Organic Letters

Scite This: Org. Lett. XXXX, XXX, XXX–XXX

Chemoselective Synthesis of 1,1-Disubstituted Vinyl Triflates from Terminal Alkynes Using TfOH in the Presence of TMSN₃

Jumreang Tummatorn,^{*,‡,§}[®] Kunlayanee Punjajom,[§] Warabhorn Rodphon,[§] Sureeporn Ruengsangtongkul,[‡] Nattawadee Chaisan,[§] Kanyapat Lumyong,[‡] Charnsak Thongsornkleeb,^{†,§}[®] Phongprapan Nimnual,[§] and Somsak Ruchirawat^{‡,§}

[†]Laboratory of Organic Synthesis, Chulabhorn Research Institute, 54 Kamphaeng Phet 6, Laksi, Bangkok 10210, Thailand [‡]Laboratory of Medicinal Chemistry, Chulabhorn Research Institute, 54 Kamphaeng Phet 6, Laksi, Bangkok 10210, Thailand [§]Program on Chemical Biology, Chulabhorn Graduate Institute, Center of Excellence on Environmental Health and Toxicology (EHT), Ministry of Education, 54 Kamphaeng Phet 6, Laksi, Bangkok 10210, Thailand

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: 1,1-Disubstituted vinyl triflates are synthesized by direct hydrotriflation of terminal alkynes employing a combination of TfOH and TMSN₃ in DCM at room temperature. Interestingly, under these conditions, only terminal alkynes were selectively converted to the corresponding vinyl triflates, while internal alkynes were not reacted. A broad range of substrates were successfully converted to the



corresponding 1,1-disubstituted vinyl triflates in good to excellent yields even those with internal alkyne moieties present in the molecules.

*T*inyl triflate is an important functional group used in many synthetic transformations, especially for cross-coupling reactions including C-C,¹ C-O,² C-N,³ and C-halogen bond formations. The most general method for preparing vinyl triflate derivatives is the trifluoromethanesulfonylation or triflation of enolates using various triflating agents.⁵ However, only a few reported methods employed alkynes as starting materials in spite of their ease of access (Scheme 1). In fact, the conditions using trifluoromethanesulfonic or triflic acid (TfOH) as the hydrotriflating agent has been reported.^{6,7,4b} However, most reactions needed to be carried out under low temperatures⁸ to avoid solvolysis of the vinyl triflate product.⁵ In addition, this method has no particular chemoselectivity toward the alkyne substrates; both internal and terminal alkynes could be converted to vinyl triflates nonselectively. A similar lack of selectivity was also observed when using rhodium,¹⁰ ruthenium,¹¹ copper,¹² and zinc¹³ complexes as the catalysts. Therefore, development of a new method for controlling chemoselectivity of hydrotriflation of alkynes is a challenging task. In this work, we discovered that combination of TMSN₃ and TfOH reagents could chemoselectively convert only the terminal alkynes to 1,1-disubstituted vinyl triflates at room temperature. This combination essentially lowered the reactivity of TfOH in hydrotriflation on internal alkynes, resulting in only trace amount of trisubstituted vinyl triflates while terminal alkynes readily reacted to give 1,1-disubstituted vinyl triflates in good conversion with no formation of the corresponding vinyl azides. This novel mode of reactivity observed in combination of TfOH and TMSN₃ was envisioned to be a potentially useful tool for chemical synthesis, especially

Scheme 1. Methods for the Synthesis of Vinyl Triflates



when the reaction is performed on compounds containing both internal and terminal alkynes.

To elaborate on these findings, reactions were performed with various internal alkynes as shown in Scheme 2. The



Received: May 5, 2019

Scheme 2. Initial Reaction Evaluations

Ph	DCM, rt 20 min	Ph-	Me 2a	1 equiv TfOH <u>1 equiv TMSN</u> ₃ DCM, rt O/N	Ph
Complex mixture	1 equiv TfOH DCM, rt 20 min	Ph-		1 equiv TfOH <u>1 equiv TMSN</u> ₃ DCM, rt O/N	No reaction
Ph	1 equiv TfOH 1 equiv TMSN ₃ DCM, rt O/N	Ph-	H H	2 equiv TfOH 2 equiv TMSN ₃ DCM, rt 10 min	Ph

