Accepted Manuscript

DBU-promoted tandem Michael-addition/cyclization for the synthesis of polysubstituted pyrroles

Tianyu Yang, Ke-Hu Wang, Danfeng Huang, Pengfei Li, Zhoubin Deng, Yinpeng Su, Yulai Hu

PII: S0040-4020(19)30217-0

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2019.02.057

Reference: TET 30180

To appear in: Tetrahedron

Received Date: 25 January 2019

Revised Date: 23 February 2019

Accepted Date: 25 February 2019

Please cite this article as: Yang T, Wang K-H, Huang D, Li P, Deng Z, Su Y, Hu Y, DBU-promoted tandem Michael-addition/cyclization for the synthesis of polysubstituted pyrroles, *Tetrahedron* (2019), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2019.02.057.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Graphical Abstract





Tetrahedron journal homepage: www.elsevier.com

DBU-Promoted Tandem Michael-addition/Cyclization for the Synthesis of Polysubstituted Pyrroles

Tianyu Yang^a, Ke-Hu Wang^a, Danfeng Huang^a, Pengfei Li^a, Zhoubin Deng^a, Yinpeng Su^a and Yulai Hu^{a,b,*}

^a College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou 730070, P. R. China. ^b State Key Laboratory of Applied Organic Chemistry, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, P. R. China.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received Received in revised form Accepted Available online

Keywords: α,β -unsaturated ynones glycine ethyl ester pyrroles Michael addition cyclization tandem reaction

ABSTRACT

An efficient and transition-metal-free method for the synthesis of the structurally diversified pyrroles is described. Various α,β -unsaturated ynones reacted with *N*-substituted ethyl glycine ethyl ester hydrochlorides in the presence of DBU to form the corresponding products in good yields. This protocol has the advantages of readily available starting materials, mild reaction conditions and a wide scope of substrates, which provides a practical route for the synthesis of polysubstituted pyrroles.

2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Pyrroles represent an important class of nitrogen-containing heterocycles, which show diverse pharmacological properties such as anti-HIV-1,¹ antitumor,² antifungel³ and other bioactivities.⁴ For examples, Atorvastatin, Lamellarin Q and Porphobilinogen are prevalent pyrrole-derived clinical drugs (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1 Selected biological and pharmaceutical molecules bearing highly substituted pyrrole

Pyrrole cores also appear frequently in natural products⁵ and functional materials such as conducting materials.⁶ Due to their wide applications, the development of new and more efficient method for construction of pyrroles has long been an active topic in synthetic chemistry. The traditional methods for synthesis of pyrroles are the Paal-Knorr⁷ or Hantazsch⁸ reactions. Transitionmetal-catalyzed methodologies are other important and efficient approaches for construction of pyrrole derivatives in recent years.⁹

On the other hand, α,β -unsaturated ynones are important building blocks in the synthesis of heterocycles because of their easy preparation and commercial availability.¹⁰ Till now, α,β unsaturated ynones have been successfully used in the synthesis of pyridines,¹¹ furfurans,¹² and other heterocycles.¹³ As versatile building blocks, they could also be applied for construction of pyrrole derivatives. For instance, Cu-assisted cycloisomerisation reactions of α,β -unsaturated ynone-derived alkynyl imines were reported to provide pyrrole derivatives in good yields.¹⁴ One-pot reactions of ynones with benzylamines could afford pyrrole derivatives under metal free conditions, but amino acid esters failed to give the corresponding pyrrole derivatives under the same conditions.¹⁵ Meanwhile, there is an ester group at 2position of pyrrole ring of Lamellarin Q. In our research works, we found that the reaction of ynones and amino acid esters produced the ester group-containing pyrrole derivatives in good yields when the reaction was performed in a two-step way in the presence of DBU. Herein, we would like to disclose a practical DBU-mediated synthesis of ester group-containing

Tetrahedron

^{*} Corresponding author. e-mail: huyl@nwnu.edu.cn

polysubstituted pyrroles from the reaction of amino acid esters M **Table 1** Optimization of Reaction Conditions^a and ynones.

2. Results and discussion

We initiated our study with the reaction of 1,3-diphenylprop-2-yn-1-one 1a (1 equiv), glycine ethyl ester hydrochloride 2a (1 equiv) and DBU (1 equiv) in DMSO at room temperature. After 12 h, the reaction mixture was then heated at 140 °C for another 4 h. The desired product 3a was obtained in 25% yield with another compound 4a being formed in 32% yield (Table 1, entry 1). We envisioned that enaminone 4a would be the intermediate for the desired product 3a. Based on literature reports about the formation of enaminones, alcoholic solvent was beneficial to generate the compound 4a.¹⁶ Thus, the mixture of 1,3diphenylprop-2-yn-1-one 1a (1 equiv), glycine ethyl ester hydrochloride 2a (1 equiv) and DBU (1 equiv) were firstly stirred in ethanol at room temperature for 12 h to form the compound 4a, and then the ethanol was replaced by DMSO. The reaction mixture was heated at 140 °C for another 4 h to afford the product 3a in 48% yield, but the compound 4a was still obtained in 19% yield (Table 1, entry 2). When both two steps were carried out in ethanol, there was no product 3a formed, and only enaminone 4a was obtained in 45% yield (Table 1, entry 3). Meanwhile, the toluene and 1,4-dioxane were used as the solvents for the second step, the desired product 3a was not formed in both of the solvents. When DMF was used, the 3a was obtained in 35% yield with the compound 4a being formed in 13% yield (Table 1, entry 4–6). The result indicated that DMSO is the suitable solvent for the second step. When the reaction temperature in the second step was decreased, the yield of the desired product 3a decreased (Table 1, entries 7-10). Further studies showed that the yield of product 3a reached to 85% when 4 equiv of glycine ethyl ester hydrochloride 2a and 4 equiv of DBU in step one were used (Table 1, entry 17). The other bases such as KOH, NaOH, K₃PO₄, Na₃PO₄, K₂CO₃, Cs₂CO₃, Et₃N, and DABCO were also examined, but DBU gave the best result. Thus, the best reaction conditions were the treatment of 1 equiv of 1,3-diphenylprop-2-yn-1-one with 4 equiv of glycine ethyl ester hydrochloride and 4 equiv of DBU in ethanol at room _ temperature for 12 h, and then the ethanol was vaporized. The residue was redissolved in DMSO, and another 1 equiv of DBU was added. The mixture was heated at 140 °C for another 4 h. The target product 3a was then obtained.

