pubs.acs.org/joc

## Article

## Mn(OAc)<sub>3</sub>-Mediated Addition Reactions of NaSO<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub> and Perhalogenated Carboxylic Acids with Unactivated Alkenes Conjectured by a Single Electron Transfer and Halogen Abstraction Mechanism

Hui Sun, Guannan Cui, Huijian Shang, and Bin Cui\*



**ABSTRACT:** A free-radical halotrifluoromethylation of olefins by using Mn- $(OAc)_3 \cdot 2H_2O$ ,  $CF_3SO_2Na$ , and perhalogenated carboxylic acids has been achieved. Perhalogenated carboxylic acids act as a halogen source and  $CF_3SO_2Na$  acts as a  $CF_3$  source. The reaction displayed good tolerance of functional groups in the substrates under mild conditions. The radical clock experiment and TEMPO inhibition experiment support a radical process. The halogen reagent competition experiment shows that the last step of halogenation process is mainly through a halogen abstraction mechanism.



## ■ INTRODUCTION

The importance of trifluoromethyl group provides an increasing driving force for development of efficient and mild strategy for direct installation of trifluoromethyl group into organic molecules. The introduction of trifluoromethyl groups with strong electron-withdrawing and hydrophobic properties can significantly improve the bioavailability, lipophilicity, metabolic stability, and binding selectivity of organic compounds.<sup>1</sup> In recent years, regarding the development of new drugs containing trifluoromethyl groups, the trifluoromethyl structural fragments of new drugs have gradually changed from a  $C(sp^2)-CF_3$  bond to  $C(sp^3)-CF_3$  bond. A growing number of approved new drugs or investigated new drugs in clinical trial contained the  $C(sp^3)$ -CF<sub>3</sub> bond, such as Elexacaftor,<sup>2</sup> Alpelisib,<sup>3</sup> Agerafenib<sup>4</sup> and VX-659<sup>5</sup> (Scheme 1). However, the structural fragments containing the  $C(sp^3)-CF_3$ bond in new drugs are poor. So, new synthesis methods of  $C(sp^3)-CF_3$  bond can better guarantee the development of new drugs.

Among the variety of synthetic methods developed, halotrifluoromethylation of alkenes has been proven to be one of the most effective accesses to the incorporation of  $C(sp^3)-CF_3$  bond.<sup>6</sup> Halogen groups can undergo a variety of chemical transformations, greatly enriching the drug structures containing the  $C(sp^3)-CF_3$  bond.<sup>7</sup> In the field of halotrifluoromethylation reaction, Haszeldine reported on iodotrifluoromethylation of alkenes in 1949. The reaction was carried out with  $CF_3I$  as a trifluoromethyl source and iodide source, which was relatively corrosive and difficult to handle (gas).<sup>8</sup> In 1989, Kamigata and co-workers reported on chlorotrifluoromethylation of alkenes using  $CISO_2CF_3$  as a trifluoromethyl source and chlorine source in the presence of  $RuCl_2(PPh_3)_3$ 

catalyst.<sup>9</sup> Comparable with CF<sub>3</sub>I, ClSO<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub> has the advantages of high reactivity and easy operation, although it could be problematic on a bigger scale (bp: 29-32 °C).<sup>10</sup> Subsequently, a few halotrifluoromethylation methologies were proposed, among which trifluoromethyl reagents and halogenated reagents used include  $NaSO_2CF_3^{11}$  and  $I_2O_5^{12}/NaBrO_3^{7,13}/NCP(N-halophthalimide)^{14}/MgCl_2^{10,15}$  Togni's reagent and KI<sup>16</sup>/LiCl<sup>16b</sup>/SOX<sub>2</sub>,<sup>17</sup> Umemoto's reagent and CuX<sup>18</sup>/CsX<sup>19</sup>/ TMSCl,<sup>19</sup> PPFR,<sup>20</sup> TMSCF<sub>3</sub> and selectfluor,<sup>21</sup> etc. Generally, the mechanism of these reactions was that the trifluoromethyl reagent releases a CF<sub>3</sub> radical through an oxidation-reduction process, the CF<sub>3</sub> radical adds to an alkene, and the carbon radical intermediate is formed. The carbon radical intermediate is followed by halo anion nucleophilic attack or halogen abstraction process to give the final product (Scheme 2). Although the mechanisms of trifluoromethylation of olefins are relatively thorough, using one type of method that can achieve hydrotrifluoromethylation, fluorotrifluoromethylation, chlorotrifluoromethylation, and bromotrifluoromethylation just by changing halogenated reagents is rarely reported and a great challenge. On the one hand, halogenated reagents have the limitation of synthesis and activity, such as CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>X reagents that are mainly used in the chlorotrifluoromethylation reaction with CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>Cl, but fluorotrifluoromethylation with CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>F and hydrotrifluoromethylation reaction with CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>H cannot

Received: August 29, 2020



Α

## Scheme 1. Approved or Investigated New Drugs Containing the C(sp<sup>3</sup>)-CF<sub>3</sub> bond



Scheme 2. Mechanism of Halogenation Reactions



Scheme 3. Mn(OAc)<sub>3</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O-Mediated Trifluoromethylation of Alkenes



be achieved. On the other hand, the Gibbs free energy of bifunctional trifluoromethylation reaction processes is very different, especially the fluorotrifluoromethylation reaction and hydrotrifluoromethylation reaction (DFT calculation is explained in detail later). In a word, using one kind of simple and easy-to-obtain reagent that can realize hydrotrifluoromethylation, fluorotrifluoromethylation, chlorotrifluoromethylation, and bromotrifluoromethylation under similar reaction conditions is a great challenge.

Recently, we have shown that  $Mn(OAc)_3$ -mediated hydrotrifluoromethylation of alkenes using  $CF_3SO_2Na$  as the trifluoromethylating agent and the  $\alpha$ -H proton of  $CH_3COO^$ as the H source according to hydrogen abstraction to achieve the last step of hydrogenation process (Scheme 3).<sup>22</sup> We anticipated that the hydrogen abstraction process in the final product was driven by the oxidation of  $CH_3COOH$  using  $Mn(OAc)_3\cdot 2H_2O$ , and the halotrifluoromethylation reaction may be realized by halogen abstraction if  $CH_3COOH$  was used instead of  $CF_3COOH$ ,  $CCl_3COOH$ , or  $CBr_3COOH$ . Due to the stable properties and easy availability of perhalogenated carboxylic acid, it has certain advantages in the synthesis of compounds containing trifluoromethyl (Scheme 3). Moreover, this is the first article to use perhalogenated reagents as halogenated reagents to achieve halogenated trifluoromethylation through the halogen abstraction mechanism. We herein report a  $Mn(OAc)_3\cdot 2H_2O$ -mediated halotrifluoromethylation

of alkenes by halogen abstraction to achieve the last step of halogenation process as a continuation of our program on  $Mn(OAc)_3$ -mediated trifluoromethylation reactions.

To test this assumption, DFT calculations were carried out to study the energy of C-X bond breaking in  $CX_3COOH$  and Gibbs free energy of 1d to transition states in Table 1 and

# Table 1. Energy Needed to Break the $\alpha$ -C–X Bond of CH<sub>3</sub>COOH/Halogenated Carboxylic Acids

entry	reaction	energy (kcal/mol)
1	C–H bond breaking in CH <sub>3</sub> COOH	97.4
2	C–F bond breaking in CF <sub>3</sub> COOH	116.0
3	C–Cl bond breaking in CCl <sub>3</sub> COOH	61.4
4	C–Br bond breaking in CBr <sub>3</sub> COOH	45.8
5	C–F bond breaking in $CF_2(COOH)_2$	101.0

Scheme 4. Previous work on the hydrotrifluoromethylation reaction indicated that the last step of hydrogenation process requires the participation of  $Mn(OAc)_{3}^{22}$  Calculation results indicated that the energies of C–X bond breaking in CCl<sub>3</sub>COOH and CBr<sub>3</sub>COOH were 61.4 and 45.8 kcal/mol, respectively, which were both lower than that in CH<sub>3</sub>COOH. Therefore, we speculated that CCl<sub>3</sub>COOH and CBr<sub>3</sub>COOH could be used as halogen reagents to achieve the last step of halogenation process on Mn(OAc)<sub>3</sub>-mediated halotrifluoromethylation reactions. (Table 1, entries 1 vs 3-4). The energy needed to break the C-F bond from CF<sub>2</sub>COOH was higher than that needed to break the C-H bond from CH<sub>3</sub>COOH, which showed that it was difficult to achieve the fluorotrifluoromethylation reaction according to this method (Table 1, entries 1 vs 2). As illustrated in Scheme 4,  $\alpha$ -C-H bond abstraction of CH<sub>3</sub>COOH with 1d' via the transition state TS-H required to overcome barriers of 22.4 kcal/mol to afford the desired product, which could be achieved with  $Mn(OAc)_{3}^{22}$ 2H<sub>2</sub>O under mild conditions (previous work, Scheme 4a). Chlorotrifluoromethylation and bromotrifluoromethylation via TS-Cl ( $\Delta G$ + + = 18.3 kcal/mol, 1d  $\rightarrow$  TS-Cl) and TS-Br  $(\Delta G + + = 15.0 \text{ kcal/mol}, 1d \rightarrow \text{TS-Br})$  were more favorable than hydrotrifluoromethylation via **TS-H** ( $\Delta G$ + + = 22.4 kcal/ mol,  $1d \rightarrow TS-H$ ), leading to chlorotrifluoromethylated products and bromotrifluoromethylated products being much easier to achieve than hydrotrifluoromethylated products

Article

(Scheme 4b,c). Subsequently, a path through  $\alpha$ -C-F bond abstraction of CF<sub>3</sub>COOH with 1d via TS-F required to surpass a barrier of 50.1 kcal/mol (1d  $\rightarrow$  TS-F), which was 27.7 kcal/mol higher than 1d through TS-H (Scheme 4d). So, the fluorotrifluoromethylation reaction with CF<sub>3</sub>COOH was much more difficult to achieve than the hydrotrifluoromethylation reaction with CH<sub>3</sub>COOH. In order to reduce the energy of the transition state of fluorotrifluoromethylation reaction, we calculated a series of compounds similar in structure to  $CF_3COOH$  and found that  $CF_2(COOH)_2$  instead of CF<sub>2</sub>COOH provided a considerably low energy, which was more promising to realize the reaction (Table 1, entries 2 vs 5; Scheme 4e). Based on the results of DFT calculations, we plan to use CF<sub>2</sub>(COOH)<sub>2</sub>, CCl<sub>3</sub>COOH, and CBr<sub>3</sub>COOH as halogen sources to realize the halotrifluoromethylation reaction involving  $Mn(OAc)_3 \cdot 2H_2O$ .

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

On the basis of DFT calculation results and our understanding of Mn-mediated reactions, bromotrifluoromethylation of olefin substrate 1a was carried out with CBr<sub>3</sub>COOH as a Br source. To our delight, 87% of 1a was converted to the desired 2a under the standard conditions (Table 2, entry 1). Studies subsequently showed that DCE was better than other solvents such as MeOH, DMF, MeCN, acetone, or THF (Table 2, entries 1 vs 2-6). Reducing Mn(OAc)<sub>3</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O from 3.0 equiv to 1.5 equiv resulted in a decrease in reaction conversions (Table 2, entries 1, 7-9). The bromotrifluoromethylation reactions with other bromide agents such as NaBr and KBr afforded poor yields (Table 2, entries 10 and 11), but the substrate 1a with TMSBr under the standard reaction conditions was converted to 2a in 78% yield. Later, comparing with Ar conditions, air conditions had little effect on the reaction when CBr<sub>3</sub>COOH was used as a bromide reagent. However, a side reaction occurred under TMSBr with air conditions (Table 2, entry 14). Two major products were identified after careful analysis of the reaction mixtures: one was the desired bromotrifluoromethylation product 2a, and the other was the dibromide product formed possibly via oxygen oxidation of Br anion. Therefore, the optimized conditions of bromotrifluoromethylation reaction was carried out in the presence of 3.0 equiv of Mn(OAc)<sub>3</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, 2.0 equiv of





https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.joc.0c02086 J. Org. Chem. XXXX, XXX, XXX-XXX

## Table 2. Optimization of Reaction Conditions<sup>4</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup>Reactions conditions: 1a (0.50 mmol),  $Mn(OAc)_3$ ·2H<sub>2</sub>O (1.5 mmol), CBr<sub>3</sub>COOH (0.75 mmol), CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>Na (1.0 mmol), DCE (6 mL), room temperature, argon protection, 24 h; see table for deviations. <sup>*b*</sup>Isolated yields.