reaction of methylphenylacetylene (2a) with 1.0 equiv each of TMSN₃ and TfOH offered vinyl triflate in only trace amount whereas using TfOH alone could provide the corresponding products (4a) as an isomeric mixture in good combined yields (69-81%).⁷ When using diphenylacetylene (2b) as the starting material, no reaction was observed with 1.0 equiv each of TfOH and TMSN₃ even after stirring overnight, whereas the reaction between 2b with 1.0 equiv of TfOH alone resulted in the decomposition within 20 min. These results highlighted the essential role of TMSN₃ in lowering the reactivity of TfOH in the current protocol. To optimize the conditions, phenylacetylene (1a) was used as the screening substrate.¹⁴ Using 1.0 equiv each of TMSN₃ and TfOH, the reaction provided the desired product (3a) in 64% yield. After further optimization, product 3a could be obtained in quantitative yield when 2.0 equiv each of TMSN₃ and TfOH were employed in DCM at room temperature for 10 min. To probe the chemoselectivity of this protocol, a 1:1 mixture of compounds 1a and 2a was subjected to the optimal conditions employing TMSN₃ (2.0 equiv) and TfOH (2.0 equiv) in DCM at room temperature for overnight. This reaction provided vinyl triflate 3a as the major product in 74% yield, while product 4a (from 2a) was obtained in only 13% yield even after an overnight stirring. In addition, several additives were also screened for this transformation. Apparently, the combination of TfOH and TMSCl could smoothly provide the desired product at room temperature. However, no chemoselectivity was observed when using this combination. The results showed that the selectivity of hydrotriflation dropped to almost 1:1 ratio of 3a and 4a as shown in Scheme 3. Therefore, a combination of 2.0 equiv each of TMSN₃ and

Scheme 3. Crossover Experiments of Internal and External Alkynes



TfOH in DCM at room temperature was the optimal conditions for the chemoselective hydrotriflation of terminal alkynes to give 1,1-disubstituted vinyl triflates.

Having the optimal conditions for the synthesis of vinyl triflates in hands, our attention was turned to study the scope of the reaction (Scheme 4). The utility of this method was





^aIsolated yields. ^bYield was determined by ¹H NMR of an inseparable mixture of product and starting material.

probed with substrates containing terminal alkynes. The reaction of phenylacetylene (1a) produced product 3a in quantitative yield. With electronically neutral substrates as in *p*- and *m*-tolylacetylene (1b and 1c), the reactions furnished the corresponding vinyl triflates 3b and 3c in excellent yields. However, *o*-tolylacetylene (1d) proved incompatible under

these conditions; the reaction resulted in decomposition of 1d and only trace amount of product 3d was observed. Then, halogen substituted phenylacetylene derivatives, including fluorine, chlorine, and bromine substituents, were examined. The reactions of *p*-, *m*-, and *o*-fluorophenylacetylenes provided the desired products (3e-g) in moderate to excellent yields (62-92%). The reactions also proceeded excellently for *p*-, *m*and o-chlorophenylacetylenes (1h-j), furnishing the desired products all in excellent yields (3h-i). Similar results were also observed in the bromine analogues; substrates 1k-m were transformed to the corresponding vinyl triflates 3k-m in excellent efficiencies. Unfortunately, p- and o-methoxysubstituted substrates (3n and 3p) decomposed under the reaction conditions. However, the reaction of m-methoxy substrate (10) was uneventful; the substrate was transformed to the corresponding vinyl triflate (30) in 69% yield. For this substrate, we also employed conditions previously reported by others using only TfOH in DCM for comparison to observe only decomposition of the substrate.⁷ This result clearly showed the superiority of our method over the previously reported procedure. It also further highlighted the essential role of TMSN₃ for the success of the reaction. The methoxy group located at the *meta*-position in 10 could not delocalize electrons to the vinyl carbocation; therefore, the reactive quinone methide-type species could not be generated, hence the successful reaction. Next, substrates with electron-withdrawing substituents were evaluated. The reaction of p-nitrosubstituted substrate (1q) was incomplete and gave the desired vinyl triflate (3q) in low yield, probably due to the generation of the unstable vinvl cation intermediate. With methyl ester substituents, both at the p- and m-positions (1r and 1s), the reactions provided the vinyl triflate products in 86% and 72% yields, respectively. For *p*-nitrile-substituted substrate (1t), the reaction could not go to completion, probably due to the nitrile functional group became protonated by TfOH resulting in only 26% yield of the desired product (3t). The latter effect was also observed in pyridine-containing substrate (1u), the reaction of which only led to decomposition. Furthermore, we found that alkynylnaphthalene 1v and alkynylcyclohexene 1w were incompatible in this reaction and could not be converted to the corresponding vinyl triflates; only decomposition was observed for these compounds. The reaction was next attempted with alkylalkynes. Gratifyingly, the reactions proceeded efficiently to afford the corresponding products $(3\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{z})$ in good to excellent yields (80 to \geq 99%).

