Syn thesis

F. M. Dennis et al.

Nickel-Catalysed Allylboration of Aldehydes

Francesca M. Dennis Craig C. Robertson Benjamin M. Partridge^{*}

Department of Chemistry, University of Sheffield, Dainton Building, Sheffield, S3 7HF, UK b.m.partridge@sheffield.ac.uk



Paper

Abstract A nickel catalyst for the allylboration of aldehydes is reported, facilitating the preparation of homoallylic alcohols in high diastereoselectivity. The observed diastereoselectivities and NMR experiments suggest that allylation occurs through a well-defined six-membered transition state, with nickel acting as a Lewis acid.

Key words allylboration, boronic esters, catalysis, homoallylic alcohols, nickel

The use of arylboron reagents in combination with transition-metal catalysis enables a wide range of C-C and Cheteroatom bond-forming reactions.¹ However, reactions involving the corresponding alkylboronic esters² are underdeveloped despite the recent growth in methods to prepare these valuable reagents.³ Allylboron reagents are a privileged exception, with catalysts based on metals such as palladium,⁴ rhodium,⁵ iridium,⁶ copper,⁷ and cobalt⁸ reported to promote their reactions, including cross-couplings and allylborations. In comparison, Ni-catalysed transformations of allylboron reagents are rare.^{9,10} The ability to develop nickel catalysts which promote the functionalisation of alkylboron reagents is desirable. This is due to the higher abundance and lower cost of nickel compared with many precious metals, in addition to the opportunities to exploit its diverse reactivity in the development of new transformations.11

As part of a programme aimed at the development of metal-catalysed transformations of alkylboronic esters,¹² we report herein a procedure for Ni-catalysed allylboration (Scheme 1).^{10,13} Previously, isolated allylnickel species have been shown to be nucleophilic, and stoichiometric reactions with aldehydes give homoallylic alcohols as prod-

ucts.¹⁴ Our results also complement reports of Ni-catalysed reductive allylations of carbonyls¹⁵ and Ni-catalysed allylations via double bond transposition of alkenyl borates.¹⁶



Scheme 1 Allylboronic ester and its use with transition metal catalysts

We started by investigating the effect of adding Ni(OAc)₂ and a variety of ligands on the yield of the allylboration of aldehyde **1a** with boronic ester **2** (Table 1).¹⁷ In the absence of Ni, there was a modest background reaction after 18 hours, with a 41% yield of homoallylic alcohol 3a being obtained (entry 1). The addition of Ni(OAc)₂ provided little improvement. However, a combination of Ni(OAc)₂ and dppf in a 2.2:1 ratio led to an increased yield (entry 2). Addition of KF as a base was beneficial (entry 3), though Cs₂CO₃ led to a reduced yield (entry 4). From a survey of ligands, addition of dppf led to the most active catalyst. Other ligand classes including diamines and P,N-ligands led to moderate yields at best (entries 5-9). Using dppf, the loading of the catalyst could be reduced. Although a 76% yield of **3a** was obtained within 5 hours using 37 mol% of Ni(OAc)₂ and 15 mol% of dppf, similar yields were obtained with 12 mol% of Ni(OAc)₂ and 5.5 mol% of dppf after 24 hours. In the interests of reducing the overall cost of the reagents, we chose the lower Ni loading to explore the scope of the reaction. These conditions could be scaled up, with an 84% yield of alcohol 3a being isolated as a single diastereomer (entry 10).

Received: 13.01.2020 Accepted after revision: 04.03.2020 Published online: 18.03.2020 DOI: 10.1055/s-0039-1690091; Art ID: ss-2020-z0028-op

۸

В



 Table 1
 Evaluation of the Effect of the Reaction Conditions on the

 Yield of the Allylboration^a
 Provide the Allylboration and the Allylboration and

^a Reactions were run on 0.044 mmol scale unless otherwise stated. ^b The d.r. was determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture

^c Yield determined by ¹H NMR analysis using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard.

^d Without Ni(OAc)₂·4H₂O

 $^{\rm e}$ 12 mol% of Ni(OAc)_2 and 5.5 mol% of dppf; 0.33 mmol scale; isolated yield.

Next, we explored the scope with respect to the allylboronic ester reagent (Table 2). Both *E*- and *Z*-crotyl boronic esters reacted to give the *anti* and *syn* homoallylic alcohols **5a** and **5b**, respectively. This is consistent with allylboration occurring through a well-defined cyclic transition state. In both cases, the *E*/*Z* ratio of the allylboron matched the d.r. of the product. This suggests that isomerisation of the allyl nucleophile does not occur on the timescale of the reaction. Further boronic esters tested included aryl chloride **4c** and 2-substituted boronic ester **4d**, both of which reacted smoothly. The reaction of trisubstituted allyl boronic ester **4e** demonstrated that formation of a quaternary centre is possible and that 1,3-allylic transposition does not occur prior to allylation. Table 2 Allylboration of Primary and Secondary Boronic Esters^a



^a Reactions were run on 0.33 mmol scale unless otherwise stated.

Ar = 4-Cl-C₆H₄ ^b Isolated yield.

^c The d.r. was determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture.

^d Reaction run on a 0.29 mmol scale.

The method was also successful with secondary allylboronic esters **4f-h** (Table 2). Cyclic boronic ester **4f** reacted to give *syn*-homoallylic alcohol **5f** in high yield as a single diastereomer. Acyclic boronic ester **4g** reacted to give alkene **5g** in a modest 3:1 Z/E ratio, consistent with previous allylborations using secondary pinacol allylboronic esters.¹⁸ Instead, **4h** reacted to give the corresponding *E*alkene **5h** in good stereochemical control. Usually, a steri-

cally unhindered allylboron reagent is needed to obtain *E*-selectivity for the homoallylic alcohol product.¹⁹ However, it has been observed previously that aryl-substituted secondary allyl boronic esters react to give *E*-homoallylic alcohols preferentially.²⁰ The *E*-selectivity could be accounted for by Ni acting as a Lewis acid catalyst, as a moderate switch from *Z*- to *E*-selectivity has also been observed using Lewis acid catalysts.²¹

We next explored the scope of the aldehydes tolerated in the Ni-catalysed allylboration. Boronic ester **2** reacted with a range of benzaldehyde derivatives to give *anti*-homoallylic alcohols **3a-r** in good to excellent yield (Scheme 2). The relative configuration of **3f** was confirmed by X-ray crystallography.²² The remainder of the products were assigned through comparison with literature data or by analogy to **3f**. Functional groups tolerated include aryl halides, nitrile, nitro and trifluoromethyl. Substitution at the *ortho* position did not lower the reaction efficiency. Heteroaromatic pyridyl-, furyl- and thiophenyl-derived aldehydes also reacted in good yield. The reaction conditions were also successful with aliphatic aldehydes **1g** and **1r**.



Scheme 2 Scope of Ni-catalysed allylboration with respect to the carbonyl electrophile. Reactions were performed on 0.33 mmol scale. Diastereomeric ratios were determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture. Isolated yields are reported

The reaction of (*S*)-**1s** provided homoallylic alcohol (*S*,*S*,*R*)-**3s**, the *syn*,*anti*-diastereomer, which was isolated as a single diastereomer (Scheme 3). The absolute configuration was confirmed by X-ray crystallography.²² The diastereoselectivity observed (>6:1) is greater than for the corresponding reaction of aldehyde **1s** with boronic ester **4a** as described by Roush and co-workers, which gave a 1:1 mixture of *syn*,*anti* and *anti*,*anti* products.²³ The *syn* diastereoselectivity is consistent with a chelate-controlled anti-Cram addition to aldehyde (*S*)-**1s**.²⁴



Scheme 3 Nickel-catalysed allylboration of aldehyde (S)-1s

The Ni-catalysed allylboration reaction could also be carried out on gram scale without a decrease in reaction efficiency (Scheme 4).



Scheme 4 Nickel-catalysed allylboration on gram scale

To give insight to the role of the Ni catalyst, we performed a series of ¹¹B NMR experiments (Figure 1). First we analysed a solution containing Ni(OAc)₂, dppf, KF and boronic ester **2** in THF. A characteristic peak for the boronic ester was observed at 33 ppm. This signal remained unchanged over a period of 3 hours. Next, 1 equivalent of benzaldehyde was added to the solution and the mixture was reanalysed. Within 30 minutes, a new peak was observed by boron NMR at 22 ppm, which is consistent with a borate, presumably structure **6** formed through allylboration. This suggests that the Ni catalyst is unlikely to undergo transmetalation with the boronic ester, as an AcO-B(pin) by-product was not observed before addition of the aldehyde. Instead, the data are more consistent with Ni acting as a Lewis acid catalyst. D

F. M. Dennis et al.



Figure 1 Overlaid ¹¹B NMR spectra for (a) boronic ester **2**, (b) boronic ester **2** in the presence of the Ni catalyst, (c) boronic ester **2** in the presence of the Ni catalyst with aldehyde **1a** added after 3 hours

In summary, we have developed a Ni-catalysed allylboration of aldehydes with allylboronic esters. The high diastereoselectivities obtained are consistent with allylation occurring through a cyclic transition state. An NMR study suggests that the role of the Ni-catalyst is likely to be as a Lewis acid.

