ORGANOMETALLICS

Synthesis of *N*-Aryloxy- β -diketiminate Ligands and Coordination to Zirconium, Ytterbium, Thorium, and Uranium

Florian Dulong, Pierre Thuéry, Michel Ephritikhine, and Thibault Cantat*

CEA, IRAMIS, SIS2M, CNRS UMR 3299, CEA/Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Two examples of *N*-aryloxy- β -diketiminate dianions (**11a**,**b**) have been synthesized on a multigram scale, in four steps, from commercially available chemicals. The synthetic scheme relies on the sequential addition of 2,6-diisopropylaniline and 2-amino-4-*tert*-butylphenol (**1a**) (or 2-amino-4,6-di-*tert*-butylphenol (**1b**)) to acetylacetone, using Et₃OBF₄ as an activation reagent. Both the nature of the activation reagent and the order of addition of the primary amines have a major impact on the outcome of the reaction, and acid catalysts (such as sulfuric acid or *p*-toluenesulfonic acid) lead to decomposition of the β -diketiminate backbone via formation of a benzoxazole derivative (**3a**,**b**). Using dianions



11a,b, mono- and bis(*N*-aryloxy- β -diketiminate) complexes of zirconium(IV), ytterbium(III), thorium(IV), and uranium(IV) have been synthesized (**12–18**), by salt metathesis reactions, and characterized by a combination of ¹H/¹³C NMR spectroscopy, elemental analysis, and X-ray crystallography. The two ligands differ in their steric bulk and exhibit different coordination behaviors, which were rationalized on the basis of geometric considerations.

INTRODUCTION

 β -Diketiminate ligands, the nitrogen analogues of β -diketonate ligands, have received increased interest and found important applications in coordination chemistry and homogeneous catalysis because changing the substituents on the nitrogen atoms enables a fine tuning of the ligand electronic and geometric properties.¹⁻⁴ For example, sterically encumbered β diketiminates are efficient ancillary ligands to support coordinatively and electronically unsaturated complexes that were successfully utilized as catalysts in the polymerization of ethylene and propene.^{5,6} Capitalizing on the properties of this ligands class, novel pincer ligands have been synthesized, by introducing neutral donors (e.g., ethers and amines) on the nitrogen substituents of the β -diketiminate backbone.^{7,8} Yet, examples of di- or trianionic polydentate β -diketiminate structures featuring an anionic alkoxide or amide functionality remain scarce. $^{9-11}$ In order to circumvent this limitation, we have recently reported the first example of a N-aryloxy- β diketiminate ligand and explored its coordination chemistry with electron-poor metal ions.¹¹ Herein, we propose a rational synthesis of this new class of ligands which is exemplified by the preparation of two types of N-aryloxy- β -diketiminate ligands with different steric bulk. Their different coordination behaviors with zirconium(IV), ytterbium(III), thorium(IV), and uranium-(IV) metal ions is presented.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis of *N*-Aryloxy- β -diketiminate Ligands. β -Diketiminate ligands are routinely prepared by double

condensation of primary amines onto 2,4-pentanedione using simple acids as catalysts, such as hydrochloric acid, sulfuric acid, and *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (PTSA).^{1,7,12} However, in a preliminary contribution, we observed that introduction of nucleophilic functional groups (e.g., a phenol group) on the amine backbone proved problematic and prevented the formation of the desired β -diketiminate structure.¹¹ In order to shed light on the origins of this chemical behavior and expand the scope of available *N*-aryloxy- β -diketiminate ligands (see targeted structures in Scheme 1), we have investigated in detail the reaction chemistry between o-aminophenol derivatives 1 and acetylacetone, under cationic activation. 2-Amino-4*tert*-butylphenol (R = H, 1a) and 2-amino-4,6-di-*tert*butylphenol (R = tBu, 1b) were considered as functionalized aniline derivatives in order to access N-arvloxy-B-diketiminate ligands with different steric bulks and, therefore, tune the steric





Special Issue: Recent Advances in Organo-f-Element Chemistry

Received: October 31, 2012 Published: January 23, 2013 congestion at the metal center upon coordination. Condensation of 1 with acetylacetone is thermally available and affords the acnac pro-ligands 2 in high yields without catalytic assistance (Scheme 2, eq 1). 2 has a lower electrophilicity

Scheme 2. Synthesis of 2 and 3



than acetylacetone, and its activation is required to promote the condensation of another 1 equiv of a primary amine. Nonetheless, in the presence of a catalytic amount of sulfuric acid or PTSA, reaction of 2 and 1 (or 2,6-diisopropylaniline) led to a mixture of numerous products with loss of the conjugated keto-enamine backbone. In fact, 2a is unstable in the presence of PTSA (1.0 mol %) and is transformed quantitatively to the benzoxazole derivative 3a (Scheme 2, eq 2), within 24 h at 100 °C in toluene. 3a has been successfully characterized by a combination of ${}^{1}\text{H}/{}^{13}\text{C}$ NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry (see the Experimental Section), and acetone is the only observed byproduct of this reaction

 $({}^{1}H/{}^{13}C$ NMR). This transformation is unusual in the chemistry of keto-enamines and β -diketimines; vet. it is somewhat reminiscent of the rearrangement observed by Inamoto and co-workers for functionalized α -diketimines, in the presence of oxidants.¹³ A simple mechanistic model is proposed in Scheme 2. Protonation of the carbonyl group, by PTSA, is known to enhance the electrophilicity of the ketoenamine fragment in 2.1 While this activation is classically utilized to promote the condensation of an incoming primary amine, the intramolecular addition of the nucleophilic phenol group is favored in 2. A series of equilibria, involving keto-enol tautomerism and prototropy, is then possible and leads to the formation of 3 after elimination of acetone. The driving force of the reaction is likely the formation of a stable aromatic benzoxazole heterocycle. In order to avoid formation of 3, it is therefore necessary to avoid keto-enol tautomerism and/or prototropy equilibria preceding the irreversible elimination of acetone. Using a stoichiometric amount of triethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate,¹⁴ alkylation of the carbonyl group in 2 cleanly resulted in the quantitative formation of the iminium salt 4. Because the latter is highly sensitive toward moisture, the cation was not isolated but characterized, in solution, using ${}^{1}H/{}^{13}C$ NMR and reacted in situ with 1 molar equiv of 1 (or 2,6diisopropylaniline). Although 4 is thermally stable and does not eliminate 3 and acetone, proton exchanges are made possible in the presence of a primary amine, and rearrangement to 3 was found to be competitive, with elimination of the iminium salt of the primary amine 5 as a byproduct (Scheme 3, eq 3), following a mechanism similar to the aforementioned rearrangement of 2a to 3a (see Scheme 3). As a result, utilization of 2 is unproductive in the formation of N-aryloxy- β -diketiminate ligands and ligands II are clearly unavailable using this synthetic scheme. Nonetheless, as presented in Scheme 3, unsymmetrical *N*-aryloxy- β -diketiminate ligands I can be prepared by introducing the o-aminophenol 1 in the second step of the condensation strategy, to avoid nucleophilic attack of the phenol group at the iminium functionality (see Scheme 4). In fact, reaction of the acnac pro-ligand $6^{7,11}$ with Et₃OBF₄ in CH₂Cl₂ at 25 °C yielded 7 within 1 h (Scheme 3, eq 4), which was isolated as a white solid and was fully characterized by ¹H/¹³C NMR and X-ray diffraction analysis. Addition of 1a or 1b to a THF solution of 7 cleanly affords the protonated form of new functionalized β -diketiminate ligands 8 (Scheme 3, eq 4) in 85% yield for both 8a and 8b.





"Reaction conditions: (i) 1 equiv of Et_3OBF_4 , CH_2Cl_2 , room temperature, 1 h; (ii) (2,6-iPr_2-C_6H_4)NH_2, CH_2Cl_2 , room temperature, 1 h; (iii) 1a,b, THF, room temperature, 1 h.

Scheme 4. Proposed Mechanisms for the Selective Formation of 3a,b and 8a,b from 4a,b and 1a,b, Respectively



Scheme 5. Synthesis of 9a



Deprotonation of 8a,b was then attempted to prepare the dianionic β -diketiminate ligands. Unexpectedly, addition of 3 equiv of butyllithium to a THF solution of 8 at -78 °C results in the formation of the new borate species 9, with elimination of LiF salt (Scheme 5, eq 5). Though the tetrafluoroborate anion is a stable counteranion, fluoride displacement at boron has been observed in rare instances and utilized for the synthesis of a handful of acac difluoroboron compounds.¹⁵ While formation of 9b was observed by ${}^{1}H/{}^{13}C$ NMR spectroscopy in a mixture of products (see the Experimental Section), 9a was isolated by recrystallization from an acetonitrile solution and was fully characterized by X-ray diffraction (Figure 1), ¹H/¹³C NMR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry, and elemental analysis. 9a features a tetrahedral boron center substituted by one fluorine atom and one κ^3 coordinated N-aryloxy- β -diketiminate group. The B-F and B-N bond distances of 1.405(4) and 1.539(4) Å, respectively, fall in the range of isoelectronic boron-dipyrromethene (BODI-PY) derivatives (average B-F and B-N distances of 1.39 and 1.55 Å, respectively).¹⁶ Importantly, formation of **9a**, by deprotonation of 8a, was also observed in the presence of chloride complexes, such as ZrCl₄ and ThCl₄(DME)₂. This suggests a greater stability of the boron derivative 9a compared to the putative zirconium(IV) and thorium(IV) complexes. As



Figure 1. Molecular structure of **9a** with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms and CH₃ groups of the *i*Pr and *t*Bu substituents have been omitted. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): O1-B1 = 1.462(4), N1-B1 = 1.539(4), N2-B1 = 1.538(4), B1-F1 = 1.405(4), N1-C11 = 1.347(4), C11-C12 = 1.387(4), C12-C13 = 1.403(4), C13-N2 = 1.343(4); N1-C11-C12 = 118.3(3), C11-C12-C13 = 122.8(3), C12-C13-N2 = 120.7(3).

the presence of a BF₄⁻ anion in **8** proved detrimental to the synthesis of the *N*-aryloxy- β -diketiminate dianions, successive deprotonation reactions were conducted so as to eliminate the counteranion prior to the formation of the anionic phenoxide and β -diketiminate donors (Scheme 6). Deprotonation of **8a** with 1 equiv of NEt₃, in Et₂O, led to the immediate formation