With the optimal reaction conditions in hand, the scope of the reaction was investigated. First, glycine ethyl ester hydrochloride and its *N*-substituted derivatives 2a-2g were reacted with ynones 1a-1c. As shown in Table 2, the substituents on nitrogen atom of glycine ethyl ester had great influence on the reaction. When *N*-phenyl glycine ethyl ester was used in the reaction, no product **3b** was obtained (Table 2, entry 2). When *N*-Boc glycine ethyl ester was applied in the reaction, the deprotected product **3a** was formed instead of Boc-protected product **3c** (Table 2, entry 3). *N*-Alkyl glycine ethyl ester could also be applied in the reaction to afford the corresponding products (Table 2, entries 5–9), but the yields were lower. When the bulky *t*-butyl group was attached to the nitrogen atom, no product was formed (Table 2, entry 8). These results indicated that the electronic properties and steric hindrance at the N atom disfavored this reaction.

Afterward, various ynones **1b–1aa** were investigated in the reaction. As shown in Table 3. Both electrodonating and electrowithdrawing groups on phenyl rings of 1,3-diarylynones were well tolerated and provided the corresponding products in good yields (Table 3, entries 1–14). Further observation showed that the steric hindrance on phenyl rings of aromatic ynones did

Table 1 Optimization of Reaction Conditions ^a							
R ¹ _O		COOEt	1) DBU (4 equiv EtOH, r.t.	⁽⁾ R ¹	COOEt		
 R ²	Ŧ	NH•HCI R ³	2) DBU (1 equiv DMSO, 140 °) C R^2	$\stackrel{P}{\underset{R^{2}}{\overset{I}{\underset{R^{3}}{\overset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\overset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\overset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\overset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\overset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\overset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\overset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\overset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}}{\overset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\overset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}}{\overset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\underset{R^{3}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}$		
1a-1c		2a-2g		:	3a-3i		
		Step 1	Step 2		V:-14 (0/)b		
Entry	1a/2a	DBU	DBU	Temp (°C)	3a/4a		
1 ^c	1:1	1 equiv.	/	140	25/32		
2	1:1	1 equiv.	/	140	48/19		
3 ^d	1:1	1 equiv.	/	reflux	0/45		
4 ^e	1:1	1 equiv.	/	reflux	0/27		
5 ^f	1:1	1 equiv.	1	reflux	0/36		
6 ^g	1:1	1 equiv.	/	140	35/13		
7	1:1	1 equiv.	/	120	37/21		
8	1:1	1 equiv.		100	28/30		
9	1:1	1 equiv.	1	50	23/25		
10	1:1	1 equiv.	1	r.t.	10/43		
11	1:1	1 equiv.	1 equiv.	140	54/15		
12	1:1	2 equiv.	1 equiv.	140	58/12		
13	1:1	3 equiv.	1 equiv.	140	60/8		
14	1:1	4 equiv.	1 equiv.	140	69/0		
15	1:2	4 equiv.	1 equiv.	140	71/0		
16	1:3	4 equiv.	1 equiv.	140	82/0		
17	1:4	4 equiv.	1 equiv.	140	85/0		
18	1:4	4 equiv.	1.5 equiv.	140	76/0		
^a Reaction conditions: 19 (0.5 mmol) 29 (1.0-4.0 equiv) and DBU (1.0.4.0							

^a Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.5 mmol), **2a** (1.0–4.0 equiv) and DBU (1.0–4.0 equiv) were mixed in EtOH (5 mL), and stirred at r.t. for 12 h. EtOH was vaporized, and then another portion of DBU (0–1.5 equiv) and DMSO (5 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred at 140 °C for 4 h. ^b Isolated yields. ^c Step 1: using DMSO as solvent. ^d Step 2: using EtOH as solvent. ^e Step 2: using DMF as solvent. ^f Step 2: using DMF

Table 2 Reaction of N-Substituted Glycine Ethyl EsterHydrochlorides 2a-2g with Ynones $1a-1c^a$

R ¹		Et 1) [E	DBU (4 equiv) EtOH, r.t.	R ¹	_COOEt
F		ICI 2) [[DBU (1 equiv) DMSO, 140 ºC	\mathbb{R}^2	[∼] R ³
1a-1c 9				3a-3i	
Entry	\mathbf{R}^1	\mathbb{R}^2	\mathbb{R}^3	Product	Yield (%) ^b
1	$C_{6}H_{5}(1a)$	$C_{6}H_{5}(1a)$	H (2a)	3a	85
2	$C_{6}H_{5}(1a)$	$C_{6}H_{5}(1a)$	$C_{6}H_{5}(2b)$	3b	0
3	$C_{6}H_{5}(1a)$	$C_{6}H_{5}(1a)$	Boc (2c)	3c	$0(62^{\circ})$
4	$C_{6}H_{5}(1a)$	$C_{6}H_{5}(1a)$	Benzyl (2d)	3d	65
5	$C_{6}H_{5}(1a)$	$C_{6}H_{5}(1a)$	Methyl (2e)	3e	76
6	$4-CH_{3}C_{6}H_{4}(\mathbf{1b})$	$C_{6}H_{5}(1b)$	Methyl (2e)	3f	57
7	$4-ClC_{6}H_{4}(1c)$	$C_{6}H_{5}(1c)$	Methyl (2e)	3g	65
8	$C_{6}H_{5}(1a)$	$C_{6}H_{5}(1a)$	<i>t</i> -Butyl (2f)	3h	0
9	$C_{6}H_{5}(1a)$	$C_{6}H_{5}(1a)$	<i>n</i> -Butyl (2g)	3i	37
an	11.1 1.	1 (0 5	1 1	0. (1.0	·) IDDU

