

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 18 (2008) 710-715

Design, synthesis and evaluation of trifluoromethane sulfonamide derivatives as new potent and selective peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α agonists

Nicolas Faucher,^{a,*} Paul Martres,^a Alain Laroze,^a Olivier Pineau,^a Florent Potvain^b and Didier Grillot^b

^aDepartment of Medicinal Chemistry, Laboratoire GlaxoSmithKline, Centre de Recherches, 25–27 avenue du Québec, 91951 Les Ulis, France

^bDepartment of Biology, Laboratoire GlaxoSmithKline, Centre de Recherches, 25–27 avenue du Québec, 91951 Les Ulis, France

Received 25 September 2007; revised 14 November 2007; accepted 15 November 2007 Available online 21 November 2007

Abstract—Starting from the structure of **5**, a two-step strategy was applied to identify a new generation of trifluoromethane sulfonamides as potent PPAR α agonists. Synthesis, in vitro and in vivo evaluation of the most potent compound are reported. © 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) are members of the superfamily of nuclear transcription factors regulating lipid metabolism. Upon ligand binding, PPARs heterodimerize with the retinoid X receptor (RXR) and the resulting heterodimers regulate gene expression by binding to specific peroxisome proliferator response elements (PPRE) located in the regulatory regions of the target genes.¹

Three PPAR subtypes have been isolated to date (PPAR α , PPAR δ , and PPAR γ) and each subtype displays distinct tissue-selective expression patterns, ligand selectivity, and biological actions.^{2,3} PPAR α is mostly expressed in organs with a high rate of fatty acid catabolism such as the liver where it regulates the expression of genes encoding for proteins involved in lipid and lipoprotein metabolism.⁴ The fibrates (Fig. 1, Fenofibrate 1, Bezafibrate 2, Ciprofibrate 3, and Clofibrate 4) have been widely used for the clinical treatment of dyslipide-mia since these drugs can effectively decrease triglyceride (TG), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDLc), and very-low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (VLDLc) levels, and increase high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDLc) level.⁵ These beneficial pharmacological effects

0960-894X/\$ - see front matter @ 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2007.11.053

of fibrates are thought to be mediated in part by PPAR α activation,⁶ but the fibrates are neither particularly potent nor very selective for PPAR α . Consequently, in humans, fibrates must be used at very high doses (about 300–1200 mg/day) to achieve a sufficient lipid-lowering effect.⁷ Therefore, the search for more potent and selective activators of PPAR α could lead to the discovery of activators potentially superior to fibrates for the treatment of altered lipid homeostasis. Here, we describe the design and synthesis of novel trifluoromethane sulfonamide derivatives as potent human PPAR α selective activators.

We have recently reported the design and evaluation of a novel substituted thiazole **5** (GW590735) as a potent and selective PPAR α agonist.⁸ In the present studies, modification or replacement of the oxyisobutyric acid found in classical fibrates was carried out starting from **5** (Fig. 2). This modification was followed by a subsequent replacement of the phenylthiazole moiety thus leading to a new class of PPAR α agonists.

The oxyisobutyric acid head group was replaced by fragments containing a range of bioisosteres from the more generally used tetrazole 7 to the less common 3-hydroxy-3-cyclobutene-1,2-dione 8 (Table 1, compounds 6-10).^{9,10} All compounds were prepared following standard O-alkylation, N-acylation or N-sulfonylation procedures except for compound 7 which was prepared by

Keywords: Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors; Fibrate; Tri-fluoromethane sulfonamide.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +33 1 69 29 61 29; e-mail: nicolas. faucher@gsk.com



Figure 1. Chemical structures of the marketed Fibrates.



Figure 2. Design of new PPARa agonists.