All reagents and solvents used were supplied by commercial sources without further purification unless specified. All air-sensitive reactions were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere using oven-dried apparatus. Anhydrous Et₂O and THF were dried and purified by passage through activated alumina columns using a solvent purification system. The petroleum ether used was in the 40–60 °C boiling range. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on aluminiumbacked plates pre-coated with silica. Compounds were visualised by exposure to UV light or by dipping the plates into solutions of vanillin followed by heating. All flash chromatography was carried out using silica gel (mesh 40-63). Melting points were measured using a Linkam HFs91 heating stage, used in conjunction with a TC92 controller and are uncorrected. Specific rotations were measured using a polarimeter. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer 100 FT instrument using neat compounds. NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance 400 and 500 instruments at the indicated frequency (101, 128, 126, 377 and 400 MHz) as dilute solutions in deuterated chloroform (CDCl₃) as the solvent at ambient temperature. All chemical shifts (δ) are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to residual protio solvent (δ_{H} : CHCl₃ = 7.27 ppm) or the solvent itself (δ_{C} : CDCl₃ = 77.0 ppm). All multiplicities are designated by the following abbreviations: s = singlet, br s = broad singlet, d = doublet, dd = doublet of doublets, dt = doublet of triplets, td = triplet of doublets, ddd = doublet of doublets of doublets, q = quartet, br q = broad quartet, m = multiplet. All coupling constants (J) are reported in Hertz (Hz). ¹³C NMR spectra were acquired by DEPT-Q experiments as standard. Standard ¹³C NMR experiments were acquired when quaternary carbons were hard to distinguish by DEPT-Q. Signals for carbon atoms directly attached to boron were not observed. ¹⁹F NMR spectra were acquired as decoupled spectra. High-resolution mass spectra were recorded using either electrospray ionisation (ESI) or electron ionisation (EI) by the Mass Spectrometry Service at the Department of Chemistry, University of Sheffield. Single-crystal X-ray intensity data

Paper

were collected at 100 K on a Bruker D8 Venture diffractometer equipped with a Photon 100 CMOS detector using a CuK α microfocus X-ray source. Crystals were mounted in fomblin oil on a MiTiGen microloop and cooled in a stream of cold N₂.

2-(2E)-3-Phenyl-2-propen-1-yl-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (2)

Using a modification of the procedure by Singaram and co-workers,²⁵ a flask containing magnesium turnings (0.583 g, 24.0 mmol) was purged with nitrogen and charged with anhydrous THF (30 mL) followed by HB(pin) (3.0 mL, 20 mmol). Cinnamyl chloride (2.8 mL, 20 mmol) was added dropwise over 5 min at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 1 h and another portion of cinnamyl chloride (1.4 mL, 10.0 mmol) was added. After 5 h of stirring at room temperature, the magnesium turnings were fully consumed. The reaction was diluted with hexanes (20 mL) and quenched with aqueous HCl (0.1 M, 60 mL). Caution! Hydrogen evolution. The mixture was extracted with hexanes (2 × 20 mL) and the combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (2% Et₂O/petroleum ether) to give boronic ester **2** (1.29 g, 26%) as a colourless solid. The analytical data are consistent with the literature.²⁶

 $R_f = 0.06 (2\% \text{ Et}_2 \text{O}/\text{petroleum ether}).$

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.29–7.17 (m, 4 H, ArH), 7.12–7.09 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.30 (d, *J* = 15.8 Hz, 1 H, PhCH=C), 6.20 (dt, *J* = 15.8, 7.2 Hz, 1 H, PhCH=CH), 1.86 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, CH₂), 1.24 (s, 12 H, 4 × CH₃).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 138.2 (C), 130.2 (CH), 128.4 (2 × CH), 126.5 (CH), 126.3 (CH), 125.8 (2 × CH), 83.4 (2 × OC), 24.8 (4 × CH₃). ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 32.9.

2-(2E)-2-Buten-1-yl-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (4a)

Using a modification of the procedure by Morken and co-workers,²⁷ a flask containing Pd₂(dba)₃ (66.3 mg, 0.075 mmol) and bis(pinacolato)diboron (3.80 g, 15.0 mmol) was purged with nitrogen and charged with anhydrous THF (7 mL) followed by crotyl bromide (1.50 mL, 15.0 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 18 h. The mixture was cooled to room temperature, concentrated in vacuo and purified by flash chromatography (2% Et₂O/pentane), to give the boronic ester **4a** (905 mg, 34%, *E/Z* = 4:1) as a colourless oil. The data are consistent with the literature.²⁶

 $R_f = 0.07 (2\% \text{ Et}_2 \text{O}/\text{petroleum ether}).$

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 5.57–5.40 (m, 2 H, HC=CH), 1.67–1.65 (m, 3 H, CHCH₃), 1.62–1.60 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 1.24 (s, 12 H, 4 × CCH₃).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 125.9 (CH), 125.3 (CH), 83.1 (2 × OC), 24.8 (4 × CH₃), 18.1 (CH₃). Characteristic signals for the *Z* isomer: 125.0 (CH), 123.8 (CH), 14.3 (CH₃).

¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ = 32.7.

4,4,5,5-Tetramethyl-2-[(2E)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)prop-2-en-1-yl]-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (4c)

Using a modification of the procedure by Morken and co-workers,⁴ⁱ an oven-dried flask containing 4-chlorobenzaldehyde (**1a**) (2.00 g, 14.0 mmol) was purged under nitrogen. THF (70 mL) was added and the mixture was cooled to -78 °C. Vinylmagnesium bromide (25 mL, 0.7 M in THF, 17.0 mmol) was added and the mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 3 h. Saturated aqueous ammonium chloride (40 mL) was added and the mixture was extracted with Et₂O (3 × 30 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered

and concentrated in vacuo to give 1-(4-chlorophenyl)prop-2-en-1-ol (2.40 g) as an orange oil. The material was used without further purification.

An oven-dried round-bottom flask containing 1-(4-chlorophe-nyl)prop-2-en-1-ol (1.00 g, 6.00 mmol) was purged under nitrogen. CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) was added and the mixture was cooled to 0 °C. $SOCl_2$ (1.29 mL, 17.9 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 3 h and then at room temperature for 2 h. The mixture was quenched with ice water (30 mL) and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give 1-chloro-4-[(1*E*)-3-chloroprop-1-en-1-yl]benzene (1.11 g) as a brown solid. The material was used without further purification.

Using a modification of the procedure by Singaram and co-workers,²⁵ a round-bottom flask containing magnesium turnings (127 mg, 5.29 mmol) was purged with nitrogen. Anhydrous THF (15 mL) was added followed by HB(pin) (0.60 mL, 4.4 mmol). 1-Chloro-4-[(1E)-3-chloroprop-1-en-1-yl]benzene (0.83 g, 4.4 mmol) was added dropwise over 5 min at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 1 h and additional 1-chloro-4-[(1E)-3-chloroprop-1-en-1-yl]benzene (0.41 g, 2.2 mmol. 0.5 equiv) was added. After stirring at room temperature overnight the magnesium turnings were fully consumed. The mixture was diluted with hexanes (10 mL) and quenched with aqueous HCl (0.1 M, 30 mL). Caution! Hydrogen evolution. The mixture was extracted with hexanes (3 × 10 mL) and the combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (2% Et₂O/petroleum ether) to give boronic ester 4c (94.4 mg, 5%) as a pale yellow oil. The data are consistent with the literature.28

 $R_f = 0.23$ (2% Et₂O/petroleum ether).

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.27–7.20 (m, 4 H, ArH), 6.29–6.26 (m, 2 H, HC=CH), 1.86 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2 H, CH₂), 1.23 (s, 12 H, 4 × CH₃). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 136.6 (C), 132.0 (C), 129.1 (CH), 128.5 (2 × CH), 127.1 (2 × CH), 127.0 (CH), 83.5 (2 × C), 24.8 (4 × CH₃). ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 32.7.

4,4,5,5-Tetramethyl-2-(2-methylprop-2-en-1-yl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (4d)

Using a modification of the procedure by Singaram and co-workers,²⁵ a flask containing magnesium turnings (0.583 g, 24.0 mmol) was purged with nitrogen and charged with anhydrous THF (30 mL) followed by HB(pin) (3.0 mL, 20 mmol). 3-Chloro-2-methyl-1-propene (2.0 mL, 20 mmol) was added dropwise over 5 min at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 1 h and another portion of 3-chloro-2-methyl-1-propene (2.0 mL, 20.0 mmol) was added. After 18 h of stirring at room temperature the magnesium turnings were fully consumed. The reaction was diluted with hexanes (20 mL) and quenched with aqueous HCl (0.1 M, 60 mL). Caution! Hydrogen evolution. The mixture was extracted with hexanes (3 × 20 mL) and the combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (2% Et₂O/petroleum ether) to give boronic ester **4d** (1.02 g, 27%) as a colourless oil. The data are consistent with the literature.²⁹

 $R_f = 0.40 (2\% \text{ Et}_2 \text{O}/n\text{-hexane}).$

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 4.66 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, C=CH₂), 1.76 (s, 3 H, CH₂=CCH₃), 1.71 (s, 2 H, BCH₂), 1.24 (s, 12 H, 4 × CH₃). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 142.9 (C), 110.2 (CH₂), 83.2 (2 × C), 24.7 (4 × CH₃), 24.5 (CH₃). ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 32.7.

4,4,5,5-Tetramethyl-2-(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)-1,3,2-dioxaboro-lane (4e)

Using a modification of the procedure by Morken and co-workers,²⁷ a flask containing Pd₂(dba)₃ (0.024 g, 0.027 mmol) and B₂Pin₂ (0.768 g, 5.3 mmol) was purged with nitrogen and charged with anhydrous THF (5 mL). 1-Bromo-3-methylbut-2-ene (0.9 mL, 5.3 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 18 h. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo and purified by flash chromatography (2% EtO-Ac/petroleum ether) to give the boronic ester **4e** (699 mg, 88%) as a pale yellow oil. The data are consistent with the literature.³⁰

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 5.23 (ddd, J = 7.6, 4.7, 1.5 Hz, 1 H, CH), 1.70 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 1.60 (m, 5 H, CH₃ and CH₂), 1.25 (m, 12 H, 4 × CCH₃). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 131.5 (C), 118.5 (CH), 83.1 (2 × C), 25.7 (CH₃), 24.8 (4 × CH₃), 17.6 (CH₃).

¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ = 32.8.

$(\pm)\mbox{-}2\mbox{-}en\mbox{-}1\mbox{-}yl)\mbox{-}4\mbox{-}4\mbox{-}5\mbox{-}tetramethyl\mbox{-}1\mbox{-}3\mbox{-}2\mbox{-}en\mbox{-}1\mbox{-}yl)\mbox{-}4\mbox{-}4\mbox{-}5\mbox{-}tetramethyl\mbox{-}1\mbox{-}3\mbox{-}2\mbox{-}en\mbox{-}1\mbox{-}yl)\mbox{-}4\mbox{-}4\mbox{-}5\mbox{-}tetramethyl\mbox{-}1\mbox{-}3\mbox{-}2\mbox{-}en\mbox{-}1\mbox{-}2\mbox{-}en\mbox{-}1\mbox{-}2\mbox{-}en\mbox{-}1\mbox{-}2\mbox{-}en\mbox{-}1\mbox{-}2\mbox{-}en\mbox{-}1\mbox{-}2\mbox{-}en\mbox{-}1\mbox{-}2\mbox{-}1\mbox{-}2\mbox{$

Using a modification of the procedure by Marder and co-workers,³¹ a solution of CuCl₂ (8.0 mg, 0.060 mmol), IMes (20.0 mg, 0.060 mmol) and KOMe (252 mg, 3.60 mmol) in THF (12 mL) was stirred for 10 min. B₂Pin₂ (1.83 g, 7.2 mmol) and KOMe (252 mg, 3.60 mmol) were added and the mixture was stirred for 10 min. 3-Bromocyclohexene (0.69 mL, 6.0 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred overnight. The mixture was diluted with Et₂O (20 mL), filtered through a plug of Celite and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (5% Et₂O/petroleum ether) to give the boronic ester **4f** (844 mg, 68%) as a colourless oil. The data are consistent with the literature.³¹

 $R_f = 0.50 (5\% \text{ Et}_2\text{O}/\text{petroleum ether}).$

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 5.86–5.56 (m, 2 H, HC=CH), 2.11–1.94 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 1.87–1.72 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 1.71–1.54 (m, 3 H, CH₂CH), 1.24 (s, 12 H, 4 × CH₃).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 127.6 (CH), 126.1 (CH), 83.1 (2 × C), 25.0 (CH₂), 24.8 (2 × CH₃), 24.7 (2 × CH₃), 24.1 (CH₂), 22.5 (CH₂). ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 33.4.

(±)-4,4,5,5-Tetramethyl-2-(1-methyl-2-propen-1-yl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (4g)

Using a modification of the procedure by Singaram and co-workers,²⁵ a flask containing magnesium turnings (0.516 g, 21.2 mmol) was purged with nitrogen and charged with anhydrous THF (30 mL) followed by HB(pin) (2.5 mL, 17.7 mmol). Crotyl bromide (1.5 mL, 17.7 mmol) was added dropwise over 5 min at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 1 h and another portion of crotyl bromide (1.5 mL, 17.7 mmol) was added. After 5 h of stirring at room temperature the magnesium turnings were fully consumed. The reaction was diluted with hexanes (20 mL) and quenched with aqueous HCl (0.1 M, 60 mL). Caution! Hydrogen evolution. The mixture was extracted with hexanes (2 × 20 mL) and the combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (2% Et₂O/petroleum ether) to give boronic ester **4g** (1.60 g, 59%) as a colourless oil. The data are consistent with the literature.²⁵

 $R_f = 0.20 (2\% \text{ Et}_2 \text{O}/\text{petroleum ether}).$

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 5.93 (ddd, *J* = 17.3, 10.3, 7.1 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH₂), 4.96 (dt, *J* = 17.3, 1.7 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH_AH_B), 4.91 (dt, *J* = 10.3, 1.7 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH_AH_B), 4.91 (dt, *J* = 10.3, 1.7 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH_AH_B), 1.97–1.81 (m, 1 H, CH), 1.23 (s, 12 H, 4 × CCH₃), 1.08 (d, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 3 H, CHCH₃).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 140.8 (1 × CH), 111.9 (CH₂), 83.1 (2 × C), 24.6 (4 × CH₃), 14.0 (CH₃).

¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ = 33.2.

(±)-2-(1-Phenyl-2-propen-1-yl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (4h)

Using a modification of the procedure by Aggarwal and co-workers,³² a Schlenk flask containing benzyl N,N-diisopropylcarbamate¹² (1.16 g, 4.97 mmol) was backfilled with nitrogen three times. TMEDA (0.74 mL, 4.97 mmol) and anhydrous Et₂O (12 mL, 0.2 M) were added and the mixture was cooled to -78 °C. s-BuLi (3.80 mL, 1.3 M in hexanes, 4.67 mmol) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 4 h. 2-Vinyl-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (0.5 mL, 2.9 mmol) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 1 h. A solution of MgBr₂ in Et₂O [2.50 mL, 8.77 mmol; freshly prepared from Mg turnings (0.210 g, 8.75 mmol), Et₂O (3 mL) and 1,2dibromoethane (0.75 mL, 8.75 mmol)] was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred at 34 °C for 18 h. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and NH₄Cl (20 mL) and Et₂O (15 mL) were added. The mixture was then extracted with $Et_2O(3 \times 15 \text{ mL})$ and the combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (2% Et₂O/petroleum ether) to give the boronic ester **4h** (451 mg, 64%) as a colourless oil. There is only partial data available in the literature.³³

 $R_f = 0.20 (2\% \text{ Et}_2 \text{O}/\text{petroleum ether}).$

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.31–7.11 (m, 5 H, ArH), 6.10 (ddd, *J* = 17.1, 10.2, 8.2 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH₂), 5.02–5.00 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 3.23 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1 H, ArCH), 1.21 (s, 12 H, 4 × CH₃).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 141.1 (C), 138.7 (CH), 128.4 (4 × CH), 125.5 (CH), 114.5 (CH₂), 83.6 (2 × C), 24.6 (4 × CH₃).

¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 32.2.

HRMS (EI): *m*/*z* [M]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₂₁BO₂: 244.1634; found: 244.1629.

Preparative Scale Nickel-Catalysed Allylboration; General Procedure 1

An oven-dried flask was charged with an aldehyde (0.330 mmol), Ni(OAc)₂·4H₂O (10.0 mg, 0.040 mmol), KF (21.0 mg, 0.390 mmol) and dppf (9.5 mg, 0.017 mmol) and purged under nitrogen for 1 h. The boronic ester (0.390 mmol, 1.2 equiv) and THF (3 mL) were added and the mixture stirred at room temperature for 18 h. H₂O (10 mL) was added and the mixture was extracted with Et₂O (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered and evaporated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by flash chromatography.

(±)-anti-1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-phenylbut-3-en-1-ol (3a)

The title compound was prepared according to general procedure 1 from 4-chlorobenzaldehyde (45.3 mg, 0.332 mmol) and boronic ester **2** (99.3 mg, 0.407 mmol). The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (10% Et₂O/petroleum ether) to give alcohol **3a** (70.4 mg, 84%) as a colourless oil. The data are consistent with the literature.³⁴

$R_f = 0.18 (10\% \text{ Et}_2\text{O}/\text{petroleum ether}).$

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.25–7.15 (m, 5 H, ArH), 7.07–7.03 (m, 4 H, ArH), 6.24 (ddd, *J* = 17.1, 10.2, 9.6 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH₂), 5.28 (dd, *J* = 10.2, 1.2 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH_AH_B), 5.24 (dd, *J* = 17.1, 1.2 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH_AH_B), 4.81 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, HOCH), 3.48 (dd, *J* = 9.6, 7.9 Hz, 1 H, C=CCH), 2.39 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1 H, OH).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 140.3 (C), 140.1 (C), 137.5 (CH), 133.0 (C), 128.4 (2 × CH), 128.2 (2 × CH), 128.0 (4 × CH), 126.7 (CH), 118.7 (CH₂), 76.5 (CH), 59.3 (CH).

(±)-*anti*-1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-phenylbut-3-en-1-ol (3a); Gram-Scale Procedure

An oven-dried flask was charged with 4-chlorobenzaldehyde (1.01 g, 7.21 mmol), Ni(OAc)₂·4H₂O (0.219 g, 0.880 mmol), KF (0.535 g, 9.21 mmol) and dppf (0.198 mg, 0.357 mmol) and purged under nitrogen for 1 h. Boronic ester **2** (2.09 g, 8.55 mmol) and THF (12 mL) were added and the mixture stirred at room temperature for 18 h. H₂O (20 mL) was added and the mixture was extracted with Et₂O (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered and evaporated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (10% Et₂O/*n*-hexane) to give alcohol **3a** (1.67 g, 90%) as a pale yellow oil. The data are consistent with the literature.³⁴ See above for NMR data.

(±)-anti-1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-methylbut-3-en-1-ol (5a)

The title compound was prepared according to general procedure 1 from 4-chlorobenzaldehyde (48.3 mg, 0.344 mmol) and boronic ester **4a** (72.8 g, 0.400 mmol). The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (10% Et₂O/pentane) to give alcohol **5a** (44.2 mg, 65%) as a pale yellow oil. The data are consistent with the literature.³⁵

 $R_f = 0.19 (10\% \text{ Et}_2 \text{O}/n\text{-hexane}).$

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (*anti* isomer) = 7.35–7.23 (m, 4 H, ArH), 5.82–5.68 (m, 1 H, C=CH), 5.23–5.22 (m, 2 H, CH=CH₂), 4.36 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H, HOCH), 2.45–2.41 (m, 1 H, C=CCH), 2.16 (s, 1 H, OH), 0.88 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3 H, CH₃). Characteristic signals from the minor diastereoisomer were observed at: δ = 5.11–4.99 (m, 1 H, CH=CH₂), 4.62 (d, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 1 H, HOCH), 2.59–2.52 (m, 1 H, C=CCH), 1.93 (s, 1 H, OH), 1.00 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1 H, CH₃).

¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 140.8 (C), 140.2 (CH), 133.3 (C), 128.4 (2 × CH), 128.2 (2 × CH), 117.3 (CH₂), 76.5 (CH), 46.4 (CH), 16.4 (CH₃). Characteristic signals from the minor diastereoisomer were observed at: δ = 140.9 (C), 139.8 (CH), 133.0 (C), 127.8 (2 × CH), 116.0 (CH₂), 77.1 (CH), 44.6 (CH), 13.8 (CH₃).

(±)-syn-1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-methylbut-3-en-1-ol (5b)

The title compound was prepared according to general procedure 1 from 4-chlorobenzaldehyde (42.3 mg, 0.301 mmol) and boronic ester **4b** (73.6 mg, 0.404 mmol). The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (10% Et_2O/n -hexane) to give alcohol **5b** (59.0 mg, 99%) as a colourless oil. The data are consistent with the literature.³⁵

$R_f = 0.16 (10\% \text{ Et}_2 \text{O}/n\text{-hexane}).$

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.35–7.29 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.27–7.24 (m, 2 H, ArH), 5.75 (ddd, *J* = 17.3, 10.6, 7.0 Hz, 1 H, C=CH), 5.13–5.02 (m, 2 H, CH=CH₂), 4.62 (d, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 1 H, HOCH), 2.56 (qd, *J* = 6.8, 5.4 Hz, 1 H, C=CCH), 1.92, (s, 1 H, OH), 1.00 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3 H, CH₃).

 ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 141.0 (C), 139.9 (CH), 133.0 (C), 128.2 (2 × CH), 127.9 (2 × CH), 116.0 (CH₂), 76.5 (CH), 44.6 (CH), 13.8 (CH₃).

(±)-anti-1,2-Bis(4-chlorophenyl)but-3-en-1-ol (5c)

The title compound was prepared according to general procedure 1 from 4-chlorobenzaldehyde (47.1 mg, 0.335 mmol) and boronic ester **4c** (111 mg, 0.379 mmol). The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (10% Et₂O/*n*-hexane) to give alcohol **5c** (87.4 mg, 89%) as a colourless oil.

 $R_f = 0.09 (10\% \text{ Et}_2 \text{O}/n\text{-hexane}).$

Syn thesis

F. M. Dennis et al.

IR (ATR): 3418 (O–H), 2904, 1596, 1490, 1090, 1013, 923, 820 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.22–7.16 (m, 4 H, ArH), 7.06 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 2.1 Hz, 2 H, ArH), 7.00–6.90 (m, 2 H, ArH), 6.18 (ddd, *J* = 17.1, 10.1, 8.9 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH₂), 5.31 (dd, *J* = 10.1, 0.8 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH_AH_B), 5.24 (dd, *J* = 17.1, 0.8 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH_AH_B), 4.76 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 2.2 Hz, 1 H, HOCH), 3.48 (dd, *J* = 8.9, 7.9 Hz, 1 H, C=CCH), 2.32 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1 H, OH).

 ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 140.0 (C), 138.7 (C), 137.1 (CH), 133.3 (C), 132.6 (C), 129.6 (2 × CH), 128.6 (2 × CH), 128.2 (2 × CH), 128.0 (2 × CH), 119.1 (CH₂), 76.5 (CH), 58.6 (CH).

HRMS (ESI): $m/z \,[M + Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{16}H_{14}O^{35}Cl_2Na$: 315.0319; found: 315.0322.

(±)-1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-methylbut-3-en-1-ol (5d)

The title compound was prepared according to general procedure 1 from 4-chlorobenzaldehyde (46.7 mg, 0.332 mmol) and boronic ester **4d** (77.6 mg, 0.426 mmol). The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (10% Et₂O/*n*-hexane) to give al-cohol **5d** (61.8 mg, 95%) as a pale yellow oil. The data are consistent with the literature.³⁴

 $R_f = 0.16 (10\% \text{ Et}_2 \text{O}/n\text{-hexane}).$

¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCI_3$): δ = 7.33 (s, 4 H, ArH), 4.95 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1 H, C=CH_AH_B), 4.87 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1 H, C=CH_AH_B), 4.80 (ddd, *J* = 7.8, 5.6, 2.2 Hz, 1 H, HOCH), 2.48–2.33 (m, 2 H, CHCH₂), 2.16 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1 H, OH), 1.81 (s, 3 H, CH₃).

 ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 142.5 (C), 142.0 (C), 133.1 (C), 128.5 (2 × CH), 127.1 (2 × CH), 114.5 (CH₂), 70.7 (CH), 48.4 (CH₂), 22.3 (CH₃).

(±)-1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2,2-dimethylbut-3-en-1-ol (5e)

The title compound was prepared according to general procedure 1 from 4-chlorobenzaldehyde (47.8 mg, 0.340 mmol) and boronic ester **4e** (81.4 mg, 0.415 mmol). The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (10% Et₂O/*n*-hexane) to give alcohol **5e** (56.7 mg, 79%) as a colourless oil. The data are consistent with the literature.³⁶

 $R_f = 0.2 (10\% \text{ Et}_2 \text{O}/n\text{-hexane}).$

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.32–7.25 (m, 4 H, Ar*H*), 5.91 (dd, *J* = 17.5, 10.8 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH₂), 5.19 (dd, *J* = 10.8, 1.1 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH_ACH_B), 5.11 (dd, *J* = 17.5, 1.1 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH_ACH_B), 4.44 (s, 1 H, CHOH), 2.06 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1 H, OH), 1.02 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 0.97 (s, 3 H, CH₃).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 144.7 (CH), 139.1 (C), 133.1 (C), 129.1 (2 × CH), 127.6 (2 × CH), 114.4 (CH₂), 79.9 (CH), 42.3 (C), 24.4 (CH₃), 20.7 (CH₃).

(±)-syn-(4-Chlorophenyl)(cyclohex-2-en-1-yl)methanol (5f)

The title compound was prepared according to general procedure 1 from 4-chlorobenzaldehyde (49.9 mg, 0.355 mmol) and boronic ester **4f** (85.3 mg, 0.409 mmol). The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (10% Et₂O/*n*-hexane) to give alcohol **5f** (54.9 mg, 69%) as a colourless oil. The data are consistent with the literature.³⁷

$R_f = 0.16 (10\% \text{ Et}_2 \text{O}/n\text{-hexane}).$

¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCI_3$): δ = 7.32–7.26 (m, 4 H, ArH), 5.83 (ddd, *J* = 10.0, 6.1, 3.5 Hz, 1 H, CHCH=CH), 5.37 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 1.9 Hz, 1 H, CHCH=CH), 4.57 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 1 H, HOCH), 2.54–2.45 (m, 1 H, CH=CHCH), 2.20–1.93 (m, 2 H, CH=CHCH₂), 1.92 (s, 1 H, OH), 1.75 (ddd, *J* = 14.7, 9.2, 4.8 Hz, 1 H, CHCH_AH_B), 1.61 (ddd, *J* = 17.0, 9.4, 5.5 Hz, 1 H, CHCH₂CH_AH_B), 1.54–1.45 (m, 2 H, CHCH₂CH_AH_B, CHCH_AH_B). Paper

 ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 141.2 (C), 133.0 (C), 130.9 (CH), 128.3 (2 × CH), 127.8 (2 × CH), 127.6 (CH), 76.6 (CH), 43.0 (CH), 25.2 (CH₂), 23.5 (CH₂), 21.0 (CH₂).

(±)-(Z)-1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-pent-3-en-1-ol (5g)

The title compound was prepared according to general procedure 1 from 4-chlorobenzaldehyde (45.2 mg, 0.321 mmol) and boronic ester **4g** (72.5 mg, 0.398 mmol). The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (10% Et_2O/n -hexane) to give alcohol **5g** (47.3 mg, 75%) as a colourless oil.

The literature data for the *E*-**5**g and *Z*-**5**g isomers has inconsistencies. Based on reference 18b we have assigned the major isomer as *Z*-**5**g.

 $R_f = 0.23 (10\% \text{ Et}_2 \text{O}/n\text{-hexane}).$

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.36–7.29 (m, 4 H, Ar*H*), 5.70–5.66 (m, 1 H, C=C*H*), 5.45–5.38 (m, 1 H, C=C*H*), 4.74–4.71 (m, 1 H, HOC*H*), 2.64–2.34 (m, 2 H, C*H*₂), 1.96 (br s, 1 H, OH), 1.62 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3 H, C*H*₃). Characteristic signals from (*E*)-**5g** were observed at: δ = 4.68–4.66 (m, 1 H, HOC*H*), 1.71 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3 H, C*H*₃).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (*E* isomer) = 142.5 (C), 133.1 (C), 128.5 (2 × CH), 128.1 (CH), 127.2 (2 × CH), 125.2 (CH), 73.1 (CH), 37.0 (CH₂), 13.0 (CH₃). Characteristic signals from (*E*)-**5g** were observed at: δ = 133.0 (C), 130.0 (C), 127.8 (2 × CH), 127.2 (2 × CH), 126.3 (CH), 72.7 (CH), 42.8 (CH₂), 18.1 (CH₃).

Data from Reference 18b

Z-isomer

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.29–7.32 (m, 4 H, ArH), 5.69–5.62 (m, 1 H, CH=CH), 5.36–5.43 (m, 1 H, CH=CH), 4.70 (br t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1 H, ArCH), 2.58–2.50 (m, 1 H, CH_ACH_B), 2.47–2.40 (m, 1 H, CH_ACH_B), 2.02 (br s, 1 H, OH), 1.59 (dt, *J* = 6.8, 0.8 Hz, 3 H, CH₃).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 142.5 (C), 133.1 (C), 128.4 (CH), 128.1 (CH), 127.2 (CH), 125.1 (CH), 73.1 (CH), 37.0 (CH_2), 13.0 (CH_3).