 $CF_3SO_2Na$ , 1.5 equiv of  $CBr_3COOH$ , and DCE (6 mL) for 24 h under an air atmosphere.

With the optimized reaction conditions established, we explored the substrate scope of the reaction by using various unactivated terminal monosubstituted alkenes 1a-1u, and the results are summarized in Scheme 5. A wide range of substrates and functional groups were tolerated, including esters, amides, protected and unprotected alcohols, protected amines, halogen atoms, sulfonamides, alkyl groups, (hetero)arenes, and aldehvdes. We found that the carbon chain length of terminal monosubstituted alkenes had little influence on the reaction process (Scheme 5; 2a-2d, 2l-2m, and 2p-2q). In a similar vein, electronic properties on aromatic rings of the substituents also had little impact on the bromotrifluoromethylation reactions (Scheme 5; 2a, 2i, 2l, and 2t). The chlorine substituent at the ortho (1g), meta (1h), and para (1i) position of benzene rings did not affect this transformation significantly and the corresponding products (2g-2i) were obtained in excellent yields. We then tested substrate 1s with unprotected hydroxyl group without aryl group. Interestingly, bromotrifluoromethylation was not affected and obtained the corresponding product 2s in 86% yield under the optimized conditions. The reaction of 1t containing an aldehyde group proceeded smoothly to afford 2t in 84% yield. It was glad to find that quinine 1u could be bromotrifluoromethylated under our optimized conditions with 55% isolated yield (2u). To prove the scalability of the current protocol, substrate 1a was

#### Scheme 5. Unactivated Terminal Monosubstituted Alkenes Participated in the Bromotrifluoromethylation<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Reactions conditions: 1 (0.50 mmol), Mn(OAc)<sub>3</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O (1.5 mmol), CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>Na (1.0 mmol), CBr<sub>3</sub>COOH (0.75 mmol), DCE (6 mL), room temperature, 24 h, isolated yields.

pubs.acs.org/joc

Article

## Scheme 6. Unactivated Terminal Monosubstituted Alkenes Participated in the Chlorotrifluoromethylation<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Reactions conditions: 1 (0.50 mmol), Mn(OAc)<sub>3</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O (1.5 mmol), CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>Na (1.0 mmol), CCl<sub>3</sub>COOH (0.75 mmol), DCE (6 mL), room temperature, 24 h, isolated yields.

#### Scheme 7. Unactivated Terminal Monosubstituted Alkenes Participated in the Fluorotrifluoromethylation<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Reactions conditions: 1 (0.50 mmol), Mn(OAc)<sub>3</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O (1.5 mmol), CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>Na (1.0 mmol), CF<sub>2</sub>(COOH)<sub>2</sub> (0.75 mmol), DCE (6 mL), room temperature, 24 h, isolated yields.

converted to **2a** on a gram scale under the optimized reaction conditions in 76% isolated yield.

After bromotrifluoromethylation of terminal mono-substituted alkenes, chlorotrifluoromethylation was also submitted to the reaction with CCl<sub>3</sub>COOH instead of CBr<sub>3</sub>COOH. The substrates 1 with CCl<sub>3</sub>COOH under the standard reaction conditions were converted to the desired trifluoromethylation products in moderate isolated yields (Scheme 6). According to the DFT calculation results, the breaking energy of C–Cl bond was greater than that of C–Br bond(61.4 kcal/mol vs 45.8 kcal/mol) and the Gibbs free energy of chlorotrifluoromethylation via **TS-Cl** ( $\Delta G$ + + = 18.3 kcal/mol, 1d'  $\rightarrow$  **TS-Cl**) was higher than Gibbs free energy of bromotrifluoromethylation via **TS-Br** ( $\Delta G$ + + = 15.0 kcal/mol, **1d**  $\rightarrow$  **TS-Br**). Therefore, the yield of chlorotrifluoromethylation was lower than that of bromotrifluoromethylation. Compound **3l** was subjected to X-ray diffraction experiments to determine the skeleton of the product. The ORTEP drawing clearly confirmed the trifluoromethylation structure.<sup>23</sup>

Fluorotrifluoromethylation of monosubstituted unactivated alkenes 1 was generally carried out with  $CF_2(COOH)_2$  under standard reaction conditions, and the reaction results are summarized in Scheme 7. Ester, amide, allylbenzene, and aliphatic alkene proceeded smoothly to afford the desired products, and 48%–60% isolated yields were obtained.

Once we had demonstrated the halotrifluoromethylation of monosubstituted unactivated alkenes, we next investigated the substrate scope of di- and tri-substituted unactivated alkenes and the results are summarized in Scheme 8. We found that

Scheme 8. Halotrifluoromethylation of Di- and Trisubstituted Unactivated Alkenes<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Reactions conditions: **5** (0.50 mmol), Mn(OAc)<sub>3</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O (1.5 mmol), CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>Na (1.0 mmol), CX<sub>3</sub>COOH/CF<sub>2</sub>(COOH)<sub>2</sub> (0.75 mmol), DCE (6 mL), room temperature, 24 h, isolated yields.

gem-disubstituted alkenes (5a and 5f) could be reacted by our method and the corresponding products were isolated in acceptable yield (66% for 6a and 43% for 6f). 1,2-Disubstituted acyclic and cyclic internal alkenes were subjected to the reaction, and bromotrifluoromethylation products were obtained in good yields (45% for 6b and 70% for 6c). Similar to mono- and di-substituted alkenes, 1,2,2-trisubstituted alkenes were well tolerated under our optimized conditions, and the corresponding products were obtained (75% for 6dand 73% for 6e).

We initially hypothesized that the mechanism of halotrifluoromethylation reaction was similar to the mechanism of hydrotrifluoromethylation with  $Mn(OAc)_3 \cdot 2H_2O$ . The halotri-

#### Scheme 9. Mechanistic Experiments

fluoromethylation reaction proceeded by a pathway involving an in situ generated CF<sub>3</sub> radical species with subsequent radical addition and halogen abstraction to eventually afford the desired halotrifluoromethylation product. To figure out whether the CF<sub>3</sub> radical intermediate was involved in the reaction, the inhibition experiment of olefin 1a was conducted with the addition of TEMPO (6.0 equiv). The capture product of CF<sub>3</sub> radical was detected by <sup>19</sup>F NMR (Scheme 9a). Furthermore, a radical clock reaction of substrate 7 was carried out under the standard reaction conditions and the product 8 was obtained in 73% yield (Scheme 9b).<sup>15a</sup> The radical clock experiment suggest that the involvement of an alkyl radical species was possible under the current reaction conditions. In order to prove that the halogenate reaction was through the halogen abstraction process, rather than the nucleophilic substitution reaction, we added NaCl/CBr<sub>3</sub>COOH and NaBr/CCl<sub>3</sub>COOH to the standard reaction condition separately and found that the halogenated reagent of the reaction was mainly perhalogenated carboxylic acids rather than sodium salts. The result indicates that the halotrifluoromethylated reaction was mainly realized through the process of halogen abstraction (Scheme 9c,d). Moreover, Snider reported that Mn(OAc)<sub>3</sub> will not oxidize isolated secondary radicals to cations.<sup>24</sup>

The proposed mechanism for the  $Mn(OAc)_3 \cdot 2H_2O$ promoted halotrifluoromethylation of alkenes was proposed in Scheme 10.  $Mn(OAc)_3 \cdot 2H_2O$  oxidized  $CF_3SO_2Na$  to

#### Scheme 10. Proposed Mechanism



generate the CF<sub>3</sub> radical through the single-electron transfer mechanism. The C=C double bond of the substrate was added by the CF<sub>3</sub> radical to form radical species **A**. Ultimately,



https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.joc.0c02086 J. Org. Chem. XXXX, XXX, XXX-XXX

radical species **A** undergo halogen abstraction from perhalogenated carboxylic acids (CBr<sub>3</sub>COOH, CCl<sub>3</sub>COOH, and  $CF_2(COOH)_2$ ) to obtain the corresponding halotrifluoromethylation product.

## CONCLUSIONS

In summary, on the basis of hydrotrifluoromethylation reaction with  $Mn(OAc)_3 \cdot 2H_2O$ , a  $Mn(OAc)_3$ -mediated free-radical halotrifluoromethylation of unactivated alkenes was developed by using CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>Na and perhalogenated carboxylic acids. The mild reaction conditions allowed to effectively obtain difunctional halotrifluoromethylation products bearing a wide range of functional groups. TEMPO trapping experiments, radical clock experiments, and competition experiments revealed the reaction mechanism. Further studies on synthetic application of the reaction and a better understanding of  $Mn(OAc)_3$ mediated oxidative radical reactions are ongoing.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**General Experimental Information.** This halotrifluoromethylation reactions were performed at room temperature (25 °C). Reagents were purchased and used without further purification. <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, and <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX 500 spectrometer and a Bruker DRX 400 spectrometer at 298 K using deuterated chloroform as a solvent and TMS as an internal reference. Reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on silica gel plates (GF 254) using a mixture of iodine and silica gel as the visualizing agent, unless otherwise noted. Flash column chromatography was performed using a silica gel (200–400 meshes). Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded with a KBr pellet, and wavenumbers are given in cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS analyses were carried out with Varian FTICR-MS 7.0T. Melting points were obtained on a Mettler Toledo MP50 apparatus.

**General Procedure for Halotrifluoromethylation.** Alkenes (0.50 mmol), CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>Na (1.00 mmol, 156.1 mg), Mn(OAc)<sub>3</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O (1.50 mmol, 402.2 mg), CBr<sub>3</sub>COOH (0.75 mmol, 222.6 mg)/CCl<sub>3</sub>COOH (0.75 mmol, 122.6 mg)/CF<sub>2</sub>(COOH)<sub>2</sub> (0.75 mmol, 105.0 mg), and DCE (6 mL) were added into a round-bottom flask (25 mL). The mixture was stirred vigorously at room temperature for 24 h. Then, the reaction mixture was poured into a beaker and sodium thiosulfate solution was added. The mixture was neutralized with solid NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 mL, three times). Finally, the organic mixture was dried with anhydrous magnesium sulfate, concentrated *in vacuo*, and separated with flash column chromatography.

**Gram-Scale Bromotrifluoromethylation.** The gram-scale bromotrifluoromethylation was carried out with **1a** (2.04 g),  $Mn(OAc)_3$ .  $2H_2O$  (8.03 g),  $CBr_3COOH$  (4.45 g),  $CF_3SO_2Na$  (3.12 g), and DCE (50 mL) in a round-bottom flask (100 mL). After stirring vigorously at room temperature for 24 h, the reaction mixture was poured into a beaker and sodium thiosulfate solution was added. Then, the mixture was added slowly with NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (60 mL, three times). The organic mixture was dried with anhydrous magnesium sulfate, concentrated *in vacuo*, and separated with flash column chromatography to obtain **2a** (2.68 g, 76% yield, light yellow oil).

