Characterization of Potent and Selective Antagonists at Postsynaptic 5-HT_{1A} Receptors in a Series of N4-Substituted Arylpiperazines

Jean-Louis Peglion,* Hervé Canton, Karin Bervoets, Valérie Audinot, Mauricette Brocco, Alain Gobert, Sylvie Le Marouille-Girardon, and Mark J. Millan

Institut de Recherches Servier, 11 rue des Moulineaux, 92150 Suresnes, France

Received January 19, 1995[®]

Benzocycloalkyl and benzocycloalkenyl moities linked, directly or via an alkyl chain, to oxygenbearing heteroarylpiperazines were synthesized, in an attempt to obtain potent and selective antagonists at postsynaptic 5-HT_{1A} receptors. From the numerous arylpiperazines described in the literature, 1-(2,3-dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)piperazine (3a) was chosen as a model of an arylpiperazine in view of its selectivity for 5-HT_{1A} receptors versus α_1 -, α_2 -, and β -adrenergic receptors, as well as dopamine D_1 and D_2 receptors. Two other closely-related arylpiperazines, 1-(1,5-benzodioxepin-6-yl) piperazine (**3b**) and 1-(benzofuran-7-yl) piperazine (**3c**), were also examined in this study. All compounds showed high affinity at 5-HT_{1A} sites (8.10 \leq pK_is \leq 9.35), and the majority behaved as antagonists in vivo in blocking the hypothermia induced by the 5-HT_{1A} agonist 8-OH-DPAT in the absence of a marked effect alone at equivalent doses. An *in vivo* evaluation of dopamine D_2 receptor antagonist properties revealed that the majority of compounds was devoid of activity at this site, in marked contrast to BMY 7378 which displayed virtually no selectivity for 5-HT_{1A} versus dopamine D₂ receptors. Moreover, six compounds of the present series, 8, 10, 11, 14, 25, and, 37, showed >10-fold selectivity in vitro for 5-HT_{1A} versus α_1 -adrenergic receptors. Compound 14 displayed an optimal compromise between potency ($pK_i = 8.75$), marked antagonist activity, and selectivity toward α_1 -adrenergic (81-fold) and dopamine D_2 (195-fold) receptors. These characteristics clearly distinguish 14 from previously-reported ligands such as the postsynaptic 5-HT_{1A} antagonist BMY 7378 and the weak partial agonist NAN 190 which, in contrast to the compounds of this series, belong to the well-exemplified class of imido derivatives of (o-methoxyphenyl)piperazines. The availability of **14** (S 15535) should facilitate the further elucidation of the functional role and potential therapeutic significance of 5-HT_{1A} receptors.

Introduction

While several selective 5- HT_{1A} receptor agonists have been described, for example 8-OH-DPAT, the lack of selectivity of most widely-used "5-HT_{1A} antagonists"with the apparent exceptions of (1a) WAY 100,135 and (1b) WAY 100,635-has hampered evaluation of the functional roles of 5-HT_{1A} receptors in the control of mood,¹ motor behavior, nociception, thermoregulation, endocrine secretion, and appetite.² Indeed, one major problem confronted in the development of 5-HT_{1A} receptor antagonists is that of "cross talk" to α_1 -adrenergic receptors,³ as illustrated by the weak partial agonist NAN 190, 1-(2-methoxyphenyl)-4-[4-(2-phthalimido)butyl]piperazine, **2c**.⁴ Actions at dopamine D_2 receptors have also proven difficult to eliminate, for example, BMY 7378, 8-[2-[4-(2-methoxyphenyl)piperazin-1-yl]ethyl]-8-azaspiro[4.5]decane-7,9-dione, 1c, a further proposed 5-HT_{1A} receptor antagonist, exhibits pronounced dopamine D₂ receptor antagonist properties in vivo.⁵ An additional important question in the development and characterization of putative 5-HT_{1A} antagonists relates, as discussed by Hjorth⁶ and Sharp,⁷ to their differential actions at 5-HT_{1A} autoreceptors versus postsynaptic 5-HT_{1A} receptors. Correspondingly, NAN 190 and in particular BMY 7378 exert essentially antagonist actions at postsynaptic sites yet retain some agonist properties at 5-HT_{1A} autoreceptors.





2a (n = 2); **2b** (n = 3); **2c** (n = 4)

The major common chemical feature of compounds 1c and 2c (apart from the presence of a cyclic imide) is an (o-methoxyphenyl)piperazine. As reported by El Bermawy,⁸ "simple arylpiperazines" possess substantial affinity for 5-HT_{1A} sites, and among these, (o-methoxy-

© 1995 American Chemical Society

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed.

^{*} Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, September 1, 1995.







phenyl)piperazine is one of the most potent. Interestingly, although numerous arylpiperazines were described in this work, there was no mention of one particular (o-methoxyphenyl)piperazine-related structure, that is, 1-(2,3-dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)piperazine, also known as eltoprazine, **3a**, which is a potent agonist at both 5-HT_{1A} autoreceptors and postsynaptic 5-HT_{1A} receptors. Indeed, it appears that comparatively little attention has been paid to this piperazine as a potential chemical starting point for the synthesis of antagonists at postsynaptic 5- HT_{1A} receptors, possibly since eltoprazine also recognizes 5-HT_{1B} sites with high affinity (p $K_i = 7.27$ for 5-HT_{1B} versus 8.03 for 5-HT_{1A}). Nevertheless, the selectivity of eltoprazine for 5-HT_{1A} receptors versus α_1 -adrenergic and dopamine D_2 receptors encouraged us to search for novel, selective antagonists at postsynaptic 5-HT_{1A} receptors lacking marked in vivo activity at α_1 -adrenergic and dopamine D_2 receptors and structurally-related to 1-(2,3-dihydro-1,4benzodioxin-5-yl)piperazine. As it is known that (1)substitution on the N4 piperazine nitrogen atom enhances the affinity of arylpiperazines for 5-HT_{1A} receptors while simultaneously decreasing affinity for 5-HT_{1B} receptors⁹ and (2) appendage of a lipophilic group to the amino portion of an agonist structure should generate an antagonist at the same receptor site (Ariens strategy), we prepared a series of compounds possessing the general structure I shown in chart 2 in which the N4 piperazine nitrogen was linked directly, or by the virtue of a tether, to benzocycloalkyl or benzocycloalkenyl residues. The A moiety is one of a bicyclic system termed A-I-A-XIV, and the B moiety may be 1-(2,3dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)piperazine, 3a, or the closelyrelated analogs 1-(1,5-benzodioxepin-6-yl)piperazine, 3b, and 1-(benzofuran-7-yl)piperazine, 3c (Chart 3).

In the present work, we describe the synthetic methodology used in the preparation of compounds of structure I and examine structure-activity relationships on the basis of the results of binding studies at 5-HT_{1A} sites. Further, we illustrate the approach adopted for (a) characterization of *in vivo* antagonist activity at postsynaptic 5-HT_{1A} receptors and (b) determination of Chart 3



in vivo selectivity for 5-HT_{1A} receptors versus α_1 adrenergic and dopamine D_2 receptors. Affinities at α_1 adrenergic and dopamine D_2 receptors in vitro are given for several compounds displaying marked selectivity in vivo.

Chemistry

Two pathways (Scheme 1) were used in order to prepare the compounds listed in Tables 1-3. Compounds 7, 8, 10, 14, 25, 31, 32, 34, 35, and 37 were obtained through method A; the reaction between compounds 4a-f (the characteristics of which are given in Table 4) and the appropriate piperazine (Chart 3) was conducted upon reflux of toluene or methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK) with yields ranging from 20% to 87%. The remainder of the compounds were formed using method B. Coupling between the appropriate acid derivatives 5a-u (Table 4) and compounds 3a-c (Chart 3), using carbonyldiimidazole (CDI), led to intermediate amides 6a-u (Scheme 1, Tables 1-3) which were reduced by LiAlH₄ in THF; the overall yields (two steps) ranged from 16% to 69%. Piperazines 3a-c were synthesized according to a known procedure depicted in Scheme 2.

Biology

The biological characterization of the compounds prepared in the present work was based upon an experimental strategy fully discussed previously.⁵ The 5-HT_{1A} and 5-HT_{1B} affinities of the compounds listed in Tables 1-3 were assessed respectively by inhibition of the binding of [³H]-8-OH-DPAT in rat hippocampal membranes and by inhibition of the binding of [³H]-5-HT (in the presence of 8-OH-DPAT and mesulergine) in rat frontal cortex membranes.¹⁰ The ability of compounds to inhibit the decrease of core temperature elicited by sc administration of 8-OH-DPAT in rats (Table 5) was employed as a measure of antagonism at postsynaptic 5-HT_{1A} receptors.¹¹ Minimal effective doses (MEDs) required to inhibit the action of 8-OH-DPAT (antagonist effect) were compared to the doses needed to elicit hypothermia upon administration alone (agonist effect); these MEDs were defined relative to the corresponding vehicle (P < 0.05 in Dunnett's test following ANOVA). Ratios of MED agonist to MED antagonist were calculated (Table 5). In addition, the maximal percentage agonist and antagonist effects for respectively inducing hypothermia and blocking the action of 8-OH-DPAT were determined (Table 5). The activities of compounds at α_1 -adrenergic and dopamine D_2 receptors were determined respectively in the models

Scheme 1^a

Method A (n = 0 to 4, L = OMs, OTs, Br or I)



^{*a*} Reagents and conditions: (a) methyl isobutyl ketone or toluene, Na_2CO_3 , Δ ; (b) CDI, CH_2Cl_2 ; (c) $LiAlH_4$, THF.

