



Accepted Article

Title: Direct Alkenylation of 2-Methylquinolines with Aldehydes through Synergistic Catalysis of 1,3-Dimethylbarbituric Acid and HOAc

Authors: Xiaodong Tang, En Liang, Junqi Wang, Yinrong Wu, Liangbin Huang, and Xingang Yao

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Adv. Synth. Catal. 10.1002/adsc.201900351

Link to VoR: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201900351



DOI: 10.1002/adsc.201((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

Direct Alkenylation of 2-Methylquinolines with Aldehydes through Synergistic Catalysis of 1,3-Dimethylbarbituric Acid and HOAc

En Liang,^a Junqi Wang,^a Yinrong Wu,^a Liangbin Huang,^{b*} Xingang Yao^a and Xiaodong Tang^{a*}

- ^a Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of New Drug Screening, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Southern Medical University, 1023 South Shatai Road, Baiyun District, Guangzhou 510515, P. R. China.
 E-mail: tangxdong@smu.edu.cn
- ^b Key Laboratory of Functional Molecular Engineering of Guangdong Province, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, South China University of Technology, 381 Wushan Road, Guangzhou, 510640 (China) E-mail: Huanglb@scut.edu. cn

Received: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201#######.

Abstract: An efficient and practical direct alkenylation of 2-methylquinolines with aldehydes has been achieved through a novel synergistic organocatalysis. The HOAcactivated 2-methylquiolines undergo a Michael addition to 1,3-dimethylbarbituric acid-activated aldehydes, followed bv retro-Michael addition to release 1.3а dimethylbarbituric acid and the target products. The transformation produced various 2-alkenylquinolines with good to excellent yields and featured mild reaction conditions, atom- and step-economy, good functional group tolerance, and operational simplicity.

Keywords: synergistic catalysis; organocatalysis; alkenylation; 2-methylquinolines;

Organocatalysis has many advantages, including easy accessibility, insensitivity towards to oxygen and moisture, economy and non-toxicity.^[1] Moreover, transformations with organocatalysis usually proceed in mild reaction conditions with broad substrate scope and excellent functional group tolerance. Synergistic catalysis combinating two distinct catalytic systems in one reaction has received intensive attention in recent years.^[2] The typically synergistic system was constituted by the metal catalysis and organocatalysis or bimetallic system.^[3] Although there were a few successive synergistic organocatalysis systems,^[4] it is still of great importance to develop new and simple synergistic small organic molecular catalysis.

Quinoline motif widely exists in pharmaceutical, agrochemical, and advanced material. 2-Alkenylquinoline is one of typical scaffolds such as Montelukast,^[5a] Chimanine B,^[5b] and so on.^[5c-f]

Specifically, 2-alkenylquinoline, containing triphenylamine moiety, has good electrochromic properties.^[6] Due to the great importance of 2alkenylquinolines, various methods have been developed to construct this motif, such as the Wittig expensive reactions with 2quinolinecarboxaldehydes,^[7] condensation reactions of 2-methylquinolines with aldehydes or imines,^{[b}, reductive olefinations of quinoline N-oxides with olefins,^[9] and dehydrogenative olefinations of 2methylquinolines with alcohols,^[10]etc. Along these lines, condensation reactions of 2-methylquinolines with aldehydes were the most available method due to the easy accecebility of both substrates. The nucleophilic enamine, catalytically isomerized from 2-methylquioine,^[11] proceeds the Knoevenagel condensation with unactivated aldehyde slowly. Thus, all reported transformations required high reaction temperature and/or large excess of aldehydes (Scheme **1a**). With the development of organocatalysis and synergistic catalysis, we have recently suspected that synergistic catalysis combined the two organocatalysts could enable the condensation reaction under a much milder conditions. Herein, we HOAc/1,3-DMBBA reported (1, 3 а acid) dimethylbarbituric -catalyzed dehydration reaction system which allows efficent synthesis of various 2-alkenylquinolines from 2-methylquinolines and aldehydes (Scheme 1b). This protocol involving cascade Knoevenagel condensation followed by Micheal addition and retra-Micheal addition gave the desired product in good to excellent yield with water as the sole byproduct.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of 2-alkenylquinolines from 2methylquinolines and aldehydes



2-Methylquinoline (1a) and benzaldehyde (2a)were chosen as the model substrates for this investigation, and the results were shown in Table 1. In our initial studies, we found the reaction proceeded in low efficiency under one organocatalyst or without catalyst (Table 1, entries 1-5). To our delight, the reaction yield improved dramatically to 95% in the presence of 20 mol % HOAc and 1,3-DMBBA (Table 1, entry 6). Encouraged by this result, we screened various Bronsted acids (TFA, HCl, HClO₄, TsOH), and found that the yields ranged from 68% to 84% (Table 1, entries 7-10). Then, other active methylene compounds (A2-A5) were used together with HOAc to catalyze this transformation. The results showed that 1,3-DMBBA was the most active catalyst for this reaction (Table 1, entries 11-14). Moreover, the yields decreased with the reduction of the catalyst or acid loading (Table 1, entries 15-16). When we used water as the single solvent, the yield was reduced to 25% (Table 1, entry 17). A gram-scale reaction was performed, and the yield was also satisfactory (Table 1, entry 18).



