Ultrasound-mediated, uranyl nitrate hexahydratecatalyzed synthesis of 1,4-dihydropyridines under mild conditions

B. Palakshi Reddy · S. Sarveswari · V. Vijayakumar

Received: 1 March 2014/Accepted: 6 August 2014 © Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2014

Abstract Synthesis of 1,4-dihydropyridines by three-component condensation reaction of aldehyde, 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds, and ammonium acetate have been found to be efficiently catalyzed by uranyl nitrate hexahydrate $[UO_2(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O]$ at room temperature under ultrasound irradiation. This novel synthetic method offers the advantages of high yields, short reaction times, simplicity, and easy workup compared to the conventional methods reported in the literature.

Keywords 1,4-DHPs · Uranyl nitrate hexahydrate · Ultrasound irradiation

Introduction

1,4-Dihydropyridine (1,4-DHPs) derivatives are known as an important class of heterocycles owing to their potential biological activity, and for their therapeutics use such as antihypertensive [1, 2], hypnotic, anti-inflammatory [3], antihypoxic, antiischemic drugs [4] and calcium channel modulators of the nifedipine type [5]. For synthesis of 1,4-DHPs, several methods have been reported [6–10]. The presence of ester groups at the 3- and 5-positions on the 1,4-DHPs ring is of crucial importance for its pharmacological effects. Recently, some new 3,5-disubstituted 1,4-DHPs were synthesized which exhibit pharmacological effects such as antihypertensive, bronchodilatory and antitubercular agent [11, 12]. DHPs are also have significant biological activities, ranging from anti-tumour, fungicidal, bactericidal and anti-inflammatory and antiviral activities [13, 14]. In continuation of our interest in 1,4-DHPs [15–22], we here report a simple and efficient protocol for the synthesis of 1,4-DHPs via three-component reaction of aldehydes, 1,3-

B. Palakshi Reddy · S. Sarveswari · V. Vijayakumar (🖂)

Centre for Organic and Medicinal Chemistry, VIT University, Vellore, 632 014 Tamil Nadu, India e-mail: kvpsvijayakumar@gmail.com

dicarbonyls and ammoniumacetate in the presence of uranyl nitrate haxahydrate as a catalyst under ultrasonic irradiation. Uranyl nitrate hexahydrate is usually used as an electron-dense stain for transmission electron microscopy. However, it has also found application as a local catalyst in the polymerization of methacrylates [23].

Experimental

IR spectra were recorded using an AVATAR 330 equipped with DTGS detector. NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker AMX-400 instrument at RT in CDCl₃ using TMS as internal reference. The coupling constants *J* are in Hz. HRMS spectra were recorded on macromass spectrometer (Waters) by the electron-spray method (ES). Melting points were determined in open capillaries and are uncorrected. Sonication was performed in a Make (E-Chrom Tech, Taiwan), equipped with a 1.3×10^{-2} -m-long probe, which was immersed directly into the reaction mixture. The operating frequency was 22 kHz and the output power was 800 W through manual adjustment.

General procedure for synthesis of 1,4-DHPs

To a solution of aldehyde (1.0 mmol), ethyl/methyl acetoacetate/acetylacetone (2.0 mmol) and ammonium acetate (1.0 mmol) in ethanol (3 mL), uranyl nitrate (10 mol%) was added and the resultant reaction mixture was sonicated at room temperature for the required time (Table 1). The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. After completion of the reaction, the mixture was poured into crushed ice. The obtained solid was filtered, washed thoroughly with water, dried, and purified by recrystallisation in ethanol. The plausible reaction mechanism was proposed for the UO₂(NO₃)₂·6H₂O catalyzed reaction of aldehyde, 1,3-dicarbonyl compound and ammonium acetate (Fig. 1).

Diethyl 2,6-dimethyl-4-(thiophen-2-yl)-1,4-dihydropyridine-3,5-dicarboxylate (4 h)

Yield: 95 %. m.p.: 172–173 °C; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹):3,403, 3,327, 1,673, 1,633, 1,591, 1,528, 113. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$: 1.24 (*t*, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 6H), 2.31 (*s*, 6H), 4.10–4.19 (*m*, 4H), 5.32 (*s*, 1H), 5.81 (*s*, NH), 6.76–6.83 (*m*, 2H), 7.02 (*d*, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H); ¹³C-NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm C}$: 14.00, 18.60, 33.91, 59.31, 102.25, 122.53, 122.57, 125.91, 145.36, 151.81, 167.22.HRMS (*m*/*z*): Calcd. forC₁₇H₂₁NO₄S: 335.1189. Found: 335.1180 (M⁺).