Scheme 2^a



X-Y is $(CH_2)_2$ -O in 38 a; $(CH_2)_3$ -O in 38 b; CH = CH in 38 c; 3 a-c: chart 3 ^a Reagents and conditions: (a) HN(CH₂CH₂Cl)₂, chlorobenzene, K₂CO₂, Δ .

of modulation of palpebral aperture (ED₅₀ for induction of ptosis)¹² and inhibition of the stereotyped gnawing induced by the dopamine releaser methylphenidate (ID₅₀ for inhibiting of gnawing)¹³ (Table 6). Selectivity for 5-HT_{1A} receptors over α_1 -adrenergic and dopamine D₂ receptors was also estimated by determination of affinity ratios at 5-HT_{1A} versus α_1 and D₂ sites depicted in Table 7. For α_1 sites, inhibition of [³H]prazosin binding in rat cortex and for D₂ sites inhibition of [³H]spiperone binding in rat striatium were measured.⁵

Results

Structure-Activity Relationships. Unsubstituted benzocycloalkyl and benzocycloalkenyl derivatives of 1-(2,3-dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)piperazine (Table 1)displayed pronounced affinity for 5-HT_{1A} receptors. In distinction, the substituted benzocyclobutanes **25**, **28**, and **29** (Table 2) manifested a reduced affinity in comparison to their unsubstituted analog **8**. The same tendency was observed with 3-Cl benzocyclobutane derivatives **24** and **26** which were less potent than their respective unsubstituted analogs **7** and **9**. Nevertheless, the 3-F derivative **27** and the 5,6-di-OMe derivative **30**

were equipotent to their unsubstituted analogs 7 and 12. It is, thus, difficult to make general inferences from this pattern of substitution, although it appears that substitutions on the phenyl ring do not enhance affinity at 5-HT_{1A} sites. However, it appears that the size of the ring attached to the phenyl ring in the A moiety may be of greater importance in determining affinity. Compounds 18 and 19, which bear respectively a sixand a seven-membered ring, were among the least potent compounds of the series in terms of their affinity at 5-HT_{1A} receptors, and it is reasonable to conclude, on the basis of the behavior of 8, 12, 18, and 19, that an increase in the size of the cycloalkyl ring is correlated with a decrease in affinity at 5-HT_{1A} sites. Regarding the unsaturation on the A moiety, the unsaturated compounds 20-23 revealed slightly but consistently greater affinity at 5-HT_{1A} sites than their saturated analogs 12, 16, 18, and 19.

As concerns the nature of the aryl part of the B moiety, replacement in 8 or 9 of the 2,3-dihydro-1,4benzodioxin-5-yl residue by a 1,5-benzodioxepin-6-yl or benzofuran-7-yl residue yielded respectively 32, 33, 35, and 36 (Table 3) which displayed lower affinities at 5-HT_{1A} sites. The behavior of the compounds in this series yields, thus, the following order of potency: 2,3dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl > 1,5-benzodioxepin-6-yl > benzofuran-7-yl.

With respect to the influence of the length of the spacer between the arylpiperazine and the A moiety, 7, 11, 15, and 31 in which n = 1 were less potent than 8, 12, 16, and 32, their analogs where n = 2, and 9, 13, 17, and 33, their analogs where n = 3. Further, 10, where n = 4, and 14, where n = 0, were more potent than 7

Table 1. Unsubstituted Benzocycloalkyl and Benzocycloalkenyl Derivatives of 1-(2,3-Dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)piperazine(Physical properties and affinities at 5-HT_{1A} and 5-HT_{1B} sites)



			starting materials						F	K_{i}^{f}
compd	\bigcirc	n	amides)	od	(%)	mp (°C) ^b	recryst solvent ^c	formula ^d	5-HT _{1A}	$5-HT_{1B}$
7	A-I	1	4a/3a	Α	30	91-95	iPr ₂ O	$C_{21}H_{24}N_2O_2$	8.75 ± 0.05	6.67 ± 0.02
8	A-I	2	4b/3a	Α	49	224 - 226	EtOH	$C_{22}H_{26}N_2O_2$ ·HCl	9.23 ± 0.05	6.22 ± 0.01
9	A-I	3	5a/3a (6a)	В	16	206 - 208	EtOH	$C_{23}H_{28}N_2O_2$ ·HCl	9.35 ± 0.06	6.19 ± 0.05
10	A-I	4	4c/3a	Α	44	180 - 183	EtOH	$C_{24}H_{30}N_2O_2 C_4H_4O_4^e$	8.89 ± 0.01	6.20 ± 0.08
11	A-II	1	5g/3a (6b)	в	34	87 - 90	H_2O	$C_{22}H_{26}N_2O_2$	8.76 ± 0.03	6.70 ± 0.11
12	A-II	2	5h/3a (6c)	в	41.5	220 - 222	CH_3CN	C ₂₃ H ₂₈ N ₂ O ₂ ·HCl	8.80 ± 0.04	6.38 ± 0.04
13	A–II	3	5i/3a (6d)	в	62	175 - 185	Et_2O	$C_{24}H_{30}N_2O_2 \cdot 2HCl$	9.21 ± 0.02	6.69 ± 0.14
14	A–III	0	4e/3a	Α	33	168 - 171	Et_2O	$C_{21}H_{24}N_2O_2$	8.75 ± 0.03	5.75 ± 0.03
15	A-III	1	5k/3a (6e)	В	52.5	232 - 234	Et_2O	C ₂₂ H ₂₆ N ₂ O ₂ ·HCl	8.55 ± 0.06	6.31 ± 0.02
16	A-III	2	5l/3a (6f)	В	69	121 - 123	CH_3CN	$C_{23}H_{28}N_2O_2$	9.18 ± 0.01	6.16 ± 0.08
17	A-III	3	5m/3a (6g)	В	52	210 - 211	H_2O	C ₂₄ H ₃₀ N ₂ O ₂ ·HCl	8.90 ± 0.14	5.73 ± 0.12
18	A-IV	2	5n/3a (6h)	В	40	250 - 252	CH_3CN	$C_{24}H_{30}N_2O_2$ ·HCl	8.56 ± 0.08	6.15 ± 0.01
19	A-V	2	50/3a (6i)	В	29	179-186	CH_3OH	$C_{25}H_{32}N_2O_2\cdot 2HCl$	8.18 ± 0.05	6.11 ± 0.01
20	A-VI	2	5p/3a (6j)	В	30	254 - 256	CH ₃ OH	$C_{23}H_{26}N_2O_2$ ·HCl	9.10 ± 0.07	6.60 ± 0.06
21	A-VII	2	5q/3a (6k)	В	42	>260	CH ₃ CN	$C_{23}H_{26}N_2O_2$ ·HCl	9.27 ± 0.01	6.03 ± 0.13
22	A-VIII	2	5r/3a (61)	В	52	203 - 210	EtOH	C ₂₄ H ₂₈ N ₂ O ₂ ·HCl	8.70 ± 0.01	6.64 ± 0.05
23	A-IX	2	5s/3a (6m)	В	55	233 - 236	EtOH	C ₂₅ H ₃₀ N ₂ O ₂ ·HCl	8.50 ± 0.14	6.42 ± 0.15
1a (WAY 100,135)									7.49 ± 0.01	<5
1c (BMY 7378)									8.71 ± 0.11	<5
2c (NAN 190)									9.15 ± 0.06	5.97 ± 0.01
3a (eltoprazine)									8.03 ± 0.04	7.27 ± 0.02

^a See Chart 2. ^b All melting points were determined on a Reichert Thermovar apparatus and are uncorrected. ^c iPr₂O, disopropyl ether; EtOH, ethanol; CH₃CN, acetonitrile; Et₂O, diethyl ether; AcOEt, ethyl acetate. ^d Compounds were purified by column chromatography; C, H, and N analyses were within 0.4% of theoretical values for the formulae given, unless otherwise stated. All compounds exhibited NMR consistent with assigned structures. ^e Fumaric acid. ^f $pK_i \pm$ SEM values are based on two to five assays.

Table 2. Substituted Benzocycloalkyl Derivatives of 1-(2,3-Dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)piperazine (Physical properties and affinities at 5-HT_{1A} and 5-HT_{1B} sites)



	(R)x,								$\mathbf{p}K_{i}^{f}$	
compd		n	starting materials (intermediate amides)	method	(%)	mp (°C) ^b	recryst solvent ^c	$\mathbf{formula}^d$	5-HT _{1A}	5-HT _{1B}
24	A-X	1	5b/3a (6n)	В	29	>260	H ₂ O	C ₂₁ H ₂₃ ClN ₂ O ₂ ·HCl	8.40 ± 0.05	6.26 ± 0.01
25	A-X	2	4d/3a	Α	75	207 - 211	CH ₃ CN	C ₂₁ H ₂₃ ClN ₂ O ₂ ·2HCl	8.65 ± 0.01	6.15 ± 0.02
26	A-X	3	5c/3a (60)	в	45	223 - 226	CH ₃ CN	C ₂₃ H ₂₇ ClN ₂ O ₂ ·2HCl	8.43 ± 0.02	5.96 ± 0.01
27	A-XI	1	5d/3a (6p)	в	45	254 - 256	H_2O	C ₂₁ H ₂₃ FN ₂ O ₂ ·HCl	8.75 ± 0.01	6.50 ± 0.05
28	A-XII	2	5e/3a (6q)	в	62	192 - 194	H_2O	C ₂₃ H ₂₈ N ₂ O ₃ ·HCl	8.90 ± 0.06	6.50 ± 0.01
29	A-XIII	2	5f/3a (6r)	в	59	232 - 234	H_2O	$C_{24}H_{30}N_2O_4$ ·HCl	8.50 ± 0.02	6.10 ± 0.04
30	A-XIV	2	5j/3a (6s)	В	25	225-226	CH ₃ OH	$C_{26}H_{32}N_2O_4{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}HCl$	8.80 ± 0.06	6.17 ± 0.01

a-df See corresponding footnotes of Table 1.

and 15, their analogs with n = 1. It is of interest to note that highest affinities were always obtained where n = 2 or 3, with 9 being the most potent compound of the presently-described series and, in fact, one of most potent ligands at 5-HT_{1A} sites as yet described. Consequently, from Tables 1-3, it can be concluded that affinity for 5-HT_{1A} sites corresponds to the following order of potency: n = 2 or 3 > n = 0 or 4 > n = 1.

Finally, as concerns selectivity toward other 5-HT receptor sites, each of the compounds examined, in marked contrast to eltoprazine, exhibited ≥ 100 -fold preference for 5-HT_{1A} versus 5-HT_{1B} sites (Tables 1-3). In addition, selectivity was ≥ 100 -fold versus 5-HT_{2A}, 5-HT_{2C}, and 5-HT₃ sites (data not shown).

Biological Results. From Table 5, it can be concluded that the majority of compounds behaved as antagonists in preventing the hypothermia induced by 8-OH-DPAT with ID_{50} s below or close to 1.0 mg/kg, sc. Further, they displayed their antagonist activity at doses well below those at which agonist activity was seen, and all, except 7, 9, 13, and 37, exerted a greater maximal antagonist that agonist effect (Table 5). For example, 12, 14, 16, 19, 20, 22, 24, 25, 28, and 29, which are derivatives of 1-(2,3-dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl), all showed >90% antagonism of 8-OH-DPAT. Similarly, the 1,5-benzodioxepin-6-yl derivative 31 and the benzofuran-7-yl derivatives 34 and 35 showed >90% inhibition of the action of 8-OH-DPAT.