^aReaction conditions: 1a-1c (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv), 2a-2g (4.0 equiv) and DBU (4.0 equiv) were mixed in EtOH (5 mL) and then stirred at r.t. for 12 h, EtOH was vaporized, and then another portion of DBU (1.0 equiv) and DMSO (5 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred at 140 °C for 4 h. ^bIsolated yields. ^cYield of **3a**.

not influence the transformation obviously. For instance, when the substituents on aromatic ring of \mathbb{R}^1 group were methyl or chlorine group, the *para-*, *ortho-* and *meta-*substituted products **3j–3n** were obtained in almost the same yields (Table 3, entries 1–5). Meanwhile, the electronic properties of the substituents on phenyl rings of aromatic ynones had influence on the product yields. For examples, when both substituents on aromatic rings of \mathbb{R}^1 and \mathbb{R}^2 groups were methyl group, the yield of **3u** was 67% (Table 3, entry 12). However, when the substituent on aromatic rings of \mathbb{R}^1 group was chlorine and the substituent on aromatic rings of \mathbb{R}^2 group was methyl group, the yield of **3v** decreased to 49% (Table 3, entry 13). When the \mathbb{R}^1 or/and \mathbb{R}^2 groups were aliphatic substituents, the corresponding products were produced in low yields (Table 3, entries 15–26). Especially, no product was formed if \mathbb{R}^1 was *t*-butyl group (Table 3, entry 18). When \mathbb{R}^1 was trifluoromethyl group, only intermediate **4ai** was obtained instead of the corresponding pyrrole in the yield of 92% (Table 3, entry 26).

 Table 3 Reaction of Glycine Ethyl Ester Hydrochlorides 2a

 with Various Ynones 1b–1aa^a

R ¹	COOFt	1) DBU (4 equiv)	\mathbb{R}^1	.COOEt
, li	+	EtOH, r.t.	→ /	\leq
	NH•HCI	2) DBLL (1 equiv)		ŃH
			/	
1h 1a	_	Dinoo, 140 0	R-	
10-18	a 2a		Зј	–3ai
Entry	R^1	\mathbb{R}^2	Product	Yield (%) ^b
1	$4-CH_{3}C_{6}H_{4}(\mathbf{1b})$	$C_6H_5(1b)$	3j	74
2	$4-ClC_{6}H_{4}(1c)$	$C_{6}H_{5}(1c)$	3k	76
3	$2-CH_{3}C_{6}H_{4}(1d)$	$C_{6}H_{5}(1d)$	31	67
4	$3-ClC_{6}H_{4}(1e)$	$C_{6}H_{5}(1e)$	3m	73
5	$2-ClC_{6}H_{4}(1f)$	$C_{6}H_{5}(1f)$	3n	64
6	4-CH ₃ OC ₆ H ₄ (1g)	$C_{6}H_{5}(1g)$	30	72
7	$4\text{-FC}_{6}\text{H}_{4}\left(\mathbf{1h}\right)$	$C_{6}H_{5}(1h)$	3р	69
8	4-CF ₃ C ₆ H ₄ (1i)	$C_{6}H_{5}(1i)$	3q	75
9	$3,5-Cl_2C_6H_3(1j)$	$C_{6}H_{5}(1j)$	3r	84
10	2-Naphthyl (1k)	$C_{6}H_{5}(1k)$	3s	70
11	1-Naphthyl (11)	$C_6H_5(11)$	3t	65
12	$4-CH_{3}C_{6}H_{4}$ (1m)	$4-CH_{3}C_{6}H_{4}$ (1m)	3u	67
13	$4-ClC_{6}H_{5}(1n)$	$4-CH_{3}C_{6}H_{4}(1n)$	3v	49
14	3,5-Cl ₂ C ₆ H ₃ (10)	$4-CH_{3}C_{6}H_{4}$ (10)	3w	78
15	Benzyl (1p)	$C_{6}H_{5}(1p)$	3x	64
16	Methyl (1q)	$C_6H_5(\mathbf{1q})$	3у	38
17	<i>i</i> -Propyl (1r)	$C_{6}H_{5}(1r)$	3z	30
18	<i>t</i> -Butyl (1s)	$C_{6}H_{5}(1s)$	3aa	0
19	Cyclohexyl (1t)	$C_{6}H_{5}(1t)$	3ab	13
20	Cinnamyl (1u)	$C_{6}H_{5}(1u)$	3ac	58
21	$4-CH_{3}C_{6}H_{4}(1\mathbf{v})$	Cyclopropyl (1v)	3ad	45
22	$3,5-Cl_2C_6H_3$ (1w)	Cyclopropyl	3ae	60
		(1w)		
23	$C_{6}H_{5}(1x)$	t-Butyl (1x)	3af	10
24	$C_{6}H_{5}(1y)$	Cyclohexyl (1y)	3ag	15
25	Methyl (1z)	H (1 z)	3ah	19
26	Trifluoromethyl	C ₆ H ₅ (1aa)	3ai	$0(92^{\circ})$
	(1aa)		(4ai)	$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$

^a Reaction conditions: **1b–1aa** (0.5 mmol), **2a** (4.0 equiv), DBU (4.0 equiv) were mixed in EtOH (5 mL), and then stirred at r.t. for 12 h, EtOH was vaporized, and then another portion of DBU (1.0 equiv) and DMSO (5 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred at 140 °C for 4 h. ^b Isolated yields. ^c Yield of **4ai**.