**Procedure for TEMPO Experiments.** A round-bottom flask (25 mL) equipped with a rubber septum and magnetic stir bar was charged with **1a** (0.50 mmol), CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>Na (1.00 mmol, 156.1 mg), Mn(OAc)<sub>3</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O (1.50 mmol, 402.2 mg), CBr<sub>3</sub>COOH (0.75 mmol, 222.6 mg), and TEMPO (3.00 mmol, 468.72 mg). DCE (10 mL) was added with a syringe under Ar protection, and the mixture was stirred vigorously at room temperature for 24 h. Then, the reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo* after filtration. Product **2a** was not obtained. As shown in Figure S2, there is significant formation of TEMPO–CF<sub>3</sub> (-53.2 ppm) adduct.<sup>22</sup>

#### pubs.acs.org/joc

**Procedure for Radical Clock Experiments.** The operation was the same as the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation, except that the substrate was changed to 7.

Procedure for Competition Experiments. A round-bottom flask (25 mL) equipped with a rubber septum and magnetic stir bar was charged with 1a (0.50 mmol),  $CF_3SO_2Na$  (1.00 mmol, 156.1 mg),  $Mn(OAc)_3 \cdot 2H_2O$  (1.50 mmol, 402.2 mg),  $CBr_3COOH$  (0.75 mmol, 222.6 mg)/CCl<sub>3</sub>COOH (0.75 mmol, 122.6 mg), and NaCl (0.75 mmol, 43.8 mg)/NaBr (0.75 mmol, 77.2 mg). The subsequent operation was the same as the general procedure for halotrifluor-omethylation.

5-Bromo-7,7,7-trifluoroheptyl Benzoate (2a). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **1a** (0.50 mmol, 102.1 mg) reacted to **2a** (155.4 mg, 88% yield, light yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 70:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.00–7.95 (m, 2H), 7.53–7.45 (m, 1H), 7.37 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 4.27 (t, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 4.14–4.04 (m, 1H), 2.81–2.68 (m, 1H), 2.68–2.56 (m, 1H), 1.95–1.64 (m, 5H), 1.61–1.49 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 166.3, 132.7, 130.0, 129.3, 128.2, 125.2 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 264.2 Hz), 64.2, 44.5 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 2.9 Hz), 42.9 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.6 Hz), 37.7, 27.6, 23.6. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.8 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.2 Hz).<sup>18</sup>

4-Bromo-6,6,6-trifluorohexyl Benzoate (2b). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **1b** (0.50 mmol, 95.1 mg) reacted to **2b** (144.1 mg, 85% yield, light yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 30:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.06–8.02 (m, 2H), 7.59–7.54 (m, 1H), 7.44 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 4.39–4.34 (m, 2H), 4.25–4.18 (m, 1H), 2.86–2.76 (m, 1H), 2.76–2.66 (m, 1H), 2.18–2.04 (m, 2H), 2.04–1.90 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 166.5, 133.0, 130.1, 129.6, 128.4, 125.2 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 278.0 Hz), 63.9, 44.6 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 3.4 Hz), 42.5 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.6 Hz), 34.9, 26.8. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.8 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.2 Hz). IR (KBr): 2963, 2361, 2342, 1719, 1453, 1389, 1278, 1149, 1118, 714, 595 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/z): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>14</sub>BrF<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 339.0208; found: 339.0206.

3-Bromo-5,5,5-trifluoropentyl Benzoate (2c). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, 1c (0.50 mmol, 88.1 mg) reacted to 2c (97.5 mg, 60% yield, light yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 35:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.05–8.00 (m, 2H), 7.60–7.55 (m, 1H), 7.45 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 4.61–4.55 (m, 1H), 4.53–4.46 (m, 1H), 4.38–4.31 (m, 1H), 2.94–2.83 (m, 1H), 2.83–2.73 (m, 1H), 2.50–2.40 (m, 1H), 2.27–2.18 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 166.3, 133.2, 129.8, 129.6, 128.5, 125.2 (q, <sup>1</sup>J(C, F) = 278.1 Hz), 62.3, 43.2 (q, <sup>2</sup>J(C, F) = 28.8 Hz), 40.8 (q, <sup>3</sup>J(C, F) = 3.1 Hz), 37.4. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(F, H) = 10.2 Hz). IR (KBr): 2964, 2361, 2342, 1719, 1603, 1453, 1275, 1114, 712, 606 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/z): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>12</sub>BrF<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 325.0051; found: 325.0054.

2-Bromo-4,4,4-trifluorobutyl Benzoate (2d). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, 1d (0.50 mmol, 81.0 mg) reacted to 2d (80.9 mg, 52% yield, light yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 35:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.05 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 4.63–4.57 (m, 1H), 4.57–4.52 (m, 1H), 4.43–4.36 (m, 1H), 2.97–2.85 (m, 1H), 2.85–2.73 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 165.7, 133.6, 129.9, 129.4, 128.7, 125.3 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 277.7 Hz), 67.1, 39.9 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 29.5 Hz), 39.3 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 3.0 Hz). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.0 Hz). IR (KBr): 3068, 2358, 2330, 1728, 1452, 1427, 1272, 1195, 1154, 711, 664 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>10</sub>BrF<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 310.9895; found: 310.9899.

((5-Bromo-7,7,7-trifluoroheptyl)oxy)benzene (2e). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, 1e (0.50 mmol, 88.1 mg) reacted to 2e (143.1 mg, 88%

yield, light yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 250:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.30–7.24 (m, 2H), 6.93 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 4.20–4.13 (m, 1H), 3.96 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.84–2.72 (m, 1H), 2.72–2.61 (m, 1H), 2.03–1.71 (m, 5H), 1.68–1.58 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  159.0, 129.5, 125.4 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 278.0 Hz), 120.7, 114.5, 67.4, 44.8 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 2.9 Hz), 43.1 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.4 Hz), 38.3, 28.5, 24.1. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.3 Hz). IR (KBr): 2947, 2361, 2341, 1498, 1390, 1247, 1147, 756, 692 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>16</sub>BrF<sub>3</sub>O, 325.0415; found: 325.0419.

(((5-Bromo-7,7,7-trifluoroheptyl)oxy)methyl)benzene (2f). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **If** (0.50 mmol, 95.1 mg) reacted to **2f** (139.1 mg, 82% yield, light yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 150:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.35–7.32 (m, 4H), 7.30–7.25 (m, 1H), 4.50 (s, 2H), 4.17–4.10 (m, 1H), 3.48 (t, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.82–2.72 (m, 1H), 2.71–2.61 (m, 1H), 1.94–1.81 (m, 2H), 1.72–1.52 (m, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 138.5, 128.4, 127.7, 127.6, 125.4 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 278.0 Hz), 73.0, 69.9, 44.9 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 2.9 Hz), 43.1 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.4 Hz), 38.3, 28.9, 24.1. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.8 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.2 Hz).<sup>19</sup>

5-Bromo-7,7,7-trifluoroheptyl 2-chlorobenzoate (**2g**). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **1g** (0.50 mmol, 119.4 mg) reacted to **2g** (180.2 mg, 93% yield, light yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 120:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.82 (dd, *J* = 7.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.47–7.39 (m, 2H), 7.35– 7.29 (m, 1H), 4.36 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 4.20–4.13 (m, 1H), 2.87– 2.76 (m, 1H), 2.74–2.64 (m, 1H), 2.01–1.74 (m, 5H), 1.67–1.60 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 165.8, 133.6, 132.5, 131.3, 131.1, 130.4, 126.6, 125.3 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 278.0 Hz), 65.0, 44.6 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 2.9 Hz), 43.1 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.4 Hz), 38.0, 27.8, 23.9. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.2 Hz). IR (KBr): 3075, 2342, 1732, 1593, 1436, 1253, 1142, 749, 651 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>15</sub>BrClF<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 386.9974; found: 386.9973.

5-Bromo-7,7,7-trifluoroheptyl 3-Chlorobenzoate (**2h**). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **1h** (0.50 mmol, 119.4 mg) reacted to **2h** (178.3 mg, 92% yield, light yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 110:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.01 (t, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.94–7.90 (m, 1H), 7.55–7.50 (m, 1H), 7.38 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 4.37–4.32 (m, 2H), 4.20–4.14 (m, 1H), 2.86–2.76 (m, 1H), 2.74–2.65 (m, 1H), 2.00–1.72 (m, 5H), 1.67–1.57 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 165.3, 134.5, 133.0, 132.1, 129.7, 129.6, 127.7, 125.3 (q, <sup>*1*</sup>*J*(C, F) = 278.1 Hz), 64.9, 44.7 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 2.9 Hz), 43.1 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.5 Hz), 38.0, 27.9, 23.8. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.2 Hz). IR (KBr): 3073, 2360, 2342, 1722, 1575, 1428, 1390, 1257, 1147, 750, 675, 596 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>15</sub>BrClF<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 386.9974; found: 386.9973.

5-Bromo-7,7,7-trifluoroheptyl 4-Chlorobenzoate (2i). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **1i** (0.50 mmol, 119.4 mg) reacted to **2i** (178.3 mg, 92% yield, reddish brown oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 120:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.99–7.95 (m, 2H), 7.43–7.39 (m, 2H), 4.36– 4.31 (m, 2H), 4.20–4.13 (m, 1H), 2.86–2.75 (m, 1H), 2.75–2.64 (m, 1H), 2.02–1.71 (m, 5H), 1.66–1.58 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 165.7, 139.4, 131.0, 128.7, 125.3 (q, <sup>1</sup>J(C, F) = 278.2 Hz), 64.7, 44.7 (q, <sup>3</sup>J(C, F) = 2.9 Hz), 43.1 (q, <sup>2</sup>J(C, F) = 28.5 Hz), 38.0, 27.9, 23.8. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(F, H) = 10.2 Hz).<sup>14a</sup>

*N-(5-Bromo-7,7,7-trifluoroheptyl)benzamide (2j).* Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **1***j* (0.50 mmol, 101.6 mg) reacted to **2***j* (153.2 mg, 87% yield, light yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 6:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,

pubs.acs.org/joc

CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.74–7.66 (m, 2H), 7.41–7.34 (m, 1H), 7.32–7.27 (m, 2H), 6.84 (t, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 4.05–3.97 (m, 1H), 3.37–3.29 (m, 2H), 2.74–2.51 (m, 2H), 1.86–1.66 (m, 2H), 1.58–1.46 (m, 3H), 1.44–1.33 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  166. 8, 133.6, 130.3, 127.5, 125.9, 124.3 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 278.0 Hz), 43.8 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 3.0 Hz), 42.0 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.4 Hz), 38.7, 37.0, 27.7, 23.5. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.2 Hz). IR (KBr): 3307, 2943, 2361, 2342, 1637, 1541, 1256, 1147, 1077, 695 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>17</sub>BrF<sub>3</sub>NO, 352.0524; found: 352.0527.

2-(5-Bromo-7,7,7-trifluoroheptyl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (**2k**). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **1k** (0.50 mmol, 114.6 mg) reacted to **2k** (166.4 mg, 88% yield, white solid, m.p. = 53–54 °C), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 12:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.84 (dd, *J* = 5.4, 3.1 Hz, 2H), 7.72 (dd, *J* = 5.4, 3.0 Hz, 2H), 4.18–4.08 (m, 1H), 3.71 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.85–2.74 (m, 1H), 2.74–2.63 (m, 1H), 2.02–1.93 (m, 1H), 1.93–1.83 (m, 1H), 1.80–1.62 (m, 3H), 1.57–1.47 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 168.4, 134.0, 132.1, 125.3 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 278.1 Hz), 123.2, 44.6 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 3.0 Hz), 43.1 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.4 Hz), 37.9, 37.5, 27.7, 24.5. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.2 Hz). IR (KBr): 2944, 2367, 2325, 1710, 1398, 1370, 1256, 1150, 1103, 1034, 723, 529 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>15</sub>BrF<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, 378.0317; found: 378.0319.

