

# Synthesis of Substituted Resorcinol Monomethyl Ethers from 2-Bromo-3-methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-ones

Wenjie Shao and Derrick L. J. Clive\*

Chemistry Department, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2G2, Canada

## Supporting Information


**ABSTRACT:** 2-Bromo-3-methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-ones are readily alkylated at C-6 with reactive halides, and then treatment with DBU (2 equiv) in PhMe at room temperature results in smooth loss of bromide and aromatization to resorcinol monomethyl ethers of defined substitution pattern.



## INTRODUCTION

During synthetic work related to coleophomone B<sup>1</sup> we alkylated the bromide **1** with prenyl bromide (**1** → **2**, Scheme 1) under standard conditions (LDA, THF, −78 °C) and then tried to carry out a second alkylation at C-6 with a different allylic halide (**2** → **3**), again using LDA at a low temperature. However, we found that very little alkylation occurred unless the temperature was raised to 0 °C, at which point we did obtain a somewhat larger proportion of the desired product (**3**) as a mixture of diastereoisomers, together with a small amount of the monomethyl ether **4**.

**Scheme 1. Dialkylation Studies on 2-Bromo-3-methoxy-5-methylcyclohex-2-en-1-one**



In attempting to improve the second alkylation step (**2** → **3**), we tried to generate the required enolate with (Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>NK in THF at −78 °C, and after an arbitrary period of 30 min, we quenched the mixture with very dilute hydrochloric acid, hoping to establish—through recovery of **2**—that the desired enolate was stable at a low temperature. However, very little of **2** was recovered and **4** was the major isolable product.


Further experiments showed that the *trans* isomer of **2** (*trans*-**2**), whose structure was verified by single crystal X-ray analysis, could be alkylated (*trans*-**2** → **3**) in 28% yield (71% corrected for recovered **2**, which was now a 10:1 *cis*–*trans* mixture). In contrast, *cis*-**2** could not be alkylated to any

significant extent using the bases we tried [LDA, (Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>NK, (Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>NLi, NaH], and we suspect that the preferred conformation is such that the α-hydrogen at C-6 is not collinear with the p-orbital of the adjacent carbonyl group, so that deprotonation is difficult. Attempts to epimerize *cis*-**2** by heating in PhMe at 70 °C with 1 equiv of DBU<sup>2</sup> led cleanly to **4**.

The transformation **2** → **4** represents a regiocontrolled method for making alkylated monomethyl ethers of resorcinol and we have refined it to a general procedure.

There are several corresponding methods described in the literature. The use of DDQ in refluxing dioxane for dehydrogenation of 3-alkoxycyclohex-2-en-1-ones appears to give poor yields (29–38%)<sup>3</sup> and sometimes fails altogether.<sup>4</sup> Likewise, dehydrogenation with Pd-black in refluxing cymene is also not reliable,<sup>4</sup> but use of Hg(OAc)<sub>2</sub> in refluxing acetic acid did work well (e.g., 84% yield) in a case where experiments with DDQ and Pd-black were unsuccessful.<sup>4,5</sup> Treatment of the silyl ether **5** with I<sub>2</sub> in refluxing MeOH gave the silyl ether **6** in 84% yield (Scheme 2).<sup>6</sup> In principle, one would expect desilylation of **6** to be easy, so this method should also provide a route to resorcinol monomethyl ethers.

**Scheme 2. An Iodine-Mediated Aromatization**




In certain highly specific cases, in the presence of Rh<sup>3+</sup>, a pendant double bond has been isomerized into a six-membered ring to effect aromatization to a mixture (ca. 1:1, 81% yield) of mono- and dimethyl ethers (**7** → **8** + **9**), with the ratio being sensitive to the experimental conditions (Scheme 3).<sup>7</sup>

Finally, bromination<sup>8,9</sup> (e.g., **10** → **11**) or corresponding selenation<sup>10,11</sup> (e.g., **12** → **13**) have also been used (Scheme 4).


**Received:** January 27, 2015



Scheme 3. A Rhodium-Mediated Aromatization



Scheme 4. Bromination and Selenenylation Methods for Aromatization



## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The present method is experimentally straightforward: One alkylates a 2-bromo-3-methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-one (e.g., **1** → **2**) and adds 2 equiv of DBU to a room temperature solution of the alkylation product in PhMe. Using as a test case compound **18** (see Table 1), the only one whose aromatization we monitored, we found that the reaction is over within 5 h at 50 °C, but it is more convenient to carry out the aromatizations at room temperature for an overnight period. The reactions are all very clean, and Table 1 lists our results.

The starting 2-bromo-3-methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-one (**1**) is easily prepared by bromination<sup>12</sup> (NBS, 91% yield) of 3-methoxy-5-methylcyclohex-2-en-1-one, itself available by the classical procedure of Michael addition of ethyl acetoacetate to methyl crotonate in the presence of EtONa (54%), followed by heating with acid at pH 1<sup>13</sup> and *O*-methylation with (MeO)<sub>3</sub>CH (91%).<sup>14</sup> This synthetic route is general,<sup>15</sup> and compound **27** was made analogously from methyl (*E*)-pent-2-enoate.<sup>16</sup>


The alkylation step to attach the C-6 chain (LDA, alkyl halide, THF, −78 °C) gave the yields indicated in Table 1. All the alkylations were done with an alkyl bromide, except for the preparation of **18**, where methyl iodide was used.

The last entry in the Table (**27** → **28**) shows that, as expected, the method is not limited to methyl substitution at C-5.

Allylic, propargylic, and benzylic bromides are suitable alkylating agents, and we have also used an  $\alpha$ -bromo ester (to prepare **25**). Attempts to use butyl bromide or iodide for the alkylation step were unsuccessful, but all the activated bromides we used and methyl iodide worked satisfactorily, giving yields of at least 70% without any attempt at optimization.

The alkyl bromides used are all known compounds, except for that needed to make **14**; it was prepared by the method summarized in Scheme 5.