Scheme 6. Synthesis of 10a,b and 11a,b^a



^aReaction conditions: (i) NEt₃, Et₂O, room temperature, 1 h; (ii) n-BuLi, THF, -78 °C.

of pro-ligand 10a (Scheme 6, eq 6), with the concomitant precipitation of the HNEt₃BF₄ salt. After filtration and solvent evaporation, 10a was isolated as a yellow oil and characterized by ¹H/¹³C NMR spectroscopy and elemental analyses. **10b** was also isolated following the same transformation. However, 10b was found to exist as a mixture of the two isomers 10b' and 10b" in a 3:1 ratio. While the β -diketimine form is predominant (10b'), a minor cyclic isomer (10b") featuring a dihydrobenzoxazole group was found present both in solution and in the solid state and its structure was unambiguously established by X-ray diffraction analysis on monocrystals obtained by recrystallization of 10b in cold $(-40 \ ^{\circ}C)$ pentane (see the Experimental Section). Fortunately, cyclization of 10b' to 10b" was found to be reversible and addition of 2 molar equiv of *n*-butyllithium to 10b yielded the unique dianion 11b (Scheme 6, eq 7). Similarly, 11a was quantitatively obtained from 10a. Following this synthetic scheme, the desired Naryloxy- β -diketiminate dianions 11a,b were successfully prepared on multigram scales (>5.0 g), with an overall yield higher than 75% from commercially available compounds. The centrosymetric dimeric structure of 11a is shown in Figure 2. It differs from that of 11b by the number of coordinated



Figure 2. Molecular structure of **11a** with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms and CH₃ groups of the *i*Pr and *t*Bu substituents have been omitted. Symmetry code: (') 1 - x, 1 - y, 2 - z. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): N1–C11 = 1.344(2), N2–C13 = 1.320(2), C11–C12 = 1.397(2), C12–C13 = 1.422(2), Li1–N1 = 2.111(3), Li1–O1 = 1.929(3), Li1–O1' = 1.896(3), Li2–N1 = 2.018(3), Li2–N2 = 1.983(3), Li2–O2 = 2.275(3), Li2–O1' = 1.905(3); N1–C11–C12 = 124.0(2), C11–C12–C13 = 129.2(2), C12–C13–N2 = 125.0(2).

lithium cations to the oxygen atom of the phenoxy group.¹¹ While the phenoxy donor O(1) in **11a** bridges three lithium ions, it is bound to two cations in the more congested *t*Bu derivative **11b**. Overall, the two monomeric units in **11a** are linked not only by the bridging phenolic oxygen atom O(1) between Li(1), Li(1'), and Li(2') but also by the THF oxygen atom O(2) between Li(2) and Li(1'). The β -diketiminate fragment is planar in the usual U-shaped conformation, and this plane also contains the Li(2) atom, linked to N(1) and N(2) (rms deviation of 0.08 Å). Delocalization of the charge within the β -diketiminate backbone is indicated by the average C–C and C–N distances of 1.41(2) and 1.33(2) Å, respectively.

Coordination Chemistry. Preliminary results gathered using dianion 11b showed that the N-aryloxy- β -diketiminate ligand belongs to the LX₂ covalent bond classification and is able to support zirconium(IV), ytterbium(III), and thorium-(IV) chloride complexes. Having in hand two versions of 11 with different steric bulks in the plane of the ligand (11a, R =H; 11b, R = tBu), we have investigated the differences in coordination chemistry between the two series and prepared the first uranium complexes of the N-aryloxy- β -diketiminate ligands. Salt metathesis reactions between 11a and ZrCl₄, YbCl₃, and ThCl₄(DME)₂ (in a 1:1 ratio) afforded 12a-14a, respectively (eqs 8-10 in Scheme 7). Under similar reaction conditions, complexes 12b-14b were obtained using 11b as a starting material and described in a preliminary communication.¹¹ The X-ray crystal structure of zirconium complex 12a, depicted in Figure 3, is reminiscent of the structure obtained for 12b, with a dimeric structure featuring two hexacoordinated zirconium(IV) centers supported by a κ^3 -coordinated Naryloxy- β -diketiminate ligand. However, while the metal coordination sphere is completed by one terminal and two bridging chloride ligands in 12b, the phenoxy donor acts as the bridging ligand in 12a. This different coordination behavior most likely results from a greater steric hindrance in the dianion of 11b, which possesses a bulky tBu group in the plane of the *N*-aryloxy- β -diketiminate backbone. This observation is also noticeable in the X-ray structures of the ytterbium(III) (13a, Figure 4) and thorium(IV) (14a, Figure 5) complexes. Interestingly, although the reaction of 11b with YbCl₃ in pyridine affords a monomeric Yb^{III} complex (13b), whose coordination sphere is saturated by three pyridine molecules, a dimeric complex (13a) is obtained when 11a is used in place of 11b, also in pyridine. The bond distances and angles in 12a-14a are unexceptional and similar to the parameters found in the analogous complexes 12b-14b. Noticeably, while the N-

Scheme 7. Synthesis of 12a-14a and Structures of 12b-14b¹¹



Figure 3. Molecular structure of 12a with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms and CH₃ groups of the *i*Pr and *t*Bu substituents have been omitted. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): N1–C11 = 1.381(7), N2–C13 = 1.337(7), C11–C12 = 1.366(8), C12–C13 = 1.394(9), Zr1–N1 = 2.129(4), Zr2–N3 = 2.112(4), Zr1–N2 = 2.243(5), Zr2–N4 = 2.326(5), Zr1–O1 = 2.119(4), Zr2–O2 = 2.214(4); N1–C11–C12 = 121.6(2), C11–C12–C13 = 129.3(6), C12–C13–N2 = 121.7(5).

aryloxy- β -diketiminate behaves as a LX₂-type ligand in 12 and 13, the β -diketiminate fragment is η^5 coordinated in 14a and the ligand is L₂X₂, with an average Th–C distance of 3.0(1) Å.^{11,17} These features are similar to those observed and discussed previously for the analogous 14b compound.¹¹

Despite the widespread use of β -diketiminate ligands in transition metal and lanthanide coordination chemistry,¹ β -diketiminate complexes of uranium are limited to a handful of examples, namely uranium(III,VI) and uranyl(V) complexes.^{18–21} The *N*-aryloxy- β -diketiminate pincer anions 11 being successful precursors for the synthesis of thorium β -diketiminate complexes 14, their coordination behavior toward UI₄(1,4-dioxane)₂ was investigated. While addition of 11a to UI₄(1,4-dioxane)₂ led to the formation of a mixture of

Figure 4. Molecular structure of **13a** with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms and CH₃ groups of the *i*Pr and *t*Bu substituents have been omitted. Selected bond distances (Å): Yb1–O1 = 2.325(3), Yb2–O2 = 2.260(3), Yb1–N1 = 2.275(4), Yb2–N3 = 2.240(4), Yb1–N2 = 2.398(4), Yb2–N4 = 2.418(4), Yb1–Cl1 = 2.6726(12), Yb2–Cl1 = 2.6329(12), N1–Cl1 = 1.346(6), C11–C12 = 1.405(7), C12–C13 = 1.439(7), C13–N2 = 1.318(6).

intractable products, a 1:1 mixture of the uranium(IV) iodide complex and 11b afforded 15b as the sole N-aryloxy- β diketiminate complex. As revealed by the X-ray structure depicted in Figure 6, 15b is a six-coordinate complex with a uranium(IV) cation stabilized by two κ^3 -N-aryloxy- β -diketiminate ligands. It was therefore conveniently prepared, in 89% isolated yield, from a 2:1 mixture of 11b and $UI_4(1,4-dioxane)_2$ (eq 11, Scheme 8). This reaction shows that, despite the steric congestion induced by the tBu substituent on the phenoxide donor, bis complexes of the N-aryloxy- β -diketiminate ligand 11b are available. Examples of complexes featuring two Naryloxy- β -diketiminate ligands are not limited to 15b, and using the same stoichiometry, the analogous zirconium(IV), ytterbium(III), and thorium(IV) complexes 16b-18b, respectively, were synthesized (eqs 12-14 in Scheme 8). 16b and 18b are isostructural with 15b (see structures in the Supporting



Figure 5. Molecular structure of **14a** with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms, CH₃ groups of the *i*Pr and *t*Bu substituents, and countercation Li(THF)₄ have been omitted. Selected bond distances (Å): Th1–O1 = 2.436(6), Th2–O2 = 2.475(6), Th1–N1 = 2.360(8), Th2–N3 = 2.407(8), Th1–N2 = 2.512(7), Th2–N4 = 2.508(7), Th1–Cl3 = 2.833(2), Th2–Cl3 = 2.914(2), Th1–Cl2 = 2.983(10), Th2–C39 = 2.977(9), N1–C11 = 1.35(2), C11–C12 = 1.39(2), C12–C13 = 1.44(8), C13–N2 = 1.34(2).



Figure 6. Molecular structure of **15b** with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms and CH₃ groups of the *i*Pr and *t*Bu substituents have been omitted. Symmetry code: (i) 1 - x, y, ${}^{3}/_{2} - z$. Selected bond distances (Å): U–O1 = 2.1900(13), U–N1 = 2.3679(17), U–N2 = 2.4566(16), U–C16 = 3.097, N1–C15 = 1.325(2), C15–C16 = 1.408(3), C16–C17 = 1.425(3), C17–N2 = 1.321(2).

