



View Article Online

View Journal

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: G. sui, Q. lv, X. song, H. guo, J. dai, L. ren, C. Lee, W. Zhou and H. hao, *New J. Chem.*, 2019, DOI: 10.1039/C9NJ03210J.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/njc

1 2 3

8 9 10

11 12

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

COMMUNICATION

Chemoselective Reduction of Aldehyde via a Combination of NaBH₄ and Acetylacetone

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Guoqing Sui, ^a Qingyun Lv, ^a Xiaoqing Song, ^a Huihui Guo, ^a Jiatong Dai, ^a Li Ren, ^a Chi-Sing Lee, ^d Wenming Zhou, ^{*a} and Hong-Dong Hao^{*a,b,c}

A bench-stable combination of NaBH₄-Acetylacetone was developed for the efficient chemoselective reduction of aldehydes in the presence of ketones. This method offers a useful synthetic protocol for distinguishing carbonyl reaction sites, and its synthetic utility is reflected by its moisture tolerance and high efficiency in a variety of complex settings.

Functional group transformation is important for the strategic execution in organic synthesis, and chemoselective functional transformation can facilitate to synthesis the desired product with minimal of redox manupulation.¹ Carbonyl group, as one of the most ubiquitous and important functional groups in the repertoire of organic chemistry, many of its various transformations have become trivial reaction in organic synthesis. Among the transformations, the reduction of carbonyl groups to alcohols is one of the most basic chemical transformations, and its significance is reflected in the many reagents that have been developed for this purpose,² such as LiAlH₄, NaBH₄, and DIBAL-H. Limitations in chemoselectivity³ still exist, however, and in complex settings, such as the selective reduction of aldehydes in the presence of ketones and other functional groups can present a difficult challenge in many scenarios.

In this regard, several strategies have been developed to address this problem. For instance, global reduction of both aldehyde and ketone motifs followed by selective protection and oxidation of the

- ^a Shaanxi Key Laboratory of Natural Products & Chemical Biology, College of Chemistry & Pharmacy, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, Shaanxi 712100, China. Email: hongdonghao@nwafu.edu.cn
- ^{b.} State Key Laboratory of Bioorganic & Natural Products Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry (SIOC), Chinese Academy of Science, Shanghai 200032, China.
- ^c Key Laboratory of Chemical Genomics, School of Chemical Biology and Biotechnology, Peking University, Shenzhen Graduate School, Shenzhen 518055, China.
- ^d Department of Chemistry, Hong Kong Baptist University, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong SAR, China.
- + Footnotes relating to the title and/or authors should appear here.
- 58 Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary
 59 information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x
- 59 60

so-formed diol. While this strategy can synthesis the desired product 'steadily', it will need four steps. The second strategy need selective oxidation of the secondary alcohol, which is not a trivial transformation considering the chemoselective.⁴ Alternatively, a straight forward way to directly chemoselective reduce5 the aldehyde would make the synthesis more efficiency. Several methods have been reported based on the tunable reactivity of borohydrides. For example, Ward has excellently shown⁶ that aldehydes can be selectively reduced using CH₂Cl₂ and EtOH as cosolvents at -78°C, the excess NaBH₄ was quenched with distilled acetaldehyde. Toshima has also disclosed the combination of NaBH₄ with hexafluoroisopropanol to obtain the NaBH(HFIP)₃. While the method has been successfully applied in total syntheses,⁷ this reagent is reported to be moisture sensitive when handling in the solid state. Several methods based on metal-catalyzed hydrogen transfer have also reported,8 which show further potential application. In this regard, an aldehyde reduction method possessing both chemoselectivity and mild operability is still needed, and the formed primary alcohol can be further modified in natural product synthesis and biorthogonal chemistry. During a total synthesis project, we settled this chemoselective reduction challenge, taking

Strategy 1: four steps with selective protection of primary alcohol



Strategy 2: selective oxidation of secondary alcoho



Strategy 3: chemoselective reduction of aldehyde



inspiration from an earlier total synthesis project.9

Journal Name

COMMUNICATION

Scheme 1 Strategies for chemoselective reduction of aldehyde

Table 1. Optimization of Reaction Conditions^a



Entry	Solvent	Reaction time	Yield (%)	
1	CH ₂ Cl ₂	12 h	67	
2	EtOAc	16 h	37	
3	Toluene	24 h	70	
4	1,4-dioxane	40 min	77	
5	CH₃CN	10 min	93	
6	CHCl ₃	22 h	78	
7	THF	5 min	85	
8	THF⁵	5 min	81	
9	THF (0 °C)	12 min	95	
10	THF/acetone (10:1)	12 min	80	
11	THF ^c	24 h	43	

