Chemoenzymatic Approach to the Total Synthesis of (+)-Bourgeanic Acid

Jhillu S. Yadav,*^a Tenneti Srinivasa Rao,^a Nagendra Nath Yadav,^a Kovvuru V. Raghavendra Rao,^a Basi V. Subba Reddy,^a Ahmad Al Khazim Al Ghamdi^b

- ^a Natural Product Chemistry, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500 607, India Fax +91(40)27160512; E-mail: yadavpub@iict.res.in
- ^b Engineer Abdullah Baqshan for Bee Research, King Saudi University, Saudi Arabia
- Received 11 October 2011; revised 17 December 2011

Abstract: A highly stereoselective total synthesis of (+)-bourgeanic acid has been accomplished by an enzymatic desymmetrization approach to create two methyl chiral centers. Other key steps involved in this approach are a Wittig reaction, a Gilman reaction, and TEMPO/iodobenzene diacetate mediated selective oxidation of the 1,3-diol, Yamaguchi lactonization and lithium hydroxide mediated partial hydrolysis (saponification) of the eight-membered cyclic dilactone

Key words: enzymatic desymmetrization, aliphatic depside, (+)-bourgeanic acid, bourgeanic lactone, (–)-hemibourgeanic acid, Wittig reaction, Gilman reaction, Yamaguchi lactonization

Natural products derived from polydeoxypropionates are known to exhibit promising biological activity.¹⁻³ (+)-Bourgeanic acid (1), a structurally unique aliphatic depside, was isolated by the Bodo group in 1973 from the species of lichen Ramalina.⁴ The structure of 1 was established by the same group, as an esterification product of two molecules of (-)-hemibourgeanic acid (3), i.e. (2S,3S,4R,6R)-2,4,6-trimethyl-3-hydroxyoctanoic acid, and was confirmed by saponification of 1. The absolute configuration of 1 was proposed by X-ray analysis of its *p*-bromophenacyl ester.^{5,6} The formation of an eightmembered dilactone 2 takes place rapidly from compound 1 under mild dehydrating conditions, which was noted by Bodo et al. Based on conformational analysis, the dilactone exists as eight-membered ring that adopts a crown shaped conformation with C_2 axis of symmetry and all the substituents positioned in equatorial orientation, which fa-



Figure 1 Representative structures of (+)-bourgeanic acid (1), bourgeanic lactone (2), and (–)-hemibourgeanic acid (3)

SYNTHESIS 2012, 44, 788–792 Advanced online publication: 10.02.2012 DOI: 10.1055/s-0031-1289699; Art ID: Z96911SS © Georg Thieme Verlag Stuttgart · New York vors the formation of eight-membered structure (Figure 1).⁷

The first total synthesis of (+)-bourgeanic acid (1) was reported by White et al. using methodology developed by Evans and Sonnet.⁷ Subsequently, Breit et al. reported the total synthesis of 1 using *o*-DPPB [*o*-(diphenylphosphino)benzoyl]-directed copper-mediated allylic substitution as the key step.⁸ Inspired by its fascinating structural features, recently we reported the total synthesis of (+)-bourgeanic acid (1).⁹ In continuation of our research on total synthesis of polydeoxypropionate natural products,¹⁰ we herein report a highly stereoselective total synthesis of (+)-bourgeanic acid (1) via an enzymatic desymmetrization approach.

The retrosynthetic route for the (+)-bourgeanic acid (1) is depicted in Scheme 1. (+)-Bourgeanic acid (1) was proposed to be synthesized from seco acid 3, which could easily be prepared from diol 13. The diol 13 was assumed to be prepared from compound 11 by Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation followed by epoxide ring opening. Compound 11 could in turn be obtained from known compound 4 by a sequence of reactions such as protection, deprotection, and Wittig olefination and diisobutylaluminum hydride reduction.



