Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

www.rsc.org/obc

Volume 6 | Number 16 | 21 August 2008 | Pages 2829-3016



ISSN 1477-0520

RSCPublishing

FULL PAPER Thomas Pesnot and Gerd Wagner Novel derivatives of UDP-glucose: concise synthesis and fluorescent properties

FULL PAPER

John Robinson *et al.* Exploring the substrate specificity of OxyB, a phenol coupling P450 enzyme involved in vancomycin biosynthesis

Novel derivatives of UDP-glucose: concise synthesis and fluorescent properties[†]

Thomas Pesnot and Gerd K. Wagner*

Received 27th March 2008, Accepted 6th May 2008 First published as an Advance Article on the web 18th June 2008 DOI: 10.1039/b805216f

A series of novel 5-substituted UDP-glucose derivatives with interesting fluorescent properties and potential applications as sensors for carbohydrate-active enzymes is reported. An efficient synthesis of the target molecules was developed, centred around the Suzuki–Miyaura reaction of (hetero)arylboronic acids with 5-iodo UDP-glucose. Interestingly, the optimised cross-coupling conditions could also be applied successfully to 5-bromo UMP, but not to 5-bromo UDP-glucose.

Introduction

UDP-Sugars[‡] like UDP-glucose (Fig. 1) and UDP-galactose are the natural donor substrates for a large number of carbohydrateactive enzymes, including glycosyltransferases and epimerases.¹ Many of these UDP-sugar-dependent enzymes are involved in important biological processes, such as the biosynthesis of blood group determinants,² the assembly of cell-surface glycoconjugates and cell wall components in (myco)bacteria^{3a,b} and fungi,^{3c} and galactose metabolism in trypanosomes.⁴ Structural analogues of naturally occurring UDP-sugars are therefore sought after as inhibitor candidates and molecular tools for the investigation of these enzymes and processes.



Fig. 1 UDP-glucose (X = H), and general synthetic strategy towards novel, fluorescent analogues.

Of particular interest in this context are fluorescently labelled UDP-sugars, which can be used in operationally simple glyco-syltransferase bioassays. Previously, several UDP-sugars with a fluorophore connected *via* a linker to either the sugar^{5,6} or, in one case, the nucleobase⁶ have been described. The synthetic

preparation of these analogues is usually carried out by multistep synthesis from a fluorescently labelled precursor. Frequently, this approach involves lengthy protection–deprotection sequences, suffers from low overall yields, and is lacking the synthetic flexibility required for efficient analogue synthesis. In addition, the steric bulk of many conventional fluorophores may compromise the biological activity of the labelled UDP-sugars.⁶

As part of an ongoing effort to develop fluorescence-based glycosyltransferase assays, we have designed a novel type of fluorescent UDP-sugar with a compact, fluorogenic substituent at the uracil base (Fig. 1). Our target design was inspired by the recent discovery that uracil nucleosides and nucleotides with a small, heterocyclic substituent in position 5 possess interesting fluorescent properties.⁷ We reasoned that this concept can also be applied to UDP-sugars, providing sugar-nucleotides sufficiently "auto-fluorescent" as to obviate the need for a bulky fluorophore.

Our synthetic strategy for the target 5-substituted UDP-sugars was devised with maximum structural flexibility in mind (Fig. 1). The fluorogenic aryl and heteroaryl substituents can be installed at the uracil base of a suitable halogenated precursor using Suzuki– Miyaura cross-coupling chemistry.⁸ Taking advantage of recent advances in Pd-catalysed reactions in aqueous media,⁹ we sought to carry out the cross-coupling directly on a preassembled 5halo UDP-sugar substrate, in the final step of the synthesis. This approach facilitates analogue synthesis, thus allowing the rapid optimisation of biological and fluorescent properties.

Previously, we and others have described the cross-coupling of various unprotected purine¹⁰ and pyrimidine¹¹ nucleotides. Recently, we have also reported the first example for the Suzuki– Miyaura reaction of an unprotected GDP-sugar.¹² However, to the best of our knowledge no cross-coupling reactions with unprotected UDP-sugars are known in the literature. This gap illustrates the substantial challenge associated with the use of UDP-sugars as cross-coupling substrates, due to the intrinsic susceptibility of *e.g.* the glycosyl phosphate linkage to hydrolytic cleavage.

Herein, we report the first successful Suzuki–Miyaura reaction of an unprotected 5-halo UDP-sugar. We describe the development of cross-coupling conditions compatible with the use of sugar-nucleotide substrates and investigate the parameters important for cross-coupling reactivity. We show, for the first time, that these conditions can also be applied to the efficient cross-coupling

Centre for Carbohydrate Chemistry, School of Chemical Sciences & Pharmacy, University of East Anglia, Norwich, NR4 7TJ, England. E-mail: g.wagner@uea.ac.uk; Fax: +44 (0)1603 592003; Tel: +44 (0)1603 593889

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: ¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P NMR spectra for sugar-nucleotides **6a**, **6b**, **7a–d** and selected nucleosides and nucleotides; UV absorbance spectra for **6b** and **7a–d**. See DOI: 10.1039/b805216f

[‡] Abbreviations: GDP guanosine diphosphate; UDP uridine diphosphate; UMP uridine monophosphate; TPPTS tris(3-sulfonatophenyl)phosphine trisodium salt.

Downloaded by Rice University on 18 February 2013 Published on 18 June 2008 on http://pubs.rsc.org | doi:10.1039/B805216F of 5-bromouracil nucleotides. Using this methodology, we have prepared a series of four 5-(hetero)aryl UDP-glucose derivatives from a single synthetic precursor. These novel UDP-glucose analogues show interesting and differential fluorescent properties and may be useful for the development of glycosyltransferase bioassays. The synthetic method is robust and flexible, and may be applicable to the efficient preparation of other fluorescent UDPsugars also.

Results and discussion

An important objective of the present study was the identification of suitably mild reaction conditions for the Suzuki-Miyaura crosscoupling of unprotected 5-halo UDP-sugars in aqueous solution. We decided to establish a suitable cross-coupling protocol using uracil nucleosides and nucleotides first, before attempting the more challenging cross-coupling of the corresponding sugarnucleotides. We were particularly interested in using 5-bromouracil nucleosides and (sugar-)nucleotides as cross-coupling substrates, despite their potentially lower cross-coupling reactivity compared to the 5-iodo congeners, as the uracil base can be brominated selectively in position 5 under mild, aqueous conditions.¹³ In principle, this would allow us to combine bromination and crosscoupling reaction in a one-pot, two-step procedure¹² in aqueous media, thus opening a very efficient synthetic route towards the target 5-(hetero)aryl UDP-glucose derivatives from commercially available UDP-glucose. However, while there is precedent for the cross-coupling of unprotected 5-iodouracil nucleotides11 and nucleosides,¹⁴ no examples have been reported for the successful use of the corresponding 5-bromo derivatives as Suzuki-Miyaura substrates.