Table 1. In vitro evaluation of PPARa agonists on both murine (m) and human (h) receptors^b

Compound	R ^a	$EC_{50} (\mu M) h$ PPAR α (%activation) ^c	EC ₅₀ (μM) m PPARα (%activation) ^c	EC ₅₀ (μM) h PPARδ (%activation) ^c	EC ₅₀ (μM) m PPARδ (%activation) ^c	$EC_{50} (\mu M) h$ $PPAR\gamma$ (%activation) ^c	EC ₅₀ (μM) m PPARγ (%activation) ^c
5	OH O O	0.004 (95)	0.02 (103)	2.8 (82)	1.7 (101)	9.7 (29)	9.7 (19)
6	F ₃ C H O	0.45 (79)	>10	>10	>10	>10	>10
7	N N N H	>10	>10	>10	>10	>10	>10
8	о он	>10	>10	>10	>10	>10	>10
9	$F_3C \sim S \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ H \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ H \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$	>10	>10	>10	>10	>10	>10
10	H O, H H S, N, H H O	0.06 (100)	0.8 (78)	>10	>10	>10	>10

^a The R substituent refers to Figure 2.

^b Data generated using cell based transient transfection assays.¹³

^c% of maximal activation of all compounds was compared to reference compounds normalized to 100%. For the PPARα activity, the reference compound was **5**. For the PPAR α activities, the reference compounds were GW516 and rosiglitazone, respectively.

alkylation of the phenol with chloroacetonitrile followed by the tetrazole formation using the DBTO method developed by Wittenberger and Donner,¹¹ and for compound **8** which was prepared via the Liebeskind stannane.¹⁰

Compounds 7 and 9, employing two of the most com-

mon carboxylic acid bioisosteres, tetrazole and acyl sul-

fonamide,¹² showed no in vitro activity when tested for

their agonist activity on PPAR-GAL4 chimeric receptors in transiently transfected CV-1 cells.¹³

However, encouraging results were observed with the methane sulfonamide analogue 10, which showed an EC_{50} of 60 nM on human PPAR α . Consequently, a set of different sulfonamide derivatives was synthesized and tested in vitro (Table 2). All compounds from Table 2 were prepared using standard N-sulfonylation proce-

Compound	R ^a	$EC_{50} (\mu M) h$ PPAR α (%activation) ^c	$EC_{50} (\mu M) m$ PPAR α (%activation) ^c	$EC_{50} (\mu M) h$ PPAR δ (%activation) ^c	$EC_{50} (\mu M) m$ PPAR δ (%activation) ^c	$EC_{50} (\mu M) h$ $PPAR\gamma$ (%activation) ^c	$EC_{50} (\mu M) m$ $PPAR\gamma$ (%activation) ^c
11	O, H. S, O	1 (79)	>10	>10	>10	>10	>10
12		0.05 (87)	>10	>10	>10	>10	>10
13 ^d	0, H , N, 0	0.32 (125)	>10	>10	>10	5.5 (69)	6.7 (52)
14	O, H , N F₃C ^{^S} , O	0.0003 (115)	0.002 (98)	0.4 (61)	0.3 (91)	0.5 (78)	0.6 (64)
15	O, H F₃C, S, N, S	0.003 (72)	0.06 (74)	>10	>10	0.3 (66)	0.3 (42)
16	0, H , S, N F₃C ^{-S} , O	0.08 (114)	>10	>10	>10	>10	>10

Table 2. Sulfonamides as PPARα agonists^b

^a The R substituent refers to Figure 2.

^b Data generated using cell based transient transfection assays.¹³

 $^{\circ}$ % of maximal activation of all compounds was compared to reference compounds normalized to 100%. For the PPAR α activity, the reference compound was **5**. For the PPAR δ and PPAR γ activities, the reference compounds were GW516 and rosiglitazone, respectively.

^d Sulfonylation was carried out on the 4-(*tert*-butoxycarbonylamino)aniline followed by TFA deprotection and HATU mediated coupling with 4methyl-2-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1,3-thiazole-5-carboxylic acid to afford **13**.

dures using the corresponding sulfonyl chloride except for compound 14 which was prepared from triflic anhydride.

