidjaja, J.-S. Brunck, K. Polchow, and J. Voss, *Carbohydr. Res.*, **325**, 237 (2000).
- [6] M. Bols, Carbohydrate Building Blocks (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1996), p. 14.
- [7] T. C. Crawford and S. A. Crawford, Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem., 37, 79 (1980).
- [8] F. W. Lichtenthaler, Carbohydr. Res., 313, 69 (1998).
- [9] R. Helleur, V. A. Rao, and A. S. Perlin, Carbohydr. Res., 89, 83 (1981).
- [10] D. Murphy, J. Chem. Soc.(C), **1967**, 1732.

- [11] D. L. Failla, T. L. Hullar, and S. B. Siskin, *Chem. Commun.*, **1966**, 716, described the analogous cleavage of *methyl 2,3-di-O-benzoyl-4,6-O-benzylidene-\alpha-L-glucopyranoside* and suggested a radical mechanism for this reaction.
- [12] T. Reichstein and G. Grüssner, Helv. Chim. Acta, 17, 311 (1934).
- [13] T. I. Temnikowa and V. V. Sklyarova, Zh. Prikadl. Khim., 27, 1131 (1954); Chem. Abstr., 49, 2952 (1955).
- [14] T. Maeda, K. Tori, S. Satoh, and K. Tokuyama, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 41, 2495 (1968).
- [15] We have successfully applied this reaction sequence for the preparation of other anhydro-thiosugars; see e.g. refs. [1], [3], [4], [5].
- [16] O. Schulze, J. Voss, and G. Adiwidjaja, Synthesis, 2001, 229.
- [17] M. Hesse, H. Meier, and B. Zeeh, Spektroskopische Methoden in der organischen Chemie (Georg Thieme Verlag: Stuttgart, New York, 1991), 4th ed..
- [18] K. Tokuyama, M. Kiyokawa, and N. Hūki, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 36, 1392 (1963).
- [19] D. L. Hughes, Organic Reactions, 42, 335 (1992).
- [20] Autorenkollektiv, Organikum (VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1986), 16th ed.