# Practical Synthesis of Precursors of Cyclohexyne and 1,2-Cyclohexadiene

Ryo Nakura Kazuki Inoue Kentaro Okano\* Datsunori Mori

Department of Chemical Science and Engineering, Kobe University, 1-1 Rokkodai, Nada, Kobe 657-8501, Japan okano@harbor.kobe-u.ac.jp

Received: 16.10.2018 Accepted after revision: 14.11.2018 Published online: 11.01.2019

DOI: 10.1055/s-0037-1610356; Art ID: ss-2018-f0697-psp

**Abstract** This study investigated a practical method for regiocontrolled synthesis of precursors of strained cyclohexynes and 1,2-cyclohexadienes, which is a one-pot procedure consisting of a rearrangement of silyl enol ether and subsequent formation of the enol triflates. Triethylsilyl enol ether, derived from cyclohexanone, was treated with a combination of LDA and t-BuOK in n-hexane/THF to encourage the migration of the silyl group to generate an  $\alpha$ -silyl enolate. Subsequently, the  $\alpha$ -silyl enolate was reacted with Comins' reagent to yield the corresponding enol triflate. Finally, the  $\alpha$ -silylated trisubstituted lithium enolate for the synthesis of 1,2-cyclohexadiene precursor was isomerized in the presence of a stoichiometric amount of water for one hour at room temperature to exclusively provide tetrasubstituted lithium enolate for the synthesis of cyclohexyne precursor in one pot.

**Key words** strained molecules, allenes, alkynes, enolate, isomerization, lithiation, rearrangement, solvent effects

Strained cycloalkynes and cycloallenes have attracted attention as reactive intermediates in a variety of reactions such as cycloaddition and nucleophilic addition. However, synthetic application of cyclohexynes<sup>2</sup> and 1,2-cyclohexadienes<sup>3</sup> lag far behind those of cyclooctynes,<sup>4</sup> dibenzocyclooctyne derivatives,<sup>5</sup> and 4,8-diazacyclononynes,<sup>6</sup> because the latter can be isolated as stable organic compounds. Therefore, various methods to generate cyclohexyne and 1,2-cyclohexadiene in situ have been reported.<sup>7</sup> Roberts<sup>8</sup> and Wittig<sup>9</sup> reported seminal work on the generation of cyclohexyne and 1,2-cyclohexadiene, respectively. In addition, Guitián and co-workers reported a fluoride ion-promoted generation of cyclohexynes and 1,2-cyclohexadienes from silyl enol triflates. 10 Recently, we reported a short-step synthesis of the silyl enol triflates from cyclohexanone using a two-pot process based on a modification of Corey's rearrangement reaction<sup>11</sup> of silyl enol ether.<sup>12</sup> However, this method involves tedious purification process that employs SEC (size-exclusion chromatography)-HPLC to separate the desired products from low polarity compounds. Herein, we describe a detailed modification of reaction conditions and achieve a gram-scale synthesis of silyl enol triflates **1** and **2** without using SEC-HPLC separation (Scheme 1).

no SEC-HPI C

In our previous study, <sup>12</sup> a combination of *t*-BuOK and commercially available lithium diisopropylamide (LDA) in tetrahydrofuran/ethylbenzene/heptane (purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industries (TCI): Product Number L0171) was used for the migration of a silyl group. It was found to be difficult to separate the desired compounds **1** and **2** from low polarity compounds by silica gel column chromatography. One compound that was obtained by SEC-HPLC separation was identified to be 1,4-diphenylbutane (**3**) after careful analysis (Figure 1). At first, it was assumed that this compound was generated under the basic conditions from ethylbenzene that was involved in the commercial LDA solution. <sup>13</sup> However, it was found that the LDA solution it-

Downloaded by: Universidad de Barcelona. Copyrighted material.