In the methylphenidate-induced gnawing test (Table 6), the majority of compounds failed to reverse gnawing, even at doses as high as 40 mg/kg, sc. In contrast, the dopamine D_2 receptor antagonist haloperidol blocked

Table 3. Other Piperazine Derivatives (Physical properties and affinities at $5-HT_{1A}$ and $5-HT_{1B}$ sites)



	~ °			starting motorials		riold		magnet		pi	Kf
compd		n	X-Y	(intermediate amides)	method	(%)	mp (°C) ^b	solvent	$\mathbf{formula}^d$	$5-HT_{1A}$	$5-\mathrm{HT_{1B}}$
31	A-I	1	(CH ₂) ₃ -O	4 f /3b	Α	37	248 - 252	EtOH	C22H26N2O2 HCl	8.10 ± 0.09	NT
32	A-I	2	$(CH_2)_3-O$	4b/3b	Α	87	170 - 172	EtOH	C ₂₃ H ₂₈ N ₂ O ₂ ·HCl	8.94 ± 0.01	6.25 ± 0.04
33	A-I	3	$(CH_2)_3-O$	5a/3b (6t)	В	37	262 - 265	EtOH	C24H30N2O2 HCl	9.10 ± 0.12	6.21 ± 0.05
34	A-I	1	HC=CH	4f/3c	Α	65	202 - 204	AcOEt	$C_{21}H_{22}N_2O\cdot 2HCl$	8.10 ± 0.05	6.04 ± 0.10
35	A-I	2	HC-CH	4b/3c	Α	47	192 - 195	iPrOH	$C_{24}H_{22}N_2O\cdot HCl$	8.85 ± 0.04	5.82 ± 0.04
36	A-I	3	HC-CH	5a/3c (6u)	В	47	197 - 200	CH ₃ OH	$C_{23}H_{26}N_2OC_4H_4O_4^e$	8.55 ± 0.06	5.85 ± 0.02
37	A-III	0	$(CH_2)_3-O$	4e/3b	А	20	138 - 140	Et_2O	$C_{22}H_{26}N_2O_2$	8.42 ± 0.03	5.85 ± 0.06

(CH_a)¢

 a^{-f} See corresponding footnotes of Table 1. NT = not tested.

Table 4. Starting Materials: Benzocycloalkanes and Benzocycloalkenes (Physical properties)



 b^{-d} See corresponding footnotes of Table 1.

gnawing with an ID_{50} of 0.02 mg/kg, sc, an action mimicked by BMY 7378 ($ID_{50} = 0.65$ mg/kg, sc) and less potently, by 9, 16, and 33.

In the test of palpebral aperture (Table 6), ptosis was induced by the prototypical α_1 -adrenergic receptor antagonist prazosin with an ED₅₀ of 0.04 mg/kg, sc. NAN 190 also potently elicited ptosis over a similar dose range, and each compound listed in Table 6 was less potent in this paradigm than NAN 190. Three compounds, 14, 36, and 37, were devoid of activity, while 25 was only weakly active and 10, 11, 18, 30, and 32 showed comparable potency to BMY 7378. It is worth noting that benzocycloalkyl compounds, for example, 12 and 18, were less active at α_1 -adrenergic receptors than their benzocycloalkenyl analogs 20 and 22.

Discussion and Conclusion

By introducing lipophilic bicyclic substituants on the N4 piperazine nitrogen atom of "simple arylpiperazines" structurally different from the widely-used (o-methoxy-phenyl)piperazine, we have demonstrated that it is possible to obtain potent and selective antagonists at 5-HT_{1A} receptors. Interestingly, compounds I were devoid of the cyclic imide or amide group present in the

Table 5. Postsynaptic 5-HT_{1A} Antagonism in Vivo (Core temperature)

	IDro (mg/kg sc)	MED (mg/kg, sc)	MED(agonist)/	max effect ^a (%) (dose, mg/kg, sc)		
compd	(95% CL)	agonist antagonist		MED(antagonist)	agonist	antagonist	
7	0.67 (0.26-1.70)	10	0.63	15.8	100 (40)	85 (2.5)	
8	0.65 (0.36-1.14)	40	1.25	32	32 (40)	(2.5)	
9	0.18 (0.05-0.66)	10	0.16	62.5	100 (40)	75 (0.63)	
10	1.43(0.51 - 3.97)	>10	5	>2	(10)	(5.0)	
11	$1.32\ (0.63 - 2.76)$	10	0.63	15.8	43 (10)	63 (2.5)	
12	1.29 (0.5-2.4)	>40	0.63	>63.5	19 (40) 100	94 (40)	
13	0.29 (0.09-0.92)	10	0.16	62.5	(10)	(2.5) 95	
14	1.4(0.7 - 2.9)	20	0.63	31.7	(20)	(10)	
15	0.55(0.23 - 1.33)	>10	0.63	>15.8	(10) 50	(2.5) 100	
16	0.27 (0.15-0.50)	10	0.16	62.5	(10) 40	(2.5)	
17	0.47 (0.37-0.61)	40	0.63	63.5	(40)	(0.63)	
18	$0.40\ (0.06 - 2.59)$	>2.5	0.16	>15.6	(2.5)	(2.5)	
19	$4.26(2.62{-}6.91)$	>10	5	>2	9 (10) 21	(10) 94	
20	$0.45\ (0.07 - 2.85)$	>2.5	0.63	>4	(2.5) 76	(2.5) 81	
21	0.36 (0.23-0.57)	10	0.31	32.2	(40)	(0.63)	
22	0.40 (0.16-1.03)	>40	0.63	>63.5	14 (40) 33	(10) 55	
23	5.02(0.18 - 1.33)	>40	2.5	>16	(40)	(10)	
24	0.80 (0.08-7.81)	40	0.63	63.5	(10)	(10)	
25	1.13(0.50 - 2.57)	40	1.25	32	(40)	(10)	
26	3.09(1.08 - 8.84)	>10	0.63	>15.8	(10) 19	(10) 61	
27	$2.51\ (0.38 - 16.45)$	>10	0.63	>15.8	(10)	(10)	
28	0.48 (0.23-0.97)	10	0.63	15.8	(40)	(1.25) 96	
29	$1.41\ (0.62 - 3.19)$	>40	1.25	>32	4 (40) 12	(10) 84	
30	$0.86(0.42{-}1.74)$	>40	2.5	>16	(40) 76	(2.5) 91	
31	0.32 (0.08-1.21)	>10	0.63	>15.8	(40)	(2.5)	
32	2.68(1.37 - 5.25)	40	1.25	32	(20)	(10)	
33	0.27 (0.05-1.38)	>2.5	0.16	>15.6	(2.5) 15	(2.5) 96	
34	$1.12(0.41{-}3.03)$	>10	2.5	>4	(10)	(10) 91	
35	1.12(0.32 - 3.85)	>40	1.25	>32	(40) 46	(10) 47	
36	≈2.5	10	2.5	4	(10) 72	(2.5) 51	
37	$5.18(1.65{-}16.15)$	10	5	2	(10)	(5.0) 73	
1a (WAY 100,135)	$2.45\ (0.97{-}6.18)$	40	2.5	16	50 (40) 53	(10) 64	
1c (BMY 7378)	1.60(0.87 - 2.94)	2.5	1.25	2	(10) 100	(2.5) 56	
2c (NAN 190)	0.97 (0.2-4.6)	5	0.63	7.9	(10) 100	(2.5) 0	
3a (eltoprazine)	>40.0	2.5	>40.0	< 0.06	(40)	(40)	

^a Maximum agonist effect is expressed relative to 8-OH-DPAT (100%), and maximum antagonist effect is expressed relative to basal values before injection of 8-OH-DPAT (100%).

majority of proposed 5-HT $_{1\rm A}$ antagonists (NAN 190, BMY 7378, MDL 73005 EF, spiperone, WAY 100,135,

and WAY 100,635). The use in the A moiety of simple bicyclic systems such as benzocyclobutane, indane,

Table 6. Activities at α_1 -Adrenergic and Dopamine D_2 Receptors (Induction of palpebral aperture and inhibition of methylphenidate-indced gnawing)a

compd	palpebral aperture: induction of ptosis ED ₅₀ (mg/kg, sc) (95% CL)	inhibition of methyl- phenidate-induced gnawing ID ₅₀ (mg/kg, sc) (95% CL)
7	0.70(0.40-1.24)	>40
8	4.85(2.39-9.83)	>40
9	0.45(0.13-1.58)	1.70(0.63 - 4.60)
10	7.15(2.95 - 17.3)	>40
11	~5	>40
12	4.01 (2.58-6.23)	>40
13	0.23(0.15 - 0.35)	>40
14	>40	>40
15	≈5	>40
16	0.66(0.36 - 1.22)	5.90(1.60 - 9.85)
17	1.73(0.81 - 3.70)	>40
18	≃5	40
19	>2.5	>10
20	≃0.3	>40
21	0.17(0.09 - 0.32)	NT
22	≃ 1.25	>40
23	>2.5	40
24	≃5	>40
25	≃ 20.0	>40
26	≃1.25	40
27	>1.25	>40
28	≃1.25	>40
29	≃1.25	>40
30	≃10	40
31	<2.5	>40
32	6.96 (2.31-20.90)	≃ 30
33	0.24(0.16 - 0.37)	1.14(0.62 - 2.08)
34	≈2.5	NT
35	>2.5	>40
36	>40	40
37	>40	>40
1a (WAY 100,135)	>40	>40
1c (BMY 7378)	6.47(2.69 - 15.50)	0.65(0.20 - 2.16)
2c (NAN 190)	0.051(0.017 - 0.150)	10.01(4.87 - 20.60)
haloperidol	0.81(0.31 - 2.57)	0.02 (0.01-0.05)
prazosin	0.04(0.02 - 0.11)	>10

^a NT = not tested; \simeq = approximative (based on two doses), ED₅₀ not precisely calculable.

tetralin, and benzocycloheptane (and their unsaturated analogs indene, dihydronaphthalene, and benzocycloheptene) allowed us to study in detail the influence of a number of substituents on the phenyl ring and of the size of the nonaromatic cycle of the bicyclic system upon affinity at 5-HT_{1A} sites. As the general tendency was a slight decrease in affinity upon the introduction of substituents on to benzocyclobutane and indane, we next examined the influence of the size of the bicyclic system in nonsubstituted benzocycloalkyl and benzocycloalkenyl derivatives (Figure 1). Here, the results were more striking since, between the benzocyclobutane 8 and its analog benzocycloheptane 19, the fall in affinity at 5-HT_{1A} receptors was greater than 10-fold. Even if this difference appeared to be less pronounced in the case of benzocycloalkenyl compounds, it is evident that an increase in the size of the cycle joined to the phenyl ring is deleterious for affinity at 5-HT_{1A} sites, as shown in Figure 1 with the four 2-(benzocycloalkan-1-yl)ethyl analogs 8, 12, 18, and 19 on one hand the three 2-(benzocycloalken-1-yl)ethyl analogs 20, 22, and 23 on the other. Although little attention has been directed toward this aspect in the literature and comparisons of the size of the cyclic substituants within the same series of arylpiperazines have not been frequently reported, Yocca¹⁴ demonstrated that enlarging the cyclopentyl ring of buspirone to its cyclohexyl equivalent



Size of the cycloalkyl or cycloalkenyl ring of A molety

Figure 1. Influence of the size of the cycloalkyl and cycloalkenyl rings on affinity at 5-HT_{1A} sites for benzocycloalkyl (\bullet) and benzocycloalkenyl (\blacktriangle) derivatives of 1-(2,3-dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)piperazine. Data were taken from Table 1 and are expressed as the pK determined by displacing [3 H]-8-OH-DPAT from 5-HT_{1A} sites in rat hippocampal membranes for compounds 8, 12, 18, 19 and 20, 22, 23.

augmented affinity at 5-HT_{1A} receptors. This finding contrasts, evidently, to our observations and is of particular interest in that busipirone belongs to the family of cyclic imide compounds.