With the exception of **18** and **21**, the alkylation products (see Table 1) were inconsequential mixtures of chromatographically inseparable diastereoisomers, with one predominating, and it was sometimes difficult to decide if some of the minor signals in the NMR spectra represented the minor isomer or an impurity. If the latter, the amount must have been very small, because the

Table 1. Yields for Alkylation and Aromatization<sup>a</sup>


<sup>a</sup>Yields in the first column are for alkylation of the parent 2-bromo-5-methyl-(or ethyl)-3-methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-one. All aromatizations were done overnight at room temperature. <sup>b</sup>Stereochemistry not determined. <sup>c</sup>Yield for bromination of 3-methoxy-5-methylcyclohex-2-en-1-one.

pure aromatization products were isolated in high yield (average yield 87% for nine examples).


We assume that the mechanism involves migration of the initial double bond, as summarized in Scheme 6. Besides DBU, we examined the use of pyridine and triethylamine with compound **2**, but these two bases were ineffective, at least at room temperature, and the bromide starting material remained unchanged.

For comparison purposes, compound **39** was subjected to the action of I<sub>2</sub> in refluxing MeOH,<sup>6</sup> but we obtained a complex mixture and very little, if any (by <sup>1</sup>H NMR), of the desired


## Scheme 5. Preparation of Bromide 34



## Scheme 6. Suggested Mechanism



dimethoxy product **40**. Similarly, the use of  $\text{Hg}(\text{OAc})_2^{4,5}$  was shown to be incompatible with the presence of a triple bond, as compound **41** gave none (by  $^1\text{H}$  NMR) of the desired **42**, either at reflux temperature<sup>4,5</sup> or at room temperature. While the first of these experiments ( $\text{I}_2/\text{MeOH}$ ) was expected to produce a bis-ether, instead of a mono ether, as in our method, both experiments serve the purpose of showing that certain side-chain functionality is incompatible with the  $\text{I}_2/\text{MeOH}$  or  $\text{Hg}(\text{OAc})_2/\text{AcOH}$  reagent systems, both of which appear from the literature to be the best of the prior methods.



## CONCLUSION

Our procedure for making differentially protected resorcinol derivatives is very simple. It accommodates functionality in the side chain that is added in the first step; this functionality renders the final products readily amenable to further manipulation. The alkylation yields are  $\geq 70\%$ , provided that the alkylating agent is activated (the halide should be allylic, propargylic, or benzylic, in the form of an  $\alpha$ -halo ester, or methyl iodide), and the aromatization occurs at room temperature in yields of 82–92% for the examples we have

studied. Our control experiments with the model substrates **39** and **40** show that the present method has a number of advantages over existing procedures.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**General Procedures.** Solvents used for chromatography were distilled before use. Commercial thin-layer chromatography plates (silica gel, Merck 60F-254) were used. Silica gel for flash chromatography was Merck type 60 (230–400 mesh). Dry solvents were prepared under an inert atmosphere and transferred by syringe or cannula. The symbols s, d, t, and q used for  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectra indicate zero, one, two, or three attached hydrogens, respectively, the assignments being made from APT spectra. Solutions were evaporated under water pump vacuum, and the residue was then kept under oil pump vacuum. High-resolution electrospray mass spectrometric analyses were done with an orthogonal time-of-flight analyzer, and electron ionization mass spectra were measured with a double-focusing sector mass spectrometer.

**2-Bromo-3-methoxy-5-methylcyclohex-2-en-1-one (1).** NBS (4.35 g, 24.4 mmol) was added in one portion to a stirred and cooled ( $0^\circ\text{C}$ ) solution of 3-methoxy-5-methylcyclohex-2-en-1-one<sup>13,14</sup> (2.85 g, 20.3 mmol) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (14 mL). Stirring at  $0^\circ\text{C}$  was continued for 2 h with protection from light. The reaction mixture was diluted with saturated aqueous  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  and extracted with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ . The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried ( $\text{MgSO}_4$ ), and evaporated. Crystallization of the residue from MeOH gave **1** (4.05 g, 91%) as a white solid:  $97\text{--}100^\circ\text{C}$ ; FTIR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , cast) 1653, 1615  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 500 MHz)  $\delta$  1.17 (d,  $J = 6.5$  Hz, 3 H), 2.21–2.33 (m, 3 H), 2.65–2.69 (m, 1 H), 2.78–2.85 (m, 1 H), 3.97 (s, 3 H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 125 MHz)  $\delta$  20.8 (q), 28.1 (d), 34.7 (t), 44.8 (t), 56.3 (q), 102.7 (s), 172.1 (s), 190.9 (s); exact mass (electron ionization)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_8\text{H}_{11}\text{BrO}_2$  ( $M$ )<sup>+</sup> 217.9942, found 217.9941.

**2-Bromo-3-methoxy-5-methyl-6-(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)-cyclohex-2-en-1-one (2).** *n*-BuLi (2.50 M in hexanes, 2.60 mL, 6.50 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred and cooled ( $-78^\circ\text{C}$ ) solution of *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>NH (0.93 mL, 6.64 mmol) in THF (10 mL). Stirring at  $-78^\circ\text{C}$  was continued for 30 min and then a solution of **1** (1.10 g, 5.02 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was added dropwise. The cold bath was not recharged, so the temperature rose to  $0^\circ\text{C}$  over 2 h. The mixture was then recooled to  $-78^\circ\text{C}$  and a solution of prenyl bromide (2.24 mL, 19.4 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was added dropwise. The cold bath was left in place, but not recharged, and stirring was continued for 6 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with saturated aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried ( $\text{MgSO}_4$ ), and evaporated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel ( $3 \times 15$  cm), using 1:4 EtOAc–hexanes, gave **2** (1.14 g, 79%) as a pale yellow solid: FTIR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , cast) 1659, 1592  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 500 MHz)  $\delta$  (major isomer) 1.13 (d,  $J = 6.5$  Hz, 3 H), 1.65 (s, 3 H), 1.69 (s, 3 H), 2.17–2.27 (m, 2 H), 2.32–2.41 (m, 2 H), 2.48–2.59 (m, 1 H), 2.83 (dd,  $J = 17.5, 5.0$  Hz, 1 H), 3.95 (s, 3 H) 5.02–5.06 (m, 1 H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 125 MHz)  $\delta$  (major isomer) 17.8 (q), 17.9 (q), 25.8 (q), 27.0 (t), 30.1 (d), 33.0 (t), 52.6 (d), 56.1 (q), 102.2 (s), 120.7 (d), 133.5 (s), 170.3 (s), 192.6 (s); exact mass (electrospray)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{19}\text{BrNaO}_2$  ( $M + \text{Na}$ )<sup>+</sup> 309.0461, found 309.0457.

