Total Synthesis of Gambierol by Using Oxiranyl Anions

Hiroki Furuta, Yuki Hasegawa, Mariko Hase, and Yuji Mori*^[a]

Abstract: Gambierol was isolated as a neurotoxin from the cultured cells of the ciguatera causative dinoflagellate *Gambierdiscus toxicus* and classified as a member of the polycyclic ether family of marine toxins. The structure consists of a ladder-shaped *trans*-fused octacyclic ring system that includes 18 stereogenic centers, two 1,3-diaxial di-

methyl-substituted tetrahydropyranyl rings, and a partially conjugated triene side chain. The total synthesis of gambierol has been achieved by utilizing

Keywords: cyclization • gambierol • oxiranyl anions • polycyclic ethers • ring expansion

an oxiranyl anion strategy in an iterative manner. Synthetic highlights of this route include direct carbon–carbon formation on epoxides, sulfonyl-assisted 6-endo cyclization, and an expansion reaction of the tetrahydropyranyl rings to oxepanes to forge the polycyclic architecture of the target molecule.

Introduction

Dinoflagellate toxins constitute a large family of compounds, many of which exhibit a wide range of physiological activities. Accordingly, intense activity has been devoted to the isolation and structure determination of toxins. Ciguatera poisoning is a toxicological syndrome resulting from the ingestion of seafood contaminated by certain toxic polycyclic ethers produced by the epiphytic dinoflagellate *Gambierdiscus toxicus*. The toxin suite comprises ciguatoxins, maitotoxin, and gambierol.^[1] The large complex architecture of these compounds and their potent neurotoxicity have attracted the attention of chemists and have made them the focus of numerous synthetic efforts.^[2]

Gambierol (1) was isolated as a neurotoxin from the cultured cells of *G. toxicus* in 1993.^[3] The absolute stereochemistry was later determined by NMR spectroscopic analysis of the (*S*)- and (*R*)-phenylmethoxy(trifluoromethyl)acetate (MTPA) derivatives.^[4] The toxin exhibits potent toxicity against mice at LD_{50} 50 µg kg⁻¹ (ip), and its symptoms occurring in mice resemble those shown for ciguatoxins, which indicates that gambierol is also responsible for ciguatera seafood poisoning. The ability of gambierol to inhibit the bind-

[a]	Dr. H. Furuta, Y. Hasegawa, M. Hase, Prof. Y. Mori
	Faculty of Pharmacy, Meijo University
	150 Yagotoyama, Tempaku-ku
	Nagoya 468-8503 (Japan)
	Fax: (+81)52-834-8090
	E-mail: mori@meijo-u.ac.jp

7586

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.201000497.

ing of dihydrobrevetoxin B to voltage-sensitive sodium channels^[5] has also attracted attention, leading to structure– activity relationship (SAR) studies.^[6] Further evaluation of its molecular target on the ion channels has revealed that gambierol inhibits the voltage-gated potassium channels in mouse taste cells,^[7] which might be associated with the taste alteration caused by ciguatera intoxication, and modulates ion fluxes by acting as a partial agonist of sodium channels in human neuroblastoma cells.^[8] Moreover, gambierol has been reported to act as a functional antagonist of neurotoxin site 5 on voltage-gated potassium channels and to be a subtype selective voltage-gated potassium channel antagonist that binds to a novel binding site in cerebellar granule neurons.^[9]

Gambierol consists of a ladder-shaped *trans*-fused octacyclic ring system that includes 18 stereogenic centers and a partially conjugated triene side chain, including a conjugated



(Z,Z)-diene system. The complex architecture and the need for biologically active analogues for SAR studies continue to interest organic chemists. To date, three total syntheses have been reported. The Sasaki group reported the first total synthesis based on the B-alkyl Suzuki–Miyaura crosscoupling strategy.^[10] Shortly thereafter, Kadota and co-work-



FULL PAPER

ers achieved the second synthesis by using the intramolecular allylation of α -chloroacetoxy ether followed by ring-closing metathesis.^[11] Most recently, the Rainier group completed the third synthesis, with iterative C-glycoside/enol etherolefin metathesis and olefin metathesis/carbonyl olefination reactions being employed.^[12] Moreover, interesting approaches to the construction of the partial ring system of gambierol have been designed.^[13]

We describe herein a full account of our total synthesis of gambierol based on our oxiranyl anion coupling methodology.^[14]

Results and Discussion

Retrosynthetic analysis: Our laboratory has been interested in the synthesis of bioactive polycyclic ether natural products through use of oxiranyl anions in an iterative manner. Along these lines, we have developed a unique method for the formation of tetrahydropyran **III** by the reaction of triflate **I** with an anion derived from an epoxide followed by a sulfonyl-assisted 6-*endo* cyclization of **II** (Scheme 1).^[15] By



Scheme 1. Synthesis of six- and seven-membered rings by an oxiranyl anion strategy.

using this approach, we circumvent direct formation of oxepane **IV** by employing a ring-expansion reaction of **III**.^[16] Such structural units found in gambierol make it an attractive target for possible applications of our methodology.

