### Monocarbamates, Derived from (S)-2-(Dibenzylamino)butane-1,4-diol, and the Influence of the Second O-Protecting Group on the Regioselectivity of Deprotonation – Application to the Synthesis of the *Boletus* Toxin (2S,4S)- $\gamma$ -Hydroxy-norvaline

Martin Sendzik, Walter Guarnieri, Dieter Hoppe\*

Organisch-Chemisches Institut der Universität Münster, Corrensstraße 40, D-48149, Germany Fax +49(251)83 39772

Received 6 January 1998

Abstract: Differentially protected 1-O- and 4-O-monocarbamates, derived from (S)-2-(dibenzylamino)butane-1,4-diol are prepared and investigated with respect to their capability of being deprotonated and forming the corresponding lithium carbanions. In the 1-O-trityl and methyl 4-O-monocarbamates 6a and 6b the pro-S-4-H is removed by sec-butyllithium/(-)-sparteine with high diastereoselectivity. The 1-O-(2-methoxyethyl) 4-O-monocarbamate (23e) undergoes a highly selective, substrate-controlled abstraction of the pro-S-4-H without addition of any diamine. On the other hand, the 4-O-methyl 1-O-monocarbamate 7a reacts with sec-butyllithium in diethyl ether with essentially complete stereoselectivity and forms the bicyclic chelate 33 complex with (S)-configuration at the lithiated C-1 atom. Trapping by means of iodomethane, CO<sub>2</sub>, and other electrophiles proceed with complete stereoretention. The method is applied for the synthesis of Boletus toxin (2S,4S)- $\gamma$ -hydroxy-norvaline in the form of the lactone hydrochloride. Further, evidence was found that the 2-(dimethylamino) group in the 1-O-TBDMS 4-O-monocarbamate 16 induces a highly substrate-controlled deprotonation in the (4S)-position.

**Key words:** stereoselective lithiation, chiral aminodiols, directed lithiation, chiral carbanion, (–)-sparteine

### Introduction

In the preceding publication we reported on the highly regio- and diastereoselective lithiation of the enantiomerically pure dicarbamate 5.<sup>1</sup> By substrate-induced chiral induction, the *pro-S*-1-H is removed by deprotonation with *sec*-butyllithium, providing a synthetic equivalent for the chiral synthon **A**.

Synthon **B** could be approached from **5** after substitution of the *pro-S*-1-H by deuterium, via the abstraction of the *pro-S*-4-H by means of *sec*-butyllithium/(–)-sparteine (**22**).<sup>1–3</sup> For a more direct synthetic solution, a monocarbamate ester of type **6** is required, bearing a suitable protecting group PG at the 1-*O* atom which does not support lithiation at C-1. On the other hand, monocarbamate ester **7**, having the reverse protecting group pattern, may be useful too for preparing precursors of synthon **A**, in cases when the subsequent reactions require a differentiation between the 1- and 4-oxygen function.

In principle, the  $\omega$ -hydroxyalkyl carbamates **3** and **4**, which are intermediates in the preparation of dicarbamate **5** from diol  $1^{1, 4}$  are easily accessible by monoacylation with the oxazolidine-3-carbonyl chloride **2** (combined yield 90%, ratio 3:1), but chromatographic separation on a preparative scale turned out to be very cumbersome.

Several methods have been developed in order to differentiate the two carbonyl groups in (*S*)-aspartic acid.<sup>5</sup> Fur-





ther approaches utilize different (*S*)-amino acids with a four-carbon backbone such as (*S*)-methionine,  ${}^{5g, 6}$  (*S*)-asparagine<sup>7</sup> or the expensive<sup>8</sup> (*S*)-homoserine for starting materials.

Starting from diol **1**, the 4-*O*-TBDMS ether **8** serves as key intermediate, which is regioselectively formed.<sup>4b, 9</sup> Standard protecting group manipulations, followed by acylation of the corresponding alcohols by means of sodium hydride/2,2,6,6-tetramethyloxazolidine-3-carbonyl chloride (**2**, *Cby*Cl),<sup>10</sup> led to the 4-*O*-carbamates **3** and **6a–f** (Scheme 2, Table 1).



(a) TBDMSCl (1.2 equiv), Et<sub>3</sub>N (1.2 equiv); DMAP (50 mol%), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0°C, 10 min, then 40°C, 8 h; (b) Ph<sub>3</sub>CCl (1.2 equiv), Et<sub>3</sub>N (1.9 equiv), DMAP (4 mol%), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, r.t., 14 h; (c) For **8**  $\rightarrow$  **9d**: NaH (2.0 equiv), MeOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Br (3.2 equiv), Bu<sub>4</sub>NI (11 mol%), DMAP (2 mol%), THF, 65°C, 20 h; 45%. For **8**  $\rightarrow$  **9e**: MEMCl (1.2 equiv), iPr<sub>2</sub>NEt (1.2 equiv), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 40°C, 24 h; (d) TBAF (2.5 equiv), THF/Et<sub>2</sub>O, r.t., 14 h; **10a** (82%); **10d** (99%); **10e** (90% over two steps). (e) i. NaH (1.5 equiv), THF, r.t., 3 h; ii. + *Cby*Cl (2, 1.3 equiv), 65°C, 16 h; **6a** (92%); **6d** (88%); **6e** (72%); (f) TFA (21.5 equiv), MeOH/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -5°C, 0.5 h then r.t., 2 h; (g) For **3**  $\rightarrow$  **6b**: i. NaH (3.0 equiv), THF, r.t., 0.5 h; ii. + MeI (4.0 equiv), 65°C, 16 h; (95%). For **3**  $\rightarrow$  **6c**: MOMCl (20 equiv), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, r.t., 7 d; 97%. For **3**  $\rightarrow$  **6f**: TBDMSCl (1.2 equiv), Et<sub>3</sub>N (5 equiv), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, r.t., 10 h; 97%.

Table 1. Differentially Protected Monocarbamates 3, 4, 6, or 7 and In-termediates 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, or 16 Leading to Them

Product <sup>a</sup>	Substr (Schei	rate ne)	Yield (%)	mp <sup>b</sup> (°C)
3	6a	(2)	80–90	oil
	14	(3)	85	
4	7a	(5)	92	oil
6a	10a	(2)	92	66
6b	3	(2)	95	oil
6c	3	(2)	97	oil
6d	10d	(2)	88	oil
6e	10e	(2)	72	oil
6f	3	(2)	97	oil
7a	8	(5)	90	oil
7b	4	(5)	83	oil
8	1	(2)	55	oil
9a	8	(2)	91	oil
9d	8	(2)	45	oil
10a	9a	(2)	82	140
10d	9d	(2)	99	oil
10e	9e	(2)	90 <sup>c</sup>	oil
12 <sup>d</sup>	11	(3)	37	66
13	12	(3)	77	oil
14	13	(3)	92	oil
15	6f	(4)	84	oil
16	15	(4)	82	oil

 $^a$  Satisfactory elemental analyses were obtained (C  $\pm$  0.30, H  $\pm$  0.30, N  $\pm$  0.30).

From Et<sub>2</sub>O.

b

<sup>c</sup> Over two steps.

<sup>d</sup> Ref. 6.

The 1-*O*-unprotected monocarbamate **3** was also prepared by a shorter route, starting from (*S*)-methionine (**11**) via the (*S*)-*N*,*N*-dibenzylhomoserine lactone (**12**) (according to Reetz),<sup>6</sup> aminolysis to the *N*,*N*-dimethylamide **13**, carbamoylation of the  $\gamma$ -hydroxy group and deaminative reduction<sup>11</sup> of the carbamate **14** by means of lithium triethylborohydride (Scheme 3, Table 1).<sup>12</sup>



(a) BnBr (3.0 equiv),  $K_2CO_3$ , NaOH,  $H_2O/MeOH$ , reflux, 45 min; (b)  $Me_2NH$  (11.2 equiv), EtOH/BnOH, 0°C, 48 h; (c) i. NaH (1.5 equiv), THF, r.t., 3 h; ii. + *Cby*Cl (**2**, 1.5 equiv), 65°C, 16 h; (d) LiEt<sub>3</sub>BH (4.8 equiv), THF, 0°C; r.t., 12 h. Scheme 3

For the synthesis of the 3-(dimethylamino)butyl carbamate **16**, the *N*-benzyl groups in carbamate **6f** were removed by Pd-catalyzed transfer hydrogenolysis,<sup>13</sup> followed by reductive dimethylation, utilizing sodium cyanoborohydride as reacting agent (Scheme 4, Table 1).<sup>14</sup>



(a) cyclohexene (15 equiv), Pd/C (6 mol%), MeOH, reflux, 12 h; (b) i.  $H_2C=O/H_2O$  (5 equiv), NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (1.6 equiv), MeCN, r.t., 0.5 h; ii. HOAc.

Scheme 4

The 4-*O*-methyl- and 4-*O*-TBDMS-protected 1-*O*-carbamates **7a** and **7b**, respectively, and as well the free 1-alkanol **4**, are also conveniently prepared from the TBDMS ether **8** (Scheme 5, Table 1).