Information), and the X-ray structure of 17b, obtained by diffusion of pentane into a Et₂O solution, is represented in Figure 7. In 15b–18b, the *N*-aryloxy- β -diketiminate ligand is κ^3 coordinated and the metal-oxygen and metal-nitrogen bond distances are unexceptional and follow the trend expected from the change in metal ionic radius. In complexes 15b, 16b, and 18b, the metal ion adopts a pseudo-trigonal-prismatic coordination geometry, as exemplified by trigonal twist angles θ (defined as the mean angle between the medians of the two trigonal faces defined by atoms O(1), N(1), and $N(2^{i})$ and their image by the inversion center for the other face) close to 0° ($\theta < 13^{\circ}$; see Scheme 10). As a result, the metal ion is significantly displaced from the plane defined by the three donor atoms of the *N*-aryloxy- β -diketiminate ligand (1.42(1) Å in 15b, 1.36(1) Å in 16b, 1.44(1) Å in 18b). In contrast, the anionic ytterbium(III) complex 17b is best described as a pseudo-octahedron with a trigonal twist angle θ of 50.9° and the Yb³⁺ ion is located in the plane of the pincer ligand

Scheme 8. Synthesis of 15b-18b





Figure 7. Molecular structure of **17b** crystallized from a Et₂O solution (THF molecules from **17b** have been displaced by Et₂O solvent upon crystallization). The displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms and CH₃ groups of the *i*Pr and *t*Bu substituents have been omitted. Selected bond distances (Å): Yb–O1 = 2.2242(12), Yb–O2 = 2.2345(12), Yb–N1 = 2.3507(13), Yb–N3 = 2.3425(14), Yb–N2 = 2.3792(14), Yb–N4 = 2.3725(14), N1–C15 = 1.329(2), C15–C16 = 1.412(2), C16–C17 = 1.403(2), C17–N2 = 1.346(2).

(deviation of 0.18(1) Å). Given that formation of coordination polyhedra is primarily governed by electrostatic interactions in the f-element series,²² these structural differences likely result from the presence of a chelated lithium cation in the X-ray structure of **17b** and not from specific covalent interactions.

Synthesis of the bis(*N*-aryloxy- β -diketiminate) complexes with the less hindered **11a** dianion was subsequently attempted. Reaction of 2 equiv of **11a** with ThCl₄(DME)₂ in THF solution gave a mixture of numerous *N*-aryloxy- β -diketiminate complexes, among which the tris(*N*-aryloxy- β -diketiminate)thorium(IV) complex **19a** crystallized, in small amounts, at 25 °C following slow diffusion of *n*-pentane over a Et₂O



Figure 8. Molecular structure of **19a** with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms, CH₃ groups of the *t*Bu substituents, 2,6-diisopropylphenyl groups, and carbon atoms of diethyl ether (O5) and tetrahydrofuran (O4) have been omitted. The three β -diketiminate ligands are depicted in different shades of gray, and the bonds between lithium cations and N or O donors are dashed for easier description. Selected bond distances (Å) (κ^3 - β -diketiminate ligand–Th, black): Th–O1 = 2.356(2), Th–N1 = 2.418(3), Th–N2 = 2.581(3), Th–C12 = 3.221, N1–C11 = 1.334(4), C11–C12 = 1.407(5), C12–C13 = 1.427(5), C13–N2 = 1.311(4).

aryloxy- β -diketiminate ligands and the presence of three lithium cations and one chloride anion. The Th^{IV} ion is supported by three *N*-aryloxy- β -diketiminate ligands and one chloride ligand. While one N-aryloxy- β -diketiminate ligand is κ^3 coordinated, a second β -diketiminate ligand is $\kappa^2(O,N)$ coordinated with the extra nitrogen donor coordinated to a lithium cation. Finally, the last N-aryloxy- β -diketiminate ligand interacts with the actinide atom via a bridging μ -phenoxide donor. This aggregation state most probably results from the lower steric hindrance of the phenoxy group in 11a compared to 11b, and each of the three phenoxide donors in 19a bridges one lithium and one thorium ion. Nonetheless, for the smaller zirconium-(IV) (ionic radius (ir) = 0.72 Å vs 0.94 Å for Th^{4+}) and ytterbium(III) (ir = 0.87 Å), synthesis of bis(N-aryloxy- β diketiminate) complexes is possible and 16a and 17a were isolated in 87 and 82% yields from ZrCl₄ and YbCl₃, respectively (eqs 15 and 16 in Scheme 9). Low carbon elemental analyses were observed for compounds 11a, 13a, 14a, 16a, and 17a (with good H and N EAs) even on isolated monocrystals. We believe that LiCl is not a contaminant in





these samples, as the analogous 11b–17b complexes, prepared following a similar synthetic route, yielded satisfactory EAs. In addition, good EAs were obtained for zirconium complex 12a. Though X-ray-quality crystals could not be obtained for 17a, the structure of 16a was established by X-ray diffraction and is presented in Figure 9. Unlike 16b, the coordination polyhedron



Figure 9. Molecular structure of **16a** with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms and CH₃ groups of the *i*Pr and *t*Bu substituents have been omitted. Selected bond distances (Å): Zr1-O1 = 2.011(2), Zr1-O2 = 2.029(2), Zr1-N1 = 2.289(3), Zr1-N3 = 2.225(3), Zr1-N2 = 2.259(3), Zr1-N4 = 2.258(3), N1-C11 = 1.337(5), C11-C12 = 1.400(6), C12-C13 = 1.395(5), C13-N2 = 1.337(5).

in **16a** is best described as a pseudo-octahedron with a trigonal twist of $\theta = 40.5^{\circ}$ compared to 9.2° in **16b** (Scheme 10). Again, this difference in coordination behavior between the two *N*-aryloxy- β -diketiminate classes of ligands (R = H vs tBu) presumably has geometric origins. It is indeed well established that deviation from the stable octahedron to the trigonal prism is classically favored in the presence of chelate ligands with increased steric congestion and that the distortion minimizes the repulsion between ligands.²³⁻²⁵





CONCLUSION

Two examples of *N*-aryloxy- β -diketiminate ligands have been synthesized on a multigram scale, in four steps, from commercially available chemicals. The synthetic scheme relies on the sequential addition of 2,6-diisopropylaniline and 2amino-4-*tert*-butylphenol (or 2-amino-4,6-di-*tert*-butylphenol) to acetylacetone, using Et₃OBF₄ as an activation reagent. Both the nature of the activation reagent and the order of addition of the primary amines have a major impact on the outcome of the reaction, and acid catalysts (such as sulfuric acid or *p*-toluenesulfonic acid) lead to decomposition of the β -diketiminate backbone. Using dianions **11a,b**, mono- and bis(*N*-aryloxy- β -diketiminate) complexes of zirconium(IV), ytterbium(III), thorium(IV), and uranium(IV) have been synthesized, by salt metathesis reactions. The two ligands differ in their steric bulk and exhibit different coordination behaviors, which were rationalized on the basis of geometric considerations. We are presently exploring the potential of these new ligands in supporting catalysts and complexes featuring metal—main-group-element multiple bonds within the f-element series.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Unless otherwise noted, all reactions and manipulations were performed at 20 °C in a recirculating mBraun LabMaster DP inertatmosphere (Ar) drybox and vacuum Schlenk lines. Glassware was dried overnight at 120 °C before use. All NMR spectra were obtained using a Bruker DPX 200 MHz spectrometer. Chemical shifts for ¹H and ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR spectra were referenced to solvent impurities. Elemental analyses were performed at Analytische Laboratorien at Lindlar (Germany) and Medac Ltd. at Chobham (United Kingdom). Mass spectrometer data were collected on a Shimadzu GCMS-QP2010 Ultra gas chromatograph mass spectrometer equipped with a Supelco SLB-ms fused silica capillary column (30 m \times 0.25 mm \times 0.25 μ m). Unless otherwise noted, reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and dried over 4 Å molecular sieves prior to use. Celite (Aldrich) and 4 Å molecular sieves (Aldrich) were dried under dynamic vacuum at 250 °C for 48 h prior to use. Tetrahydrofuran (THF), tetrahydrofuran- d_8 (THF- \hat{d}_8), *n*-pentane, diethyl ether, and benzene- d_6 were dried over a sodium(0)/ benzophenone mixture and distilled before use. Pyridine and pyridine- d_5 were dried over potassium(0) prior to use. Triethylamine, dichloromethane, and dichloromethane- d_2 were dried over CaH₂ and distilled before use. Th $Cl_4(1,4$ -dimethoxyethane)₂,²⁶ UI₄(1,4-dix-ane)₂,²⁷ 1b,¹¹ 2b,¹¹ 5,¹¹ 6,^{7,11} 8b,¹¹ 12b,¹¹ 14b,¹¹ and 15b¹¹ were prepared according to literature procedures.

Caution! Natural thorium (primary isotope ²³²Th) is a weak α emitter (4.012 MeV) with a half-life of 1.41×10^{10} years, and depleted uranium (primary isotope ²³⁸U) is a weak α emitter (4.197 MeV) with a half-life of 4.47×10^9 years; manipulations and reactions should be carried out in monitored fume hoods or in an inert-atmosphere drybox in a radiation laboratory equipped with α - and β -counting equipment.

Synthesis of 2a. A 100 mL round-bottom flask was charged with a stir bar, acetylacetone (1.7 mL, 17 mmol), 2-amino-4-tert-butylphenol 1a (1.0 g, 6.1 mmol), and THF (10 mL). The resulting solution was sheltered from light and stirred for 24 h at room temperature. Solvent and excess acetylacetone were removed at 50 °C under reduced pressure to give a light yellow solid. Pentane (50 mL) was added, and the suspension was immersed in an ultrasound bath (80 W, 40 kHz) for 10 min, yielding a white solid and a brown solution. The solid was filtered off at -40 °C and washed with cold (-40 °C) pentane (10 mL) to afford 2a as a white powder (0.96 g, 63%). Colorless translucent crystals were obtained by slow diffusion of pentane into a THF solution. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 1.26 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 1.82 (s, 3H, α -CH₃); 2.04 (s, 3H, α -CH₃); 5.19 (s, 1H, β -CH); 6.88 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H); 7.04 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H); 7.21 (dd, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 8.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H); 8.16 (s, 1H, OH); 11.7 ppm (s, 1H, NH). ¹³C NMR (THF- d_{8} , 298 K): δ 19.3 (s, α-CH₃); 28.7 (s, α-CH₃); 31.6 (s, C(CH₃)₃); 34.4 (s, C(CH₃)₃); 97.0 (s, β-CH); 116.4 (s, Ar); 124.4 (s, Ar); 124.7 (s, Ar); 126.6 (s, Ar); 142.8 (s, Ar); 150.6 (s, Ar); 161.7 (s, C-NH); 194.8 ppm (s, C-O).