Scheme 1 Retrosynthetic analysis of (+)-bourgeanic acid (1)

Our synthesis began with the known precursor **4** which was synthesized in four steps starting from *cis*-4,6-dimethylcyclohexane-1,3-dione.¹¹ Accordingly, *cis*-diketone was converted into the diacid using periodate oxidation.¹² Reduction of the diacid with lithium aluminum hydride in tetrahydrofuran at room temperature gave *meso*-2,4-dimethylpentane-1,5-diol in 98% yield. Desymmetrization of the *meso*-diol was achieved using a lipase (derived from porcine pancrease; PPL) and vinyl acetate in tetrahydrofuran at room temperature to afford the mono-acetate 4 in 47% yield with >95% ee along with the meso-diacetate.¹³ It is noteworthy to mention that the meso-diacetate was again converted back into the meso-diol by treatment with sodium methoxide in methanol. The mono-acetate 4 was then protected as its silvl ether 5 using tert-butyldiphenylsilyl chloride and imidazole in dichloromethane. The mono-acetate 5 was treated with sodium methoxide in methanol to furnish the alcohol 6, which was then protected as the tosylate 7 using tosyl chloride, triethylamine, and a catalytic amount of 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine. The tosylate 7 was then subjected to a Gilman reaction using lithium dimethylcuprate (6 equiv) to give the methyl derivative 8 in 92% yield.¹⁴ Further desilylation of compound 8 using tetrabutylammonium fluoride in tetrahydrofuran gave the alcohol 9 in 95% yield. The resulting alcohol 9 was then subjected to Swern oxidation followed by Wittig olefination using ethyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene) acetate to afford the α,β -unsaturated ester 10. Reduction of compound 10 using diisobutylaluminum hydride gave an allylic alcohol 11 in 92% yield, which was then subjected to Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation using titanium(IV) isopropoxide, (+)-diethyl tartrate, and tert-butyl hydroperoxide to afford epoxide 12 in 85% yield.¹⁵ Ring opening of the epoxide **12** with dilithium cyano(dimethyl)cuprate gave 1,3-diol 13 in 84% yield (Scheme 2).¹⁶

Selective oxidation of 1,3-diol **13** using 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidinoxyl (TEMPO) and iodobenzene diacetate afforded (2S,3S,4R,6R)-3-hydroxy-2,4,6-trimethyloctanal, which was further oxidized under Pinnick's conditions (NaClO₂, NaH₂PO₄:2 H₂O)¹⁷ to give (–)-hemibourgeanic acid (**3**) in 75% yield.¹⁸ The Yamaguchi macrolactonization of (–)-hemibourgeanic acid (**3**) using 2,4,6-trichlorobenzoyl chloride, triethylamine, and 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine under dilute conditions afforded bourgeanic lactone (**2**) in 85% yield.¹⁹ Partial hydrolysis of **2** using 1.0 equivalents of lithium hydroxide in tetrahydrofuran–water (1:1) over 30 minutes gave the target natural product **1** in 54% yield as a white solid (Scheme 3). The analytical and spectral data of the target molecule **1** were in good agreement with the literature.^{7–9}



Scheme 3 Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) TEMPO, PhI(OAc)₂, CH₂Cl₂, 5 h, (ii) NaClO₂, NaH₂PO₄·2 H₂O, 2-methylbut-2-ene, *t*-BuOH–H₂O (3:1), 75% over 2 steps; (b) (i) 2,4,6-Cl₃C₆H₂COCl, Et₃N, DMAP, 85%, (ii) LiOH, THF–H₂O (1:1), 0.5 h, 54%.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the total synthesis of (+)-bourgeanic acid (1) in a highly stereoselective manner. The synthesis involves very simple and straightforward reactions such as enzymatic desymmetrization of a *meso*-diol, Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation, Gilman's dimethylcuprate addition to an epoxide, 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidinoxyl/iodobenzene diacetate oxidation of the primary alcohol, Yamaguchi lactonization, and lithium hydroxide mediated partial hydrolysis of the lactone as key steps.

All solvents were purified by standard techniques. IR spectra were recorded on Perkin-Elmer FT-IR 240-c spectrophotometer using KBr optics. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker-300 spectrometer (300 MHz) and Varian Unity 500 spectrometer (500 MHz) in CDCl₃ using TMS as internal standard. Mass spectra were recorded on Finnigan MAT 1020 mass spectrometer operating at 70 eV. Column chromatography was performed using E. Merck 60–120, mesh silica gel. Melting points were recorded on Buchi R-535 apparatus and are uncorrected. Optical rotations were measured with JASCO DIP-370 polarimeter at 25 °C.