(a) i. NaH (1.2 equiv), THF, r.t., 2 h; ii. *Cby*Cl (**2**, 1.2 equiv), reflux, 16 h; (b) TBAF (2.5 equiv), THF, r.t., 40 min; (c) i. NaH (2.0 equiv), THF, r.t., 0.5 h; ii. + MeI (2.0 equiv), reflux, 15 h. **Scheme 5** 

The 1-*O*- and the 4-*O*-methyl derivatives **6b** and **7b** were also obtained by direct methylation of the sodium alkoxides of **3** and **4** (3:1) and could be separated on a small scale (1 g) by LC on silica gel.

### Deprotonation and Electrophilic Substitution of 4-O-Monocarbamates 6

The 4-*O*-monocarbamates **6a,b,d,e** under the usual conditions<sup>1</sup> were smoothly deprotonated by 1.6 equivalents of *sec*-butyllithium/(–)-sparteine **(22)** in diethyl ether (reaction at -78 °C, quenching the solution of the assumed lithium intermediate **17-22** by iodomethane or carbon dioxide (followed by conversion of the crude acids), afforded the diastereomerically pure 4-substitution prod-

ucts **18a,b,e** and **20a,b,d,e**, respectively in good yields (Scheme 6, Table 3). If the deprotonation is assisted by (–)-sparteine the intermediate **17·22** has the (4*S*)-configuration and the external electrophilic substitution at the sp<sup>3</sup>-C–Li bond will proceed with retention, since we never encountered an exception in an intermolecular reaction.<sup>3</sup> The correct configurational assignment was proven for the methylation product by its conversion into the known (2*S*,4*S*)-4-hydroxy-norvaline lactone hydrochloride **32** (see Scheme 10).



(a) *s*-BuLi (1.6 equiv), (–)-sparteine (**22**, 1.6 equiv), Et<sub>2</sub>O,  $-78 \,^{\circ}$ C, 5 h. (b) For **18**: MeI (1.6 equiv), 3 h at  $-78 \,^{\circ}$ C,  $\rightarrow$  r.t. For **19**: i. gaseous CO<sub>2</sub>; ii. after workup CH<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub> in Et<sub>2</sub>O. Scheme **6** 

The argument, outlined above, requires that no substratecatalyzed lithiation takes place. The 1-O-methyl and the 1-O-MOM derivative 6b and 6c did not react with secbutyllithium in diethyl ether (-78°C, 5 h) when (-)-sparteine was not present. This clearly demonstrates that neither these very donor-active y-alkoxy groups nor the  $\gamma$ -dibenzylamino group<sup>3c</sup> are capable of intervening in the deprotonation step. The 1-O-MEM ether 6e produced the (vinyloxymethyl) ether 24 via elimination of lithium methoxide (Scheme 7, Table 3). A smooth substrate-induced 4-deprotonation was achieved with the 1-(2-methoxyethyl) ether 6d. The tentative tricyclic chelate complex 23e, bearing four donor ligands for the lithium cation in optimal positions, obviously governs the structure of the transition state in the kinetically controlled deprotonation reaction. The diastereomerically pure ester 20d was

### Table 2a. Selected Data of Monocarbamates 3, 4, 6, or 7<sup>a</sup>

Compound	$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20 \mathrm{b}}$	IR (KBr/film) v (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	<sup>1</sup> H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) $\delta$ , J (Hz)	<sup>13</sup> C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) $\delta$ , J (Hz)
3	+2.5	3430, 1680	1.63 (m, 3-H); 2.12 (m, 3-H); 2.96 (m, 2-H); 3.51 (m, 2 H, 1-H); 3.54 (d, 2 H, ${}^{2}J$ = 13.3, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 4.12 (m, 2 H, 4-H)	24.31 (C-3); 52.34 (2C, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 55.10 (C- 2); 59.98 <sup>c</sup> ; 61.13 (C-1) <sup>c</sup>
4	-54.6	3440, 1680	2.05 (m, 2 H, 3-H); 3.12 (m, 2-H); 3.51 (m, 4 H, 4- H, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 3.89 (d, 2H, ${}^{2}J$ = 13.5, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 4.21 (dd, ${}^{2}J$ = 11.5, ${}^{3}J$ = 4.0 Hz, 1-H); 4.39 (dd, ${}^{3}J$ = 6.2)	31.15 (C-3); 54.04 (2C, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 56.48 (C- 2); 62.26 (C-1); 63.14 (C-4)
6a	-62.7	1690, 1095, 1070, 760, 750, 710, 700	1.84 (m, 2 H, 3-H); 3.14 (m, 2 H, 1-H, 2-H); 3.41 (d, 2 H, ${}^{2}J$ = 13.7, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 3.42 (m, 1-H); 3.73 (d, 2 H, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 4.12 (m, 4-H); 4.25 (m, 4-H)	29.00 (C-3); 54.06 (2C, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 54.47 (C- 2); 62.15 (C-1) <sup>c</sup> ; 62.35 (C-4) <sup>c</sup> ; 87.06 (CPh <sub>3</sub> )
6b	-51.6	2840, 1680	1.78 (m, 3-H); 1.89 (m, 3-H); 2.95 (m, 2-H); 3.34 (s, 3 H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.48 (m, 1-H); 3.62 (m, 3 H, 1-H, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 3.82 (d, 2 H, ${}^{2}J$ = 13.8, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 4.14 (m, 2 H, 4-H)	26.88 (C-3); 52.09 (C-2); 52.50 (2C, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 57.12 (OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 60.70 (C-1); 70.87 (C-4)
6с	-44.3	2915, 1688, 1095, 1065, 745, 698	1.82 (m, 3-H); 1.92 (m, 3-H); 2.97 (m, 2-H); 3.38 (s, 3 H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.59 (d, 2 H, ${}^{2}J$ = 13.8, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 3.61 (m, 1-H); 3.78 (dd, ${}^{2}J$ = 10.0, ${}^{3}J$ = 5.8, 1-H); 3.85 (d, 2 H, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 4.20 (t, 2 H, ${}^{3}J$ = 6.3, 4-H); 4.62 (s, 2 H, OCH <sub>2</sub> O)	28.80 (C-3); 53.88 (C-2); 54.24 (2C, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 55.33 (OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 62.36 (C-4) <sup>c</sup> ; 67.05 (C-1) <sup>c</sup> ; 96.57 (OCH <sub>2</sub> O)
6d	-35.7	2930, 1690, 1095, 1070, 745, 700	1.81 (m, 3-H); 1.89 (m, 3-H), 2.98 (m, 2-H); 3.39 (s, 3 H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.61 (d, 2 H, ${}^{2}J$ = 13.8, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 3.50–3.71 (m, 5 H; 1-H, OCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> O); 3.75 (dd, ${}^{2}J$ = 10.0, 1-H); 3.82 (d, 2 H, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 4.19 (t, 2 H, ${}^{3}J$ = 6.3, 4-H)	28.71 (C-3); 53.91 (C-2); 54.22 (2C, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 59.56 (OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 62.47 (C-4); 70.52, 71.10 (2C, OCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> O) <sup>c</sup> ; 72.11 (C-1) <sup>c</sup>
бе	-42.8	2910, 2850, 1680, 1085, 1060, 740, 690	1.80 (m, 3-H); 1.92 (m, 3-H); 2.97 (m, 2-H); 3.41 (s, 3 H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.55–3.75 (m, 5 H, 1-H, OCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> O); 3.69 (d, 2 H, ${}^{2}J$ = 13.8, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 3.81 (dd, ${}^{2}J$ = 10.0, ${}^{3}J$ = 5.3, 1-H); 3.84 (d, 2 H, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 4.19 (m, 2 H, 4-H); 4.72 (s, 2 H, OCH <sub>2</sub> O)	28.77 (C-3), 53.90 (C-2); 54.21 (2C, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 59.00 (OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 62.63 (C-4); 66.92, 67.18 (2C, OCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> O) <sup>c</sup> ; 71.80 (C-1) <sup>c</sup> , 95.62 (OCH <sub>2</sub> O)
6f	d	2980, 1690, 740, 695	0.09 (s, 6 H, SiCH <sub>3</sub> ); 0.91 [s, 9 H; C(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ]; 1.74 (m, 2 H, 3-H); 2.80 (m, 2-H); 3.64 (d, 2 H, ${}^{2}J$ = 13.8, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 3.73 (dd, ${}^{2}J$ = 10.2, ${}^{3}J$ = 10.2, ${}^{3}J$ = 5.5, 1-H); 3.82 (dd, ${}^{3}J$ = 6.4, 1-H); 3.84 (d, 2 H, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 4.19 (m, 2 H, 4-H)	-5.47 (2C, SiCH <sub>3</sub> ); 17.99 [ $C$ (CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ]; 25.67 [3C, C(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ]; 28.31 (C-3); 54.33 (2C, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 55.67 (C-2); 62.44 (2C, C-1, C-4)
7a	-14.4	1690, 1150	0.00 (s, 6 H; SiCH <sub>3</sub> ); 0.82 [s, 9 H, C(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ]; 1.20– 1.70 (m, 3-H) <sup>e</sup> ; 1.94 (m, 3-H); 3.05 (m, 2-H); 3.55– 3.88 (m, 6 H, 4-H, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 4.18 (dd, ${}^{2}J = 10.7, {}^{3}J = 5.4, 1$ -H); 4.28 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 5.9, 1$ -H)	-5.28 (2C, SiCH <sub>3</sub> ); 18.32 [ $C$ (CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ]; 25.39 [3C, C(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ]; 32.04 (C-3); 54.13 (2C, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 54.21 (C-2); 60.98 (C-1); 63.91 (C-4)
7b	-28.5	2840, 1680	1.71 (m, 3-H); 1.92 (m, 3-H); 3.08 (m, 2-H); 3.20 (s, 3 H; OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.35 (m, 4-H); 3.45 (m, 4-H); 3.72 (d, 2 H, $^{2}J = 13.4$ , NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 3.85 (d, 2 H, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 4.25 (m, 2 H, 1-H)	29.18 (C-3); 53.97 (2C, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 53.97 (C- 2); 58.47 (OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 63.76 (C-4); 70.27 (C-1)
16	-7.6	2090, 1690, 1095, 1065	0.05 (s, 6 H, SiCH <sub>3</sub> ); 0.89 [s, 9 H, C(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ]; 1.79 (m, 2 H, 3-H); 2.34 (s, 6 H, NCH <sub>3</sub> ); 2.64 (m, 2-H); 3.60 (dd, ${}^{2}J = 10.4$ , ${}^{3}J = 5.4$ , 1-H); 3.74 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 5.2$ , 1-H); 4.18 (t, 2 H, 4-H)	-5.52 (2C, SiCH <sub>3</sub> ); 18.15 [ $C$ (CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ]; 25.86 [3C, C(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ]; 27.72 (C-3); 41.41 (2C, NCH <sub>3</sub> ); 61.83 (C-2); 62.33 (C-1) <sup>c</sup> ; 62.67 (C-4) <sup>c</sup>
<sup>a</sup> NMR data o	of the Cby	group and the aro	matic ring are omitted. <sup>d</sup> Not determined.	