^aReaction conditions: **1a** (1.0 mmol), NaBH₄ (1.25 mmol), acetylacetone (1.0 mmol) in dry solvent at r.t ^bCommercial available THF was used. ^cNaBH(OAc)₃ (2 mmol) was used instead of NaBH₄ and acetylacetone.

Sodium borohydride (NaBH₄) which was first synthesized by Schlesinger and H. C. Brown,¹⁰ have become the most common used reagent for carbonyl reduction, however the used condition (NaBH₄ in MeOH or EtOH) can not differentiate between aldehyde and ketone. So several modifications to decrease the reactivity of NaBH₄ were reported. For example, sodium triacetoxyborohydride (NaBH(OAc)₃),¹¹ with the B-H bond being stabilized by the steric and electron-withdrawing effects of the acetoxy groups, acts as a mild and widely used reducing reagent. This strategy has been applied to prepare both NaBH(OAc)₃ and NaBH(OCH(CF₃)₂)₃, but their reactivities are deactivated significantly, thus both reagents require higher temperatures and/or long reaction times to reduce aldehydes. Herein, we report an efficient chemoselective aldehyde reduction approach enabled by a novel bench-stable combination with a mixture of NaBH₄ and a bidentate ligand acetylacetone.

Initially, the preparation of the combination was simply accessed by mixing NaBH₄ (1.25 equiv) and acetylacetone (1.0 equiv) in THF at 0 °C. After stirring for 2 hs, the reaction solvent was evaporated to obtain a white powder which is stable in open air. ¹² Then we start to test the reactivity of this combination with 4-acetylbenzaldehyde (1a) as the substrate. As shown in Table 1, solvent effect seemed to be rather significant in this process. Dichloromethane and EtOAc only gave moderate yields with long reaction time (entry 1 and 2). Toluene provided a better yield while a further decreased reaction efficiency (entry 3). 1,4-dioxane, by contrast, proved to be a much more efficient solvent, dramatically reducing the reaction time to 40 mins (entry 4). To pursue a more efficient protocol, the screening of other solvents that might facilitate this transformation was further conducted. Among the tested solvents, acetonitrile (CH_3CN) and THF outweighed others in terms of yield and reaction time (entry 5 and 7). Lowering the reaction temperature to 0°C further increased the yield to 95% (entry 9). It should be noted that wet THF or even a THF/acetone mixture as the solvent allowed smooth reduction, showcasing the mildness of the condition. NaBH(OAc)₃ was also test for the selective reduction, the product **2a** only isolated in 43% yield after 24 h (entry 11).

Table 2. St	uperior Reduction	Selectivity	of Aldehy	de to Ketone	Motif ^a
10010 - 00	aperior neutron	Delectivity	or / duciny		



^aReaction conditions: **1a to 1v** (1.0 mmol), NaBH₄ (1.25 mmol), acetylacetone (1.0 mmol) in dry THF at 0 °C. ^b1.0 g scale. ^cReaction conditions: **1q to 1u** (1.0 mmol), NaBH₄ (1.0 mmol) in MeOH at 0 °C.