Data from Reference 38

E-isomer

 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.33–7.27 (m, 4 H), 5.70–5.56 (m, 1 H), 5.43–5.36 (m, 1 H), 4.72–4.68 (m, 1 H), 2.58–2.31 (m, 2 H), 2.05 (s, 1 H), 1.60 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 142.57, 133.11, 128.49, 128.49,128.05, 127.24, 127.24, 125.21, 73.14, 36.95, 12.96.

Z-isomer

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 4.67–4.64 (m, 1 H), 2.10 (s, 1 H), 1.69 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 142.53, 133.02, 129.91, 128.47, 128.47, 127.20, 127.20, 126.31, 72.74, 42.81, 18.02.

(±)-(E)-1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-phenylbut-3-en-1-ol (5h)

The title compound was prepared using a modification of general procedure 1 from 4-chlorobenzaldehyde (40.8 mg, 0.290 mmol), boronic ester **4h** (82.9 mg, 0.340 mmol), Ni(OAc)₂·4H₂O (8.9 mg, 0.036 mmol), KF (19.6 mg, 0.338 mmol), dppf (7.9 mg, 0.014 mmol) and THF (3 mL). The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (10% Et₂O/*n*-hexane) to give alcohol **5h** (57.2 mg, 76%) as a white solid. The data are consistent with the literature.³⁹

 R_f = 0.30 (10% Et_2O/n-hexane); mp 123–125 °C (petroleum ether). No literature data available.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.38–7.21 (m, 9 H, Ar*H*), 6.51 (d, *J* = 15.9 Hz, 1 H, CH=CHPh), 6.27–6.12 (m, 1 H, CH=CHPh), 4.81 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 5.2, 3.2 Hz, 1 H, HOCH), 2.73–2.58 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 2.08 (d, *J* = 3.2 Hz, 1 H, OH). Characteristic signals from (*Z*)-**5h** were observed at: δ = 6.60 (d, *J* = 12.4 Hz, 1 H, CH=CHAr), 5.79–5.65 (m, 1 H, CH=CHPh), 2.88–2.80 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 1.97 (d, *J* = 3.5 Hz, 1 H, OH).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (*E* isomer) = 142.3 (C), 137.0 (C), 133.9 (CH), 133.2 (C), 128.6 (2 × CH), 128.6 (2 × CH), 127.5 (CH), 127.2 (2 × CH), 126.2 (2 × CH), 125.3 (CH), 73.0 (CH), 43.1 (CH₂). Characteristic signals from (*Z*)-**5h** were observed at: 132.1 (C), 128.7 (2 × CH), 128.2 (2 × CH), 127.3 (2 × CH), 126.9 (CH), 73.5 (CH), 38.2 (CH₂).

(±)-anti-1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenylbut-3-en-1-ol (3b)

The title compound was prepared according to general procedure 1 from *p*-anisaldehyde (45.5 mg, 0.334 mmol) and boronic ester **2** (97.5 mg, 0.399 mmol). The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (10% Et₂O/petroleum ether) to give alcohol **3b** (70.4 mg, 83%) as a colourless oil. The data are consistent with the literature.⁴⁰

$R_f = 0.04 (10\% \text{ Et}_2\text{O}/\text{petroleum ether}).$

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.24–7.19 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.17–7.13 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.10–7.05 (m, 4 H, ArH), 6.77–6.69 (m, 2 H, ArH), 6.27 (ddd, *J* = 17.0, 10.2, 9.0 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH₂), 5.30–5.23 (m, 2 H, CH=CH₂), 4.81 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, HOCH), 3.76 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 3.55 (dd, *J* = 9.0, 7.9 Hz, 1 H, C=CCH), 2.32 (s, 1 H, OH).

¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 158.8 (C), 140.7 (C), 138.2 (CH), 134.0 (C), 128.3 (4 × CH), 127.8 (2 × CH), 126.5 (CH), 118.2 (CH₂), 113.3 (2 × CH), 76.8 (CH), 59.3 (CH), 55.1 (CH₃).

(±)-anti-1-(4-Methylphenyl)-2-phenylbut-3-en-1-ol (3c)

The title compound was prepared according to general procedure 1 from *p*-tolualdehyde (42.3 mg, 0.352 mmol) and boronic ester **2** (99.7 mg, 0.408 mmol). The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (10% Et₂O/petroleum ether) to give alcohol **3c** (67.4 mg, 80%) as a colourless oil.

 $R_f = 0.16 (10\% \text{ Et}_2 \text{O}/n\text{-hexane}).$

IR (ATR): 3437 (O–H), 3025, 1636, 1492, 1178, 915, 755 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.25–7.14 (m, 3 H, Ar*H*), 7.09–7.01 (m, 6 H, Ar*H*), 6.26 (ddd, *J* = 17.1, 10.2, 9.1 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH₂), 5.28 (d, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH_AH_B), 5.22 (d, *J* = 17.1 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH_AH_B), 4.84 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H, HOC*H*), 3.57 (dd, *J* = 9.1, 7.8 Hz, 1 H, C=CC*H*), 2.29 (s, 3 H, CH₃).

¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 140.8 (C), 138.8 (C), 138.0 (CH), 137.0 (C), 128.6 (2 × CH), 128.3 (3 × CH), 126.6 (2 × CH), 126.5 (2 × CH), 118.2 (CH₂), 77.0 (CH), 59.1 (CH), 21.1 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₈ONa: 261.1250; found: 261.1246.

(±)-anti-1,2-Diphenylbut-3-en-1-ol (3d)

The title compound was prepared according to general procedure 1 from benzaldehyde (37.0 mg, 0.349 mmol) and boronic ester **2** (101 mg, 0.414 mmol). The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (10% Et₂O/petroleum ether) to give alcohol **3d** (54.4 mg, 70%) as a colourless oil. The data are consistent with the literature.⁴¹

$R_f = 0.16 (10\% \text{ Et}_2\text{O}/\text{petroleum ether}).$

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.25–7.14 (m, 8 H, ArH), 7.08–7.06 (m, 2 H, ArH), 6.27 (ddd, *J* = 17.2, 10.3, 8.4 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH₂), 5.30–5.22 (m, 2 H, CH=CH₂), 4.87 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H, HOCH), 3.57 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 7.8 Hz, 1 H, C=CCH), 2.30 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1 H, OH).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 141.8 (C), 140.6 (C), 137.8 (CH), 128.3 (2 × CH), 128.3 (2 × CH), 127.9 (2 × CH), 127.4 (CH), 126.7 (2 × CH), 126.6 (CH), 118.4 (CH₂), 77.2 (CH), 59.2 (CH).

(±)-anti-1-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-phenylbut-3-en-1-ol (3e)

The title compound was prepared according to general procedure 1 from 4-bromobenzaldehyde (62.9 mg, 0.344 mmol) and boronic ester **2** (99.4 mg, 0.407 mmol). The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (10% Et₂O/*n*-hexane) to give alcohol **3e** (88.3 mg, 85%) as a pale yellow oil. The data are consistent with the literature.⁴¹

$R_f = 0.16 (10\% \text{ Et}_2 \text{O}/n\text{-hexane}).$

н

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.36–7.30 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.24–7.16 (m, 3 H, ArH), 7.05–7.00 (m, 4 H, ArH), 6.23 (ddd, *J* = 17.0, 10.1, 9.1 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH₂), 5.32–5.24 (m, 2 H, CH=CH₂), 4.80 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 2.3 Hz, 1 H, HOCH), 3.48 (dd, *J* = 9.1, 8.3 Hz, 1 H, C=CCH), 2.36 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1 H, OH).

 ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 140.7 (C), 140.1 (C), 137.5 (CH), 131.0 (2 × CH), 128.5 (2 × CH), 128.4 (2 × CH), 128.2 (2 × CH), 126.8 (CH), 121.2 (C), 118.8 (CH₂), 76.6 (CH), 59.3 (CH).

(±)-anti-1-(4-Cyanophenyl)-2-phenylbut-3-en-1-ol (3f)

The title compound was prepared according to general procedure 1 from 4-cyanobenzaldehyde (42.7 mg, 0.326 mmol) and boronic ester **2** (96.1 mg, 0.394 mmol). The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (10% Et₂O/petroleum ether) to give alcohol **3f** (72.0 mg, 89%) as a white solid. The data are consistent with the literature.⁴²

 R_f = 0.05 (10% Et₂O/petroleum ether); mp 98–100 °C (petroleum ether) [Lit.⁴³ 93–94 °C (CCl₄)].

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.50–7.48 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.26–7.19 (m, 5 H, ArH), 7.04–7.02 (m, 2 H, ArH), 6.23 (dt, *J* = 17.1, 9.7 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH₂), 5.34–5.25 (m, 2 H, CH=CH₂), 4.87 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, HOCH), 3.46 (dd, *J* = 9.7, 7.9 Hz, 1 H, C=CCH), 2.48 (s, 1 H, OH).

 ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 147.1 (C), 139.6 (C), 136.9 (CH), 131.7 (2 × CH), 128.7 (2 × CH), 128.1 (2 × CH), 127.3 (2 × CH), 127.1 (CH), 119.4 (CH₂), 118.8 (C), 111.1 (C), 76.6 (CH), 59.5 (CH).

For X-ray crystallography data, see the Supporting Information.