Our synthetic strategy is outlined in Scheme 2. The reported total syntheses have successfully employed the Stille coupling reaction of vinyl iodide 2 or the corresponding vinyl bromide with a (Z)-dienyl stannane at the final step.^[10-12] This conversion to gambierol is efficient and reliable from the perspective of constructing the stereochemically labile conjugated (Z,Z)-diene system. As a result, our synthetic plan has focused on the construction of vinyl iodide 2. We envisioned that two seven-membered E and H rings in 2 would be constructed by a ring-expansion reaction of tetrahydropyranyl rings at suitable stages of synthesis, so we tentatively regarded 3 as a hypothetical polyepoxide precursor for retrosynthetic disassembly. Disconnection of 3 at the indicated bonds with the aid of sulfonyl groups allowed for generation of the ABCD-ring diol 4 with the optically



Scheme 2. Retrosynthetic analysis of gambierol (1).

active epoxy sulfones **5** and **6** as building blocks. The advanced BCD-ring fragment **7** was then retrosynthetically broken by considering the hypothetical polyepoxide **8** to afford epoxy sulfones, **10** and **11**, and triflate **12** as potential starting materials. To facilitate the gram-scale preparation of the starting epoxy sulfones, we decided to employ racemic **10** and **11**, which could readily be prepared as a mixture of *cis* and *trans*-isomers according to the reported method.^[17]

Synthesis of the CD rings: Our synthesis of gambierol commenced with the D-ring diol 13, which was prepared from epoxy sulfone 11 and triflate 12 according to the previously reported procedure^[18] (Scheme 3). Selective triflation of the primary alcohol of diol 13 with triflic anhydride at -80° C followed by triethylsilylation of the secondary alcohol with TESOTf in one pot gave triflate 14 in high yield. Treatment of a mixture of epoxy sulfone 10 and triflate 14 with *n*BuLi in THF/HMPA at -100° C afforded, after removal of the TES group, hydroxy epoxy sulfone 15 in 89% overall yield. As the latter compound is a mixture of four diastereoisomers around the stereochemistry of the epoxide functionali-

www.chemeurj.org

- 7587



Scheme 3. Synthesis of the CD rings—the first approach: a) Tf₂O, 2,6-lutidine, CH₂Cl₂, -80 °C, then TESOTf, 94%; b) **10**, *n*BuLi, THF, HMPA, -100 °C, 90%; c) *p*TsOH·H₂O, CH₂Cl₂, MeOH, RT, 99%; d) MgBr₂·OEt₂, LiBr, CH₂Cl₂, -15 to 0 °C, 99%; e) DBU, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 90%; f) AlMe₃, CH₂Cl₂, -50 to -20 °C, 94%; g) TMSOTf, 2,6-lutidine, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 95%; h) H₂, Pd(OH)₂/C, EtOAc, 94%; i) Dess-Martin periodinane, py, CH₂Cl₂, RT, 91%; j) **9**, toluene, RT, 96%; k) DIBALH, CH₂Cl₂, -80 °C, 93%. DBU=1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene, DIBALH=diisobutylaluminum hydride, HMPA=hexamethylphosphoramide, py=pyridine, TES=triethylsilyl, Tf₂O=trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride, TMS=trimethylsilyl.

ty, the C ring was constructed in a stepwise manner according to the procedure developed in this laboratory.^[19]

Thus, exposure of 15 to MgBr₂·OEt₂ in the presence of LiBr provided bromoketone 16 as a 1:1 mixture of diastereoisomers, which was then subjected to intramolecular etherification with DBU to afford the desired ketone 17 in 90% yield with 95:5 diastereoselectivity. This cyclization has the advantage that neither the stereochemistry of bromoketone 16, in turn, nor that of epoxy sulfone 15 is relevant, because the initial cyclization products undergo facile base-catalyzed equilibration to afford a thermodynamically more stable isomer possessing an equatorial side chain. Stereoselective introduction of the β-axial methyl group was accomplished by using an excess of AlMe₃ (3 equiv) to provide a tertiary alcohol with 95:5 selectivity,^[20] and the alcohol was protected as a TMS ether to afford 18 in 89% yield for the two steps. Removal of the benzyl group with H₂/Pd(OAc)₂ followed by oxidation of the resulting alcohol with Dess-Martin periodinane yielded aldehyde 19 in 86% overall yield. The aldehyde was then subjected to the Wittig reaction with 9 to afford an unsaturated ester with high E selectivity (94:6), which was reduced with DIBALH to give a CD-ring fragment 20 containing an allylic alcohol side chain in 89% yield for the two steps.

The route described above was effective for reaching the CD rings but was laborious, especially for the stepwise elaboration of the allylic alcohol side chain, which required 10 steps from triflate 14. We therefore decided to explore an alternative route requiring the use of epoxy sulfone 25, an advanced building block with an allylic alcohol moiety preinstalled into epoxy sulfone 10, at the second oxiranyl anion coupling step (Scheme 4). The epoxy sulfone 25 was prepared from the known alcohol 21.^[21] Protection of the primary alcohol as the PMB ether was followed by removal of the acetonide, and oxidative cleavage of the resulting diol with $NaIO_4$ provided aldehyde 22. Addition of the anion derived from chloromethyl phenyl sulfoxide and LiHMDS proceeded in good yield to give chlorohydrin 23 as a diastereoisomeric mixture. Cyclization of the chlorohydrin to epoxide 24 by using tBuOK and careful chemoselective oxidation of the sulfoxide in the presence of a trisubstituted olefin with MMPP^[22] in MeOH at 0°C afforded epoxy sulfone 25 as a mixture of racemic cis and trans-isomers in 57% yield for the three steps.



Scheme 4. Synthesis of the CD rings—the second approach: a) PMBCl, NaH, DMF, RT, 91%; b) *p*TsOH·H₂O, MeOH, RT, 93%; c) NaIO₄, MeOH, H₂O, RT, 97%; d) chloromethyl phenyl sufoxide, LiHMDS, THF, -80° C, 87%; e) *t*BuOK, *t*BuOH, CH₂Cl₂, 0°C to RT, 88%; f) MMPP, MeOH, 0°C, 75%; g) *n*BuLi, THF, HMPA, -100° C, 89%; h) *p*TsOH·H₂O, CH₂Cl₂, MeOH, RT, 99%; i) MgBr₂·OEt₂, CH₂Cl₂, 4 Å MS, 0°C, 60%; j) DBU, CH₂Cl₂, 0°C, 90%; k) AlMe₃, CH₂Cl₂, -20° C, 90%; i) DDQ, CH₂Cl₂, 0° C, 90%; k) AlMe₃, CH₂Cl₂, -50° to -20° C, 90%; i) DDQ, CH₂Cl₂, 0° C, 0°C, then NaBH₄, CeCl₃, MeOH, 92%. DDQ=2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone, LiHMDS = lithium hexamethyldisilazide, PMBCl=*p*-methoxybenzyl chloride, MMPP= monoperoxyphtalic acid magnesium salt.