Synthesis of 3a. A 125 mL round-bottom flask was charged with a stir bar, **2a** (150 mg, 0.606 mmol), *p*-toluenesulfonic acid hydrate (1.1 mg, 1% mol), and toluene (10 mL). The solution was stirred overnight at 90 °C. The volatiles were then removed under reduced pressure to yield the benzoxazole **3a** (115 mg, 100%). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , 298 K): δ 1.21 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 2.10 (s, 3H, CH₃); 7.16 (m, 2H, Ar-H); 7.85

ppm (s, 1H, Ar-H). ¹³C NMR (C_6D_6 , 298 K): δ 14.0 (s, CH₃); 31.8 (s, C(CH₃)₃); 34.8(s, C(CH₃)₃); 109.5 (s, Ar); 116.8 (s, Ar); 122.2 (s, Ar); 147.5 (s, Ar); 149.7 (s, Ar); 163.9 ppm (s, C=N). GC/MS (EI; *m*/*z*): 189 (M⁺, 21), 174 (100), 146 (24), 133 (26).

Synthesis of 3b. A 125 mL round-bottom flask was charged with a stir bar, **2b** (185 mg, 0.606 mmol), *p*-toluenesulfonic acid hydrate (1.1 mg, 1% mol), and toluene (10 mL). The solution was stirred at 90 °C for 2 days. The volatiles were then removed under reduced pressure to yield the benzoxazole **3b** (150 mg, 100%). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , 298 K): δ 1.29 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 1.48 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 1.58 (acetone); 2.14 (s, 3H, CH₃); 7.37 (s, 1H, Ar-H); 7.78 ppm (s, 1H, Ar-H).

Synthesis of 4a. A 25 mL flask was charged with a stir bar, **2a** (500 mg, 2.02 mmol), triethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate (384 mg, 2.02 mmol), and methylene chloride (1 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h, generating in situ the *O*-alkylated cationic intermediate in a yellow solution. The volatiles were then removed under reduced pressure to yield the intermediate **4a**, directly used for further synthesis of **3a** and **5**. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): *δ* 1.28 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 1.51 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 3H, OCH₂CH₃); 2.38 (s, 6H, *α*-CH₃); 4.51 (q, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H, OCH₂CH₃); 5.63 (s, 1H, *β*-CH); 7.08–7.38 (m, 3H, Ar-H); 7.80 (br s, 1H, OH); 11.2 ppm (s, 1H, NH). ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): *δ* 14.8 (s, OCH₂CH₃); 20.7 (s, *α*-CH₃); 21.8 (s, *α*-CH₃); 31.3 (s, C(CH₃)₃); 34.4 (s, C(CH₃)₃); 69.5 (s, OCH₂CH₃); 101.0 (s, *β*-CH); 117.0 (s, Ar); 122.1 (s, Ar); 122.3 (s, Ar); 128.3 (s, Ar); 144.1 (s, Ar); 148.2 (s, Ar); 175.4 (s, C=N); 181.0 ppm (s, C=O).

Synthesis of 4b. A 100 mL flask was charged with a stir bar, 2b (5.62 g, 21.7 mmol), triethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate (4.12 g, 21.7 mmol), and methylene chloride (13 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h, generating in situ the O-alkylated cationic intermediate in a brown-orange solution. The volatiles were then removed under reduced pressure to yield the intermediate 4b, directly used for further synthesis of **3b** and **5**. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 1.29 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 1.38 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 1.46 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 3H, OCH₂CH₃); 2.24 (s, 3H, α-CH₃); 2.38 (s, 3H, α-CH₃); 4.53 $(q, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 2H, \text{ OCH}_2\text{CH}_3); 5.68 (s, 1H, \beta\text{-CH}); 6.76 (br s, 1H, \beta\text{-CH}); 6.$ OH); 6.97 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H); 7.42 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H); 10.9 ppm (br s, 1H, NH). ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 14.8 (s, OCH₂CH₃); 21.0 (s, α -CH₃); 21.7 (s, α -CH₃); 29.7 (s, C(CH₃)₃); 30.1 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$); 34.8 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$); 35.7 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$); 69.6 (s, OCH₂CH₃); 101.1 (s, β -CH); 120.7 (s, Ar); 124.4 (s, Ar); 126.0 (s, Ar); 140.1 (s, Ar); 144.2 (s, Ar); 147.4 (s, Ar); 177.9 (s, C=N); 182.6 ppm (s, C=O).

Synthesis of 3a and 5. 2,6-Diisopropylaniline (19.7 µL, 0,104 mmol) was added to a dichloromethane solution of intermediate 4a (0.104 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 days at 60 °C. The volatiles were then removed under reduced pressure. Toluene (2 mL) was added to the mixture, leading to the formation of two phases. Iminium salt 5 was found as the major compound in the lower phase (dark brown oil), while 3a is the major constituent of the upper light brown solution. Differences between ¹³C NMR spectra led to complete recognition of all products. ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 298 K) (crude mixture): δ 14.6 (s, CH₃, 3a); 15.4 (s, Et₂O); 18.4 (s, EtOH); 23.1 (s, CH(CH₃)₂, 5); 24.1 (s, CH₃, 5); 26.1 (s, CH₃, 5); 29.1 (s, CH(CH₃)₂, **5**); 31.4 (s, CH(CH₃)₂, **5**); 31.9 (s, C(CH₃)₃, **3a**); 35.1(s, $C(CH_3)_3$, 3a); 58.4 (s, EtOH); 66.0 (s, Et₂O); 109.7 (s, Ar, 3a); 115.9 (s, Ar, 3a); 122.6 (s, Ar, 3a); 125.3 (s, Ar, 5); 130.4 (s, Ar, 5); 131.8 (s, Ar, 5); 141.4 (s, Ar, 3a); 143.6 (s, Ar, 5); 148.1 (s, Ar, 3a); 149.3 (s, Ar, 3a); 164.7 (s, C=N, 3a); 197.6 ppm (s, C=N⁺, 5).

Synthesis of 7. A 100 mL flask was charged with a stir bar, 6 (5.62 g, 21.7 mmol), triethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate (4.12 g, 21.7 mmol), and methylene chloride (13 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h, generating in situ the *O*-alkylated cationic intermediate **2**. The volatiles were then removed under reduced pressure to yield intermediate 7, directly used for further synthesis. Colorless translucent monocrystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were collected from a THF/pentane solution of 7. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 298 K): δ 1.13–120 (m, 9H, CH(CH₃)₂, OCH₂CH₃); 1.30 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂); 1.94 (s, 3H, α -CH₃); 2.03 (s, 3H, α -CH₃); 2.97 (m, 2H, CH(CH₃)₂); 4.07 (q, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H, OCH₂CH₃);

6.30 (s, 1H, β-CH); 7.12–7.36 (m, 3H, Ar-H); 11.2 ppm (s, 1H, NH). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, 298 K): δ 14.8 (s, OCH₂CH₃); 20.6 (s, α-CH₃); 20.9 (s, α-CH₃); 22.7 (s, CH(CH₃)₂); 24.0 (s, CH(CH₃)₂); 29.0 (CH(CH₃)₂); 68.9 (s, OCH₂CH₃); 100.2 (s, β-CH); 124.7 (s, Ar); 130.5 (s, Ar); 130.9 (s, Ar); 144.7 (s, Ar); 178.8 (s, C=N); 182.7 ppm (s, C=O).

Synthesis of 8a. After removal of the volatiles, 7 was directly reacted with 2-amino-4-tert-butylphenol (1a; 3.76 g, 22.8 mmol) in THF (13 mL) at room temperature. The resulting yellow suspension was vigorously stirred for 30 min at room temperature, leading to a complete dissolution of 1a. After drying under reduced pressure for 12 h, pentane (150 mL) was added to the yellow-brown foam and the mixture was immersed in an ultrasound bath (80 W, 40 kHz) for 90 min, yielding a well-defined white suspension. The white solid was recovered by filtration and washed with cold $(-40 \degree C)$ diethyl ether (3 × 30 mL) to afford 8a as a white powder (9.16 g, 85%). Colorless translucent crystals were obtained by slow diffusion of pentane into a THF solution of 8a. Anal. Calcd for C27H39BF4N2O: C, 65.59; H, 7.95; N, 5.67; Found: C, 65.05: H, 7.98; N, 5.60. ¹H NMR (THF-d₈, 298 K): δ 1.02 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂); 1.09 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂); 1.13 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 2.63 (s, 3H, α -CH₃); 2.72 (s, 3H, α-CH₃); 2.80 (m, 2H, CH(CH₃)₂); 4.67 (s, 1H, β-CH); 6.58 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H); 6.81 (s, 1H, Ar-H); 7.03-7.28 (m, 4H, Ar-H); 8.13 (s, 1H, OH); 9.44 ppm (s, 2H, NH). ¹³C NMR (THF-*d*₈, 298 K): δ 21.9 (s, α-CH₃); 23.4 (s, α-CH₃); 24.4 (s, CH(CH₃)₂); 28.9 (s, $CH(CH_3)_2$; 31.5 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$); 34.4 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$); 92.2 (s, β -CH); 117.0 (s, Ar); 117.1 (s, Ar); 123.2 (s, Ar); 124.4 (s, Ar); 127.2 (s, Ar); 129.8 (s, Ar); 132.3 (s, Ar); 143.2 (s, Ar); 146.1 (s, Ar); 150.5 (s, C-OH); 172.0 (s, C=NH); 172.4 ppm (s, C=NH).

