Tetrahedron Letters 50 (2009) 6480-6483

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet

duced 2,3,4-trisubstituted thiophenes in good yields.

Regioselective synthesis of poly-substituted thiophenes from Baylis–Hillman adducts

Hyun Seung Lee, Se Hee Kim, Jae Nyoung Kim*

Department of Chemistry and Institute of Basic Science, Chonnam National University, Gwangju 500-757, Republic of Korea

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 3 August 2009 Revised 1 September 2009 Accepted 1 September 2009 Available online 6 September 2009

Keywords: Thiophenes Baylis-Hillman adducts Tetrahydrothiophenes DDQ

Recently, various kinds of aromatic compounds have been synthesized from Baylis–Hillman adducts including benzenes, naphthalenes, pyridines, quinolines, pyrroles, and furans.^{1.2} The synthesis of thiophene derivatives from the Baylis–Hillman adducts, however, has not been reported to the best of our knowl-edge.³ Poly-substituted thiophenes have been used as important synthetic intermediates in organic synthesis,⁴ and many thiophene moiety-containing compounds showed interesting biological activities.⁴ In these respects, a development of an efficient synthetic method of poly-functionalized thiophenes is especially important.

During our continuous efforts on the synthesis of regioselectively substituted aromatic compounds from Baylis–Hillman adducts,² we decided to develop an efficient synthetic approach of thiophenes. Our synthetic strategy of 2,3,4-trisubstituted thiophenes is schematically depicted in Scheme 1: (i) synthesis of S_N2' product I from the reaction of Baylis–Hillman acetate **1a** and ethyl mercaptoacetate (**2a**), (ii) intramolecular Michael reaction^{2f,2g} of I to a diastereomeric mixture of tetrahydrothiophene III, and (iii) dehydrogenative aromatization of III with DDQ (2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone)⁵ to produce 2,3,4-trisubstituted thiophene **3a**.

Initially, we examined the reaction of **1a** and **2a** in the presence of K_2CO_3 in DMF and we could isolate the intermediate **I** (*E*/*Z* = 10:1) in 91%. ¹H NMR interpretation showed that **I** was contaminated with a trace amount of **II** (<5%). Treatment of this mixture (**I** and **II**) with DBU afforded a mixture of **III** and **IV** in 84% (rt, 40 min). When we run the reaction of **1a** and **2a** in the presence of

DBU in DMF, we could isolate a mixture of **III** and **IV** in a slightly improved yield (overall 83%). Totally eight isomers of **III** and **IV** could be produced and thus we used them without further purification. Dehydrogenative oxidation of the mixture (**III** and **IV**) was carried out with DDQ in *o*-dichlorobenzene (110 °C, 3 h) to produce two thiophenes **3a** (92%)⁶ and **4a** (5%),⁶ and the separation of **3a** and **4a** was easy.

Encouraged by the successful results, we synthesized various thiophenes **3b**-**i** from the reactions of the Baylis–Hillman acetates **1a**-**g** and thiols **2a**-**c**, by using the same strategy and the results are summarized in Table 1. As in Table 1, thiophenes **3b**-**i** were obtained in good to moderate yields (55–89%) except **3g** (vide infra). The regioisomeric thiophenes **4a**-**i** were observed on TLC in most cases and we separated them in low yields (4–8%) in some cases. During the synthesis of compound **3g** (entry 7), however, many side products were formed when we run the DDQ oxidation with the corresponding tetrahydrothiophene intermediates. The reaction of **1a** and thioacetonitrile (**2b**)^{7a} or phenacyl mercaptan (**2c**)^{7b} showed similar results to produce **3h** and **3i** in moderate yields (entries 8 and 9).

As a next trial, we examined the reaction of acetyl derivative **1h** and **2a** as shown in Scheme 2. The reaction showed somewhat different results. At the initial stage of the reaction intermediate **VI** was the major component. However, the amount of intermediate **VII** was increased slowly. Actually, intermediate **VII** was isolated in 76% after 6 h,⁸ whereas compounds **VI** and **VII** were isolated in 47% and 40%, respectively, when we quenched the reaction mixture after 15 min. As in our previous report on the synthesis of pyrrole derivatives,^{2f,2g} aldol type product **VI** was generated rapidly via the S_N2' product **V**, however, this intermediate **VI** was

the sequential $S_N 2'$ and Michael addition. Aromatization of tetrahydrothiophenes by DDQ oxidation pro-

The reaction of Baylis-Hillman acetates and ethyl mercaptoacetate in the presence of DBU in DMF pro-

duced 2,3,4-trisubstituted tetrahydrothiophenes at room temperature as a diastereomeric mixture via

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.





^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +82 62 530 3381; fax: +82 62 530 3389. *E-mail address:* kimjn@chonnam.ac.kr (J.N. Kim).