Figure 1 Identified compound obtained by SEC-HPLC separation

In order to avoid the tedious SEC-HPLC separation, a readily prepared LDA that did not contain the low polar compound 3 was used. In preliminary experiments, we found that the migration of a silvl group was significantly affected by the solvent ratio. We first examined the solvent ratio between *n*-hexane/THF to establish a robust procedure (Table 1). When only *n*-hexane was used as a solvent. the desired α-silyl ketone 5 was obtained with a 93% recovery of the starting silyl enol ether 4 (Table 1, entry 1). The reaction was then repeated with an *n*-hexane/THF ratio of 95:5, which is close to the ratio used with the commercially available LDA in the authors' previous work. 12 In this case, the migration of the silvl group smoothly took place to give  $\alpha$ -silyl ketone **5** in 84% isolated yield (entry 2). The yield of **5** slightly decreased in n-hexane/THF (90:10) (entry 3). The  $\alpha$ -silvl ketone **5** was not obtained in *n*-hexane/THF (85:15) with detection of 4% cyclohexanone (entry 4). These results indicated that the silyl group was removed by the nucleophilic attack of LDA. By increasing the solvent ratio, the recovery of the starting silyl enol ether 4 decreased and the formation of cyclohexanone became preferable (entries 5-7). These drastic solvent effects suggest that the aggregation state of LDA is important for the selective formation of α-silvl ketone **5** over cyclohexanone.<sup>14</sup>

Having established the optimal conditions for the migration of the silyl group, we then focused on investigating the one-pot rearrangement of silyl enol ether, followed by triflation without/with isomerization, giving precursors of 1,2-cyclohexadiene and cyclohexyne on multi-gram scales without SEC-HPLC separation (Scheme 2). Thus, the migration proceeded smoothly with in situ generated LDA in *n*-hexane/THF (95:5) at room temperature. The resulting enolate was then trapped with Comins' reagent<sup>15</sup> to provide silyl enol triflate 1 in 73% yield. The trisubstituted enolate was isomerized with water, and the resulting tetrasubstituted enolate was subjected to triflation to give silyl enol triflate 2 in 53% yield.

In summary, we have achieved one-pot gram-scale syntheses of precursors of cyclohexyne and 1,2-cyclohexadiene from the same silyl enol ether. The synthetic method developed in this work could be applied to the synthesis of both cycloalkyne precursor and cycloallene precursor. These re-

 Table 1
 Effects of Solvent on the Migration of Silyl Group

Entry	<i>n</i> -hexane/THF (v:v)	Recovered silyl enol ether <b>4</b> (%)		Cyclohexanone (%)
1	100:0	93ª	7ª	_b
2	95:5	_b	99ª (84)°	_b
3	90:10	15ª	85ª	_b
4	85:15	71ª	_b	4 <sup>a</sup>
5	80:20	76ª	_b	11ª
6	70:30	67ª	_b	2ª
7	17:83	27ª	_b	65ª

- $^{\rm a}$  The yield was determined by  $^{\rm 1}{\rm H}$  NMR spectrum of the crude material with
- 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane as an internal standard.
- <sup>b</sup> Not detected in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the crude material.
- c Isolated yield.

**Scheme 2** One-pot gram-scale syntheses of precursors of cyclohexyne and 1,2-cyclohexadiene

sults would promote the research on using these reactive and strained synthetic intermediates for bioactive natural products, drugs, and functional organic molecules.

Analytical TLC was performed on Merck 60 F254 aluminum sheets precoated with a 0.25 mm thickness of silica gel. IR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Alpha with an ATR attachment (Ge) and are reported in wave numbers (cm $^{-1}$ ).  $^{1}H$  NMR (400 MHz) and  $^{13}C$  NMR (100 MHz) spectra were measured on a JEOL ECZ400 spectrometer. Chemical shifts for  $^{1}H$  NMR are reported in parts per million (ppm) downfield from TMS with the solvent resonance as the internal standard (CHCl $_{3}$ :  $\delta$  = 7.26) and coupling constants are in hertz (Hz). Standard abbreviations are used for spin multiplicity. Chemical shifts for  $^{13}C$  NMR are reported in ppm from TMS with the solvent resonance as the internal standard (CDCl $_{3}$ :  $\delta$  = 77.16). All workup and purification procedures were carried out with reagent-grade solvents in air. Un-