(2*S*,4*R*)-5-Hydroxy-2,4-dimethylpentyl Acetate (4)

To a stirred soln of *meso*-2,4-dimethylpentane-1,5-diol (4.0 g, 30.2 mmol) in THF (130 mL) and H₂O (170 μ L) were added PPL (11.6 gm) and vinyl acetate at r.t. The resulting mixture was stirred for 6 h at 25 °C. After complete conversion, the product was extracted with EtOAc. The organic extracts were dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated in vacuo and then purified by column chromatography (EtOAc–*n*-hexane, 1:5) to afford the monoacetate **4** (2.47 g, 47%) as a colorless liquid.

$[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ +9.8 (*c* 0.6, CHCl₃).

IR (neat): 3431, 2957, 2924, 1738, 1462, 1391, 1371, 1243, 1030 cm⁻¹.



Scheme 2 *Reagents and conditions:* (a) TBDPSCl, imidazole, CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C to r.t., 2 h, 98%; (b) NaOMe, MeOH, r.t., 1 h, 97%; (c) TsCl, Et₃N, DMAP, CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C to r.t., 4 h, 98%; (d) Me_2LiCu, -30 °C to 0 °C, 92%; (e) TBAF, THF, 3 h, 95%; (f) (1) (COCl)_2, DMSO, Et₃N, CH_2Cl_2 , -78 °C, 3 h; (2) Ph₃PCHCO₂Et, benzene, 80 °C, 2 h, 80% over 2 steps; (g) DIBAL-H, CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C to r.t., 1 h, 92%; (h) L-(+)-DET, Ti(O*i*-Pr)₄, TBHP, CH_2Cl_2 , -20 °C, 6 h, 85%; (i) Me_2Cu(CN)Li₂, anhyd Et₂O, -78 °C to r.t., 3 h, 84%.

© Thieme Stuttgart · New York

Synthesis 2012, 44, 788-792

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 3.97 (dd, *J* = 10.5, 5.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.85 (dd, *J* = 10.5, 6.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.49 (dd, *J* = 10.5, 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.42 (dd, *J* = 10.5, 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.05 (s, 3 H), 1.82–1.96 (m, 1 H), 1.64–1.78 (m, 1 H), 1.43 (br, 1 H), 1.39–1.49 (m, 1 H), 1.15–1.30 (m, 1 H), 0.96 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 3 H), 0.95 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ = 171.3, 69.1, 67.9, 37.2, 32.9, 29.9, 20.9, 17.8, 17.2.

(2*S*,4*R*)-5-(*tert*-Butyldiphenylsiloxy)-2,4-dimethylpentyl Acetate (5)

To a stirred soln of alcohol **4** (2.35 g, 13.5 mmol), imidazole (1.47 g, 21.6 mmol), and DMAP (cat.) in CH_2Cl_2 (30 mL) was added TBDPSCl (4.45 g, 16.2 mmol) slowly at 0 °C. The resulting mixture was allowed to stir at r.t. for a further 2 h. Upon completion, the mixture was quenched with sat. NH_4Cl soln and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated in vacuo; the crude product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc–*n*-hexane, 1:9) to give the silyl ether **5** (5.45 g, 98%) as a colorless oil.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ +7.9 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃).

IR (neat): 3061, 2955, 2860, 1737, 1465, 1389, 1376, 1240, 1112 $\rm cm^{-1}.$

¹H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$): $\delta = 0.88$ (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 0.94 (m, 1 H), 0.95 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 1.05 (s, 9 H), 1.46–1.55 (m, 1 H), 1.68–1.86 (m, 2 H), 2.0 (s, 3 H), 3.44 (ddd, J = 17.4, 9.8, 6.0 Hz, 2 H), 3.74–3.78 (m, 1 H), 3.87–3.92 (m, 1 H), 7.32–7.40 (m, 6 H), 7.65 (dd, J = 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 4 H).

¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 17.6, 19.3, 20.9, 26.8, 29.9, 33.0, 37.3, 68.5, 69.3, 127.5, 129.5, 133.9, 135.6, 171.3.

MS (ESI): m/z = 435 [M + Na].

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na] calcd for C₂₅H₃₆NaO₃Si: 435.2331; found: 435.2340.