The substrates required for the cross-coupling reaction, 5bromouridine **2** and 5-bromo UMP **4a**, were prepared from uridine *via* Kumar's bromination method¹³ and an adaptation of the original Yoshikawa protocol for the 5'-selective phosphorylation of unprotected nucleosides (Scheme 1).¹⁵ The use of proton sponge¹⁶ during this reaction helped accelerate the phosphorylation reaction, which is known to require prolonged reaction times for uracil nucleosides,¹⁵ as well as suppress a potential bromine– chlorine exchange reaction in position 5.^{16b}



Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i) NBS, NaN₃, 1,2-DME–H₂O, rt, 5 h; ii) Na₂Cl₄Pd (1 mol%), TPPTS (2.5 mol%), R-B(OH)₂, K_2CO_3 , H₂O, 60 °C, 3 h; iii) POCl₃, proton sponge, MeCN, -5 °C, 4 h. For substituents R and individual yields see Table 1.

For the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling of 5-bromouracil nucleosides and nucleotides, we initially investigated the suitability of a catalytic system composed of the water-soluble Pd source

Table 1 Suzuki–Miyaura coupling of 5-bromouridine 2 and 5-bromo UMP 4a with various arylboronic acids $R-B(OH)_2^{a}$

Entry	Substrate	Product	R	Yield ^b (%)
1	2	3a	Phenyl	45
2	2	3b	4-Methylphenyl	46
3	2	3c	4-Chlorophenyl	43
4	4a	5a	Phenyl	57
5	4a	5b	4-Methylphenyl	27
6	4a	5c	4-Chlorophenyl	26

" See Scheme 1 for structures. " Isolated yields.

Na₂Cl₄Pd, the water-soluble phosphine ligand TPPTS^{+14b} and K₂CO₃ as the base. Reactions were carried out at 60 °C for 3 h, as we were concerned that elevated temperature and prolonged reaction times may promote decomposition under the basic conditions (*e.g.* by cleavage of the glycosidic bond). Under these conditions, the cross-coupling of both nucleoside **2** and nucleotide **4a** did afford the desired 5-aryl derivatives (Table 1). However, none of the cross-coupling reactions went to completion, and the cross-coupled products **3a–c** and **5a–c** were isolated in generally low to moderate yields. The problem of unsatisfactory yields due to incomplete conversion was further exacerbated by the loss of material during purification of the polar reaction products by chromatography. In order to improve the isolated yields, we therefore set out to identify a more reactive catalytic system for the cross-coupling of 5-bromo UMP **4a**.

The cross-coupling of 4a with phenylboronic acid was chosen as a model reaction for the optimisation of ligand and catalytic base (Table 2). Neither an increase in the amount of K_2CO_3 (entries 1-3) nor use of a stronger base (entries 4-6) improved cross-coupling efficiency. However, replacement of K₂CO₃ with Cs₂CO₃ resulted in a substantially higher yield of 5-phenyl UMP 5a (entry 7). No decomposition or side reactions were observed under these conditions, and any loss of material occurred only during purification by ion-pair chromatography. The use of additional equivalents of Cs₂CO₃ gave a reduced isolated yield (entry 8), as previously observed for K₂CO₃ and NaOH. This finding may be due to the deprotonation of the 5-bromouracil ring in position 3 in the presence of a large excess of base, deactivating the heterocycle for the cross-coupling reaction and/or facilitating coordination of the palladium catalyst.^{14b} The superiority of Cs₂CO₃ over K₂CO₃ in the cross-coupling of 5-bromo UMP appears to be independent

 Table 2
 Optimisation of conditions for the Suzuki–Miyaura coupling of 5-bromo UMP 4a with phenylboronic acid^a

Entry	Ligand ^{<i>b</i>}	Base	Yield ^c (%)
1	TPPTS	$K_{2}CO_{3}$ (2 eq.)	57
2	TPPTS	K_2CO_3 (5 eq.)	23
3	TPPTS	K_2CO_3 (10 eq.)	0
4	TPPTS	NaOH (2 eq.)	0
5	TPPTS	NaOH (5 eq.)	17
6	TPPTS	NaOH (10 eq.)	0
7	TPPTS	Cs_2CO_3 (2 eq.)	71
8	TPPTS	Cs_2CO_3 (10 eq.)	18
9	Buchwald ligand	Cs_2CO_3 (2 eq.)	53
10	Kirschning ligand	Cs_2CO_3 (2 eq.)	34

^{*a*} See Scheme 1 for structures; other conditions: Na_2Cl_4Pd (1 mol%), H_2O , 60 °C, 2 h. ^{*b*} 2.5 mol%. ^{*c*} Isolated yields.

from the nature of the leaving group, as similar observations have previously been reported for the cross-coupling of 5-iodouridine nucleotides.^{11b}

Next, we investigated the influence of alternative ligands, in combination with Na₂Cl₄Pd as the Pd source, on cross-coupling efficiency. Neither a water-soluble, phosphine-based ligand recently introduced by the Buchwald group for the cross-coupling of aryl chlorides and sterically hindered aryl bromides in water,^{17a} nor a water-insoluble, 4-pyridine-aldoxime-based ligand developed by Kirschning and coworkers^{17b} offered any advantage over TPPTS (Table 2, entries 9 and 10). From these reactions, **5a** was isolated in slightly or clearly lower yield.

Following optimisation of the catalytic system, we required 5-bromo UDP-glucose 6a as the sugar-nucleotide substrate for the Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling (Scheme 2). We envisaged to prepare 6a from the corresponding nucleoside monophosphate 4a and glucose-1-phosphate. This approach involves activation of the phosphate group in 4a in the form of e.g. the phosphoromorpholidate, followed by acid-catalysed formation of the pyrophosphate bond. Previously, we have successfully used MnCl₂ as a catalyst for this type of transformation in the synthesis of GDP-sugars.¹² However, while the conversion of nucleotide 4a into its morpholidate proceeded smoothly, all attempts to react this morpholidate under MnCl₂-catalysis with glucose-1-phosphate to UDP-sugar 6a failed. We finally resorted to activating nucleotide 4a with the classical carbonyldiimidazole method.¹⁸ Thus, 4a was converted into the corresponding imidazolide and reacted in situ with glucose-1-phosphate, to provide sugar-nucleotide 6a in 38% vield.

With both the optimised catalytic system and the required substrate for the cross-coupling in hand, we attempted the direct Suzuki–Miyaura reaction of UDP-sugar **6a** with phenylboronic acid (Scheme 2). Surprisingly, this reaction was unsuccessful under our optimised conditions (Table 3, entry 1). No cross-coupling product could be detected by TLC after 4 hours at 50 °C when decomposition of the sugar-nucleotide set in and the reaction was stopped. The lack of cross-coupling reactivity of sugar-nucleotide **6a** was particularly intriguing as the corresponding 5-bromouracil nucleoside **2** and nucleotide **4a** had both proved to be sufficiently reactive under these conditions.