	+ Ph ⁰	talyst A (20 mol %) acid (20 mol %) dioxane (3 mL/0.3 r	nL)	
1a (0.5 mmol)	1a (0.5 mmol) 2a (0.5 mmol)		3aa	
A1	A2	A3	A4 A5	
Entry	Catalyst	Acid	Yield [%]	
Entry 1	Catalyst A1 (20)	Acid	Yield [%] 19	
Entry 1 2	Catalyst A1 (20)	Acid HOAc (20)	Yield [%] 19 0 14	
Entry 1 2 3	Catalyst A1 (20) 	Acid HOAc (20) TFA (20)	Yield [%] 19 14 16	
Entry 1 2 3 4	Catalyst A1 (20) 	Acid HOAc (20) TFA (20) TsOH (20)	Yield [%] 19 14 16 12	
Entry 1 2 3 4 5	Catalyst A1 (20) 	Acid HOAc (20) TFA (20) TsOH (20)	Yield [%] 19 14 16 12 trace	

7	A1 (20)	TFA (20)	76
8	A1 (20)	HCl (20)	74
9	A1 (20)	HClO ₄ (20)	68
10	A1 (20)	TsOH (20)	84
11	A2 (20)	HOAc (20)	13
12	A3 (20)	HOAc (20)	10
13	A4 (20)	HOAc (20)	20
14	A5 (20)	HOAc (20)	39
15	A1 (10)	HOAc (20)	65
16	A1 (20)	HOAc (10)	81
17 ^[b]	A1 (20)	HOAc (20)	25
18 ^[c]	A1 (20)	HOAc (20)	84

^[a] Reaction conditions: unless otherwise noted, all reactions were performed with **1a** (0.5 mmol), **2a** (0.5 mmol), catalyst (0-20 mol %), acid (0-20 mol %) in H₂O (3.Ω mL) and dioxane (0.3 mL) at 60 °C for 24 h. Isolated yield. ^[b] Water was used as the single solvent. ^[c] A gram-scale reaction was performed with **1a** (10 mmol), **2a** (10 mmol), 1,3-DMBBA (20 mol%), HOAc (20 mol%), H₂O (40.0 mL), dioxane (4.0 mL), at 60 °C for 24 h.

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we firstly studied the scope of methylazaarenes and the results were summarized in Scheme 2. Various 2methylquinolines bearing different substituents on the aromatic ring such as methyl, methoxyl, fluoro, chloro and bromo were transformed into the 2 alkenylated quinolines in good to excellent yields (Scheme 2, 3aa-3ja). When 1-methylisoquinoline was employed in the reaction, the corresponding product was obtained in 62% yield (Scheme 2, 3ka). In addition. 2-methylquinoxaline was a suitable substrate for this transformation, albeit in a low yield of desired product **3la**. However, the method was not suitable for 2-methylquinoline with strong electronwithdrawing groups (CF₃, NO₂). 2-Methyl pyridine derivatives were not compatible with the present system.

Scheme 2. Substrate scope of various methylazaarenes ^[a]



^[a] Reaction conditions: **1** (0.5 mmol), **2a** (0.5 mmol), 1,3-DMBBA (20 mol %), HOAc (20 mol %), H_2O (3.0 mL), dioxane (0.3 mL), at 60 °C for 24 h. Isolated yields.

The reaction scope with respect to aldehydes was examined (Scheme Substituted 3). next benzaldehydes bearing alkyl substituents at the para position provided the target products 3ab, 3ac and **3ad** in 84%, 93% and 93% yields, respectively. Halogen functional groups (F, Cl, Br, I) were well tolerated to give the corresponding products in good yields (Scheme 3, 3ae-3ah). Furthermore, strong electron-donating and electron-withdrawing groups at the para position could also be used to afford the desired products, with the yields ranged from 70% to 89% (Scheme 3, 3ai-3am, 3ar-3as). To our delight, some sensitive groups such as amide, ester, alkynyl and nitrile were all compatible in the present system (Scheme 3, 3an-3aq). In addition, this alkenylation protocol was also applied to ortho-, meta- and multisubstituted benzaldehydes affording the target products in good to excellent yields (Scheme 3, 3at-3az). Gratifyingly, other aromatic and heteromatic aldehydes including 2-naphthaldehyde, thiophene-2carboxaldehyde and 4-pyridinecarboxaldehyde were converted into corresponding products in acceptable yields (Scheme 3, 3az-1-3az-3). Finally, when aliphatic aldehydes were used as the substrates, moderate yields were generally obtained even with excessive aldehydes (Scheme 3, **3az-4-3az-6**).