(2*S*,4*R*)-5-(*tert*-Butyldiphenylsiloxy)-2,4-dimethylpentan-1-ol (6)

To a stirred soln of acetate **5** (5.41 g, 13.13 mmol) in MeOH (20 mL) was added NaOMe (1.4 g, 26.26 mmol). The resulting mixture was allowed to stir for 1.5 h at r.t. It was then quenched with sat. NH₄Cl soln and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3×30 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with sat. NaCl soln and then dried (an-hyd Na₂SO₄). Removal of the solvent followed by purification by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc–*n*-hexane, 3:7) gave the alcohol **6** (4.71 g, 97%) as a colorless oil.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ +1.1 (*c* 1.3, CHCl₃).

IR (neat): 3353, 3073, 3053, 2954, 2849, 1461, 1421, 1077, 813 $\rm cm^{-1}.$

¹H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$): $\delta = 0.87$ (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 0.91 (m, 1 H), 0.94 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 1.05 (s, 9 H), 1.42–1.50 (m, 1 H), 1.55–1.63 (m, 1 H), 1.68–1.76 (m, 1 H), 3.28–3.34 (m, 1 H), 3.39–3.51 (m, 3 H), 7.34–7.41 (m, 6 H), 7.60–7.64 (m, 4 H).

¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 135.6, 133.9, 129.5, 127.6, 68.7, 68.3, 37.1, 33.1, 26.9, 26.5, 19.3, 17.9, 17.4.

MS (ESI): m/z = 393 [M + Na].

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na] calcd for C₂₃H₃₄NaO₂Si: 393.2225; found: 393.2223.

(2*S*,4*R*)-5-(*tert*-Butyldiphenylsilyloxy)-2,4-dimethylpentyl 4-Methylbenzenesulfonate (7)

To a stirred soln of alcohol **6** (4.62 g, 12.48 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 at 0 °C were added Et_3N (2.25 mL, 16.23 mmol), DMAP (cat.), and TsCl (2.61 g, 13.7 mmol) successively. The resulting mixture was stirred at r.t. for 4 h and then quenched with H_2O and extracted with

 CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 25 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with sat. NaCl soln, dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was then purified by column chromatography (EtOAc–*n*-hexane, 1:9) to give the tosylate **7** (6.42 g, 98%) as a colorless oil.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ +3.8 (*c* 1.7, CHCl₃).

IR (neat): 3063, 2958, 2930, 2859, 2360, 1463, 1361, 1179, 1104, 965, 701 $\rm cm^{-1}.$

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.79–7.74 (m, 4 H), 7.61 (m, 2 H), 7.42–7.34 (m, 6 H), 7.29 (m, 2 H), 3.85–3.80 (m, 1 H), 3.71–3.66 (m, 1 H), 3.44–3.33 (m, 2 H), 2.43 (s, 3 H), 1.85–1.77 (m, 1 H), 1.66–1.59 (m, 1 H), 1.44–1.38 (m, 1 H), 1.04 (s, 9 H), 0.92 (m, 1 H), 0.89 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3 H), 0.85 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 144.5, 135.5, 134.7, 133.7, 129.7, 129.5, 127.8, 127.5, 75.1, 68.3, 36.7, 32.7, 30.3, 26.8, 21.5, 19.2, 17.5, 17.1.

MS (ESI): m/z = 547 [M + Na].

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na] calcd for $C_{30}H_{40}O_4NaSiS$: 547.2314; found: 547.2325.

tert-Butyl[(2R,4R)-2,4-dimethylhexyloxy]diphenylsilane (8)

To a solution of Me₂CuLi, prepared from CuI (13.8 g, 72.6 mmol) and MeLi (96.7 mL, 145.1 mmol, 1.5 M in Et₂O) in Et₂O (60 mL) at -25 °C was added tosylate **7** (6.35 g, 12.1 mmol) in Et₂O and the reaction mixture was slowly warmed to 0 °C. After 3 h stirring at r.t., the mixture was quenched with sat. aq NH₄Cl and extracted with Et₂O (2 × 100 mL). The combined organic extract was washed with brine and dried over anhyd Na₂SO₄. Solvent removal then gave the crude product which was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc–hexane, 1:19) to give compound **8** (4.09 g, 92%) as a colorless oil.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ +4.9 (*c* 1.3, CHCl₃).