less otherwise noted, materials were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Flash column chromatography was performed on Wakogel® C-300 (45–75 μm, Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.). Recycling preparative SEC-HPLC was performed with LC-9201 (Japan Analytical Industry Co., Ltd.) equipped with preparative SEC columns (JAI-GEL-1H and JAI-GEL-2H). Anhyd THF and *n*-BuLi (1.6 M in *n*-hexane) were purchased from Kanto Chemical Co., Inc. THF was further dried by passing through a solvent purification system (Grass Contour) prior to use. Anhyd *n*-hexane (H<sub>2</sub>O content <30 ppm) was purchased from Nacalai Tesque, Inc. LDA (ca. 1.5 M in THF/ethylbenzene/heptane) and *t*-BuOK (>95.0%) was purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd. *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>NH was purchased from FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Co., Ltd. and distilled over CaH<sub>2</sub> prior to use.

#### 2-(Triethylsilyl)cyclohexan-1-one (5)

A flame-dried 20 mL Schlenk tube equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic stirring bar and a rubber septum was charged with i-Pr<sub>2</sub>NH (0.176 mL, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv) and anhyd THF (0.20 mL). After the resulting solution was cooled to -78 °C, n-BuLi (1.57 M in n-hexane, 0.796 mL, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv) was added to the Schlenk tube. The mixture was then warmed to 0 °C and stirred for 30 min. The resulting LDA solution was warmed to r.t. To the solution were added anhyd *n*-hexane (3.11 mL) and *t*-BuOK (0.139 g, 1.24 mmol, 2.5 equiv). After stirring at r.t. for 30 min, the reaction mixture was treated with silyl enol ether 4 (0.107 g, 0.504 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and the resulting mixture was stirred at r.t. for 1 h, at which time TLC (n-hexane/MeOAc 9:1) indicated complete consumption of the starting silyl enol ether. The resulting mixture was treated with H<sub>2</sub>O (3 mL). After partition of the layers, the aqueous layer was extracted with  $Et_2O$  (3 × 2 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (4 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to give a crude material, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography (n-hexane/MeOAc 20:1) to provide the title compound (90.1 mg, 0.424 mmol, 84%) as a colorless oil, whose spectroscopic data were identical to those reported in the literature. 12

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  = 2.40–2.30 (m, 2 H), 2.28–2.16 (m, 1 H), 2.00–1.86 (m, 3 H), 1.79–1.62 (m, 3 H), 0.96 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 9 H), 0.65 (q, J = 7.9 Hz, 6 H).

 $^{13}\text{C NMR}$  (CDCl3, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  = 213.0, 42.0, 41.5, 26.6, 25.2, 23.8, 7.4, 3.3.

#### 1,4-Diphenylbutane (3) from the Commercially Available LDA

A flame-dried 500 mL two-necked flask equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic stirring bar, a rubber septum, and a three-way stopcock was charged with t-BuOK (2.81 g, 25.0 mmol, 2.5 equiv) and anhyd nhexane (40.0 mL). To the solution was added LDA (1.5 M in THF/ethylbenzene/heptane, 16.7 mL, 25.0 mmol, 2.5 equiv) dropwise and the mixture was stirred at r.t. for 30 min. To the reaction mixture was added silyl enol ether 4 (2.13 g, 10.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and the resulting mixture was stirred at r.t. for 1 h, at which time TLC (n-hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 9:1) indicated complete consumption of the starting silyl enol ether. To the reaction mixture was added anhyd THF (40.0 mL). After cooling to -78 °C, the resulting mixture was treated with Comins' reagent (7.86 g, 20.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv) in THF (24.0 mL) dropwise. The mixture was warmed to r.t. After stirring at r.t. for 1 h, the resulting mixture was treated with H<sub>2</sub>O (40 mL). After partition of the layers, the aqueous layer was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 × 40 mL), the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (80 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>-SO<sub>4</sub>), and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to give a crude material, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography (n-hexane) followed by preparative SEC-HPLC to provide 6-(triethylsilyl)cyclohex-1-en-1-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $\mathbf{1}$ ) $^{12}$  (2.24 g, 6.50 mmol, 65%) as a colorless oil and 1,4-diphenylbutane ( $\mathbf{3}$ ) $^{16}$  (62.0 mg, 0.316 mmol) as a colorless oil, whose spectroscopic data were identical to those reported in the literature.