With the requisite epoxy sulfone **25** in hand, we turned our attention to the oxiranyl anion coupling reaction with triflate **14**.^[23] This was accomplished by using the same conditions employed for **10** to provide **26** in 89% yield. After removal of the TES group, transformation of **26** to bromoketone 27 under the standard conditions (MgBr₂·OEt₂, LiBr, CH₂Cl₂, -15°C) proved to be less effective than the preceding case, yielding 27 in only 47% yield. The major side reaction observed was a 1,2-shift of the sulfonyl group, leading to the corresponding α -sulfonyl ketone. Efforts to optimize the yield by changing the reaction conditions to MgBr₂•OEt₂/4 Å MS/CH₂Cl₂/0 °C improved the yield up to 60%. The subsequent cyclization with DBU (diastereomeric ratio d.r. 94:6) to ketone 28 and methylation with AlMe₃ (d.r. 94:6) proceeded without trouble to afford tertiary alcohol 29 in 80% yield for the two steps. Removal of the PMB group with DDQ gave a 3:2 mixture of an allylic alcohol and the corresponding unsaturated aldehyde. To avoid handling the labile aldehyde, the reaction mixture was further treated with NaBH₄ in one pot, yielding allylic alcohol 30 in 92% yield. Utilization of the advanced epoxy sulfone 25 enabled us to diminish the number of reaction steps from 17 steps in the first approach (34% overall yield) to 13 steps to reach 30 from triflate 12. However, the overall yield (18%) of the second approach was lower than we anticipated. The lengthy synthesis of epoxy sulfone 25, the moderate yield of bromoketone 27, and the extra reductive workup at the DDQ oxidation step made the second route impractical as a means of acquiring a sufficient quantity of 30.

We then devised a new plan based on the modified retrosynthetic analysis shown in Scheme 5. In this third approach, we envisaged constructing the C ring by using ketyl radical



Scheme 5. The third approach for the CD-ring fragment 20.

cyclization of ketone 31. The ketone would be accessed from hydroxy olefin 32, which could be built up by using an oxiranyl anion coupling reaction between the unsaturated epoxy sulfone 33 and triflate 12. The requisite epoxy sulfone **33** was prepared from 4-butenal^[24] and chloromethyl phenyl sulfoxide as a mixture of racemic cis and trans-stereoisomers by a three-step procedure (Scheme 6). Reaction of the oxiranyl anion derived from epoxy sulfone 33 with triflate 12 followed by removal of the TES group afforded hydroxy epoxy sulfone 34 in 88% overall yield. The reaction of 34 with MgBr₂·OEt₂ proceeded without any trouble to provide bromoketones in 94% yield, and the DBU-mediated cyclization afforded ketone 35 quantitatively with 94:6 diastereoselectivity. Reduction of the ketone with NaBH₄ furnished a diastereoisomeric mixture of alcohols, from which the desired alcohol 32 was isolated in 91% yield. Transformation of the double bond to a methyl ketone proved to be more



FULL PAPER

Scheme 6. Synthesis of the CD rings—the third approach: a) *n*BuLi, THF, HMPA, -100° C, 94%; b) *p*TsOH·H₂O, CH₂Cl₂, MeOH, RT, 94%; c) MgBr₂·OEt₂, LiBr, CH₂Cl₂, -15 to 0°C, 94%; d) DBU, CH₂Cl₂, 0°C, 100% (d.r. 94:6); e) NaBH₄, MeOH, CH₂Cl₂, -80° C, 91%; f) methyl propiolate, NMM, CH₂Cl₂, RT, 90%; g) PdCl₂, CuCl, CH₃CN, H₂O, RT, **36** (44%), **37** (44%); h) Ac₂O, py, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, 86%; i) PdCl₂, DMF, H₂O, RT, 73%; j) K₂CO₃, MeOH, RT, 93%; k) ethyl propiolate, NMM, CH₂Cl₂, RT, 97%; l) SmI₂, THF, MeOH, 0°C, 100%; m) TMSOTf, 2,6-lutidine, 0°C, CH₂Cl₂, 96%; n) DIBALH, CH₂Cl₂, -80° C, 99%; o) **9**, toluene, RT, 96%; p) DIBALH, CH₂Cl₂, -80° C, 93%. DMAP=4-dimethylaminopyridine; NMM=*N*-methylmorpholine.

difficult than anticipated. Wacker oxidation^[25] of **32** gave the desired hydroxy ketone **36** in a disappointing 44% yield. The byproduct of this oxidation was hemiacetal **37**, which was also obtained in 44% yield. To prevent the undesired hemiacetal formation, the hydroxy group was protected as a β -alkoxy acrylate by reaction with methyl propiolate in the presence of *N*-methyl morpholine, leading to **38** in 90% yield. Wacker oxidation of **38**, however, resulted in the same outcome, yielding a 1:1 mixture of **36** and **37**, due to the rapid hydrolysis of the alkoxy acrylate group under acidic conditions.

