

Tetrahedron Letters 39 (1998) 6369-6372

TETRAHEDRON LETTERS

Synthesis of the E Ring of Gambierol

Isao Kadota,[†] Chie Kadowaki, Noriko Yoshida, and Yoshinori Yamamoto*

Research Center for Organic Resources and Materials Chemistry, Institute for Chemical Reaction Science, and Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578, Japan

Received 15 April 1998; accepted 23 June 1998

Abstract: The synthesis of the E ring of gambierol was achieved from D-ribose via the intramolecular reaction of allylstannane with an aldehyde as a key step. The undesired stereoisomer formed in this reaction was converted to the desired product by using DBU isomerization. © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

In the preceding paper, the construction of the AB ring system of gambierol was described.¹ We next examined the synthesis of the E ring. We have already developed an efficient method for the stereocontrolled synthesis of 7-membered cyclic ethers *via* the intramolecular allylic tin-aldehyde condensation.² The methodology was applied to the present synthesis as shown below.

The starting material 1^3 derived from D-ribose was converted to the α,β -unsaturated ester 2 with $Ph_2P=CHCO_2Me$ in 70% yield. Hydrogenation of the double bond of 2 produced 3 in 83% yield. The primary hydroxy group of 3 was protected as a TBS ether using TBSCI/imidazole to give 4 in 99% yield. Reduction with LiAlH₄ produced 5 in 96% yield. Protection of the resulting alcohol with TBDPS group gave 6 in 98% yield. Selective removal of the acetonide and the TBS protective group was performed using PdCl₂(CH₃CN)₂ to give the triol 7 in 73% yield.⁴ Selective protection of the 1,3-diol with PhCH(OMe)₂/CSA gave 8 in 85% yield. Oxidation followed by treatment with MeMgI in toluene afforded the tertiary alcohol 9 as a single stereoisomer.⁵ Williamson type allylation produced the allylic ether **10** in quantitative yield. Generation of the corresponding oxo-substituted allylic anion by using sec-BuLi/TMEDA, followed by the trapping with n-Bu₃SnCl gave the allylic tin compound 11 in 85% yield. The TBDPS group of 11 was removed by the treatment with TBAF to give 12 in quantitative yield. Oxidation of the alcohol 12 produced the aldehyde 13 in 97% yield. The cyclization of 13 was a key step for the synthesis of the E ring. The treatment of 13 with BF₃ OEt₂ gave a 30:70 mixture of the 7-membered cyclic ethers 14 and 15 in 92% yield. Unfortunately, the desired stereoisomer 14 was obtained as the minor product. The stereochemistries of 14 and 15 were determined by ¹H NMR analysis and NOE experiments of the corresponding acetate derivatives 16 and 17, respectively, as shown in Figure 1.⁶ Irradiation of the methyl group (1.55 ppm) of 16 gave enhancements of the resonances at the H_a proton (4.28 ppm, 8.2%) and the acetyl group (2.09 ppm, 0.7%) indicating the cis relationship of these substituents. On the other hand, NOEs were observed between H_a (4.22 ppm) and H_{h} (3.96 ppm) of 17 and between the methyl group (1.49 ppm) and H_{c} (4.95 ppm), indicating the cis-stereochemistry of these substituents (see Figure 1).



"(a) Ph₃P=CHCO₂Me, THF, reflux, overall 70% from D-ribose by 3 steps; (b) H₂, 10% Pd-C, MeOH, n, 83%; (c) TBSCl, imidazole, DMF, 0 $^{\circ}$ to n, 99%; (d) LiAlH₄, THF, 0 $^{\circ}$, 96%; (e) TBDPSCl, imidazole, DMF, 0 $^{\circ}$ to n, 98%; (f) PdCl₂(CH₃CN)₂, wet CH₃CN, n, 73%; (g) PhCH(OMe)₂, CSA, CH₂Cl₂, n, 85%; (h) (i) (COCl)₂, DMSO, CH₂Cl₂, -78 $^{\circ}$, then Et₃N, -78 $^{\circ}$ to nt; (ii) MeMgI, toluene, -78 $^{\circ}$, 65%; (i) KH, THF, 0 $^{\circ}$, then allyl bromide, 0 $^{\circ}$ to nt, 100%; (j) sec-BuLi, TMEDA, THF, -78 $^{\circ}$, then *n*-Bu₃SnCl, -78 $^{\circ}$ to nt, 85%; (k) TBAF, THF, nt, 100%; (l) SO₃·py, DMSO, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 0 $^{\circ}$ to nt, 97%; (m) BF₃·OEt₂, CH₂Cl₂, -78 $^{\circ}$, 92% (14:15 = 30:70).