Synthesis of 9a. A 50 mL flask was charged with a stir bar, 8a (2.15 g, 4.35 mmol), and solid methyllithium (335 mg, 15.22 mmol). Diethyl ether (10 mL) was condensed in, yielding a yellow solution with a white precipitate. The suspension was stirred for 7 days. The volatiles were then removed under reduced pressure, and THF (30 mL) was condensed in. The solid was removed from the resulting suspension by centrifugation. The volatiles were then removed under reduced pressure to afford 9a as an air- and water-stable yellow powder (1.20 g, 64%). Yellow needles of 9a were obtained by slow evaporation of a CH₃CN solution of 9a. Anal. Calcd for C₂₇H₃₆BFN₂O: C, 74.65; H, 8.35; N, 6.45. Found: C, 74.49; H, 8.35; N, 6.37. ¹H NMR (THF d_{82} 298 K): δ 1.07 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂); 1.10 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 3H, $CH(CH_3)_2$; 1.42 (pseudo-t, J = 6.0 Hz, 6H, $CH(CH_3)_2$); 1.50 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 2.06 (s, 3H, α-CH₃); 2.32 (m, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂); 2.81 (s, 3H, α -CH₃); 3.50 (m, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂); 5.78 (s, 1H, β -CH); 6.84 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H); 7.22 (dd, J = 8.0 Hz, 2.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H);7.31 (pseudo-t, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H); 7.45 (s, 1H, Ar-H); 7.48 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H); 7.64 ppm (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H). ¹³C NMR $(C_6 D_{61} 298 \text{ K}): \delta 20.6 \text{ (s, } \alpha - \text{CH}_3\text{)}; 21.2 \text{ (s, } \alpha - \text{CH}_3\text{)}; 23.8 \text{ (s, } \alpha - \text{CH}_3\text{)}; 23.$ $CH(CH_3)_2$; 24.0 (s, $CH(CH_3)_2$); 24.6 (s, $CH(CH_3)_2$); 25.9 (s, $CH(CH_3)_2$; 26.0 (s, $CH(CH_3)_2$); 28.8 (s, $CH(CH_3)_2$); 31.9 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$; 34.5 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$); 99.9 (s, β -CH); 112.8 (s, Ar); 113.7 (s, Ar); 123.2 (s, Ar); 124.1 (s, Ar); 124.7 (s, Ar); 133.5 (s, Ar); 136.6 (s, Ar); 141.2 (s, Ar); 145.6 (s, Ar); 146.6 (s, Ar); 153.0 (s, C-O); 156.7 (s, C=N); 161.3 ppm (s, C=N). MS: (EI; m/z): 434 (M⁺, 24), 419 (22); 230 (60), 202 (100).

Synthesis of 9b. A 50 mL flask was charged with a stir bar, **8b** (821 g, 1.49 mmol), and diethyl ether (15 mL). *n*-Butyllithium (2.80 mL, 4.47 mmol) was slowly added at -78 °C under an argon flow, using a syringe. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 7 days. After decantation, an aliquot of the solution was analyzed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy and **9b** was identified as the major compound among other degradation products.¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , 298 K): δ 0.86 (dd, J = 6.0 Hz, 2.0 Hz, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂); 1.24 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂); 1.28 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂); 1.24 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 1.44 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 1.60 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂); 3.88 (m, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂); 5.20 (s, 1H, β -CH); 7.01 (dd, J = 6.0 Hz, 2.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H); 7.16 (m, 1H, Ar-H); 7.21 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H); 7.24 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H).

Synthesis of 10a. A 100 mL flask was charged with a stir bar, 8a (2.76 g, 5.58 mmol), and diethyl ether (40 mL). Triethylamine (0.93 mL, 6.70 mmol) was slowly added to the white suspension using a syringe, leading to the immediate formation of a yellow suspension. The mixture was stirred for 1 h. The white solid of triethylamonium tetrafluoroborate was then filtered off through a Celite padded coarse frit, and the yellow solution was introduced into a 100 mL two-neck round-bottom flask. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure overnight, leading to 10a as a yellow oil (2.20 g, 97%). Anal. Calcd for C₂₇H₃₈N₂O: C, 79.76; H, 9.42; N, 6.89. Found: C, 79.38; H, 9.47; N, 7.08. ¹H NMR (C_6D_{64} 298 K): δ 1.13 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 6H, $CH(CH_3)_2$; 1.19 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 6H, $CH(CH_3)_2$); 1.28 (s, 9H, С(CH₃)₃); 1.66 (s, 3H, *α*-CH₃); 1.91 (s, 3H, *α*-CH₃); 3.23 (m, 2H, $CH(CH_3)_2$; 4.97 (s, 1H, β -CH); 7.04–7.22 (m, 6H, Ar-H); 9.16 ppm (br s, 1H, NH); no OH signal had been identified. ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, 298 K): δ 20.6 (s, α-CH₃); 21.0 (s, α-CH₃); 22.9 (s, CH(CH₃)₂); 24.5 (s, CH(CH₃)₂); 28.8 (s, CH(CH₃)₂); 31.8 (s, C(CH₃)₃); 34.2 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$; 96.7 (β -CH); 114.9 (s, Ar); 121.3 (s, Ar); 122.6 (s, Ar); 123.6 (s, Ar); 126.5 (s, Ar); 133.2 (s, Ar); 139.7 (s, Ar); 139.7 (s, Ar); 143.0 (s, Ar); 143.1 (s, Ar); 148.0 (s, Ar); 161.4 (s, C-N); 164.7 ppm (s, C-N).

Synthesis of 10b. A 100 mL flask was charged with a stir bar, 8b (2.31 g, 4.19 mmol), and diethyl ether (40 mL). Triethylamine (0.64 mL, 4.61 mmol) was slowly added to the white suspension using a syringe, leading to the immediate formation of a yellow suspension. The mixture was stirred for 1 h. The white solid of triethylamonium tetrafluoroborate was then filtered off through a Celite padded coarse frit, and the yellow solution was introduced into a 100 mL two-neck round-bottom flask. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure overnight, leading to two isomeric forms (10b':10b" 75:25) of 10b as a yellow oil (1.94 g, 100%). Yellow monocrystals of the minor isomer 10b" suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were recovered by recrystallization of 10b in pentane. Anal. Calcd for C₃₁H₄₆N₂O: C, 80.47; H, 10.02; N, 6.05. Found: C, 80.18; N, 10.22; H, 5.96. ¹H NMR of **10b**' (THF- d_{8} , 298 K): δ 1.13 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 6H, $CH(CH_3)_2$; 1.22 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 6H, $CH(CH_3)_2$); 1.27 (s, 9H, $C(CH_3)_3$; 1.40 (s, 9H, $C(CH_3)_3$); 1.71 (s, 3H, α -CH₃); 1.87 (s, 3H, α -CH₃); 3.11 (m, 2H, CH(CH₃)₂); 5.04 (s, 1H, β -CH); 6.78 (s, 1H, OH); 6.90-7.25 (m, 5H, Ar-H); 12.1 ppm (s, 1H, NH). Partial ¹H NMR of 10b" (THF- d_{8} , 298 K): δ 1.36 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 1.64 (s, 3H, CH₃); 1.76 (s, 3H, CH₃); 5.54 ppm (s, 1H, NH). ¹³C NMR of 10b' (THF- d_{8} , 298 K): δ 20.4 (s, α -CH₃); 21.0 (s, α -CH₃); 23.1 (s, $CH(CH_3)_2$; 24.5 (s, $CH(CH_3)_2$); 28.9 (s, $CH(CH_3)_2$); 29.7 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$; 31.8 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$); 34.7 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$); 35.5 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$); 97.0 (s, β -CH); 120.8 (s, Ar); 123.2 (s, Ar); 123.5 (s, Ar); 125.5 (s, Ar); 131.9 (s, Ar); 135.7 (s, Ar); 141.5 (s, Ar); 141.6 (s, Ar); 142.5 (s, Ar); 148.3 (s, C-OH); 162.2 (s, C-NH); 163.5 ppm (s, C-NH). ¹³C NMR of 10b" (THF- d_{8} , 298 K): δ 22.8 (s, CH(CH₃)₂); 23.0 (s, CH_3 ; 23.4 (s, $CH(CH_3)_2$); 23.5 (s, $CH(CH_3)_2$); 23.8 (s, $CH(CH_3)_2$); 28.4 (s, CH(CH₃)₂); 28.6 (s, CH(CH₃)₂); 28.9 (s, CH₃); 29.8 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$; 32.1 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$); 34.5 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$); 35.0 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$); 48.9 (s, CH₂C=N); 101.1 (s, Ar); 108.4 (s, Ar); 115.1 (s, Ar); 123.6 (s, Ar); 124.1 (s, Ar); 131.0 (s, Ar); 136.6 (s, Ar); 136.7 (s, Ar); 139.1 (s, Ar); 142.5 (s, Ar); 146.5 (s, Ar); 146.7 (s, Ar); 170.1 ppm (s, C= N).

Synthesis of 11a. A 100 mL two-neck round-bottom flask was charged with a stir bar, **10a** (2.20 g, 5.41 mmol), and THF (20 mL). *n*-Butyllithium (6.80 mL, 10.8 mmol) was slowly added at -78 °C under an argon flow, using a syringe. The resulting deep yellow solution was warmed to room temperature, yielding an orange solution with a yellow precipitate. After concentration of the solution to 10 mL, the solid was filtered off and washed with cold pentane (2 × 10 mL, -40 °C), to provide **11a** as a yellow powder (2.82 g, 93%). Yellow crystals of **11a** were recovered by slow diffusion of pentane over a THF solution and used for further NMR analysis after moderate drying under reduced pressure to avoid desolvation. Anal. Calcd for C₂₇H₃₆Li₂N₂O·THF: C, 75.90; H, 9.04; N, 5.71. Found: C, 73.76; H, 9.54, N, 5.75. Several attempts of elemental analysis led to poor C correlation each time. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 298 K): δ 1.22 (m, 12H, THF); 1.30 (pseudo-t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 12H, CH(CH₃)₂); 1.40 (s, 9H,

C(CH₃)₃); 1.91 (s, 3H, α -CH₃); 2.18 (s, 3H, α -CH₃); 3.34 (m, 12H, THF); (m, 2H, CH(CH₃)₂); 5.01 (s, 1H, β -CH); 6.70–7.20 ppm (m, 6H, Ar-H). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, 298 K): δ 24.1 (s, α -CH₃); 24.4 (s, α -CH₃); 25.5 (s, CH(CH₃)₂, THF); 28.2 (s, CH(CH₃)₂); 32.2 (s, C(CH₃)₃); 34.0 (s, C(CH₃)₃); 68.2 (s, THF); 96.8 (s, β -CH); 118.8 (s, Ar); 119.1 (s, Ar); 122.0 (s, Ar); 123.2 (s, Ar); 123.4 (s, Ar); 138.1 (s, Ar); 140.9 (s, Ar); 144.8 (s, Ar); 149.7 (s, Ar); 157.9 (s, Ar); 165.2 (s, C–N); 165.3 ppm (s, C–N).