These disappointing results forced us to protect the hydroxy group with an appropriate protecting group that would be tolerable under acidic conditions. To this end, the hydroxy group of **32** was protected as an acetate. When ace-

www.chemeurj.org

tate **39** was subjected to Wacker oxidation under noncatalytic conditions,^[26] the desired ketone **40** was produced in 73 % yield. Removal of the acetyl group followed by installation of a β -alkoxy acrylate group afforded keto acrylate **31** in 90% overall yield. Treatment of **31** with SmI₂ in the presence of MeOH^[27] effected ketyl radical cyclization to afford quantitatively bicyclic ester **41** as a single stereoisomer. Elaboration of the ester to allylic alcohol **20** via **19** was carried out in 85% overall yield by a four-step sequence involving: 1) protection of the tertiary alcohol with a TMS group, 2) reduction of the ester with DIBALH, 3) Wittig olefination with **9**, and, finally, 4) the DIBALH reduction of the unsaturated ester. This third approach to **20** has a favorable overall yield of 34% for the 14 steps from **12**, compared with the first and second approaches.

Synthesis of the ABCD rings:^[23] With an ample supply of the CD-ring fragment 20, we then turned our attention to the construction of the AB ring as shown in Scheme 7. The B ring containing sterically congested 1,3-diaxial dimethyl groups was synthesized according to the Nicolaou's protocol.^[28] Thus, epoxidation of allylic alcohol 20 with *m*CPBA proceeded with a high diastereomeric ratio of 96:4 to afford epoxide 42 in 96% yield. Subsequent oxidation with SO₃•py gave an aldehyde, which was subjected to methylenation to afford epoxy olefin 43 in 75% overall yield. Removal of the TMS group with one equivalent of nBu_4NF at -10°C followed by exposure of the resulting hydroxy epoxide to PPTS at 0°C led to the B-ring vinyl alcohol 44 in 74% yield over the two steps.

Construction of the A ring is a challenging issue, because an α -axial hydroxy group at C(6) and a β -equatorial C₃ side chain at C(4) have to be installed stereoselectively. To introduce the C(6) axial hydroxy group, Sasaki and Yamamoto/ Kadota's syntheses employed reduction of a hydroxy epoxide^[10] or asymmetric allylation of an aldehyde,^[11] in which the hydroxy epoxide and the aldehyde were prepared from the vinyl derivatives similar to 44. We envisioned that the C(6) hydroxyl group and the C₃-side chain could be introduced by the reaction of epoxide 45 with 2-(3-benzyloxypropyl)-1,3-dithiane (46a). To this end, vinyl alcohol 44 was subjected to the homoallylic hydroxy-directed epoxidation with tert-butyl hydroperoxide in the presence of [VO- $(acac)_{2}^{[29]}$ (acac = acetylacetone) to afford the desired epoxide with 93:7 diastereoselectivity, and the remaining hydroxy group was protected as a TES ether to provide epoxide 45 in 82% yield over the two steps. Reaction of the epoxide with the lithiodithiane derived from 46a under standard conditions (nBuLi, THF, -20°C, 2 h)^[30] resulted in only 28% yield of the desired product. The lack of reactivity of lithiated 46 a was found to be due to decomposition of the lithiodithiane during anion formation, which was confirmed by the isolation of 2-allyl-1,3-dithiane. When the reaction was carried out under in situ trapping conditions at 0°C, an unexpected product 47 was obtained in 77% vield.^[31] To avoid this undesired reaction, the trityl ether derivative 46b, which has no benzylic protons, was reacted with epoxide 45.



Scheme 7. Synthesis of the ABCD-ring fragment **4**: a) *m*CPBA, NaHCO₃, CH₂Cl₂, 0°C, 96% (d.r. 96:4); b) SO₃-py, Et₃N, DMSO, 0°C to RT; c) Ph₃P⁺CH₃-Br⁻, KHMDS, THF, -80°C to RT, 87% (2 steps); d) *n*Bu₄NF, THF, -10°C, 100%; e) PPTS, CH₂Cl₂, 74%; f) *t*BuOOH, [VO(acac)₂], CH₂Cl₂, 35°C, 85% (d.r. 93:7); g) TESCl, Et₃N, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, 96%; h) **46b**, *n*BuLi, THF, 0°C, **48** (99%); i) *p*TSOH-H₂O, MeOH, CH₂Cl₂, RT, 97%; j) PivCl, DMAP, py, CH₂Cl₂, 0°C, 98%; k) Hg(ClO₄)₂, THF, H₂O, 0°C, 90%; l) Et₃SiH, SnCl₄, CH₂Cl₂, -40°C, 91%; m) DIBALH, CH₂Cl₂, -80°C, 98%; n) NaH, BnBr, DMF, 86%; o) *n*Bu₄NF, THF, RT, 97%. KHMDS=potassium hexamethyldisilazide, *m*CPBA=*meta*-chloroperbenzoic acid, PivCl=pivaloyl chloride, PPTS= pyridinium *p*toluenesulfonate, TESCl=chlorotriethylsilane.

In this case, the desired hydroxy dithioacetal **48** was obtained in 99% yield. The dithioacetal was then transformed into β , δ -dihydroxy ketone **49** in 85% overall yield in a three-step sequence: removal of the TES and trityl groups with *p*TsOH, protection of the primary alcohol as its pivaloate, and hydrolysis of the dithioacetal group. The reductive cycloetherification of hydroxy ketone **49** was best accomplished with triethylsilane in the presence of SnCl₄ at -40°C to proceed with complete stereochemical control, leading to the ABCD-ring fragment **50** containing an equatorial side-chain group in 91% yield. Finally, reductive removal of the pivaloyl group followed by dibenzylation of the C(1) and C(6) hydroxy groups and desilylation afforded the ABCD-ring diol **4** in 82% yield for the three steps.