Diethyl-4-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydropyridine-3,5-dicarboxylate (4j)

Yellow solid. M.p. 227–229 °C. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3,345, 2,981, 1,662 and 1,486. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.88 (*t*, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 6H), 2.77 (*s*, 6H), 3.66–3.76 (*q*,

Entry	Catalyst	Mole (%)	Solvent	Time (min)	Yield (%)
1	UO ₂ (NO ₃) ₂ ·6H ₂ O	Nil	EtOH	40	70
2	$UO_2(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$	1	EtOH	40	70
3	UO ₂ (NO ₃) ₂ ·6H ₂ O	2.5	EtOH	25	80
4	$UO_2(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$	5	EtOH	20	85
5	$UO_2(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$	10	EtOH	15	96
6	$UO_2(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$	20	EtOH	15	96
7	UO ₂ (NO ₃) ₂ ·6H ₂ O	10	MeCN	20	90
8	FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O	10	MeCN	40	75
9	AlCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O	10	MeCN	40	77
10	Nil	Nil	MeCN	120	45

 Table 1
 Optimization conditions of benzaldehyde (1.0 mmol), ethyl acetoacetate (2.0 mmol), and ammonium acetate (1.0 mmol), with ultrasonic irradiation



Fig. 1 Plausible mechanism for the uranyl nitrate hexahydrate-catalyzed synthesis of 1,4-dihydropyridines

J = 6.0 Hz, 4H), 4.49 (s, 1H), 6.30 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.72 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.60 (s, -NH, 1H), 8.27 (s, -OH, 1H). HRMS: Calcd. forC₁₉H₂₃NO₅: 345.1575. Found: 345.1582 (M⁺).

Dimethyl-4-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydropyridine-3,5-dicarboxylate (5 h)

Yellow solid. M.p. 198–199 °C. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3,339, 3,003, 2,950, 1,680, 1,647 and 1,611. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.86 (*s*, 6H), 3.28 (*s*, 6H), 4.51 (*s*, 1H), 6.31 (*d*, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.70 (*d*, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.73 (*s*, –NH, 1H), 8.35 (*s*, –OH, 1H). HRMS (*m*/*z*): Calcd. forC₁₇H₁₉NO₅: 317.1,265. Found: 317.1,270 (M⁺).

3,5-Diacetyl-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydro-4-(4-ethylphenyl)-3,5-pyridine (6i)

Yellow solid. M.p. 179–181 °C. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3,343, 3,008, 2,960, 1,689 and 1,649. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 0.88 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.89 (s, 6H), 2.34 (m, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.37 (s, 6H), 4.81 (s, 1H), 6.63 (d, m, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.78 (d, o, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H). HRMS (m/z):Calcd. forC₁₇H₁₉NO₅: 297.1725.Found:297.1725 (M^+).

Results and discussion

The formation of 1,4-DHPs using various aldehydes, ethyl/methyl acetoacetate/ acetylacetone and ammonium acetate under ultrasonic irradiation was examined and the results are summarized in Table 2. Initially, the reactions were carried out at room temperature for 15-30 min in the presence of 10 mol% of the uranyl nitrate hexahydrate at 22 kHz under ultrasonic irradiation. To determine the amount of the catalyst required, the reaction of benzaldehyde, ethyl acetoacetate and ammonium acetate was selected as the model reaction and conducted under sonication in the presence of varying concentrations (mol%) of the uranyl nitrate and it was found that optimum yield was observed while using 10 mol% of the catalyst (Table 1). Using lesser amounts of the catalyst resulted in lower yields, while higher amounts of the catalyst did not affect reaction times and yields. In the absence of the catalyst, the yield was found to be low. The reaction was also carried out using different solvents such as methanol, ethanol, acetonitrile and chloroform, as well as a solventfree system at reflux conditions with 10 mol% of UO₂(NO₃)₂.6H₂O as the catalyst. Under these conditions, it was noticed that the highest yield and shorter reaction duration was achievable with ethanol (in 40 min, 90 % yield) while the others took longer durations to yield lesser products. Hence, the ultrasonic irradiation which required only 15 min duration to complete the reaction dominates the reflux reaction conditions. So the use of the ultrasound improves the yield as well as the rate of reaction. To prove the generality of the reaction, a wide range of substituted aldehydes with electron-donating as well as electron-withdrawing substituents were used and found to have excellent yields of 1,4-DHPs (Table 2). Unlike those of ethyl/methyl acetoacetate products, the reaction of acetyl acetone products proceeded at lower rates and less efficiency to afford the 1,4-DHPs. Known