Scheme 3. Substrate scope of various aldehydes [a]



^[a] Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.5 mmol), **2** (0.5 mmol), 1,3-DMBBA (20 mol %), HOAc (20 mol %), H_2O (3.0 mL), dioxane (0.3 mL), at 60 °C for 24 h. Isolated yields. ^[b]1 mmol aldehyde was used.

To gain some mechanistic information, we conducted several control experiments (Scheme 4). With the treatment of 1, 3-DMBBA and benzaldehyde in the presence of 20 mol% HOAc, the condensation product 4 was obtained in 79% yield. While the yield was 80% in the absence of HOAc [Eq. (1)]. Those results reveal that 4 may be an intermediate and its formation is not catalyzed by HOAc. It was found that 57% yield of 5 and 20% yield of **3aa** were obtained when the reaction was carried with 4 and 2-methylquinolines in the present of HOAc. While the yields of 5 and 3aa were obviously decreased when the same reaciton occurred in the absence of HOAc [Eq. (2)]. Those results suggested that HOAc played a key role in the Michael addition process. Furthermore, compound 5 could transform to product **3aa** under the standard reaction conditions [Eq. (3)], which indicated that compound 5 could probably be the intermediate of this transformation. On the basis of the results described above and literatures, a plausible mechanism was proposed. Firstly, 2-methylquinolines is isomerized into its more nucleophilic enamine isomer A by Brønsted acid-promoted.¹¹ At the same time, 2a and 1,3-DMBBA undergo Knoevenagel condensation to produce intermediate 4. The intermediate A proceeds through a Michael addition to intermediate 4 to form intermediate 5, which dissociates into 1,3-DMBBA and the product 3aa by a retro-Michael addition.

Scheme 4. Control experiments and Plausible reaction mechanism



In summary, we have successfully combined two organocatalysis strategies, in which the HOAc activated of 2-methylquinolines and 1,3-DMBBA condensated with aldehydes. The synergistic catalysis provided a novel concept to access various 2alkenylquinolines in good to excellent yields from cheap and commerically available starting materials. The attraction of those transformations included available materials, non-toxic catalysts, mild conditions, simple operation and good functional tolerance.

Experimental Section

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Product 3

2-methylquinoline **1** (0.5 mmol), aldehyde (0.5 mmol), HOAc (20 mol %), 1,3-DMBBA (20 mol %), H₂O (3.0 mL), dioxane (0.3 mL), were added to a 25 mL tube with magnetic stirrer bar. The reaction mixture was stirred at 60°C (oil bath temperature) for 24 h. After the reaction was finished (monitored by TLC), the mixture was cooled to room temperature, quenched with solution of NaHCO₃ (10 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3×10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and the solvent was removed under vacuum. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes) on silica gel.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (2017A030310546), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21702096), and the High-level Talent Introduction Foundation of Southern Medical University (C1033520) for financial support.