It is well known that (hetero)aryl iodides are generally more reactive in cross-coupling reactions than the corresponding

Table 3 Suzuki–Miyaura coupling of 4b, 6a, and 6b with various arylboronic acids R-B(OH)₂^{*a*}

0
64
58
57
40
71
66

" See Scheme 2 for structures. " Isolated yields.

bromide analogues.¹⁹ Faced with the non-reactivity of **6a**, we therefore selected 5-iodo UDP-glucose 6b as an alternative crosscoupling substrate, in order to gain access to the target 5-(hetero)aryl UDP-glucose derivatives (Scheme 2). In analogy to the synthesis of **6a**, **6b** was prepared from the corresponding nucleoside monophosphate 4b and glucose-1-phosphate using the carbonyldiimidazole method. Gratifyingly, and in contrast to the unsuccessful cross-coupling of 6a, the reaction of 5-iodo UDPglucose 6b with phenylboronic acid proceeded smoothly to afford 5-phenyl UDP-glucose 6a in 64% yield after 1 h (Table 3, entry 2). To determine the scope of the reaction conditions, we then synthesised a small family of UDP-glucose derivatives with different aromatic and heteroaromatic substituents at position 5 (Table 3, entries 3-5). The cross-coupling reactions were successful with both electron-rich and electron-deficient (hetero)aromatic boronic acids, and the desired 5-substituted UDP-glucose derivatives 7b-d were obtained in 40-58% isolated yield.

At this point, we decided to carry out further experiments in order to better understand the differential cross-coupling reactivity of sugar-nucleotides **6a** and **6b**. It seemed to us that in the light of the successful cross-couplings with 5-bromouracil derivatives **2** and **4a**, the lack of reactivity observed for **6a** could not be explained by the potentially limited leaving group quality of the bromo substituent alone. Therefore, 5-iodo UMP **4b** was prepared from 5-iodouridine and reacted with phenylboronic acid, for direct comparison both with its 5-bromo congener **4a** and with the corresponding sugar-nucleotide **6b** (Table 3, entry 6). From this reaction, 5-phenyl UMP **5a** was obtained in 71% yield after 3 h, similar to the cross-coupling results obtained with both **4a**



Scheme 2 *Reagents and conditions*: i) carbonyldiimidazole, DMF, rt, 3 h; ii) MeOH; iii) glucose-1-phosphate, DMF, rt, 24 h; iv) Na₂Cl₄Pd (2.5 mol%), TPPTS (6.25 mol%), Cs₂CO₃, R-B(OH)₂, H₂O, 50 °C, 1 h. For substituents R and individual yields see Table 3.

and **6b**. The similar cross-coupling behaviour of 5-bromo UMP **4a**, 5-iodo UMP **4b**, and 5-iodo UDP-glucose **6b** suggests that neither a bromo leaving group, nor the sugar-nucleotide nature of the substrate are *per se* an obstacle to cross-coupling success.

It is known that the outcome of Suzuki-Miyaura reactions is strongly influenced by steric parameters, e.g. the accessibility of the substrate for the palladium-ligand catalytic complex.^{19a} In order to investigate the possibility that 6a, but not 6b, may in solution adopt a conformation which restricts access of the palladium-ligand catalytic complex, we carried out a comparative conformational analysis of both sugar-nucleotides. In NOESY experiments with 6a, NOE contacts were observed between protons H-6 and protons H-3' (major NOE), H-1' and H-5' (Fig. 2). The close spatial proximity between H-6 and H-5' strongly suggests a global conformation for this sugar-nucleotide in which the uracil base is oriented anti to the ribose ring, similar to the conformation of the 5-unsubstituted parent UDP-glucose.²⁰ In contrast, NOESY results for 6b indicate that in solution, the 5-iodo congener exists predominantly in the syn conformation. For **6b**, a strong NOE signal was observed between protons H-6 and H-2' and a weaker one between H-6 and H-1', while no NOE contact was seen between H-6 and H-3' or H-5'. In the syn conformation, the 5-iodo substituent in **6b** is pointing away from the glucose diphosphate group, and the 5 position is easily accessible for the Pd catalyst. Such easy access may not be granted in the anti conformation preferred by the 5-bromo analogue 6a, where the 5bromo substituent is facing towards the bulky glucose diphosphate moiety. Thus, the superior cross-coupling reactivity of **6b** may be attributed, at least in part, to the capacity of the bulky iodo substituent in 6b to induce a conformation which is favourable for the insertion of the reactive Pd species during the oxidative addition step.



Fig. 2 Diagnostic NOE interactions, suggesting an *anti* conformation for **6a**, and a *syn* conformation for **6b** (R = glucosyl-1-diphosphate).

While 5-substituted uracil nucleosides and nucleotides have been used previously as fluorescent probes,⁷ no fluorescent sugar-nucleotides of this type have so far been reported. In order to assess the suitability of the new 5-substituted UDPglucose derivatives as fluorescent sensors, we have recorded UV absorbance and fluorescence spectra for **6b** and **7a–d**. The UV absorbance spectra of all 5-substituted UDP-glucose analogues show a low energy absorbance band, which lies at ~280 nm for the 5-phenyl derivatives (**7a–c**), at 287 nm for 5-iodo UDPglucose **6b**, and at 314 nm for 5-(2-furyl) UDP-glucose **7d**.† In aqueous solution, all analogues were fluorescence emissive upon excitation at the absorbance maximum (Fig. 3). As expected, their fluorescent properties are strongly influenced by the nature of the substituent in position 5 (Table 4). While 5-iodo UDP-glucose **6b** is

Table 4 Fluorescence spectroscopic properties of UDP-Glc derivatives

Compound	$\lambda_{\rm ex}/\rm nm$	λ_{em}/nm	Stokes shift ^a /cm ⁻¹
6b	287	377	8318
7a	278	403	11157
7b	278	444	13449
7c	281	398	10462
7d	314	437	8963
" Stokes shift =	$(1/\lambda_{\rm ex}-1/\lambda_{\rm em}).$		

almost non-fluorescent, the 5-(hetero)aryl-substituted derivatives are weakly (7a and 7c) or strongly (7b and 7d) fluorescent. Among the three phenyl-substituted derivatives, the electronrich 4-methoxy analogue 7b stands out with its relatively large Stokes shift and strong fluorescence emission. Only 7d, whose furan substituent is known as a strong fluorescence emitter⁷ but more difficult to install than a phenyl substituent (*cf.* Table 3 for yields), can compare with 7b in terms of fluorescence intensity. Of the new UDP-glucose derivatives presented herein, 7b and 7d may therefore be particularly useful as biological sensors for carbohydrate-active enzymes, and investigations into their biological activity are currently under way.



Fig. 3 Fluorescence emission spectra for UDP-glucose derivatives **6b** and **7a–d** in H_2O ($c = 100 \ \mu M$ in H_2O).