(±)-anti-1-(4-Trifluoromethylphenyl)-2-phenylbut-3-en-1-ol (3g)

The title compound was prepared according to general procedure 1 from 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzaldehyde (55.2 mg, 0.317 mmol) and boronic ester **2** (104 mg, 0.426 mmol). The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (10% Et₂O/*n*-hexane) to give alcohol **3g** (88.3 mg, 95%) as a pale yellow oil. The data are consistent with the literature.⁴¹

$R_f = 0.16 (10\% \text{ Et}_2 \text{O}/n\text{-hexane}).$

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.47 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2 H, ArH), 7.27–7.19 (m, 5 H, ArH), 7.10–7.05 (m, 2 H, ArH), 6.25 (ddd, *J* = 17.1, 10.2, 9.0 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH₂), 5.36–5.21 (m, 2 H, CH=CH₂), 4.91 (dd, *J* = 7.7, 2.1 Hz, 1 H, HOCH), 3.52 (dd, *J* = 9.0, 7.7 Hz, 1 H, C=CCH), 2.40 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1 H, OH).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 145.7 (C), 145.7 (C), 139.9 (CH), 137.2 (CH), 129.5 (C, q, J_{C-F} = 32.3 Hz), 128.6 (2 × CH), 128.2 (2 × CH), 127.0 (2 × CH), 124.8 (2 × CH, q, J_{C-F} = 3.8 Hz), 123.7 (CF₃, br q, J_{C-F} = 272.4 Hz), 119.1 (CH₂), 76.6 (CH), 59.3 (CH).

(±)-anti-1-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenylbut-3-en-1-ol (3h)

The title compound was prepared according to general procedure 1 from *m*-anisaldehyde (44.7 mg, 0.328 mmol) and boronic ester **2** (101.0 mg, 0.414 mmol). The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (10% Et₂O/*n*-hexane) to give alcohol **3h** (70.5 mg, 84%) as a colourless oil. The data are consistent with the literature.⁴¹

$R_f = 0.07 (10\% \text{ Et}_2 \text{O}/n\text{-hexane}).$

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.23–7.16 (m, 2 H, Ar*H*), 7.12–7.08 (m, 4 H, Ar*H*), 6.75–6.70 (m, 3 H, Ar*H*), 6.26 (ddd, *J* = 17.1, 10.2, 8.9 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH₂), 5.30–5.21 (m, 2 H, CH=CH₂), 4.84 (dd, *J* = 7.6, 2.5 Hz, 1 H, HOCH), 3.71 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 3.54 (dd, *J* = 8.9, 7.6 Hz, 1 H, C=CCH), 2.29 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1 H, OH).

¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 159.2 (C), 143.5 (C), 140.6 (C), 137.8 (CH), 128.9 (CH), 128.3 (4 × CH), 126.6 (CH), 119.0 (CH), 118.4 (CH₂), 113.2 (CH), 111.9 (CH), 77.3 (CH), 59.1 (CH), 55.1 (CH₃).

(±)-anti-1-(3-Cyanophenyl)-2-phenylbut-3-en-1-ol (3i)

The title compound was prepared according to general procedure 1 from 3-cyanobenzaldehyde (45.3 mg, 0.345 mmol) and boronic ester **2** (99.3 mg, 0.407 mmol). The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (10% Et₂O/petroleum ether) to give alcohol **3i** (67.3 mg, 78%) as a colourless oil.

 $R_f = 0.08 (10\% \text{ Et}_2\text{O}/\text{petroleum ether}).$

IR (ATR): 3450 (O-H), 2230 (C≡N), 1600, 1493, 920, 799 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.49–7.45 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.31–7.22 (m, 5 H, ArH), 7.04–7.02 (m, 2 H, ArH), 6.23 (dt, *J* = 17.1, 9.7 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH₂), 5.35–5.25 (m, 2 H, CH=CH₂), 4.86 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, HOCH), 3.46 (dd, *J* = 9.7, 7.9 Hz, 1 H, C=CCH), 2.46 (s, 1 H, OH).

¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 143.2 (C), 139.5 (C), 136.9 (CH), 131.12 (CH), 131.07 (CH), 130.3 (CH), 128.7 (2 × CH), 128.6 (CH), 128.1 (2 × CH), 127.1 (CH), 119.5 (CH₂), 118.8 (C), 111.9 (C), 76.3 (CH), 59.6 (CH).

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₆NO: 250.1226; found: 250.1231.

(±)-anti-1-(2-Methylphenyl)-2-phenylbut-3-en-1-ol (3j)

The title compound was prepared according to general procedure 1 from o-tolualdehyde (41.3 mg, 0.344 mmol) and boronic ester **2** (97.8 mg, 0.401 mmol). The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (10% Et₂O/petroleum ether) to give alcohol **3j** (58.0 mg, 71%) as a colourless oil.

 $R_f = 0.16 (10\% \text{ Et}_2 \text{O}/\text{petroleum ether}).$

IR (ATR): 3416 (O-H), 2920, 1600, 1452, 1178, 918, 760 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.49 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1 H, Ar*H*), 7.23–7.16 (m, 7 H, Ar*H*), 6.99 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1 H, Ar*H*), 6.35 (ddd, *J* = 17.1, 10.2, 8.9 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH₂), 5.30 (dd, *J* = 10.2, 1.2 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH_AH_B), 5.20 (dd, *J* = 17.1, 1.2 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH_AH_B), 5.12 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1 H, HOCH), 3.62 (dd, *J* = 8.9, 7.1 Hz, 1 H, C=CCH), 2.06 (s, 3 H, CH₃).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 140.8 (C), 140.2 (C), 137.3 (CH), 135.1 (C), 130.0 (CH), 128.3 (4 × CH), 127.2 (CH), 126.6 (CH), 126.5 (CH), 125.8 (CH), 118.6 (CH₂), 73.1 (CH), 57.7 (CH), 19.1 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₈ONa: 261.1250; found: 261.1250.

(±)-anti-1-(2-Fluorophenyl)-2-phenylbut-3-en-1-ol (3k)

The title compound was prepared according to general procedure 1 from 2-fluorobenzaldehyde (41.3 mg, 0.333 mmol) and boronic ester **2** (97.2 mg, 0.398 mmol). The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (10% Et_2O /petroleum ether) to give alcohol **3k** (66.4 mg, 82%) as a pale yellow oil.

 $R_f = 0.16 (10\% \text{ Et}_2 \text{O}/\text{petroleum ether}).$

IR (ATR): 3411 (O–H), 2915, 1489, 1221, 1030, 918, 796 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.40 (td, *J* = 13.2, 2.7 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.24–7.19 (m, 6 H, ArH), 7.08 (td, *J* = 7.5, 2.5 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.91–6.87 (m, 1 H, ArH), 6.29–6.23 (m, 1 H, CH=CH₂), 5.26–5.23 (m, 2 H, CH=CH_AH_B and HOCH), 5.16 (dd, *J* = 17.7, 1.7 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH_AH_B), 3.69 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 7.8 Hz, 1 H, C=CCH), 2.25 (br s, 1 H, OH).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 159.8 (d, J_{C-F} = 245.6 Hz, C), 140.5 (C), 137.2 (C), 129.1 (d, J_{C-F} = 12.8 Hz, CH), 128.9 (d, J_{C-F} = 8.4 Hz, CH), 128.4 (2 × CH), 128.3 (d, J_{C-F} = 4.4 Hz, CH), 128.2 (2 × CH), 126.7 (CH), 123.9 (d, J_{C-F} = 3.4 Hz, CH), 118.5 (CH₂), 115.0 (d, J_{C-F} = 22.1 Hz, CH), 71.2 (CH), 57.5 (CH).

¹⁹F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = -118.5 (s).

HRMS (EI): *m*/*z* [M]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₁₅FO: 242.1101; found: 242.1112.

(±)-anti-1-(2-Nitrophenyl)-2-phenylbut-3-en-1-ol (3l)

The title compound was prepared according to general procedure 1 from 2-nitrobenzaldehyde (50.2 mg, 0.332 mmol) and boronic ester **2** (98.6 mg, 0.404 mmol). The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (10% Et_2O/n -hexane) to give alcohol **3l** (70.4 mg, 79%) as a colourless oil. The data are consistent with the literature.⁴¹

$R_f = 0.23 (10\% \text{ Et}_2 \text{O}/\text{petroleum ether}).$

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.84 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 1.2 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.76–7.75 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.58 (dd, *J* = 11.0, 4.2 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.40–7.34 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.31–7.20 (m, 5 H, ArH), 6.37–6.28 (m, 1 H, CH=CH), 5.64 (d, *J* = 5.3 Hz, 1 H, HOCH), 5.20 (dd, *J* = 10.2, 1.0 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH_AH_B), 5.03 (dd, *J* = 17.1, 1.0 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH_AH_B), 3.75 (dd, *J* = 9.2, 5.3 Hz, 1 H, C=CCH), 2.38 (s, 1 H, OH).

 ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 148.0 (C), 140.7 (C), 137.3 (C), 135.7 (CH), 132.8 (CH), 129.4 (CH), 128.7 (2 × CH), 128.1 (3 × CH), 127.0 (CH), 124.3 (CH), 119.1 (CH₂), 72.6 (CH), 56.7 (CH).

(±)-anti-1-(1-Naphthyl)-2-phenylbut-3-en-1-ol (3m)

The title compound was prepared according to general procedure 1 from 1-napthaldehyde (50.5 mg, 0.324 mmol) and boronic ester **2** (99.0 mg, 0.406 mmol). The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (10% Et₂O/petroleum ether) to give alcohol **3m** (75.8 mg, 85%) as a pale yellow oil. The data are consistent with the literature.⁴⁴

 $R_f = 0.16 (10\% \text{ Et}_2 \text{O}/\text{petroleum ether}).$

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.10 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.87–7.85 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.75 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.56–7.40 (m, 4 H, ArH), 7.27–7.23 (m, 4 H, ArH), 7.20–7.16 (m, 1 H, ArH), 6.37–6.28 (m, 1 H, CH=CH₂), 5.73–5.71 (m, 1 H, HOCH), 5.22 (d, *J* = 10.3 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH_AH_B), 5.00 (d, *J* = 17.2 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH_AH_B), 3.94 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 5.5 Hz, 1 H, C=CCH), 2.30 (d, *J* = 3.2 Hz, 1 H, OH).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 141.6 (C), 137.7 (CH), 136.7 (C), 133.7 (C), 130.5 (C), 128.9 (CH), 128.5 (2 × CH), 128.2 (2 × CH), 128.0 (CH), 126.7 (CH), 125.9 (CH), 125.3 (CH), 125.0 (CH), 124.5 (CH), 123.1 (CH), 118.6 (CH₂), 74.2 (CH), 56.6 (CH).