A further interesting difference to previously-described series was that variation of the length of the tether between the A moiety and the piperazine did not modify affinity (Figure 2) to the same extent as observed by Glennon¹⁵ in his study of NAN 190 derivatives (Chart 1, compounds 2a-2c). In the latter case, affinity was highly dependent upon chain length with compounds for which n = 2 (**2a**), 3 (**2b**), and 4 (**2c**) showing affinities of 990, 20, and 0.6 nM, respectively. In the present series, for the same arylpiperazine (1-(2,3-dihydro-1,4benzodioxin-5-yl)piperazine) and the same benzocycloalkane, (indan-2-yl or benzocyclobutan-1-yl), a variation of *n* from 0 to 3 or from 1 to 4, respectively, only slightly affected affinity (a maximal difference of 0.63 p K_i was seen between 15 and 16) (Figure 2). Similarly, El Bermawy¹⁶ reported that, in a series of phenylalkyl derivatives of (o-methoxyphenyl)piperazine, there was little change in affinity at 5-HT_{1A} sites upon varying the length of the alkyl chain from 2 to 5. Interestingly, as regards a series of BMY 7378-related agents, Yocca¹⁴ reported a still different pattern of findings: affinity was maximal for n = 4 and 2 (BMY 7378), whereas the derivative for which n = 3 was 10 times less potent. It may, therefore, be concluded that the influence of chain length on affinity is highly dependent upon the nature of the terminal residue, though in the case of "desamido" derivatives (compounds I and El Bermawy compounds),¹⁶ chain length does not play a decisive role in determining affinity at 5-HT_{1A} receptors.

On the other hand, the nature of the arylpiperazine does appear to exert an influence on affinity, in that the preferred piperazine in this study was the 1-(2,3dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)piperazine (highest p K_i val-

Affinity



Figure 2. Variation of pK_i as a function of the length of the tether for indan-2-yl derivatives (\blacklozenge) and benzocyclobutan-1-yl derivatives (\square) in comparison to NAN 190 derivatives (\blacktriangle). Data were taken from Table 1 and are expressed as the pK_i determined by displacing [³H]-8-OH-DPAT from 5-HT_{1A} sites in rat hippocampal membranes for compounds 7-10 and 14-17. For NAN 190 derivatives **2a**-c, data were adapted from ref 15.

ues in the series were obtained for 8 and 9), whereas 1-(benzofuran-7-yl)piperazine always conferred lower affinity (see 35 and 36, the analogs of 8 and 9). In the work of Van Steen,¹⁷ which compared a series of N4-alkyl derivatives of these two piperazines, the conclusion was somewhat different since, for lower alkyl derivatives, the piperazine giving the highest affinities was 1-(benzofuran-7-yl)piperazine, but for higher alkyl derivatives (*n*-hexyl, *n*-octyl, and *n*-decyl), 1-(2,3-dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)piperazine inferred maximal affinity.

In addition, as previously pointed out by Glennon,⁹ we demonstrate herein that substitution on the piperazine N4 nitrogen atom enhances the affinity of "simple arylpiperazines" (such as **3a**) for 5-HT_{1A} receptors (each derivative of **3a** tested displayed affinity for 5-HT_{1A} sites superior to that of eltoprazine) and lowers that for 5-HT_{1B} sites, resulting in compounds with high selectivity for 5-HT_{1A} versus 5-HT_{1B} sites (Tables 1 and 2).

As previously noted,³ there is still a need for 5-HT_{1A} receptor antagonists of superior selectivity in order to more fully characterize the physiological roles of 5-HT_{1A} receptors. Attempts to meet this requirement have been made by a number of authors, including El Bermawy,¹⁶ Glennon,¹⁵ and Raghupathi.¹⁸ Working on different series of derivatives of (o-methoxyphenyl)piperazine, they concentrated mainly on selectivity toward α_1 adrenergic receptors, but affinity at dopamine D_2 receptors was not disclosed. More recently, Perrone¹⁹ proposed a series of dihydronaphthalene derivatives of arylpiperazines displaying some selectivity over dopamine D_2 receptors, but selectivity versus α_1 -adrenergic receptors was not examined. As shown in Table 6, a number of compounds of the general structure I display limited activity in vivo at α_1 -adrenergic and dopamine

Table 7. Affinities and Selectivities in Vitro: 5-HT_{1A} vs α_1 -Adrenergic and 5-HT_{1A} vs Dopamine D_2

			selectivity, K_i ratio ^b		
	p <i>l</i>	K _i ^a	α1/	D ₂ / 5-HT _{1A}	
compd	α1	D_2	$5 - HT_{1A}$		
8	7.66 ± 0.03	7.31 ± 0.01	35.4	79.4	
10	7.56 ± 0.10	7.71 ± 0.03	17.8	12.5	
11	7.75 ± 0.04	7.38 ± 0.03	18.6	43.6	
14	6.84 ± 0.09	6.46 ± 0.04	81	195	
25	7.19 ± 0.08	7.22 ± 0.01	28.8	26.9	
30	7.81 ± 0.10	6.88 ± 0.06	9.8	83.4	
37	6.68 ± 0.01	6.71 ± 0.03	55.0	51.3	
1a (WAY 100,135)	5.94 ± 0.01	6.41 ± 0.01	35.6	12.0	
1c (BMY 7378)	6.74 ± 0.05	7.76 ± 0.04	93.3	8.9	
2c (NAN 190)	9.09 ± 0.02	7.50 ± 0.04	1.15	44.7	

^{*a*} $pK_{is} \pm SEMs$ are derived from two to five determinations. ^{*b*} K_{i} values for 5-HT_{1A} receptors are calculated from Tables 1-3.

 D_2 receptors. As shown in Tables 6 and 7, the majority of the compounds of the series showed a marked (>10fold) selectivity toward dopamine D₂ receptors in vitro and in vivo (irrespective of the nature of the A or B moiety in contrast to BMY 7378, which displays virtually no selectivity toward dopamine D_2 receptors in *vivo*). Moreover, six compounds of the present series, 8, 10, 11, 14, 25, and 37, showed >10-fold selectivity in *vitro* for 5-HT_{1A} versus α_1 -adrenergic sites (Table 7). Of these, 14 and 37 (each lacking a tether between the A moiety and the arylpiperazine) attained the highest level of selectivity, inasmuch as they were devoid of in *vivo* activity at α_1 -adrenergic receptors (Table 6), in line with their low binding affinity at this site (Table 7). In contrast, as noted by Van Wijngaarden,²⁰ NAN 190 was almost equipotent at 5-HT_{1A} and α_1 -adrenergic receptors both in vitro and in vivo.

As concerns the apparent efficacy of ligand actions at postsynaptic 5-HT_{1A} receptors, according to the criteria adopted herein, in which a hypothermia model was employed, the majority of compounds I showed lower partial agonist properties than BMY 7378 and NAN 190, a finding confirmed in several further tests for 8, 12, and 14.5 These findings with hypothermia are of importance since this parameter is highly sensitive to weak partial agonists.²¹ Further, as all the derivatives of 3a act as antagonists at postsynaptic 5-HT_{1A} receptors (Table 5), in distinction to eltoprazine **3a**, which behaves as an agonist, the present study represents a successful implementation of the Ariens strategy of receptor antagonist design via appendage of a lipophilic group to the amino portion of a receptor agonist structure.

In conclusion, this novel series of arylpiperazines led to the characterization of several potent 5-HT_{1A} ligands, in particular the 1-(2,3-dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)piperazine derivatives 8, 9, 13, 16, and 21. Further, several compounds (10, 15, 18, 19, 24, and 29) behaved as antagonists with only low (<10%) partial agonist activity at postsynaptic 5-HT_{1A} sites *in vivo*. Finally, *in vivo* activities at α_1 -adrenergic and dopamine D₂ receptors (Table 6) were virtually absent for 14 (a derivative of 3a), 36 (a derivative of 3c), and 37 (a derivative of 3b) and only weak for 25 and 30, conferring on these products a level of selectivity more pronounced than apparent for BMY 7378 and NAN 190, in good agreement with their affinities and selectivities *in vitro* (Table 7). Overall, 14 showed the best compromise between potency ($pK_i = 8.75$), marked antagonist action, and selectivity toward α_1 -adrenergic and dopamine D_2 receptors *in vitro* (≥ 80) and *in vivo* (≥ 28.5). Interestingly, 14 retains agonist activity at 5-HT_{1A} autoreceptors (data not shown) in contrast to WAY 100,135, which is also a selective 5-HT_{1A} ligand (though less potent: $pK_i = 7.49$) but displays antagonist activity at 5-HT_{1A} autoreceptors.²² Consequently, the availability of 14 should facilitate the further characterization of the functional roles and therapeutic significance of 5-HT_{1A} receptors.

Experimental Section

Chemistry. Reactions performed in nonaqueous solvents were carried out under an atmosphere of nitrogen. Column chromatography was carried out with Merck Kieselgel 60 (230-400 mesh) under a nitrogen pressure of 0.5 atm. Microanalyses were performed on solid samples only, with a Carlo Erba autoanalyzer. IR spectra were recorded on a Bruker IF 548 infrared spectrometer. ¹H NMR were recorded on either a Bruker AC 200 or Bruker AM 400 spectrometer at 200 and 400 MHz, respectively. Chemical shifts are reported as δ values in parts per million (ppm) relative to tetramethylsilane $(\delta 0.00)$ used as an internal standard. Benzocyclobutane derivatives 4a-d,f and 5a-f were obtained by classical methods through their corresponding 1-cyanobenzocyclobutane precursors, readily obtainable according to the method described in details by Klundt²³ and Kametani.²⁴⁻²⁶ The other benzocycloalkyl and benzocycloalkenyl derivatives are described in the literature.