Conclusions

In this study, we have shown that installation of an aromatic or heteroaromatic substituent at position 5 of UDP-glucose yields a novel type of fluorescent analogue, whose fluorescent properties can be modulated by the nature of the fluorogenic substituent. We have developed an efficient synthetic route towards the target molecules *via* Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling of 5-iodo UDP-glucose **6b**, which may be applicable to the preparation of other fluorescent UDP-sugars also. Interestingly, under otherwise identical conditions the cross-coupling reaction was unsuccessful with 5-bromo UDP-glucose **6a** as the substrate. Results from NOESY experiments suggest that the differential cross-coupling reactivity of 5-bromo UDP-glucose **6a** and its 5-iodo congener **6b** is due at least in part to conformational differences between the two sugar-nucleotides in solution. Investigations into the biological properties of the novel UDP-sugars described herein are currently under way, and results from these studies will be reported in due course.

Experimental

General

All chemicals were obtained commercially and used as received unless stated otherwise. TLC was performed on precoated aluminium plates (Silica Gel 60 F₂₅₄, Merck). Compounds were visualized by exposure to UV light. NMR spectra were recorded at 298 K on a Varian VXR 400 S spectrometer or on a Bruker Avance DPX-300 spectrometer. Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in ppm and, for solutions in D_2O , are referenced to methanol $(\delta_{\rm H} 3.34, \delta_{\rm C} 49.50)$. Coupling constants (J) are reported in Hz. Resonance allocations were made with the aid of COSY and HSQC experiments. NOESY measurements were carried out with a relaxation delay of 1.000 s and a mixing time of 0.200 s. High resolution electrospray ionisation mass spectra (HR ESI-MS) were obtained on a Finnigan MAT 900 XLT mass spectrometer at the EPSRC National Mass Spectrometry Service Centre, Swansea. UV absorbance measurements were carried out on a Perkin Elmer Lambda 25 UV/VIS spectrometer. Fluorescence spectra were recorded on a PerkinElmer LS-45 spectrometer at ambient temperature. Samples were measured in aqueous solution at a concentration of 100 µM in a quartz micro fluorescence cell (path length 1.0 cm). Preparative chromatography was performed on a Biologic LP chromatography system equipped with a peristaltic pump and a 254 nm UV Optics Module under the following conditions.

Purification method 1. Ion-pair chromatography was performed using Lichroprep RP-18 resin, gradient 0-10% MeCN against 0.05 M TEAB (triethylammonium bicarbonate) over 480 mL, flow rate 5 mL min⁻¹. Product-containing fractions were combined and reduced to dryness. The residue was co-evaporated repeatedly with methanol to remove residual TEAB.

Purification method 2. Anion exchange chromatography was performed using a Macro prep 25Q resin, gradient 0-100% 1 M TEAB (pH 7.3) against H₂O over 480 mL, flow rate 5 mL min⁻¹. Product-containing fractions were combined and reduced to dryness. The residue was co-evaporated repeatedly with methanol to remove residual TEAB.

5-Bromouridine (2)

N-Bromosuccinimide (1.6 g, 9.0 mmol) was added to a stirred suspension of uridine **1** (2.0 g, 8.2 mmol) in 1,2-dimethoxyethane (100 mL) at 25 °C. The mixture was stirred for 5 minutes. To the clear solution was added a solution of sodium azide (2.15 g, 32 mmol) in water (6.0 mL). The reaction turned bright yellow, accompanied by the separation of a colourless oil. The yellow colour disappeared slowly while the oily material remained. After stirring for 2 h, TLC (30% methanol in chloroform, Rf 0.62; Rf_{SM} 0.45) showed complete conversion of starting material. The solvents were removed under vacuum to give a pale yellow, amorphous solid. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (silica; 15% methanol in CHCl₃). Initially, a colourless oil was obtained which was dried under vacuum overnight to give a white solid. Recrystallisation from dry ethanol

gave 5-bromouridine **2** as white crystals in 80% yield (2.11 g). $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) 3.49–3.71 (2H, m, H-5'), 3.82–3.86 (1H, m, H-4'), 3.92 (1H, t, J = 5.6 Hz, H-3'), 4.03 (1H, dd, J = 3.6 and 5.2 Hz, H-2'), 5.05 (1H, d, J = 5.1 Hz, OH-3'), 5.26 (1H, t, J = 4.6 Hz, OH-5'), 5.41 (1H, d, J = 4.2 Hz, OH-2'), 5.70 (1H, d, J = 3.9 Hz, H-1'), 8.46 (1H, s, H-6), 11.80 (1H, s, NH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75.5 MHz, DMSO- d_6) 60.2 (C-5'), 69.3 (C-3'), 74.0 (C-2'), 84.8 (C-4'), 88.6 (C-1'), 95.9 (C-5), 140.4 (C-6), 150.3 (C-2), 159.5 (C-4). m/z (ESI) 322.9872 [M + H]⁺, C₉H₁₂O₆N₂Br⁷⁹ requires 322.9873.

General method A: Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling of 5-bromouridine

A 2-necked round bottom flask with 5-bromouridine **2** (1 eq.), arylboronic acid (1.5 eq.) and K_2CO_3 (2 eq.) was purged with N_2 . Upon addition of TPPTS (0.025 eq.), Na_2Cl_4Pd (0.01 eq.) and degassed H_2O (5 mL) the mixture turned orange in colour. The reaction was stirred under N_2 for 3 h at 60 °C, turning brown–black. Once the starting material was fully consumed, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature. The pH was adjusted to 7 with HCl 1% and the reaction mixture was filtered through Celite. The solvents were removed to give a white powder, which was purified by column chromatography (silica; gradient of 0–15% methanol in chloroform).

5-Phenyluridine (3a). The title compound was prepared from **2** (50 mg, 155 µmol) and phenylboronic acid according to general method A. After recrystallisation from absolute ethanol, **3a** was obtained in colourless crystals in 45% yield (22.4 mg). $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) 3.52–3.68 (2H, m, H-5'), 3.84–3.88 (1H, m, H-4'), 3.97–4.14 (2H, m, H-2', H-3'), 5.07 (1H, d, J = 4.8 Hz, OH-3'), 5.20 (1H, t, J = 4.5 Hz, OH-5'), 5.41 (1H, d, J = 5.4 Hz, OH-2'), 5.82 (1H, d, J = 3.0 Hz, H-1'), 7.24–7.56 (5H, m, Ph), 8.25 (1H, s, H-6), 11.5 (1H, br s, NH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75.5 MHz, DMSO- d_6) 60.5 (C-5'), 69.3 (C-3'), 74.7 (C-2'), 84.6 (C-4'), 88.2 (C-1'), 108.3 (C-5), 127.7, 127.9, 128.0 (Ph), 137.6 (C-6), 147.2 (C-2), 162.0 (C-4). m/z (ESI) 321.1081 [M + H]⁺, C₁₅H₁₇O₆N₂ requires 321.1087.