 $^1H$  NMR (CDCl  $_3$  , 400 MHz):  $\delta$  = 7.31–7.23 (m, 4 H), 7.21–7.11 (m, 6 H), 2.68–2.57 (m, 4 H), 1.74–1.61 (m, 4 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  = 142.7, 128.5, 128.4, 125.8, 35.9, 31.2.

#### 6-(Triethylsilyl)cyclohex-1-en-1-yl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (1)

A flame-dried 500 mL two-necked flask equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic stirring bar, a rubber septum, and a three-way stopcock was charged with i-Pr<sub>2</sub>NH (3.52 mL, 25.0 mmol, 2.5 equiv) and anhyd THF (4.0 mL). After the resulting solution was cooled to -78 °C. n-BuLi (1.57 M in *n*-hexane, 15.9 mL, 25.0 mmol, 2.5 equiv) was added to the flask. The mixture was then warmed to 0 °C and stirred for 30 min. The resulting LDA solution was warmed to r.t. To the solution were added anhyd *n*-hexane (62.2 mL) and *t*-BuOK (2.80 g, 25.0 mmol, 2.5 equiv). After stirring at r.t. for 30 min, the reaction mixture was treated with silyl enol ether 4 (2.11 g, 9.93 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and the resulting mixture was stirred at r.t. for 1 h, at which time TLC (n-hexane/MeOAc 9:1) indicated complete consumption of the starting silyl enol ether. To the mixture was added anhyd THF (40 mL). After cooling to -78 °C, the resulting mixture was treated with Comins' reagent (7.86 g, 20.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv) in THF (30 mL) dropwise and the mixture was warmed to r.t. After stirring at r.t. for 1 h, the resulting mixture was treated with H<sub>2</sub>O (60 mL). After partition of the layers, the aqueous layer was extracted with *n*-hexane (3  $\times$  40 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (80 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>-SO<sub>4</sub>), and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to give a crude material, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography (*n*-hexane) to provide the title compound (2.49 g. 7.24 mmol, 73%) as a colorless oil, whose spectroscopic data were identical to those reported in the literature.<sup>12</sup>

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  = 5.68–5.60 (m, 1 H), 2.27–2.16 (m, 1 H), 2.15–2.01 (m, 2 H), 2.00–1.90 (m, 1 H), 1.72–1.60 (m, 2 H), 1.56–1.41 (m, 1 H), 0.97 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 9 H), 0.66 (q, J = 7.8 Hz, 6 H).

 $^{13}\text{C NMR}$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  = 153.2, 118.7 (q,  $^{1}J_{\text{C,F}}$  = 319 Hz), 115.2, 26.1, 25.6, 24.3, 21.4, 7.5, 3.0.

### 2-(Triethylsilyl)cyclohex-1-en-1-yl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (2)

A flame-dried 500 mL two-necked flask equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic stirring bar, a rubber septum, and a three-way stopcock was charged with i-Pr<sub>2</sub>NH (3.52 mL, 25.0 mmol, 2.5 equiv) and anhyd THF (4.0 mL). After the resulting solution was cooled to -78 °C, *n*-BuLi (1.57 M in *n*-hexane, 15.9 mL, 25.0 mmol, 2.5 equiv) was added to the flask. The mixture was then warmed to 0 °C and stirred for 30 min. The resulting LDA solution was warmed to r.t. To the solution were added anhyd *n*-hexane (62.2 mL) and *t*-BuOK (2.80 g, 25.0 mmol, 2.5 equiv). After stirring at r.t. for 30 min, the reaction mixture was treated with silyl enol ether 4 (2.11 g, 9.93 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and the resulting mixture was stirred at r.t. for 1 h, at which time TLC (n-hexane/MeOAc 9:1) indicated complete consumption of the starting silyl enol ether. To the mixture was added distilled H<sub>2</sub>O (0.270 mL) and anhyd THF (40.0 mL). After stirring at r.t. for 1 h, the mixture was cooled to -78 °C. To the solution was added Comins' reagent (7.85 g, 20.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv) in THF (30 mL) dropwise. After stirring at r.t. for 1 h, the resulting mixture was treated with H<sub>2</sub>O (60 mL). After partition of the layers, the aqueous layer was extracted with *n*-hexane (3  $\times$  40 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (80 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to give a crude material, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography (*n*-hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 19:1) to provide the title compound (1.81 g, 5.25 mmol, 53%) as a colorless oil, whose spectroscopic data were identical to those reported in the literature.<sup>12</sup>