**5-Methoxy-3-methyl-2-(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)phenol (4).**<sup>1b</sup> DBU (304 mg, 2.00 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of **2** (287 mg, 1.00 mmol) in PhMe (2 mL). Stirring was continued overnight and the mixture was diluted with hydrochloric acid (5%) and extracted thoroughly with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried ( $\text{MgSO}_4$ ), and evaporated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel ( $1.8 \times 10$  cm), using 1:3 EtOAc–hexanes, gave **4** (175 mg, 85%) as a thick oil: FTIR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , cast) 3419, 1614, 1590  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 500 MHz)  $\delta$  1.74 (s, 3 H), 1.81 (s, 3 H), 2.27 (s, 3 H), 3.30 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 2 H), 3.75 (s, 3 H), 5.12 (s, 1 H), 5.13–5.17 (m, 1 H), 6.28 (d,  $J = 3.0$  Hz, 1 H), 6.35 (d,  $J = 3.0$  Hz, 1 H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 125 MHz)  $\delta$  17.8 (q), 20.3 (q), 25.2 (t), 25.7 (q), 55.2 (q), 99.5 (d), 108.5 (d), 117.8 (s), 122.1 (d), 133.8 (s),



138.1 (s), 155.2 (s), 158.4 (s); exact mass (electron ionization)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{13}H_{18}O_2$  (M)<sup>+</sup> 206.1306, found 206.1304.

**2-Bromo-3-methoxy-6-[(2E)-4-[(4-methoxyphenyl)methoxy]-2-methylbut-2-en-1-yl]-5-methylcyclohex-2-en-1-one (14).** The procedure for compound 2 was followed, using *n*-BuLi (2.50 M in hexanes, 0.28 mL, 0.70 mmol), *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>NH (0.12 mL, 0.85 mmol) in THF (2 mL), a solution of 1 (138 mg, 0.63 mmol) in THF (2 mL), and a solution of 34 (468 mg, 1.64 mmol) in THF (2 mL). The mixture was left overnight after the addition of 34 and then worked up. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (1.8 × 10 cm), using 1:4 EtOAc–hexanes, gave 14 (205 mg, 77%) as a colorless oil: FTIR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, cast) 1659, 1611, 1589 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ (major isomer) 1.11 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 1.63 (s, 3 H), 2.14–2.26 (m, 1 H), 2.29–2.47 (m, 4 H), 2.84 (dd, *J* = 18, 5.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.80 (s, 3 H), 3.93 (s, 3 H), 4.01 (s, 2 H), 4.42 (s, 2 H), 5.40–5.43 (m, 1 H), 6.87 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.26 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) δ (major isomer) 16.1 (q), 20.0 (q), 29.1 (d), 31.2 (t), 40.1 (t), 50.5 (d), 55.2 (q), 56.2 (q), 66.2 (t), 71.9 (t), 101.2 (s), 113.7 (d), 124.2 (d), 129.4 (d), 130.4 (s), 137.0 (s), 159.2 (s), 169.7 (s), 192.9 (s); exact mass (electrospray)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{21}H_{28}^{79}BrO_4$  (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 423.1165, found 423.1166.

**5-Methoxy-2-[(2E)-4-[(4-methoxyphenyl)methoxy]-2-methylbut-2-en-1-yl]-3-methylphenol (15).** The procedure for 4 was followed, using DBU (151 mg, 0.993 mmol) and a solution of 14 (200 mg, 0.473 mmol) in PhMe (1.5 mL). Workup and flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (1.8 × 10 cm), using 1:3 EtOAc–hexanes, gave 15 (133 mg, 82%) as a thick oil: FTIR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, cast) 3353, 1613, 1589 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ 1.67 (s, 3 H), 2.23 (s, 3 H), 3.32 (s, 2 H), 3.74 (s, 3 H), 3.80 (s, 3 H), 4.01 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 2 H), 4.40 (s, 2 H), 5.13 (s, 1 H), 5.32–5.36 (m, 1 H), 6.26 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.35 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.86 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) δ 16.7 (q), 20.2 (q), 35.5 (t), 55.1 (q), 55.2 (q), 66.1 (t), 71.7 (t), 99.5 (d), 108.5 (d), 113.7 (d), 115.6 (s), 121.7 (d), 129.4 (d), 130.4 (s), 138.6 (s), 139.1 (s), 155.5 (s), 158.7 (s), 159.1 (s); exact mass (electrospray)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{21}H_{25}O_4$  (M – H)<sup>-</sup> 341.1758, found 341.1761.