<sup>a</sup> NMR data of the *Cby* group and the aromatic ring are omitted. <sup>b</sup> c = 0.86-1.10 in CHCl<sub>3</sub>.

<sup>e</sup> As part of a multiplet.

<sup>c</sup> Assignment interchangeable.

obtained by carboxylation/esterification. Fortunately, the (-)-sparteine- and the substrate-induced stereoselectivities match and, consequently, the stereochemical outcome is not influenced by the 1-alkoxy group.

Well-chelating diamines, such as N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA), compete successfully with the intramolecular chelation. In these cases, only the steric bulk of the 2-dibenzylamino group causes a small direct-

Com-	$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20 \mathrm{b}}$	<sup>1</sup> H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) $\delta$ , J (Hz)				<sup>13</sup> C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) $\delta$ , $J$ (Hz)		
pound		1-H	2-Н	2-Н 3-Н		C-1/C-2	C-2	C-3
8	+ 43.4	3.53	2.96 (ddt, ${}^{3}J_{1a,2} =$ 3.5, ${}^{3}J_{1b,2} =$ 5.9)	1.46 (dddd, ${}^{2}J = 13.7$ ), 2.02	3.63	61.07/ 61.40 <sup>c</sup>	56.81	28.95
9a	-41.6	3.13 (dd, ${}^{2}J = 9.5$ , ${}^{3}J_{1,2} = 4.0$ ), 3.29 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{1,2} = 5.9$ )	3.01	1.65, 1.84	3.56	61.48/ 63.36 <sup>c</sup>	54.73	32.45
9d	-20.2	3.50-3.80 <sup>d</sup>	3.01	1.69, 1.90	3.50-3.80 <sup>d</sup>	61.57/ 70.63	53.95	32.32
10a	-98.0	3.55 (ddd, ${}^{3}J_{1,2} =$ 3.4), 3.73	3.10-3.21 <sup>d</sup>	1.58, 1.92	3.10–3.21 <sup>d</sup> , 3.40	62.14/ 62.44 <sup>c</sup>	53.35	31.03
10d	-80.5	3.40–3.78 <sup>d</sup> , 3.78 (dd, ${}^{2}J = 9.8$ , ${}^{3}J_{1,2} = 5.7$ )	3.09	1.53, 1.92	3.40-3.78 <sup>d</sup>	62.29/ 70.51	56.74	30.84
10e	-80.4	3.40–3.78 <sup>d</sup> , 3.85 (dd, ${}^{2}J = 10.0$ , ${}^{3}J_{1,2} = 5.5$ )	3.08	1.54, 1.93	3.40-3.78 <sup>d</sup>	62.29/ 67.01	56.62	30.71
12 <sup>e</sup>	-37.0	_	3.75 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{2,3} =$ 9.8)	2.28	4.08 (ddd, ${}^{2}J = 9.3$ , ${}^{3}J_{3,4} = 8.1$ ), 4.33 ( ${}^{3}J_{3a,4} = 3.6$ , ${}^{3}J_{3b,4} = 7.6$ )	175.88/ 65.21	57.74	24.89
13	+10.2	_	3.69-3.78 <sup>d</sup>	2.12	3.69–3.78 <sup>d</sup>	173.10/ 60.64	56.23	27.45
14	+12.3	_	3.76	2.22	4.09, 4.21	171.89/ 62.33	54.65	25.90
15	-3.1	3.41 (dd, ${}^{2}J = 9.8$ , ${}^{3}J_{1,2} = 6.8$ ), 3.57 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{1,2} = 4.5$ )	2.93	1.36–1.56 <sup>a</sup> , 1.81	4.23	68.27/ 61.73 <sup>c</sup>	50.11	33.46

Table 2b. Selected Data of Intermediates 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, or 16

<sup>a</sup> NMR data of the *Cby* group and the aromatic ring are omitted.

<sup>b</sup> c = 1.00 in CHCl<sub>3</sub>.

<sup>c</sup> Assignment interchangeable.



**20 d**, 44%, dr > 98:2

(a) *s*-BuLi (1.6 equiv), Et<sub>2</sub>O, -78 °C, 5 h. (b) MeI (1.6 equiv), 3 h at -78 °C,  $\rightarrow$  r.t. (c) i. gaseous CO<sub>2</sub>; ii. after workup CH<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub> in Et<sub>2</sub>O. Scheme 7

<sup>d</sup> As part of a multiplet. <sup>e</sup> Ref. b.

ing effect in the diastereotopic selection: when the deprotonations of **6a,b,e** were carried out in the presence of TMEDA, inseparable mixtures of the epimeric carboxylic esters **20a,b,e** and **21a,b,e** were isolated (yields 68–89%, dr 75–80:25–20, see Table 3).

In earlier work we experienced that  $\gamma$ -dimethylamino groups are powerful ligands in alkyl carbamate lithiation, which prevail over (–)-sparteine.<sup>15</sup> Since the  $\gamma$ -dimethylamino group in the 4-monocarbamate **16** is attached to a stereogenic center, a high stereodirecting power is expected to operate. Indeed, *sec*-butyllithium in diethyl ether (5 h at –78 °C) and the subsequent trapping reaction furnished the diastereomerically pure carboxylic ester **27**, arising from the formal substitution of the *pro-S*-4-H, with 52% yield (Scheme 8, Table 2). The yield increased to 92% when (–)-sparteine was used as an additive. These experiments demonstrate that the bicyclic chelate complex **25** is formed with a very good selectivity. Presumably, the (–)-sparteine addition opens an additional depro-

#### 1292 Papers

Table 3.	Substitution	Products via	Lithiation	of Functionalized	4-Q-Monocarbamates
----------	--------------	--------------	------------	-------------------	--------------------

Product <sup>a</sup>	Substrate (Scheme)	R	El ElX	Yield (%) ( <i>dr</i> ) Diamine			mp <sup>b</sup>	
					(–)-Sparteine (Method 1)	TMEDA (Method 2)	Without (Method 3)	(°C)
18a	<b>6a</b> (6)	Ph <sub>2</sub> C	CH <sub>2</sub>	CH-I	91°	d	h	49.5
18b	<b>6b</b> (6)	Me	CH <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub> I	84 <sup>c</sup>	85 (85 : 15)	h	oil
18e	<b>6e</b> (6, 7)	MEM	CH <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub> I	63 <sup>c</sup>	68 (74 : 26)	f	oil
[ <b>18</b> g] <sup>e</sup>			5	5	[25] <sup>c,e</sup>	· · · · ·		[oil] <sup>e</sup>
20a	<b>6a</b> (6)	Ph <sub>2</sub> C	CO <sub>2</sub> Me	$CO_2^g$	90°	85 (77 : 23)	h	57.5
20b	<b>6b</b> (6)	Me	CO <sub>2</sub> Me	$CO_2^{jg}$	90 <sup>c</sup>	89 (82 : 18)	h	oil
20d	6d (6, 7)	MeOCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub> Me	$CO_2^{jg}$	59 <sup>c</sup>	d	$44 (\geq 28 : 2)$	oil
20e	<b>6e</b> (6, 7)	MEM	CO <sub>2</sub> Me	$CO_2^{jg}$	69 <sup>c</sup>	76 (71 : 29)	d	oil
27	16 (8)	TBDMS	$CO_2^2Me$	ClCO <sub>2</sub> Me	92 <sup>c</sup>	ď	52 (≥98 : 2)	oil

 $^a$  Satisfactory elemental analyses were obtained (C  $\pm$  0.30, H  $\pm$  0.29, N  $\pm$  0.29).