IR (neat): 3069, 2959, 2858, 1465, 1385, 1108, 703 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.7 (m, 4 H), 7.45–7.38 (m, 6 H), 3.56–3.42 (m, 2 H), 1.76 (m, 1 H), 1.42–1.29 (m, 3 H), 1.08 (s, 9 H), 0.96 (d, *J* = 7 Hz, 3 H), 0.93–0.89 (m, 2 H), 0.76 (d, *J* = 7 Hz, 3 H), 0.74 (t, *J* = 6 Hz, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 135.6, 133.9, 129.5, 127.6, 68.7, 40.3, 33.1, 31.8, 29.1, 26.8, 19.7, 19.3, 17.7, 11.1.

MS (ESI): $m/z = 391 [M + Na]^+$.

(2*R*,4*R*)-2,4-Dimethylhexan-1-ol (9)

To a stirred soln of compound **8** (3.91 g, 10.62 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was added 1.0 M TBAF in THF (17 mL, 17 mmol) dropwise. The resulting mixture was allowed to stir at r.t. for 6 h. Removal of the solvent followed by purification by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc–hexane, 2:8) gave the alcohol **9** (1.31 g, 95%) as a colorless oil.

 $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +4.1 (*c* 2.3, CHCl₃).

IR (neat): 3380, 2959, 2925, 1686, 1461, 1031 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$): $\delta = 3.51-3.31$ (m, 2 H), 1.74–1–64 (m, 1 H), 1.46–1.28 (m, 3 H), 1.14–1.05 (m, 1 H), 0.91 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 0.88 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3 H), 0.87 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 68.4, 40.6, 33.1, 31.5, 29.0, 19.8, 17.3, 11.1.

Ethyl (4R,6R,E)-4,6-Dimethyloct-2-enoate (10)

To a stirred soln of oxalyl chloride (1.85 mL, 19.38 mmol) in anhyd CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) at -78 °C was added DMSO (2.06 mL, 29.07 mmol) slowly dropwise under a N₂ atmosphere. After 15 min stirring, a soln of alcohol **9** (1.26 g, 9.69 mmol) in anhyd CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) was added. After 45 min of stirring at -78 °C, Et_3N (6.7 mL,

48.46 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred for 0.5 h at -78 °C and then 0.5 h at 0 °C. The mixture was then quenched with sat. NH₄Cl soln (300 mL) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 800 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with H₂O (500 mL), followed by brine (300 mL) soln, dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. To a stirred soln of the above crude aldehyde in benzene was added stabilized ylide, Ph₃PCHCO₂Et (4.52 g, 8.12 mmol) and the mixture was allowed to reflux for 2 h. It was then concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the crude ester by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc–hexane, 1:9) gave the pure unsaturated ester **10** (1.53 g, 80% over 2 steps) as a colorless liquid.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ –40.2 (*c* 0.4, CHCl₃).

IR (neat): 2962, 2921, 1722, 1651, 1460, 1181 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.83$ (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3 H), 0.85 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3 H), 0.97 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3 H), 1.05–1.15 (m, 4 H), 1.22–1.40 (m, 3 H), 1.57 (br s, 1 H), 2.24 (m, 1 H), 4.10 (dd, J = 5.8, 0.6 Hz, 2 H), 5.51 (ddt, J = 15.4, 7.6, 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.60 (dtd, J = 15.4, 5.8, 0.6 Hz, 1 H).

¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 11.3, 19.1, 21.5, 30.0, 31.9, 34.1, 44.2, 64.0, 127.2, 139.3.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na] calcd for $C_{12}H_{22}NaO_2$: 221.1517; found: 221.1525.

(4R,6R,E)-4,6-Dimethyloct-2-en-1-ol (11)

To a stirred soln of ester **10** (1.47 g, 7.42 mmol) in anhyd CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) at 0 °C was added 1.0 M DIBAL-H in toluene (8.9 mL, 8.9 mmol) dropwise. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at r.t. and then quenched with MeOH and sat. sodium potassium tartarate soln (15 mL) at 0 °C. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried (anhyd Na₂SO₄), and purified by column chromatography (EtOAc-hexane, 3:7) to give the allyl alcohol **11** (1.06 g, 92%) as oil.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ –25.3 (c 0.5, CHCl₃).

IR (neat): 3357, 2960, 2917, 2872, 1459 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.83$ (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3 H), 0.85 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3 H), 0.97 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H), 1.04–1.16 (m, 2 H), 1.22–1.38 (m, 3 H), 1.59 (br s, 1 H), 2.24 (m, 1 H), 4.08 (dd, J = 5.1, 0.6 Hz, 2 H), 5.47–5.64 (m, 2 H).

¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 11.2, 18.9, 21.3, 29.8, 31.8, 33.9, 44.1, 63.8, 127.1, 139.1.

LC-MS: $m/z = 174 [M + NH_4]$.

{(2*S*,3*S*)-3-[(2*R*,4*R*)-4-Methylhexan-2-yl]oxiran-2-yl}methanol (12)

To a stirred suspension of powdered 4Å molecular sieves in CH_2Cl_2 (15 mL) at -20 °C were added L-(+)-DET (0.15 mL, 0.86 mmol) and Ti(O*i*-Pr)₄ (0.2 mL, 0.69 mmol). After 30 min, a soln of allylic alcohol **11** (0.9 g, 5.77 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) was added to the above suspension, and then 4–5 M TBHP in toluene (2.5 mL, 11.5 mmol) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred at -20 °C for 6 h and then quenched with H₂O (20 mL). The above mixture was treated with 3 M NaOH and then saturated with solid NaCl and the resulting mixture was stirred vigorously for 30 min. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc–*n*-hexane, 3:7) to afford the epoxy alcohol **12** (0.86 g, 85%) as a colorless liquid.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ –34.8 (*c* 2.0, CHCl₃).

IR (neat): 3424, 2962, 2922, 2874, 1461 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.87 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3 H), 0.88 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3 H), 1.01 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3 H), 1.05–1.16 (m, 2 H), 1.26

1.50 (m, 4 H), 1.89 (br s, 1 H), 2.64 (dd, J = 7.4, 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 2.92 (dt, J = 4.5, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.53–3.62 (m, 1 H), 3.83–3.91 (m, 1 H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 11.1$, 17.7, 19.4, 29.4, 31.6, 32.8, 40.9, 58.7, 60.7, 61.8.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na] calcd for $C_{10}H_{20}NaO_2$: 195.1360; found: 195.1368.

(2R,3S,4R,6R)-2,4,6-Trimethyloctane-1,3-diol (13)

A soln Me₂Cu(CN)Li₂ was prepared by addition of 1.5 M MeLi in Et₂O (36.7 mL, 55.1 mmol) to a suspension of anhyd CuCN (2.46 g, 27.5 mmol) in anhyd Et₂O (10 mL) at -78 °C under argon. The mixture was stirred at -30 °C until it became homogeneous. To this soln was added a soln of the epoxy alcohol 12 (0.79 g, 4.59 mmol) in Et_2O (5 mL) at –78 °C. The resulting mixture was allowed to warm slowly to r.t. over 3 h. Upon completion, the mixture was treated with sat. NH₄Cl (28 mL), aq 25% NH₃ (7 mL), H₂O (50 mL), and Et₂O (90 mL). The resulting mixture was then allowed to stir for 30 min. The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was saturated with NaCl and extracted with Et_2O (4 × 50 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (Na2SO4) and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in CH2Cl2 (20 mL) and treated with $NaIO_4$ (0.5 g, 2.3 mmol) at r.t. for 1 h. The mixture was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc-n-hexane, 3:7) to furnish the diol 13 (0.72 g, 84%) as a colorless oil.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ –9.5 (*c* 0.6, CHCl₃).

IR (neat): 3372, 2960, 2924, 1460, 1379, 1278, 1076, 1027, 979 $\rm cm^{-1}.$

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.81$ (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 3 H), 0.86 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 0.87 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 0.88 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3 H), 1.0–1.15 (m, 2 H), 1.30–1.47 (m, 3 H), 1.71–1.81 (m, 1 H), 1.83–1.93 (m, 2 H), 3.46 (dd, J = 9.1, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.66 (dd, J = 10.6, 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.72 (dd, J = 10.6, 3.8 Hz, 1 H).

¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 11.2, 12.7, 13.5, 19.5, 29.4, 31.4, 32.1, 37.5, 40.9, 68.9, 79.7.

MS (EI): *m*/*z* = 188 [M].

HRMS: *m*/*z* [M] calcd for C₁₁H₂₄O₂: 188.1776; found: 188.1781.