*N*-(5-Bromo-7,7,7-triffuoroheptyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (2l). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, 11 (0.50 mmol, 126.6 mg) reacted to 2l (191.1 mg, 95% yield, white solid, m.p. = 81–82 °C), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 6:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.77 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 5.15 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 4.08–4.01 (m, 1H), 2.94 (q, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 2.78–2.68 (m, 1H), 2.67–2.56 (m, 1H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 1.86–1.70 (m, 2H), 1.58–1.36 (m, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  143.5, 136.9, 129.8, 127.1, 125.3 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 278.0 Hz), 44.6 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 2.9 Hz), 42.9 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.5 Hz), 42.8, 37.9, 28.7, 24.1, 21.5. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.2 Hz). IR (KBr): 3273, 2946, 2866, 2360, 2342, 1600, 1434, 1321, 1268, 1159, 1086, 812, 687, 550 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>19</sub>BrF<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>S, 402.0350; found: 402.0353.

*N*-(*3*-*Bromo-5,5,5*-trifluoropentyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (*2m*). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **1m** (0.50 mmol, 112.6 mg) reacted to **2m** (160.9 mg, 86% yield, white solid, m.p. =  $61-62 \,^{\circ}C$ ), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 8:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.76 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.32 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 5.10 (s, 1H), 4.20–4.12 (m, 1H), 3.21–3.10 (m, 2H), 2.80–2.69 (m, 1H), 2.69–2.57 (m, 1H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 2.17–2.08 (m, 1H), 1.96–1.86 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  143.8, 136.5, 129.9, 127.1, 125.1 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 278.1 Hz), 43.0 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.8 Hz), 41.6 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 2.9 Hz), 41.2, 38.2, 21.5. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.2 Hz).<sup>18</sup>

5-Bromo-7,7,7-trifluoroheptyl Nicotinate (2n). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **In** (0.50 mmol, 102.6 mg) reacted to **2n** (150.5 mg, 85% yield, yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 8:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 9.24 (s, 1H), 8.79 (s, 1H), 8.33–8.28 (m, 1H), 7.43–7.39 (m, 1H), 4.41–4.37 (m, 2H), 4.21–4.14 (m, 1H), 2.88–2.77 (m, 1H), 2.75–2.66 (m, 1H), 2.03–1.73 (m, 5H), 1.68–1.58 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 165.3, 153.5, 150.9, 137.0, 126.2, 125.3 (q, <sup>1</sup>J(C, F) = 278.1 Hz), 123.4, 64.9, 44.6 (q, <sup>3</sup>J(C, F) = 2.9 Hz), 43.1 (q, <sup>2</sup>J(C, F) = 28.5 Hz), 37.9, 27.9, 23.8. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(F, H) = 10.2 Hz). IR (KBr): 2961, 2361, 2343, 1724, 1592, 1420, 1389, 1287, 1113, 1025, 802, 742, 703 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>15</sub>BrF<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, 354.0317; found: 354.0320.

5-Bromo-7,7,7-trifluoroheptyl Picolinate (20). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, 10 (0.50 mmol, 102.6 mg) reacted to 20 (111.6 mg, 63%

yield, yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 6:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.24 (s, 1H), 8.79 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 8.33–8.28 (m, 1H), 7.41 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 4.42–4.36 (m, 2H), 4.21–4.15 (m, 1H), 2.88–2.78 (m, 1H), 2.77–2.66 (m, 1H), 2.03–1.74 (m, 5H), 1.69–1.59 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  165.2, 153.4, 150.8, 137.0, 126.1, 125.2 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 278.1 Hz), 123.3, 64.9, 44.6 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 2.9 Hz), 43.0 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.4 Hz), 37.9, 27.8, 23.7. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  –63.8 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.2 Hz).<sup>7</sup>

5-Bromo-7,7,7-trifluoroheptyl 2-Naphthoate (2p). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, 1p (0.50 mmol, 127.2 mg) reacted to 2p (185.5 mg, 92% yield, light yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 35:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  8.60 (s, 1H), 8.05 (dd, J = 8.6, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.94 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.86 (dd, J = 8.3, 5.1 Hz, 2H), 7.59–7.49 (m, 2H), 4.41– 4.36 (m, 2H), 4.20-4.14 (m, 1H), 2.84-2.74 (m, 1H), 2.73-2.63 (m, 1H), 2.01–1.74 (m, 5H), 1.68–1.59 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 166.7, 135.6, 132.5, 131.0, 129.4, 128.3, 128.2, 127.8, 127.6, 126.7, 125.4 (q,  ${}^{1}J(C, F) = 278.0 \text{ Hz}$ ), 125.2, 64.6, 44.8  $(q, {}^{3}J(C, F) = 3.0 \text{ Hz}), 43.1 (q, {}^{2}J(C, F) = 28.5 \text{ Hz}), 38.1, 28.0, 23.9.$ <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(F, H) = 10.2 Hz). IR (KBr): 2955, 2361, 2342, 1716, 1632, 1468, 1435, 1390, 1285, 1228, 1197, 1145, 1096, 779, 763, 475 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (m/z):  $[M + H]^+$ calcd for C18H18BrF3O2, 403.0521; found: 403.0525.

2-Bromo-4,4,4-trifluorobutyl 2-Naphthoate (2q). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, 1q (0.50 mmol, 106.1 mg) reacted to 2q (155.3 mg, 86% yield, reddish brown solid, m.p. = 48-49 °C), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 35:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.62 (s, 1H), 8.05 (dd, J = 8.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.61 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.68 (dd, J = 11.9, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (dd, J = 11.9, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 4.49-4.43 (m, 1H), 3.01-2.92 (m, 1H), 2.89–2.80 (m, 1H).  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  164.8, 134.7, 131.4, 130.4, 128.4, 127.6, 127.4, 126.8, 125.8, 125.3, 124.1 (q,  ${}^{1}J(C, F) = 277.6 \text{ Hz}), 124.0, 66.0, 38.7 (q, {}^{2}J(C, F) = 29.4 \text{ Hz}), 38.2$  $(q, {}^{3}J(C, F) = 2.9 \text{ Hz}). {}^{19}\text{F} \text{ NMR} (376 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_{3}) \delta - 63.7 (t, {}^{3}J(F, C))$ H) = 10.0 Hz). IR (KBr): 3064, 2957, 1724, 1283, 1195, 1154, 1132, 1097, 778, 668 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (m/z):  $[M + H]^+$  calcd for C15H12BrF3O2, 361.0051; found: 361.0054.

5-Bromo-7,7,7-trifluoroheptyl Furan-2-carboxylate (2r). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, 1r (0.50 mmol, 97.1 mg) reacted to 2r (116.7 mg, 68% yield, light yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 10:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.59 (s, 1H), 7.18 (d, *J* = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (dd, *J* = 3.5, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 4.19–4.13 (m, 1H), 2.85–2.76 (m, 1H), 2.74–2.66 (m, 1H), 2.00–1.70 (m, SH), 1.65–1.56 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 158.7, 146.3, 144.7, 125.3 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 278.0 Hz), 117.9, 111.8, 64.4, 44.6 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 2.9 Hz), 43.1 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.5 Hz), 38.0, 27.9, 23.8. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.0 Hz). IR (KBr): 2955, 2360, 2342, 1730, 1581, 1475, 1398, 1298, 1181, 1122, 1014, 764, 596 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>14</sub>BrF<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 343.0157; found: 343.0159.

5-Bromo-7,7,7-trifluoroheptan-1-ol (2s). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **1s** (0.50 mmol, 50.1 mg) reacted to **2s** (107.1 mg, 86% yield, colorless oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 10:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 4.13–4.06 (m, 1H), 3.60 (t, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 2.80–2.68 (m, 1H), 2.68–2.58 (m, 1H), 1.92–1.77 (m, 2H), 1.64–1.44 (m, 5H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 124.3 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 278.1 Hz), 61.4, 43.9 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 3.1 Hz), 42.0 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.4 Hz), 37.2, 30.7, 22.5. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.2 Hz).<sup>7</sup>

4-((3-Bromo-5,5,5-trifluoropentyl)oxy)benzaldehyde (2t). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, 1t (0.50 mmol, 88.1 mg) reacted to 2t (136.6 mg, pubs.acs.org/joc

84% yield, yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 50:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 9.77 (s, 1H), 7.75–7.71 (m, 2H), 6.94–6.89 (m, 2H), 4.38–4.31 (m, 1H), 4.19–4.13 (m, 2H), 2.82–2.68 (m, 2H), 2.42– 2.33 (m, 1H), 2.19–2.09 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 189.7, 162.4, 131.0, 129.2, 124.2 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 278.1 Hz), 113.8, 64.4, 42.1 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.7 Hz), 40.1 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 3.2 Hz), 36.7. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.2 Hz). IR (KBr): 2939, 2831, 2742, 2360, 1696, 1601, 1509, 1258, 1159, 832, 598 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>12</sub>BrF<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 325.0051; found: 325.0054.

(1R)-((1S,4S)-5-(1-Bromo-3,3,3-trifluoropropyl)quinuclidin-2yl)(6-methoxyquinolin-4-yl)methanol (2u). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, 1u (0.50 mmol, 162.2 mg) reacted to 2u (130.2 mg, 55% yield, yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (ethyl acetate/ methyl alcohol = 8:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  8.58–8.37 (m, 1H), 7.71 (t, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 7.62–7.51 (m, 1H), 7.13-7.06 (m, 1H), 6.95 (s, 1H), 6.11-5.97 (m, 1H), 4.32-4.08 (m, 1H), 3.84-3.64 (m, 4H), 3.55-3.44 (m, 1H), 3.34-3.21 (m, 1H), 3.08-2.95 (m, 1H), 2.94-2.80 (m, 1H), 2.67-2.50 (m, 2H), 2.50–2.28 (m, 1H), 2.23–2.04 (m, 3H), 1.94–1.88 (m, 1H), 1.79–1.65 (m, 1H), 1.20–1.11 (m, 1H).  $^{13}C{^1H}$  NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 157.9, 144.9, 144.5, 141.3, 129.0, 124.6, 124.0 (q,  $^{1}J(C, F) = 279.1 \text{ Hz}$ , 122.4, 117.9, 98.7, 65.1, 59.4, 59.2, 55.3, 55.2, 44.8, 42.7, 39.4 (q,  ${}^{2}J(C, F) = 29.3$ ), 28.7, 24.0, 19.9.  ${}^{19}F$  NMR (376) MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -63.1(t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.4 Hz). IR (KBr): 3290, 2964, 2598, 1622, 1510, 1243, 1157, 1028, 832, 719, 639, 465 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (m/z):  $[M + H]^+$  calcd for  $C_{21}H_{24}BrF_3N_2O_2$ , 473.1052; found: 473.1055.

5-Chloro-7,7,7-trifluoroheptyl Benzoate (**3a**). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **1a** (0.50 mmol, 102.1 mg) reacted to **3a** (89.5 mg, 58% yield, light yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 70:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.04 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 4.40–4.3 (m, 2H), 4.18–4.09 (m, 1H), 2.71–2.59 (m, 1H), 2.58–2.49 (m, 1H), 1.96–1.88 (m, 1H), 1.87–1.72 (m, 4H), 1.67–1.57 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 166.6, 133.0, 130.3, 129.6, 128.4, 125.3 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 277.5 Hz), 64.5, 53.9 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 2.8 Hz), 42.5 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.4 Hz), 37.6, 28.1, 22.7. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.2 Hz).