<sup>b</sup> From  $Et_2O$ .

<sup>c</sup> dr  $\ge 98.2$ .

<sup>d</sup> Not carried out.



**27**, 52%, *dr* > 98 : 2

(a) *s*-BuLi (2.0 equiv), Et<sub>2</sub>O, -78 °C, 5 h. (b) *s*-BuLi (2.0 equiv), (–)-sparteine (**22**, 2.0 equiv), Et<sub>2</sub>O, -78 °C, 5 h. (c) ClCO<sub>2</sub>Me (3.0 equiv). **Scheme 8** 

tonation pathway via the complex **26-22**, as it was observed in a related case.<sup>15, 16</sup>

# Conversion of 18a into $(2S,4S)-\gamma$ -Hydroxy-norvaline Lactone Hydrochloride (31)

(2S,4S)- $\gamma$ -Hydroxy-norvaline (**32**) has been isolated from the fruit bodies of *Boletus satanas Lenz*.<sup>17</sup> Several syntheses are known for other diastereomers of  $\gamma$ -hydroxy-norvaline, but only one in the form of lactone **32** or its hydrochloride **31**.<sup>18-20</sup> The methylation products **18** already <sup>e</sup> Substituted elimination product.

Only elimination product 24.

<sup>g</sup> The crude acid was converted into the methyl ester by treatment with diazomethane.

<sup>h</sup> No deprotonation achieved ( $\geq 98: 2$ ).

have the correct array of **32** except for the oxidation state at C-1 (Scheme 9). The 1-*O*-trityl derivative **18a** was deprotected (TFA in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH)<sup>21</sup> to give the alcohol **28** (yield 79%) or, under more forced conditions (5 N aq HCl in THF), to yield the diol **29** in 86% yield. Oxidation of **29** by tris(triphenylphosphine)ruthenium(II) dichloride/sodium carbonate<sup>22</sup> furnished the dibenzylamino- $\gamma$ lactone **30** (yield 39%), which was debenzylated by transfer hydrogenolysis,<sup>13</sup> to give the amino lactone, isolated in the form of the hydrochloride **31**.<sup>23</sup> Its mp and the specific optical rotation are in good agreement with the published data.<sup>20</sup>



### Deprotonation of 4-O-Protected 1-O-Monocarbamates 7

Can selective deprotonations be achieved also at orthogonally protected 1-*O*-carbamates, derived from 2-aminobutane-1,4-diol?

The 4-O-TBDMS ether **7a**, under the usual conditions (1.6 equiv *sec*-butyllithium, Et<sub>2</sub>O, 5 h at -78 °C), reacted neither in the presence of (–)-sparteine nor in the absence of diamines (Scheme 10). Good substrate-induced stereo-selectivity was achieved with the 4-O-methyl ether **7b**. The intermediate lithium derivative, presumably the bischelate **33**, could be trapped by several electrophiles to yield the diastereomerically pure substitution products **34a–e** (Scheme 10, Table 4). It is expected, that this reaction course is followed, independent of the 4-alkoxy group, as long as the Lewis basicity of the 4-oxygen atom is not diminished by an electron-withdrawing or a bulky group. Thus, this method can be a useful extension of the dicarbamate deprotonation.<sup>1</sup>



(a) *s*-BuLi (1.6 equiv), Et<sub>2</sub>O,  $-78^{\circ}$ C, 5 h. (b) *s*-BuLi (1.6 equiv), (-)-sparteine (22, 1.6 equiv), Et<sub>2</sub>O,  $-78^{\circ}$ C, 5 h. (c) + ElX (1.6 equiv), 3 h at  $-78^{\circ}$ C,  $\rightarrow$  r.t.

### Scheme 10

 Table 4. Substitution Products 33 via Lithiation of Functionalized 1 

 *O*-Monocarbamate 7a (Scheme 10)

Product <b>34</b> <sup>a,b</sup>	El	ElX	Yield (%)	mp (°C)
a	$CO_2Me$	$CO_2^{c}$ $ClSiMe_3$ $(CH_3)_2C=O$ $C_2H_5(=O)Cl$	86	oil
b	SiMe <sub>3</sub>		65	oil
c	(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> COH		64	oil
d	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> (=O)		64	oil

<sup>a</sup> All products with  $ds \ge 98: 2$ .

 $^{b}$  Satisfactory elemental analyses were obtained (C  $\pm$  0.30, H  $\pm$  0.29, N  $\pm$  0.29).

<sup>c</sup> The crude acid was converted into the methyl ester by treatment with diazomethane.

<sup>d</sup> (E)-CH<sub>3</sub>CH=CHC(=O).

<sup>e</sup> (*E*)-CH<sub>3</sub>CH=CHC(=O)Cl.

In conclusion, by selecting the *O*- and *N*-protecting groups properly, efficient routes to equivalents of the synthons **A** and **B** are easily achieved.

All reactions were carried out under argon. All solvents were purified by distillation and dried, if necessary, prior to use. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker WM 300, AM 360 or U 600 spectrometers. Optical rotations were recorded on a Perkin–Elmer polarimeter 241. Mps were obtained on a Gallenkamp melting point apparatus MFB-595 and are uncorrected. Products were purified by flash-column chromatography on silica gel (40–63 µm). (+)-(*S*)-2-(*Dibenzylamino*)*butane-1,4-diol* (1) was preparing according to ref. 1 and 4.

### (+)-(S)-4-(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-2-(dibenzylamino)butan-1ol (8):<sup>4b,9</sup>

A solution of Et<sub>3</sub>N (1.21 g, 12.0 mmol), DMAP (0.61 g, 5.00 mmol), and TBDMSCl (1.76 g, 12.0 mmol), dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (60 mL), was added to diol **1** in anhyd  $CH_2Cl_2$  (40 mL). The mixture was refluxed for 8 h. After cooling to r.t., water (50 mL) was added, the organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 × 50 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane 1:4) to yield the silyl ether **8** (2.20 g, 55%) as a colorless oil;

 $R_{\rm f} 0.39$  (Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane 1:2);  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20}$  +39.7 (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) [ref.<sup>9</sup>  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{23}$  +43 (c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)].

## (-)-(S)-4-(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-2-(dibenzylamino)-1-(triphenylmethoxy)butane (9a):

To a solution of **8** (7.71 g, 19.3 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (150 mL) was added Et<sub>3</sub>N (3.65 g, 36.1 mmol) and subsequently trityl chloride (6.46 g, 23.2 mmol) and DMAP (0.10 g, 0.80 mmol), dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL). After stirring for 14 h at r.t., water (50 mL) was added. The CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> layer was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 × 40 mL). The collected extracts were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and the solvents were evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane 1:3) to yield pure **9a** (9.36 g, 91%) as a viscous oil. (Data see Table 2b.)

## (-)-(*S*)-4-(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-2-(dibenzylamino)-1-(2-methoxyethoxy)butane (9d):

A solution of **8** (7.42 g, 18.6 mmol) in anhyd THF (40 mL) was added dropwise to a suspension of NaH (1.48 g, 37.1 mmol, 60% in mineral oil) in THF (10 mL). The mixture was stirred at r.t for 4 h, before 2-bromoethyl methyl ether (7.74 g, 60.0 mmol), Bu<sub>4</sub>NI (0.69 g, 2.00 mmol), and DMAP (40 mg, 0.33 mmol) were added. After heating under reflux for 20 h and cooling to r.t., water (15 mL) was poured carefully into the mixture. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous solution extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 × 25 mL). The collected extracts were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and the solvents evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane 1:6) to yield pure **9d** (3.29 g, 44%) as a viscous oil and **8** (3.48g, 45%).(Data see Table 2b.)

## (-)-(S)-3-(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-3-(dibenzylamino)-4-(2-methoxyethoxymethoxy)butane (9e):

To a solution of **8** (16.24 g, 40.6 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (50 mL) were added MEMCl (7.58 g, 60.9 mmol) and  $iPr_2NEt$  (7.87 g, 60.9 mmol). After heating under reflux for 24 h and cooling to r.t., water (15 mL) was added. The  $CH_2Cl_2$  layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2 × 40 mL). The collected extracts were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>). After removal of the solvent in vacuo, the residue was used without purification.

Downloaded by: University of Illinois at Chicago. Copyrighted material.