(±)-anti-2-phenyl-1-(Pyridine-2-yl)but-3-en-1-ol(3n)

The title compound was prepared according to general procedure 1 from 2-pyridinecarboxaldehyde (35.7 mg, 0.333 mmol) and boronic ester 2 (95.0 mg, 0.389 mmol). The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (10% Et₂O/petroleum ether) to give alcohol **3n** (52.0 mg, 69%) as a pale yellow oil.

 $R_f = 0.10 (10\% \text{ Et}_2\text{O}/\text{petroleum ether}); \text{ mp } 75-77 \,^\circ\text{C}$ (petroleum ether). IR (ATR): 3250 (O-H), 3080, 1598, 1433, 1066, 928, 756, 698 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.55 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.60–7.56

(m, 1 H, ArH), 7.29–7.19 (m, 6 H, ArH), 6.98 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.24 (ddd, J = 17.1, 10.3, 8.3 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH₂), 5.16-5.13 (dd, J = 10.3, 1.2 Hz, 1 H, HOCH), 5.07-5.01 (m, 2 H, CH=CH₂), 4.25 (br s, 1 H, OH), 3.78-3.71 (m, 1 H, C=CCH).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 160.0 (CH), 148.2 (C), 141.2 (C), 137.1 (CH), 136.1 (CH), 128.5 (2 × CH), 128.4 (2 × CH), 126.6 (CH), 122.4 (CH), 121.5 (CH), 117.6 (CH2), 76.2 (CH), 57.8 (CH).

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₁₆NO: 226.1226; found: 226.1230.

(±)-anti-1-(Furan-2-yl)-2-phenylbut-3-en-1-ol (30)

The title compound was prepared according to general procedure 1 from furaldehyde (35.8 mg, 0.372 mmol) and boronic ester 2 (96.2 mg, 0.394 mmol). The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (10% Et₂O/petroleum ether) to give alcohol **30** (49.1 mg. 62%) as a pale yellow oil. The data are consistent with the literature.⁴⁴

 $R_f = 0.16 (10\% \text{ Et}_2 \text{O}/\text{petroleum ether}).$

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.33–7.15 (m, 6 H, ArH), 6.25–6.20 (m, 2 H, ArH), 6.06 (ddd, J = 17.2, 10.2, 8.3 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH₂), 5.31–5.25 (m, 2 H, CH=CH₂), 4.90 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1 H, HOCH), 3.85 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 1 H, C=CCH), 2.27 (s, 1 H, OH).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 154.2 (C), 141.8 (CH), 140.4 (C), 137.6 (CH), 128.4 (2 × CH), 128.1 (2 × CH), 126.8 (CH), 118.5 (CH₂), 110.1 (CH), 107.6 (CH), 71.0 (CH), 55.9 (CH).

(±)-anti-1-(3-Bromothiophen-2-yl)-2-phenylbut-3-en-1-ol(3p)

The title compound was prepared according to general procedure 1 from 3-bromothiophene-2-carboxaldehyde (63.9 mg, 0.334 mmol) and boronic ester 2 (100.6 mg, 0.412 mmol). The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (10% Et₂O/pentane) to give alcohol **3p** (83.0 mg, 80%) as a colourless oil.

$R_f = 0.33 (10\% \text{ Et}_2 \text{O}/\text{pentane}).$

IR (ATR): 3414 (O-H), 3038, 2904, 1601, 1494, 920, 870, 698 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.29–7.20 (m, 6 H, ArH), 6.81 (d, J = 5.3 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.31 (ddd, J = 17.1, 10.2, 8.9 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH₂), 5.30-5.28 (m, 2 H, C=CH_ACH_B, HOCH), 5.26–5.21 (m, 1 H, C=CH_ACH_B), 3.78–3.70 (m, 1 H, C=CCH), 2.44 (d, J = 2.9 Hz, 1 H, OH).

¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 140.3 (C), 139.9 (C), 136.8 (CH), 129.5 (CH), 128.5 (2 × CH), 128.2 (2 × CH), 126.9 (CH), 125.1 (CH), 119.0 (CH₂), 108.6 (C), 72.4 (CH), 57.9 (CH).

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₄H₁₃⁸¹BrOSNa: 332.9742; found: 332.9742.

(±)-anti-1,4-Diphenylhex-5-en-3-ol (3q)

The title compound was prepared according to general procedure 1 from 3-phenylpropionaldehyde (44.2 mg, 0.329 mmol) and boronic ester 2 (97.3 mg, 0.399 mmol). The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (10% Et₂O/petroleum ether) to give alcohol **3q** (57.2 mg, 69%) as a colourless oil. The data are consistent with the literature.45

 $R_f = 0.23 (10\% \text{ Et}_2 \text{O}/\text{petroleum ether}).$

I

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.32 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2 H, ArH), 7.27–7.22 (m, 3 H, ArH), 7.18–7.17 (m, 3 H, ArH), 7.12 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2 H, ArH), $6.16-6.07 (m, 1 H, CH=CH_2), 5.25 (dd, J = 10.2, 1.1 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH_AH_B),$ 5.22 (dd, I = 17.4, 1.1 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH_AH_B), 3.83–3.76 (m, 1 H, HOCH), 3.30-3.26 (m, 1 H, C=CCH), 2.88-2.81 (m, 1 H, PhCH_AH_B), 2.67-2.59 (m, 1 H, PhCH_AH_B), 1.88–1.86 (m, 1 H, PhCH₂CH_AH_B), 1.70–1.61 (m, 1 H, PhCH₂CH_AH_B), 1.58 (s, 1 H, OH).

¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 142.0 (C), 141.4 (C), 138.3 (CH), 128.7 (2 × CH), 128.4 (2 × CH), 128.3 (2 × CH), 128.0 (2 × CH), 126.7 (CH), 125.7 (CH), 118.0 (CH₂), 73.2 (CH), 57.5 (CH), 36.0 (CH₂), 32.0 (CH₂).

(±)-anti-1-Cyclohexyl-2-phenylbut-3-en-1-ol (3r)

The title compound was prepared according to general procedure 1 from cyclohexane carboxaldehyde (38.9 mg, 0.347 mmol) and boronic ester 2 (99.6 mg, 0.408 mmol). The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (10% Et_2O/n -hexane) to give alcohol 3r (47.2 mg, 59%) as a colourless oil. The data are consistent with the literature.46

$R_f = 0.10 (10\% \text{ Et}_2 \text{O}/n\text{-hexane}).$

¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ = 7.35–7.32 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.27–7.22 (m, 3 H, ArH), 6.15 (ddd, J = 17.0, 9.7, 8.7 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH₂), 5.24-5.18 (m, 2 H, CH=CH₂), 3.60-3.58 (m, 1 H, HOCH), 3.47 (dd, J = 8.7, 7.8 Hz, 1 H, C=CCH), 1.83–1.82 (m, 1 H, Cy), 1.73–1.59 (m, 4 H, Cy), 1.27–1.01 (m, 6 H, Cy).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 142.1 (C), 138.4 (CH), 128.7 (2 × CH), 127.9 (2 × CH), 126.5 (CH), 117.7 (CH₂), 78.1 (CH), 53.7 (CH), 39.5 (CH), 30.2 (CH₂), 26.5 (CH₂), 26.4 (CH₂), 26.3 (CH₂), 26.0 (CH₂).

(15,2R)-1-[(4S)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl]-2-phenylbut-3en-1-ol (3s)

The title compound was prepared according to general procedure 1 from 2,3-O-isopropylidene-D-glyceraldehyde (50% w/w in dichloromethane, 175.5 mg, 0.674 mmol) and boronic ester 2 (196.6 mg, 0.805 mmol). The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (10% Et₂O/petroleum ether) to give alcohol **3s** (70.6 mg, 42%) as a pale yellow solid.

 $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +82 (*c* = 0.22, CHCl₃); mp 54–56 °C (pentane).

IR (ATR): 3484 (O-H), 2993, 1455, 1221, 1061, 698 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.35–7.32 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.26–7.24 (m, 3 H, ArH), 6.25 (ddd, J = 17.2, 10.2, 8.5 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH₂), 5.21 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH_AH_B), 5.15 (d, J = 17.1 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH_AH_B), 3.92 (td, J = 6.7, 4.8 Hz, 1 H, OCHCH₂), 3.77–3.70 (m, 2 H, OCHcHd + CHOH), 3.62 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H, OCHcHd), 3.39 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H, CHPh), 2.31 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 1 H, OH), 1.44 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 1.31 (s, 3 H, CH₃).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 140.8 (C), 138.1 (CH), 128.7 (2 × CH), 128.3 (2 × CH), 126.9 (CH), 117.2 (CH₂), 109.1 (C), 76.5 (CH), 74.4 (CH), 66.1 (CH₂), 54.1 (CH), 26.5 (CH₃), 25.3 (CH₃).

HRMS (Q-TOF): *m*/*z* [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₂₁O₃: 249.1446; found: 249.1485.

For X-ray crystallography data, see the Supporting Information.

Funding Information

This work was supported by the University of Sheffield and the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC).

Supporting Information

Supporting information for this article is available online at https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0039-1690091.