In order to further demonstrate the utilities of this process in synthesis of pyrrole derivatives, a gram-scale experiment was conducted (Scheme 2). When 1.03 gram of 1,3-diphenylprop-2-yn-1-one **1a** reacted with 2.79 gram of glycine ethyl ester hydrochloride **2a** under the standard reaction conditions. The product **3a** was obtained in 80% yield. This result showed this process was an efficient and practical method to prepare pyrrole derivatives



Scheme 2 Gram-scale synthesis of ethyl 3,5-diphenyl-1*H*-pyrrole-2-carboxylate **3a**

On the basis of the literature,^{12a,16} and our experimental results, a tentative mechanism for the reaction was proposed,

which was depicted in Scheme 3. First, glycine ethyl ester hydrochloride 2a was neutralized by DBU to give glycine ethyl ester 5a, which performed 1,4-addition reaction with ynone 1a to provide the enaminone 4a. Deprotonation of the enaminone 4a by DBU generated the anion intermediate 6a, which conducted 1,2-addition to carbonyl group to generate intermediate 7a. Dehydration of 7a gave the product 3a.



Scheme 3 Proposed mechanism

3. Conclusions

In summary, we have developed an effective and practical tandem Michael addition/cyclization of α,β -unsaturated ynones with *N*-substituted glycine ethyl ester hydrochlorides for the synthesis of polysubstituted pyrroles under transition-metal-free conditions. A series of polysubstituted pyrroles were obtained in good yields. This protocol overcomes some literature limitations, and makes the glycine ethyl ester hydrochlorides applicable for the preparation of polysubstituted pyrroles.

4. Experimental Section

All of the commercially available reagents and solvents were used without further purification. α,β -unsaturated ynones and compounds 2f and 2g were prepared according to the literature procedure.^{10e,17} Flash chromatography was performed using silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh). Analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) was conducted using silica gel GF254. TLC plates were analysed by an exposure to ultraviolet (UV) light and/or submersion in a phosphomolybdic acid solution or in iodine vapour. ¹H NMR spectra were measured on 600 MHz and 400 MHz spectrometers. Chemical shifts were recorded as follows: chemical shift in parts per million from internal tetramethylsilane on the δ scale, multiplicity (s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet; br, broad), coupling constant (hertz), integration, and assignment. ¹³C NMR spectra were measured on 150 MHz and 100 MHz spectrometers. Chemical shifts were recorded in parts per million from the solvent resonance employed as the internal standard (deuterochloroform at 77.0 ppm).

4.1. General experimental procedure for the synthesis of compounds **3**

 α,β -Unsaturated ynones (1; 0.5 mmol, 1 equiv), *N*-substituted glycine ethyl ester hydrochlorides (2; 2.0 mmol, 4 equiv) and DBU (2.0 mmol, 4 equiv) were mixed in ethanol (5 mL) and stirred at room temperature for 12 h. When TLC showed intermediate **4** was generated, ethanol was evaporated. DBU (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv) and DMSO (5 mL) were then added, the mixture was stirred at 140 °C for 4 h. After completion of the reaction, the

mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ and washed M with brine $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The organic phase was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and filtered. The solvents were vaporized, and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography with EtOAc/petroleum ether (1 : 5-1 : 3) as the eluent to afford the products **3**.

4.2. Ethyl 3,5-diphenyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate¹⁸ (3a)

Yellow oil (85%, 123.6 mg); $R_f = 0.5$ (EA/ PE = 1/5). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 9.66$ (s, 1H), 7.61 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.41–7.36 (m, 4H), 7.31 (d, J = 7.2Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.61 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.24 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.22 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 161.4$, 135.5, 135.2, 133.4, 131.1, 129.5, 128.9, 127.8, 127.6, 127.0, 124.8, 118.6, 109.9, 60.4, 14.1.

4.3. Ethyl 1-benzyl-3,5-diphenyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (3d).

Yellow oil (65%, 123.8 mg); $R_f = 0.4$ (EA/ PE = 1/5). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 7.46$ (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.35–7.33 (m, 7H), 7.28 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.17 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 6.34 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 5.60 (s, 2H), 4.00 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 0.93 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 161.7$, 140.5, 139.4, 136.6, 134.0, 132.0, 129.5, 129.4, 128.5, 128.4, 128.2, 127.5, 126.7, 126.6, 125.7, 119.7, 112.0, 59.8, 49.6, 13.6. HRMS (ESI): m/z[M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₂₆H₂₃NO₂Na: 404.1621; found 404.1621.

4.4. Ethyl 1-methyl-3,5-diphenyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (3e).

Pale yellow oil (76%, 116.0 mg); $R_f = 0.6$ (EA/ PE = 1/5). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 7.43-7.40$ (m, 6H), 7.38–7.32 (m, 3H), 7.27 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.24 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 1.06 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 162.0$, 140.2, 136.7, 133.4, 131.9, 129.5, 129.3, 128.4, 128.0, 127.4, 126.5, 120.3, 111.3, 59.7, 35.0, 13.8. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₁₉NO₂Na: 328.1308; found 328.1313.

4.5. Ethyl 1-methyl-5-phenyl-3-(p-tolyl)-1H-pyrrole-2carboxylate (**3f**).

Pale yellow oil (57%, 91.0 mg); $R_f = 0.6$ (EA/ PE = 1/5). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 7.41-7.37$ (m, 4H), 7.34–7.32 (m, 3H), 7.14 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.22 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 1.10 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 162.0$, 140.1, 136.0, 133.6, 133.3, 131.9, 129.3, 129.3, 128.4, 128.1, 127.9, 120.2, 111.3, 59.7, 34.9, 21.1, 13.8. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₂₁NO₂Na: 342.1465; found 342.1469.

4.6. Ethyl 3-(4-chlorophenyl)-1-methyl-5-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (**3g**).

Dark yellow oil (65%, 55.1 mg); $R_f = 0.5$ (EA/ PE = 1/5).¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 7.43-7.40$ (m, 4H), 7.38–7.34 (m, 3H), 7.30 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.20 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 1.10 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H).¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 162.0$, 140.3, 135.2, 132.4, 132.0, 131.7, 130.8, 129.3, 128.5, 128.1, 127.5, 120.2, 111.2, 60.0, 35.0, 14.0. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₁₈ClNO₂Na: 362.0918; found 362.0910.