7590

Synthesis of the ABCDEF rings: Elaboration of the ABCD rings to the hexacyclic ABCDEF rings required constructing seven and six-membered ring systems with sterically congested 1,3-diaxial dimethyl groups. To this end, the requisite building block **5** to be coupled to the ABCD-ring fragment was prepared from 4-bromo-4-pent-2-enone (**51**) and (R)-(–)-chloromethyl p-tolyl sulfoxide (**52**) (Scheme 8).^[32]



Scheme 8. Preparation of epoxy sulfone 5: a) 52, LiHMDS, THF, -80° C, then 51, 53 (40%), 54 (40%); b) *t*BuOK, *t*BuOH, CH₂Cl₂, 0°C, 55 (90%), 56 (83%); c) *m*CPBA, CH₂Cl₂, 0°C, 94%. LDA=lithium diisopropylamide.

Chlorohydrins **53** and **54** were subjected to exposure to *t*BuOK to afford the epoxy sulfoxides **55** and **56**, respectively, in good yields.

The stereochemistry of epoxides **55** and **56** was determined by ¹H HMR spectroscopic analysis: the chemical shifts of the allylic hydrogen atoms of the desired isomer **55** lay downfield relative to those of the isomer **56** due to the anisotropic effect of the adjacent sulfinyl group. Oxidation of the isomer **55** with *m*CPBA afforded the desired epoxy sulfone **5** in 85% yield for the two steps. We also attempted to prepare allylic or propargylic analogues of **5** from 4-pent-2-enone or 4-pent-2-ynone, respectively. However, the reactions of those ketones with the anion derived from **52** were unsuccessful due to the facile enolization of the starting ketones.

Construction of the E ring began with the anion coupling of epoxy sulfone 5 with the ABCD-ring triflate 57 prepared from diol 4 (Scheme 9). Thus, treatment of a mixture of 5 and 57 with *n*BuLi at -100 °C followed by desilylation with pTsOH afforded hydroxy epoxy sulfone 58 in 83% overall yield. A sulfonyl-assisted 6-endo cyclization was carried out with BF3. OEt2 to afford ketone 59 quantitatively. The ketone was then subjected to a ring-expansion reaction with trimethylsilyldiazomethane^[16] in the presence of $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ followed by desilylation of the resulting α -trimethylsilyl ketone with *n*Bu₄NF to furnish the desired seven-membered ketone 60 in 51% yield for the two steps. Reduction of the ketone with NaBH₄ gave a 3:2 separable mixture of the desired α -alcohol 61 and the undesired β -isomer in 59 and 41% yields, respectively. The latter isomer could be recycled by oxidation and reduction, allowing the overall yield for the $60 \rightarrow 61$ conversion to be raised to 89% after a threecycle operation.

-FULL PAPER



Scheme 9. Synthesis of the ABCDE-ring system **61**: a) Tf₂O, 2,6-lutidine, -80 °C, CH₂Cl₂, then TESOTf, 92 %; b) **5**, *n*BuLi, THF, HMPA, -100 °C, 91 %; c) *p*TsOH+H₂O, CH₂Cl₂, MeOH, RT, 91 %; d) BF₃·OEt₂, CH₂Cl₂, -80 °C, 98 %; e) TMSCHN₂, BF₃·OEt₂, CH₂Cl₂, -20 °C, 53 %; f) *n*Bu₄NF, THF, AcOH, RT, 97 %; g) NaBH₄, CH₂Cl₂, MeOH, -80 °C, 59 % (+isomer 41 %). TMSCHN₂=trimethylsilyldiazomethane.

At this stage we envisioned two options for forming the F ring: one was a vinyl radical cyclization route and the other was a ketyl radical cyclization route (Scheme 10). The vinyl radical approach proceeded through alkoxy acrylate 62, which was prepared from 61 by a hetero-Michael reaction with methyl propiolate in 95% yield. Treatment of 62 with Bu₃SnH in the presence of AIBN in toluene at reflux furnished the olefinic ester 63 in 81% yield along with the debrominated product (15%). Dihydroxylation of 63 with OsO₄ provided the diol 64 in 88% yield as a single diastereoisomer. Selective mesylation of the primary alcohol followed by reduction with LiEt₃BH afforded diol 65 containing 1,3-diaxial dimethyl groups in 94% overall yield.[33] The primary alcohol 66 was then prepared by a two-step procedure involving bis-triethylsilylation followed by selective monodesilylation in 89% overall yield.

The second approach to elaborate the F ring by ketyl radical cyclization began with the dehydrobromination of **61** with nBu_4NF in DMF at 60 °C^[34] to afford alkyne **67** in 99 % yield. Hydration of the terminal alkyne with a catalytic amount of Hg(OTf)₂^[35] followed by reaction with methyl propiolate provided keto acrylate **68** in 67 % overall yield. Treatment of **68** with SmI₂ in the presence of MeOH induced reductive cyclization to afford hydroxy ester **69** quan-