Furthermore, a range of substrates containing both internal and terminal alkynes within the same molecules (1) was examined as shown in Scheme 5. For compound 1a' containing only the parent phenyl ring, the reaction proceeded smoothly to give the corresponding product 3a' in 69% yield. For substrates containing *p*-haloarylalkyne substituents (1b' and 1c'), the reactions also proceeded successfully to give the desired products in 61% and 69% yields, respectively. The reaction of p-methoxy-substituted substrate 1d' was more problematic as it provided the corresponding product (3d') in trace amount. Under strong acidic conditions, the p-methoxy substituent could delocalize electrons into the para-cationic species, resulting in the formation of quinone methide-type intermediates that could undergo other side reactions; this thus contributed to the lower yield. The decomposition observed in these substrates was similar to that observed for compound 1n and 1p in Scheme 4. However, in substrates containing an electron-withdrawing *p*-methyl ester group (1e'), the reaction



^{*a*}Isolated yields. ^{*b*}Reaction time is in parentheses.

occurred smoothly to produce the corresponding vinyl triflate 3e' in 75% yield. We next turned our attention to study aryl 1,3-diyne substrates. With *m*-phenylalkynyl phenylacetylene (1f'), the reaction underwent a smooth conversion at the terminal alkyne to afford the desired product (3f') in 76% vield. Other substituents on the *m*-arylalkynyl group were next examined. As shown with the chlorine and fluorine substituents in substrates 1g'-h', the reactions proceeded excellently to furnish vinyl triflates 3g' and 3h' in 94% and 83% yields, respectively. As a representative of electron-withdrawing group, compound 1i' with methyl ester group was next employed as the substrate. Under the optimal conditions, the desired product 3i' was afforded in 58% yield. Besides the arylalkynyl substituents, the reaction was also tested with the substrate containing alkylalkynyl substituent (1j'). This compound could be converted successfully to the desired vinyl triflate 3j' in 77% yield. Surprisingly, the reaction of dialkynyl substrate 1k' did not proceed under the optimal conditions, resulting in no reaction, while the reaction of compound 3l' underwent decomposition.

The mechanism of the reaction is proposed as a working mechanism in Scheme 6. We hypothesized that the reaction of 2.0 equiv each of $TMSN_3$ and TfOH quickly set up an equilibrium with the predominant direction to the right to form TMSOTf and HN_3 . An experimental study was performed using ¹⁹F NMR for monitoring the reaction between $TMSN_3$ and TfOH. The result showed that TMSOTf was rapidly generated in situ corroborating well with the

Scheme 5. Scope of Substrates for the Reaction of Compounds Containing Both Internal and Terminal Alkynes^{*a,b*}

Scheme 6. Proposed Working Reaction Mechanism



hypothesis.¹⁵ In this equilibrium TfOH was generated slowly in the reverse direction. TfOH present in the reaction then readily added to the terminal alkyne moiety in the starting material to give the desired product. At this point, the remaining ratio of TMSN₃ and TfOH was 2:1 which forced the equilibrium to lie even further to the right, effectively reducing the concentration of TfOH in the reaction mixture, resulting in low effective concentration of TfOH and became insufficient to promote the second hydrotriflation. As a result, only terminal alkyne reacted under these conditions.

In conclusion, a chemoselective hydrotriflation protocol of terminal alkynes has been developed. Conversion of only terminal alkynes, in the presence of internal counterparts, to give 1,1-disubstituted vinyl triflates can be achieved with this protocol based on the slow generation of TfOH. The current protocol allowed access to 1,1-disubstituted vinyl triflates from terminal alkynes even in the presence of internal alkynes. The protocol is simple and convenient to conduct and allows access to 1,1-disubstituted vinyl triflates selectively in excellent efficiencies. This method complements well with other existing strategies and methods for the generation of vinyl triflates, especially for generation of the 1,1-disubstituted vinyl triflates.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.9b01576.

Optimization, experimental procedures, spectroscopic data, and NMR spectra of compounds (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: jumreang@cri.or.th. ORCID [©]

Jumreang Tummatorn: 0000-0002-1662-151X Charnsak Thongsornkleeb: 0000-0003-2653-5137 Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research work was supported by Chulabhorn Research Institute, Mahidol University, and the Center of Excellence on Environmental Health and Toxicology, Science & Technology Postgraduate Education and Research Development Office (PERDO), Ministry of Education, and Thailand Research Fund through the Royal Golden Jubilee (RGJ) Ph.D. Program (Grant No. PHD/0217/2558) and Thailand Research Fund (RSA6080085).