Although the aromatic sulfonamide **11** showed only weak potency, small alkyl sulfonamides were more effective (compounds **12–13**). It is noteworthy that the potency of the sulfonamides appeared to correlate with the acidity of the NH group as exemplified by compounds **10, 14**, and **15** with EC_{50} s of 60–0.3 nM. It could be hypothesized from docking experiments (not shown) that the sulfonyl group is overlapping the carboxylate of the fibrate head group making four hydrogen bonds with Ser-280, Tyr-314, Tyr-464, and His-440 as observed with GW590735.⁸ The gem-dimethyl substituents of the fibrate head group which are directed into a lipophilic pocket bounded by Phe-273, Gln-277, Val-444, and

Leu-456 could be replaced by the alkyl group of the sulfonamide. The selectivity versus PPAR δ could arise from the relative hindrance of the protein side chains in PPAR δ in this region compared to PPAR α .

Having established that the trifluoromethane sulfonamide was more than ten times more potent in vitro than the original fibrate (0.3 nM for 14 vs. 5 nM for 5), this acidic group was retained and SAR studies were performed on the remainder of the structure. Previous investigations on the SAR of 5 had demonstrated that the optimal structure for PPAR α agonism comprised a benzylamine tethered to a biaryl unit via an amide bond.⁸ Therefore, a further range of compounds of this general structure were prepared and tested (Fig. 3).



Figure 3. Focused array of trifluoromethane sulfonamides.

Sulfonylation of 4-cyanoaniline followed by catalytic hydrogenation of resulting nitrile 18 gave the benzylamine 17. Further EDCI mediated coupling of 17 with the carboxylic acids furnished the targeted set of amides (Scheme 1). A selection of the most potent and selective trifluoromethane sulfonamide agonists (EC₅₀ for PPAR- $\alpha < 500$ nM and at least 10-fold selective vs. PPAR γ and PPAR δ) was compared with the corresponding fibrates and in accordance with the previous observation



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) $(F_3CSO_2)_2O$, Et_3N , CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C; (b) Pd/C, H₂, EtOH/AcOH, rt; (c) EDCI, DMAP, RCOOH, CH_2Cl_2 , reflux then rt.

Table 3. In vitro activities of selected sulfonamides^a

Structure	R	Compound	EC ₅₀ (μM) h PPARα (%activation) ^b	EC_{50} (µM) m PPAR α (%activation) ^b
R N	H _N_S_CF ₃ O´O	19	0.06 (121)	0.08 (96)
	, о _ он	25	5 (107)	>25
CI	, H , N , S , CF ₃ O O	20	0.005 (138)	0.03 (123)
	, о он	26	0.07 (134)	1 (130)
N	, N, CF3 O, O	21	0.02 (133)	0.2 (152)
	ло он	27	1 (133)	>25
	, H , N, S, CF ₃ O O	22	0.36 (102)	0.7 (116)
	ОН	28	3 (135)	>25
R	H _N _S _CF ₃ O´O	23	0.08 (120)	0.34 (97)
	, о с он	29	2.8 (97)	>25
	, H , N _S , CF ₃ O O	24	0.08 (94)	0.13 (132)
	ОН	30	6 (133)	>25

^a Data generated using cell based transient transfection assays.¹³

^b% of maximal activation of all compounds was compared to compound 5 normalized to 100%.



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) EDCI, DMAP, RCOOH, CH_2Cl_2 , reflux then rt; (b) 1 N NaOH, EtOH, reflux.

with 14, the trifluoromethane sulfonamide compounds were at least 8-fold more potent than their fibrate analogues (Table 3).

Interestingly, the sulfonamide derivatives were found to be more active on the murine isoform than their fibrate analogues.

Benzylamine **31** was prepared from 4-cyanophenol via alkylation with 2-bromo-2-methylpropionate and hydrogenation.⁸ Fibrate derivatives were prepared from **31** by EDCI and DMAP mediated coupling followed by hydrolysis under standard conditions (Scheme 2).