References

- For recent reviews, see e.g.: a) H. Guo, Y. C. Fan, Z. Sun, Y. Wu, O. Kwon, *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *118*, 10049-10293; b) Y. Qin, L. Zhu, S. Luo, *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 9433-19520; c) D. W. C. MacMillan, *Nature* **2008**, *455*, 304-308; d) A.-N. R. Alba, X. Companyó, R. Rios, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2010**, *39*, 2018-2033; e) G. Fiorani, W. Guo, A. W. Kleij, *Green Chem.* **2015**, *17*, 1375-1389.
- [2] For recent reviews, see e.g.: a) L. Omann, C. D. F. Königs, H. F. T. Klare, M. Oestreich, Acc. Chem. Res. 2017, 50, 1258-1269; b) P. Gandeepan, L. Ackermann. Chem 2018, 4, 1-24; c) J. Fu, X. Huo, B. Lia, W. Zhang, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2017, 15, 9747-9759; d) S. M. Inamdar, V. S. Shinde, N. T. Patil, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 8116-8162.
- [3] a) F. A. Cruz, V. M. Dong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 1029-1032; b) L. A. Leth, F. Glaus, M. Meazza, L. Fu, M. K. Thøgersen, E. A. Bitsch, K. A. Jørgensen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 15272-15276; c) S. Zhu, Q. Zhang, K. Chen, H. Jiang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 9414-9418; d) L. Wei, S.-M. Xu, Q. Zhu, C. Che, C.-J. Wang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 12312-12316; e) S. Yang, K.-H. Rui, X.-Y. Tang, Q. Xu, M. Shi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 5957-5964; f) M. Michalska, O. Songis, C. Taillier, S. P. Bew, V. Dalla, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 2040-2050; g) L. Wei, L. Xiao, C.-J. Wang, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2018, 360, 4715-4719; h) X. Chen, H. Zhao, C. Chen, H. Jiang, M. Zhang, Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 9087-9090.
- [4] a) Y. Ni, H. Zuo, H. Yu, Y. Wu, F. Zhong, Org. Lett. **2018**, 20, 5899-5904; b) J. Xu, X. Chen, M. Wang, P. Zheng, B.-A. Song, Y. R. Chi, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2015**, 54, 5161-5165.
- [5] a) M. L. Belley, S. Leger, M. Labelle, P. Roy, Y. B. Xiang, D. Guay, U.S. Patent Appl. US 5565473,1996; b) J. P. Michael, *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2005, 22, 627-646; c) X.-Q. Wang, C.-L. Xia, S.-B. Chen, J.-H. Tan, T.-M. Ou, S.-L. Huang, D. Li, L.-Q. Gu, Z.-S. Huang, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2015, 89, 349-361; d) W. Cieslik, R. Musiol, J. E. Nycz, J. Jampilek, M. Vejsova, M. Wolff, B. Machura, J. Polanski, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2012, 20 6960-6968; e) J. Polanski, F. Zouhiri, L. Jeanson, D. Desmaele, J. d'Angelo, J.-F. Mouscadet, R. Gieleciak, J. Gasteiger, M. L. Bret, *J. Med. Chem.* 2002, 45, 4647-4654; f) C.-H. Tseng, Y.-L. Chen, C.-Y. Hsu, T.-C. Chen, C.-M. Cheng, H.-C. Tso, Y.-J. Lu, C.-C. Tzeng, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2013, 59, 274-282.
- [6] a) S.-H. Kim, J.-H. Ryu, H.-J. Choi, H.-S. Kim, Dyes Pigments 2005, 64, 279-281; b) Y. Yue, M. Yu, L. Zhu, J. Chem. Res. 2009, 427-429.

- [7]M. A. Fakhfakh, X. Franck, A. Fournet, R. Hocquemiller, B. Figadère, *Synth. Commun.* 2002, 32, 2863-2875.
- [8] a) Z. Jamal, Y.-C. Teo, Synlett 2014, 25, 2049-2053; b)
 Z. Jamal, Y.-C. Teo, G. S. Lim, Tetrahedron 2016, 72, 2132-2138; c) S. Fu, L. Wang, H. Dong, J. Yu, L. Xu, J. Xiao, Tetrahedron Lett. 2016, 57, 4533-4536; d) D. Pi, K. Jiang, H. Zhou, Y. Sui, Y. Uozumi, K. Zou, RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 57875-57884; e) Y. Yan, K. Xu, Y. Fang, Z. Wang, J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 6849-6855.
- [9] a) Z. Zhang, C. Pi, H. Tong, X. Cui, Y. Wu, Org. Lett.
 2017, 19, 440-443; b) H. Xia, Y. Liu, P. Zhao, S. Gou, J. Wang, Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 1796-1799.
- [10] a) G. Zhang, T. Irrgang, T. Dietel, F. Kallmeier, R. Kempe, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 9131-9135; b)
 M. K. Barman, S. Waiba, B. Maji, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 9126-9130.
- [11] a) F.-F. Wang, C.-P. Luo, G. Deng, L. Yang, Green Chem. 2014, 16, 2428-2431; b) Z. Zhu, P. Bai, Z.-Z. Huang, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 4881-4883; c) H. Xie, Y. Liao, S. Chen, Y. Chen, G.-J. Deng, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 6944-6948; d) B. Qian, D. Shi, L. Yang, H. Huang, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 2146-2150; e) H. Xie, J. Cai, Z. Wang, H. Huang, G.-J. Deng, Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 2196-2199; f) F. Xiao, S. Chen, Y. Chen, H. Huang, G.-J. Deng, Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 652-654; g) H. Huang, Q. Wang, Z. Xu, G.-J. Deng, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2019, 361, 591-596; h) F. Xiao, C. Liu, S. Yuan, H. Huang, G.-J. Deng, J. Org. Chem. 2018, 83, 10420-10429.

UPDATE

Direct Alkenylation of 2-Methylquinolines with Aldehydes through Synergistic Catalysis of 1,3-Dimethylbarbituric Acid and HOAc

Adv. Synth. Catal. 2019, Volume, Page – Page

En Liang,^a Junqi Wang,^a Yinrong Wu,^a Liangbin Huang,^{b*} Xingang Yao^a and Xiaodong Tang^{a*}

HOAc R^1 synergistic catalysis