**2-Bromo-3-methoxy-5-methyl-6-(prop-2-en-1-yl)cyclohex-2-en-1-one (16).** The procedure for compound 2 was followed, using *n*-BuLi (2.50 M in hexanes, 0.60 mL, 1.50 mmol), *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>NH (0.25 mL, 1.78 mmol) in THF (6 mL), a solution of 1 (293 mg, 1.35 mmol) in THF (3 mL), and a solution of allyl bromide (0.40 mL, 4.63 mmol) in THF (3 mL). The mixture was left overnight after the addition of the allyl bromide and then worked up. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (1.8 × 13 cm), using 1:5 EtOAc–hexanes, gave 16 (249 mg, 72%) as a thick oil: FTIR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, cast) 3076, 1649, 1587 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ (major isomer) 1.13 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3 H), 2.17–2.27 (m, 2 H), 2.33–2.39 (m, 2 H), 2.66–2.70 (m, 1 H), 2.82 (dd, *J* = 17.5, 5.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.93 (s, 3 H), 5.02–5.10 (m, 2 H), 5.67–5.75 (m, 1 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) δ (major isomer) 19.4 (q), 30.0 (d), 32.4 (t), 33.3 (t), 51.7 (d), 56.1 (q), 102.3 (s), 117.2 (t), 135.0 (d), 170.5 (s), 192.0 (s); exact mass (electron ionization)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{11}H_{15}^{79}BrO_2$  (M)<sup>+</sup> 258.0255, found 258.0257.

**5-Methoxy-3-methyl-2-(prop-2-en-1-yl)phenol (17).**<sup>17</sup> The procedure for 4 was followed, using DBU (100 mg, 0.658 mmol) and a solution of 14 (81.5 mg, 0.318 mmol) in PhMe (1 mL). Workup and flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (1 × 10 cm), using 1:3 EtOAc–hexanes, gave 17 (46.8 mg, 84%) as a thick oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ 2.26 (s, 3 H), 3.36 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 3.75 (s, 3 H), 5.00–5.08 (m, 2 H), 5.90–6.00 (m, 1 H), 6.28 (d, *J* = 3.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.37 (d, *J* = 3.5 Hz, 1 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) δ 19.9 (q), 30.1 (t), 55.2 (q), 99.5 (d), 108.5 (d), 115.2 (t), 116.0 (s), 135.9 (d), 138.8 (s), 154.9 (s), 158.6 (s).

**2-Bromo-3-methoxy-5,6-dimethylcyclohex-2-en-1-one (18).** The procedure for compound 2 was followed, using *n*-BuLi (2.50 M in hexanes, 0.63 mL, 1.57 mmol), *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>NH (0.26 mL, 1.85 mmol) in THF (6 mL), a solution of 1 (313 mg, 1.43 mmol) in THF (3 mL), and a solution of MeI (0.20 mL, 3.21 mmol) in THF (2 mL). The mixture was left overnight after the addition of MeI and then worked

up. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (1.8 × 13 cm), using 1:5 EtOAc–hexanes, gave 18 (243 mg, 73%) as a white solid: FTIR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, cast) 1654, 1590 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ (major isomer) 1.17 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3 H), 1.24 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 1.92–1.98 (m, 1 H), 2.12–2.18 (m, 1 H), 2.36 (dd, *J* = 17.5, 10.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.83 (dd, *J* = 17.5, 9.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.95 (s, 3 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) δ (major isomer) 13.4 (q), 19.7 (q), 34.0 (d), 34.4 (t), 47.4 (d), 56.1 (q), 102.3 (s), 170.7 (s), 193.1 (s); exact mass (electron ionization)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_9H_{13}^{79}BrO_2$  (M)<sup>+</sup> 232.0098, found 232.0097.

**5-Methoxy-2,3-dimethylphenol (19).**<sup>18</sup> The procedure for 4 was followed, using DBU (330 mg, 2.17 mmol) and a solution of 18 (241 mg, 1.03 mmol) in PhMe (2 mL). Workup and flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (1.8 × 10 cm), using 1:5 EtOAc–hexanes, gave 19 (141 mg, 90%) as a white solid: mp 94–95 °C (lit.<sup>18</sup> mp 93–93.5 °C); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ 2.08 (s, 3 H), 2.24 (s, 3 H), 3.74 (s, 3 H), 4.64 (s, 1 H), 6.25 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.35 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) δ 10.8 (q), 20.4 (q), 55.2 (q), 98.9 (d), 108.0 (d), 114.3 (s), 138.8 (s), 154.2 (s), 157.9 (s).

**3-Methoxy-5-methylphenol (20).**<sup>19</sup> The procedure for 4 was followed, using DBU (114 mg, 0.75 mmol) and a solution of 1 (77.2 mg, 0.357 mmol) in PhMe (1 mL). Workup and flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (1 × 8 cm), using 1:3 EtOAc–hexanes, gave 20 (43.4 mg, 90%) as a thick oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ 2.28 (s, 3 H), 3.77 (s, 3 H), 5.16 (br s, 1 H), 6.25 (dd, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.28 (s, 1 H), 6.34 (s, 1 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) δ 21.5 (q), 55.2 (q), 98.6 (d), 107.3 (d), 108.6 (d), 140.6 (s), 156.5 (s), 160.8 (s).

**2-Bromo-3-methoxy-5-methyl-6-[3-(trimethylsilyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl]cyclohex-2-en-1-one (21).** The procedure for compound 2 was followed, using *n*-BuLi (2.50 M in hexanes, 0.51 mL, 1.27 mmol), *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>NH (0.20 mL, 1.43 mmol) in THF (3 mL), a solution of 1 (257 mg, 1.17 mmol) in THF (3 mL), and a solution of propargylic bromide (750 mg, 3.93 mmol) in THF (3 mL). The mixture was left overnight after the addition of propargylic bromide and then worked up. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (1.8 × 13 cm), using 1:4 EtOAc–hexanes, gave 21 (270 mg, 70%) as a white solid: FTIR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, cast) 2169, 1649, 1582 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ (major isomer) 0.13 (s, 9 H), 1.23 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3 H), 2.22–2.90 (m, 1 H), 2.39–2.42 (m, 2 H), 2.65 (dd, *J* = 17.0, 4.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.86–2.91 (m, 2 H), 3.97 (s, 3 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) δ (major isomer) 0.1 (q), 18.8 (t), 19.5 (q), 30.9 (d), 33.8 (t), 50.9 (d), 56.2 (q), 86.5 (s), 102.2 (s), 103.5 (s), 170.9 (s), 190.3 (s); exact mass (electron ionization)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{14}H_{21}^{79}BrO_2Si$  (M)<sup>+</sup> 328.0494, found 328.0487.