www.chemeurj.org



Scheme 10. Synthesis of the ABCDEF-ring triflate **72**: a) methyl propiolate, NMM, CH_2Cl_2 , RT, 95%; b) nBu_3SnH , AIBN, toluene, 110°C, 81%; c) OsO₄, NMO, THF, H₂O, RT, 88%; d) MsCl, Et₃N, CH_2Cl_2 , -10°C, 96%; e) LiEt₃BH, THF, 0°C, 98%; f) TESOTf, 2,6-lutidine, CH_2Cl_2 , 0°C, 94%; g) PPTS, MeOH, CH_2Cl_2 , 0°C, 95%; h) $nBu_4NF\cdot3H_2O$, DMF, 60°C, 99%; i) Hg(OTf)₂, TMU, CH_2Cl_2 , MeCN, H₂O, RT, 82%; j) methyl propiolate, NMM, CH_2Cl_2 , RT, 82%; k) SmI₂, THF, MeOH, 0°C, 100%; l) TESOTf, 2,6-lutidine, CH_2Cl_2 , 0°C, 92%; m) DIBALH, CH_2Cl_2 , 0°C, 96%; n) *o*-NO₂PhSeCN, *nBu*₃P, CH_2Cl_2 , py, RT; o) H₂O₂, NaHCO₃, THF, RT, 85% (2 steps); p) OsO₄, NMO, THF, H₂O, RT; q) NaIO₄, MeOH, H₂O, RT; r) NaBH₄, CH_2Cl_2 , MeOH, RT, 98% (3 steps); s) *p*TsOH·H₂O, CH_2Cl_2 , MeOH, RT, 100%; t) Tf₂O, 2,6-lutidine, CH_2Cl_2 , -80°C, then TMSOTf, 95%. AIBN = 2,2'-azobisisobutyronitrile, TMU = 1,1,3,3-tetramethylurea.

titatively as a single diastereoisomer. After protection of the hydroxy group as a TES ether, the ester was reduced with DIBALH to furnish alcohol 66 in 88% yield for the two steps. The lack of need for the deoxygenation step $(64 \rightarrow 65)$ made the second approach more practical. The elaboration of alcohol 66 to diol 71 required the one-carbon diminution of the side chain. Thus, alcohol 66 was converted to olefin 70 via o-nitrophenyl selenide in 82% overall yield.^[36] Subsequent oxidative cleavage of the double bond was performed by a three-step procedure involving dihydroxylation with OsO₄, oxidation with NaIO₄, and NaBH₄ reduction to afford the primary alcohol. Removal of the TES group of the latter compound with acid provided diol 71 in 98% overall yield from 70. Triflation and trimethylsilylation of the diol in one pot afforded triflate 72 in 95% yield, setting the stage for the construction of the G and H rings.

Synthesis of the octacyclic ring system of gambierol: With the hexacyclic ring system 72 in hand, we then turned our attention to the construction of the GH rings. Installation of the G and H rings was based on the use of an oxiranyl anion strategy (Scheme 11). Treatment of $6^{[15]}$ with *n*BuLi in the presence of triflate 72 at -100 °C afforded epoxy sulfone 73 in 93% yield. Exposure of 73 to BF₃•OEt₂ caused detrimethylsilylation and 6-*endo* cyclization to form the G-ring ketone 74 in 91% yield. Stereoselective reduction of the ketone with NaBH₄ and removal of the *tert*-butyldiphenylsilyl (TBDPS) group with nBu_4NF afforded the diol **75**, which was then subjected to one-pot triflation and silylation to furnish triflate **76** in 91% yield for the three steps. The sequence described above was employed iteratively with equal efficiency to construct the H-ring precursor **78**. Thus, the second coupling reaction of **76** with the oxiranyllithium of **6** provided, after desilylation, epoxy sulfone **77** in 94% yield. The BF₃-promoted cyclization of **77** resulted in the formation of octacyclic ketone **78** in 83% yield.

Conversion of the ketone 78 to the seven-membered H ring ketone 79 proceeded smoothly with trimethylsilyldiazomethane in the presence of BF₃·OEt₂ (Scheme 12). We were pleased to find that this ring enlargement was achieved in a high 81% yield compared with the case of E-ring formation. To then complete the H-ring functionalities, it remained to introduce the C(28)=C(29) double bond and the C(30) tertiary alcohol. This transformation was carried out according to the procedure employed in the previous syntheses.^[10,11] Thus, treatment of ketone 79 with LiHMDS in the presence of TMSCl and Et₃N afforded the corresponding enol silvl ether, which was subjected to Saegusa oxidation^[37] with Pd- $(OAc)_2$ in acetonitrile to provide enone 80 quantitatively. Methylation with MeMgBr in toluene followed by removal of the TBDPS group with nBu_4NF afforded diol 81 in 93% vield as a single diastereoisomer. At this point, we decided to carry out an additional manipulation on the diol to reach compound 82, an intermediate synthesized by Sasaki et al.^[10]

FULL PAPER



Scheme 11. Synthesis of ketone **78**: a) *n*BuLi, THF, HMPA, -100°C, 93%; b) BF₃•OEt₂, CH₂Cl₂, 0°C to RT, 91%; c) NaBH₄, CH₂Cl₂, MeOH, -80°C, 100%; d) *n*Bu₄NF, THF, RT, 96%; e) Tf₂O, 2,6-lutidine, CH₂Cl₂, -80°C, then TESOTf, 95%; f) **6**, *n*BuLi, THF, HMPA, -100°C, 94%; g) PPTS, CH₂Cl₂, MeOH, RT, 100%; h) BF₃•OEt₂, CH₂Cl₂, 0°C to RT, 83%.

Selective silvlation of the primary hydroxy group as a TBS ether led to compound **82**. Indeed, the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopic data and the optical rotation of our synthetic material matched those reported for **82**, thus confirming the structure and stereochemical assignments of **81**.

