

Turkish Journal of Chemistry http://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/chem/

Research Article

Turk J Chem (2014) 38: 121 – 126 © TÜBİTAK doi:10.3906/kim-1306-58

On the peculiar reactivity of a C,N-annelated isoindole core

Iaroslav BAGLAI^{1,2,3}, Valérie MARAVAL^{1,2}, Zoia Vsevolodivna VOITENKO³,

Carine DUHAYON^{1,2}, Yulian Mikhailovich VOLOVENKO³, Remi CHAUVIN^{1,2,*}

¹CNRS, LCC (Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination), Toulouse, France

²University of Toulouse, Toulouse, France

³Kiev National Taras Shevchenko University, Kiev, Ukraine

Received: 24.06.2013	•	Accepted: 11.07.2013	•	Published Online: 16.12.2013	٠	Printed: 20.01.2014
		-				

Abstract: C-, N-, and/or O-methylation products were generated from 11 H-isoindolo[2,1-a]quinazoline-5-one upon treatment with NaH followed by iodomethane under air, and possible recrystallization from methanol. Two products were fully characterized by NMR and X-ray diffraction analysis. In accordance with the HSAB principle, this soft methylating agent (MeI) leads mainly to the C,C-dimethylated product 11,11-dimethyl-11 H-isoindolo[2,1-a]quinazoline-5-one, which was previously not observed, beside the N-methylated product, in a procedure using methyl tosylate as a hard methylating agent of the same substrate in the initial absence of a base. A mechanism is finally proposed for the formation of methyl 2-(3-methyl-4-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinazolin-2-yl)benzoate as an oxidation side product.

Key words: Isoindoles, methylation processes, oxidative ring opening, quinazolines

1. Introduction

The chemistry of isoindoles remains a blooming topic from both the standpoints of fundamental organic reactivity (aromaticity-driven processes)¹ and target-oriented synthesis of biologically active compounds (heterocyclic drug design). This is particularly prevalent in the annelated isoindole series, where derivatives of 11H-isoindolo[2,1-a]quinazoline-5-one (1) have been shown to exhibit various activities in vitro.² Examples of chemical variations of 1 were based on its treatment with a hard electrophile to give a quaternary ammonium salt, followed by addition of an alkali metal base leading to a locked N-methylated isoindole form.^{3,4} The direct transformation of 1 into the isoindole form is hereafter addressed.

2. Results and discussion

Treatment of **1** with sodium hydride and then with iodomethane afforded 11,11-dimethyl-11 H-isoindolo[2,1-a]quinazoline-5-one (**2**) as the major product in 43% yield (Scheme 1).

The structure of **2** was ascertained by NMR and IR spectroscopic analyses and mass spectrometry, and confirmed by X-ray diffraction analysis of a single crystal deposited from diethylether (Figure 1). Therefore, whereas methylation of various derivatives of **1** under hard (in the initial HSAB sense)⁵ and harsh conditions (in the absence of a base with methyl tosylate, at 125–130 °C) afforded the N-methylated isoindole products, e.g., **4** from **1** itself,^{3,4} the use of softer and milder conditions (in the presence of a base with MeI at room temperature) was found to afford the C-dimethylated iminoisoindole derivative **2** as the major product.

^{*}Correspondence: chauvin@lcc-toulouse.fr



Scheme 1. Reaction of the C,N-annelated isoindole 1 with hard (*left*) and soft (*right*) methylating reagents.



Figure 1. ORTEP view of the X-ray crystal structure of C,C-dimethylated product 2 (Scheme 1). R = 4.2%. Selected bond lengths in Å: N1-C2: 1.5022(10), N1-C5: 1.3624(10), C2-C3: 1.5136(12), C4-C5: 1.4595(11), C5-N6: 1.3099(11), N6-C7: 1.3821(12), C7-O20: 1.2341(10).

Minor amounts of a side-product were isolated (in 5% yield) from the reaction mixture and assigned to the ester structure of methyl 2-(3-methyl-4-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinazolin-2-yl)benzoate **3** (Scheme 1), which was confirmed by X-ray diffraction analysis of a single crystal deposited from methanol (Figure 2).