**5-Methoxy-3-methyl-2-[3-(trimethylsilyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl]phenol (22).** The procedure for 4 was followed, using DBU (119 mg, 0.735 mmol) and a solution of 21 (121 mg, 0.368 mmol) in PhMe (1 mL). Workup and flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (1 × 10 cm), using 1:5 EtOAc–hexanes, gave 22 (79.3 mg, 87%) as a thick oil: FTIR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, cast) 3444, 2170, 1615, 1592 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ 0.15 (s, 9 H), 2.28 (s, 3 H), 3.53 (s, 2 H), 3.75 (s, 3 H), 5.88 (s, 1 H), 6.34 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.37 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) δ –0.05 (q), 17.2 (t), 20.3 (q), 55.2 (q), 87.2 (s), 100.1 (d), 103.4 (s), 108.8 (d), 113.1 (s), 138.0 (s), 155.4 (s), 159.0 (s); exact mass (electrospray)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{14}H_{19}O_2Si$  (M – H)<sup>-</sup> 247.1160, found 247.1161.

**2-Bromo-6-[(3-bromophenyl)methyl]-3-methoxy-5-methylcyclohex-2-en-1-one (23).** The procedure for compound 2 was followed, using *n*-BuLi (2.50 M in hexanes, 0.32 mL, 0.80 mmol), *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>NH (0.13 mL, 0.93 mmol) in THF (2 mL), a solution of 1 (161 mg, 0.735 mmol) in THF (2 mL), and a solution of 3-bromobenzyl bromide (550 mg, 2.20 mmol) in THF (2 mL). The mixture was left overnight after the addition of 3-bromobenzyl bromide and then worked up. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (1.8 × 13 cm), using 1:4 EtOAc–hexanes, gave 23 (199 mg, 70%) as a white solid: FTIR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, cast) 1659, 1590 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ (major isomer) 1.12 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 2.03–2.07 (m, 1 H), 2.36–2.56 (m, 2 H), 2.74–3.00 (m, 3 H), 3.93 (s, 3 H), 7.15–7.16

(m, 2 H), 7.33–7.37 (m, 2 H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 125 MHz)  $\delta$  (major isomer) 20.0 (q), 29.6 (d), 32.6 (t), 34.4 (t), 53.8 (d), 56.3 (q), 101.9 (s), 122.4 (s), 127.9 (d), 129.4 (d), 130.0 (d), 132.1 (d), 141.6 (s), 170.4 (s), 192.0 (s); exact mass (electron ionization)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{16}^{79}\text{Br}_2\text{O}_2$  ( $\text{M}^+$ )<sup>+</sup> 385.9516, found 385.9522.

**2-[(3-Bromophenyl)methyl]-5-methoxy-3-methylphenol (24).** The procedure for **4** was followed, using DBU (150 mg, 0.987 mmol) and a solution of **23** (191 mg, 0.492 mmol) in PhMe (2 mL). Workup and flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (1.8 × 10 cm), using 1:5 EtOAc–hexanes, gave **24** (139 mg, 92%) as a white solid: mp 115–117 °C; FTIR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , cast) 3402, 3057, 1615, 1592  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 500 MHz)  $\delta$  2.21 (s, 3 H), 3.77 (s, 3 H), 3.95 (s, 2 H), 4.60 (s, 1 H), 6.26 (d,  $J$  = 2.5, 1 H), 6.38 (d,  $J$  = 2.5, 1 H), 7.06–7.12 (m, 2 H), 7.28–7.30 (m, 2 H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 125 MHz)  $\delta$  20.2 (q), 31.0 (t), 55.2 (q), 99.5 (d), 108.5 (d), 116.8 (s), 122.6 (s), 126.7 (d), 129.0 (d), 129.9 (d), 131.0 (d), 139.4 (s), 142.9 (s), 154.5 (s), 158.8 (s); exact mass (electron ionization)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{15}^{79}\text{BrO}_2$  ( $\text{M}^+$ )<sup>+</sup> 306.0255, found 306.0250.

**tert-Butyl 2-(3-Bromo-4-methoxy-6-methyl-2-oxocyclohex-3-en-1-yl)acetate (25).** The procedure for compound **2** was followed, using *n*-BuLi (2.50 M in hexanes, 0.30 mL, 0.75 mmol), *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>NH (0.12 mL, 0.856 mmol) in THF (2 mL), a solution of **1** (146 mg, 0.667 mmol) in THF (2 mL), and a solution of *tert*-butyl bromoacetate (0.31 mL, 2.10 mmol) in THF (2 mL). The mixture was left overnight after the addition of the *tert*-butyl bromoacetate and then worked up. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (1.8 × 13 cm), using 1:3 EtOAc–hexanes, gave **25** (178 mg, 70%) as a thick oil: FTIR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , cast) 1726, 1664, 1593  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 500 MHz)  $\delta$  major isomer) 1.14 (d,  $J$  = 6.5 Hz, 3 H), 1.46 (s, 9 H), 2.15–2.20 (m, 1 H), 2.37 (dd,  $J$  = 17.5, 10.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.46 (dd,  $J$  = 11.0, 5.5, 1 H), 2.56–2.61 (m, 1 H), 2.76–2.82 (m, 1 H), 3.94 (s, 3 H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 125 MHz)  $\delta$  (major isomer) 19.4 (q), 28.0 (q), 32.3 (d), 33.9 (t), 34.8 (t), 49.3 (d), 56.2 (q), 80.6 (s), 102.1 (s), 170.9 (s), 171.6 (s), 191.1 (s); exact mass (electrospray)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{22}^{79}\text{BrO}_4$  ( $\text{M} + \text{H}^+$ )<sup>+</sup> 333.0696, found 333.0690.