Table 5. Selected Data of Substituted Monocarbamates 18, 19, 27, 33<sup>a</sup>

Com- pound	$[a]_{\rm D}^{20{\rm b}}$	IR (KBr/film) v (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	<sup>1</sup> H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) $\delta$ , <i>J</i> (Hz)	<sup>13</sup> C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) $\delta$ , <i>J</i> (Hz)
<b>18</b> a	+37.8	2960, 1730, 745, 695	0.94 (d, 3 H, ${}^{3}J_{4,5} = 6.0, 5$ -H); 1.56 (m, 3-H); 1.98 (m, 3-H); 2.97 (m, 2-H); 3.24 (dd, ${}^{2}J = 9.5, {}^{3}J_{1,2} = 5.3, 1$ -H); 3.38 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{1,2} = 5.5, 1$ -H); 3.41 (d, 2 H, ${}^{2}J = 13.7, NCH_{2}Ph$ ); 3.73 (d, 2 H, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 5.02 (m, 4-H)	19.84 (C-5); 36.41 (C-3); 54.15 (2C, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 54.39 (C-2); 62.51 (C-1); 67.08 (C-4); 87.13 (CPh <sub>3</sub> )
18b	-12.6	2840, 1690	0.92 (d, 3 H, 5-H); 1.82 (m, 2 H, 3-H); 2.90 (m, 2-H); 3.28 [3.24] <sup>c</sup> (s, 3 H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.48 (m, 2 H, 1-H); 3.62 (m, 2 H, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 3.82 (d, 2H, ${}^{2}J$ = 13.8, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 5.12 (m, 4-H)	20.21 (C-5); 26.88 (C-3); 52.09 (C-2); 52.50 (2C, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 57.12 (OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 65.83 (C-1); 68.78 (C-4)
18e	-15.3	2970, 2930, 2870, 1685, 1050, 1090, 765, 748, 730, 700	0.97 (d, 3 H, ${}^{3}J_{4,5} = 6.2, 5$ -H); 1.63 (m, 3-H); 1.98 (m, 3-H); 2.90 [2.96] <sup>c</sup> (m, 2-H); 3.41 (s, 3 H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.55–3.85 (m, 6 H; OCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> O, 1-H); 3.57 (d, 2 H, ${}^{2}J = 13.6, NCH_{2}Ph$ ); 3.83 (d, 2 H, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 4.74 [4.70] <sup>c</sup> (s, 2 H, OCH <sub>2</sub> O); 5.08 (m, 4-H)	$\begin{array}{l} 19.90 \ [20.93]^c \ (C{\text{-}}5); \ 35.88 \ [36.57]^c \ (C{\text{-}}3); \\ 53.54 \ (C{\text{-}}2); \ 54.22 \ [54.49]^c \ (2C, \ NCH_2 Ph); \\ 59.03 \ (OCH_3); \ 66.98, \ 67.34 \ (2{\text{-}}C), \\ OCH_2 CH_2)^d; \ 68.97 \ (C{\text{-}}4); \ 71.84 \ (C{\text{-}}1)^d; \\ 95.78 \ (OCH_2 O) \end{array}$
18g	-17.1	2970, 2890, 1700, 1065, 750, 700	0.97 (d, 3 H, ${}^{3}J_{1,2} = 6.2$ , 5-H); 1.65 (m, 3-H); 1.96 (m, 3-H); 2.90 (m, 2-H); 3.56 (d, 2 H, ${}^{2}J = 13.6$ , NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 3.72–3.87 (m, 2 H, 1-H); 3.81 (d, 2 H, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 4.18 (dd, ${}^{2}J = 1.7$ , ${}^{3}J = 6.6$ , OCHCH <sub>2</sub> ); 4.52 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 14.1$ , OCHCH <sub>2</sub> ); 4.92 (s, 2 H, OCH <sub>2</sub> O); 5.06 (m, 4-H); 6.43 (dd, OCHCH <sub>2</sub> )	14.06 (C-5); 35.84 (C-3); 53.37 (C-2); 54.19 (2C, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 67.81 (C-1); 68.87 (C-4); 91.05, 94.25 (2C, OCH <sub>2</sub> O, OCHCH <sub>2</sub> ); 149.65 (OCHCH <sub>2</sub> )
20a	-43.8	2950, 1750, 1090, 1070, 765, 745, 700, 705	2.06 (m, 3-H); 2.13 (m, 3-H); 3.23 (m, 4-H); 3.35 (m, 2 H, 5-H); 3.53 (d, ${}^{2}J$ = 14.1, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 3.65 [3.72] <sup>c</sup> (s, 3 H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.69 (d, 2 H, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 4.97 [5.32, d] <sup>c</sup> (dd, ${}^{3}J_{2,3a}$ = 5.0, ${}^{3}J_{2,3b}$ = 9.3 [10.7] <sup>c</sup> 2-H)	31.45 (C-3); 51.97 (OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 54.36 [53.76] <sup>c</sup> (C-4); 54.53 (2C, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 62.21 (C-5); 70.59 [70.01] <sup>c</sup> (C-2); 86.94 (Ph <sub>3</sub> C); 171.74 [172.53] <sup>c</sup> (C-1)
20b	-4.3	2840, 1730, 1680	1.84 (m, 3-H); 1.92 (m, 3-H); 3.05 (m, 4-H); 3.34 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.56 (s, 3 H; COOCH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.62 (m, 4 H, 5-H, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 3.87 (d, 2 H, ${}^{2}J = 13.8$ , NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 5.14 [5.31] <sup>c</sup> (dd, ${}^{3}J_{2,3a} = 5.1$ , ${}^{3}J_{2,3b} = 6.5$ , 2-H)	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
20d	-22.7	2890, 1750, 1700, 1095, 1070, 745, 700	2.04 (m, 3-H); 2.19 (m, 3-H); 3.10 (m, 4-H); 3.38 (s, 3 H; OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.51–3.79 (m, 8 H, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph, 5-H, OCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> ); 3.65 (s, 3 H, COOCH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.73 (d, 2 H, ${}^{2}J$ = 14.3, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 5.13 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{2,3a}$ = 4.6, ${}^{3}J_{2,3a}$ = 9.4, 2-H)	31.10 (C-3); 51.95 (COOCH <sub>3</sub> ); 53.58 (C-4); 54.52 (2C, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 59.01 (OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 70.43 (C-2); 70.49, 70.73, 72.05 (3C, C-5, OCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> ); 171.72 (C-1)
20e	-20.6	2920, 2880, 1750, 1695, 1095, 1070, 750, 700	$\begin{array}{l} 2.07 \ [1.86]^{\rm c} \ ({\rm m}, 3 {\rm -H}); \ 2.22 \ ({\rm m}, 3 {\rm -H}); \ 3.11 \ [2.97]^{\rm c} \ ({\rm m}, 4 {\rm -H}); \\ 3.40 \ [3.42]^{\rm c} \ ({\rm s}, 3 \ {\rm H}, {\rm COOCH}_3); \ 3.66 \ [3.72] \ ({\rm s}, 3 \ {\rm H}, {\rm OCH}_3); \\ 3.67 \ \ ({\rm d}, \ ^2J \ = \ 12.9, \ {\rm NCH}_2 {\rm Ph}); \ 3.78 \ \ [3.91]^{\rm c} \ \ ({\rm d}, \ 2 \ {\rm H}; \\ {\rm NCH}_2 {\rm Ph}); \ 3.53 \ -3.85 \ \ ({\rm m}, 6 \ {\rm H}, \ 5 {\rm -H}, \ {\rm OCH}_2 {\rm CH}_2); \ 4.73 \ \ [4.72, \ {\rm s}]^{\rm c} \ \ ({\rm d}, \ 2 \ {\rm H}, \ {\rm OCH}_2 {\rm O}); \ 5.13 \ \ [5.31, \ {\rm d}]^{\rm c}; \ {\rm dd}, \ \ ^3J_{2,3a} \ = \ 4.8, \ \ ^3J_{2,3b} \ = \ 9.5 \ \ [10.7]^{\rm c} \ 2 {\rm -H}) \end{array}$	31.00 [31.81] <sup>c</sup> (C-3); 51.96 (COOCH <sub>3</sub> ); 53.50 [53.04] <sup>c</sup> (C-4); 54.52 [54.04] <sup>c</sup> (2C, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 59.00 (OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 67.02 [66.27] <sup>c</sup> (2C, OCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>d</sup> ; 70.30 [69.92] <sup>c</sup> (C-2); 71.80 (C-5) <sup>d</sup> ; 95.70 (OCH <sub>2</sub> ); 171.64 (C-1)
27	-21.6	2915, 2900, 2865, 1745, 1690, 1075, 1045	0.61 (s, s, 6 H, SiCH <sub>3</sub> ); 0.89 [s, 9 H, C(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ]; 2.03 (m, 2 H, 3-H); 2.31 (s, 6 H, NCH <sub>3</sub> ), 2.82 (m, 4-H); 3.50–3.85 (m, 5 H, OCH <sub>3</sub> , 5-H); 5.18 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{2,3a}$ = 4.5, ${}^{3}J_{2,3b}$ = 6.0, 2-H)	$\begin{array}{l} -5.56 \ (2C, \ OSiCH_3); \ 18.12 \ [C(CH_3)_3]; \ 25.84 \\ [3C, \ C(CH_3)_3]; \ 30.09 \ (C-3); \ 41.03 \ (2C, \ NCH_3); \ 51.58 \ (OCH_3); \ 60.57 \ (C-4); \ 59.78- \\ 61.66 \ (C-5)^e; \ 70.21 \ (C-2); \ 175.75 \ (C-1) \end{array}$
34a	-27.3	2840, 1700, 1690	1.85 (m, 2 H, 4-H); 2.19 (m, 3-H); 3.30 (s, 3 H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.35–3.50 (m, 5 H, 3-H, 5-H, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 3.53 (s, 3 H, COOCH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.90 (m, 2 H, ${}^{2}J$ = 13.2, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 5.35 (d, ${}^{3}J_{2,3}$ = 4.3, 2-H)	24.29 (C-4); 51.76 (OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 54.87 (2C, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 55.77 (C-3); 58.67 (COOCH <sub>3</sub> ); 69.92 (C-5); 75.02 (C-2); 170.11 (C-1)
34b	-27.1	2820, 1670	0.00 (s, 9 H, SiCH <sub>3</sub> ); 1.28–1.85 (m, 3-H) <sup>e</sup> ; 3.15 (s, 3 H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.18–3.80 (m, 7-H, 2-H, 4-H, 5-H, ${}^{2}J$ = 13.9, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 4.97 (d, ${}^{3}J_{1,2}$ = 6.9, 1-H)	0.00 (3C, SiCH <sub>3</sub> ); 29.82 (C-3); 56.28 (2C, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 59.43 (C-2); 60.01 (OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 72.21 (C-4); 72.85 (C-1)
34c	+1.8	3420, 2830, 1710	0.40, 1.03 [s, s, 6 H, C(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ]; 1.75 (m, 2 H, 2-H); 2.18 (m, 3-H); 3.40 (s, 3 H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.42–3.75 (m, 6 H, 1-H, ${}^{2}J$ = 13.2, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 4.61 (OH); 4.86 (d, ${}^{3}J_{3,4}$ = 3.1, 4-H)	23.51 (C-2); 26.39, 26.68 [2C, $C(CH_3)_2$ ]; 54.87 (2C, $NCH_2Ph$ ); 55.05 (C-3); 59.05 ( $OCH_3$ ); 69.81 (C-1); 74.09 (C-5); 76.99 (C-4)
34d	-51.2	2830, 1730, 1690	0.93 (t, 3 H, ${}^{3}J_{1,2} = 7.2$ , 1-H); 1.91 (m, 6-H); 2.18 (m, 6-H); 3.37 (s, 3 H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.31–3.71 (m, 6 H, 2-H, ${}^{2}J = 13.5$ , NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 3.95 (m, 2 H, 7-H); 5.35 (d, ${}^{3}J_{4,5} = 5.0$ , 4-H)	7.01 (C-1); 23.12 (C-2); 31.64 (C-6); 54.52 (2C, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 54.86 (C-5); 58.77 (OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 69.79 (C-7); 80.16 (C-4); 205.96 (C-3)
34e	-51.2	2830, 1730, 1690	0.93 (t, 3 H, ${}^{3}J_{1,2} = 7.2$ , 1-H); 1.91 (m, 6-H); 2.18 (m, 6-H); 3.37 (s, 3 H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.31–3.71 (m, 6 H, 2-H, ${}^{2}J = 13.5$ , NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 3.95 (m, 2 H, 7-H); 5.35 (d, ${}^{3}J_{4,5} = 5.0$ , 4-H)	7.01 (C-1); 23.12 (C-2); 31.64 (C-6); 54.52 (2C, NCH <sub>2</sub> Ph); 54.86 (C-5); 58.77 (OCH <sub>3</sub> ); 69.79 (C-7); 80.16 (C-4); 205.96 (C-3)