5-(4-Methylphenyl)uridine (3b). The title compound was obtained from **2** (50 mg, 155 µmol) and 4-methylphenylboronic acid according to general method A in 46% yield (24.0 mg). $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) 2.30 (3H, s, Me), 3.50–3.72 (2H, m, H-5'), 3.82–3.90 (1H, m, H-4'), 3.96–4.05 (1H, m, H-3'), 4.07–4.15 (1H, m, H-2'), 5.10 (1H, s, OH-3'), 5.22 (1H, s, OH-5'), 5.44 (1H, s, OH-2'), 5.86 (1H, d, J = 4.5 Hz, H-1'), 7.17 (2H, d, J = 6.6 Hz, *m*Ph), 7.43 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz, *o*Ph), 8.24 (1H, s, H-6'), 11.42 (1H, br s, NH). $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75.5 MHz, DMSO- d_6) 20.6 (Me), 60.3 (C-5'), 69.6 (C-3'), 73.9 (C-2'), 84.7 (C-4'), 88.2 (C-1'), 113.4 (C-5), 127.8, 128.7, 130.3, 136.5 (Ph), 137.7 (C-6), 150.3 (C-2), 162.3 (C-4). *m/z* (ESI) 335.1236 [M + H]⁺, C₁₆H₁₉O₆N₂ requires 335.1238).

5-(4-Chlorophenyl)uridine (3c). The title compound was obtained from **2** (20 mg, 62 µmol) and 4-chlorophenylboronic acid according to general method A in 43% yield (9.4 mg). $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) 3.50–3.75 (2H, m, H-5'), 3.84–3.91 (1H, m, H-4'), 4.00–4.07 (1H, m, H-3'), 4.08–4.18 (1H, m, H-2'), 5.10 (1H, d, J = 3.9 Hz, OH-3'), 5.26 (1H, s, OH-5'), 5.46 (1H, d, J = 4.8 Hz, OH-2'), 5.82 (1H, d, J = 4.5 Hz, H-1'), 7.36–7.62 (4H, 2d, J = 8.4 and 8.7 Hz, Ph), 8.38 (1H, s, H-6'), 11.6 (1H, br s, NH); $\delta_{\rm c}$ (75.5 MHz, DMSO- d_6) 60.1 (C-5'), 69.3 (C-3'), 74.0 (C-2'), 84.6 (C-4'), 88.5 (C-1'), 112.1 (C-5), 128.2, 129.6, 131.8, 132.1 (Ph),

138.6 (C-6), 150.2 (C-2), 162.1 (C-4). m/z (ESI) 355.0693 [M + H]⁺, C₁₅H₁₆O₆N₂Cl³⁵ requires 355.0691.

5-Bromouridine-5'-monophosphate (4a)

A suspension of 2 (50 mg, 0.15 mmol) and proton sponge (199 mg, 0.9 mmol) in dry acetonitrile (3 mL) was cooled to -5 °C, and POCl₃ (58 µl, 0.6 mmol) was added dropwise. The orange-coloured reaction was stirred at -5 °C for 4 h, at which time TLC indicated near complete conversion (IPA-H₂O-NH₃ 6 : 3 : 1; Rf 0.31; Rf_{SM} 0.71). The reaction was quenched with 50 mL of ice cold 0.2 M TEAB buffer. The pale orange solution was stirred for 1 h at 0 °C. After warming to 25 °C, the aqueous layer was washed with ethyl acetate $(3\times)$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified sequentially by purification methods 1 and 2 to provide 4a in its triethylammonium salt form as a colourless, glassy solid in 50% yield (31.2 mg). $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, D₂O) 4.03-4.16 (2H, m, H-5'), 4.23-4.27 (1H, m, H-4'), 4.30 (1H, t, J =4.8, H-3'), 4.34 (1H, t, J = 5, H-2'), 5.93 (1H, d, J = 4.8 Hz, H-1'), 8.24 (1H, s, H-6); δ_C (75.5 MHz, D₂O) 64.9 (C-5'), 70.3 (C-3'), 74.7 (C-2'), 84.2 (C-4'), 89.7 (C-1'), 97.7 (C-5), 141.3 (C-6), 152.0 (C-2), 162.7 (C-4); δ_P (121.5 MHz, D₂O) 7.5. m/z (ESI) 400.9391 [M - $H^{-}_{1}, C_{9}H_{11}O_{9}N_{2}Br^{79}P$ requires 400.9393.

5-Iodouridine-5'-monophosphate (4b)

The title compound was prepared from 5-iodouridine²¹ (480 mg, 1.3 mmol) by the procedure used in the synthesis of **4a**. The triethylammonium salt of **4b** was obtained as a colourless, glassy solid in 53% yield (307 mg). $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, D₂O) 3.96–4.10 (2H, m, H-5'), 4.20–4.27 (1H, m, H-4'), 4.31 (1H, t, J = 3.9 Hz, H-3'), 4.38 (1H, t, J = 5.1 Hz, H-2'), 5.92 (1H, d, J = 5.1 Hz, H-1'), 8.27 (1H, s, H-6); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75.5 MHz, D₂O) 64.8 (C-5'), 69.6 (C-5), 70.8 (C-3'), 74.6 (s, C-2'), 84.8 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, C-4'), 89.6 (C-1'), 147.1 (C-6), 152.8 (C-2), 164.3 (C-4); $\delta_{\rm P}$ (121.5 MHz, D₂O) 7.6. m/z (ESI) 448.9255 [M – H]⁻, C₉H₁₁O₉N₂IP requires 448.9252.

General method B: Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling of 5-bromo UMP

A 2-necked round bottom flask with 5-bromouridine-5'-monophosphate **4a** (1 eq.), K_2CO_3 (2 eq.) and arylboronic acid (1.5 eq.) was purged with N₂. TPPTS (0.025 eq.), Na₂Cl₄Pd (0.01 eq.) and degassed H₂O (4 mL) were added, and the reaction was stirred under N₂ for 3 h at 60 °C. The reaction was cooled to room temperature, and the pH was adjusted to 7 with 1% HCl. The black suspension was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was taken up in MeOH. The methanolic suspension was filtered through Celite, and the residue was washed with methanol. The combined filtrates were evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified using purification method 1.

5-Phenyluridine-5'-monophosphate (5a). The triethylammonium salt of the title compound was obtained as a glassy solid in 57% yield (11.5 mg) from **3a** (20 mg, 49.6 µmol) and phenylboronic acid according to general method B. $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, D₂O) 4.07–4.11 (2H, m, H-5'), 4.26–4.30 (1H, m, H-4'), 4.23 (1H, t, J = 4.8 Hz, H-3'), 4.45 (1H, t, J = 6.0 Hz, H-2'), 6.04 (1H, d, J = 5.6 Hz, H-1'), 7.42–7.54 (5H, m, Ph), 7.89 (1H, s, H-6); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75.5 MHz, D₂O) 65.1 (C-5'), 71.2 (C-3'), 74.6 (C-2'), 85.8 (C-4'), 89.8 (C-1'), 117.2 (C-5), 128.2, 129.4, 131.7 (Ph), 139.6 (C-6), 152.7 (C-2), 165.8 (C-4); δ_P (121.5 MHz, D₂O) 7.6. *m/z* (ESI) 399.0593 [M – H]⁻, C₁₅H₁₆O₉N₂P requires 399.0599.