**tert-Butyl 2-(2-Hydroxy-4-methoxy-6-methylphenyl)acetate (26).** The procedure for **4** was followed, using DBU (155 mg, 1.02 mmol) and a solution of **25** (167 mg, 0.50 mmol) in PhMe (2 mL). Workup and flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (1.8 × 10 cm), using 1:4 EtOAc–hexanes, gave **26** (111 mg, 88%) as an off-white solid: mp 72–74 °C; FTIR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , cast) 3411, 1732, 1702, 1616, 1594  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 500 MHz)  $\delta$  1.45 (s, 9 H), 2.29 (s, 3 H), 3.53 (s, 2 H), 3.75 (s, 3 H), 6.35 (d,  $J$  = 2.5, 1 H), 6.40 (d,  $J$  = 2.0, 1 H), 7.44 (s, 1 H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 125 MHz)  $\delta$  20.3 (q), 27.9 (q), 34.2 (t), 55.1 (q), 82.4 (s), 100.7 (d), 108.9 (d), 112.5 (s), 138.3 (s), 156.5 (s), 159.3 (s), 173.4 (s); exact mass (electrospray)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{19}\text{O}_4$  ( $\text{M} - \text{H}^-$ )<sup>−</sup> 251.1289, found 251.1285.

**2-Bromo-5-ethyl-3-methoxy-6-(prop-2-en-1-yl)cyclohex-2-en-1-one (27).** *a. Preparation of 5-Ethyl-3-methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-one.* EtONa was prepared by dissolving Na (150 mg, 6.52 mmol) in ice-cold EtOH (7 mL). Then ethyl acetoacetate (0.84 mL, 860 mg, 6.61 mmol) and methyl 2-pentenoate (846 mg, 6.61 mmol) were added by syringe to the resulting stirred solution. The mixture was refluxed for 6 h ( $\text{N}_2$  atmosphere) and then evaporated. The residue was dissolved in water (4 mL), and KOH (730 mg, 13.0 mmol) was added. The solution was refluxed for 1 h and then cooled. Concentrated  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  was added carefully to adjust the pH to 1. The solution was refluxed for 2 h, cooled to room temperature, and extracted thoroughly with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried ( $\text{MgSO}_4$ ), and evaporated. The residue was dissolved in MeOH (5 mL) and  $(\text{MeO})_3\text{CH}$  (0.72 mL, 700 mg, 6.61 mmol) was added. The solution was stirred for 24 h at room temperature and then evaporated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (1.8 × 12 cm), using 2:3 EtOAc–hexanes, gave 5-ethyl-3-methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-one (350 mg, 41% over two steps) as a colorless, thick oil: FTIR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , cast) 1734, 1655, 1609  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  0.95 (t,  $J$  = 9.5 Hz, 3 H), 1.40–1.47 (m, 2 H), 2.01–2.20 (m, 3 H), 2.42–2.50 (m, 2 H), 3.70 (s, 3 H), 5.37 (d,  $J$  = 1.6 Hz, 1 H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 125 MHz)  $\delta$  11.1 (q), 28.0 (t), 34.9 (t), 35.4 (d), 43.0 (t), 55.7 (q), 102.1 (s), 178.2 (s), 199.7

(s); exact mass (electron ionization)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_9\text{H}_{14}\text{O}_2$  ( $\text{M}^+$ )<sup>+</sup> 154.0993, found 154.0995.

*b. Preparation of 2-Bromo-5-ethyl-3-methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-one.* NBS (166 mg, 0.932 mmol) was added in one portion to a stirred and cooled (0 °C) solution of 5-ethyl-3-methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-one (120 mg, 0.779 mmol) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (4 mL). Stirring at 0 °C was continued for 3.5 h with protection from light and the mixture was then diluted with saturated aqueous  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  and extracted with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ . The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried ( $\text{MgSO}_4$ ), and evaporated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (1.8 × 12 cm), using 2:3 EtOAc–hexanes, gave 2-bromo-5-ethyl-3-methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-one (165 mg, 91%) as a white solid: mp 100–103 °C; FTIR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , cast) 1733, 1662, 1585  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 500 MHz)  $\delta$  0.98 (t,  $J$  = 7.5 Hz, 3 H), 1.48–1.52 (m, 2 H), 2.04–2.12 (m, 1 H), 2.21 (dd,  $J$  = 16.0, 12.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.32 (dd,  $J$  = 17.0, 10.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.69–2.85 (m, 2 H), 3.97 (s, 3 H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 125 MHz)  $\delta$  11.1 (q), 28.0 (t), 32.7 (t), 34.5 (d), 42.6 (t), 56.3 (q), 102.6 (s), 172.4 (s), 191.0 (s); exact mass (electron ionization)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{15}^{79}\text{BrO}_2$  ( $\text{M}^+$ )<sup>+</sup> 306.0255, found 306.0250.

*c. 2-Bromo-5-ethyl-3-methoxy-6-(prop-2-en-1-yl)cyclohex-2-en-1-one (27).* The procedure for compound **2** was followed, using *n*-BuLi (2.50 M in hexanes, 0.20 mL, 0.50 mmol), *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>NH (0.08 mL, 0.57 mmol) in THF (2 mL), 2-bromo-5-ethyl-3-methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-one (103 mg, 0.442 mmol) in THF (2 mL), and allyl bromide (267 mg, 2.20 mmol) in THF (1 mL). The mixture was left overnight after the addition of allyl bromide and then worked up. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (1 × 12 cm), using 1:3 EtOAc–hexanes, gave **27** (85.6 mg, 71%) as a white solid, which was a mixture of diastereoisomers: FTIR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , cast) 3075, 1646, 1613, 1587  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 500 MHz)  $\delta$  (major isomer) 0.95 (t,  $J$  = 7.5 Hz, 3 H), 1.59–1.64 (m, 2 H), 2.01–2.04 (m, 1 H), 2.37–2.49 (m, 3 H), 2.52–2.58 (m, 1 H), 2.83 (dd,  $J$  = 17.5, 5.5, 1 H), 3.96 (s, 3 H), 5.05–5.11 (m, 2 H), 5.71–5.76 (m, 1 H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 125 MHz)  $\delta$  (major isomer) 10.9 (q), 25.3 (t), 29.2 (t), 33.2 (t), 35.7 (d), 49.8 (d), 56.1 (q), 101.7 (s), 117.2 (t), 135.2 (d), 170.4 (s), 192.5 (s); exact mass (electron ionization)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{17}^{79}\text{BrO}_2$  ( $\text{M}^+$ )<sup>+</sup> 272.0412, found 272.0408.