Starting Materials. 1-(2,3-Dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5yl)piperazine, Hydrochloride (3a, HCl). A suspension of 38a (63 g, 0.42 mmol), potassium carbonate (60.6 g, 0.42 mol), and bis(chloroethyl)amine hydrochloride (78.5 g, 0.42 mol) in chlorobenzene (0.945 L) was stirred under reflux for 24 h. The reaction mixture was poured in water (1 L), and after decantation of the organic layer, the aqueous layer was twice extracted by Et₂O (200 mL). The aqueous layer was treated with 2 N NaOH (250 mL) and extracted with AcOEt (3 × 250 mL). The combined extracts were dried (MgSO₄), and after evaporation, the residue was taken up in CH₃CN (375 mL). The careful addition of a 3 N HCl etheral solution (90 mL) led to the hydrochloride salt: 58 g (54%); mp >260 °C; ¹H NMR (DMsod₆) 3.2 (br s, 8H, CH₂ pip), 4.2 (m, 4H, OCH₂CH₂O), 6.5 (dd, 1H), 6.6 (dd, 1H), 6.75 (t, 1H), 9.3 (s, 2H, NH₂⁺).

3b,c, HCl. 3b,c were obtained according to the same method starting respectively from 6-amino-1,5-benzodioxepin and 7-aminobenzo[b]furan.

1-(1,5-Benzodioxepin-6-yl)piperazine, hydrochloride (3b, HCl): ¹H NMR (D_2O) 2.2 (m, 2H, OCH₂CH₂CH₂O), 3.65 and 3.8 (2m, 8H, CH₂ pip), 4.2 and 4.3 (2t, 4H, OCH₂-CH₂CH₂O), 7.0-7.2 (m, 3H, Ar).

1-(Benzofuran-7-yl)piperazine, hydrochloride (3c, HCl): ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) 3.1 (m, 4H (CH_2)₂NH), 3.3 (m, 4H, (CH_2)₂-NAr), 5.45 (br, 2H, NH₂⁺), 6.75 (d, 1H), 6.9 (d, 1H, OCH=CH), 7.1–7.3 (m, 2H), 7.95 (d, 1H, OCH=CH).

General Method A. 1-(2,3-Dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5yl)-4-(benzocyclobutan-1-ylmethyl)piperazine (7). A suspension of compound 4a (4 g, 16 mmol), compound 3a (3.6 g, 16.2 mmol), and sodium carbonate (6.95 g, 65 mmol) in methyl isobutyl ketone (100 mL) was stirred under reflux for 24 h. After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was taken up in dichloromethane (150 mL) and washed with $H_2O(50 mL)$; the organic layer was extracted with 1 N HCl (3 \times 50 mL), and the combined aqueous acid extracts was basified with 2 N NaOH (100 mL). The separated organic layer was taken up in CH₂Cl₂ and then dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The solid obtained (4.4 g) was recrystallized from diisopropyl ether: yield 1.6 g (30%); mp 91-95 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) 2.65 (dd, 1H, HCHCH-endo), 2.7 (m, 4H, CH₂ pip), 2.85 (m, 2H, CH_2N), 3.10 (m, 4H, CH_2 pip), 3.4 (dd, 1H, HCH-CH endo), 3.7 (m, 1H, H_2CCH endo), 4.3 (m, 4H, OCH₂CH₂O), 6.6 (m, 2H, Bzd H-6,8), 6.8 (t, 1H, Bzd H-7), 7.0-7.3 (m, 4H, arom).

The following compounds (8, 10, 14, 25, 31, 32, 34, 35, and 37) were prepared according to the reaction reported as method A.

1-(2,3-Dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)-4-(2-benzocyclobutan-1-ylethyl)piperazine, hydrochloride (8): ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) 2.2 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂N), 2.85 (dd, 1H, HCHCH endo), 3.0-3.7 (cluster of 12H, 1H, CH₂CH end + 8H, CH₂ pip + 2H, CH₂CH₂N + 1H, HCHCH endo), 4.25 (m, 4H, OCH₂CH₂O), 6.4-6.7 (2d, 2H, Bzd H-6,8), 6.75 (t, 1H, Bzd H-7), 7.1-7.3 (m, 4H, arom), 11.6 (br, 1H, NH⁺).

4-(4-Benzocyclobutan-1-ylbutyl)-1-(2,3-dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)piperazine, fumarate (10): ¹H NMR (DMSO d_6) 1.35–1.75 (m, 6H, HC(CH₂)₃CH₂N), 2.55 (t, 2H, (CH₂)₃CH₂N), 2.65 (dd, 1H, HCHCH endo), 2.7 (m, 4H, CH₂ pip), 3.05 (m, 4H, CH₂ pip), 3.25 (dd, 1H, HCHCH endo), 3.4 (m, 1H, CH₂CH endo), 4.2 (m, 4H, OCH₂CH₂O), 6.55 (m, 2H, Bzd H-6,8), 6.6 (s, 2H, HC=CH fum), 6.7 (t, 1H, Bzd H-7), 7.0– 7.2 (m, 4H, arom), 8.0 (br, 2H, NH⁺).

1-(2,3-Dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)-4-(indan-2-yl)piperazine (14): ¹H NMR ($CDCl_3$) 3-3.5 (cluster of 12H, 8H, CH_2 pip + 4H, CH_2CHCH_2 ind), 3.85 (m, 1H, CH_2CHCH_2 ind), 4.3 (m, 4H, OCH_2CH_2O), 6.45 and 6.6 (2dd, 2H, Bzd H-6,8), 6.75 (t, 1H, Bzd H-7), 7.2 (s, 4H, arom).

4-[2-(3-Chlorobenzocyclobutan-1-yl)ethyl]-1-(2,3-dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)piperazine, dihydrochloride (25): ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) 2.2 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂N), 2.9 (dd, 1H, HCHCH endo), 3.0-3.3 (cluster of 5H, 1H, CHCH₂ endo + 4H, CH₂ pip), 4.2 (m, 4H, OCH₂CH₂O), 6.5 (m, 2H, Bzd H-6,8), 6.75 (t, 1H, Bzd, H-7), 7.2 (m, 4H, arom), 11.4 (br, 2H, NH⁺).

4-(Benzocyclobutan-1-ylmethyl)-1-(1,5-benzodioxepin-6-yl)piperazine, hydrochloride (31): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) 2.8 (m, 2H, OCH₂CH₂CH₂O), 3.0-3.8 (cluster of 11H, 1H, CH₂CH endo + 2H, (HCH)₂N pip + 1H, HCHCH endo, 6H, CHCH₂N-(CH₂)₂ pip + 1H, HCHCH endo), 4.25 (cluster of 6H, 4H, OCH₂CH₂O + 2H, (HCH)₂N pip), 6.5-6.7 (m, 2H, Bzd H-7,9), 6.8 (t, 1H, Bzd H-8), 7.0-7.3 (m, 4H, arom), 13.0 (br, 1H, NH⁺).

4-(2-Benzocyclobutan-1-ylethyl)-1-(1,5-benzodioxepin-6-yl)piperazine, hydrochloride (32): ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) 2.1 (cluster of 4H, 2H, OCH₂CH₂CH₂O + 2H, CH₂CH₂N), 2.8 (dd, 1H, HCHCH endo), 3.0-3.7 (cluster of 12H, 4H, CH₂ pip + 1H, CHCH₂ endo + 1H, HCHCH endo + 6H, H₂CCH₂N-(CH₂)₂ pip), 4.1 (m, 4H, OCH₂CH₂CH₂O), 6.6 (m, 2H, Bzd H-7,9), 6.9 (m, 1H, Bzd H-8), 7.0-7.3 (m, 4H, arom), 11.3 (br, 1H, NH⁺).

4-(Benzocyclobutan-1-ylmethyl)-1-benzofuran-7-ylpiperazine, dihydrochloride (34): ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) 3.1– 3.8 (cluster of 10H, 2H, CH_2 CH endo + 8H, CH_2 pip), 3.95 (d, 2H, CH CH_2 N), 4.1 (m, 1H, $CHCH_2$ endo), 6.85 (d, 1H, Bzf H-6), 6.9 (d, 1H, OCHCH), 7.1–7.3 (cluster of 6H, 4H arom + 2H Bzf H-4,5), 7.75 (br, 1H, NH⁺), 7.95 (d, 1H, OCHCH), 11.9 (br, 1H, NH⁺).

4-(2-Benzocyclobutan-1-ylethyl)-1-benzofuran-7-ylpiperazine, hydrochloride (35): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) 2.4 (m, 2H, CH_2CH_2N), 2.9 (dd, 1H, HCHCH endo), 3.2 (m, 4H, CH_2 pip), 3.45 (dd, 1H, HCHCH endo), 3.5-4 (cluster of 7H, 4H, CH_2 pip + 1H, CH_2CH endo + 2H, CH_2CH_2N), 6.8 (m, 2H, Bzf H-3,6), 7.0-7.4 (cluster of 6H, 4H, arom + 2H, Bzf H-4,5), 7.6 (d, 1H, Bzf H-2), 13.05 (br, 1H, NH⁺).

1-(1,5-Benzodioxepin-6-yl)-4-indan-2-ylpiperazine (37): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) 2.2 (q, 2H, OCH₂CH₂CH₂O), 2.7 (m, 4H, CH₂ pip), 2.8–3.2 (m, 4H, CH₂CHCH₂ ind), 3.25 (m, 4H, CH₂ pip), 3.3 (m, 1H, CH₂CHCH₂ ind), 4.2 (m, 4H, OCH₂CH₂CH₂O), 6.6 (m, 2H, H-7,9), 6.8 (t, 1H, Bzd H-8), 7.15 (m, 4H, arom).

General Method B. 4-(3-Benzocyclobutan-1-ylpropyl)-1-(2,3-dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)piperazine, Hydrochloride (9). Carbonyldiimidazole (6.7 g, 41 mmol) was added under stirring to a solution of compound **5a** (7.5 g, 42 mmol) dissolved in methylene chloride (75 mL). After the end of gaseous evolution (\approx 2 h), compound **3a** (9.8 g, 44 mmol) dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL) was added dropwise in 5 min. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature and evaporated to dryness and the residue taken up in Et₂O (100 mL) and extracted by 1 N HCl (3 × 75 mL). The combined aqueous acid extracts were basified with 2 N NaOH (100 mL) in the presence of ethyl acetate (250 mL). The organic layer was dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure.