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  = 2.48–2.37 (m, 2 H), 2.23–2.13 (m, 2 H), 1.80–1.70 (m, 2 H), 1.61–1.51 (m, 2 H), 0.94 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 9 H), 0.72 (q, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 6 H).

 $^{13}\text{C NMR}$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  = 155.3, 125.7, 118.5 (q,  $^1\!J_{\text{C,F}}$  = 318 Hz), 29.0, 28.5, 23.2, 21.9, 7.4, 3.0.

# **Funding Information**

This work was financially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP16K05774 in Scientific Research (C), JP16H01153 and JP18H04413 in the Middle Molecular Strategy, Creation of Innovation Centers for Advanced Interdisciplinary Research Areas (Innovative Bioproduction Kobe), Kawanishi Memorial ShinMaywa Education Foundation, and the Sasakawa Scientific Research Grant from The Japan Science Society.

## **Supporting Information**

Supporting information for this article is available online at https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0037-1610356.

## References

- (a) Hoffmann, R. W. Dehydrobenzene and Cycloalkynes; Academic Press: New York, 1967.
   (b) Wittig, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1962, 1, 415.
   (c) Buchwald, S. L.; Nielsen, R. B. Chem. Rev. 1988, 88, 1047.
   (d) Johnson, R. P. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 1111.
   (e) Wenk, H. H.; Winkler, M.; Sander, W. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 502.
   (f) Pellissier, H.; Santelli, M. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 701.
   (g) Suzuki, N. Synth. Org. Chem., Jpn 2007, 65, 347.
   (h) Sletten, E. M.; Bertozzi, C. R. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 6974.
   (i) Gampe, C. M.; Carreira, E. M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 3766.
   (j) Yoshida, S.; Hosoya, T. Chem. Lett. 2015, 44, 1450.
- (2) (a) Yoshida, H.; Tanino, K.; Ohshita, J.; Kunai, A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5052. (b) Gampe, C. M.; Boulos, S.; Carreira, E. M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 4092. (c) Gampe, C. M.; Carreira, E. M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 2962. (d) Devlin, A. S.; Du Bois, J. Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 1059. (e) Medina, J. M.; McMahon, T. C.; Jiménez-Osés, G.; Houk, K. N.; Garg, N. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 14706. (f) Tlais, S. F.; Danheiser, R. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 15489. (g) McMahon, T. C.; Medina, J. M.; Yang, Y.-F.; Simmons, B. J.; Houk, K. N.; Garg, N. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 4082. (h) Shah, T. K.; Medina, J. M.; Garg, N. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 4948. (i) Picazo, E.; Anthony, S. M.; Giroud, M.; Simon, A.; Miller, M. A.; Houk, K. N.; Garg, N. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 7605.
- (3) (a) Moore, W. R.; Moser, W. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 5469.
  (b) Christl, M.; Schreck, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1987, 26, 449.
  (c) Barber, J. S.; Styduhar, E. D.; Pham, H. V.; McMahon, T.