4-Chloro-6,6,6-trifluorohexyl Benzoate (**3b**). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **1b** (0.50 mmol, 95.1 mg) reacted to **3b** (73.7 mg, 50% yield, light yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 30:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.08–7.99 (m, 2H), 7.58–7.54 (m, 1H), 7.44 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 4.41–4.33 (m, 2H), 4.23–4.14 (m, 1H), 2.71–2.61 (m, 1H), 2.60–2.51 (m, 1H), 2.11–2.00 (m, 2H), 1.98–1.85 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 166.5, 133.0, 130.1, 129.6, 128.4, 125.2 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 277.7 Hz), 63.9, 53.7 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 3.1 Hz), 42.5 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.6 Hz), 34.7, 25.4. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.2 Hz). IR (KBr): 2963, 2360, 2341, 1719, 1277, 1150, 1118, 713, 631 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>14</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 295.0713; found: 295.0714.

3-*Chloro-5,5,5-trifluoropentyl Benzoate* (**3***c*). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **1c** (0.50 mmol, 88.1 mg) reacted to **3c** (58.9 mg, 42% yield, light yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 35:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.03 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 4.60–4.54 (m, 1H), 4.54–4.47 (m, 1H), 4.38–4.30 (m, 1H), 2.78–2.60 (m, 2H), 2.41–2.33 (m, 1H), 2.18–2.10 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 166.3, 133.2, 129.9, 129.6, 128.5, 125.1 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 277.7 Hz), 61.2, 50.7 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 3.0 Hz), 42.5 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.8 Hz), 37.0. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.2 Hz). IR (KBr): 2969, 2359, 2341, 1722, 1277, 1151, 1117, 712, 633 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>12</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 281.0556; found: 281.0559.

2-*Chloro-4,4,4-trifluorobutyl Benzoate* (**3***d*). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **1d** (0.50 mmol, 81.0 mg) reacted to **3d** (46.7 mg, 35% yield, light yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 35:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.06 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.61 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 4.59–4.49 (m, 2H), 4.47–4.39 (m, 1H), 2.83–2.74 (m, 1H), 2.73–2.63 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 165.9, 133.7, 129.9, 129.3, 128.7, 125.2 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 277.2 Hz), 66.8, 50.4 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 3.0 Hz), 39.4 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 29.4 Hz). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.1 Hz).<sup>25</sup>

((5-Chloro-7,7,7-trifluoroheptyl)oxylbenzene (**3e**). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **1e** (0.50 mmol, 88.1 mg) reacted to **3e** (78.6 mg, 56% yield, light yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 250:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.27 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 6.93 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 4.18–4.07 (m, 1H), 3.96 (t, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 2H), 2.68–2.57 (m, 1H), 2.56–2.46 (m, 1H), 1.95–1.85 (m, 1H), 1.85–1.70 (m, 4H), 1.68–1.57 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 159.0, 129.5, 125.3 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 277.5 Hz), 120.7, 114.5, 67.4, 54.0 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 2.8 Hz), 42.5 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.4 Hz), 37.8, 28.6, 22.8. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.2 Hz). IR (KBr): 2944, 2864, 2360, 2342, 1456, 1389, 1268, 1147, 1104, 736, 698, 631 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/z): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>16</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>O, 281.0920; found: 281.0923.

(((5-Chloro-7,7,7-trifluoroheptyl)oxy)methyl)benzene (**3f**). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **1f** (0.50 mmol, 95.1 mg) reacted to **3f** (81.0 mg, 55% yield, colorless oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 150:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.36–7.31 (m, 4H), 7.30–7.25 (m, 1H), 4.49 (s, 2H), 4.13–4.06 (m, 1H), 3.48 (t, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.63–2.55 (m, 1H), 2.54–2.45 (m, 1H), 1.87–1.79 (m, 1H), 1.78–1.72 (m, 1H), 1.69–1.59 (m, 3H) 1.58–1.49 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 138.5, 128.4, 127.7, 127.6, 125.4 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 277.4 Hz), 73.0, 69.9, 54.1 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 2.9 Hz), 42.4 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.4 Hz), 37.9, 29.0, 22.9. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.2 Hz).<sup>26</sup>

5-Chloro-7,7,7-trifluoroheptyl 2-Chlorobenzoate (**3g**). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **1g** (0.50 mmol, 119.4 mg) reacted to **3g** (90.9 mg, 53% yield, light yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 120:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.81 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.48–7.38 (m, 2H), 7.35–7.29 (m, 1H), 4.36 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 4.18–4.09 (m, 1H), 2.69–2.59 (m, 1H), 2.59–2.50 (m, 1H), 1.96–1.87 (m, 1H), 1.87–1.72 (m, 4H), 1.67–1.58 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 165.8, 133.6, 132.5, 131.3, 131.1, 130.3, 126.6, 125.2 (q, <sup>*I*</sup>*J*(C, F) = 277.7 Hz), 65.1, 53.9 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 2.9 Hz), 42.4 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.5 Hz), 37.6, 27.9, 22.6. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.2 Hz).<sup>14a</sup>

5-Chloro-7,7,7-trifluoroheptyl 3-Chlorobenzoate (**3h**). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **1h** (0.50 mmol, 119.4 mg) reacted to **3h** (92.7 mg, 54% yield, light yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 110:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.01 (s, 1H), 7.92 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 4.17–4.10 (m, 1H), 2.70–2.60 (m, 1H), 2.60–2.51 (m, 1H), 1.97–1.88 (m, 1H), 1.87–1.69 (m, 4H), 1.65–1.57 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 165.4, 134.6, 133.1, 132.1, 129.8, 129.7, 127.8, 125.3 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 277.6 Hz), 65.0, 54.0 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 2.9 Hz), 42.6 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.5 Hz), 37.6, 28.1, 22.7. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.2 Hz).<sup>14a</sup>

5-Chloro-7,7,7-trifluoroheptyl 4-Chlorobenzoate (3i). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, 1i (0.50 mmol, 119.4 mg) reacted to 3i (89.2 mg, 52% yield, yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 120:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) pubs.acs.org/joc

 $\delta$  8.00–7.93 (m, 2H), 7.45–7.35 (m, 2H), 4.36–4.31 (m, 2H), 4.18–4.04 (m, 1H), 2.71–2.60 (m, 1H), 2.59–2.47 (m, 1H), 1.96–1.88 (m, 1H), 1.87–1.70 (m, 4H), 1.65–1.55 (m, 1H).  $^{13}C{}^{1H}$  NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  165.7, 139.4, 131.0, 128.7, 125.2 (q,  $^{1}J(C, F)$  = 277.5 Hz), 64.7, 53.9 (q,  $^{3}J(C, F)$  = 2.8 Hz), 42.5 (q,  $^{2}J(C, F)$  = 28.5 Hz), 37.5, 28.0, 22.6.  $^{19}F$  NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ –63.8 (t,  $^{3}J(F, H)$  = 10.2 Hz).  $^{14a}$ 

N-(5-Chloro-7,7,7-trifluoroheptyl)benzamide (3i). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, 1j (0.50 mmol, 101.6 mg) reacted to 3j (73.9 mg, 48% yield, colorless oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 6:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ ) 7.80-7.76 (m, 2H), 7.50-7.45 (m, 1H), 7.39 (dd, J = 8.4, 6.9 Hz, 2H), 6.74 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 4.12-4.04 (m, 1H), 3.47-3.39 (m, 2H), 2.64-2.55 (m, 1H), 2.55-2.46 (m, 1H), 1.87-1.79 (m, 1H), 1.79-1.70 (m, 1H), 1.67-1.56 (m, 3H), 1.54-1.44 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  167.8, 134.7, 131.4, 128.5, 127.0, 125.3 (q,  ${}^{1}J(C, H) = 277.7 Hz$ ), 54.0 (q,  ${}^{3}J(C, F) = 2.9 Hz$ ), 42.4 (q,  ${}^{2}J(C, F) = 28.4 \text{ Hz}$ ), 39.7, 37.6, 28.9, 23.4.  ${}^{19}F$  NMR (376) MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  -63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(F, H) = 10.2 Hz). IR (KBr): 3308, 2943, 2361, 2342, 1637, 1542, 1270, 1242, 1147, 695, 668 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (m/z):  $[M + H]^+$  calcd for  $C_{14}H_{17}ClF_3NO$ , 308.1029; found: 308.1031.

2-(5-Chloro-7,7,7-trifluoroheptyl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (**3**k). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **1k** (0.50 mmol, 114.6 mg) reacted to **3k** (88.4 mg, 53% yield, white solid, m.p. = 59–60 °C), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 12:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.85 (dd, *J* = 5.3, 3.1 Hz, 2H), 7.73 (dd, *J* = 5.4, 3.0 Hz, 2H), 4.15–4.06 (m, 1H), 3.71 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.68–2.58 (m, 1H), 2.58–2.49 (m, 1H), 1.95–1.86 (m, 1H), 1.84–1.58 (m, 4H), 1.56–1.45 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 168.3, 133.9, 132.1, 125.2 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 277.6 Hz), 123.2, 53.9 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 2.9 Hz), 42.4 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.4 Hz), 37.5, 37.5, 27.8, 23.2. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.2 Hz). IR (KBr): 3464, 2948, 1769, 1712, 1467, 1400, 1372, 1277, 1264, 1142, 1117, 1037, 893, 725, 625, 531 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/z): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>15</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, 334.0822; found: 334.0825.

*N*-(5-Chloro-7,7,7-trifluoroheptyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (3)). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, 11 (0.50 mmol, 126.6 mg) reacted to 31 (107.3 mg, 60% yield, white solid, m.p. = 76–77 °C), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 6:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.77 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 5.22 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 4.06–3.97 (m, 1H), 2.93 (q, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 2.62–2.45 (m, 2H), 2.42 (s, 3H), 1.80–1.71 (m, 1H), 1.70–1.61 (m, 1H), 1.56–1.36 (m, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  143.5, 136.9, 129.7, 127.1, 125.3 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 277.6 Hz), 53.9 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 2.8 Hz), 42.8, 42.2 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.5 Hz), 37.4, 28.7, 22.9, 21.4. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.2 Hz). IR (KBr): 3272, 2948, 2868, 2362, 2343, 1915, 1599, 1433, 1318, 1159, 1084, 900, 813, 689, 570, 477 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/z): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>19</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>S, 358.0855; found: 358.0853.

Crystal Data for **3***I*.  $C_{14}H_{19}ClF_3NO_2S$  (M = 357.81 g/mol): monoclinic, space group  $P2_1/c$  (no. 14), a = 5.1623(2) Å, b = 10.4648(3) Å, c = 30.1346(10) Å,  $\beta = 89.539(3)^\circ$ , V = 1627.89(10) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4, T = 123.15 K,  $\mu$ (MoK $\alpha$ ) = 0.399 mm<sup>-1</sup>,  $D_{calc} = 1.460$  g/ cm<sup>3</sup>, 12,435 reflections measured ( $4.12^\circ \le 2\Theta \le 52.744^\circ$ ), 3334 unique ( $R_{int} = 0.0359$ ,  $R_{sigma} = 0.0285$ ), which were used in all calculations. The final  $R_1$  was 0.0576 (I >  $2\sigma$ (I)), and  $wR_2$  was 0.1863 (all data).