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Vila, C.; Hornillos, V.; Giannerini, M.; Fañanás-Mastral, M.; Feringa, B. L. Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 13078–13083. (b) Scott, W. J.; Pena, M. R.; Sward, K.; Stoessel, S. J.; Stille, J. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 2302–2308. (c) Occhiato, E. G.; Trabocchi, A.; Guarna, A. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 2459–2465. (d) Ackerman, L. K.; Lovell, M. M.; Weix, D. J. Nature 2015, 524, 454. (e) Garcia Martinez, A.; Osío Barcina, J.; Colorado Heras, M. d. R.; de Fresno Cerezo, Á. Organometallics 2001, 20, 1020–1023. (f) Ciattini, P. G.; Morera, E.; Ortar, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 4815–4818.

(2) (a) Andersson, C. M.; Hallberg, A. J. Org. Chem. **1989**, 54, 1502–1505. (b) Kwon, H. B.; McKee, B. H.; Stille, J. J. Org. Chem. **1990**, 55, 3114–3118. (c) Vallin, K. S.; Larhed, M.; Johansson, K.; Hallberg, A. J. Org. Chem. **2000**, 65, 4537–4542. (d) Willis, M. C.; Taylor, D.; Gillmore, A. T. Chem. Commun. **2003**, 2222–2223.

(3) (a) Vallin, K. S.; Zhang, Q.; Larhed, M.; Curran, D. P.; Hallberg, A. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 6639–6645. (b) Ikawa, T.; Nishiyama, T.; Shigeta, T.; Mohri, S.; Morita, S.; Takayanagi, S. I.; Terauchi, Y.; Morikawa, Y.; Takagi, A.; Ishikawa, Y. Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 5792–5795. (c) Stolley, R. M.; Guo, W.; Louie, J. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 322–325.

(4) (a) Shen, X.; Hyde, A. M.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132, 14076–14078. (b) Shirakawa, E.; Imazaki, Y.; Hayashi, T. Chem. Commun. **2009**, 5088–5090.

(5) (a) Maity, P.; Lepore, S. D. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 158-162.
(b) Ritter, K. Synthesis 1993, 1993, 735-762. (c) Specklin, S.; Bertus, P.; Weibel, J.-M.; Pale, P. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 7845-7848.
(d) Hargrove, R. J.; Stang, P. J. J. Org. Chem. 1974, 39, 581-582.
(e) Stang, P. J.; Anderson, A. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 1520-1525. (f) Stang, P. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1978, 11, 107-114.

(6) (a) Dhakal, B.; Bohé, L.; Crich, D. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 82, 9263–9269. (b) Howells, R.; Mc Cown, J. Chem. Rev. 1977, 77, 69–92.

(7) Lee, P. H.; Park, S. J.; Kim, J. S.; Lee, G. Y. Method for preparation of alkenyl triflates. Repub. Korean Kongkae Taeho Kongbo, KR 2014098468, Aug 8, 2014.

(8) Wu, J.-J.; Xu, J.; Zhao, X. Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 15265-15269.
(9) (a) Summerville, R. H.; Senkler, C. A.; Schleyer, P. V. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 1100-1110. (b) Stang, P. J.; Summerville, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 4600-4601.

(10) (a) Yang, Y.; Moschetta, E. G.; Rioux, R. M. ChemCatChem 2013, 5, 3005–3013. (b) Yang, Y.; Chang, J.-W.; Rioux, R. M. J. Catal. 2018, 365, 43–54.

(11) Ouyang, Y.; Xu, X.-H.; Qing, F.-L. Angew. Chem. 2018, 130, 7042-7045.

(12) (a) Wang, X.; Studer, A. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 2977–2980.
(b) Suero, M. G.; Bayle, E. D.; Collins, B. S.; Gaunt, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 5332–5335.

(13) Al-huniti, M. H.; Lepore, S. D. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 4154–4157.
(14) See the Supporting Information for additional optimization studies.

(15) Nimnual, P.; Tummatorn, J.; Boekfa, B.; Thongsornkleeb, C.; Ruchirawat, S.; Piyachat, P.; Punjajom, K. J. Org. Chem. **2019**, *84*, 5603–5613. Also see the Supporting Information for ¹⁹F NMR experimental study in the formation of TMSOTf in situ.