Synthesis of 11b. A 100 mL two-neck round-bottom flask was charged with a stir bar, 10b (2.50 g, 5.41 mmol), and THF (20 mL). n-Butyllithium (6.80 mL, 10.8 mmol) was slowly added at -78 °C under an argon flow, using a syringe. The resulting deep yellow solution was warmed to room temperature, yielding an orange solution with a vellow precipitate. After concentration of the solution to 10 mL, the solid was filtered off and washed with cold pentane $(2 \times 10 \text{ mL}, -40 \text{ mL})$ °C). 11b was finally isolated as a pale yellow powder (3.18 g, 95%). Yellow crystals of $[11b]_2 \cdot 0.5(n-pentane)$ were obtained by slow diffusion of pentane into a THF solution. Elemental analyses were made with samples used in NMR spectroscopy with C₆D₆. Anal. Calcd for C39H60Li2N2O3.0.5C6H6: C, 76.68; H, 9.65; N, 4.26. Found: C, 76.68; H, 9.62; N, 4.70. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 298 K): δ 1.18 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 6H, $CH(CH_3)_2$; 1.26 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 6H, $CH(CH_3)_2$); 1.34 (s, 9H, $C(CH_3)_3$; 1.73 (s, 9H, $C(CH_3)_3$); 1.96 (s, 3H, α -CH₃); 2.19 (s, 3H, α -CH₃); 3.50 (m, 2H, CH(CH₃)₂); 5.14 (s, 1H, β -CH); 6.85 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H); 7.10–7.30 ppm (m, 4H, Ar-H). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆) 298 K): δ 23.7 (s, α -CH₃); 23.9 (s, CH(CH₃)₂); 24.2 (s, CH(CH₃)₂); 24.6 (s, α -CH₃); 25.5 (s, THF); 28.2 (s, CH(CH₃)₂); 31.1 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$; 32.3 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$); 34.2 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$); 35.3 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$); 68.1 (s, THF); 98.0 (s, β -CH); 118.1 (s, Ar); 120.2 (s, Ar); 123.5 (s, Ar); 128.4 (s, Ar); 135.8 (s, Ar); 136.2 (s, Ar); 140.6 (s, Ar); 145.6 (s, Ar); 149.2 (s, Ar); 154.2 (s, C-O); 161.3(s, C=N); 170.2 ppm (s, C=N).

Synthesis of 12a. A 25 mL flask was charged with a stir bar, ZrCl₄ (159 mg, 0.682 mmol), 11a (328 mg, 0.669 mmol), and toluene (15 mL). The orange suspension was heated to 100 $^\circ \text{C}$ for 1 day and then cooled to room temperature. The white precipitate of LiCl was filtered off over a fine-porosity fritted filter. The resulting red solution was dried under reduced pressure to provide a red-orange powder (315 mg, 83%). Red monocrystals were obtained by recrystallization from deuterated benzene, leading to $[12a]_2 \cdot 6.5C_6D_6$ as a dimer. Anal. Calcd for C27H36Cl2N2OZr·C6D6: C, 61.47; H, 6.57; N, 4.34. Found: C, 60.98; H, 6.78; N, 4.55. ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , 298 K): δ 0.89 (m, 12H, $CH(CH_3)_2$; 1.24 (s, 9H, $C(CH_3)_3$); 1.65 (s, 3H, α -CH₃); 2.01 (s, 3H, α -CH₃); 2.25 (m, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂); 3.82 (m, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂); 5.72 (s, 1H, β -CH); 6.69 (s, 1H, Ar-H); 7.04 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 8.08 ppm (d, J =6.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, 298 K): δ 21.5 (s, α-CH₃); 22.1 (s, α -CH₃); 24.1–24.3 (multiple broad signals, CH(CH₃)₂); 25.1 (s, $CH(CH_3)_2$; 31.7 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$); 34.4 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$); 111.9 (s, β -CH); 115.6 (s, Ar); 119.4 (s, Ar); 120.2 (s, Ar); 124.3 (s, Ar); 127.1 (s, Ar); 138.8 (s, Ar); 141.5 (s, Ar); 144.4 (s, Ar); 149.2 (s, Ar); 153.5 (s, Ar); 155.4 (s, C=N); 171.2 ppm (s, C=N).

Synthesis of 13a. A 25 mL flask was charged with a stir bar, YbCl₃ (229 mg, 0.819 mmol), **11a** (402 mg, 0.820 mmol), and pyridine (20 mL). The deep red solution was stirred for 1 h at room temperature and then concentrated to less than 1 mL. Toluene (20 mL) was condensed in and the white precipitate filtered off over a fine-porosity fritted filter. The resulting red solution was dried under reduced pressure to provide **13a** as a red-orange powder (580 mg, 97%). Red monocrystals of **13a** were recovered by slow diffusion of pentane over a pyridine solution of **13a**. Anal. Calcd for C₆₉H₈₇Cl₂N₇O₂Yb₂·0.SPy: C, 54.59; H, 5.95; N, 5.37. Found: C, 51.05; H, 5.98; N, 5.28. Several attempts at elemental analysis led to poor C correlation, including from isolated crystals. ¹H NMR (pyridine-*d*₅, 298 K): δ –49.6 (br s, 1H); –33.1 (br s, 1H); –24.0 (br s, 3H); –21.5 (br s, 3H); –17.6 (br s, 6H); –15.2 (br s, 2H); –11.4 (br s, 6H); –2.3 (s, 9H); 18.7 (br s, 1H); 22.0 (br s, 1H); 23.0 (br s, 1H); 25.3 ppm (br s, 1H).

Synthesis of 14a. A 25 mL flask was charged with a stir bar, $ThCl_4(DME)_2$ (159 mg, 0.287 mmol), **11a** (141 mg, 0.287 mmol), and THF (15 mL). The yellow suspension was stirred for 12 h at room temperature and then concentrated to 1 mL. Toluene (25 mL)

was added to the flask, the white precipitate of LiCl was filtered off over a fine-porosity fritted filter, and the yellow-orange solution was dried under reduced pressure to provide 14a as a yellow powder (204 mg, 87%). Yellow monocrystals of 14a·2THF were recovered by slow diffusion of pentane over a THF solution. 14a is probably unstable over time in the solid state, which causes poor elemental analysis correlation. Anal. Calcd for C62H92Cl5LiN4O6Th2: C, 45.47; H, 5.66; N, 3.42. Found: C, 39.36; H, 5.43; N, 2.99. ¹H NMR (pyridine-*d*₅, 298 K): δ 1.00–1.40 (m, 12H, CH(CH₃)₂); 1.36 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 2.20 (s, 3H, α -CH₃); 2.58 (s, 3H, α -CH₃); 5.70 (s, 1H, β -CH); 6.96 (s, 2H, Ar-H); 7.08 (m, 1H, Ar-H); 7.23 ppm (m, 3H, Ar-H); THF signals are missing due to the drying process. ¹³C NMR (THF- d_{8} , 298 K): δ 21.8 (s, α -CH₃); 24.8 (s, α -CH₃); (s, CH(CH₃)₂); 28.5 (br s, CH(CH₃)₂); 32.3 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$); 34.5 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$); 92.1 (s, β -CH); 114.8 (s, Ar); 117.5 (s, Ar); 119.9 (s, Ar); 123.3 (br s, Ar); 125.4 (s, Ar); 139.7 (s, Ar); 140.3 (s, Ar); 142.7 (br s, Ar); 148.4 (s, Ar); 154.3 (s, Ar); 160.4 (s, C=N); 165.6 ppm (s, C=N).

Synthesis of 15b. A 25 mL flask was charged with UI₄(1,4-dioxane)₂ (179 mg, 0.194 mmol), **11b** (240 mg, 0.388 mmol), and toluene (50 mL). The red suspension was heated to 100 °C for 12 h. The complex is poorly soluble in toluene and was extracted in a Soxhlet apparatus under an inert atmosphere using toluene and dried under reduced pressure to provide **15b** as a red powder (201 mg, 89%), which was recrystallized from toluene to afford dark red monocrystals. Anal. Calcd for $C_{62}H_{88}N_4O_2U$: C, 64.23; H, 7.65; N, 4.83. Found: C, 63.83; H, 7.70; N, 4.99. ¹H NMR (C_6D_{62} 298 K): δ –24.4 (s, 1H); –20.0 (s, 3H); –15.7 (s, 3H); –8.1 (s, 9H); –6.9 (s, 1H); -4.6 (s, 1H); –1.9 (s, 3H); 1.1 (s, 3H); 3.6 (s, 1H); 3.7 (s, 1H); 10.3 (s, 9H); 17.8 (s, 1H); 18.6 (s, 3H); 23.6 (s, 3H); 29.2 (s, 1H); 45.1 ppm (s, 1H).

Synthesis of 16a. A 25 mL flask was charged with a stir bar, ZrCl₄ (64.9 mg, 0.278 mmol), 11a (273 mg, 0.557 mmol), and toluene (10 mL). The deep red suspension was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. The white precipitate was then filtered off over a fineporosity fritted filter, and the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to provide 16a as a red powder (220 mg, 87%). Red monocrystals of $[16a]_2 \cdot 1.5(n-pentane)$ were recovered by recrystallization in pentane. Anal. Calcd for C54H72N4O2Zr: C, 72.03; H, 8.06; N, 6.22. Found: C, 70.12; H, 8.23; N, 6.16. As for ligand 11a, poor C correlations were obtained while several attempts had been made, including with isolated monocrystals. ¹H NMR (C_6D_{64} 298 K): δ 1.03-1.25 (m, 12H, CH(CH₃)₂); 1.25(s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 1.60 (s, 3H, α -CH₃); 1.89 (s, 3H, α -CH₃); 3.25 (m, 2H, CH(CH₃)₂); 4.95 (s, 1H, β -CH); 6.31 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H); 6.68 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H); 6.75 (s, 1H, Ar-H); 7.01 ppm (m, 3H, Ar-H). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, 298 K): δ 23.7 (s, α -CH₃); 24.4 (s, α -CH₃); 25.5 (s, CH(CH₃)₂); 25.5 (s, $CH(CH_3)_2$; 25.7 (s, $CH(CH_3)_2$); 25.9 (s, $CH(CH_3)_2$); 28.0 (s, $CH(CH_3)_2$; 30.2 (s, $CH(CH_3)_2$); 31.9 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$); 34.1 (s, C(CH₃)₃); 105.6 (s, β-CH); 113.9 (s, Ar); 118.3 (s, Ar); 121.7 (s, Ar); 123.1 (s, Ar); 125.4 (s, Ar); 126.7 (s, Ar); 139.8 (s, Ar); 140.3 (s, Ar); 143.4 (s, Ar); 145.0 (s, Ar); 145.1 (s, Ar); 158.5 (s, Ar); 162.5 (s, C= N); 166.1 ppm (s, C=N).