Journal Name

With the optimized conditions established, efforts began to evaluate the substrate scope. Several aromatic aldehydes (1b to 1g) were prepared form 4-(hydroxymethyl)benzaldehyde through Grignard addition and global oxidation while aliphatic aldehyde substrates (1i to 1p) were synthesized rapidly in three steps from the corresponding 10-undecenoid acid and ethyl chrysanthemumate (cis/trans mixture) through Weinreb amide formation, Grignard addition followed by ozonolysis (For details, see Supporting Information). Then these substrates were submitted to the above reaction conditions. As compiled in Table 2, the superior selectivity toward the aldehyde motif to the ketone group was shown in cases of aromatic as well as aliphatic ones. In each case, the desired selective aldehyde reduction products were isolated in satisfactory yields ranging from 67% to 97%. Notably, substrates with labile functional groups such as aldimine (1s), succinimide derivatives 1t and 1u (for the preparation of these substrates, see Supporting Information), also underwent the anticipated reduction (entry 18-20). The disulfide aldehyde substrate 1q can be reduced efficiently in 81% yield while NaBH₄/MeOH will reduce the "fragile" disulfide bond. Meanwhile, selective reduction in the presence of tetrazole 1r also worked well (84% yield) while in NaBH₄/MeOH condition, only 1phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrazole resulting from C-S bond cleavage was isolated.13 Furthermore, this approach was conducted on a 1.0 g gram scale, furnishing the desired product 2i in 83% yield (87% yield when in 1 mmol). During the study, we also found that under reaction condition, the monosubstituted conjugated ketone 1g underwent both selective reduction and 1,4-reduction.¹⁴ Substrates (1h, 1v) from chiral terpene (limonene and camphor) also works well under the reduction condition (entry 7 and 21).

Table 3 Selective reduction of complex molecules



^aReaction conditions: **1w to 1ab** (1.0 mmol), NaBH₄ (1.25 mmol), acetylacetone (1.0 mmol) in dry THF at 0 $^{\circ}$ C.

The synthetic utility of this protocol further illustrated through complex molecule applications. As shown in Table **3**, several substrates derived from natural products and clinical medicines were submitted to the above optimized reaction conditions. For example, **1x** and **1y** served as excellent examples as the structure possess sterically hindered aldehydes groups, and the formed alcohol was isolated in good yield. In the case of **1w**, aldehyde was selective reduced in the presence of two ketone groups. Substrates (**1z**, **1aa**)

COMMUNICATION

and **1ab**) from fenofibrate (a drug used vfor Artiprimary hypercholesterolemia or mixed dyslipidemia) and pleuponnullinate (d tricyclic diterpene fungal metabolite as starting material for further antibiotic development) also yielded the corresponding primary alcohols in good yield. In this regard, this representative structural elaboration demonstrated that the developed sequence may provide facile access to a focused library of an array of structural analogues.

Conclusions

In summary, a convenient, highly efficient, and chemoselective reduction of aldehyde carbonyl moiety to primary alcohols enabled by a bench-stable solid through combination of NaBH₄ and acetylacetone is reported. The diversity of substrates, the high degree of compatibility with common organic functional groups, as well as the operability and stability of the reducing reagent raised the prospect of its applications in the pharmaceutical industry, general organic synthesis and natural product modification. Application in natural product total synthesis is actively pursued and will be reported in due course.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful for financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21901211), the start-up fund (Z111021705) of Northwest A&F University and the Open Fund (K3290218001) of State Key Laboratory of Bioorganic & Natural Products (SIOC), the Open Fund of Key Laboratory of Chemical Genomics (PKU, Shenzhen) is also acknowledged. H. D thank Dr. Julius R. Reyes (NYU, Dirk Trauner group) and Dr. Lili Shi (PKU, Zhen Yang group) for helpful discussions during the preparation of this manuscript.

Notes and references

- (a) N. Z. Burns, P. S. Baran and R. W. Hoffmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 2854; (b) T. Newhouse, P. S. Baran and R. W. Hoffmann, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **39**, 3010.
- 2 For a review on metal hydride reductants, see: H. C. Brown and S. Krishnamurthy, *Tetrahedron*, 1979, **35**, 567.
- 3 For selected references about chemoslective transformation, see: (a) Y. Sasano, N. Kogure, S. Nagasawa, K. Kasabata and Y. Iwabuchi, Org. Lett., 2018, 20, 6104; (b) R. M. B. Dyer, P. L. Hahn and M. K. Hilinski, Org. Lett., 2018, 20, 2011; For references on discussing chemoslective issue, see: (c) R. A. Shenvi, D. P. O'Malley and P. S. Baran, Acc. Chem. Res., 2009, 42, 530; (d) B. M. Trost and T. N. Salzmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1973, 95, 6840; (e) B. M. Trost, Science, 1983, 219, 245.
- 4 (a) R. V. Stevens, K. T. Chapman and H. N. Weller, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1980, **45**, 2030; (b) R. V. Stevens, K. T. Chapman, C. A. Stubbs, W. W. Tam and K. F. Albizati, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1982, **23**, 4647; (c) B. M. Trost and Y. Masuyama, *Tetrahedron Lett.*,

COMMUNICATION

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

43

44

45

46

47

1984, **25**, 173; For applications, see: M. Miyashita, M. Sasaki, I. Hattori, M. Sakai and K. Tanino, *Science*, 2004, **305**, 495.