5-(4-Methylphenyl)uridine-5'-monophosphate (5b). The triethylammonium salt of the title compound was obtained as a glassy solid in 27% yield (5.5 mg) from **3a** (20 mg, 49.6 μmol) and 4-methylphenylboronic acid according to general method B. $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, D₂O) 2.35 (3H, s, Me), 4.04–4.09 (2H, m, H-5'), 4.24–4.28 (1H, m, H-4'), 4.32 (1H, t, J = 4.6 Hz, H-3'), 4.44 (1H, t, J = 4.5 Hz, H-2'), 6.00 (1H, d, J = 4.5 Hz, H-1'), 7.29 (2H, d, J = 6.0, Ph), 7.39 (2H, d, J = 6.0 Hz, Ph), 7.80 (1H, s, H-6); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75.5 MHz, D₂O) 20.9 (Me), 65.0 (C-5'), 70.8 (C-3'), 74.1 (C-2'), 84.4 (C-4'), 89.4 (C-1'), 116.8 (C-5), 129.4, 130.1, 138.8 (Ph), 139.5 (C-6), 154.1 (C-2), 165.5 (C-4); $\delta_{\rm P}$ (121.5 MHz, D₂O) 7.6. m/z(ESI) 413.0753 [M – H]⁻, C₁₆H₁₈O₉N₂P requires 413.0755.

5-(4-Chlorophenyl)uridine-5'-monophosphate (5c). The triethylammonium salt of the title compound was obtained as a glassy solid in 26% yield (5.5 mg) from **3a** (20 mg, 49.6 µmol) and 4-chlorophenylboronic acid according to general method B. $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, D₂O) 3.97–4.03 (2H, m, H-5'), 4.20–4.25 (1H, m, H-4'), 4.29 (1H, t, J = 4.7 Hz, H-3'), 4.43 (1H, t, J = 5.4 Hz, H-2'), 5.99 (1H, d, J = 5.7 Hz, H-1'), 7.40–7.55 (4H, m, Ph), 7.86 (1H, s, H-6); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75.5 MHz, D₂O), 65.0 (C-5'), 70.9 (C-3'), 74.3 (C-2'), 82.5 (s, C-4'), 89.6 (C-1'), 101.6 (C-5), 129.6, 129.9, 131.2 (Ph), 139.6 (C-6), 152.4 (C-2), 165.8 (C-4); $\delta_{\rm P}$ (121.5 MHz, D₂O) 7.6. *m/z* (ESI) 433.0210 [M – H]⁻, C₁₅H₁₅O₉N₂Cl³⁵P requires 433.0209.

5-Bromouridine-5'-diphosphate-α-D-glucose (6a)

4a (43 mg, 0.11 mmol) was coevaporated with dry DMF $(3\times)$. Carbonyldiimidazole (35 mg, 0.21 mmol) was added and the flask was purged with N2. Dry DMF (2 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. Once the formation of the phosphoroimidazolide was complete dry methanol (350 µL) was added to destroy excess carbonyldiimidazole. The reaction was stirred for 20 min, and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. α-D-Glucose monophosphate (triethylammonium salt; 51 mg, 0.13 mmol) was coevaporated with DMF $(3\times)$ and dissolved in dry DMF (2 mL). Under N₂, this solution was added to the 4a-imidazolide, and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. Once TLC indicated complete consumption of the 4a-imidazolide (IPA-H₂O-NH₃ 6:3:1; Rf 0.40; Rf_{SM} 0.37; Rf_{imidazolide} 0.71) all solvents were removed under reduced pressure, and the crude residue was purified sequentially by purification methods 1 and 2. The title compound was obtained in its triethylammonium salt form as a colourless, glassy solid in 38% yield (26 mg). $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, D₂O) 3.40–3.46 (1H, m, H-4"), 3.46-3.56 (1H, m, H-2"), 3.57-3.78 (4H, m, H-3", H-5" and H-6"), 4.20-4.26 (1H, m, H-5'), 4.26-4.30 (1H, m, H-4'), 4.34-4.40 (2H, m, H-2', H-3'), 5.43 (1H, dd, J = 3.6 and 9.6 Hz, H-1"), 5.78 (1H, d, J = 4.4 Hz, H-1'), 8.06 (1H, s, H-6); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75.5 MHz, D₂O) 61.2 (C-6"), 65.9 (C-5'), 70.1 (C-4"), 70.4 (C-3'), 73.4 (C-2"), 73.7, 73.8 (C-3"/C-5"), 74.7 (C-2'), 84.2 (C-4'), 89.8 (C-1'), 96.6 (C-1"), 118.6 (C-5), 141.8 (C-6), 152.3 (C-2), 163.1 (C-4); δ_P (121.5 MHz, D_2O) -9.07 (d, J = 20.0 Hz), -7.57 (d, J = 22.2 Hz). m/z (ESI) 642.9586 $[M - H]^-$, $C_{15}H_{22}O_{17}N_2Br^{79}P_2$ requires 642.9583.

5-Iodouridine-5'-diphosphate-\alpha-D-glucose (6b). The title compound was prepared from **4b** (137 mg, 0.30 mmol) by the procedure

used in the synthesis of **6a**. The triethylammonium salt of **6b** was obtained as a colourless, glassy solid in 37% yield (78 mg). $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, D₂O) 3.40–3.58 (2H, m, H-2" and H-4"), 3.75–3.92 (4H, m, H-3", H-5" and H-6"), 4.18–4.25 (2H, m, H-5'), 4.25–4.29 (1H, m, H-4'), 4.32–4.42 (2H, m, H-2', H-3'), 5.61 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.6 and 9.6 Hz, H-1"), 5.93 (1H, d, *J* = 4.4 Hz, H-1'), 8.25 (1H, s, H-6); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75.5 MHz, D₂O) 59.9 (C-5), 61.3 (C-6"), 66.0 (d, *J* = 3.8 Hz, C-5'), 70.2 (C-4"), 70.6 (C-3'), 72.6 (C-2"), 73.7, 73.8 (C-3"/C-5"), 74.7 (C-2'), 84.3 (d, *J* = 9.1 Hz, C-4'), 89.7 (C-1'), 96.6 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, C-1"), 147.0 (C-6), 152.8 (C-2), 164.4 (C-4); $\delta_{\rm P}$ (121.5 MHz, D₂O) –9.21 (d, *J* = 20.9 Hz), -7.70 (d, *J* = 21.1 Hz). *m/z* (ESI) 690.9431 [M – H]⁻, C₁₅H₂₂O₁₇N₂IP₂ requires 690.9444.