- C.; Houk, K. N.; Garg, N. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 2512. (d) Lofstrand, V. A.; West, F. G. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 10763. (e) Barber, J. S.; Yamano, M. M.; Ramirez, M.; Darzi, E. R.; Knapp, R. R.; Liu, F.; Houk, K. N.; Garg, N. K. *Nat. Chem.* **2018**, *10*, 953.
- (4) (a) Blomquist, A. T.; Liu, L. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1953, 75, 2153.
  (b) Wittig, G.; Krebs, A. Chem. Ber. 1961, 94, 3260. (c) Wittig, G.; Pohlke, R. Chem. Ber. 1961, 94, 3276. (d) Franzen, V.; Joschek, H.-I. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1967, 703, 90. (e) Wittig, G.; Hutchison, J. J. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1970, 741, 79. (f) Agard, N. J.; Prescher, J. A.; Bertozzi, C. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 15046. (g) Codelli, J. A.; Baskin, J. M.; Agard, N. J.; Bertozzi, C. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 11486. (h) Sletten, E. M.; Bertozzi, C. R. Acc. Chem. Res. 2011, 44, 666.
- (5) (a) Orita, A.; Hasegawa, D.; Nakano, T.; Otera, J. Chem. Eur. J. 2002, 8, 2000. (b) Debets, M. F.; van Berkel, S. S.; Schoffelen, S.; Rutjes, F.; van Hest, J. C. M.; van Delft, F. L. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 97. (c) Gordon, C. G.; MacKey, J. L.; Jewett, J. C.; Sletten, E. M.; Houk, K. N.; Bertozzi, C. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 9199.
- (6) (a) Ni, R.; Mitsuda, N.; Kashiwagi, T.; Igawa, K.; Tomooka, K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 1190. (b) Igawa, K.; Aoyama, S.; Kawasaki, Y.; Kashiwagi, T.; Seto, Y.; Ni, R.; Mitsuda, N.; Tomooka, K. Synlett 2017, 28, 2110.
- (7) (a) Moore, W. R.; Moser, W. R. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 908.
  (b) Harada, T.; Iwazaki, K.; Otani, T.; Oku, A. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 9007. (c) Fujita, M.; Sakanishi, Y.; Kim, W. H.; Okuyama, T. Chem. Lett. 2002, 31, 908. (d) Al-Omari, M.; Banert, K.; Hagedorn, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 309. (e) Yoshida, S.; Karaki, F.; Uchida, K.; Hosoya, T. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 8745. (f) Hioki, Y.; Okano, K.; Mori, A. Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 2614. (g) Hioki, Y.; Yukioka, T.; Okano, K.; Mori, A. Asian J. Org. Chem. 2018, 7, 1298.
- (8) Scardiglia, F.; Roberts, J. D. Tetrahedron 1957, 1, 343.
- (9) Wittig, G.; Fritze, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1966, 5, 846.
- (10) (a) Atanes, N.; Escudero, S.; Pérez, D.; Guitián, E.; Castedo, L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 3039. (b) Peña, D.; Iglesias, B.; Quintana, I.; Pérez, D.; Guitián, E.; Castedo, L. Pure Appl. Chem. 2006, 78, 451. (c) Quintana, I.; Peña, D.; Pérez, D.; Guitián, E. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 5519. Generation of benzyne from 2-trimethylsilylbenzene triflate by fluoride ion: (d) Himeshima, Y.; Sonoda, T.; Kobayashi, H. Chem. Lett. 1983, 12, 1211.
- (11) (a) Corey, E. J.; Rücker, C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, 25, 4345. (b) Kuwajima, I.; Takeda, R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1981**, 22, 2381.
- (12) Inoue, K.; Nakura, R.; Okano, K.; Mori, A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2018, 3343.
- (13) The commercially available LDA solution consists of 20–27% (w/w) LDA, in heptane/THF/ethylbenzene.
- (14) (a) Hoepker, A. C.; Gupta, L.; Ma, Y.; Faggin, M. F.; Collum, D. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 7135. (b) Reich, H. J. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 7130.
- (15) (a) Comins, D. L.; Dehghani, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 6299.
  (b) Comins, D. L.; Dehghani, A.; Foti, C. J.; Joseph, S. P. Org. Synth. 1997, 74, 77.
- (16) Prinsell, M. R.; Everson, D. A.; Weix, D. J. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, 46, 5743.