The most potent trifluoromethane sulfonamide **20** was selected for in vivo evaluation to determine its efficacy in increasing HDL-cholesterol levels. The human Apo-A-I-transgenic mouse model was used because of its relevance to human disease since in this model fibrates upregulate Apo-A-I, the major lipoprotein of HDL particles.¹⁴ The pharmacokinetic parameters of com-

pound **20** were evaluated in mice and found to be suitable for chronic administration (Table 4). Total plasma clearance was low (0.78 mL/min/kg) with a half-life of 4.9 h. Following a single oral dose of compound **20** at 5 mg/kg, the maximum concentration of compound in the plasma was 4515 ng/mL and the bio-availability was 16%.

As shown in Table 5, oral administration of compound **20** at a dose of 20 mg/kg twice a day for 5 days induced a significant elevation of HDL-cholesterol (+102%) in addition to a lowering of serum TG and VLDL-cholesterol by 69% and 85%, respectively.

It is noteworthy that the pharmacological effects observed with compound **20** were almost exclusively PPAR α related since only a weak and partial activity on PPAR γ and no PPAR δ activity were observed in vitro as shown in Table 6.

A two-step strategy was applied to the generation of new potent and selective PPAR α agonists. The classical fibrate head group has been replaced by a trifluoromethane sulfonamide giving a new series of potent PPAR α agonists. The finding that **20** is able to lower VLDLc and TG and increase HDL cholesterol in the Apo-A-I-transgenic mouse model suggests that it could deliver significant therapeutic benefit in the treatment of dyslipidemia and hypertriglyceridemia.

Table 4. Pharmacokinetic data for compound 20 in mice^a

F %	Cl (mL/min/kg)	$C_{\rm max} (\rm ng/mL)$	Vd _{ss} (L/kg)	$T_{1/2}$ (h)	AUC _{po} (ng h/mL)
16	0.78	4145	0.22	4.9	18824

^a IV dose: 0.2 mg/kg (DMSO/cyclodextrin 20% (5/95 v/v)); PO dose: 5 mg/kg (0.5% HPMC K100/0.1%Tween 80).

 Table 5. In vivo activities of sulfonamide 20^a

Structure	Dose (mg/kg)	TG $(g/l) (p)^{b}$	VLDLc (g/l) (p) ^b	LDLc (g/l) (p) ^b	HDLc (g/l) (p) ^b
Q, H F ₃ C ^S , O H C C C C C C C C	20	-69% ***	-85% ***	-55% ***	+102% ***

^a Five days chronic bid C57B6 mice transgenic human Apo-A-I.

^b *** indicates values of p < 0.0005, as determined by a One-Way-ANOVA.

Table 0. In vitro activities of compound 20	Table 6	6. Ir	ı vitro	activities	of	compound	20
--	---------	-------	---------	------------	----	----------	----

Compound	$EC_{50} (\mu M) h$	EC_{50} (μM) m	$EC_{50} (\mu M) h$	$EC_{50} (\mu M) m$	$EC_{50} (\mu M) h$	$EC_{50} (\mu M) m$
	PPAR α	PPARα	PPAR δ	PPAR δ	PPAR γ	PPAR γ
	(%activation) ^b					
20	0.005 (138)	0.02 (123)	>10	>10	1.1 (86)	0.6 (59)

^a Data generated using cell based transient transfection assays.¹³

^b% of maximal activation of all compounds was compared to reference compounds normalized to 100%. For the PPARα activity, the reference compound was **5**. For the PPARδ and PPARγ activities, the reference compounds were GW516 and rosiglitazone, respectively.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2007. 11.053.