Postsynaptic 5-HT_{1A} Receptor Antagonists

The crude product was purified by column chromatography $(CH_2Cl_2/AcOEt, 9:1)$ to afford 5.9 g (39%) of the amide **6a** as an oil. A solution of **6a** (5.9 g, 15.1 mmol) in THF (100 mL) was added dropwise to a suspension of LiAlH₄ (0.6 g, 15.8 mmol) in THF (20 mL). After 2 h at room temperature, the mixture was treated with H₂O (0.41 mL), NaOH (0.33 mL), and then H_2O (1.5 mL). After filtration and evaporation, the residue was purified by column chromatography (CH2Cl2/ MeOH, 95:5). The hydrochloride was obtained by adding 1 N HCl (22 mL) dropwise to a solution of the free base in Et₂O (40 mL). After stirring from 30 min, the precipitate was filtered off and dried on vacuum under KOH: yield 16%; mp 206-208 °C; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆) 1.7 (m, 2H, CH₂(CH₂)₂N), 2.0 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 2.75 (dd, 1H HCHCH endo), 3.15 (cluster of 6H, 4H, CH_2 pip + 2H, (CH_2)₂ CH_2N), 3.3 (dd, 1H, HCHCH endo), 3.4-3.7 (cluster of 5H, 1H, CH₂CH endo + 4H, CH₂ pip), 4.2 (s, 4H, OCH₂CH₂O), 6.5 (m, 2H, Bzd, H-6,8), 6.75 (t, 1H, Bzd H-7), 7.0-7.3 (m, 4H, arom), 11.35 (br, 1H, NH⁺).

The following compounds (11–13, 15–24, 26–30, 33, and 36) were prepared, from intermediate amides 6b–u, according to method B.

1-(2,3-Dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)-4-(indan-1-ylmethyl)piperazine (11): eluted with $CH_2Cl_2/ACOEt$, 90:10; ¹H NMR ($CDCl_3$) 1.85 (m, 1H, HCHCH ind), 2.3 (m, 1H, HCHCH ind), 2.5 (dd, 1H, HCHN), 2.7 (cluster of 5H, 4H, CH_2 pip + 1H, HCHN), 2.85 (m, 2H, CH_2CH_2CH ind), 3.15 (m, 4H, CH_2 pip), 3.4 (m, 1H, CH_2CH_2CH ind), 4.3 (m, 4H, OCH_2CH_2O), 6.55 (m, 2H, Bzd H-6,8), 6.8 (t, 1H, Bzd H-7), 7.1-7.5 (m, 4H, arom).

1-(2,3-Dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)-4-(2-indan-1-ylethyl)piperazine, hydrochloride (12): eluted with CH_2Cl_2/A_cOEt , 90:20; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) 1.6–2.3 (cluster of 4H, 2H, $CH_2CH_2N + 2H$, CH_2CH_2CH ind), 2.85 (m, 2H, CH_2CH_2N), 3.0–3.3 (cluster of 7H, 4H, CH_2 pip + 1H, CH_2CH_2CH ind + 2H, CH_2CH_2CH ind), 3.5 (n, 4H, $(CH_2)_2$ pip), 4.2 (m, 4H, OCH_2CH_2O), 6.5 (m, 2H, Bzd H-6,8), 6.75 (t, 1H, Bzd H-7), 7.25 (m, 4H, arom), 11.1 (br, 1H, NH⁺).

1-(2,3-Dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)-4-(3-indan-1-ylpropyl)piperazine, dihydrochloride (13): eluted with $CH_2Cl_2/AcOEt$, 90:10; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) 1.4 (m, 1H, HCHCH₂- CH_2N), 1.6 (m, 1H, CH₂HCHCH ind), 1.8 (cluster of 3H, 2H, $CH_2CH_2CH_2N$ + 1H, HCHCH₂CH₂N), 2.25 (m, 1H, CH_2HCHCH_2 ind), 2.85 (m, 1H, CH₂CH₂CH ind), 2.95-3.3 (cluster of 8H, 2H, CH₂CH₂CH ind + 4H, CH₂ pip + 2H, CH₂- CH_2CH_2N), 3.55 (m, 4H, CH₂ pip), 4.25 (m, 4H, OCH₂CH₂O), 6.55 (m, 2H, Bzd H-6,8), 6.8 (t, 1H, Bzd H-7), 7.2 (m, 4H, arom), 11.3 (br, 2H, NH₂⁺).

1-(2,3-Dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)-4-(indan-2-ylmethyl)piperazine, hydrochloride (15): eluted with $CH_2Cl_2/$ AcOEt, 90:10; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) 2.7–3.7 (cluster of 15H, 8H, CH_2 pip + 4H, CH_2CHCH_2 ind + 2H, CH_2N + CH_2CHCH_2 ind), 4.3 (s, 4H, OCH_2CH_2O), 6.55 (m, 2H, Bzd H-6,8), 6.75 (t, 1H, Bzd H-7), 7.2 (m, 4H, arom), 10.9 (br, 1H, NH⁺).

1-(2,3-Dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)-4-(2-indan-2-ylethyl)piperazine (16): eluted with $CH_2Cl_2/AcOEt$, 90:10; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) 1.75 (m, 2H, CH_2CH_2N), 2.3–2.8 (cluster of 9H, 4H, CH_2 pip + 2H, $(HCH)_2CH$ ind + 2H, CH_2CH_2N + $(CH_2)_2CH$ ind), 2.9–3.2 (cluster of 6H, 4H, CH_2 pip + 2H, $(CHH)_2CH$ ind), 4.25 (m, 4H, OCH_2CH_2O), 6.55 (m, 2H, Bzd H-6,8), 6.75 (t, 1H, Bzd H-7), 7.15 (m, 4H, arom).

1-(2,3-Dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)-4-(3-indan-2-ylpropyl)piperazine, hydrochloride (17): eluted with CH_2Cl_2/A_{cOEt} , 95:5; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) 1.5 (m, 2H, $CH_2CH_2CH_2N$), 1.85 (m, 2H, $CH_2CH_2CH_2N$), 2.40 (m, 1H, CH_2CHCH_2 ind), 2.5-3 (m, 4H, CH_2CHCH_2 nd), 3.15 (cluster of 6H, 2H, $CH_2CH_2CH_2N$ + 4H, CH_2 pip), 3.5 (m, 4H, CH_2 pip), 4.2 (m, 4H, OCH_2CH_2O), 6.55 (m, 2H, Bzd H-6,8), 6.75 (t, 1H, Bzd H-7), 7.10 (m, 4H, arom), 10.8 (br, 1H, NH⁺).

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{1-(2,3-Dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)-4-[2-(1,2,3,4-tet-rahydronaphthalen-1-yl)ethyl]piperazine, hydrochloride (18): eluted with CH_2Cl_2/AcOEt, 95:5; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d_6) 1.65-1.85 (m, 4H, CH_2CH_2CH_2CH endo), 2.05-2.25 (m, 2H, CH_2CH_2N), 2.75 (m, 2H, CH_2CH_2CH_2CH endo), 2.90 (m, 1H, CH_2CH_2CH_2CH endo), 3.15 (cluster of 6H, 2H, CH_2CH_2N) + 4H, CH_2 pip), 3.5 (m, 4H, CH_2 pip), 4.25 (s, 4H, OCH_2CH_2O), \end{array}$

6.5 (m, 2H, Bzd H-6,8), 6.7 (m, 1H, Bzd H-7), 7.05-7.2 (m, 4H, arom), 11.35 (br, 1H, NH⁺).

4-(2-Benzocycloheptan-1-ylethyl)-1-(2,3-dihydro-1,4benzodioxin-5-yl)piperazine, dihydrochloride (19): ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) 1.4–2.0 (m, 6H, CH₂(CH₂)₃CH endo), 2.0– 2.4 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂N), 2.7–3.15 (cluster of 5H, 2H, CH₂CH₂N + 2H, CH₂(CH₂)₃CH endo + 1H, (CH₂)₄CH endo), 3.2 (m, 4H, CH₂ pip), 3.3–3.7 (m, 4H, CH₂ pip), 4.25 (m, 4H, OCH₂CH₂O), 6.55 (m, 2H, Bzd H-6,8), 6.8 (t, 1H, Bzd H-7), 7.15 (m, 4H, arom), 11.5 (br, 2H, NH₂⁺).

1-(2,3-Dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)-4-(2-inden-3-ylethyl)piperazine, hydrochloride (20): eluted with $CH_2Cl_2/AcOEt$, 90:10; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_8) 3.0-3.8 (cluster of 14H, 2H, $CH_2CH_2N + 2H$, $CH_2CH_2N + 2H$, CH_2CH endo + 8H, CH_2 pip), 4.2 (m, 4H, OCH_2CH_2O), 6.4 (br s, 1H, CH vinyl), 6.55 (m, 2H, Bzd H-6,8), 6.75 (t, 1H, Bzd H-7), 7.1-7.55 (m, 4H, arom), 11.4 (br, 1H, NH⁺).

1-(2,3-Dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)-4-(2-inden-2-ylethyl)piperazine, hydrochloride (21): eluted with $CH_2Cl_2/AcOEt$, 90:10; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) 2.9–3.4 (cluster of 6H, 4H, CH_2 pip + 2H, CH_2CH_2N), 3.3–3.7 (cluster of 8H, 4H, CH pip + 2H, CH_2CH_2N + 2H, CH_2 endo), 4.25 (m, 4H, OCH_2CH_2O), 6.4–6.6 (m, 2H, Bzd H-6,8), 6.7 (s, 1H, CH vinyl), 6.75 (t, 1H Bzd H-7), 7.1–7.45 (m, 4H, arom), 11.45 (br, 1H, NH⁺).

1-(2,3-Dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)-4-[2-(1,2-dihydronaphthalen-4-yl)ethyl]piperazine, hydrochloride (22): ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) 2.2 (q, 2H, CH₂CH₂CH endo), 2.7 (t, 2H, CH₂CH₂CH endo), 3.0 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂N), 3.2 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂N), 3.25 (m, 4H, CH₂ pip), 3.5-3.7 (m, 4H, CH₂ pip), 4.25 (s, 4H, OCH₂CH₂O), 6.0 (t, 1H, CH vinyl), 6.55 (m, 2H, Bzd H-6,8), 6.75 (t, 1H, H-7), 7.15-7.5 (m, 4H, arom), 11.6 (br, 1H, NH⁺).