Entry	Ar	R	Time (min)	Yield (%) d	mp (°C)
4a	C ₆ H ₅	OEt	15	96	158-160 (158-160) [24]
4b	$4-ClC_6H_4$	OEt	20	92	145–146 (144–146) [24]
4c	$4-CH_3OC_6H_4$	OEt	20	89	153–155 (158–160) [24]
4d	$3-NO_2C_6H_4$	OEt	25	90	165–167 (162–164) [24]
4e	4-Pyridyl	OEt	25	87	181–182 (178–180) [25]
4f	3-Pyridyl	OEt	25	85	188–190 (190–192) [25]
4g	$4-BrC_6H_4$	OEt	20	91	162–164 (162–164) [26]
4h	2-Thienyl	OEt	25	89	172–173 (171–173) [24]
4i	$4-CH_3C_6H_4$	OEt	30	86	136–137 (135–138) [27]
4j	$4-HOC_6H_4$	OEt	30	90	227–229 (227–228) [28]
4k	$3-HOC_6H_4$	OEt	30	88	172–175 (180–182) [25]
41	2,5-Me ₂ C ₆ H ₃	OEt	25	86	147–148 (146–147) [29]
5a	C ₆ H ₅	OMe	15	92	198–200 (197–198) [30]
5b	$3-NO_2C_6H_4$	OMe	20	90	210–212 (210–212) [31]
5c	4-CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄	OMe	25	86	174–176 (174–176) [31]
5d	$2-ClC_6H_4$	OMe	30	87	185–186 (185–186) [30]
5e	4-CH ₃ OC ₆ H ₄	OMe	30	88	173–174 (173–174) [30]
5f	2-Furyl	OMe	25	89	194–197 (195–196) [32]
5g	2-Thienyl	OMe	30	90	201–203 (200–202) [33]
5h	$4-HOC_6H_4$	OMe	30	92	198–199 (198–199) [30]
5i	$4-ClC_6H_4$	OMe	25	90	196–198 (195–196) [30]
6a	CH ₃	Me	20	90	155–156 (150–152) [34]
6b	$3-NO_2C_6H_4$	Me	25	89	210–211 (210–211) [34]
6c	$2\text{-NO}_2C_6H_4$	Me	25	87	195–197 (195–197) [35]
6d	2-Furyl	Me	25	86	159–160 (158–159) [35]
6e	2-Thienyl	Me	25	90	169–172 (171) [36]
6f	3-Pyridyl	Me	30	88	262–263 (262–263) [37]
6g	3-ClC ₆ H ₄	Me	25	90	222–224 (221–222) [35]
6h	3-CH ₃ OC ₆ H ₄	Me	30	89	200-201 (196-198) [34]
6i	$4\text{-}C_2H_5C_6H_4$	Me	30	90	179–181 (179–181) [20]
6j	4-Pyridyl	Me	25	88	245-246 (245-246) [38]
6k	$4\text{-BrC}_6\text{H}_4$	Me	30	90	109–111 (109–111) [39]

Table 2 Synthesis of 1,4-DHPs using uranyl nitrate hexahydrate as catalyst under ultrasonic irradiation

Reaction conditions: aldehyde (1.0 mmol), ethyl/methyl acetoacetate/acetylacetone (2.0 mmol), ammonium acetate (1.0 mmol), uranyl nitrate hexahydrate (10 mol%)

compounds were identified by comparing their spectroscopic data and melting points with reported literature values, and hence only representative compounds were included in the "Experimental" section.



Conclusion

An efficient and simple alternative method for the synthesis of substituted 1,4-DHPs under ultrasonic irradiation using $UO_2(NO_3)_2$ ·6H₂O as the catalyst was developed with operational simplicity, good yields, shorter reaction time, low costs, and easy workup procedure.