*N*-(3-*Chloro-5,5,5-trifluoropentyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide* (*3m*). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **1m** (0.50 mmol, 112.6 mg) reacted to **3m** (92.3 mg, 56% yield, white solid, m.p. = 87–88 °C), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 8:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.76 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.32 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 5.37 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 4.21–4.12 (m, 1H), 3.18–3.10 (m, 2H), 2.63–2.53 (m, 1H), 2.53–2.44 (m, 1H), 2.43 (s,

3H), 2.11–2.02 (m, 1H), 1.88–1.78 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  143.8, 136.5, 129.9, 127.1, 125.1 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 277.7 Hz), 51.2 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 3.1 Hz), 42.3 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.7 Hz), 40.0, 37.7, 21.5. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.2 Hz).<sup>18</sup>

5-Chloro-7,7,7-trifluoroheptyl Nicotinate (3n). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, 1n (0.50 mmol, 102.6 mg) reacted to 3n (88.3 mg, 57% yield, reddish brown oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 8:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta 9.23 \text{ (d, } J = 2.2 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{H}), 8.79 \text{ (dd, } J = 4.8, 1.8 \text{H})$ Hz, 1H), 8.33-8.27 (m, 1H), 7.41 (dd, J = 7.9, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 4.42-4.36 (m, 2H), 4.19-4.10 (m, 1H), 2.71-2.61 (m, 1H), 2.61-2.51 (m, 1H), 1.98-1.89 (m, 1H), 1.89-1.71 (m, 4H), 1.68-1.58 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 165.2, 153.4, 150.9, 137.0, 126.1, 125.2  $(q, {}^{1}J(C, F)) = 277.6 \text{ Hz})$ , 123.3, 64.9, 53.9  $(q, {}^{3}J(C, F))$ = 2.8 Hz), 42.4 (q,  ${}^{2}J(C, F)$  = 28.4 Hz), 37.5, 27.9, 22.6.  ${}^{19}F$  NMR  $(376 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta - 63.7 (t, {}^{3}J(\text{F}, \text{H}) = 10.2 \text{ Hz}). \text{ IR (KBr): 2962},$ 2359, 2330, 1725, 1592, 1287, 1146, 1115, 1025, 804, 742, 703, 629 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (m/z):  $[M + H]^+$  calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>15</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, 310.0822; found: 310.0820.

5-*Chloro-7,7,7-trifluoroheptyl Picolinate* (**30**). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **10** (0.50 mmol, 102.6 mg) reacted to **30** (38.7 mg, 25% yield, light yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 6:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.77 (d, *J* = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 8.13 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.88–7.83 (m, 1H), 7.51–7.46 (m, 1H), 4.45 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 4.20–4.10 (m, 1H), 2.80–2.45 (m, 2H), 1.98–1.82 (m, 4H), 1.78–1.70 (m, 1H), 1.67–1.57 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 165.2, 149.9, 148.1, 137.0, 126.9, 125.2 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 277.5 Hz), 125.1, 65.4, 53.8 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 2.7 Hz), 42.4 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.4 Hz), 37.5, 23.7, 22.5. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.8 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.3 Hz). IR (KBr): 2958, 2361, 2342, 1717, 1585, 1437, 1392, 1245, 1136, 747, 707, 620 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>15</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, 310.0822; found: 310.0820.

5-Chloro-7,7,7-trifluoroheptyl 2-Naphthoate (3p). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, 1p (0.50 mmol, 127.2 mg) reacted to 3p (107.6 mg, 60% yield, light yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 35:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  8.60 (s, 1H), 8.05 (dd, J = 8.6, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.94 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.86 (dd, J = 8.4, 4.7 Hz, 2H), 7.60-7.50 (m, 2H), 4.42-4.36 (m, 2H), 4.17-4.10 (m, 1H), 2.68-2.58 (m, 1H), 2.58-2.48 (m, 1H), 1.96–1.73 (m, 5H), 1.68–1.58 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 166.7, 135.6, 132.5, 131.0, 129.4, 128.3, 128.2, 127.8, 127.6, 126.7, 125.3 (q,  ${}^{1}J(C, F) = 277.6 \text{ Hz}$ ), 125.2, 64.6, 54.0  $(q, {}^{3}J(C, F) = 2.8 \text{ Hz}), 42.5 (q, {}^{2}J(C, F) = 28.5 \text{ Hz}), 37.6, 28.1, 22.7.$ <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(F, H) = 10.2 Hz). IR (KBr): 2957, 2361, 2342, 1716, 1632, 1468, 1436, 1390, 1285, 1229, 1197, 1146, 1097, 780, 763, 631, 475 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>18</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 359.1026; found: 359.1024.

2-Chloro-4,4-triffluorobutyl 2-Naphthoate (**3q**). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **1q** (0.50 mmol, 106.1 mg) reacted to **3q** (91.8 mg, 58% yield, white solid, m.p. = 47–48 °C), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 35:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.62 (s, 1H), 8.05 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.93–7.88 (m, 2H), 7.64–7.60 (m, 1H), 7.59–7.54 (m, 1H), 4.64–4.55 (m, 2H), 4.52–4.45 (m, 1H), 2.88–2.79 (m, 1H), 2.78–2.68 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 166.0, 135.8, 132.5, 131.5, 129.5, 128.6, 128.5, 127.8, 126.9, 126.4, 125.1, 125.1 (q, <sup>1</sup>J(C, F) = 277.5 Hz), 66.9, 50.4 (q, <sup>3</sup>J(C, F) = 3.1 Hz), 39.4 (q, <sup>2</sup>J(C, F) = 29.4 Hz). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(F, H) = 10.0 Hz). IR (KBr): 2960, 1714, 1629, 1390, 1288, 1264, 1232, 1196, 1135, 1095, 779, 765, 698 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>12</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 317.0556; found: 317.0559.

5-Chloro-7,7,7-trifluoroheptyl Furan-2-carboxylate (3r). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in pubs.acs.org/joc

this experiment, **1r** (0.50 mmol, 97.1 mg) reacted to **3r** (70.2 mg, 47% yield, light yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 10:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.58 (s, 1H), 7.18 (d, *J* = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (dd, *J* = 3.5, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 4.16–4.10 (m, 1H), 2.70–2.60 (m, 1H), 2.60–2.50 (m, 1H), 1.97–1.87 (m, 1H), 1.86–1.68 (m, 4H), 1.65–1.55 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  158.8, 146.4, 144.8, 125.3 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 277.6 Hz), 118.0, 111.9, 64.5, 54.0 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 3.0 Hz), 42.5 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.5 Hz), 37.6, 28.1, 22.6. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.2 Hz). IR (KBr): 2963, 2361, 2343, 1718, 1261, 1079, 1016, 800, 669 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>14</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 299.0662; found: 299.0661.

5-Chloro-7,7,7-trifluoroheptan-1-ol (**3s**). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **1s** (0.50 mmol, 50.1 mg) reacted to **3s** (53.2 mg, 52% yield, colorless oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 10:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 4.16–4.08 (m, 1H), 3.66 (t, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.70–2.48 (m, 2H), 1.92–1.74 (m, 2H), 1.68–1.50 (m, 5H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 125.3 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 277.5 Hz), 62.4, 54.1 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 3.1 Hz), 42.4 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.4 Hz), 37.8, 31.8, 22.3. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.3 Hz). IR (KBr): 3346, 2944, 2360, 2341, 1391, 1269, 1242, 1146, 1075, 666, 631 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>12</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>O, 205.0607; found: 205.0609.

4-((3-Chloro-5,5,5-trifluoropentyl)oxy)benzaldehyde (**3t**). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **1t** (0.50 mmol, 88.1 mg) reacted to **3t** (61.7 mg, 44% yield, yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 50:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 9.90 (s, 1H), 7.88–7.83 (m, 2H), 7.05–6.99 (m, 2H), 4.48–4.40 (m, 1H), 4.34–4.21 (m, 2H), 2.80–2.60 (m, 2H), 2.47–2.38 (m, 1H), 2.22–2.11 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 189.7, 162.4, 131.0, 129.3, 124.1 (q, <sup>1</sup>J(C, F) = 277.6 Hz), 113.7, 63.2, 49.7 (q, <sup>3</sup>J(C, F) = 3.3 Hz), 41.6 (q, <sup>2</sup>J = 28.7 Hz), 36.3. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(F, H) = 10.2 Hz). IR (KBr): 2917, 2848, 2360, 2342, 1685, 1601, 1509, 1258, 1156, 830, 616 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>12</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 281.0556; found: 281.0558.

5,7,7,7-Tetrafluoroheptyl Benzoate (4a). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **1a** (0.50 mmol, 102.1 mg) reacted to **4a** (74.5 mg, 51% yield, colorless oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/ EA = 250:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.04 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.55 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 4.99–4.72 (m, 1H), 4.32 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 2.51–2.43 (m, 1H), 2.43–2.28 (m, 1H), 2.00–1.59 (m, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  167.4, 133.7, 131.1, 130.3, 129.1, 126.2 (dd, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 274.9, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 3.2 Hz), 88.2 (dq, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 171.3 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 3.4 Hz), 65.2, 40.2 (dq, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.3, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 5.3 Hz), 35.4 (d, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 21.0), 29.1, 22.1 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 4.0 Hz). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  –64.1 (m, 3F), –182.4 (m, 1F).<sup>21</sup>

2-(5,7,7,7-Tetrafluoroheptyl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (4k). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, 1k (0.50 mmol, 114.6 mg) reacted to 4k (76.1 mg, 48% yield, white solid, m.p. = 94–95 °C), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 250:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.91–7.80 (m, 2H), 7.78–7.68 (m, 2H), 4.92–4.66 (m, 1H), 3.69 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.64–2.43 (m, 1H), 2.43–2.16 (m, 1H), 1.86–1.44 (m, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 169.4, 134.9, 133.0, 126.4 (qd, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 276.2 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 3.3 Hz), 124.2, 88.3(dq, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 171.6 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 3.4 Hz), 40.3 (qd, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 27.7, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 22.5 Hz), 38.5, 35.4 (d, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 20.8 Hz), 29.1, 22.9 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 5.1 Hz). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –64.04 to –64.32 (m, 3F), –181.94 to –182.73 (m, 1F).<sup>21</sup>

(2,4,4,4-Tetrafluorobutyl)benzene (4v). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, 1v (0.50 mmol, 59.1 mg) reacted to 4v (61.9 mg, 60% yield, colorless oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 250:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.33 (d, J

= 7.1 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 5.13–4.93 (m, 1H), 3.16–2.84 (m, 2H), 2.58–2.21 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  135.1(d, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 4.2 Hz), 129.2, 128.5, 127.0, 125.2 (qd, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 273.7, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 2.9 Hz), 87.5 (dq, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 176.8, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 3.4 Hz), 40.9 (d, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 20.8 Hz), 38.3 (qd, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 29.0, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 23.0 Hz). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  –63.8 (td, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.7, <sup>4</sup>*J*(F, F) = 7.6 Hz, 3F), -179.7 (m, 1F).<sup>20</sup>

(2,4,4,4-Tetrafluorobutyl)cyclohexane (4w). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **1w** (0.50 mmol, 62.1 mg) reacted to 4w (53.0 mg, 50% yield, colorless oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/ EA = 250:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  5.07–4.76 (m, 1H), 2.68–2.39 (m, 1H), 2.36–2.11 (m, 1H), 1.86–0.82 (m, 13H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  125.5 (qd, <sup>1</sup>J(C, F) = 277.9, <sup>3</sup>J(C, F) = 3.2 Hz), 85.8 (dq, <sup>1</sup>J(C, F) = 171.8, <sup>3</sup>J(C, F) = 3.8 Hz), 42.7 (d, <sup>2</sup>J(C, F) = 22.6 Hz), 39.9 (qd, <sup>2</sup>J(C, F) = 28.3, <sup>2</sup>J(C, F) = 23.4 Hz), 33.8, 33.7 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(C, F) = 3.4 Hz), 32.6, 26.4, 26.2, 26.0. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  –63.92 to –64.16 (m, 3F), –181.18 to –181.70 (m, 1F).<sup>20</sup>

2-Bromo-4,4,4-trifluoro-2-methylbutyl Benzoate (6a). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, 5a (0.50 mmol, 88.1 mg) reacted to 6a (107.3 mg, 66% yield, colorless oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 250:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.09-8.05 (m, 2H), 7.63-7.58 (m, 1H), 7.48 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 4.58 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 3.04-2.97 (m, 1H), 2.97-2.89 (m, 1H), 1.98 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 165.5, 133.5, 129.7, 129.4, 128.6, 125.0 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 278.9 Hz), 71.4, 56.1 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 4.5 Hz), 45.0 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.4 Hz), 28.5. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ -63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 10.1 Hz). IR (KBr): 2982, 2360, 2341, 1728, 1453, 1380, 1365, 1263, 1144, 1113, 711, 581 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>12</sub>BrF<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 325.0051; found: 325.0054.