General method C: Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling of 5-iodouridine-5'-diphosphate-α-D-glucose

A 2-necked round bottom flask with 5-iodouridine-5'-diphosphate- α -D-glucose **6b** (20 mg, 0.029 mmol), Cs₂CO₃ (19 mg, 0.058 mmol) and arylboronic acid (0.043 mmol) was purged with N₂. TPPTS (0.9 mg, 1.8 µmol), Na₂Cl₄Pd (0.2 mg, 0.7 µmol) and degassed H₂O (4 mL) were added, and the reaction was stirred under N₂ for 1 h at 50 °C. Upon completion, the reaction was cooled to room temperature, and the pH was adjusted to 7 with 1% HCl. The black suspension was filtered through a membrane filter (0.45 µm). The filter was washed with H₂O, and the combined filtrates were evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified sequentially by purification methods 2 and 1.

5-Phenyluridine-5'-diphosphate-α-D-glucose (7a). The triethylammonium salt of the title compound (TLC: IPA–H₂O–NH₃ 6 : 3 : 1; Rf 0.58, Rf_{SM} 0.37) was obtained as a glassy solid in 64% yield (15.9 mg) from **6b** (20 mg, 29 µmol) and phenylboronic acid according to general method C. $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, D₂O) 3.38–3.46 (2H, m, H-2" and H-4"), 3.71–3.92 (4H, m, H-3", H-5" and H-6"), 4.17–4.20 (2H, m, H-5'), 4.26–4.30 (1H, m, H-4'), 4.39 (1H, t, *J* = 4.6 Hz, H-3'), 4.47 (1H, t, *J* = 5.4 Hz, H-2'), 5.55 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.2 and 7.2 Hz, H-1"), 6.03 (1H, d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, H-1'), 7.4–7.6 (5H, m, Ph), 7.87 (1H, s, H-6); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75.5 MHz, D₂O) 61.0 (C-6"), 66.1 (C-5'), 69.9 (C-4"), 70.6 (C-3'), 72.2 (C-2"), 73.4, 73.6 (C-3"/C-5"), 74.0 (C-2'), 84.2 (C-4'), 89.3 (C-1'), 96.3 (C-1"), 117.0 (C-5), 129.2, 129.6, 132.4 (Ph), 139.3 (C-6), 152.4 (C-2), 165.6 (C-4); $\delta_{\rm P}$ (121.5 MHz, D₂O) –9.26 (d, *J* = 22.0 Hz), –7.68 (d, *J* = 20.4 Hz). *m/z* (ESI) 641.0793 [M – H]⁻, C₂₁H₂₇O₁₇N₂P₂ requires 641.0790.

5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)uridine-5'-diphosphate-α-D-glucose (7b). The triethylammonium salt of the title compound (TLC: IPA- H_2O-NH_3 6 : 3 : 1; Rf 0.58, Rf_{SM} 0.37) was obtained as a glassy solid in 58% yield (15.5 mg) from 6b (20 mg, 29 µmol) and 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid according to general method C. $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, D₂O) 3.38–3.43 (1H, m, H-4"), 3.40–3.45 (1H, m, H-2"), 3.70-3.87 (4H, m, H-3", H-5" and H-6"), 3.86 (3H, s, Me), 4.16–4.21 (2H, m, H-5'), 4.27–4.31 (1H, m, H-4'), 4.39 (1H, t, J = 4.6 Hz, H-3'), 4.47 (1H, t, J = 5.6 Hz, H-2'), 5.54 (1H, dd, J =3.2 and 7.2 Hz, H-1"), 6.03 (1H, d, J = 6.0 Hz, H-1'), 7.07 (2H, d, J = 8.8 Hz, mPh), 7.49 (2H, d, J = 8.8 Hz, oPh), 7.81 (1H, s, H-6); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75.5 MHz, D₂O) 56.0 (Me), 61.0 (C-6"), 66.1 (C-5'), 69.9 (C-4"), 70.6 (C-3'), 72.4 (C-2"), 73.4, 73.6 (C-3"/C-5"), 74.0 (C-2'), 84.2 (C-4'), 89.31 (C-1'), 96.3 (C-1"), 115.0 (mPh), 116.6 (C-5), 125.2 (iPh), 130.9 (oPh), 138.5 (C-6), 152.4 (C-2), 159.7 (pPh), 165.6 (C-4); δ_P (121.5 MHz, D₂O) -9.25 (d, J = 20.2 Hz), -7.62

(d, J = 21.9 Hz). m/z (ESI) 671.0909 [M - H]⁻, $C_{22}H_{29}O_{18}N_2P_2$ requires 671.0896.

5-(4-Chlorophenyl)uridine-5'-diphosphate-α-D-glucose (7c). The triethylammonium salt of the title compound (TLC: IPA- H_2O-NH_3 6 : 3 : 1; Rf 0.58, Rf_{SM} 0.37) was obtained as a glassy solid in 57% yield (14.9 mg) from 6b (20 mg, 29 µmol) and 4-chlorophenylboronic acid according to general method C. $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, D₂O) 3.39-3.44 (1H, m, H-4"), 3.42-3.47 (1H, m, H-2"), 3.71-3.92 (4H, m, H-3", H-5" and H-6"), 4.17-4.22 (2H, m, H-5'), 4.28–4.32 (1H, m, H-4'), 4.39 (1H, t, J = 4.4 Hz, H-3'), 4.47 (1H, t, J = 5.6 Hz, H-2'), 5.54 (1H, dd, J = 2.8 and 5.2 Hz, H-1"), 6.03 (1H, d, J = 6.0 Hz, H-1'), 7.45–7.58 (4H, m, Ph), 7.90 (1H, s, H-6); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75.5 MHz, D₂O) 61.1 (C-6"), 65.9 (C-5'), 69.9 (C-4"), 70.6 (C-3'), 72.3 (C-2"), 73.4, 73.6 (C-3"/C-5"), 74.1 (C-2'), 84.3 (C-4'), 89.3 (C-1'), 96.3 (C-1"), 115.9 (C-5), 129.5, 130.9, 134.4 (Ph), 139.3 (C-6), 152.3 (C-2), 165.4 (C-4); δ_P (121.5 MHz, D_2O) -9.27 (d, J = 20.2 Hz), -7.68 (d, J = 21.8 Hz). m/z (ESI) 675.0402 $[M - H]^-$, $C_{21}H_{26}O_{17}N_2Cl^{35}P_2$ requires 675.0401.