Synthesis of 16b. A 25 mL flask was charged with a stir bar, ZrCl₄ (35.0 mg, 0.154 mmol), 11b (191 mg, 0.308 mmol), and toluene (15 mL). The orange suspension was heated to 100 °C for 1 day and then cooled to room temperature. The white precipitate was filtered off over a fine-porosity fritted filter. The volatiles were then removed under reduced pressure to provide 16b as an orange powder (145 mg, 93%). Orange monocrystals were obtained by recrystallization from toluene. Anal. Calcd for C₆₂H₈₈N₄O₂Zr: C, 73.54; H, 8.76; N, 5.53. Found: C, 73.42; H, 8.70; N, 5.53. ¹H NMR (THF-d₈, 298 K): δ 0.81 (m, 21H, CH(CH₃)₂, C(CH₃)₃); 1.24 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 1.66 (s, 3H, α -CH₃); 2.17 (br s, 3H, α -CH₃); 3.01 (m, 2H, CH(CH₃)₂); 5.16 (s, 1H, β -CH); 6.71 (s, 2H, Ar-H); 7.00 ppm (m, 4H, Ar-H). $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR $(C_6D_6, 298 \text{ K})$: δ 23.0–26.5 (multiple broad signals, α -CH₃, $CH(CH_3)_2$; 28.1 (s, $CH(CH_3)_2$); 30.2 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$); 32.1 (s, C(CH₃)₃); 34.5 (s, C(CH₃)₃); 34.9 (s, C(CH₃)₃); 118.6 (s, Ar); 123.7 (s, Ar); 125.2 (s, Ar); 126.5 (s, Ar); 131.2 (br s, Ar); 134.6 (s, Ar); 139.5 (s, Ar); 140–144 (multiple broad signals, Ar); 157.9 (s, C=N); 167.0 ppm (s, C=N).

Table 1. Crystal Data and Structure Refinement Details

		7			8a	9	Pa	10b″		11a	
chem formula		$C_{19}H_{30}B$	F ₄ NO	C27H3	₉ BF ₄ N ₂ O	C27H36	5BFN2O	$C_{37}H_{52}N_2O$		$\mathrm{C}_{62}\mathrm{H}_{88}\mathrm{Li}_4\mathrm{N}_4\mathrm{O}_4$	
mol wt		375.25		494.41	l	434.39		540.81		981.12	
cryst syst		orthorho	ombic	mono	clinic	monoc	linic	triclinic		triclinic	
space group		P212121		$P2_{1}/n$		$P2_1/n$		$P\overline{1}$		$P\overline{1}$	
a (Å)		10.5912((5)	16.124	16(13)	18.998	5(15)	9.9061(6)		9.5402(14)	
b (Å)		14.0519	(7)	10.614	41(11)	6.8889	(3)	13.0594(10)		10.9327(11)	
c (Å)		14.0695((5)	34.007	7(3)	21.275	8(16)	14.8115(12)		14.383(2)	
α (deg)		90		90		90		103.480(3)		73.960(8)	
β (deg)		90		100.30	04(6)	115.88	9(3)	108.736(4)		87.426(7)	
γ (deg)		90		90		90	. ,	103.910(4)		86.955(9)	
$V(Å^3)$		2093.91	(16)	5726.4	4(9)	2505.1	(3)	1658.0(2)		1439.0(3)	
Z		4	(10)	8		4	(0)	2		1	
$D \rightarrow (g \text{ cm}^{-3})$		1 190		1 147		1 152		1 083		1 132	
$\mu(Mo K\alpha) (mm^{-1})$		0.096		0.086		0.074		0.064		0.068	
F(000)		800		2112		936		592		532	
no of rflns colled		35000		12004	0	79144		80400		40602	
no. of indep rflps		22200		10952	0	/0144		85405		5205	
no. of indep finits	-(I)	2233		10055		2010		1400		2021	
no. of obsu fills $(1 \ge 2)$	0(1))	2014		40/5		0.027		4088		0.051	
K _{int}		0.026		0.070		0.027		0.049		0.051	
no. of params refined		243		649		298		3/3		343	
R1		0.053		0.061		0.071		0.055		0.051	
wR2		0.154		0.147		0.174		0.145		0.137	
S (P 2)		1.135		0.988		1.151		0.976		1.030	
$\Delta ho_{ m min}$ (e Å ⁻³)		-0.21		-0.19		-0.24		-0.21		-0.19	
$\Delta ho_{ m max}$ (e Å ⁻³)		0.29		0.17		0.23		0.18		0.27	
	12a		13a		14a		15b	16a		19a	
chem formula	$C_{90}H_{108}Cl_4N_4$	O_2Zr_2	C74H92Cl2N8O	₂ Yb ₂	C74H112Cl5LiN4O	Th ₂	$C_{62}H_{88}N_4O_2U$	$C_{59}H_{84}N_4O_2Z$	r (C ₈₉ H ₁₂₆ ClLi ₃ N ₆ O ₅ T	'n
mol wt	1602.04		1542.54		1817.95		1159.39	972.52	1	1648.27	
cryst syst	triclinic		monoclinic		triclinic		monoclinic	triclinic	t	triclinic	
space group	$P\overline{1}$		$P2_{1}/c$		$P\overline{1}$		C2/c	$P\overline{1}$	J	PĪ	
a (Å)	17.4358(9)		14.8213(7)		15.8182(5)		21.2552(10)	15.5297(7)	į	13.1462(6)	
b (Å)	17.4360(12)		21.6499(5)		19.2508(5)		10.7158(5)	18.9498(9)		16.4882(6)	
c (Å)	32.131(2)		24.1822(11)		19.7418(6)		25.9523(7)	21.2327(9)	í	23.2295(11)	
α (deg)	89.401(3)		90		111.6569(12)		90	75.631(2)	ç	97.148(2)	
β (deg)	80.627(3)		98.984(2)		107.7145(14)		102.364(3)	78.574(3)		104.141(2)	
γ (deg)	65.259(4)		90		101.935(2)		90	70.549(2)		113.277(2)	
$V(Å^3)$	8734.9(10)		7664.4(5)		4964.6(3)		5774.0(4)	5661.8(5)	4	4345.0(3)	
Z	4		4		2		4	4	1	2	
$D_{\rm calad}$ (g cm ⁻³)	1.218		1.337		1.216		1.334	1.141		1.260	
$\mu(Mo K\alpha) (mm^{-1})$	0.407		2.541		3.167		2.855	0.236		1.798	
F(000)	3360		3128		1816		2384	2.088		1716	
no of rflns colled	292190		270809		179951		156817	2000		159943	
no. of indep rflps	33105		19782		18483		8792	21468		16265	
no. of obsd rflns $(I > 2\sigma)$	20664		12225		13984		7705	15185		13674	
(I))	20004		12225		15704		//03	19109		13074	
R _{int}	0.079		0.061		0.050		0.030	0.042	(0.057	
no. of params refined	1853		865		926		324	1299	ç	975	
R1	0.073		0.044		0.079		0.025	0.054	(0.036	
wR2	0.225		0.119		0.230		0.059	0.165	(0.081	
S	1.033		1.010		1.044		0.989	1.064		1.022	
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min}$ (e Å ⁻³)	-0.50		-0.95		-2.08		-0.94	-0.83		-0.85	
$\Delta \rho_{mm}$ (e Å ⁻³)	1.33		1.27		6.02		0.90	1.88		1.03	
F IIIdx (C)			16b		17b		18b			19a	
chem formula		C F	+ NO7r		C H LINOVh		СНИО	ть	СН		
		1012	$1_{88} 1_{4} O_2 Z_1$		1240.59		$C_{62} I_{88} I_{4} O_{5}$	2111	1640	27	
mor wi		1012	2.30 		1249.38		1155.40		1040	.27	
cryst syst		mon	oclinic		monocimic		monoclinic		tricili	пс	
space group		02/0	ρ		$r L_1/c$		C_2/c		F1	1(2)(2)	
$a(\mathbf{A})$		21.0	000(3)		10.0401(2)		21.28/4(9)		13.14	H02(0)	
v (A)		10.7	340(2)		21.2003(5)		10.7327(3)		16.48	082(0)	
c (A)		25.6	3/8(5)		20.5180(5)		26.0590(11))	23.22	.95(11)	
α (deg)		90			90		90		97.14	8(2)	

Table 1. continued

	16b	17b	18b	19a
β (deg)	102.3059(6)	107.9728(13)	102.213(2)	104.141(2)
γ (deg)	90	90	90	113.277(2)
V (Å ³)	5646.50(17)	6658.1(2)	5819.0(4)	4345.0(3)
Z	4	4	4	2
$D_{\rm calcd}~({\rm g~cm^{-3}})$	1.191	1.247	1.317	1.260
μ (Mo K α) (mm ⁻¹)	0.240	1.453	2.606	1.798
F(000)	2176	2644	2376	1716
no. of rflns collcd	97610	229111	92726	159943
no. of indep rflns	5305	20303	7405	16265
no. of obsd rflns $(I > 2\sigma(I))$	4787	16366	6173	13674
R _{int}	0.021	0.032	0.044	0.057
no. of params refined	324	749	324	975
R1	0.031	0.028	0.031	0.036
wR2	0.081	0.069	0.063	0.081
S	1.022	1.008	0.970	1.022
$\Delta ho_{ m min}$ (e Å $^{-3}$)	-0.44	-1.19	-1.40	-0.85
$\Delta ho_{ m max}$ (e Å ⁻³)	0.38	0.48	0.55	1.03

Synthesis of 17a. A 25 mL flask was charged with a stir bar, YbCl₃ (41.6 mg, 0.149 mmol), **11a** (146 mg, 0.298 mmol), and toluene (15 mL). The orange suspension was stirred at 70 °C for 2 days. The white precipitate of LiCl was then filtered off through a Celite padded coarse frit, and the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to provide **17a** as a deep orange powder (108 mg, 82%). Anal. Calcd for $C_{62}H_{88}LiN_4O_4Yb$: C, 65.70; H, 7.83; N, 4.94. Found: C, 64.14; H, 7.98; N, 5.21. As for ligand **11a**, poor C correlations were obtained while several attempts had been made. ¹H NMR (THF-*d*₈, 298 K): δ -40.9 (s, 1H); -36.1 (s, 3H); -17.1 (s, 1H); -6.8 (s, 9H); -5.0 (s, 3H); 4.6 (s, 1H); 8.8 (s, 3H); 13.6 (s, 1H); 13.7 (s, 1H); 15.1 (s, 3H); 15.4 (s, 3H); 18.4 (s, 3H); 21.1 (s, 1H); 21.6 (s, 1H); 45.0 (br s, 1H); 78.1 ppm (br s, 1H).