1-Bromo-2-(trifluoromethyl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene (**6b**). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, **5b** (0.50 mmol, 58.1 mg) reacted to **6b** (59.6 mg, 45% yield, light yellow oil), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 500:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.39–7.34 (m, 1H), 7.25–7.21 (m, 2H), 7.18–7.14 (m, 1H), 5.53 (d, *J* = 4.7 Hz, 1H), 3.50–3.39 (m, 1H), 3.38–3.29 (m, 1H), 3.10 (dd, *J* = 16.5, 5.4 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 140.3, 138.5, 128.4, 127.0, 125.8 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 280.1 Hz), 124.8, 123.5, 52.6 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 27.6 Hz), 47.6 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 2.7 Hz), 30.5 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 2.7 Hz). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –70.8 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 8.3 Hz). IR (KBr): 2919, 2850, 1464, 1422, 1277, 1213, 1151, 731, 594 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>8</sub>BrF<sub>3</sub>, 264.9840; found: 264.9843.

The H vicinal to the CF<sub>3</sub> group of **6b** was found to have only small H,H couplings (<6 Hz), indicating that it is equatorial and the CF<sub>3</sub> group is therefore axial in the major product. All possible conformations were studied computationally, and the ground state energies of each conformation were determined. The results are shown below. The trans diaxial conformation was found to be the lowest energy conformation while the cis conformation bearing an axial CF<sub>3</sub> was found to be 2.64 kcal/mol higher in energy than the cis conformation in which the CF<sub>3</sub> was equatorial. These results support the assignment of the major product as trans.

Cis Isomers. CF<sub>3</sub> equatorial: Erel,E+ZPE = 1.098 kcal/mol.



 $CF_3$  axial: Erel, E+ZPE = 3.740 kcal/mol.



Trans Isomers. CF<sub>3</sub> equatorial: Erel,E+ZPE = 0.464 kcal/mol.



CF<sub>3</sub> axial: Erel,E+ZPE = 0.000 kcal/mol



2-Bromo-3-(trifluoromethyl)butane-1,4-diyl Dibenzoate (6c). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, Sc (0.50 mmol, 148.2 mg) reacted to 6c (155.8 mg, 70% yield, white solid, m.p. = 73-74 °C), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 30:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.02–7.97 (m, 4H), 7.61–7.54 (m, 2H), 7.43 (q, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 4H), 4.84–4.74 (m, 3H), 4.70–4.65 (m, 2H), 3.28–3.20 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  165.8, 165.5, 133.6, 133.5, 129.7, 129.7, 129.1, 129.0, 128.6, 125.3 (d, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 282.8 Hz), 65.6, 60.5 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 2.0 Hz), 44.7 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 26.6 Hz), 43.1 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 2.7 Hz). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  –65.4 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 8.5 Hz). IR (KBr): 2917, 1726, 1602, 1452, 1267, 1177, 1110, 709, 686 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>16</sub>BrF<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, 445.0262; found: 445.0264.

Data of **6c**'. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.09–7.99 (m, 4H), 7.63–7.55 (m, 2H), 7.49–7.40 (m, 4H), 4.82–4.71 (m, 4H), 4.71– 4.62 (m, 1H), 3.39–3.25 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 165.8, 165.7, 133.6, 133.6, 129.8, 129.7, 129.1, 129.0, 128.6, 125.2 (d, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 282.8 Hz), 65.8, 60.0 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 2.8 Hz), 46.8 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 26.2 Hz), 42.9 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 1.7 Hz). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –65.4 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 8.5 Hz). IR (KBr): 2926, 1724, 1602, 1452, 1266, 1175, 1108, 707, 688 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>16</sub>BrF<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, 445.0262; found: 445.0264.

Two possible conformations of 6c and 6c' were studied computationally, and the ground state energies were determined. The results are shown below. The 6c conformation was found to be the lower energy conformation. These results support the assignment of the major product as 6c.

**6c** isomers: Erel,E+ZPE = 0.000 kcal/mol.



6c' isomers: Erel,E+ZPE = 0.536 kcal/mol.



2-(3-Bromo-3-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)butyl))isoindoline-1,3dione (6d). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, 5d (0.50 mmol, 107.6 mg) reacted to 6d (136.6 mg, 75% yield, white solid, m.p. = 79–80 °C), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 35:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.88–7.83 (m, 2H), 7.76–7.72 (m, 2H), 4.35 (dd, *J* = 14.8, 9.4 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (d, *J* = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 3.30–3.06 (m, 1H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 1.96 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 167.8, 134.3, 131.9, 125.9 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 283.9 Hz), 123.5, 60.7, 51.5 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 23.8 Hz), 37.5 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 2.7 Hz), 35.4, 32.3 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 2.3 Hz). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ –63.6 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 6.6 Hz). IR (KBr): 2974, 2360, 2342, 1773, 1717, 1400, 1255, 1133, 724, 530 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>13</sub>BrF<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, 364.0160; found: 364.0164.

2-(3-Chloro-3-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)butyl)isoindoline-1,3dione (6e). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, Se (0.50 mmol, 107.6 mg) reacted to 6e (116.7 mg, 73% yield, white solid, m.p. = 69–70 °C), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 35:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.86 (dd, *J* = 5.5, 3.1 Hz, 2H), 7.74 (dd, *J* = 5.5, 3.1 Hz, 2H), 4.32 (dd, *J* = 14.8, 9.3 Hz, 1H), 4.08– 4.02 (m, 1H), 3.25–3.15 (m, 1H), 1.90 (s, 3H), 1.78 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 167.7, 134.2, 131.8, 126.1 (q, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 283.4 Hz), 123.4, 66.9, 50.9 (q, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 23.8 Hz), 35.8, 33.5, 30.3 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 2.6 Hz). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ -63.7 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(F, H) = 6.6 Hz). IR (KBr): 2978, 2360, 2342, 1773, 1717, 1402, 1368, 1255, 1139, 725, 610 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (*m*/z): [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>13</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, 320.0665; found: 320.0668.

3,5,5,5-Tetrafluoro-3-methylpentyl benzoate (6f). Following the general procedure for halotrifluoromethylation described in this experiment, 5f (0.50 mmol, 95.1 mg) reacted to 6f (59.8 mg, 43% yield, colorless liquid), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 35:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.97 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.50 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 4.59–4.43 (m, 2H), 2.69–2.49 (m, 2H), 2.35–2.09 (m, 2H), 1.58 (d, *J* = 21.5 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  168.1, 134.9, 131.7, 131.3, 130.2, 126.8 (qd, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 277.6, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 8.0 Hz), 94.0 (dd, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C, F) = 172.2, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 1.6 Hz), 61.7 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C, F) = 6.6 Hz), 45.0 (qd, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 28.5 Hz, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 23.6 Hz), 40.3(d, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 22.4 Hz), 26.4 (d, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C, F) = 24.3 Hz). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  –60.73 to –60.94 (m, 3F), –143.13 to –143.86 (m, 1F). The NMR data were in agreement with reported results.<sup>21</sup>

(3S,4R)-3-(Bromomethyl)-1-tosyl-4-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)pyrrolidine (8-cis). According to the procedure for radical clock experiments, 7 (0.50 mmol, 125.7 mg) reacted to the 8-cis isomer (major) (122.1 mg, 61% yield, white solid, m.p. = 83-84 °C), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 35:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.73 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 3.50-3.37 (m, 3H), 3.26-3.21 (m, 1H), 3.18-3.12 (m, 1H), 2.94 (t, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 2.64-2.57 (m, 1H), 2.55-2.48 (m, 1H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 2.25-2.15 (m, 1H), 2.00-1.89 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 144.1, 133.5, 130.0, 127.5, 126.3 (q,  ${}^{1}J(C, F) = 277.1 \text{ Hz}$ ), 51.3, 51.0, 43.6, 35.6 (q,  ${}^{3}J(C, F) =$ 2.9 Hz), 31.8 (q,  ${}^{2}J(C, F) = 29.0$  Hz), 29.9, 21.6.  ${}^{19}F$  NMR (376) MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  –64.6 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(F, H) = 10.2 Hz). IR (KBr): 2964, 2923, 2866, 1930, 1597, 1337, 1262, 1159, 1109, 841, 806, 666, 593, 548 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (m/z):  $[M + H]^+$  calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>17</sub>BrF<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>S, 400.0194; found: 400.0198.

pubs.acs.org/joc

Article

(3R,4R)-3-(Bromomethyl)-1-tosyl-4-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)pyrrolidine (8-trans). According to the procedure for radical clock experiments, 7 (0.50 mmol, 125.7 mg) reacted to the 8-trans isomer (minor) (24.0 mg, 12% yield, white solid, m.p. = 65-66 °C), which was purified by silica gel chromatography (PE/EA = 35:1). Reaction time: 24 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.72 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.64–3.58 (m, 1H), 3.52–3.46 (m, 1H), 3.41-3.37(m, 1H), 3.37-3.31 (m, 1H), 3.25-3.18 (m, 1H), 3.14-3.08 (m, 1H), 3.02-2.96 (m, 1H), 2.46 (s, 3H), 2.33-2.14 (m, 2H), 2.05–1.94 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  143.9, 132.6, 129.8, 127.5, 125.8 (q,  ${}^{1}J(C, F) = 277.2 \text{ Hz}$ ), 52.9, 51.4, 45.3, 36.6 (q,  ${}^{3}J(C, F) = 2.9 \text{ Hz}$ ), 36.1 (q,  ${}^{2}J(C, F) = 29.2 \text{ Hz}$ ), 32.4, 21.4. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -63.8 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(F, H) = 10.2 Hz). IR (KBr): 2919, 2850, 2352, 1732, 1600, 1338, 1270, 1156, 1106, 1027, 816, 670, 583, 549 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS-ESI (m/z):  $[M + H]^+$  calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>17</sub>BrF<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>S, 400.0194; found: 400.0198.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **Supporting Information**

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.joc.0c02086.

Screening of reaction conditions, DFT calculation results, and characterization data for the products (PDF)

## **Accession Codes**

CCDC 1979206 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\_request/cif, or by emailing data\_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

Bin Cui – College of Chemistry and Pharmaceutical Engineering, Hebei University of Science and Technology, Shijiazhuang 050018, People's Republic of China; orcid.org/0000-0002-0782-1595; Email: cuibin1989@ hebust.edu.cn

## Authors

- Hui Sun College of Chemistry and Pharmaceutical Engineering, Hebei University of Science and Technology, Shijiazhuang 050018, People's Republic of China;
   orcid.org/0000-0002-9921-7773
- Guannan Cui College of Chemistry and Pharmaceutical Engineering, Hebei University of Science and Technology, Shijiazhuang 050018, People's Republic of China
- Huijian Shang College of Chemistry and Pharmaceutical Engineering, Hebei University of Science and Technology, Shijiazhuang 050018, People's Republic of China

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.joc.0c02086

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was financially supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (B2019208402), the Education Department of Hebei Province Natural Science Foundation (QN2019101), and the Sun Hui doctoral scientific research fund in Hebei University of Science and Technology.

pubs.acs.org/joc

## REFERENCES

(1) (a) Kirsch, P. Modern Fluoroorganic Chemistry: Synthesis, Reactivity, Applications; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2004; p xii, 308 p; (b) Müller, K.; Faeh, C.; Diederich, F. Fluorine in Pharmaceuticals: Looking beyond Intuition. Science 2007, 317, 1881. (c) Hird, M. Fluorinated Liquid Crystals - Properties and Applications. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 2070–2095. (d) Kirk, K. L. Fluorination in Medicinal Chemistry: Methods, Strategies, and Recent Developments. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2008, 12, 305–321.