4.7. Ethyl 1-butyl-3,5-diphenyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (3i).

Dark yellow oil (37%, 64.2 mg); $R_f = 0.5$ (EA/ PE = 1/10).¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 7.42-7.41$ (m, 6H), 7.39–7.36 (m, 1H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.26 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.19 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 4.14 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.64–1.59 (m, 2H), 1.18–1.11 (m, 2H), 1.05(t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H),

0.77 (t, Y = 7.2 Hz, 3H).¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 162.0, 140.0, 137.0, 133.7, 132.5, 129.6, 129.4, 128.4, 128.0, 127.4, 126.4, 119.1, 111.7, 59.8, 46.0, 33.9, 19.7, 13.7, 13.6.HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₃H₂₆NO₂: 348.1958; found 348.1954.

4.8. Ethyl 5-phenyl-3-(p-tolyl)-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate¹⁸ (3j)

White solid (74%, 112.8 mg); m. p. 169–170°C; $R_f = 0.5$ (EA/ PE = 1/5). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 9.40 (s, 1H), 7.60 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.50 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.42 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.61 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 1.27 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 161.2, 136.8, 135.3, 133.5, 132.1, 131.1, 129.4, 129.0, 128.4, 127.9, 124.8, 118.5, 109.9, 60.3, 21.2, 14.3.

4.9. Ethyl 3-(4-chlorophenyl)-5-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate ¹⁹ (**3k**).

White solid (76%, 123.8 mg); m. p. 184–185 °C; $R_f = 0.4$ (EA/ PE = 1/5). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) $\delta = 12.0$ (s, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.43–7.40 (m, 4H), 7.30 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.77 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.21 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- d_6) $\delta = 165.3$, 140.8, 139.1, 136.3, 136.0, 135.9, 135.8, 133.6, 132.4, 132.4, 130.4, 123.6, 114.7, 64.6, 19.1.

4.10. Ethyl 5-phenyl-3-(o-tolyl)-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (31).

White solid (67%, 102.2 mg); m. p. 157–158 °C; $R_f = 0.5$ (EA/ PE = 1/5). H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 9.54$ (br, 1H), 7.61 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.25–7.23 (m, 3H), 7.20–7.17 (m, 1H), 6.49 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 1.07 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 161.3$, 136.6, 135.6, 135.3, 132.6, 131.2, 130.1, 129.4, 129.0, 127.8, 127.2, 124.9, 124.7, 120.0, 110.2, 60.1, 20.3, 14.0. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₂₀NO₂: 306.1489; found 306.1486.

4.11. Ethyl 3-(3-chlorophenyl)-5-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-2carboxylate (**3m**).

White solid (73%, 119 mg); m. p. 178–179 °C; $R_f = 0.5$ (EA/ PE = 1/4). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 9.71 (s, 1H), 7.62–7.60 (m, 3H), 7.46–7.39 (m, 3H), 7.34–7.29 (m, 3H), 6.60 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.26 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.25 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 161.3, 137.0, 135.7, 133.4, 131.7, 130.9, 129.7, 129.0, 128.8, 128.0, 127.7, 127.0, 124.9, 118.8, 109.7, 60.6, 14.1. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₉H₁₇ClNO₂: 326.0942; found 326.0938.

4.12. Ethyl 3-(2-chlorophenyl)-5-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (**3n**).

White solid (64%, 104.4 mg); m. p. 184–185 °C; $R_f = 0.5$ (EA/ PE = 1/4). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 9.93$ (br, 1H), 7.64 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.45–7.38 (m, 4H), 7.31–7.24 (m, 3H), 6.57 (d, J = 2.8, 1H), 4.16 (q, J = 7.2, 2H), 1.07 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 161.4$, 135.5, 135.0, 133.9, 131.8, 131.1, 129.7, 129.0, 128.9, 128.3, 127.8, 125.9, 124.9, 120.4, 110.2, 60.4, 13.9. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₉H₁₇ClNO₂: 326.0942; found 326.0937.

4.13. Ethyl 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate¹⁸ (**30**).

White solid (72%, 115.6 mg); m.p. 133–134 °C; $R_f = 0.5$ (EA/ PE = 1/5). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 9.46 (br, 1H), 7.60 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.54 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (t, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.59 (d, *J* =

3.2 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 1.27 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 161.2$, 158.9, 135.4, 133.3, 131.1, 130.6, 129.0, 127.8, 127.5, 124.8, 118.3, 113.1, 109.8, 60.3, 55.3, 14.3.

4.14. Ethyl 3-(4-fluorophenyl)-5-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (**3p**).

Yellow oil (69%, 106.6 mg); $R_f = 0.5$ (EA/ PE = 1/4). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 9.56$ (s, 1H), 7.61–7.53 (m, 4H), 7.41 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.58 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 4.26 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.24 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 162.1$ (d, J = 247.5 Hz), 161.2, 135.5, 132.4, 131.1, 131.1 (d, J = 9.0 Hz), 131.0, 129.0, 128.0, 124.8, 118.6, 114.5 (d, J = 21.0 Hz), 109.8, 60.5, 14.2. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = -61.25$. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₉H₁₇FNO₂ : 310.1238; found 310.1234.

4.15. Ethyl 5-phenyl-3-(4-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl)-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (**3q**).

Yellow oil (75%, 134.6 mg); $R_f = 0.5$ (EA/ PE = 1/4). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) $\delta = 12.12$ (s, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.75, (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.70 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.82 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.17 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- d_6) $\delta = 160.4$, 139.7, 136.1, 131.0, 130.8, 130.1, 128.7, 127.7, 127.2 (q, $J_{C-F} = 31.5$ Hz), 125.6, 124.6 (q, $J_{C-F} = 270.0$ Hz), 124.4 (q, $J_{C-F} = 4.5$ Hz,), 119.1, 109.9, 59.9, 14.1. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO- d_6) $\delta = -61.25$. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₁₇F₃NO₂: 360.1206; found 360.1201.