**3-Ethyl-5-methoxy-2-(prop-2-en-1-yl)phenol (28).** The procedure for **4** was followed, using DBU (91.3 mg, 0.60 mmol) and **27** (82.0 mg, 0.30 mmol) in PhMe (1 mL). Workup and flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (1 × 10 cm), using 1:6 EtOAc–hexanes, gave **28** (49.0 mg, 85%) as a thick oil: FTIR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , cast) 3431, 3077, 3001, 1636, 1616, 1589  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 500 MHz)  $\delta$  1.18 (t,  $J$  = 9.0 Hz, 3 H), 2.59 (q,  $J$  = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 3.37 (dt,  $J$  = 6.0, 1.5 Hz, 2 H), 3.76 (s, 3 H), 4.88 (s, 1 H), 5.03–5.10 (m, 2 H), 5.98 (ddt,  $J$  = 17.0, 10.0, 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.29 (d,  $J$  = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.39 (d,  $J$  = 2.5 Hz, 1 H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 125 MHz)  $\delta$  15.3 (q), 26.5 (t), 29.7 (t), 55.2 (q), 99.4 (d), 107.1 (d), 115.1 (s), 115.6 (s), 136.5 (d), 144.8 (s), 155.2 (s), 159.0 (s); exact mass (electrospray)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{15}\text{O}_2$  ( $\text{M}^+$ )<sup>+</sup> 191.1078, found 191.1079.

**(2E)-4-[(tert-Butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy]-3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl Acetate (30).** DMAP (1.52 g, 12.4 mmol), Et<sub>3</sub>N (17.4 mL, 125 mmol), and *t*-BuPh<sub>2</sub>SiCl (10.7 mL, 41.7 mmol) were added to a stirred solution of **29**<sup>20</sup> (6.00 g, 41.7 mmol) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (48 mL) at room temperature. Stirring was continued for 8 h and the mixture was diluted with saturated aqueous  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  and extracted with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ . The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried ( $\text{MgSO}_4$ ), and evaporated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (6 × 15 cm), using 1:6 EtOAc–hexanes, gave **30** (14.0 g, 88%) as a pale yellow oil: FTIR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , cast) 3071, 3049, 1741  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 500 MHz)  $\delta$  1.10 (s, 9 H), 1.67 (s, 3 H), 2.09 (s, 3 H), 4.10 (s, 2 H), 4.68 (d,  $J$  = 2.5, 2 H), 5.73–5.76 (m, 1 H), 7.39–7.45 (m, 6 H), 7.68–7.70 (m, 4 H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 125 MHz)  $\delta$  13.6 (q), 19.3 (s), 21.0 (q), 26.8 (q), 60.9 (t), 67.9 (t), 117.4 (d), 127.6 (d), 129.6 (d), 133.5 (s), 135.5 (d), 140.3 (s), 171.0 (s); exact mass (electrospray)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{23}\text{H}_{30}\text{NaO}_3\text{Si}$  ( $\text{M} + \text{Na}^+$ )<sup>+</sup> 405.1856, found 405.1850.



(2*E*)-4-[(*tert*-Butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy]-3-methylbut-2-en-1-ol (31).<sup>21</sup> K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (15.2 g, 110 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 30 (14.0 g, 36.6 mmol) in MeOH (36 mL) at room temperature and stirring was continued for 2 h. The mixture was diluted with water and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (6 × 15 cm), using 1:5 EtOAc–hexanes, gave 31 (11.9 g, 96%) as a colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ 1.08 (s, 9 H), 1.63 (s, 3 H), 4.08 (s, 2 H), 4.21 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 2 H), 5.73–5.76 (m, 1 H), 7.38–7.42 (m, 6 H), 7.67–7.69 (m, 4 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) δ 13.5 (q), 19.3 (s), 26.8 (q), 59.1 (t), 68.0 (t), 122.4 (d), 127.7 (d), 129.7 (d), 133.6 (s), 135.5 (d), 137.9 (s).

*tert*-Butyl[(((2*E*)-4-[(Methoxyphenyl)methoxy]-2-methylbut-2-en-1-yloxy)diphenyl)silane (32). 4-Methoxybenzyl 2,2,2-trichloroacetimidate (729 mg, 2.59 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 mL) and *p*-TsOH·H<sub>2</sub>O (41.6 mg, 0.22 mmol) were added to a stirred and cooled (0 °C) solution of 31 (735 mg, 2.16 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (6 mL). Stirring was continued for 4.5 h, and the mixture was diluted with water and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (3 × 15 cm), using 1:5 EtOAc–hexanes, gave 32 (863 mg, ca. 87%) as a colorless oil, which contained a minor impurity. The material was used directly for next step.

(2*E*)-4-[(4-Methoxyphenyl)methoxy]-2-methylbut-2-en-1-ol (33).<sup>22</sup> Bu<sub>4</sub>NF (1.0 M in THF, 2.20 mL, 2.20 mmol) was added to a stirred and cooled (0 °C) solution of 32 (863 mg, 1.88 mmol) in THF (10 mL). Stirring was continued for 12 h, and the mixture was diluted with saturated aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (3 × 15 cm), using 1:1 EtOAc–hexanes, gave 33 (363 mg, 76% over two steps) as a colorless oil: FTIR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, cast) 3395, 1612 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ 1.44 (br s, 1 H), 1.67 (s, 3 H), 3.80 (s, 3 H), 4.02–4.06 (m, 4 H), 4.45 (s, 2 H), 5.64–5.67 (m, 1 H), 6.88 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.27 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) δ 13.9 (q), 55.3 (q), 65.9 (t), 68.1 (t), 72.0 (t), 113.8 (d), 121.6 (d), 129.4 (d), 130.4 (s), 139.1 (s), 159.2 (s); exact mass (electrospray) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>18</sub>NaO<sub>3</sub> (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> 245.1148, found 245.1154.