^{0040-4039/\$ -} see front matter \odot 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2009.09.012



Table 1Synthesis of 2,3,4-trisubstituted thiophenes



Entry	1 + 2	Condition (min)	III + IV^a (%)	Conditions (h)	Product 3 (%)	Product 4 (%)
1	1a + 2a	60	83	3	3a (92)	4a (5)
2	1b + 2a	30	78	3	3b (87)	4b (nd) ^c
3	1c + 2a	60	75	4	3c (82)	4c (nd) ^c
4	1d + 2a	60	76	1	3d (85)	4d (6)
5	1e + 2a	30	82	3	3e (89)	4e (5)
6	1f + 2a	90	79	4	3f (55)	4f (4)
7	1g + 2a	40	76	6	3g (20) ^b	4g (8)
8	1a + 2b	20	76	10	3h (83)	4h (nd) ^c
9	1a + 2c	20	71	3	3i (58) ^b	4i (nd) ^c

^a Crude diastereomeric mixture of **III** and **IV**.

^b Intractable side products were formed during DDQ oxidation.

 $^{\rm c}\,$ Trace amounts of compound ${\bf 4}$ was observed on TLC, but we did not separate.





converted into Michael addition compound **VII** slowly (Scheme 2). The compound **VI** was isolated as a *syn/anti* mixture (3:1) and we

prepared thiophene **5** in 89% by dehydration (*p*-TsOH, benzene, refluxing) and concomitant isomerization process. DDQ oxidation



of **VII** was carried out similarly, however, we obtained the desired thiophene **3j** in low yield (26%) along with unexpected thiophene **6** in 27%. The mechanism for the deacetylative aromatization to compound **6** could be explained as shown in Scheme 2.^{5e-g} When the phenoxide of DDQ attacks the hydrogen atom (path a) **3j** could be formed whereas when the anion attacks the carbonyl group of the acetyl moiety (path b) compound **6** could be formed.⁹

In order to synthesize the minor product **4a** in an increased yield, we prepared **II** from **1a** via the corresponding DABCO salt as shown in Scheme 3.^{3a,10} Compound **II** was prepared in good yield (88%). Treatment of **II** with DBU in DMF afforded a mixture of tetrahydrothiophene intermediates in 86%. However, subsequent DDQ oxidation produced **3a** (89%) as the major product again, unfortunately. Based on the experimental results, we could conclude that the secondary adduct **II** might be isomerized to the thermodynamically more stable primary adduct **I**, under the conditions of DBU/DMF, to produce **III** to a large extent.

Thus we examined another route for the synthesis of **4a** as shown in Scheme 4. The reaction of **1a** and **2d**, a protected form of **2a**, could produce **VIII** and the intermediate **VIII** could be transformed to **IV** through a partial hydrolysis of the thioacetate group¹¹ and intramolecular Michael reaction. Actually, we obtained a mixture of tetrahydrothiophenes in 85% yield. Treatment of this mixture with DDQ afforded **4a** in an increased yield (51%) in comparison with 5% (entry 1 in Table 1). However, compound **3a** was obtained together in low yield (12%) through the pathway comprising of a partial hydrolysis of **2d** to **2a** and the sequential processes involving the formation of **I** and **III**, as shown in Scheme 4.

In summary, we developed an efficient synthetic process of 2,3,4trisubstituted thiophenes from Baylis–Hillman adducts via the sequential S_N2' reaction of thiols, intramolecular Michael addition, and DDQ oxidation. This is the first example for the synthesis of thiophenes from Baylis–Hillman adducts and the studies on the synthesis of differently substituted thiophenes are currently underway.

Acknowledgments

This study was financially supported by Special Research Program of Chonnam National University, 2009. Spectroscopic data were obtained from the Korea Basic Science Institute, Gwangju branch.

References and notes

 For the general review on Baylis-Hillman reaction, see: (a) Basavaiah, D.; Rao, A. J.; Satyanarayana, T. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 811–891; (b) Singh, V.; Batra, S. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 4511–4574; (c) Declerck, V.; Martinez, J.; Lamaty, F. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 1–48; (d) Ciganek, E., In Organic Reactions; Paquette, L. A., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1997; Vol. 51, pp 201–350; (e) Basavaiah, D.; Rao, P. D.; Hyma, R. S. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 8001–8062; (f) Drewes, S. E.; Roos, G. H. P. Tetrahedron 1988, 44, 4653–4670; (g) Kim, J. N.; Lee, K. Y. Curr. Org. Chem. 2002, 6, 627–645; (h) Lee, K. Y.; Gowrisankar, S.; Kim, J. N. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2005, 26, 1481–1490; (i) Langer, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3049–3052; (j) Krishna, P. R.; Sachwani, R.; Reddy, P. S. Synlett 2008, 2897–2912; (k) Gowrisankar, S.; Lee, H. S.; Kim, S. H.; Lee, K. Y.; Kim, J. N. Tetrahedron 2009, doi:10.1016/j.tet.2009.07.034.