4.16. Ethyl 3-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)-5-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (**3r**).

Yellow oil (84%, 151.2 mg); $R_f = 0.5$ (EA/ PE = 1/4). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 9.91$ (s, 1H), 7.51 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.22–7.18 (m, 2H), 6.45 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.13 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.14 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 161.3$, 138.1, 136.1, 133.9, 130.7, 130.1, 128.9, 128.0, 128.0, 126.7, 125.0, 119.0, 109.6, 60.8, 14.0. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₁₆Cl₂NO₂ : 360.0553; found 360.0549.

4.17. Ethyl 3-(naphthalen-2-yl)-5-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-2carboxylate (3s).

White solid (70%, 119.4 mg); m. p. 174–176 °C; $R_f = 0.5$ (EA/ PE = 1/5). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 9.65 (s, 1H), 8.05 (s, 1H), 7.86–7.82 (m, 3H), 7.74–7.71 (m, 1H), 7.63 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.48–7.39 (m, 4H), 7.31 (t, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 6.72 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 4.26 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.20 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 161.4, 135.6, 133.3, 133.2, 132.7, 132.6, 131.1, 129.0, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.6, 126.9, 125.9, 125.7, 124.8, 118.9, 110.2, 60.5, 14.2. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₃H₂₀NO₂: 342.1489; found 342.1482.

4.18. Ethyl 3-(naphthalen-1-yl)-5-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (**3***t*).

White solid (65%, 110.8 mg); m. p. 178–179 °C; $R_f = 0.5$ (EA/ PE = 1/5). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 9.69 (br, 1H), 7.91–7.83 (m, 3H), 7.65 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.50 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 7.47–7.38 (m, 4H), 7.32 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.67 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 0.70 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 161.5, 135.5, 133.8, 133.4, 132.6, 131.2, 130.9, 129.0, 128.0, 127.9, 127.5, 127.4, 126.4, 125.6,

125.4, 124.9, 124.8, 120.8, 111.2, 60.1, 13.5. HRMS (ESI): m/z[M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₃H₂₀NO₂: 342.1489; found 342.1485.

4.19. Ethyl 3,5-di-p-tolyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (3u).

White solid (67%, 106.8 mg); m. p. 173–175 °C; $R_f = 0.6$ (EA/ PE = 1/5). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 9.46(br, 1H), 7.40 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 4H), 7.10 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 4H), 6.45 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.28 (s, 3H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 1.15 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 161.3, 137.7, 136.6, 135.6, 133.5, 132.2, 129.6, 129.4, 128.3, 124.7, 124.6, 118.1, 109.5, 60.3, 21.2, 21.1, 14.2. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₂₂NO₂: 320.1645; found 320.1648.

4.20. Ethyl 5-(4-chlorophenyl)-3-(p-tolyl)-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (**3***v*).

White solid (49%, 83.2 mg); m. p. 176–177 °C; $R_f = 0.4$ (EA/ PE = 1/5). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 9.44 (s, 1H), 7.45 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.29 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.11 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.49 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 1.17 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 161.3, 136.9, 134.2, 133.6, 133.5, 131.9, 129.7, 129.3, 129.2, 128.4, 126.0, 118.8, 110.2, 60.5, 21.2, 14.2. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₁₉ClNO₂: 340.1099; found 340.1096.

4.21. Ethyl 3-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)-5-(p-tolyl)-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (**3w**).

White solid (78%, 158.4 mg); m. p. 182–183 °C; $R_f = 0.4$ (EA/ PE = 1/5). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) $\delta = 12.04$ (s, 1H), 7.79 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (s, 2H), 7.48 (s, 1H), 7.21 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 6.81 (s, 1H), 4.21 (q, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 1.21 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- d_6) $\delta = 160.7$, 139.3, 137.4, 136.7, 133.6, 129.6, 129.5, 128.5, 128.4, 126.4, 125.9, 119.1, 109.9, 60.2, 21.2, 14.4. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₁₈Cl₂NO₂: 374.0709; found 374.0711.

4.22. Ethyl 3-benzyl-5-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (3x).

White solid (64%, 97.6 mg); m. p. 165–166 °C; $R_f = 0.5$ (EA/ PE = 1/4). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 9.15 (s, 1H), 7.50 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.29–7.25 (m, 5H), 7.21–7.18 (m, 1H), 6.31 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (q, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 4.19 (s, 2H), 1.35 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 161.6, 141.2, 135.3, 131.2, 129.5, 128.9, 128.8, 128.7, 128.3, 127.7, 125.9, 124.6, 109.7, 60.2, 33.2, 14.5. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₂₀NO₂: 306.1489; found 306.1485.

4.23. Ethyl 3-methyl-5-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (3y).

Yellow oil (38%, 43.5 mg); $R_f = 0.5$ (EA/ PE = 1/4). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 9.41$ (s, 1H), 7.55 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.26 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.37 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 1.36 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 162.0$, 135.3, 131.4, 129.3, 128.8, 127.5, 124.7, 119.9, 110.2, 60.1, 14.5, 13.0.HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₄H₁₅NO₂Na: 252.0995; found 252.1000.

4.24. Ethyl 3-isopropyl-5-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (3z).

Pale yellow oil (38%, 48.8 mg); $R_f = 0.5$ (EA/ PE = 1/4). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 9.56$ (s, 1H), 7.59 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.48 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.59–3.52 (m, 1H), 1.35 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.27 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (150

MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 161.8, 141.3, 135.5, 131.5, 128.8, 127.4, M 124.7, 118.5, 105.9, 60.0, 25.7, 23.7, 14.4. HRMS (ESI): *m*/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₁₉NO₂Na: 280.1308; found 280.1310.