References and notes

- Kliewer, S. A.; Umesono, K.; Noonan, D. J.; Heyman, R. A.; Evans, R. M. Nature 1992, 358, 771.
- Willson, T. M.; Brown, P. J.; Sternbach, D. D.; Henke, B. R. J. Med. Chem. 2000, 43, 527.
- Xu, Y.; Mayhugh, D.; Saeed, A.; Wang, X.; Thompson, R. D.; Dominianni, S. J.; Kauffman, R. F.; Singh, J.; Bean, J. S.; Bensch, W. R.; Barr, R. J.; Osborne, J.; Montrose-Rafizadeh, C.; Zink, R. W.; Yumibe, N. P.; Huang, N.; Luffer-Atlas, D.; Rungta, D.; Maise, D. E.; Mantlo, N. B. J. Med. Chem. 2003, 46, 5121.
- 4. van Raalte, D. H.; Li, M.; Pritchard, P. H.; Wasan, K. M. *Pharm. Res.* **2004**, *21*, 1531.
- (a) Sprecher, D. L. Am. J. Cardiol. 2000, 86, 46; (b) Rader, D. J. Am. J. Cardiol. 2003, 91, 18; (c) Chong, P. H.; Bachenheimer, B. S. Drugs 2000, 60, 55.
- Fruchart, J.-C.; Duriez, P.; Staels, B. Curr. Opin. Lipidol. 1999, 10, 245.
- Nomura, M.; Tanase, T.; Ide, T.; Tsunoda, M.; Suzuki, M.; Uchiki, H.; Murakami, O.; Miyachi, H. J. Med. Chem. 2003, 46, 3581.
- 8. Sierra, M. L.; Beneton, V.; Boullay, A.-B.; Boyer, T.; Brewster, A. G.; Donche, F.; Forest, M.-C.; Fouchet,

M.-H.; Gellibert, F. J.; Grillot, D. A.; Lambert, M. H.; Laroze, A.; Le Grumelec, C.; Linget, J.-M.; Montana, V. G.; Nguyen, V.-L.; Nicodeme, E.; Patel, V.; Penfornis, A.; Pineau, O.; Pohin, D.; Potvain, F.; Poulain, G.; Ruault, C.; Saunders, M.; Toum, J.; Xu, H. E.; Xu, R. X.; Pianetti, P. M. J. Med. Chem. 2007, 50, 685.

- Ornstein, P. L.; Arnold, M. B.; Allen, N. K.; Bleisch, T.; Borromeo, P. S.; Lugar, C. W.; Leander, J. D.; Lodge, D.; Schoepp, D. D. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 2232.
- Soll, R. M.; Kinney, W. A.; Primeau, J.; Garrick, L.; McCaully, R. J.; Colatsky, T.; Oshiro, G.; Park, C. H.; Hartupee, D.; White, V.; McCallum, J.; Russo, A.; Dinish, J.; Wojdan, A. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 1993, 3, 757.
- 11. Wittenberger, S. J.; Donner, B. G. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 4139.
- Johansson, A.; Poliakov, A.; Åkerblom, A.; Wiklund, K.; Lindeberg, G.; Winiwarter, S.; Danielson, U. H.; Samuelsson, B.; Hallberg, A. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2003, 11, 2551.
- Henke, B. R.; Blanchard, S. G.; Brackeen, M. F.; Brown, K. K.; Cobb, J. E.; Collins, J. L.; Harrington, W. W., Jr.; Hashim, M. A.; Hull-Ryde, E. A.; Kaldor, I.; Kliewer, S. A.; Lake, D. H.; Leesnitzer, L. M.; Lehmann, J. M.; Lenhard, J. M.; Orband-Miller, L. A.; Miller, J. F.; Mook, R. A.; Noble, S. A.; Oliver, W.; Parks, D. J.; Plunket, K. D.; Szewczyk, J. R.; Willson, T. M. J. Med. Chem. 1998, 41, 5020.
- Berthou, L.; Duverger, N.; Emmanuel, F.; Langouet, S.; Auwerx, J.; Guillouzo, A.; Fruchart, J. C.; Rubin, E.; Denefle, P.; Staels, B.; Branellex, D. J. Clin. Invest. 1996, 97, 2408.