Tetrahedron Letters, Vol.32, No.36, pp 4771-4774, 1991 Printed in Great Britain

## Generation and Rearrangement of C<sub>2</sub>-Symmetric Chiral Allyl Anions derived from Dibenzo- and 1,1'-Dinaphtho-2,2'-dithiopropenes

Antonio Dore, Sergio Cossu, and Ottorino De Lucchi\*,2

Dipartimento di Chimica, Università di Sassari, via Vienna 2, 1-07100 Sassari, Italy

## Giovanni Valle<sup>3</sup>

Centro Studi Biopolimeri del C.N.R., via Marzolo 1, I-35131 Padova, Italy

Key Words: 1,3-Dithioallyl anions, dibenzo-2,2'-dithiol, 1,1'-dinaphtho-2,2'-dithiol, atropisomeric sulfur reagents, C2-symmetric chiral reagents.

Abstract: The allyl anions generated from 4H-dinaphtho[2,1-f:1',2'-h][1,5]dithionin (6) and 2-ethenyl-dinaphtho[2,1-d:1',2'-f][1,3]dithiepin (8) rearranged both to the thiolate 10 which further reacted with water or methyl iodide to afford 9 or 12 respectively. A similar behavior was exhibited by the anion of 4H-dibenzo[f,h][1,5]dithionin (15).

The allyl anions generated from 1,3-(bismethylthio)propene  $1a^4$  or from the corresponding bisphenylthio derivative  $1b^5$  have been shown to be usefull synthetic equivalents of the  $\beta$ -acyl vinyl anion.<sup>4</sup> As an example, 1a has been used in a synthesis of racemic prostaglandin  $F_{2\alpha}$ .<sup>6</sup> We reasoned that the intrinsically chiral allyl anions 2a,b derived from dibenzo- and 1,1'-dinaphtho-2,2'-dithiopropenes respectively would have represented chiral versions of these reagents with a C<sub>2</sub> symmetry. Here we report on their generation and on their unexpected chemical behavior.



Nucleophilic substitution of 1,3-dibromopropane with 1,1'-dinaphtho-2,2'-dithiol 3 afforded high yield of the dinaphthodithionin 47.8 (98%, 281-2 °C from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/*n*-hexane) which was oxidized in high yield to a single diastereometric sulfoxide 5 [*m*-chloroperbenzoic acid (mCPBA)/ CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 95%, 275-6 °C from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/*n*-hexane] as shown in equation 1. The total diastereoselectivity observed in the oxidation is remarkable considering the size of the ring. The stereochemistry of the sulfinyl oxygen is supposedly pseudoequatorial in analogy with similar sulfoxides.<sup>9</sup> Pummerer reaction<sup>10</sup> of the latter in acetic anhydride afforded the olefin 67 (20%, 241-2 °C from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/*n*-hexane) together with a variable amount of the acetate 7 (ca. 40%, mixture of isomers) which could be deacetoxylated to 6 by heating with sodium carbonate.



Olefin 6 rearranged in the presence of light to the isomeric olefin  $8^7$  (quantitative, 157-8 °C from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/*n*-hexane), probably through radical intermediates as evidenced by the shorter reaction time of the reaction carried out in the presence of iodine as a radical initiator and by the fact that the rearrangement did not occur in the dark (equation 2).



Generation of the anion 2b from 6 with *n*-buthyllithium in THF at -78 C°, followed by quenching of the anion with water, did not give back the starting material 6, as it was expected, but the highly rearranged isomer  $9^{11}$  (90%, 147-8 °C from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/*n*-hexane) instead (equation 3). The structure assignment was based on decoupling experiments and other spectroscopic data.<sup>7</sup>



12

Quenching of the anion with deuterated water afforded 9 deuterated stereoselectively at the methylenic carbon.

The reaction probably proceeds through the thiolate 10 as intermediate because the thiol 11 was observed in the NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture, but it could not be isolated even upon quenching of the reaction mixture with acidic (4.0 pH buffer) water.

More convincingly, quenching of the anion generated from 6 with methyl iodide afforded high yields of 12 (94% yield based on recovered starting material, 190-1 °C from  $CH_2Cl_2/n$ -hexane) as the sole identificable product. The structure of 12 was assigned on the basis of an X-ray structure determination (Figure 1).<sup>12</sup>

The anion 13 generated from 8, which is also chiral with a C<sub>2</sub>-symmetry, rearranged under similar reaction conditions to the same products observed in the reaction of 6. This observation suggests the formation of a common intermediate in the two reactions and which might be postulated as the extensively delocalized anion shown with structure 14. The latter may add the



Figure 1. ORTEP representation of compound 12.

electrophile to the sulfur atom (e.g. methyl iodide) to deliver 12 or to the distal carbon of anion (e.g. H<sub>2</sub>0 or D<sub>2</sub>O) to give 9. The energy gain for the formation of the anion 14 may be given by release of strain energy and by the formation of a thiolate anion or a planar sulfur substituted allyl anion. Eventually, however, any process leads to loss of aromaticity of one of the naphthyl rings.