4-(2-Benzocyclohepten-5-ylethyl)-1-(2,3-dihydro-1,4benzodioxin-5-yl)piperazine, hydrochloride (23): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) 1.85 (quad, 2H, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH endo), 2.15 (quint, 2H, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH endo), 2.55 (t, 2H, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH endo), 2.15 (quint, 2H, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH endo), 2.55 (t, 2H, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH endo), 3.05 (m, 4H, CH₂ pip), 3.25 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂N), 3.55 (cluster of 6H, 2H, CH₂CH₂N + 4H, CH₂ pip), 4.25 (m, 4H, OCH₂CH₂O), 6.15 (t, 1H, CH vinyl), 6.55 and 6.65 (2d, 2H, Bzd H-6,8), 6.8 (t, 1H, Bzd H-7), 7.25 (m, 4H, arom), 12.8 (br, 1H, NH⁺).

4-[(3-Chlorobenzocyclobutan-1-yl)methyl]-1-(2,3-dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)piperazine, hydrochloride (24): eluted with $CH_2Cl_2/AcOEt$, 90:10; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) 3.1– 3.8 (cluster of 12H, 8H, CH_2 pip + 2H, CH_2CH endo + 2H, CH_2N), 4.0 (m, 1H, CH_2CH endo), 4.25 (m, 4H, OCH_2CH_2O), 6.55 (m, 2H, Bzd H-6,8), 6.75 (t, 1H, H-7), 7.25 (m, 3H, arom), 11.25 (br, 1H, NH⁺).

4-[3-(3-Chlorobenzocyclobutan-1-yl)propyl)]-1-(2,3-dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)piperazine, dihydrochloride (26): eluted with $CH_2Cl_2/AcOEt$, 90:10; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆) 1.7 (m, 2H, $CH_2CH_2CH_2N$), 1.95 (m, 2H, $CH_2CH_2CH_2N$), 2.8 (dd, 1H, HCHCH endo), 3.15 (cluster of 6H, 2H, $CH_2CH_2CH_2N$) + 4H, CH_2 pip), 3.35 (dd, 1H, HCHCH endo), 3.4–3.6 (cluster of 5H, 1H, CH_2CH endo + 4H, CH_2 pip), 4.25 (m, 4H, OCH_2CH_2O), 6.4 (br, 1H, NH⁺), 6.55 (m, 2H, Bzd H-6,8), 6.75 (t, 1H, H-7), 7.2 (m, 3H, arom), 11.3 (br, 1H, NH⁺).

1-(2,3-Dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)-4-[(3-fluorobenzocyclobutan-1-yl)methyl]piperazine, hydrochloride (27): eluted with $CH_2Cl_2/AcOEt$, 90:10; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) 3.0– 3.8 (cluster of 12H, 2H, CH_2CH endo + 8H, CH_2 pip + 2H, CH_2N), 4.05 (m, 1H, CH_2CH endo), 4.25 (m, 4H, OCH_2CH_2O), 6.55 (m, 2H, Bzd H-6,8), 6.75 (m, 1H, Bzd H-7), 7.1–7.3 (m, 3H, arom), 11.1 (br, 1H, NH⁺).

1-(2,3-Dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)-4-[2-(5-methoxybenzocyclobutan-1-yl)ethyl]piperazine, hydrochloride (28): eluted with $CH_2Cl_2/AcOEt$, 95:5; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) 2.15 (m, 2H, CH_2CH_2N0 , 2.7 (dd, 1H, HCHCH endo), 3.0-3.6 (cluster of 12H, 1H, CH_2CH endo + 1H, HCHCH endo + 8H, CH_2 pip + 2H, CH_2CH_2N), 3.7 (s, 3H, OCH_3), 4.2 (m, 4H, OCH_2CH_2O), 6.5 (m, 2H, Bzd H-6,8), 6.7 (t, 1H, Bzd H-7), 6.75-7.0 (m, 3H, arom), 11.2 (br, 1H, NH⁺).

1-(2,3-Dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)-4-[2-(4,5-dimethoxybenzocyclobutan-1-yl)ethyl]piperazine, hydrochloride (29): eluted with $CH_2Cl_2/AcOEt$, 95:5; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d₆) 2.15 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂N), 2.7 (dd, 1H, HCHCH endo), 3.0-3.7 (cluster of 12H, 1H, CH_2CH endo + 1H, HCHCH endo + 8H, CH_2 pip + 2H, CH_2CH_2N), 3.7 (2s, 6H, OCH_3), 4.25 (s, 4H, OCH₂CH₂O), 6.55 (m, 2H, Bzd H-6,8), 6.75 (t, 1H, Bzd H-7), 6.75 and 6.85 (2s, 2H, arom), 11.1 (br, 1H, NH⁺).

1-(2,3-Dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-5-yl)-4-[2-(5,6-dimethoxyindan-1-yl)ethyl]piperazine, hydrochloride (30): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) 1.7 (m, 1H, CH₂HCHCH endo), 2.15 (m, 1H, HCHCH₂N), 2.3 (m, 1H, CH₂HCHCH endo), 2.5 (m, 1H, HCHCH₂N), 2.85 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂CH endo), 2.95-3.15 (m, 4H, CH_2 pip), 3.25 (m, 1H, CH_2CH_2CH endo), 3.4-3.7 (cluster of 6H, 4H, CH_2 pip + 2H, CH_2CH_2N), 3.85 and 3.9 (2s, 6H, OCH₃), 4.3 (m, 4H, OCH₂CH₂O), 6.5 (d, 1H, Bzd H-8), 6.65 (d, 1H, Bzd H-6), 6.8 (m, 3H, 2H arom + 1H, Bzd H-7), 12.8 (br, 1H, NH⁺).

1-(3-Benzocyclobutan-1-ylpropyl)-1-(1,5-benzodioxepin-6-yl)piperazine, hydrochloride (33): eluted with CH₂Cl₂; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) 1.5–2.0 (m, 4H, CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 2.15 (m, 2H, OCH₂CH₂CH₂O), 2.75 (dd, 1H, HCHCH endo), 3.0–3.6 (cluster of 12H, 1H, HCHCH endo + 1H, CH_2CH endo + 8H, CH_2 pip + 2H, $CH_2CH_2CH_2N$), 4.1 (m, 4H, $OCH_2CH_2CH_2O$), 6.65 (m, 2H, Bzd H-7,9), 6.85 (t, 1H, Bzd H-8), 7.1-7.3 (m, 4H, arom), 10.75 (br s, 1H, NH⁺).

4-(3-Benzocyclobutan-1-ylpropyl)-1-benzofuran-7-ylpiperazine, fumarate (36): eluted with CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 97: 3; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆) 1.65 (m, 4H, CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 2.6-2.8 (cluster of 3H, 1H, HCHCH endo + 2H, $CH_2CH_2CH_2N$), 2.9 $(m, 4H, CH_2 pip), 3.2-3.4$ (cluster of 5H, 4H, $CH_2 pip + 1H$, HCHCH endo), 3.45 (m, 1H, CH₂CH endo), 6.2 (br, 3H, NH⁺ OH fum), 6.6 (s, 2H, HC=CH fum), 6.75 (d, 1H, Bzf H-6), 6.9 (d, 1H, Bzd H-3), 7.0-7.3 (cluster of 6H, 4H, arom + 2H, Bzf H-4,5), 7.95 (d, 1H, Bzf H-2).

Biological Methods. Binding Studies at 5-HT_{1A} and 5-HT_{1B} Receptors.¹⁰ Male Wistar rats (Iffa Credo, Illskirchen, France) were killed by decapitation. Brain structures (hippocampus for 5-HT_{1A} and frontal cortex for 5-HT_{1B}) were rapidly dissected and kept frozen until use. On the day of experimentation, structures were weighed for a final concentration (wt/vol) of $1/_{100}$ and $1/_{40}$ for hippocampus and frontal cortex, respectively. Structures were then thawed and homogenized using a Polytron homogenizer (1 min, position 5) in 20 vol of the assay buffer (Tris-Base (50 mM, pH 7.7), containing pargylline (10 mM), CaCl₂ (4 mM), and ascorbic acid (0.1%). After a first centrifugation for 20 min at 25000g at 4 °C (Sorvall RC5B, Du Pont de Nemours), the pellet was resuspended and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C. This was followed by a second centrifugation. The resulting pellet was then resuspended in the adjusted volume. Radioligand, nonspecific binding, incubation time, and temperature were as follows for 5-HT_{1A}: [³H]-8-OH-DPAT at 0.4 nM, 5-HT at 10 mM, 30 min at 25 °C. 5-HT_{1B}: [³H]-5-HT at 2.0 nM, 5-CT at 10 mM, 30 min at 25 °C. 5-HT_{1B} binding was performed in the presence of 100 nM 8-OH-DPAT and 100 nM mesulergine to mask 5-HT_{1A} and 5-HT_{2A} sites, respectively. Reaction was stopped by a rapid filtration through Whatman GF/B filters presoaked in 0.1% poly(ethylenimine) using a Brandle cell harvester (Gaithersburg, MD) followed by two successive washings. Radioactivity retained on filters was then counted in a Packard Tricarb 1500 instrument using an Ultima Gold MV (Packard) as scintillator. Inhibitory concentration (IC_{50}) values were determined using procedure 5 (analysis of a regression line) of Tallarida and Murray, 1987. The pK_i was calculated as $-\log[IC_{50}/(1 + [L]/K_d)]$ where [L] is the concentration of the hot ligands and K_d is the apparent dissociation constant (derived from saturation experiments). Drugs were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide at 10^{-2} M. Radioligands were all purchased from Amersham.

Binding Studies at α_1 -Adrenergic and Dopamine D_2 **Receptors.** Brain structures (striatum for dopamine D_2 and frontal cortex for α_1 -adrenergic receptors) were rapidly dissected and kept frozen until use. On the day of experimentation, structures were weighed for a final concentration (wt/ vol) of $\frac{1}{300}$ for striatum and $\frac{1}{80}$ for frontal cortex. Preparation of membranes was performed as described above, except that there was no incubation. Assay buffer, radioligand, nonspecific binding, incubation time, and temperature were for D₂: TrisBase (50 mM, pH 7.4) containing $CaCl_2$ (4 mM), ascorbic acid (0.1%), KCl (5 mM), MgCl₂ (1 mM), and NaCl (120 mM), [³H]spiperone (Amersham) at 0.2 nM, raclopride at 10 mM, 30 min at 37 °C. α₁: Tris-Base (50 mM, pH 7.6) containing CaCl₂ (4 mM) and ascorbic acid (0.1%), [³H]prazosin at 0.2 nM, phentolamine at 10 mM, 60 min at 25 °C. Ketanserin (100 nM) was added to mask 5-HT_{2A} sites for determination of D_2 affinity. Filtration and calculation were performed as described above.