Completion of the total synthesis: The last remaining challenge in the total synthesis of gambierol (1) required incorporation of the skipped triene side chain. This task was accomplished as summarized in Scheme 13. In the work previously performed by Sasaki's and Kadota's groups,^[10,11] the robust C(1) and C(6) benzyl groups of **82** and the TBS derivative of **79** were replaced by more easily removable silyl groups or silyl and pivaloyl groups prior to installation of a (*Z*)-vinyl iodide moiety, respectively. However, if debenzylation of **85** were feasible in the presence of the vinyl iodide functionality, a straightforward route to reaching **2** would be made possible. To this end, the primary alcohol **83** was prepared from **81** by a two-step procedure involving bis-silylation followed by selective monodesilylation in 93% overall



Scheme 12. Synthesis of the ABCDEFGH-ring diol **81**: a) TMSCHN₂, BF₃·OEt₂, CH₂Cl₂, -80° C; b) PPTS, CH₂Cl₂, MeOH, RT, 81% (2 steps); c) LiHMDS, TMSCl, Et₃N, THF, -80° C; d) Pd(OAc)₂, MeCN, RT, 98% (2 steps); e) MeMgBr, toluene, -80° C, 93%; f) *n*Bu₄NF, THF, RT, 100%; g) TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine, CH₂Cl₂, -80° C, 86%.

yield. Subsequent oxidation of the alcohol with TPAP furnished aldehyde **84**, which was subjected to iodomethylenation with iodomethyltriphenylphosphonium iodide^[38] and NaHMDS to afford the (Z)-vinyl iodide **85** in 63 % yield for the last two steps.

Debenzylation of 85 was now critical for the successful completion of our route, as it needed to be executed in the presence of the labile (Z)-vinyl iodide, cyclic allylic ether, and TES ether functionalities. Upon considerable experimentation, it was finally discovered that gentle heating of 85 with DDQ in the presence of water and diallyl ether in 1,2dichloroethane at 50 °C for 3 h smoothly promoted debenzylation,^[39] leading to, after removal of the TES ether, the desired triol 2 in 78% yield. The above reaction conditions are critical: extending the reaction time or elevated temperatures resulted in decomposition and decreased the yield. Finally, Stille coupling of triol 2 with dienyl stannane $86^{[40]}$ was carried out by using Kadota's protocol^[11] to provide gambierol (1) in 68% yield. The spectroscopic and physical data for synthetic gambierol were identical to those reported previously.[10,11]

Conclusion

Total synthesis of gambierol has been achieved by utilizing an oxiranyl anion strategy in an iterative manner. The salient features of the route include: 1) direct carbon–carbon bond formation on an oxirane ring and the subsequent sul-

www.chemeurj.org

BnO BnO 0 Me MeC 81 · R = H a,b) OR 83: R = TES | c) Me BnO Ĥ Me 84 мò OTES d) BnO Ĥ Ĥ Ĥ Н 85 OTES e,f) HO Ĥ 2 OH Ме g) Bu₃Sn 86 HC

Scheme 13. Completion of the total synthesis: a) TESOTf, 2,6-lutidine, CH₂Cl₂, 0°C, 95%; b) PPTS, CH₂Cl₂, MeOH, 0°C, 98%; c) TPAP, NMO, CH₂Cl₂, RT, 93%; d) Ph₃P⁺CH₂I^{-I}, NaHMDS, THF, -80°C to RT, 68%; e) DDQ, H₂O, diallyl ether, DCE, 50°C; f) *p*TsOH·H₂O, CH₂Cl₂, MeOH, RT, 78% (2 steps); g) **86**, [Pd₂(dba)₃]·CHCl₃, P(furyl)₃, CuI, DMSO, 40°C, 68%. dba=dibenzylideneacetone, DCE=1,2-dichloro-ethane. TPAP=tetra-*n*-propylammonium perruthenate, NMO=4-meth-ylmorpholine-*N*-oxide.

Me

1

Mè

≟`OH Me

fonyl-assisted 6-*endo* cyclization, 2) a ring-expansion approach to seven-membered ether rings, 3) a successful implementation of debenzylation in the presence of labile functional groups. Further application of this oxiranyl anion coupling strategy to other marine polycyclic ethers is in progress.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas (18032079) and for Scientific Research (C) (21590029) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology. We thank Professors M. Sasaki (Tohoku Uni) and I. Kadota (Okayama University) for providing the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of gambierol.