(2*S*,3*S*,4*R*,6*R*)-3-Hydroxy-2,4,6-trimethyloctanoic Acid (3)

PhI(OAc)₂ (0.77 g, 2.39 mmol) and TEMPO (54 mg, 0.32 mmol) were added sequentially to a stirred soln of the diol 12 (0.30 g, 1.59 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (6 mL) at r.t. After completion, the mixture was treated with sat. Na₂S₂O₃. The separated organic phase was washed with sat. NaHCO₃ soln and brine soln, followed by dried (anhyd Na₂SO₄), and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude aldehyde was used in next step without purification. The aldehyde was dissolved in t-BuOH-H₂O (3:1). To the mixture were added NaH₂PO₄·2 H₂O (0.44 g, 3.22 mmol) and 2-methylbut-2-ene (0.11 g, 1.61 mmol) followed by NaClO₂ (0.29 g, 3.22 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C. After completion, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was diluted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed once with brine and dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated to afford the crude acid which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc-*n*-hexane, 1:1) to give the pure β -hydroxy acid **3** (0.24 g, 75%).

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ –3.5 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃).

IR (neat): 3439, 2961, 2923, 1712, 1459, 1380, 1262, 1212, 982, 769 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 3.64 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 3.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.7–2.60 (m, 1 H), 1.78–1.69 (m, 1 H), 1.47–1.23 (m, 5 H), 1.18 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.13–1.01 (m, 1 H), 0.87 (m, 9 H).

¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 181.2, 75.1, 43.2, 40.8, 31.6, 31.3, 29.2, 19.4, 14.1, 12.9, 11.1.

MS (ESI): m/z = 201 [M - H].

HRMS: m/z [M – H] calcd for $C_{11}H_{21}O_3$: 201.1490; found: 201.1486.

(+)-Bourgeanic Acid (1)

To a stirred solution of bourgeanic lactone **2** (60 mg, 0.163 mmol) in THF–H₂O (1:1; 4 mL) was added LiOH (3.8 mg, 0.163 mmol) at r.t. After 30 min, the formation of the required acid was observed by TLC. The mixture was then acidified to pH 2 with 2 M HCl and then THF was removed under reduced pressure. The combined organic phase was dried over Na₂SO₄, and the solvent was removed under vacuum. The resulting aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 10 mL), concentrated under vacuum, and the residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc–hexane, 1:1) to afford **1** (33 mg, 54%) as a white solid; mp 123–124 °C.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ +7.0 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃).

IR (KBr): 3446, 2923, 2854, 1728, 1639, 1460 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 5.16$ (dd, J = 9.0, 3.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.70 (dd, J = 9.8, 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.86–2.74 (m, 1 H), 2.67–2.54 (m, 1 H), 1.96–1.85 (m, 1 H), 1.79–1.59 (m, 2 H), 1.54–1.38 (m, 2 H), 1.34–1.23 (m, 3 H), 1.18 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3 H), 1.10 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 3 H), 1.14–1.01 (m, 3 H), 0.94 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 3 H), 0.96–0.90 (m, 1 H), 0.89 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 3 H), 0.87 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3 H), 0.85 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3 H), 0.84 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3 H), 0.82 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 177.1, 175.7, 76.5, 74.8, 44.0, 41.9, 41.0, 40.6, 31.2, 30.9, 30.9, 29.4, 29.2, 19.4, 19.3, 14.4, 13.8, 12.7, 11.2, 11.1.

MS (ESI): m/z = 385 [M - H].

HRMS: m/z [M – H] calcd for C₂₂H₄₂O₅: 385.2953; found: 385.2966.

Acknowledgment

T.S.R., N.N.Y., and K.V.R. thank the CSIR, New Delhi for the financial support in the form of fellowships. Partial support was also supplied by King Saud University for Global Research Network for Organic Synthesis (GRNOS).

References

- (a) Liu, M. C.; Hermann, T. E. J. Biol. Chem. 1978, 253, 5892. (b) Liu, W. C.; Slusarchyk, D. S.; Astle, G.; Trejo, W. H.; Brown, W. E.; Meyers, E. J. Antibiot. 1978, 31, 815.
 (c) Hanessian, J. S.; Cooke, N. G.; DeHoff, B.; Saikito, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 5276. (d) Evans, D. A.; Dow, R. L.; Shih, T. L.; Takacs, J. M.; Zahler, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 5290. (e) Lautens, M.; Colucci, J. T.; Hiebert, S.; Smith, N. D.; Bouchain, G. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 1879.
- (2) (a) Berger, J.; Jampolsky, L. M.; Goldberg, M. W. Arch. Biochem. 1949, 22, 476. (b) Keller-Schierlein, W. Helv. Chim. Acta 1967, 50, 731. (c) Duffey, M. O.; LeTiran, A.; Morken, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 1458.
 (d) Hanessian, S.; Yang, Y.; Giroux, S.; Mascitti, V.; Ma, J.;