- For our recent synthesis of aromatic compounds from Baylis–Hillman adducts, see: (a) Kim, H. S.; Lee, H. S.; Kim, S. H.; Kim, J. N. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 3154–3157; (b) Kim, K. H.; Lee, H. S.; Kim, J. N. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 1249–1251; (c) Kim, S. H.; Kim, K. H.; Kim, H. S.; Kim, J. N. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 1948–1951; (d) Gowrisankar, S.; Lee, H. S.; Kim, J. M.; Kim, J. N. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 1670–1673; (e) Lee, H. S.; Kim, S. H.; Gowrisankar, S.; Kim, J. N. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 64, 7183–7190; (f) Lee, H. S.; Kim, J. M.; Kim, J. N. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 4119–4122; (g) Kim, S. J.; Kim, H. S.; Kim, T. H.; Kim, J. N. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2007, 28, 1605–1608; (h) Kim, E. S.; Kim, K. H.; Kim, S. H.; Kim, J. N. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 5098–5101.
- For the synthesis of sulfur-containing compounds from Baylis–Hillman adducts, see: (a) Yadav, L. D. S.; Rai, V. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 2414– 2419; (b) Cha, M. J.; Song, Y. S.; Han, E.-G.; Lee, K.-J. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2008, 45, 235–240; (c) Cha, M. J.; Song, Y. S.; Lee, K.-J. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2006, 27, 1900–1902.
- For the synthesis and biological activities of poly-substituted thiophene derivatives, see: (a) Piller, F. M.; Knochel, P. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 445–448. and further references cited therein; (b) Liang, F.; Li, D.; Zhang, L.; Gao, J.; Liu, Q. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4845–4848; (c) Noguchi, T.; Hasegawa, M.; Tomisawa, K.; Mitsukuchi, M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2003, 11, 4729–4742; (d) Fernandez, M.-C.; Castano, A.; Dominguez, E.; Escribano, A.; Jiang, D.; Jimenez, A.; Hong, E.; Hornback, W. J.; Nisenbaum, E. S.; Rankl, N.; Tromiczak, E.; Vaught, G.; Zarrinmayeh, H.; Zimmerman, D. M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2006, 16, 5057– 5061; (e) Karthikeyan, S. V.; Perumal, S.; Balasubramanian, K. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 6133–6136; (f) Alberola, A.; Andres, J. M.; Gonzalez, A.; Pedrosa, R.; Pradanos, P. Synth. Commun. 1990, 20, 2537–2547; (g) Shindo, M.; Yoshimura, Y.; Hayashi, M.; Soejima, H.; Yoshikawa, T.; Matsumoto, K.; Shishido, K. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1963–1966.
- For the dehydrogenative aromatization with DDQ, see: (a) Walker, D.; Hiebert, J. D. Chem. Rev. **1967**, 67, 153–195; (b) Fu, P. P.; Harvey, R. G. Chem. Rev. **1978**, 78, 317–361; (c) Lee, K. Y.; Gowrisankar, S.; Kim, J. N. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2005**, 46, 5387–5391; (d) Lee, K. Y.; Kim, S. C.; Kim, J. N. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **2005**, 26, 2078–2080; For deacetylative aromatization, see: (e) Boots, S. G.; Johnson, W. S. J. Org. Chem. **1966**, 31, 1285–1287; (f) Cross, A. D.; Carpio, H.; Crabbe, P. J. Chem. Soc. **1963**, 5539–5544; (g) Weidmann, R. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1971**, 912– 917.
- 6. Typical procedure for the synthesis of compound 3a: To a stirred solution of 1a (234 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 2a (120 mg, 1.0 mmol) in DMF (2 mL) was added DBU (381 mg, 2.5 mmol) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 60 min. The reaction mixture was poured into dilute HCI solution and extracted with ether. Drying with MgSO₄, removal of solvent, and column chromatographic purification process (hexanes/ether, 10:1) afforded a crude mixture of III and IV as colorless oil, 244 mg (83%). To the crude tetrahydrothiophenes (III + IV, 176 mg, 0.6 mmol) in *o*-dichlorobenzene (0.5 mL) was added DDQ (341 mg, 1.5 mmol) and heated to 110 °C for 3 h. After removal of solvent under reduced pressure and column chromatographic purification process (hexanes/ether, 10:1) we obtained 3a (160 mg, 92%) and 4a (9 mg, 5%). Other thiophenes were synthesized similarly and the representative spectroscopic data of 3a, 4a, 3h, 3i, 5, and 6 are as follows.