<sup>a</sup> NMR data of the *Cby* group and the aromatic ring are omitted. <sup>b</sup> c = 0.96-1.02 in CHCl<sub>3</sub>, only **18a**: c = 0.36. <sup>c</sup> Spectroscopic data of the minor diastereomer.

<sup>d</sup> Assignment interchangeable. <sup>e</sup> As a part of a overlay of signals.

#### September 1998

### Desilylation of Silyl Ethers 7a, 9a,d,e; Preparation of the Alcohols 4, 10a,d,e; General Procedure:

To a solution of the TBDMS ether **7** or **9** (5.0 mmol) in  $Et_2O$  was added 1.0 M TBAF in THF (12.5 mL, 12.5 mmol). After stirring at r.t. for 14 h, water (20 mL) was added. Stirring was continued for 0.5 h, and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with  $Et_2O$  (3 × 25 mL), the combined solutions were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel,  $Et_2O$ /pentane 1:1) to yield the pure alcohol **4** (92%), **10a** (82%), **10d** (99%), or **10e** (90%, over two steps). (Data see Table 1a, for **4**, and Table 2b.)

Carbamoylation of the Alcohols 1, 8, 10a,d,e, 13; Preparation of the Monocarbamates 3, 4, 7a, 6a,d,e, and 14; General Procedure: A solution of the alcohol (60 mmol) in anhyd THF (30 mL) was added dropwise to a suspension of NaH (3.60 g, 90 mmol, 60% in mineral oil) in THF (60 mL). The mixture was stirred for 3 h at r.t., before 2,2,4,4-tetramethyloxazolidine-3-carbonyl chloride<sup>10</sup> (CbyCl, 2, 14.98 g, 78 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was added. After heating under reflux for 16 h and cooling to r.t., water (50 mL) and Et<sub>2</sub>O (50 mL) were added carefully to the mixture. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous solution extracted with  $Et_2O$  (3 × 50 mL). The collected extracts were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>). The solvents were evaporated in vacuo and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane 1:1) to yield pure carbamates 7a (90%), 6a (92%), **6d** (88%), **6e** (72%), or **14**<sup>12</sup> (92%).(Data see Table 2a, for **14** see Table 2b.) For carbamoylation of 1 (1.43 g, 5.0 mmol) in THF (10 mL): With NaH (3.60 g, 90 mmol, 80% in mineral oil) in THF (10 mL) and CbyCl (2, 1.15 g, 6.0 mmol) in THF (5 mL). Chromatography (silica gel, Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane 1:5) afforded a 1:3 mixture of 3 and 4 (1.98 g, 90%) with partial separation.

### Detritylation of 6a, 18a; Preparation of the Alcohols 3, 28; General Procedure:

To a solution of the trityl ether **6a** (4.22 g, 6.18 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (7 mL) and MeOH (7 mL) at -5 °C TFA (10.2 mL, 133 mmol) was added dropwise.<sup>21</sup> After stirring the yellow mixture at -5 °C for 0.5 h and 2 h at r.t. water (15 mL) was added. Sat.  $K_2CO_3$  was added carefully to the mixture until the formation of gas stopped. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous solution extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 × 25 mL). The collected extracts were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>). The solvents were evaporated in vacuo and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane 1:2  $\rightarrow$  1:0) to yield pure alcohol **3** (2.28 g, 84%) as a colorless oil. (Data see Table 2a.)

### (+)-(2*S*,4*S*)-4-(Dibenzylamino)-5-hydroxy-1-methylbutyl 2,2,4,4-Tetramethyloxazolidine-3-carboxylate (28):

From **18a** (166 mg, 0.24 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH (1 mL/1 mL); yield: 86 mg (79%); colorless solid; mp 124 °C;  $R_{\rm f}$  0.23 (Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane 1:1); [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>20</sup> +37.8 (c = 0.36, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

IR (film): v = 3400 (OH), 1730 (C=O), 750, 695 cm<sup>-1</sup> (arom).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta$  = 1.13–1.57 (m, 12H, *Cby*-CH<sub>3</sub>); 1.23 (d, 3H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>1,2</sub> = 6.2 Hz, 5-H); 2.10 (m, 3-H); 2.97 (m, 3-H); 3.07 (m, OH); 3.51 (d, 2H, <sup>2</sup>J = 13.6 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph); 3.40–3.75 (m, 3H, 1-H, 2-H); 3.67, 3.68 (s, s, 2H, *Cby*-CH<sub>2</sub>); 3.81 (d, 2H, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph); 3.97 (m, 4-H); 7.17–7.37 (m, 10H, Ph).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz):  $\delta$  = 20.90 (C-5); 23.97, 24.20, 25.17, 25.35, 25.60, 26.53, 26.90 (4C, *Cby*-CH<sub>3</sub>); 32.86 (C-3); 53.48 (2C, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph); 55.87 (C-4); 59.52, 60.61 [NC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>]; 61.42 (C-1); 68.61 (C-2); 76.03, 76.27 (*Cby*-CH<sub>2</sub>); 94.60, 95.87 [OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N]; 127.15, 127.81, 128.45, 128.83, 129.30, 139.27 (12C, Ph); 152.46, 153.11 (C=O).