4.25. Ethyl 3-cyclohexyl-5-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (3ab).

Pale yellow oil (13%, 19.3 mg); $R_f = 0.5$ (EA/ PE = 1/4). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 9.30 (s, 1H), 7.56 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.26 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.46 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.20–3.15 (m, 1H), 1.95 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 2H), 1.83 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 2H), 1.75 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 1H), 1.43–1.40 (m, 4H), 1.37 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 1.29–1.25 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 161.7, 140.6, 135.3, 131.5, 128.8, 127.4, 124.7, 118.5, 106.4, 60.0, 35.9, 34.2, 26.9, 26.3, 14.4. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₉H₂₃NO₂Na: 320.1621; found 320.1624.

4.26. Ethyl 5-phenyl-3-styryl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (3ac).

Yellow oil (58%, 91.9 mg); $R_f = 0.5$ (EA/ PE = 1/4). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ = 11.85 (s, 1H), 7.91 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.79 (d, J = 16.2 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.42 (t, J= 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.38 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H) ,7.25 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (d, J = 16.2 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.40 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ = 160.8, 137.6, 136.6, 131.1, 129.3, 128.8, 128.6, 128.6, 127.5, 127.3, 126.0, 125.5, 121.3, 120.1, 104.9, 59.8, 14.5. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₂₀NO₂: 318.1489; found 318.1485.

4.27. Ethyl 5-cyclopropyl-3-(p-tolyl)-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (*3ad*).

Yellow oil (45%, 60.5 mg); $R_f = 0.5$ (EA/ PE = 1/4). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 8.89 (s, 1H), 7.43 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.15 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 5.95 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.24 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 1.87–1.82 (m, 1H), 1.25 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.96–0.93 (m, 2H), 0.74–0.72 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 160.9, 139.0, 136.5, 132.8, 132.3, 129.3, 128.3, 116.1, 108.2, 60.0, 21.2, 14.3, 8.4, 7.4. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₂₀NO₂: 270.1489; found 270.1487.

4.28. Ethyl 5-cyclopropyl-3-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)-1H-pyrrole-2 carboxy-late (**3ae**).

Yellow oil (60%, 96.9 mg); $R_f = 0.5$ (EA/ PE = 1/4). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 9.20$ (br, 1H), 7.34 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 7.19 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.86 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (q, J = 7.2Hz, 2H), 1.80–1.76 (m, 1H), 1.20 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.90–0.87 (m, 2H), 0.66 (q, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 160.9$, 139.6, 138.4, 133.9, 129.4, 128.0, 126.6, 116.7, 108.8, 60.4, 14.1, 8.4, 7.6. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₁₆Cl₂NO₂: 324.0553; found 324.0555.

4.29. Ethyl 5-(tert-butyl)-3-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (**3af**).

Pale yellow oil (10%, 13.6 mg); $R_f = 0.4$ (EA/ PE = 1/4). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 8.86$ (br, 1H), 7.55 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.27 (t, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 6.10 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.24 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.35 (s, 9H), 1.23 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 161.4$, 146.1, 135.5, 132.3, 129.5, 127.5, 126.8, 116.2, 108.0, 60.1, 31.6, 30.2, 14.3. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₂₁NO₂Na: 294.1465; found 294.1462.

4.30. Ethyl 5-cyclohexyl-3-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (**3ag**).

A Pale yellow oil (15%, 11.1 mg); $R_f = 0.4$ (EA/ PE = 1/5). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 9.29$ (br, 1H), 7.55 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.26 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.07 (d, J =3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (q, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.63–2.59 (m, 1H), 2.02 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 2H), 1.82 (d, J = 13.2 Hz, 2H), 1.73–1.70 (m, 1H), 1.45–1.32 (m, 4H), 1.28–1.24 (m, 1H) 1.22 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 161.5$, 142.7, 135.7, 132.4, 129.5, 127.4, 126.7, 116.1, 108.3, 60.0, 36.8, 32.7, 26.1, 26.0, 14.2. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₉H₂₃NO₂Na: 320.1621; found 320.1630.

4.31. Ethyl 3-methyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (3ah).

Pale yellow oil (19%, 14.5 mg); $R_f = 0.3$ (EA/ PE = 1/3). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 9.47$ (br, 1H), 6.82 (t, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 5.94(t, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (q, J = 10.2 Hz, 2H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 1.35 (t, J = 10.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 161.4$, 133.9, 121.3, 116.0, 108.8, 60.0, 14.5, 13.1. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₈H₁₁NO₂Na: 176.0682; found 176.0686.

4.32. Ethyl (3-oxo-1,3-diphenylprop-1-en-1-yl) carbamate²⁰ (4a)

Yellow solid (92%, 142 mg); $R_f = 0.3$ (EA/ PE = 1/5); m. p. 79–80 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 11.43$ (s, 1H), 7.91 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.43–7.37 (m, 8H), 5.87 (s, 1H), 4.17 (q, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.95 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.23 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 190.0$, 169.2, 165.7, 139.8, 135.0, 130.7, 129.5, 128.5, 128.0, 127.5, 127.0, 94.5, 61.3, 46.2, 13.9.

4.33. Ethyl 4,4,4-trifluoro-3-oxo-1-phenylbut-1-en-1-yl glycinate (4ai).

Pale yellow oil (92%, 138.4 mg); $R_f = 0.3$ (EA/ PE = 1/3). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 11.10 (s, 1H), 7.43–7.37 (m, 3H), 7.28 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 5.41 (s, 1H), 4.12 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.95 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 1.16 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 177.0 (q, J_{C-F} = 33.0 Hz), 170.2, 168.3, 133.4,130.6, 129.0, 127.2, 117.3 (q, J_{C-F} = 288.0 Hz), 91.0, 61.8, 46.6, 14.0. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = -77.11. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₄H₁₄F₃NO₃Na: 324.0818; found 324.0817.

Acknowledgements

We are thankful for financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 21662030 and 21861033), Key Laboratory Polymer Materials of Gansu Province (Northwest Normal University); Key Laboratory of Polymer Materials of Ministry of Education of Ecological Environment; and State Key Laboratory of Applied Organic Chemistry, Lanzhou University.