Compound **3a**: 92%; white solid, mp 45–47 °C; IR (KBr) 1732, 1699, 1240, 1221 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 1.10 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 4.13 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.20–7.26 (m, 2H), 7.35–7.41 (m, 3H), 8.27 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 13.72, 51.62, 61.05, 127.25, 127.52, 128.70, 131.05, 133.57, 135.14, 137.05, 147.97, 161.28, 162.30; ESIMS *m*/2 291 (M^{*}+1). Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₄O₄S: C, 62.05; H, 4.86. Found: C, 62.34; H, 4.91.

Compound **4a**: 5%; white solid, mp 53–55 °C; IR (KBr) 1716, 1249, 1201 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 1.39 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 4.37 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.37–7.2 (m, 5H), 8.16 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 14.26, 51.74, 61.48, 128.10 (2C), 129.34, 129.60, 131.95, 132.33, 135.51, 156.62, 161.51, 162.90; ESIMS *m/z* 291 (M*+1). Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₄O₄S: C, 62.05; H, 4.86. Found: C, 61.87; H, 5.08.

Compound **3h**: 83%; white solid, mp 65–67 °C; IR (KBr) 1730, 1636, 1238, 1202 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 3.71 (s, 3H), 7.09–7.19 (m, 7H), 7.28–7.34 (m, 1H), 7.50–7.53 (m, 2H), 8.37 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 51.78, 127.31, 127.77 (2C), 129.37, 130.02, 132.36, 132.87, 134.18, 137.07, 137.13, 140.15, 145.77, 162.74, 190.06; ESIMS *m*/z 323 (M⁺+1). Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₁₄O₃S: C, 70.79; H, 4.38. Found: C, 70.95; H, 4.64.

Compound **3i**: 58%; white solid, mp 78–80 °C; IR (KBr) 2219, 1732, 1445, 1273, 1207 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 3.72 (s, 3H), 7.36–7.47 (m, 5H), 8.32 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 51.99, 108.90, 113.31, 128.05, 129.00, 129.04, 132.01, 132.13, 138.58, 152.60, 161.59; ESIMS *m/z* 244 (M^{*}+1). Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₉NO₂S: C, 64.18; H, 3.73; N, 5.76. Found: C, 64.33; H, 3.98; N, 5.46.

Compound **5**: 89%; colorless oil; IR (film) 1707, 1258 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 1.36 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 3.88 (s, 2H), 4.32 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 6.98 (s, 1H), 7.12–7.32 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 13.86, 14.34, 55.47, 60.61, 126.30, 127.28, 128.53, 128.65, 139.16, 142.46, 144.91, 162.96; ESIMS *m*/z 261 (M⁺+1). Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₆O₂S: C, 69.20; H, 6.19.

Found: C. 69.37: H. 6.32.

Compound **6**: 27%; colorless oil; IR (film) 1719, 1696, 1413, 1275, 1223 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 1.23 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 4.23 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.08 (d, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 7.35-7.47 (m, 5H), 7.49 (d, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃ 75 MHz) δ 14.05, 60.91, 127.62, 127.73, 127.81, 129.23, 130.03, 131.50, 135.80, 148.34, 162.10; ESIMS *m*/z 233 (M^{*}+1). Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₁₂O₂S: C, 67.21; H, 5.21. Found: C, 67.47; H, 5.14.

- For the preparation of thiols, see: (a) Gaumont, A. C.; Wazneh, L.; Denis, J. M. *Tetrahedron* 1991, 47, 4927–4940; (b) Vedejs, E.; Eberlein, T. H.; Mazur, D. J.; McClure, C. K.; Perry, D. A.; Ruggeri, R.; Schwartz, E.; Stults, J. S.; Varie, D. L.; Wilde, R. G.; Wittenberger, S. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 1556–1562.
- 9. Debenzoylated thiophene was not observed for the benzoyl case (entry 8 in Table 1), and this may be due to the steric hindrance of the benzoyl moiety to be attacked by DDQ anion.
- For the introduction of nucleophile at the secondary position of Baylis–Hillman adducts, see: (a) Chung, Y. M.; Gong, J. H.; Kim, T. H.; Kim, J. N. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2001, 42, 9023–9026; (b) Lee, K. Y.; Gowrisankar, S.; Lee, Y. J.; Kim, J. N. *Tetrahedron* 2006, 62, 8798–8804. and further references cited therein.
- (a) Yelm, K. E. Tetrahedron Lett. **1999**, 40, 1101–1102; (b) Fall, Y.; Diouf, O.; Gomez, G.; Bolano, T. Tetrahedron Lett. **2003**, 44, 6069–6072.