The intense IR stretching vibration bands of the nonequivalent ester and amide carbonyl groups of **3** were observed at 1722 cm⁻¹ and 1667 cm⁻¹, respectively. The presence of 2 different methyl groups was confirmed by ¹H ($\delta_{NCH3} = 3.33$ ppm, $\delta_{OCH3} = 3.78$ ppm) and ¹³C ($\delta_{NCH3} = 32.8$ ppm, $\delta_{OCH3} = 52.6$ ppm) NMR spectroscopy. The amido-ester **3** formally results from concomitant oxidative opening of a ring of the pyrrole ring of **1** and N-,O-dimethylation.

In a previous report on the formation of such amido-esters, the proposed mechanism was based on oxidation of 1 by $SOCl_2$, followed by the formation of amino-hemi-ortho esters generated from aliphatic alcohols, and subsequent ring-opening to the corresponding esters.⁶ In the present procedure, no oxidative auxiliary is added, except the oxygen of air. Although autoxidation by oxygen-derived hydroperoxide radicals cannot be ruled out, an alternative mechanism, based on previous reports on oxidative pyrrole ring-opening (also annelated in an isoindole core),⁷⁻⁹ is proposed (Scheme 2).

BAGLAI et al./Turk J Chem



Figure 2. ORTEP view of the X-ray crystal structure of the amidoester 3. R = 3.4%. Selected bond lengths in Å: N1-C2: 1.2943(11), N31-C32: 1.2901(11), C2-C11: 1.5067(11), C32-C41: 1.5050(11), C2-N3: 1.3750(11), C32-N33: 1.3801(11), N3-C4: 1.3979(11), N33-C34: 1.3954(11), C4-O18: 1.2230(11), C34-O48: 1.2257(11).



Scheme 2. Proposed mechanism for the oxidative conversion of 1 into the amidoester 3.

After deprotonation of 1 with NaH, the anion of the sodium salt 5 might undergo either primary Nmethylation to 4 (a rather slow process),⁴ or oxidation by the aerobic atmosphere. Thus 4, or more specifically 5, would react with singlet oxygen that would be generated by some photosensitizer in situ, such as 1 or one of its heteroaromatic derivatives.¹⁰ The process would thus consist of an allowed asynchronous [4 + 2]cycloaddition process leading to an endo-peroxide structure of type 6a,⁶⁻⁸ which would instantly rearrange into the zwitterionic peroxide 6b (possibly even directly, without the intermediacy of any cyclo-adduct 6a of local minimum energy). The latter would then spontaneously evolve into a carboxylic acid of type 7 via a carbonyl oxide (and/or a putative dioxirane isomer).¹¹ The latter would finally react with MeI and MeOH (during recrystallization) to give 3 (not observed by TLC in the crude material).

3. Conclusion

The disclosed results and interpretations provide a further rationale in the scope of the versatile reactivity of isoindole cores, in particular of C,N-annelated representatives, and open new horizons for the design of either new structures or new preparative schemes in the field of hetero-aromatic chemistry.

4. Experimental

4.1. General

The following analytical instruments were used. ¹H and ¹³C NMR: Bruker DPX 300, Avance 400 or Avance 500 spectrometers. Mass spectrometry: Quadrupolar Nermag R10-10H spectrometer. NMR chemical shifts (δ) are in parts per million, with positive values to high frequency relative to the tetramethylsilane reference; coupling constants (J) are in hertz. IR: 0.1 mm CaF₂ cell, PerkinElmer GX FTIR. 11*H*-isoindolo [2,1-a]quinazoline-5-one was prepared by a previously described procedure.^{12,13}