References

- 1. Loya, S.; Rudi, A.; Kashman, Y.; Hizi, A. *Biochem. J.* **1999**, *344*, 85.
- Pereira, E. R.; Belin, L.; Sancelme, M.; Prudhomme, M.; Ollier, M.; Rapp, M.; Sevère, D.; Riou, J.-F.; Fabbro, D.; Meyer, T. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 4471.
- Baraldi, P. G.; Nunez, M. C.; Tabrizi, M. A.; De Clercq, E.; Balzarini, J.; Bermejo, J.; Estérez, F.; Romagnodi, R. J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 2877.
- (a) Huffman, J. W.; Padgett, L. W. Curr. Med. Chem. 2005, 12, 1395. (b) Roth, B. D. Prog. Med. Chem. 2002, 40, 1. (c) Biava, M.; Fioravanti, R.; Porretta, G. C.; Deidda, D.; Maullu, C.; Pompei, R. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1999, 9, 2983.
- (a) Young, I. S.; Thornton, P. D.; Thompson, A. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2010, 27, 1801. (b) Fan, H.; Peng, J.; Hamann, M. T.; Hu, J.-F.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 264. (c) Walsh, C. T.; Garneau-Tsodikova, S.; Howard-Jones, A. R. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2006, 23, 517.

- (a) Ramanavičius, A.; Ramanavičiene, A.; Malinauskas, A. Electrochim. Acta 2006, 51, 6025. (b) De Souza, J. E. G.; Dos Santos, F. L., Barros-Neto, B. Dos Santos, C. G.; De Melo, C. P. Synthetic Metals 2001, 119, 383.
- (a) Zhang, L.; Zhang, J.; Ma, J.; Cheng, D-J.; Tan, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 1714. (b) Cho, H.; Madden, R.; Nisanci, B.; Török, B. Green Chem. 2015, 17, 1088. (c) Handy, S.; Lavender, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2013, 54, 4377. (d) Cranwell, P. B.; O'Brien, M.; Browne, D. L.; Koos, P.; Polyzos, A.; Peña López, M.; Ley, S. V. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 5774. (e) Chen, J.; Wu, H.; Zheng, Z.; Jin, C.; Zhang, X.; Su, W. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 5383.
- (a) Estévez, V.; Villacampa, M.; Menéndez, J. C. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 591. (b) Moss, T. A.; Nowak, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2012, 53, 3056. (c) Herath, A.; Cosford, N. D. P. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 5182.
- (a) Li, B.-J.; Shi, Z.-J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 5588. (b) McMurray, L.; O'Hara, F.; Gaunt, M. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 1885. (c) Rakshit, S.; Patureau, F. W.; Glorius, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 9585. (d) Estévez, V.; Villacampa, M.; Menéndez, J. C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 4402. (e) Michlik, S.; Kempe, R. Nat. Chem. 2013, 5, 140. (f) Shi, Z.; Suri, M.; Glorius, F. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 4892. (g) Chen, F.; Shen, T.; Cui, Y.; Jiao, N. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4926.
- (a) Yuan, J. W.; Wang, J.; Zhang, G.; Liu, C.; Qi, X.; Lan, Y.; Miller, J. T.; Kropf, A. J.; Bunel, E. E.; Lei, A. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 576. (b) Heffernan, S. J.; Tellam, J. P.; Queru, M. E.; Silvanus, A. C.; Benito, D.; Mahon, M. F.; Hennessy, A. J.; Andrews, B. I.; Carbery, D. R. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2013**, *355*, 1149.
 (c) Boersch, C.; Merkul, E.; Müller, T. J. J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 10448. (d) Santra, S.; Dhara, K.; Ranjan, P.; Bera, P.; Dash, J.; Mandal, S. K. *Green Chem.* **2011**, *13*, 3238. (e) Karpov, A. S.; Rominger, F.; Müller, T. J. J. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2005**, *3*, 4382.
- (a) Shen, J.; Cai, D.; Kuai, C.; Liu, Y.; Wei, M.; Cheng, G.; Cui, X. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 6584. (b) Bagley, M. C.; Dale, J. W.; Bower, J. Chem. Commun. 2002, 38, 1682.
- (a) Kim, J. T.; Kel'in, A. V.; Gevorgyan, V. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 98. (b) Kel'in, A. V.; Gevorgyan, V. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 95. (c) Jeevanandam, A.; Narkunan, K.; Ling, Y.-C. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 6014. (d) Jeevanandam, A.; Narkunan, K.; Cartwright, C.; Ling, Y.-C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 4841.
- (a) Wang, Q.; He, L.; Li, K. K.; Tsui, G. C. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 658. (b) Shankar, R.; Chakravarti, B.; Singh, U. S.; Ansari, M. I.; Deshpande, S.; Dwivedi, S. K. D.; Bid, H. K.; Konwar, R.; Khaekwal, G.; Chandra, V.; Dwivedi, A.; Hajela, K. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2009, 17, 3847. (c) Fuchs, F. C.; Eller, G. A.; Holzer, W. Molecules 2009, 14, 3814.
- 14. Kel'in, A. V.; Sromek, A. W.; Gevorgyan, V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 2074.
- 15. Shen, J.; Cheng, G.; Cui, X. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 10641.
- 16. Weng, Y.; Lv, W.; Yu, J.; Ge, B.; Cheng, G. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 1853.
- 17. Parmar, N. J.; Pansuriya, B. R.; Labana, B. M.; Kant, R.; Gupta, V. K. *RSC Adv.* **2013**, *3*, 17527.
- 18. Khajuria, R.; Saini, Y.; Kapoor, K. K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2013**, *54*, 5699.
- Fejes, I.; Töke, L.; Blaskó, G.; Nyerges, M.; Pak, C. S. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 8545.
- Alberola, A., Andrés, J. M., González, A., Pedrosa, R., Vicente, M. *Heterocycles* 1990, 31, 1049.