References

- (1) Boronic Acids, Preparation and Applications in Organic Synthesis, Medicine and Materials, Second Completely Revised Edition, Vol. 1; Hall, D. G., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, **2011**.
- (2) Leonori, D.; Aggarwal, V. K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 1082.
- (3) Diner, C.; Szabó, K. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 2.
- (4) (a) Belhomme, M.-C.; Wang, D.; Szabó, K. J. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 2503. (b) Unsworth, P. J.; Löffler, L. E.; Noble, A.; Aggarwal, V. K. Synlett 2015, 26, 1567. (c) Ding, J.; Rybak, T.; Hall, D. G. Nat. Commun. 2014, 5, 5474. (d) Schuster, C. H.; Coombs, J. R.; Kasun, Z. A.; Morken, J. P. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 4420. (e) Yang, Y.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 10642. (f) Chausset-Boissarie, L.; Ghozati, K.; LaBine, E.; Chen, J. L. Y.; Aggarwal, V. K.; Crudden, C. M. Chem. Eur. J. 2013, 19, 17698. (g) Farmer, J. L.; Hunter, H. N.; Organ, M. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 17470. (h) Glasspoole, B. W.; Ghozati, K.; Moir, J. W.; Crudden, C. M. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 1230. (i) Brozek, L. A.; Ardolino, M. J.; Morken, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 16778. (j) Waetzig, J. D.; Swift, E. C.; Jarvo, E. R. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 3197. (k) Shaghafi, M. B.; Kohn, B. L.; Jarvo, E. R. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 4743. (1) Sebelius, S.; Olsson, V. J.; Wallner, O. A.; Szabó, K. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 8150. (m) Yamamoto, Y.; Takada, S.; Miyaura, N. Chem. Lett. 2006, 35, 704. (n) Solin, N.; Wallner, O. A.; Szabó, K. J. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 689.
- (5) (a) Chiang, P.-F.; Li, W.-S.; Jian, J.-H.; Kuo, T.-S.; Wu, P.-Y.; Wu, H.-L. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 158. (b) Hepburn, H. B.; Chotsaeng, N.; Luo, Y.; Lam, H. W. Synthesis 2013, 45, 2649. (c) Luo, Y.; Hepburn, H. B.; Chotsaeng, N.; Lam, H. W. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8309.
- (6) (a) Barker, T. J.; Jarvo, E. R. Synthesis 2010, 3259. (b) Barker, T. J.; Jarvo, E. R. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1047.
- (7) (a) Das, A.; Wang, D.; Belhomme, M.-C.; Szabó, K. J. Org. Lett. **2015**, *17*, 4754. (b) Zhao, Y.-S.; Liu, Q.; Tian, P.; Tao, J.-C.; Lin, G.-Q. Org. Biomol. Chem. **2015**, *13*, 4174. (c) Duong, H. A.; Huleatt, P. B.; Tan, Q.-W.; Shuying, E. L. Org. Lett. **2013**, *15*, 4034. (d) Vieira, E. M.; Snapper, M. L.; Hoveyda, A. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, *133*, 3332.
- (8) (a) Quan, M.; Wu, L.; Yang, G.; Zhang, W. Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 10394. (b) Huang, Y.; Ma, C.; Lee, Y. X.; Huang, R.-Z.; Zhao, Y. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 13696.
- (9) (a) Jiménez-Aquino, A.; Ferrer Flegeau, E.; Schneider, U.; Kobayashi, S. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, 47, 9456. (b) Zhang, P.; Morken, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2009**, 131, 12550.
- (10) For a recent report on Ni-catalysed allylboration using cinnamylboronic acids, see: Tang, Q.; Fu, K.; Ruan, P.; Dong, S.; Su, Z.; Liu, X.; Feng, X. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2019**, 58, 11846.
- (11) Tasker, S. Z.; Standley, E. A.; Jamison, T. F. Nature 2014, 509, 299.
- (12) Grayson, J. D.; Partridge, B. M. ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 4296.

- (13) For selected reviews on allylboration, see: (a) Jonnalagadda, S. C.; Suman, P.; Patel, A.; Jampana, G.; Colfer, A. *Allylboration*, In *Boron Reagents in Synthesis*; Coca, A., Ed.; ACS Symposium Series 1236, American Chemical Society: Washington DC, **2016**, Chap. 3. (b) Yus, M.; González-Gómez, J. C.; Foubelo, F. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 7774.
- (14) (a) Molander, G. A.; Shubert, D. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 787. (b) Hegedus, L. S.; Wagner, S. D.; Waterman, E. L.; Siirala-Hansen, K. J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 593. (c) Baker, R. Chem. Rev. 1973, 73, 487.
- (15) (a) Caputo, J. A.; Naodovic, M.; Weix, D. J. Synlett 2015, 26, 323.
 (b) Tan, Z.; Wan, X.; Zang, Z.; Qian, Q.; Deng, W.; Gong, H. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 3827. (c) Hirashita, T.; Kambe, S.; Tsuji, H.; Omori, H.; Araki, S. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 5054.
- (16) Weber, F.; Ballmann, M.; Kohlmeyer, C.; Hilt, G. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 548.
- (17) See the Supporting Information for further details.
- (18) (a) Hoffman, R. W.; Weidmann, U. J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 195, 137. (b) Incerti-Pradillos, C. A.; Kabeshov, M. A.; Malkov, A. V. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 5338.
- (19) (a) Millán, A.; Smith, J. R.; Chen, J. L. Y.; Aggarwal, V. K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 2498. (b) Chen, J. L. Y.; Aggarwal, V. K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 10992. (c) Chen, J. L.-Y.; Scott, H. K.; Hesse, M. J.; Willis, C. L.; Aggarwal, V. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 5316. (d) Althaus, M.; Mahmood, A.; Suárez, J. R.; Thomas, S. P.; Aggarwal, V. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 4025. (e) Peng, F.; Hall, D. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 3305. (f) Flamme, E. M.; Roush, W. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 13644.
- (20) (a) Possémé, F.; Deligny, M.; Carreaux, F.; Carboni, B. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 984. (b) Lombardo, M.; Morganti, S.; Tozzi, M.; Trombini, C. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 2823.
- (21) (a) Yamamoto, E.; Takenouchi, Y.; Ozaki, T.; Miya, T.; Ito, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 16515. (b) Chen, M.; Roush, W. R. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 2706. (c) Carosi, L.; Lachance, H.; Hall, D. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 8981.
- (22) CCDC 1961258 (**3f**) and CCDC 1973063 (**3s**) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. The data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/getstructures.
- (23) Roush, W. R.; Adam, M. A.; Walts, A. E.; Harris, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1986**, 108, 3422.
- (24) Mulzer, J.; Angermann, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 2843.
- (25) Clary, J. W.; Rettenmaier, T. J.; Snelling, R.; Bryks, W.; Banwell, J.; Wipke, W. T.; Singaram, B. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 9602.
- (26) Selander, N.; Szabó, K. J. J. Org. Chem. **2009**, 74, 5695.
- (27) Zhang, P.; Roundtree, I. A.; Morken, J. P. Org. Lett. **2012**, *14*, 1416.
- (28) Semba, K.; Shinomiya, M.; Fujihara, T.; Terao, J.; Tsuji, Y. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 7125.
- (29) Zhang, P.; Brozek, L. A.; Morken, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 10686.
- (30) Dutheuil, G.; Selander, N.; Szabó, K. J.; Aggarwal, V. K. Synthesis 2008, 2293.
- (31) Bose, S. K.; Brand, S.; Omoregie, H. O.; Haehnel, M.; Maier, J.; Bringmann, G.; Marder, T. B. *ACS Catal.* **2016**, *6*, 8332.
- (32) Hesse, M. J.; Essafi, S.; Watson, C. G.; Harvey, J. N.; Hirst, D.; Willis, C. L.; Aggarwal, V. K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 6145.
- (33) Godeau, J.; Pintaric, C.; Olivero, S.; Duñach, E. *Electrochim. Acta* **2009**, *54*, 5116.
- (34) Shimizu, H.; Igarashi, T.; Miura, T.; Murakami, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 11465.
- (35) Shibata, I.; Yoshimura, N.; Yabu, M.; Baba, A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 3207.

L

-		

F. M. Dennis et al.

- (36) Onishi, Y.; Ito, T.; Yasuda, M.; Baba, A. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, 1578.
- (37) Cheng, B.-Q.; Zhao, S.-W.; Song, X.-D.; Chu, X.-Q.; Rao, W.; Loh, T.-P.; Shen, Z.-L. J. Org. Chem. **2019**, 84, 5348.
- (38) Zhao, L.-M.; Wan, L.-J.; Jin, H.-S.; Zhang, S.-Q. Eur. J. Org. Chem. **2012**, 2579.
- (39) Song, X.-N.; Lu, L.; Hua, S.-K.; Chen, W.-D.; Ren, J. *Tetrahedron* **2014**, *70*, 7881.
- (40) De Sio, V.; Massa, A.; Scettri, A. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 3055.
- (41) Tao, Z.-L.; Li, X.-H.; Han, Z.-Y.; Gong, L.-Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 4054.
- (42) Liu, X.-Y.; Cheng, B.-Q.; Guo, Y.-C.; Chu, X.-Q.; Rao, W.; Loh, T.-P.; Shen, Z.-L. Org. Chem. Front. **2019**, *6*, 1581.

Paper

- (43) Coxon, J. M.; van Eyk, S. J.; Steel, P. J. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 1029.
- (44) Zhu, S.-F.; Yang, Y.; Wang, L.-X.; Liu, B.; Zhou, Q.-L. Org. Lett. **2005**, *7*, 2333.
- (45) Gualandi, A.; Rodeghiero, G.; Faraone, A.; Patuzzo, F.; Marchini, M.; Calogero, F.; Perciaccante, R.; Jansen, T. P.; Ceroni, P.; Cozzi, P. G. Chem. Commun. **2019**, *55*, 6838.
- (46) Vogt, M.; Ceylan, S.; Kirschning, A. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 6450.