(e) Nagamitsu, T.; Takano, D.; Marumoto, K.; Fukuda, T.;
Furuya, K.; Otoguro, K.; Takeda, K.; Kuwajima, I.;
Harigaya, Y.; Omura, S. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *72*, 2744.
(f) Nagamitsu, T.; Takano, D.; Fukuda, T.; Otoguro, K.;
Kuwajima, I.; Harigaya, Y.; Omura, S. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 1865. (g) Vong, B. G.; Kim, S. H.; Abraham, S.;
Theodorakis, E. A. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 3947.

- (3) (a) Fletcher, M. T.; Chow, S.; Lambert, L. K.; Gallagher, O. P.; Cribb, B. W.; Allsopp, P. G.; Moore, C. J.; Kitching, W. *Org. Lett.* 2003, *5*, 5083. (b) Des Mazery, R.; Pullez, M.; Lopez, F.; Harutyunyan, S. R.; Minnaard, A. J.; Feringa, B. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, *127*, 9966.
- (4) Bodo, B.; Hebrard, B.; Molho, L.; Molho, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 14, 1631.
- (5) Bodo, B. Bull. Mus. Natl. Hist. Nat., Sci. Phys.-Chim. 1975, 349, 23.
- (6) Bodo, B.; Trowitzch-Kienast, W.; Schomburg, D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 847.
- (7) (a) White, J. D.; Johnson, A. T. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 5938. (b) White, J. D.; Johnson, A. T. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 3347.
- (8) Reiss, T.; Breit, B. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 3286.
- (9) Yadav, J. S.; Rao, K. V. R.; Ravinder, K.; Reddy, B. V. S. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2011, 58.
- (10) (a) Yadav, J. S.; Pandurangam, T.; Reddy, V. V. B.; Reddy, B. V. S. *Synthesis* 2010, 4300. (b) Yadav, J. S.; Yadav, N. N.; Rao, T. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Ghamdi, A. A. K. A. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2011, 4603.
- (11) (a) Miller, J. J.; De Benneville, P. L. J. Org. Chem. 1957, 22, 1268. (b) Stork, G.; Nair, V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 1315.
- (12) Wolfrom, M. L.; Bobbitt, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956, 78, 2489.
- (13) (a) Tsuji, K.; Terao, Y.; Achiwa, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1989, 30, 6189. (b) Lin, G.; Xu, W. *Tetrahedron* 1996, 52, 5907. (c) Anderson, J. C.; Ley, S. V.; Marsden, S. P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1994, 35, 2087. (d) Fujita, K.; Mori, K. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2001, 493. (e) McAllister, G. D.; Taylor, R. J. K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2004, 45, 2551.
- (14) (a) Hiyoshizo, K.; Isao, K.; Masamitsu, O. *J. Org. Chem.* 1990, 55, 4417. (b) Ghosh, A. K.; Bilcer, G.; Schiltz, G. *Synthesis* 2001, 2203. (c) List, B.; Castello, C. *Synlett* 2001, 1687.
- (15) Katsuki, T.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 5974.
- (16) Lipshutz, B. H.; Kozlowski, J.; Wilhelm, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 2305.
- (17) Mico, A. D.; Margarita, R.; Parlanti, L.; Vescovi, A.; Piancatelli, G. J. Org. Chem. **1997**, *62*, 6974.
- (18) Bal, B. S.; Childers, W. E. Jr.; Pinnick, H. W. *Tetrahedron* 1981, *37*, 2091.
- (19) (a) Inanaga, J.; Hirata, K.; Saeki, H.; Katsuki, T.; Yamaguchi, M. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1979**, *52*, 1989.
 (b) Hikota, M.; Sakurai, Y.; Horita, K.; Yonemitsu, O. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 6367. (c) Li, W.; Luo, Y.; Li, H.; Zhang, P.; Han, X. *Synthesis* **2010**, 3822. (d) Koester, D. C.; Holkenbrink, A.; Werz, D. B. *Synthesis* **2010**, 3217.