4.2. Crystallographic data and structural refinement parameters for compounds 2 and 3 (Table).

Intensity data were collected at low temperature on an Agilent Gemini diffractometer or on a Bruker Apex2 diffractometer. Structures were solved by direct methods using SIR92¹⁴ or SUPERFLIP,¹⁵ and refined by full-matrix least-squares procedures using the programs of CRYSTALS.¹⁶ Atomic scattering factors were taken from the International Tables for X-ray Crystallography.¹⁷ All nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were refined using a riding model. Absorption corrections were introduced using the program MULTISCAN.¹⁸

4.3. Synthesis procedure

A solution of 11 H-isoindolo[2,1-a]quinazoline-5-one (0.5 g, 2.1 mmol) in DMF (3 mL) was added dropwise to a suspension of NaH (100 mg, 2.5 mmol) in DMF (5 mL). The mixture was stirred for 30 min at 0°-before dropwise addition of iodomethane (0.15 mL, 2.35 mmol). Stirring was continued for another 16 h at room temperature; then chloroform (10 mL) and water (20 mL) were added, and the organic layer was collected, dried, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was recrystallized from Et₂O to give **2** as a light yellow solid (0.24 g, 43%). The filtrate was then concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was stirred in water for 16 h at room temperature. The precipitate was then recovered and recrystallized from methanol, to give **3** as a white solid (35 mg, 5%).

11,11-dimethyl-11*H*-isoindolo[2,1-a]quinazoline-5-one (2). mp 219–220°. IR: ν (cm⁻¹) 1649 (C=O). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.99 (s, 6 H, 2 C*H*₃), 7.84–7.45 (m, 6 H, arom. C*H*), 8.27 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H, *H*7), 8.54 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, *H*4). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 26.2 (-H₃), 69.6 (*C*Me₂), 115.0, 120.6, 124.7, 125.4, 129.3, 130.1, 133.3, 133.4 (arom *C*H), 120.2, 130.2, 138.1, 151.6 (quaternary arom. *C*), 159.7 (*C*=N), 170.5 (*C*=O). MS (DCI/NH₃): m/z 263.1 ([MH]⁺), 280.1 ([MNH₄]⁺). HRMS (DCI/CH₄): m/z calcd for C₁₇H₁₅N₂O [MH]⁺: 263.1184; found: 263.1190.

BAGLAI et al./Turk J Chem

Compound nos.	3	2
Chemical formula	$C_{17}H_{14}N_2O_3$	$C_{17}H_{14}N_2O$
$M \ (\mathrm{g \ mol}^{-1})$	294.31	262.31
Crystal system	Triclinic	Othorhombic
Space group	<i>P</i> -1	P_{bca}
a (Å)	10.4664(3)	14.2706(7)
<i>b</i> (Å)	11.1901(3)	12.7347(6)
c (Å)	12.5314(4)	14.7793(7)
α (°)	83.001(2)	90
β (°)	75.099(3)	90
γ (°)	88.381(2)	90
$V(Å^3)$	1407.75(8)	2685.9(2)
Z	4	8
ρ_{calcd}	1.389	1.297
$\mu \ (\mathrm{mm}^{-1})$	0.794	0.082
Θ_{max} (°)	71.71	33.30
Crystal size (mm)	$0.20\times0.20\times0.25$	$0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.25$
λ (Å)	$1.54180 \; (CuK_{\alpha})$	$0.71073 \; (MoK_{\alpha})$
Scan mode	Φ and Ω scans	Φ and Ω scans
T (K)	180	100
Refl. measured	27,384	66,121
Refl. unique	5458	4989
R _{int}	0.014	0.031
Refl. with $I > 3\sigma(I)$	5182	3811
Nb. parameters	397	181
R	0.0345	0.0418
R_w	0.0472	0.0470
Residual electron density ($\bar{e} \ A^{-3}$)	-0.17/0.23	-0.18/0.51

Table. Crystallographic data from X-ray diffraction analysis of 2 and 3.

Methyl 2-(3-methyl-4-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinazolin-2-yl)benzoate (3: see Scheme 3). mp 162–163°. IR: ν (cm⁻¹) 1722 (O=C(OMe)), 1667 (O=C(NH)). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.33 (s, 3 H, NCH₃), 3.78 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 7.43–7.78 (m, 6 H, arom. CH), 8.20 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1 H, C₁₀H), 8.39 (d,



Scheme 3. Numbering of the carbon atoms for NMR assignment of the amidoester 3.