Synthesis of 17b. A 25 mL flask was charged with a stir bar, YbCl₃ (26.4 mg, 0.0945 mmol), **11b** (117 mg, 0.189 mmol), and toluene (15 mL). The yellow-orange suspension was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. The white precipitate was then filtered off through a Celite padded coarse frit, and the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to provide **17b** as a deep orange powder (108 mg, 82%). Orange monocrystals of **17b**·Et₂O, with Li(Et₂O) countercation instead of Li(THF)₂, were recovered by slow diffusion of pentane over a diethyl ether solution of **17b** and were analyzed using NMR spectroscopy. Anal. Calcd for C₇₀H₁₀₄LiN₄O₄Yb: C, 67.50; H, 8.42; N, 4.50. Found: C, 67.83; H, 8.32; N, 4.65. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 298 K): δ –45.1 (s, 1H); –27.1 (s, 3H); –22.9 (s, 1H); –14.5 (s, 3H); –8.0 (s, 3H); –5.6 (m, 1H+2H(Et₂O)); –2.56 (s, 3H); 2.1 (s, 2H); 3.7 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 7.1 (m, 3H, Et₂O); 7.7 (s, 1H); 14.2 (s, 3H); 15.0 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 17.5 (s, 1H); 20.5 (s, 1H); 37.2 ppm (s, 3H).

Synthesis of 18b. A 25 mL flask was charged with a stir bar, ThCl₄(DME)₂ (239 mg, 0.431 mmol), 11b (534 mg, 0.862 mmol), and toluene (25 mL). The orange suspension was heated to 100 °C for 1 day and then cooled to room temperature. The white precipitate was filtered off over a fine-porosity fritted filter. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to provide 18b as a yellow powder (495 mg, 99%). Yellow monocrystals were recovered by recrystallization from toluene. Anal. Calcd for C₆₂H₈₈N₄O₂Th: C, 64.56; H, 7.69; N, 4.86. Found: C, 64.34; H, 7.65; N, 4.92. ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , 298 K): δ 1.03 (dd, J = 4.0 Hz, 6.0 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂); 1.24 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 1.25 (dd, J = 4.0 Hz, 6.0 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂); 1.34 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 1.66 (s, 3H, α -CH₃); 2.02 (s, 3H, α -CH₃); 3.02 (m, 2H, CH(CH₃)₂); 4.69 (s, 1H, β-CH); 6.77 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H); 7.03 (m, 3H, Ar-H); 7.12 ppm (s, 1H, Ar-H). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, 298 K): δ 23.4 (s, α-CH₃); 24.6 (s, CH(CH₃)₂); 24.9 (s, CH(CH₃)₂); 25.1 (s, CH(CH₃)₂); 25.7 (s, α -CH₃); 25.8 (s, CH(CH₃)₂); 28.8 (s, CH(CH₃)₂); 30.2 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$; 31.5 (s, $CH(CH_3)_2$); 32.1 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$); 34.4 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$; 35.0 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$); 97.5 (s, β -CH); 117.4 (s, Ar); 119.1 (s, Ar); 124.3 (s, Ar); 124.9 (s, Ar); 126.3 (s, Ar); 128.4 (s, Ar); 135.8

(s, Ar); 139.0 (s, Ar); 140.5 (s, Ar); 142.6 (s, Ar); 143.3 (s, Ar); 157.0 (s, C–O); 160.2 (s, C=N); 165.1 ppm (s, C=N).

Structure Determination by X-ray Diffraction. The data were collected at 150(2) K on a Nonius Kappa-CCD area detector diffractometer²⁸ using graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). The crystals were introduced into glass capillaries with a protecting "Paratone-N" oil (Hampton Research) coating. The unit cell parameters were determined from 10 frames and then refined on all data. The data (combinations of φ and ω scans with a minimum redundancy of 4 for 90% of the reflections) were processed with HKL2000.²⁹ Absorption effects were corrected empirically with the program SCALEPACK,²⁹ except for the compounds containing only light atoms. The structures were solved by direct methods or Patterson map interpretation with SHELXS-97 (except when an isostructural model could be used as a starting point), expanded by subsequent Fourier-difference synthesis, and refined by full-matrix least squares on F^2 with SHELXL-97.³⁰ All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. When present, the hydrogen atoms bound to oxygen or nitrogen atoms were found on Fourier difference maps. The carbon-bound hydrogen atoms were introduced at calculated positions; all hydrogen atoms were treated as riding atoms with an isotropic displacement parameter equal to 1.2 times that of the parent atom (1.5 for CH_3) . In the absence of a suitable anomalous scatterer in 7, the Friedel pairs have been merged. In both 12a and 14a, one tert-butyl substituent was found to be rotationally disordered over two positions, which were refined with occupancy parameters constrained to sum to unity. In 12a-14a, some solvent molecules were given an occupancy parameter of 0.5 in order to retain acceptable displacement parameters. Voids in the lattice of 14a likely indicate the presence of other, unresolved solvent molecules. Crystal data and structure refinement parameters are given in Table 1. The molecular plots were drawn with ORTEP-3.3

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

CIF files giving atomic positions and displacement parameters, anisotropic displacement parameters, and bond lengths and bond angles. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: thibault.cantat@cea.fr. Fax: +33 1 6908 6640. Tel: +33 1 6908 4338.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

For financial support of this work, we acknowledge the CEA, CNRS, ANR (Starting Grant to T.C.) and GNR PARIS (Research Grant to T.C.).

REFERENCES

(1) Bourget-Merle, L.; Lappert, M. F.; Severn, J. R. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 3031–3066.

- (2) Gunanathan, C.; Milstein, D. Acc. Chem. Res. 2011, 44, 588-602.
- (3) Peris, E.; Crabtree, R. H. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2004, 248, 2239–2246.
- (4) Poyatos, M.; Mata, J. A.; Peris, E. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 3677-3707.
- (5) Lappert, M. F.; Liu, D.-S. Neth. Patent 9401515, 1994.
- (6) Lappert, M. F.; Liu, D.-S. Neth. Patent 9500085, 1995.
- (7) Dove, A. P.; Gibson, V. C.; Marshall, E. L.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J. Dalton Trans. **2004**, 570–578.

(8) Neculai, A. M.; Neculai, D.; Roesky, H. W.; Magull, J. Polyhedron 2004, 23, 183–187.

- (9) Bertrand, J. A.; Helm, F. T.; Carpenter, L. J. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1974, 9, 69–75.
- (10) Lu, E.; Gan, W.; Chen, Y. Organometallics 2009, 28, 2318-2324.
- (11) Dulong, F.; Bathily, O.; Thuéry, P.; Ephritikhine, M.; Cantat, T. *Dalton Trans.* **2012**, *41*, 11980–11983.
- (12) Feldman, J.; McLain, S. J.; Parthasarathy, A.; Marshall, W. J.;
- Calabrese, J. C.; Arthur, S. D. Organometallics 1997, 16, 1514–1516. (13) Yoshifuji, M.; Nagase, R.; Inamoto, N. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1982, 55, 873–876.
- (14) Piesik, D. F.-J.; Range, S.; Harder, S. Organometallics 2008, 27, 6178-6187.
- (15) Mayoral, M. J.; Cano, M.; Campo, J. A.; Heras, J. V.; Pinilla, E.; Torres, M. R. *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* **2004**, *7*, 974–978.
- (16) Sakida, T.; Yamaguchi, S.; Shinokubo, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 2280–2283.
- (17) Hitchcock, P. B.; Hu, J.; Lappert, M. F.; Tian, S. J. Organomet. Chem. **1997**, 536–537, 473–480.
- (18) Schettini, M. F.; Wu, G.; Hayton, T. W. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 11799-11808.
- (19) Wright, R. J.; Power, P. P.; Scott, B. L.; Kiplinger, J. L. Organometallics 2004, 23, 4801-4803.
- (20) Hayton, T. W.; Wu, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 2005-2014.
- (21) Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F.; Liu, D.-S. J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, 488, 241–248.
- (22) Burns, C. J.; Bursten, B. E. Comments Inorg. Chem. 1989, 9, 61–93.
- (23) Lesnard, H.; Cantat, T.; Le Floch, P.; Demachy, I.; Jean, Y. Chem. Eur. J. 2007, 13, 2953–2965.
- (24) Cremades, E.; Echeverría, J.; Alvarez, S. Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 10380–10396.
- (25) Alvarez, S.; Avnir, D.; Llunell, M.; Pinsky, M. New J. Chem. 2002, 26, 996-1009.
- (26) Cantat, T.; Scott, B. L.; Kiplinger, J. L. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 919–921.
- (27) Monreal, M. J.; Thomson, R. K.; Cantat, T.; Travia, N. E.; Scott,
- B. L.; Kiplinger, J. L. Organometallics 2011, 30, 2031-2038.
- (28) Hooft, R. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands, 1998.
- (29) Otwinowski, Z.; Minor, W. In *Methods in Enzymology*; Charles, W., Carter, J., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, 1997; Vol. 276, pp 307–326.
- (30) Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr. 2007, 64, 112–122.
- (31) Farrugia, L. J. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1997, 30, 565-565.