References

- 1. A.C. Gaudio, A. Korolkovas, Y. Takahata, J. Pharm. Sci. 83, 1110 (1994)
- 2. K.J. Schleifer, J. Med. Chem. 42, 2204 (1999)
- 3. T. Godfraid, R. Miller, M. Wibo, Pharmocol. Rev. 38, 321 (1986)
- 4. B. Khadilkar, S. Borkar, Synth. Commun. 28, 207 (1998)
- 5. B. Schnell, W. Krenn, K. Faber, C.O. Kappe, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, 4382 (2000)
- 6. G. Sabitha, G.S. Reddy, C.S. Reddy, Tetrahedron Lett. 44, 4129 (2003)
- 7. D.M. Stout, A.I. Meyers, Chem. Rev. 82, 223 (1982)
- 8. S.J. Ji, Z.Q. Jiang, J. Lu, T.P. Loh, Synlett 5, 831 (2004)
- 9. M. Suárez, E. Ochoa, Y. Verdecia, Tetrahedron 55, 875 (1999)
- 10. S. Tu, Q. Wei, H. Ma, Synth. Commun. 31, 2657 (2001)
- S.R. Pattan, V.B. Rasal, N. Venkatramana, A.B. Khade, S.R. Butle, S.G. Jadhav, B.G. Desai, F.V. Manvi, Indian J. Chem. 46B, 698 (2007)
- 12. T. Suresh, S.K. Swamy, V.M. Reddy, Indian J. Chem. 46B, 115 (2007)
- 13. M.A. Ali, D.A. Chowdhary, M. Naziruddin, Polyhedron 3, 595 (1984)
- 14. J.P. Scovill, D.L. Klayman, C.F. Franchino, J. Med. Chem. 25, 1261 (1982)
- 15. B. Palakshi Reddy, K. Rajesh, V. Vijayakumar, J. Chin. Chem. Soc. 58, 384 (2011)
- R.S. Rathore, B.P. Reddy, V. Vijayakumar, R.V. Ragavan, T. Narasimhamurthy, Acta Cryst. B65, 375 (2009)
- B. Palakshi Reddy, K. Rajesh, V. Vijayakumar, Arab. J. Chem. (2011), doi:10.1016/j.arabjc.2011.01. 027
- 18. B. Palakshi Reddy, K. Rajesh, V. Vijayakumar, Ind. J. Heterocyclic Chem. 20, 281 (2011)
- 19. K. Rajesh, B. Palakshi Reddy, V. Vijayakumar, Can. J. Chem. 89, 1236 (2011)
- 20. B. Palakshi Reddy, K. Rajesh and V. Vijayakumar, Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 44, 153 (2012)
- 21. K. Rajesh, P. Iniyavan, S. Sarveswari and V. Vijayakumar, Res. Chem. Intermed. doi 10.1007/ s11164-013-1085-4
- G.L. Balaji, K. Rajesh, M. Venkatesh, S. Sarveswari, V. Vijayakumar, RSC Advances 4, 39–46 (2014)
- M.A. Hayat, Principles and techniques of electron microscopy (Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1970)
- 24. J.J.V. Eynde, F. Delfosse, A. Mayence, Y.V. Haverbeke, Tetrahedron 51, 6511 (1995)
- 25. F. Tamaddon, Z. Razmi, A.A. Jafari, Tetrahedron Lett. 51, 1187 (2010)

- A. Debache, W. Ghalem, R. Boulcina, A. Belfaitah, S. Rhouati, B. Carboni, Tetrahedron Lett. 50, 5248 (2009)
- 27. A. Shaabani, A.H. Rezayan, A. Rahmati, Monatsh. Chem. 137, 77 (2006)
- 28. K. Tetsuji, O. Kunio, Yakugaku Zasshi 86, 815 (1966)
- 29. L. E. Hinkel, A. E. Ernest, W. H. Morgan, J. Chem. Soc. 1835 (1931)
- 30. B.M. Khadilkar, V.G. Gaikar, A.A. Chitnavis, Tetrahedron Lett. 36, 8083 (1995)
- M. Maheswara, V. Siddaiah, Y.K. Rao, Y.M. Tzeng, C. Sridhar, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 260, 179 (2006)
- 32. D. Kumar, S. Anil Suresh, S.J. Sandhu, J. Indian Chem. Soc. 86, 996 (2009)
- M.V. Lembkge, A. Nuhrichl, V. Zemb, G. Devaux, P. Vacherl, A.M. Vacher, B. Dufy, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 31, 547 (1996)
- 34. H.R. Memarian, M. Bagheri, D. Dietrich, Monatsh. Chem. 135, 833 (2004)
- 35. D.B. Shinde, N.D. Shinde, M.S. Shingare, M.P. Dubey, G.K. Patnaik, Indian J. Chem. 34B, 920 (1995)
- 36. H.R. Memarian, M.M. Sadeghi, A.R. Momeni, D. Dietrich, Monatsh. Chem. 133, 661 (2002)
- 37. N.N. Pawel, B. Roman, Acta Pol. Pharm. 31, 279 (1974)
- 38. C. Safak, I. Sahin, R. Sunal, Arzneimittelforschung 40, 119 (1990)
- B. Palakshi Reddy, V. Vijayakumar, S. Sarveswari, T. Narasimhamurthy, E.R.T. Tiekink, Acta Cryst. E66, o658 (2010)