1-(((2*E*)-4-Bromo-3-methylbut-2-en-1-yloxy)methyl)-4-methoxybenzene (34).<sup>23</sup> Ph<sub>3</sub>P (227 mg, 0.866 mmol) and CBr<sub>4</sub> (240 mg, 0.723 mmol) were added to a stirred and cooled (0 °C) solution of 33 (160 mg, 0.723 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (7 mL). Stirring was continued for 3.5 h and the solvent was then evaporated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (1.8 × 15 cm), using 1:12 EtOAc–hexanes, gave 34 (187 mg, 91%) as a colorless oil: FTIR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, cast) 1612 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ 1.78 (s, 3 H), 3.80 (s, 3 H), 3.96 (s, 2 H), 4.02 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2 H), 4.44 (s, 2 H), 5.78–5.80 (m, 1 H), 6.88 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.26 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) δ 15.1 (q), 40.2 (t), 55.3 (q), 66.1 (t), 72.1 (t), 113.8 (d), 127.3 (d), 129.4 (d), 130.1 (s), 135.5 (s), 159.3 (s); exact mass (electron ionization) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>17</sub><sup>81</sup>BrO<sub>2</sub> (M)<sup>+</sup> 286.0391, found 286.0400.

## ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### ● Supporting Information

Copies of NMR spectra of 1, 2, 4, 14–26, 28, 30, 31, 33, and 34 and an ORTEP diagram and X-ray data (CIF) for compound *trans*-2. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

## ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: [derrick.clive@ualberta.ca](mailto:derrick.clive@ualberta.ca).

### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada for financial support; Dr. M. J. Ferguson and Dr. R. McDonald for the X-ray measurements; and Drs H. Achariya, Z. Li, and H. Yang for assistance with the preparation of 2 and 16.

## ■ REFERENCES

- (1) (a) Isolation and structure: Wilson, K. E.; Tsou, N. N.; Gun, Z.; Ruby, C. L.; Pelaez, F.; Gorrochategui, J.; Vicente, F.; Onishi, H. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, 41, 8705–8709. (b) Synthesis: Nicolaou, K. C.; Montagnon, T.; Vassilicogiannakis, G.; Mathison, C. J. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, 127, 8872–8888.
- (2) Cf. Magauer, T.; Mulzer, J.; Tiefenbacher, K. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, 11, 5306–5309.
- (3) Zimmerman, H. E.; Pasteris, R. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1980**, 45, 4876–4891.
- (4) Itoh, Y.; Bossi, A.; Hamel, E.; Lin, C. M. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1988**, 71, 1199–1209.
- (5) For another example of the use of Hg(OAc)<sub>2</sub> in refluxing acetic acid, see the following: Lebeuf, R.; Robert, F.; Landais, Y. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, 7, 4557–4560.
- (6) Kotnis, A. S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, 32, 3441–3444.
- (7) Blagbrough, I. S.; Pattenden, G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1982**, 23, 4843–4846.
- (8) Carvalho, C. F.; Sargent, M. V. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1984**, 1621–1626.
- (9) Elix, J. A.; Jones, A. J.; Lajide, L.; Coppins, B. J.; James, P. W. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1984**, 37, 2349–2364.
- (10) Gerlach, H.; Thalmann, A. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1977**, 60, 2866–2871.
- (11) Banteli, R.; Ernst, B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, 38, 4059–4062.
- (12) Cf. Hara, R.; Furukawa, T.; Kashima, H.; Kusama, H.; Horiguchi, Y.; Kuwajima, I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, 121, 3072–3082.
- (13) Tada, M.; Takakuwa, T.; Nagai, M.; Yoshii, T. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* **1990**, 54, 3061–3063.
- (14) Cf. Takahashi, K.; Suzuki, T.; Hiram, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, 33, 4603–4604.
- (15) For example, see the following: (a) Olson, G. L.; Cheung, H.-C.; Chiang, E.; Madison, V. S.; Sepinwall, J.; Vincent, G. P.; Winokur, A.; Gary, K. A. *J. Med. Chem.* **1995**, 38, 2866–2879. (b) Focella, A.; Teitel, S.; Bossi, A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1977**, 42, 3456–3457.
- (16) N'Goka, V.; Schlewer, G.; Linget, J.-M.; Chambon, J.-P.; Wermuth, V.-G. *J. Med. Chem.* **1991**, 34, 2547–2557.
- (17) This publication reports that Claisen rearrangement (200 °C) of 1-methoxy-3-methyl-5-(prop-2-en-1-yloxy)benzene does not stop at the first stage and that appreciable amounts of 3-methoxy-5-methyl-4-(prop-2-en-1-yl)phenol are formed: Biswas, B.; Sarkar, D.; Venkateswaran, R. V. *Tetrahedron* **2008**, 64, 3212–3216.
- (18) Witty, T. R.; Remers, W. A. *J. Med. Chem.* **1973**, 16, 1280–1284.
- (19) Mirrington, R. N.; Feutrill, G. I. *Organic Syntheses*; Wiley: New York, 1988; Collect. Vol. 6, pp 859–861.
- (20) Mechelke, M. F.; Wiemer, D. F. *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, 64, 4821–4829.
- (21) Shi, L.; Lei, X.; Zhang, J.; Lin, G. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **2010**, 93, 555–564.
- (22) Nanda, S.; Scott, A. I. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2004**, 15, 963–970.
- (23) This compound has previously been generated in situ. See ref 22.