Anal. Calcd for  $C_{27}H_{38}N_2O_4$  (454.61): C, 71.34; H, 8.43. Found: C, 71.66; H, 8.51.

### Etherification of 3, 4; Preparation of Methyl Ether 6b, 7b; General Procedure:

A solution of **3** or **4** (441 mg, 1.0 mmol) in anhyd THF (5 mL) was added dropwise to a suspension of NaH (120 mg, 3.0 mmol, 60% in mineral oil) in THF (5 mL). The mixture was stirred at r.t for 0.5 h, before MeI (568 mg, 4.0 mmol) was added. After heating under reflux for 16 h and cooling to r.t., water (10 mL) was introduced carefully to the mixture. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous solution extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 × 20 mL). The collected extracts were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and the solvents evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane 1:1) to yield the pure methyl ether **6b** (432 mg, 95%) or **7b** (380 mg, 85%). (Data see Table 2a.)

### (-)-(S)-[3-(Dibenzylamino)-4-(methoxymethoxy)butyl] 2,2,4,4-Tetramethyloxazolidine-3-carboxylate (6c):

To a solution of **3** (1.10 g, 2.50 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (15 mL) was added MOMCl (6.46g, 50 mmol).<sup>24</sup> The mixture was stirred at r.t. for 7 d. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to yield **6c** (1.18 g, 97%) as a colorless oil. (Data see Table 2a.)

## (S)-[4-(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-3-(dibenzylamino)butyl] 2,2,4,4-Tetramethyloxazolidine-3-carboxylate (6f):

A solution of Et<sub>3</sub>N (3.39 g, 33.5 mmol) and TBDMSCl (1.21 g, 8.04 mmol), dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 mL), was added to the alcohol **3** (2.96 g, 6.70 mmol) in anhyd CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 mL). After stirring the mixture at r.t. for 10 h water (50 mL) was added. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 × 25 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane 1:2) to yield the silyl ether **6f** (3.61 g, 97%) as a colorless oil. (Data see Table 2a.)

### (-)-(S)-2-(Dibenzylamino)-4-butanolide (12):<sup>6</sup>

To a solution of (*S*)-methionine (14.92 g, 100 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$  (15.62 g, 114 mmol) and NaOH (4.55 g, 114 mmol) in MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (75 mL/75 mL) at 95 °C (bath temperature) was added dropwise BnBr (51.31 g, 300 mmol); refluxing and stirring was continued for 40 min. To the cooled mixture was added Et<sub>2</sub>O (100 mL), the aqueous layer was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 × 50 mL) and the collected extracts were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>). After removal of the solvent in vacuo, the residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane 1:4) to afford a mixture of **12** and BnOH. The pure lactone **12** (10.33 g, 37%) was obtained by crystallization. (Data see Table 2a.)

## (+)-(S)-2-(Dibenzylamino)-4-hydroxy-*N*,*N*-dimethylbutanamide (13):

To a solution of lactone **12** (2.81 g, 10.0 mmol) containing BnOH (1.2 g) in anhyd EtOH (40 mL) was added 5.6 M Me<sub>2</sub>NH in EtOH (20 mL, 112 mmol) at 0°C. After stirring for 48 h at 0°C the solvent was evaporated in vacuo at r.t. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane 1:4  $\rightarrow$  MeOH/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> 1:20) afford **13** (2.50 g, 77%) as yellow oil. (Data see Table 2b.) 0.59 g (21%) of **12** was recovered.

#### **Reductive Deamination of 14; Preparation of 3:**

To a solution of **14** (560 mg, 1.16 mmol) in THF (12 mL) was added 1 M LiEt<sub>3</sub>BH in THF (3.6 mL, 3.60 mmol) dropwise at 0°C.<sup>11</sup> The mixture was stirred at r.t. for 12 h and further LiEt<sub>3</sub>BH (2.0 mL, 2.00 mmol) was added. After stirring for 1 h, the mixture was quenched with water (10 mL) and the separated aqueous layer was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 × 15 mL). The collected organic layers were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and the solvent removal in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane 4:1) to yield **3** (436 mg, 85%). (Data see Table 2a.)

## Debenzylation of 6f, 30; Preparation of 15, 31; General Procedure:

To a solution of **6f** (5.95 g, 10.0 mmol) in MeOH (40 mL) was added 5% Pd/C (1.20 g, 0.56 mmol) and cyclohexene (12.3 g, 150 mmol). After heating under reflux for 12 h and cooling to r.t.  $Et_2O$  (10 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred at r.t. for 0.5 h. The solids were filtered off and thoroughly extracted with  $Et_2O$  (50 mL). The solvents were evaporated in vacuo and for analyses the crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with  $Et_2O$ /pentane/ $Et_3N$  (4:1:0.1) to yield the amine **15** (3.76 g, 84%). (Data see Table 2b.)

#### (+)-(2S,4S)-2-Amino-4-pentanolide Hydrochloride (31):

From **30** (40 mg, 0.14 mmol) with Pd/C (25 mg, 0.01 mmol) in MeOH (2 mL). The crude product was dissolved in 6 M HCl (2 mL) and stirred at r.t. for 1 h. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was crystallized from MeOH/Et<sub>2</sub>O; yield: 20 mg (94%); colorless solid: mp 193 °C;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$  –41.0 (*c* = 0.50, MeOH) [ref.<sup>20</sup> mp 198–200 °C;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$  –44 (*c* = 1.1, MeOH).

## (-)-(*S*)-[4-(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-3-(dimethylamino)butyl] 2,2,4,4-Tetramethyloxazolidine-3-carboxylate (16):

To a solution of **15** (562 mg, 1.50 mmol) in MeCN (5 mL) and 37% aq CH<sub>2</sub>O (0.7 mL) was added NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (150 mg, 2.40 mmol).<sup>14</sup> The mixture was stirred at r.t. for 0.5 h and the solution neutralized with HOAc. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo before the residue was dissolved in 15% aq NaOH (10 mL). After extraction with Et<sub>2</sub>O (5 × 15 mL), the combined Et<sub>2</sub>O extracts were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), evaporated and the residue purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, Et<sub>2</sub>O/ pentane/Et<sub>3</sub>N 1:2:0.1) to yield **16** (496 mg, 82%) as a colorless oil. (Data see Table 2a.)

### Deprotonation of Monocarbamate 6, 7, 16 with *s*-BuLi and Preparation of C-1 Substituted Products 9; General Procedure:

Method 1: (–)-Sparteine (22, 422 mg, 1.80 mmol) was dissolved in anhyd  $Et_2O$  (4.5 mL) under argon and 1.4 M s-BuLi in cyclohexane/hexane (1.29 mL, 1.80 mmol) was added dropwise. After stirring for 15 min, the monocarbamate, dissolved in  $Et_2O$  (2.5 mL), was added. Stirring was continued for 5 h before the electrophile was introduced. (See Method A or B.)

*Method* 2: TMEDA (209 mg, 1.80 mmol) was dissolved in anhyd  $Et_2O$  (4.5 mL) under argon and 1.4 M *s*-BuLi in cyclohexane/hexane (1.29 mL, 1.80 mmol) was added dropwise. After stirring for 15 min, the monocarbamate, dissolved in  $Et_2O$  (2.5 mL), was added. Stirring was continued for 5 h before the electrophile was introduced. (See Method A or B.)

*Method 3*: Deprotonation without diamine. To a solution of the carbamate (1.0 mmol) in anhyd  $Et_2O$  or THF (8 mL) under argon at -78 °C 1.4 M *s*-BuLi in cyclohexane/hexane (1.29 mL, 1.80 mmol) was added dropwise. Stirring was continued for 5 h before the electrophile was introduced. (See Method A or B.)

#### Method A:

For carboxylation, after stirring at -78 °C for 5 h, a stream of dry CO<sub>2</sub> was introduced to the solution of the deprotonated carbamate via a syringe for 10 min. After warming to r.t., hydrolysis with water (10 mL) and extraction with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 × 25 mL), the collected organic layers were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in Et<sub>2</sub>O (5 mL) and treated with ethereal CH<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub> solution until remaining yellow. After stirring for 1 h, silica gel (20 mg) was added and the mixture was stirred for 15 min in order to destroy the excess of CH<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>. The subsequent flash chromatographic purification (Et<sub>2</sub>O/ pentane 1:1 to 1:4) yielded the methyl ester **20** or **33a**.

#### Method B:

After stirring at -78 °C for 5 h, the electrophile (1.8 mmol) was slowly introduced with a syringe. The mixture was allowed to warm up to r.t.

for 12 h and water (10 mL) was added. The Et<sub>2</sub>O layer was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O ( $3 \times 25$  mL). The combined Et<sub>2</sub>O were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane 1:1 to 1:4) to afford the C-1 substituted carbamate **18**.