Figure 1. NOE experiments on the acetate derivatives 16 and 17.



Figure 2. Transition state structures A and B.



*(a) TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 100%; (b) $(cyc-Hex)_2$ BH, THF, 0 °C, then 3N NaOH, H₂O₂, 0 °C to rt, 99%; (c) TBAF, THF, rt, 100%; (d) TBSCl, imidazole, DMF, 0 °C to rt, 94%; (e) $(COCl)_2$, DMSO, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C, then Et₃N, -78 °C to rt, 92%; (f) DBU, toluene, reflux, 98% (**23:22** = 97:3); (g) DIBAL-H, methylaluminum bis(2,6-di-*t*-butyl-4-methylphenoxide) (MAD), toluene, -78 °C, 89% (**24:25** = 67:33).

The observed stereoselectivity can be explained by the transition state model as depicted in Figure 2. To avoid the steric repulsion between methyl group and the olefinic proton in the transition state **A**, the cyclization of **13** would proceed *via* the transition state **B** to give **15** predominantly.

Since several attempts for improving the stereoselectivity resulted in failure, we next examined the stereoisomerization of the undesired product 15 as shown in Scheme 2. The hydroxy group of 15 was protected as a TBS ether using TBSOTf/2,6-lutidine to give 18 in quantitative yield. Hydroboration of 18 gave the alcohol 19 in 99% yield. Removal of the TBS protective group using TBAF afforded the diol 20 in quantitative yield. Selective protection of the primary alcohol of 20 afforded 21 in 94% yield. The secondary alcohol 21 was oxidized to yield the ketone 22 in 92% yield. Epimerization of 22 was achieved by using DBU in refluxing toluene to give the thermodynamically stable 23 in 95% yield. Finally, stereoselective reduction of 23 was performed using DIBAL-H in the presence of bulky Lewis acid, methylaluminum bis(2,6-di-*t*-butyl-4-methylphenoxide), gave a mixture of 24 and 25 in the ratio of 67:33 in 89% yield.⁷ Although the allyltin-aldehyde cyclization method gave unexpectedly the undesired stereoisomer 15 as the major product, the epimerization method enabled us to obtain the desired stereoisomer 24, corresponded to the E ring.

Acknowledgement. This work was financially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan and a grant from Mitsubishi Chemical Company.

References

- † Institute for Chemical Reaction Science
- (1) Kadota, I.; Park, C.-H.; Ohtaka, M.; Oguro, N.; Yamamoto, Y. Tetrahedron Lett., the first of three contiguous papers in this issue.
- (2) (a) Yamamoto, Y.; Yamada, J.; Kadota, I. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1991, 32, 7069-7072. (b) Gevorgyan, V.; Kadota, I.; Yamamoto, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1993, 34, 1313-1316. (c) Kadota, I.; Kawada, M.; Gevorgyan, V.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 7439-7446.
- (3) Kotsuki, H.; Miyazaki, A.; Ochi, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 35, 4503-4504.
- (4) Lipshutz, B. H.; Pollart, D.; Monforte, J.; Kotsuki, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 705-708.
- (5) Fei, F.; Murai, A. Chem. Lett. 1992, 1587-1590.
- (6) 16: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.50-7.48 (m, 2H), 7.37-7.34 (m, 3H), 5.89 (ddd, J = 16.0, 10.5, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 5.51 (s, 1H), 5.31 (ddd, J = 17.0, 1.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (ddd, J = 10.5, 1.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.00 (ddd, J = 5.0, 2.6, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (dddd, J = 13.5, 2.0, 2.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (dd, J = 11.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 3.62 (dd, J = 11.3, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 1.98-1.92 (m, 2H), 1.85-1.79 (m, 2H), 1.55 (s, 3H).

17: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.50-7.46 (m, 2H), 7.40-7.34 (m, 3H), 5.84 (ddd, J = 17.1, 10.6, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 5.51 (s, 1H), 5.24 (ddd, J = 17.1, 1.4, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.15 (ddd, J = 10.6, 1.4, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.95 (ddd, J = 9.3, 9.3, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 4.22 (dddd, J = 8.1, 6.5, 1.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (dd, J = 9.6, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (dd, J = 10.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 2.04 (s, 3H), 2.01-1.73 (m, 4H), 1.49 (s, 3H).

(7) Maruoka, K.; Sakurai, M.; Yamamoto, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 32, 3853-3856.