(2) (a) Taylor-Cousar, J. L.; Mall, M. A.; Ramsey, B. W.; McKone, E. F.; Tullis, E.; Marigowda, G.; McKee, C. M.; Waltz, D.; Moskowitz, S. M.; Savage, J.; Xuan, F.; Rowe, S. M. Clinical Development of Triple-combination CFTR Modulators for Cystic Fibrosis Patients with One or Two *F508del* Alleles. *ERJ Open Res.* **2019**, *5*, 00082–02019. (b) Hoy, S. M. Elexacaftor/Ivacaftor/Tezacaftor: First Approval. Drugs **2019**, *79*, 2001–2007.

(3) (a) Konstantinopoulos, P. A.; Barry, W. T.; Birrer, M.; Westin, S. N.; Cadoo, K. A.; Shapiro, G. I.; Mayer, E. L.; O'Cearbhaill, R. E.; Coleman, R. L.; Kochupurakkal, B.; Whalen, C.; Curtis, J.; Farooq, S.; Luo, W.; Eismann, J.; Buss, M. K.; Aghajanian, C.; Mills, G. B.; Palakurthi, S.; Kirschmeier, P.; Liu, J.; Cantley, L. C.; Kaufmann, S. H.; Swisher, E. M.; D'Andrea, A. D.; Winer, E.; Wulf, G. M.; Matulonis, U. A. Olaparib and  $\alpha$ -Specific PI3K Inhibitor Alpelisib for Patients with Epithelial Ovarian Cancer: a Dose-escalation and Dose-expansion Phase 1b Trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2019, 20, 570–580. (b) Rodon, J.; Curigliano, G.; Delord, J.-P.; Harb, W.; Azaro, A.; Han, Y.; Wilke, C.; Donnet, V.; Sellami, D.; Beck, T. A Phase Ib, Open-label, Dose-finding Study of Alpelisib in Combination with Paclitaxel in Patients with Advanced Solid Tumors. *Oncotarget* 2018, 9, 31709. (c) Markham, A. Alpelisib: First Global Approval. *Drugs* 2019, 79, 1249–1253.

(4) Li, H.; Yu, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Wu, D.; Yu, X.; Lu, J.; Chen, Z.; Zhang, H.; Hu, Y.; Zhai, Y.; Su, J.; Aheman, A.; De Las Casas, A.; Jin, J.; Xu, X.; Shi, Z.; Woodfield, S. E.; Vasudevan, S. A.; Agarwal, S.; Yan, Y.; Yang, J.; Foster, J. H. Small Molecule Inhibitor Agerafenib Effectively Suppresses Neuroblastoma Tumor Growth in Mouse Models via Inhibiting ERK MAPK Signaling. *Cancer Lett.* **2019**, *457*, 129–141.

(5) Davies, J. C.; Moskowitz, S. M.; Brown, C.; Horsley, A.; Mall, M. A.; McKone, E. F.; Plant, B. J.; Prais, D.; Ramsey, B. W.; Taylor-Cousar, J. L.; Tullis, E.; Uluer, A.; McKee, C. M.; Robertson, S.; Shilling, R. A.; Simard, C.; Van Goor, F.; Waltz, D.; Xuan, F.; Young, T.; Rowe, S. M. VX-659-Tezacaftor-Ivacaftor in Patients with Cystic Fibrosis and One or Two Phe508del Alleles. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2018**, 379, 1599–1611.

(6) (a) Studer, A. A "Renaissance" in Radical Trifluoromethylation. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8950–8958. (b) Liang, T.; Neumann, C. N.; Ritter, T. Introduction of Fluorine and Fluorine-Containing Functional Groups. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8214–8264. (c) Alonso, C.; Martínez de Marigorta, E.; Rubiales, G.; Palacios, F. Carbon Trifluoromethylation Reactions of Hydrocarbon Derivatives and Heteroarenes. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 1847–1935. (d) Ni, C.; Hu, M.; Hu, J. Good Partnership between Sulfur and Fluorine: Sulfur-Based Fluorination and Fluoroalkylation Reagents for Organic Synthesis. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 765–825. (e) Chatterjee, T.; Iqbal, N.; You, Y.; Cho, E. J. Controlled Fluoroalkylation Reactions by Visible-Light Photoredox Catalysis. Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49, 2284–2294.

(7) Liu, Z.-Q.; Liu, D. Free-Radical Bromotrifluoromethylation of Olefin via Single-Electron Oxidation of NaSO<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>by NaBrO<sub>3</sub>. *J. Org. Chem.* **201**7, *82*, 1649–1656.

(8) Haszeldine, R. N. 603. The Reactions of Fluorocarbon Radicals. Part I. The Reaction of Iodotrifluoromethane with Ethylene and Tetrafluoroethylene. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1949**, 2856–2861.

(9) Kamigata, N.; Fukushima, T.; Yoshida, M. Reaction of Trifluoromethanesulphonyl Chloride with Alkenes Catalysed by a Ruthenium(II) Complex. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1989**, 1559–1560.

(10) Verschueren, R. H.; De Borggraeve, W. M. Electrochemistry and Photoredox Catalysis: A Comparative Evaluation in Organic Synthesis. *Molecules* **2019**, *24*, 2122–2159.

(11) (a) Lefebvre, Q. Toward Sustainable Trifluoromethylation Reactions: Sodium Triflinate under the Spotlight. Synlett 2017, 28, 19–23. (b) Zhang, C. Application of Langlois' Reagent in Trifluoromethylation Reactions. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 2895–2906. (c) Guyon, H.; Chachignon, H.; Cahard, D. CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>X (X = Na, Cl) as reagents for trifluoromethylation, trifluoromethylsulfenyl-, -sulfinyl- and -sulfonylation. Part 1: Use of CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>Na. Beilstein J. Org. Chem 2017, 13, 2764–2799.

(12) (a) Hang, Z.; Li, Z.; Liu, Z.-Q. Iodotrifluoromethylation of Alkenes and Alkynes with Sodium Trifluoromethanesulfinate and Iodine Pentoxide. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 3648–3651. (b) Zhang, L.; Li, Z.; Liu, Z.-Q. A Free-Radical Cascade Trifluoromethylation/ Cyclization of N-Arylmethacrylamides and Enynes with Sodium Trifluoromethanesulfinate and Iodine Pentoxide. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 3688–3691.

(13) Shang, X.-J.; Liu, D.; Liu, Z.-Q. A NaSO<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>/NaBrO<sub>3</sub>-Mediated Bromotrifluoromethylation of Enyne via Free-Radical Cascade Processes. *Org. Chem. Front.* **2018**, *5*, 2856–2859.

(14) (a) Fang, J.; Wang, Z.-K.; Wu, S.-W.; Shen, W.-G.; Ao, G.-Z.; Liu, F. Photoredox-Catalysed Chloro-, Bromo- and Trifluoromethylthio-trifluoromethylation of Unactivated Alkenes with Sodium Triflinate. *Chem. Commun.* **2017**, *53*, 7638–7641. (b) Yuan, X.; Zheng, M.-W.; Di, Z.-C.; Cui, Y.-S.; Zhuang, K.-Q.; Qin, L.-Z.; Fang, Z.; Qiu, J.-K.; Li, G.; Guo, K. Photoredox-Catalzyed Halotrifluoromethylation of 1,7-Enynes for Synthesis of 3,4-Dihydroquinolin-2(1H)-ones. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2019**, *361*, 1835–1845.

(15) (a) Ye, K.-Y.; Pombar, G.; Fu, N.; Sauer, G. S.; Keresztes, I.; Lin, S. Anodically Coupled Electrolysis for the Heterodifunctionalization of Alkenes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 2438–2441. (b) Ye, K.-Y.; Song, Z.; Sauer, G. S.; Harenberg, J. H.; Fu, N.; Lin, S. Synthesis of Chlorotrifluoromethylated Pyrrolidines by Electrocatalytic Radical Ene-Yne Cyclization. *Chem. – Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 12274–12279.

(16) (a) Egami, H.; Usui, Y.; Kawamura, S.; Nagashima, S.; Sodeoka, M. Product Control in Alkene Trifluoromethylation: Hydrotrifluoromethylation, Vinylic Trifluoromethylation, and Iodotrifluoromethylation using Togni Reagent. *Chem. – Asian J.* 2015, *10*, 2190–2199.
(b) Huang, L.; Zheng, S.-C.; Tan, B.; Liu, X.-Y. Trifluoromethylation-Initiated Remote Cross-Coupling of Carbonyl Compounds to Form Carbon–Heteroatom/Carbon Bonds. *Chem. – Eur. J.* 2015, *21*, 6718–6722.

(17) Fu, M.; Chen, L.; Jiang, Y.; Jiang, Z.-X.; Yang, Z. Copper-Catalyzed Intermolecular Chloro- and Bromotrifluoromethylation of Alkenes. *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18*, 348–351.

(18) An, W.; Ha, N.; Lee, H. M.; Malpani, Y. R.; Lee, D.-H.; Jung, Y.-S.; Han, S. B. Copper-Mediated Halotrifluoromethylation of Unactivated Alkenes. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2015**, *357*, 3949–3960.

(19) Carboni, A.; Dagousset, G.; Magnier, E.; Masson, G. Three-Component Photoredox-Mediated Chloro-, Bromo-, or Iodotrifluoromethylation of Alkenes. *Synthesis* **2015**, *47*, 2439–2445.

(20) Sato, A.; Ponomarenko, M. V.; Ono, T.; Röschenthaler, G.-V.; Soloshonok, V. A. Mediator and Additive Free Trifluoromethyl-Fluorination of Terminal Alkenes by Persistent Perfluoroalkyl Radical. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2019**, 2019, 4417–4421.

(21) Yu, W.; Xu, X.-H.; Qing, F.-L. Silver-Mediated Oxidative Fluorotrifluoromethylation of Unactivated Alkenes. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2015**, 357, 2039–2044.

(22) Cui, B.; Sun, H.; Xu, Y.; Li, L.; Duan, L.; Li, Y.-M.  $Mn(OAc)_3$ -Mediated Hydrotrifluoromethylation of Unactivated Alkenes Using CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>Na as the Trifluoromethyl Source. *J. Org. Chem.* **2018**, *83*, 6015–6024.

(23) Please refer to the Supporting Information for details.

(24) Snider, B. B. Mechanisms of Mn(OAc)<sub>3</sub>-based oxidative freeradical additions and cyclizations. *Tetrahedron* **2009**, *65*, 10738– 10744.

(25) Maeda, K.; Kurahashi, T.; Matsubara, S. Chlorotrifluoromethylation of Terminal Olefins by Atom Transfer-Type Radical

Reaction Catalyzed by Cobalt Complexes. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2019**, 2019, 4613–4616. (26) Oh, S. H.; Malpani, Y. R.; Ha, N.; Jung, Y.-S.; Han, S. B. Vicinal Difunctionalization of Alkenes: Chlorotrifluoromethylation with CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>Cl by Photoredox Catalysis. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 1310–1313.