#### (+)-(2S,4S)-2-(Dibenzylamino)pentane-1,4-diol (29):

A solution of **18a** (3.48 g, 5.00 mmol) in THF (5 mL) and 5 M HCl (25 mL) was refluxed for 16 h. After cooling to r.t., the mixture was neutralized with 5 M NaOH (25 mL) and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (5 × 25 mL). The collected extracts were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and the solvents were evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane 1:3  $\rightarrow$  1:0) to yield pure diol **29** (1.28 g, 86%) as a viscous oil; oil;  $R_{\rm f}$  0.24 (Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane 4:1);  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20}$  +4.8 (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

IR (film): v = 3450 (OH), 1050 (C–O), 750, 700 cm<sup>-1</sup> (arom).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta = 1.14$  (d, 3H,  ${}^{3}J_{4,5} = 6.2$  Hz, 5-H); 1.37 (ddd,  ${}^{2}J = 14.3$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J_{2,3} = 5.8$  Hz, 3-H); 1.75 (ddd,  ${}^{3}J_{2,3} = 7.6$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J_{3,4} = 9.5$  Hz, 3-H); 2.51 (m, OH); 3.06 (m, 2-H); 3.53–3.80 (m, 3H, 1-H, 4-H); 3.62 (d, 2H,  ${}^{2}J = 13.0$  Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph); 3.76 (d, 2H, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph); 4.36 (m, OH); 7.13–7.35 (m, 10H, Ph).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz):  $\delta$  = 23.83 (C-5); 35.18 (C-3); 53.68 (2C, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph); 58.15 (C-2); 61.26 (C-1); 67.36 (C-4); 127.24, 128.46, 129.25, 138.71 (12C, Ph).

MS (EI) C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (299.41):calcd. 299.18853, found 299.18782.

### (-)-(2S,4S)-2-(Dibenzylamino)-4-pentanolide (30):

To a solution of **29** (156 mg, 0.52 mmol) in anhyd benzene (10 mL) was added (Ph<sub>3</sub>P)<sub>3</sub>RuCl<sub>2</sub> (600 mg, 0.62 mmol).<sup>22</sup> The mixture was stirred for 24 h at r.t., before Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (827 mg, 7.80 mmol) was added; stirring was continued for 48 h. The solids were filtered off over silica gel and the solvents evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane 1:2) to afford **30** (60 mg, 39%); oil;  $R_{\rm f}$  0.50 (Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane 1:1);  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20}$  –33.1 (c = 0.93, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

IR (film): v = 1180 (C–O), 1769 (C=O), 740, 695 cm<sup>-1</sup> (arom).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta = 1.28$  (d, 3H, <sup>3</sup> $J_{4,5} = 6.5$  Hz, 5-H); 1.97 (ddd, <sup>2</sup>J = 13.1 Hz, <sup>3</sup> $J_{2,3} = 9.5$  Hz, <sup>3</sup> $J_{3,4} = 3.4$  Hz, 3-H); 2.41 (ddd, <sup>3</sup> $J_{2,3} = 8.7$  Hz, <sup>3</sup> $J_{3,4} = 8.6$  Hz, 3-H); 3.67 (d, 2H, <sup>2</sup>J = 13.4 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph); 3.82 (m, 2-H); 3.87 (d, 2H, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph); 4.66 (ddq, 4-H); 7.18–7.44 (m, 10H, Ph).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz):  $\delta$  = 22.03 (C-5); 31.60 (C-3); 54.75 (2C, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph); 57.19 (C-2); 74.30 (C-4); 127.24, 128.38, 128.69, 138.83 (12C, Ph); 175.91 (C-1).

Anal. Calcd for  $C_{19}H_{21}NO_2$  (295.38): C, 77.26; H, 7.17; N, 4.74. Found: C, 76.87; H, 7.01; N, 4.89.

Generous support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie is gratefully acknowledged.

- Guarnieri, W.; Sendzik, M.; Fröhlich, R.; Hoppe, D. Synthesis, 1998, 1274.
- Hoppe, D.; Paetow, M.; Hintze, F. Angew. Chem. 1993, 105, 430; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 394.
- (3) Reviews: (a) Hoppe, D.; Hense, T. Angew. Chem. 1997, 109, 2376; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 2282.
  (b) Hoppe, D.; Hintze, F.; Tebben, P.; Paetow, M.; Ahrens, H.; Schwerdtfeger, J.; Sommerfeld, P.; Haller, J.; Guarnieri, W.; Kolczewski, S.; Hense, T.; Hoppe, I. Pure Appl. Chem. 1994, 66, 1479.

c) Hoppe, D.; Ahrens, H.; Guarnieri, W.; Helmke, H.; Kolczewski, S. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1996**, *6*8, 613.

d) Beak, P.; Basu, A.; Gallagher, D. J.; Park, Y. S.; Thayumanavan, S. Acc. Chem. Res. **1996**, *29*, 552.

- (4) 1 has independently been prepared, see:
  - (a) Gmeiner, P.; Kärtner, A.; Junge, D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *34*, 4325.
  - (b) Gmeiner, P.; Junge, D.; Kärtner, A. J. Org. Chem. **1994**, 59, 6766.
- (5) (a) Albert, R.; Denklmaier, J.; Hönig, H.; Kandolf, H. *Synthesis* 1987, 635.

(b) Gmeiner, P.; Feldman, P. L.; Chu-Moyer, M. Y.; Rapoport, H. J. Org. Chem. **1990**, *55*, 3068.

(c) Chevallet, P.; Garrouste, P.; Malawska, B.; Martinez, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *34*, 7409.

- (d) Burger, K. Chem.-Ztg. 1990, 114, 249.
- (e) Winkler, D.; Burger, K. Synthesis 1996, 1419.
- (f) Stein, K. A.; Toogood. P. L. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 8110.
- (g) Baldwin, J. E.; North, M.; Flinn, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 3167.

(h) Baldwin, J. E.; North, M.; Flinn, A. *Tetrahedron* **1988**, 44, 637.

(i) Cooper, R. D. G.; Jose, F.; McShane, L.; Koppel, G. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1978**, 2243.

(j) Jefford, C. W.; Wang J. B.; Lu, Z.-H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 7557.

(k) McGarvey, G. J.; Williams, J. M.; Hiner, R. N.; Matsubara, Y.; Oh, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, *108*, 4943

- (6) Reetz, M. T.; Schmitz, A.; Holdgrün, X. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 5421.
- (7) Gmeiner, P. Arch. Pharm. (Weinheim) 1991, 324, 551.
- (8) The costs according to Aldrich in 1996/1997 are DM 18.60/ 100 g for (S)-aspartic acid, DM 64.60/100 g for (S)-asparagine, and DM 143.20/100 g for (S)-homoserine.
- (9) Guarnieri, W. Diploma Thesis, Universität Kiel 1992.
- (10) Hintze, F.; Hoppe, D. Synthesis 1992, 1216.
- (11) Brown, H. C.; Kim S. C. Synthesis 1977, 635.

- (12) (a) The ee value of monocarbamate 3, synthesized from (*S*)-methionine was found to be >98% by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy after reacting with (-)-(*R*)-α-methoxy-α-trifluoromethylphenylacetyl chloride (Mosher's reagent); ref. 12b.
  (b) Dale, J. A.; Mosher, H. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1973, 95, 512.
- (13) (a) Johnstone, R. A. W.; Wilby, A. H.; Entwistle, I. D. *Chem. Rev.* 1985, 85, 129.
  - (b) Bajwa, H. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 2299.
- (14) Borch, R. F.; Hassid, A. I. J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 1673.
- (15) Sommerfeld, P.; Hoppe, D. Synlett **1992**, 764.
- (16) Helmke, H.; Hoppe, D. Synlett 1995, 978.
- (17) (a) Eugster, C. H. Fortschr. Chem Org. Naturst. 1969, 27, 262.
  (b) Matzinger, P.; Catalfomo, Ph.; Eugster, C. H. Helv. Chim. Acta 1972, 55, 1478.
- (18) γ-Hydroxy-norvalines are highly biologically active molecules, they increase insulin secretion, see: Ribes, G.; Broca, C.; Petit, P.; Jacob, M.; Baissac, Y.; Manteghatti, M.; Roye, M.; Sauvaire, Y. *Diabetologia* **1996**, *39*, A234.
- (19) (a) Schmeck, C.; Hegedus, L. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1994, *116*, 9927 and references cited therein.
  (b) Harding, K. E.; Marman, T. H.; Nam, D.-h *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1988, *29*, 1627.

(c) For preparation of racemate **32**, see: Fischer, E.; Leuchs, L. *Ber. Deutsch. Chem. Ges.* **1902**, *35*, 3797.

- (20) Jacob, M.; Roumestant, M. L.; Viallefont, P.; Martinez, J. *Synlett* **1997**, 691.
- (21) Nakajima, K.; Takai, F.; Tanaka, T.; Okawa, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. **1978**, 51, 1577.
- (22) Tomioka, H.; Takai, K.; Oshima, K.; Nozaki, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 1605.
- (23) For the preparation of (2*S*,4*S*)-γ-hydroxy-norvaline (32) from the lactone hydrochloride 31 with i. 2 N NaOH, ii. Dowex 50WX8 (100%), see ref. 19.