It was reasoned that the allyl anion derived from the biphenyl derivative 15 could be more stable because the degenerative process requires a higher energy of dearomatization. The reaction of biphenyl derivative 15 (85-6 °C from  $CH_2Cl_2/n$ -hexane) with *n*-butyllithium (equation 4) followed by quenching with methyl iodide afforded product 16 (90% yield based on recovered starting material, 104-5 °C from  $CH_2Cl_2/n$ -hexane). This compound is thought to be generated via the norcaradiene - tropylidene structures 17-N and 17-T suggesting a similar behaviour with the binaphthyl case illustrated in equation 3.





On the basis of the results so far obtained, there are no evidencies of charge delocalization in the allyl anions 2a,b probably because the peculiar geometry of the molecules under investigation precludes a correct orbital allignment of the allyl system. It should be recalled, however, that deprotonation of the related system and lower vinylogous 5H-1,4-dithiepin was also reported not to occurr at the allyl position.<sup>13</sup>

## References and Notes

- Work carried out in the frame of "Progetto Finalizzato Chimica Fine e Secondaria II" of C.N.R. (Rome). 1.
- Present address: Dipartimento di Chimica, Università di Venezia, Dorsoduro 2137, I-30123 Venezia, Italy. 2.
- 3. 4. Author to whom inquiries concerning the X-ray structure determination should be directed.
- Corcy, E. J.; Erickson, B. W.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 1724.
- 5. Myers, M. R.; Cohen, T. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 1290. Cohen, T.; Bennett, D. A.; Mura, A. J., Jr. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 2506. See also: Dziadulewicz, E.; Hodgson, D.; Gallagher, T. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin I 1988, 3367. Dziadulewicz, E.; Giles, M.; Moss, W. O.; Gallagher, T.; Harman, M.; Hursthouse, M. B. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin I 1989, 1793. Fang, J.-M; Chen, M.-Y. Synlett 1990, 285.
- 6. Corey, E. J.; Noyori, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1970, 311.
- All new compouds presented correct elemental composition and were characterized by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz) and other 7 spectroscopic techniques. 6: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 2.74-2.80 (1H, m), 3.18-3.26 (1H, m), 5.34-5.46 (2H, m), 7.03-7.05, 7.25-7.32, 7.44-7.55, 7.59-7.62, 7.80-7.98 (12H, series of m, Ar); IR (KBr) 3040, 1495, 1395, 810, 715. 8: 1H-NMR  $(CDCl_3)$   $\delta$  5.06 (1H, d, J = 9.9 Hz), 5.21 (1H, d, J = 16.8 Hz), 5.32 (1H, d, J = 9.0 Hz), 5.87 (1H, ddd, J = 16.8, 9.9, 9.0 Hz), 6.90-8.10 (10H, m, Ar); IR (KBr) 3030, 1623, 1576, 1317, 1158, 823, 755. 9: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 3.79 (1H, dd, J = 18.0, 4.2 Hz), 3.90 (1H, dd, J = 18.0, 3.3 Hz), 5.68 (1H, dd, J = 9.3, 3.0 Hz), 6.06 (1H, d, J = 9.3 Hz), 6.85 (1H, dd, J = 18.0, 3.3 Hz), 5.68 (1H, dd, J = 9.3 Hz), 6.85 (1H, dd, J = 18.0, 3.3 Hz), 5.68 (1H, dd, J = 9.3 Hz), 5.68 (1H, dd), 5.68 4.2, 3.3 Hz), 7.05-7.65 (10H, series of m, Ar); R (KBr) 3049, 2923, 1617, 1506, 812, 740, 671. 12: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.15 (1H, d, J = 2.7 Hz), 2.57 (3H, s), 5.54 (1H, d, J = 5.7 Hz), 6.07 (1H, dd, J = 5.7, 2.7 Hz), 6.42 (1H, d, J = 10.0 Hz), 6.54 (1H, d, J = 10.0 Hz), 6.92-7.46, 7.63-7.92 (10H, m, Ar); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  17.30, 33.99, 44.34, 52.65, 120.90, 124.66, 124.87, 125.01, 125.61, 126.92, 127.31, 127.41, 128.24, 129.13, 129.20, 129.22, 129.58, 129.72, 131.15, 132.73, 132.75, 134.21, 137.20, 138.93; IR (KBr) 3070, 2914, 1490, 1302, 1111, 810, 799, 740. 15: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.69 (1H, ddd, J = 12.0, 3.7, 1.0 Hz), 3.22 (1H, t, J = 12.0 Hz), 5.30-5.42 (1H, m), 5.54 (1H, d, d, d) = 12.0 Hz), 5.30-5.42 (1H, m), 5.54 (1H, d, d) = 12.0 Hz J = 9.0 Hz), 7.10-7.50 (7H, m, Ar), 7.65-7.72 (1H, m, Ar); IR (KBr) 3051, 2920, 2900, 1440, 1422, 1350, 754, 742, 714. 16: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.28 (3H, s), 2.54 (2H, bs), 5.50 (1H, t, J = 6.9 Hz), 5.72 (1H, dt, J = 9.6, 6.9 Hz), 6.62 (1H, d, J = 5.1 Hz), 6.83 (1H, d, J = 9.6 Hz), 7.07-7.35 (6H, series of m, Ar); IR (KBr) 3049, 3022, 1605, 1456, 1430, 1073, 1033, 847, 748, 727.