- [2] For recent reviews on the synthesis of marine polycyclic ethers, see:
 a) M. Inoue, *Chem. Rev.* 2005, *105*, 4379–4405; b) T. Nakata, *Chem. Rev.* 2005, *105*, 4314–4347; c) H. Fuwa, M. Sasaki, *Curr. Opin. Drug Discovery Dev.* 2007, *10*, 784–806.
- [3] M. Satake, M. Murata, T. Yasumoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 361–362.
- [4] A. Morohashi, M. Satake, T. Yasumoto, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1999, 40, 97–100.
- [5] M. Inoue, M. Hirama, M. Satake, K. Sugiyama, T. Yasumoto, *Toxicon* 2003, 41, 469–474.
- [6] H. Fuwa, N. Kainuma, K. Tachibana, C. Tsukano, M. Satake, M. Sasaki, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2004, *10*, 4894–4909.
- [7] a) V. Ghiaroni, M. Sasaki, H. Fuwa, G. P. Rossini, G. Scalera, T. Yasumoto, P. Pietra, A. Bigiani, *Toxicol. Sci.* 2005, 85, 657–665; b) V. Ghiaroni, H. Fuwa, M. Inoue, M. Sasaki, K. Miyazaki, M. Hirama, T. Yasumoto, G. P. Rossini, G. Scalera, A. Bigiani, *Chem. Senses* 2006, 31, 673–680.
- [8] M. Louzao, E. Cagide, M. R. Vieytes, M. Sasaki, H. Fuwa, T. Yasumoto, L. M. Botana, *Cell. Physiol. Biochem.* 2006, 17, 257–268.
- [9] a) E. Cuypers, A. Yanagihara, J. D. Rainier, J. Tytgat, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2007, 361, 214–217; b) K. T. LePage, J. D. Rainier, H. W. B. Johnson, D. G. Baden, T. F. Murray, *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 2007, 323, 174–179; c) E. Cuypers, Y. Abdel-Mottaleb, I. Kopljar, J. D. Rainier, A. L. Raes, D. J. Snyders, J. Tytgat, *Toxicon* 2008, 51, 974–983; d) F. Pietra, *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* 2008, 21, 997–1001; e) I. Kopljar, A. J. Labro, E. Cuypers, H. W. B. Johnson, J. D. Rainier, J. Tytgat, D. J. Snyders, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2009, 106, 9896–9901.
- [10] a) H. Fuwa, M. Sasaki, M. Satake, K. Tachibana, Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 2981–2984; b) H. Fuwa, N. Kainuma, K. Tachibana, M. Sasaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 14983–14992.
- [11] a) I. Kadota, H. Takamura, K. Sato, A. Ohno, K. Matsuda, Y. Yamamoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 46–47; b) I. Kadota, H. Takamura, K. Sato, A. Ohno, K. Matsuda, M. Satake, Y. Yamamoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11893–11899.
- [12] a) H. W. B. Johnson, U. Majumder, J. D. Rainier, J. Am. Chem. Soc.
 2005, 127, 848-849; b) U. Majumder, J. M. Cox, H. W. B. Johnson,
 J. D. Rainier, Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 1736-1746; c) H. W. B. Johnson, U. Majumder, J. D. Rainier, Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 1747-1753.
- [13] a) T. Saito, T. Takeuchi, M. Matsuhashi, T. Nakata, *Heterocycles* 2007, 72, 151–156; b) T. Saito, T. Nakata, *Org. Lett.* 2009, 11, 113– 116.
- [14] For a preliminary communication, see: H. Furuta, Y. Hasegawa, Y. Mori, Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4382–4385.
- [15] Y. Mori, K. Yaegashi, H. Furukawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 8158–8159.
- [16] Y. Mori, K. Yaegashi, H. Furukawa, Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 12917– 12932.
- [17] Y. Mori, K. Yaegashi, K. Iwase, Y. Yamamori, H. Furukawa, *Tetra-hedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 2605–2608.
- [18] Y. Mori, H. Hayashi, J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 8666-8668.
- [19] Y. Mori, K. Yaegashi, H. Furukawa, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 7239–7242.
- [20] a) K. C. Nicolaou, C.-K. Hwang, M. E. Duggan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 6682–6690; b) K. C. Nicolaou, D. A. Nugiel, E. Couladouros, C.-K. Hwang, *Tetrahedron* 1990, 46, 4517–4552.
- [21] a) K. Miyashita, M. Ikejiri, H. Kawasaki, S. Maemura, T. Imanishi, *Chem. Commun.* 2002, 742–743; b) K. Miyashita, M. Ikejiri, H. Kawasaki, S. Maemura, T. Imanishi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003, 125, 8238–8243.
- [22] P. Brougham, M. S. Cooper, D. A. Cummerson, H. Heaney, N. Tompson, *Synthesis* 1987, 1015–1016.
- [23] For a preliminary communication, see: H. Furuta, M. Hase, R. Noyori, Y. Mori, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4061–4064.
- [24] L. A. Paquette, T. M. Mitzel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 1931– 1937.
- [25] S. Takai, N. Sawada, M. Isobe, J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 3225-3231.

HO

For reviews on marine polycyclic ethers, see: a) T. Yasumoto, M. Murata, *Chem. Rev.* **1993**, *93*, 1897–1909; b) M. Murata, T. Yasumoto, *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2000**, *17*, 293–314; c) T. Yasumoto, *Chem. Rec.* **2001**, *1*, 228–242.

FULL PAPER

- [26] T. Mukaiyama, I. Shiina, H. Iwadare, M. Saitoh, T. Nishimura, N. Ohkawa, H. Sakoh, K. Nishimura, Y. Tani, M. Hasegawa, K. Yamada, K. Saitoh, *Chem. Eur. J.* **1999**, *5*, 121–161.
- [27] G. Matsuo, N. Hori, T. Nakata, Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 8859-8862.
- [28] K. C. Nicolaou, C. V. C. Prasad, P. K. Somers, C.-K. Hwang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 5330–5334.
- [29] A. S. Rao in *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*, Vol. 7 (Ed.: B. M. Trost), Pergamon, Oxford, **1991**, pp. 357–387.
- [30] D. Seebach, E. J. Corey, J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 231-237.
- [31] M. Matsushita, Y. Nagaoka, H. Hioki, Y. Fukuyama, M. Kodama, *Chem. Lett.* **1996**, 1039–1040.
- [32] T. Satoh, T. Oohara, Y. Ueda, K. Yamakawa, J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 3130–3136.
- [33] H. Fuwa, M. Sasaki, K. Tachibana, Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 3019.
- [34] a) M. Okutani, Y. Mori, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2007, 48, 6856–6859;
 b) M. Okutani, Y. Mori, J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 442–444.

- [35] M. Nishizawa, M. Skwarczynski, H. Imagawa, T, Sugihara, Chem. Lett. 2002, 12–13.
- [36] P. A. Grieco, S. Gilman, M. Nishizawa, J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 1485–1486.
- [37] Y. Ito, T. Hirao, T. Saegusa, J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 1011-1013.
- [38] a) D. Seyferth, J. K. Heeren, G. Singh, S. O. Grim, W. B. Hughes, J. Organomet. Chem. 1966, 5, 267–274; b) G. Stork, K. Zhao, Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 2173–2174; c) W. R. F. Goundry, J. E. Baldwin, V. Lee, Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 1719–1729.
- [39] A. Hamajima, M. Isobe, Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 2985–2989; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2941–2945.
- [40] E. Shirakawa, K. Yamasaki, H. Yoshida, T. Hiyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 10221.

Received: February 25, 2010 Published online: May 18, 2010