 $J = 7.1 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, C_{13}H).^{13}\text{C NMR} (101 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)\delta 32.8 (NCH_3), 52.6 (OCH_3), 126.9 (2 C), 127.3, 129.0, 129.9, 131.0, 133.3, 134.1 (arom. CH), 120.8, 128.4, 136.7 (quaternary arom. C), 147.5 (C_6), 156.2 (C_2), 162.2, 165.8 (2 C=O). MS (DCI/NH_3): <math>m/z$ 295.1 ([MH]⁺). HRMS (DCI/CH₄):m/z calcd for $C_{17}H_{15}N_2O_3$ [MH]⁺: 295.1083; found: 295.1072.

Acknowledgements

The purchase of supplies and costs of analyses were supported by the ANR (11-BS07-016-01). IB was supported by the French Embassy in Kiev, Ukraine, the investigations having been performed within the framework of the GDRI (Groupement Franco-Ukrainien en Chimie Moléculaire) funded by the CNRS. The ANR, the French Embassy in Kiev, and the CNRS are thus sincerely acknowledged.

References

- Baglai, I.; Maraval, V.; Voitenko, Z. V.; Duhayon, C.; Volovenko, Y. M.; Chauvin, R. Tetrahedron 2012, 68, 6908–6913.
- 2. Babichev, F. S.; Kovtunenko, V. A.; Tyltin, A. K. Russ. Chem. Rev. 1981, 50, 1087–1103.
- 3. Voitenko, Z. V.; Samoylenko, V. P.; Tyltin A. K.; Turov. O. V. Ukr. Khim. Zh. 2001, 67, 111-114.
- Voitenko, Z. V.; Halaev, O. I.; Samoylenko, V. P.; Kolotilov, S. V.; Lepetit, C.; Donnadieu B.; Chauvin, R. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 8214–8222.
- 5. Pearson, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 3533–3539.
- Voitenko, Z. V.; Rudyuk, S. O.; Samoylenko, V. P.; Ryabov, S. V.; Zubatyuk, R. I.; Shishkin. O. V. Dopovidi Natsional'noi Akademii Nauk Ukraini 2005, 8, 132–138.
- 7. Lightner, D. A.; Kirk, D. I.; Norris, R. D. J. Heterocyclic Chem. 1974, 11, 1097–1098.
- 8. Walter T.; Werner, S. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1957, 605, 43-49.
- 9. Babichev, F. S.; Khil'ko, L. G.; Mel'nichenko, N. V. Ukr. Khim. Zh., 1969, 35, 615-618.
- 10. Wilkinson, F.; Helman, W. P.; Ross, A. B. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 1993, 22, 113-262.
- 11. Bunelle, W. H. Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 335–362.
- 12. Babichev, F. S.; Tyltin, A. K. Ukr. Khim. Zh. 1970, 36, 175–178.
- 13. Lyaskovskyy, V. V.; Voitenko Z. V.; Kovtunenko, V. A. Chem. Heterocyc. Compd. 2007, 43, 253-276.
- Altomare, A.; Cascarano, G.; Giacovazzo, C.; Guagliardi, A.; Burla, M. C.; Polidori, G.; Camalli, M. J. Appl. Cryst. 1994, 27, 435–436.
- 15. Palatinus, L.; Chapuis, G. J. Appl. Cryst. 2007, 40, 786-790.
- 16. Betteridge, P. W.; Carruthers, J. R.; Cooper, R. I.; Prout, K.; Watkin, D. J. J. Appl. Cryst. 2003, 36, 1487.
- 17. International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, vol. IV, Kynoch Press: Birmingham, England, 1974.
- 18. Blessing, R. H. Acta Cryst. 1995, A51, 33-38.

Copyright of Turkish Journal of Chemistry is the property of Scientific and Technical Research Council of Turkey and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.