5-(2-Furyl)uridine-5'-diphosphate-α-D-glucose (7d). The triethylammonium salt of the title compound (TLC: IPA-H2O-NH3 6:3:1; Rf 0.58, Rf_{SM} 0.37) was obtained as a glassy solid in 40% yield (10.4 mg) from 6b (20 mg, 29 µmol) and 2-furylboronic acid according to general method C. $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, D₂O) 3.39–3.44 (1H, m, H-4"), 3.42-3.47 (1H, m, H-2"), 3.70-3.93 (4H, m, H-3", H-5" and H-6"), 4.23-4.28 (2H, m, H-5'), 4.30-4.34 (1H, m, H-4'), 4.42 (1H, t, J = 4.6 Hz, H-3'), 4.49 (1H, t, J = 5.6 Hz, H-2'), 5.60(1H, dd, J = 3.6 and 7.8 Hz, H-1"), 6.05 (1H, d, J = 6.0 Hz, H-1'),6.55 (1H, d, J = 3.2 Hz, H-3 fur), 6.90 (1H, d, J = 3.6 Hz, H-2fur), 7.60 (1H, s, H-4 fur), 8.21 (1H, s, H-6); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75.5 MHz, D₂O) 61.0 (C-6"), 66.3 (C-5'), 69.9 (C-4"), 70.6 (C-3'), 72.3 (C-2"), 73.5, 73.6 (C-3"/C-5"), 74.3 (C-2'), 84.3 (C-4'), 89.4 (C-1'), 96.3 (C-1"), 109.7 (C-2 fur), 112.2 (C-3 fur), 121.5 (C-5), 133.4 (C-6), 143.5 (C-4 fur), 146.1 (C-1 fur), 151.7 (C-2), 163.3 (C-4); δ_P (121.5 MHz, D_2O) -9.20 (d, J = 20.2 Hz), -7.62 (d, J = 20.1 Hz). m/z (ESI) 631.0586 [M-H]⁻, C₁₉H₂₅O₁₈N₂P₂ requires 631.0583.

Acknowledgements

Financial support by the EPSRC (First Grant EP/D059186/1) and by the Royal Society (Research Grant Scheme) is gratefully acknowledged. We thank the EPSRC National Mass Spectrometry Service Centre, Swansea, for the recording of mass spectra, and Colin MacDonald and Dr Joseph Wright for assistance with NMR experiments.

Notes and references

- 1 L. F. Leloir, Science, 1971, 172, 1299.
- 2 (a) S. I. Patenaude, N. O. L. Seto, S. N. Borisova, A. Szpacenko, S. L. Marcus, M. M. Palcic and S. V. Evans, *Nat. Struct. Biol.*, 2002, 9, 685; (b) H. J. Lee, C. H. Barry, S. N. Borisova, N. O. L. Seto, R. B. Zheng, A. Blancher, S. V. Evans and M. M. Palcic, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2005, 280, 525.
- 3 (a) S. Bernatchez, C. M. Szymanski, N. Ishiyama, J. Li, H. C. Jarrell, P. C. Lau, A. M. Berghuis, N. M. Young and W. W. Wakarchuk, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2005, **280**, 4792; (b) K. Beis, V. Srikannathasan, H. Liu, S. W. B. Fullerton, V. A. Bamford, D. A. R. Sanders, C. Whitfield, M. R. McNeil and J. H. Naismith, *J. Mol. Biol.*, 2005, **348**, 971; (c) S. Milewski, I. Gabriel and J. Olchowy, *Yeast*, 2006, **23**, 1.
- 4 (a) J. R. Roper, M. L. S. Guther, K. G. Milne and M. A. J. Ferguson, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2002, 99, 5884; (b) M. D. Urbaniak,

J. N. Tabudravu, A. Msaki, K. M. Matera, R. Brenk, M. Jaspars and M. A. J. Ferguson, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2006, **16**, 5744.

- 5 (a) J. S. Helm, Y. Hu, L. Che, B. Gross and S. Walker, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, **125**, 11168; (b) J. J. Li and T. Bugg, Chem. Commun., 2004, **16**, 182.
- 6 (a) F. Schweizer, O. Hindsgaul and M. M. Palcic, *Synlett*, 2004, 1784;
 (b) F. Schweizer, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 2007, 342, 1831.
- 7 (a) S. G. Srivatsan and Y. Tor, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, **129**, 2044; (b) N. J. Greco and Y. Tor, *Tetrahedron*, 2007, **63**, 3515.
- 8 A. Suzuki, Chem. Commun., 2005, 38, 4759.
- 9 (a) K. H. Shaughnessy and R. B. DeVasher, Curr. Org. Chem., 2005, 9, 585; (b) K. H. Shaughnessy, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2006, 8, 1827.
- 10 (a) P. Capek, R. Pohl and M. Hocek, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2006, 4, 2278; (b) A. Collier and G. K. Wagner, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2006, 4, 4526.
- 11 (a) L. H. Thoresen, G. S. Jiao, W. C. Haaland, M. L. Metzker and K. Burgess, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2003, 9, 4603; (b) P. Capek, H. Cahova, R. Pohl, M. Hocek, C. Gloeckner and A. Marx, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2007, 13, 6196; (c) P. Brázdilová, M. Vrábel, R. Pohl, H. Pivoncaronková, L. Havran, M. Hocek and M. Fojta, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2007, 13, 9527; (d) H. Cahová, L. Havran, P. Brázdilová, H. Pivoncaronková, R. Pohl, M. Fojta and M. Hocek, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, 47, 2059.

- 12 A. Collier and G. K. Wagner, Chem. Commun., 2008, 20, 178.
- 13 R. Kumar, L. I. Wiebe and E. E. Knaus, Can. J. Chem., 1994, 72, 2005.
- 14 (a) N. Amann and H. A. Wagenknecht, Synlett, 2002, 687; (b) E. C. Western, J. R. Daft, E. M. Johnson, P. M. Gannett and K. H. Shaughnessy, J. Org. Chem., 2003, 68, 6767.
- 15 (a) M. Yoshikawa, T. Kato and T. Takenishi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1967, 50, 5065; (b) M. Yoshikawa, T. Kato and T. Takenishi, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1969, 42, 3505.
- 16 (a) T. Kovacs and L. Ötvös, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1988, **29**, 4525; (b) A. Collier and G. K. Wagner, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2006, **4**, 4526.
- 17 (a) K. W. Anderson and S. L. Buchwald, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 6173; (b) W. Solodenko, U. Schoen, J. Messinger, A. Glinschert and A. Kirschning, Synlett, 2004, 1699.
- 18 E. S. Simon, S. Grabowski and G. M. Whitesides, J. Org. Chem., 1990, 55, 1834.
- (a) S. Schroeter, C. Stock and T. Bach, *Tetrahedron*, 2005, 61, 2245;
 (b) I. J. S. Fairlamb, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2007, 36, 1036.
- 20 Y. Sugawara and H. Iwasaki, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Crst. Struct. Commun., 1984, 40, 0389.
- 21 W. Flasche, C. Cismas, A. Herrmann and J. Liebscher, Synthesis, 2004, 2335.