- 8. Other more direct methods of preparation of 6, as for example reaction of 3 with propargyl bromide or 1,3dibromopropene were not succesful. The product obtained was the compound which arises from one mole of 3 and two moles of the alkyl halide even when large excess of the thiol was used.
- 9. Cossu, S.; Delogu, G.; De Lucchi, O.; Fabbri, D.; Fois, M. P.; Maglioli, P. in "Heteroatom Chemistry" E. Block, Ed., Verlag Chemie: New York, 1990; Chapter 8, pp. 143-163. Delogu, G.; De Lucchi, O.; Licini, G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 411.
- 10. De Lucchi, O.; Miotti, U.; Modena, G. Org. React. 1991, 40, 157.
- 11. Two other compounds produced in ca. 10% yield in the reaction quenched with water have not yet been characterized.
- Unit cell parameters: a = 27.786(3), b = 7.485(1), c = 17.606(2) Å,  $\beta = 102.7(2)^\circ$ : C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>; mw 370.53; Z = 8;  $D = 102.7(2)^\circ$ : C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>; mw 370.53; Z = 8;  $D = 102.7(2)^\circ$ : C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>; mw 370.53; Z = 8;  $D = 102.7(2)^\circ$ : C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>; mw 370.53; Z = 8;  $D = 102.7(2)^\circ$ : C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>; mw 370.53; Z = 8;  $D = 102.7(2)^\circ$ : C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>; mw 370.53; Z = 8;  $D = 102.7(2)^\circ$ : C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>; mw 370.53; Z = 8;  $D = 102.7(2)^\circ$ : C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>; mw 370.53; Z = 8;  $D = 102.7(2)^\circ$ : C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>; mw 370.53; Z = 8;  $D = 102.7(2)^\circ$ : C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>; mw 370.53; Z = 8;  $D = 102.7(2)^\circ$ : C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>; mw 370.53; Z = 8;  $D = 102.7(2)^\circ$ : C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>; mw 370.53; Z = 8;  $D = 102.7(2)^\circ$ : C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>; mw 370.53; Z = 8;  $D = 102.7(2)^\circ$ : C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>; mw 370.53; Z = 8;  $D = 102.7(2)^\circ$ : C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>; mw 370.53; Z = 8;  $D = 102.7(2)^\circ$ : C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>; mw 370.53; Z = 8;  $D = 102.7(2)^\circ$ : C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>; mw 370.53; Z = 8; D = 102.7(2)^\circ; C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>; mw 370.53; Z = 8; D = 102.7(2)^\circ; C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>; mw 370.53; Z = 8; D = 102.7(2)^\circ; C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>; mw 370.53; Z = 8; D = 102.7(2)^\circ; C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>; mw 370.53; Z = 8; D = 102.7(2)^\circ; C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>; mw 370.53; Z = 8; D = 102.7(2)^\circ; C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>; mw 370.53; Z = 8; D = 102.7(2)^\circ; C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>; mw 370.53; Z = 8; D = 102.7(2)^\circ; C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>; mw 370.53; Z = 8; D = 102.7(2)^\circ; C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>; mw 370.53; Z = 102.7(2)^\circ; mw 370.53; Z = 102.7(2)^\circ; mw 370.53; mw 370.5 12. 1.38 g/cm<sup>3</sup>; monoclinic C2/c;  $\lambda$  (MoK $\alpha$ ) = 0.7107Å. The structure was phased by SHELX 86 program and refined by blocked full matrix least squares using SHELX 76 program. The final conventional R factor for the 1446 considered observed reflections  $[I \ge 2.7\sigma(I)]$  was 0.055.
- Murata, I.; Nakasuji, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 1895. 13.

(Received in UK 15 May 1991)