Core Temperature.¹¹ Male Wistar rats of 200-220 g, housed singly, were removed from home cages, and core (rectal) temperature was determined by use of a digital thermistoprobe. Then the rats were treated with either vehicle or the putative antagonist and returned to their home cages. Thirty minutes later, they were reinjected with either vehicle or 8-OH-DPAT (0.16 mg/kg) and returned to their cages for a further 30 min, and then core temperature was redetermined. The difference between basal and posttreatment values was calculated. The $ID_{50}\ (95\%\ confidence\ limits)$ was calculated according to the method of Finney, 1964. The minimal effective dose (MED) for inhibition of the action of 8-OH-DPAT, as well as for induction of hypothermia by the antagonist alone, was determined relative to vehicle, employing ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test; the level of significance was set at P < 0.05. All drugs were dissolved in distilled water and given sc in a volume of 1.0 mL/kg.

Palpebral Aperture (PA).¹² Male Wistar rats of 200-220 g were injected with vehicle or the putative antagonist and returned to their home cages. Thirty minutes later, they were inspected for PA, which was scored as follows: 4, normal; 5, exophthalmia; 3, eyes three-fourth open; 2, eyes one-half open; 1, eyes one-fourth open; and 0, eyes completely shut. All drugs were dissolved in distilled water and given sc in a volume of 10.0 mL/kg. The ED_{50} was based on the percentage of rats showing a score of ≤ 3 ; this was calculated according to the method of Litchfield and Wilcoxon (procedure 41 of Tallarida and Murray, 1987).

Methylphenidate-Induced Gnawing.¹³ Male Wistar rats of 200-220 g were injected with the vehicle or the putative antagonist and then placed in a plastic observation chamber. Thirty minutes thereafter, they received an injection of methylphenidate (40.0 mg/kg, ip), and 30 min later, observations were commenced. The number of gnawing bouts emitted over 10 s (10 s of observation/1 min yielding a theoretical maximum of 10 bouts) was determined by an observer unaware of drug treatment. The dose of methylphenidate used yielded maximal gnawing in at least 99% of vehicle-treated rats. All drugs were dissolved in distilled water and given sc in a volume of 1.0 mL/kg except methylphenidate which was injected ip. The ID_{50} (95% confidence limits) was calculated according to Finney, 1964. The slope of the dose-response curve was calculated according to procedure 6 of Tallarida and Murray, 1987.

Acknowledgment. We would like to than A, Dessinges, B. Goument, and J. Vian for helpful support, M. Allavena, N. Despaux, M. Fels, L. Verielle, and H. Gressier for excellent technical assistance, J. M. Rivet for helpful discussions, and Rozgwenn De Sousa for typing the manuscript.

References

- (1) Rodgers, R. J.; Cooper, S. 5-HT_{1A} agonists, 5-HT₃ antagonists and benzodiazepines: their comparative behavioural pharmacolgy; J. Wiley and Sons: chichester, England, 1991; p 289.
- Hutson, P. H. In Brain in 5-HT_{1A} receptors; Dourish, C. R., Ed.; Chichester Piers: Howood, England, 1987; p 327.
 Glennon, R. A.; Dukat, M. Serotonin receptors and their ligands: a lack of selective agents. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 1991, 40, 1009-1017.
- Glennon, R. A.; Naiman, N. A.; Pierson, M. E.; Titeler, M.; Lyon, R. A.; Herndon, J. L.; Misenkeimer, B. Stimulus properties of
- arylpiperazine: NAN 190 a potential 5-HT_{1A} serotonin antago-nist. Drug Dev. Res. **1989**, 16, 335-343. Millan, M. J.; Canton, H.; Gobert, A.; Lejeune, F.; Rivet, J. M.; Bervoets, K.; Brocco, M.; Widdowson, P.; Mennini, T.; Audinot, W. Horrott, D. Brocco, M.; Widdowson, P.; Mennini, T.; Audinot, V.; Honoré, P.; Renouard, A.; Le Marouille-Girardon, S.; Verriele, L.; Gressier, H.; Peglion, J. L. Novel benzodioxopiperazines

acting as antagonists at postsynaptic 5-HT_{1A} receptors and as agonists at 5-HT1A autoreceptors: a comparative pharmacological characterization with proposed 5-HT_{1A} antagonists.

- (a) Characterization with proposed 5-FIT_{1A} antagonists. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1994, 268, 337-352.
 (b) Hjorth, S.; Sharp, T. Mixed agonist/antagonist properties of NAN 190 at 5-HT_{1A} receptors: behavioural and in vivo brain microdialysis studies. Life Sci. 1990, 46, 955-963.
 (7) Show T. Dahara V. Y. Yu. 2010,
- Sharp, T.; Backus, L. I.; Hjort, S.; Branswell, S. R.; Grahame-Smith, D. G. Further investigation of the in vivo pharmacological properties of the putative 5-HT_{1A} antagonist, BMY 7378. Eur. J. Pharmacol. **1990**, 176, 331–340.
- (8) El Bermawy, M. A.; Lotter, H.; Glennon, R. A. Comparative Molecular Field Analysis of the binding of arylpiperazines at 5-HT_{1A} serotonin receptors. *Med. Chem. Res.* **1992**, *2*, 290-297. (9) Glennon, R. A.; Naiman, N. A.; Lyon, R. A.; Titeler, M. Aryl-
- piperarine derivatives as high affinity 5-HT_{1A} serotonin ligands. J. Med. Chem. **1988**, 31, 1968–1971.
- (10) Peroutka, S. J. Pharmalogical differential and characterization of 5-HT_{1A}, 5-HT_{1B} and 5-HT_{1C} binding sites in rat frontal cortex. J. Neurochem. 1986, 47, 529–540. (11) Millan, M. J.; Rivet, J.-M.; Canton, H.; Le Marouille-Girardon,
- S.; Gobert, A. Induction of hypothermia as a model of 5-HT_{1A} receptor mediated activity in the rat: a pharmalogical characterization of the actions of novel agonists and antagonists. J.
- Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1993, 264, 1346-1376.
 (12) Janssen, P. A. J.; Niemegeers, C. J. E.; Awouters, F.; Schellekens, K. H. L.; Megens, A. H. P.; Meert, T. F. Pharmacology of risperidone (R64766) a new antipsychotic with serotonin- S_2 and dopamine-D₂ antagonistic properties. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.
- **1988**, 244, 685–693. (13) Butcher, S. P.; Liptrot, J.; Abuthnott, G. W. Characterization of methylphenidate and nomifensine induced dopamine release in rat striatum using in vivo brain microdialysis. Neurosci. Lett. **1991**, *112*, 245–249. (14) Yocca, F. D.; Smith, D. N.; Hyslop, D. K.; Maayani, S. Dissocia-
- tion of efficacy from affinity at the 5-HT_{1A} receptor in rat hippocampal preparation. Soc. Neurosci. Abstr. **1986**, 12, 422.
- (15) Glennon, R. A. Concepts for the design of 5-HT_{1A} sectonin agonists and antagonists. *Drug. Dev. Res.* **1992**, *26*, 251–274.
 (16) El Bermawy, M. A.; Raghupathi, R.; Ingher, S. P..; Teitler, M.; Maayani, S.; Glennon, R. A. 4-[4-(1-Noradamantane carbox-carbox amido)buty]]-1-(2-methoxypheny])piperazine: a high affinity 5-HT_{1A} selective agent. *Med. Chem. Res.* **1992**, *2*, 88–95.

- (17) Van Steen, B. J.; Van Wijngaarden, I.; Tulp, M. Th. M.; Soudijn, W. Structure-affinity relationship studies on 5-HT_{1A} receptor ligands. 1-Heterobicyclic phenylpiperazines with N4-alkylsubstituents. J. Med. Chem. 1993, 36, 2751-2760.
- (18) Raghupathi, R. K.; Rydelek-Fitzgerald, L.; Teitler, M.; Glennon, R. A. Analogues of the 5-HT_{1A} serotonin antagonist 1-(2methoxyphenyl)-4-[4-(2-phthalimido)butyl]piperazine with reduced alpha-adrenergic affinity. J. Med. Chem. 1991, 34, 2633-2638
- (19) Perrone, R.; Berardi, F.; Colabufo, N. A.; Tortorella, V.; Fiorentini, F.; Olgiati, V.; Vanotti, E.; Govoni, S. Mixed 5-HT_{1A}/D₂ activity of a new model of arylpiperazines: 1-aryl-4-[3-(1,2 $dihydronaphthalen-4-yl)n-propyl] piperazines. \ 1. \ Synthesis and$ structure-affinity relationships. J. Med. Chem. 1994, 37, 99-104.
- Van Wijngaarden, I.; Tulp, M. Th. M.; Soudijn, W. The concept (20)of selectivity in 5-HT receptor research. Eur. J. Pharmacol. **1990**, 188, 301-312.
- (21) O'Connell, M. T.; Sarna, G. S.; Curzon, G. Evidence for postsynaptic mediation of the hypothermic effect of 5-HT_{1A} receptor activation. Br. J. Pharmacol. 1992, 106, 603-609.
- (22) Millan, M. J.; Rivet, J.-M.; Canton, H.; Lejeune, F.; Gobert, A.; Widdowson, P.; Bervoets, K.; Brocco, M.; Peglion, J.-L. S 15535: a highly selective benzodioxopiperazine $5\text{-}\mathrm{HT}_{1A}$ receptor ligand which acts as an agonist and an antagonist at presynaptic and postsynaptic sites respectively. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 1993, 230, 99 - 102
- (23) Klundt, I. L. Benzocyclobutene and its derivatives. Chem. Rev. 1970, 70, 471-487.
- (24) Kametani, J.; Ogasawara, K.; Takakashi, T. Studies on the synthesis of heterocyclic compounds. CDXCIV. Tetrahedron 1973, 29, 73-76.
- Kametani, J.; Kajiwara, M.; Fukumoto, K. Studies on the synthesis of heterocyclic compounds. DXLVII. Synthesis of a (25)yohimbane derivative by thermolysis. Tetrahedron 1974, 30, 1053 - 1058
- (26)Kametani, T.; Nemoto, H.; Ishikawa, H.; Shiroyama, K.; Fukumoto, K. A formal regio and stereoselective total synthesis of Estrone. A convenient synthesis of D-homoestrone. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 3378-3379.

JM9500333