- 5 For selected references about chemoselective reduction of aldehydes, see: (a) J. Y. Kim, W. K. Shin, A. K. Jaladi and D. K. An, *Tetrahedron*, 2018, **74**, 4236; (b) Y. Uozumi, T. Osako, K. Torii, S. Hirata and Y. Uozumi, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 7371; (c) Q. Xuan, C. Zhao and Q. Song, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2017, **15**, 5140; (d) G. W. Gribble and D. C. Ferguson, J. C. S. *Chem. Commun.*, 1975, 535; (e) K. Tanemura, T. Suzuki, Y. Nishida, K. Satsumabayashi and T. Horaguchi, *Syn. Commun.*, 2005, **35**, 867.
- 6 (a) D. E. Ward and C. K. Rhee, *Syn. Commun.*, 1988, 18, 1927; For application, see: (b) Y. X. Jia, X. Li, B. Wu, X. Z. Zhao and Y. Q. Tu, *Tetrahedron*, 2002, 58, 1697; (c) For an excellent summary of chemoselective reduction of aldehyde, see the references cited in ref 6a.
- 7 (a) Y. Kuroiwa, S. Matsumura and K. Toshima, *Synlett*, 2008, 16, 2523; For application, see: (b) J. Gomes, C. Daeppen, R. Liffert, J. Roesslein, E. Kaufmann, A. Heikinheimo, M. Neuburger and K. Gademan, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2016, 81, 11017.
- 8 For recent reference on this topic, see: (a) Z. Yang, Z. Zhu, R. Luo, X. Qiu, J. Liu, J. Yang and W. Tang, *Green Chem.*, 2017, 19, 3296; (b) T. M. Townsend, C. Kirby, A. Ruff and A. R. O' Connor, *J. Organometallic. Chem.*, 2017, 843, 7; (c) U. K. Das, C. S. Higman, B. Gabidullin, J. E. Hein and R. T. Baker, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, 8, 1076.
- 9 (a) H. J. Chen, H. D. Hao and Y. K. Wu, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2015, 4423; (b) Y. Han, L. Zhu, Y. Gao and C. S. Lee, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, 13, 588.
- H. I. Schlesinger, H. C. Brown, B. Abraham, A. C. Bond, N. Davidson, A. E. Finholt, J. R. Gilbreath, H. Hoekstra, L. Horvitz, E. K. M. Schwartz, I. Sheft, L. D. Tuck and A. O. Walker, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1953, **75**, 186.
- (a) D. A. Evans and K. T. Chapman, *Tetrahedron. Lett.*, 1986, 27, 5939; (b) D. A. Evans, K. T. Chapman and E. M. Carreira, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, 110, 3560; (c) A. K. Saksena and P. Mangiaracina, Tetrahedron. Lett., 1983, 24, 273.
- 12 For the detail procedure of preparing this combination, see Supporting Information.
- 13 Compounds **1q** to **1u** also test under NaBH₄ (1 equiv), MeOH condition, for details, see Supporting Information.
- 14 For selected references on conjugate reduction, see: (a) C. S. Lancefield, O. S. Ojo, F. Tran, N. J. Westwood, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 258; (b) Y. Li, D. Xue, W. Lu, C. Wang, Z. T. Liu and J. L. Xian, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, **16**, 66; (c) S. J. Chen, G. P. Lu and C. Cai, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 13208; (d) L. Moisan, C. Hardouin, B. Rousseau and E. Doris, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2002, **43**, 2013; (e) S. Saito and H. Yamamoto, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1996, **61**, 2928.
- 15 For recent references about the total synthesis of pleuromutilin, see: (a) S. K. Murphy, M. S. Zeng and S. B. Herzon, *Science*, 2017, **356**, 956; (b) E. P. Farney, S. S. Feng, F. Schafers and S. E. Reisman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 1267.

Page 4 of 4

Journal Name

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C9NJ03210J

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx